Role for DNA double strand end-resection activity of RecBCD in control of aberrant chromosomal replication initiation in *Escherichia coli*

Sayantan Goswami^{1,2,3} and Jayaraman Gowrishankar^{®1,3,*}

¹Centre for DNA Fingerprinting and Diagnostics, Hyderabad 500039, India, ²Graduate Studies, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal 576104, India and ³Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, SAS Nagar 140306, India

Received May 28, 2022; Revised July 13, 2022; Editorial Decision July 14, 2022; Accepted August 03, 2022

ABSTRACT

Replication of the circular bacterial chromosome is initiated from a locus oriC with the aid of an essential protein DnaA. One approach to identify factors acting to prevent aberrant oriC-independent replication initiation in Escherichia coli has been that to obtain mutants which survive loss of DnaA. Here, we show that a $\triangle recD$ mutation, associated with attenuation of RecBCD's DNA double strand endresection activity, provokes abnormal replication and rescues $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in two situations: (i) in absence of 5'-3' single-strand DNA exonuclease RecJ, or (ii) when multiple two-ended DNA double strand breaks (DSBs) are generated either by I-Scel endonucleolytic cleavages or by radiomimetic agents phleomycin or bleomycin. One-ended DSBs in the $\Delta recD$ mutant did not rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality. With two-ended DSBs in the $\triangle recD$ strain, $\triangle dnaA$ viability was retained even after linearization of the chromosome. Data from genome-wide DNA copy number determinations in $\Delta dnaA$ -rescued cells lead us to propose a model that nuclease-mediated DNA resection activity of RecBCD is critical for prevention of a σ -mode of rolling-circle over-replication when convergent replication forks merge and fuse, as may be expected to occur during normal replication at the chromosomal terminus region or during repair of two-ended DSBs following 'ends-in' replication.

INTRODUCTION

A requirement for timely and faithful duplication of a cell's genetic material is an essential feature of all life forms (1). The genome of most eubacteria, of which *Escherichia coli* is the prototype, is comprised of a single circular chromosome. Initiation of chromosomal replication is exquisitely

controlled as to occur once per cell division from a single locus oriC, with the aid of an essential initiator protein DnaA (1–3); concomitant protein synthesis is necessary for fresh rounds of initiation from oriC, although the reasons for this requirement are not fully understood (4).

From *oriC*, replication then proceeds bidirectionally across the pair of 'replichores' for the forks to then meet and merge within a broad terminus region that is situated diametrically opposite *oriC* [reviewed in (5,6)]. The terminus region is flanked at its ends by *Ter* sequences which represent binding sites for the Tus protein; the Tus-bound *Ter* sites act in a polar fashion to block progression of a replisome that has already traversed the terminus region from entering the opposite replichore, thus serving to limit most if not all fork mergers to occur within this region [reviewed in (5,7,8)]. Additional *Ter* sequences are also present on either replichore in the *oriC*-distal half of the chromosome, each so oriented as to block replisome progression towards *oriC* (5,7,8).

Replisomes often encounter impediments to their progression (in the form of lesions in, or protein complexes bound to, DNA) which may lead to their pausing, collapse or disintegration, and thereby to generation of a single broken DNA end or 'one-ended' double strand break (DSB) (9-11). Mechanisms of repair by homologous recombination and of replication restart then operate at each of these sites to reconstitute a fresh replisome that resumes its directional progression towards the terminus [reviewed in (11,12)]. Recombinational repair is comprised of the steps of (i) DNA resection from a double-strand (ds) DNA end that is mediated by the RecBCD complex (which possesses DNA helicase and nuclease activities), followed by (ii) RecA-mediated synapsis of a single-strand (ss) DNA 3' end into a homologous DNA duplex to generate a D-loop [reviewed in (12–18)]. Replication restart occurs from the D-loop and is mediated by the PriABC and DnaT proteins through one or more redundant pathways (9,19,20). RecA's binding to ss-DNA also triggers an 'SOS response', during which the LexA repressor is cleaved so that expression of the

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nucleic Acids Research.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +91 172 2240266; Fax: +91 172 2240124; Email: shankar@iisermohali.ac.in

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License

⁽http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

LexA (also called SOS) regulon is transcriptionally upregulated to aid in cell survival following DNA damage (21).

Incidentally, the same mechanisms of recombinational repair and replication restart are presumed also to operate at sites of two-ended DSBs to mediate 'ends-in' replication and thus to restore circularity of the chromosome (9,14,16,19). Generation of such two-ended DSBs is replication-unrelated, and occurs upon the action of endonucleases such as I-SceI or upon exposure to ionizing radiation or radiomimetic agents (22,23) like phleomycin (Phleo) or bleomycin (Bleo).

The organization and replication of the chromosome of E. coli is characterized by several additional (and probably inter-dependent) features of interest, all of which are correlated with the *ori*-to-*Ter* directionality of its two replichores. First, the majority of heavily transcribed genes (including all seven ribosomal RNA [rrn] operons) are codirectional with replisome progression (5), and there is evidence that head-on transcription-replication conflicts engendered either by inversion of *rrn* operons (24) or by ectopic placement of oriC(25) is detrimental. Second, the chromosome is divided into a number of macrodomains that are symmetrically distributed across the two replichores (26,27), although the details of such organization and their contribution to bacterial fitness are still under investigation. Third, the mechanisms of decatenation and segregation of sister chromatids, as well as those of cell division, have evolved to be coupled to replication of the chromosome terminus region (6).

A fourth feature of interest is the bias in distribution of two sets of *cis* sequences (Chi and KOPS) across the genome, that are both involved in functions related to the resolution of problems arising from collapsed or disintegrated replication forks (18,28). The distributional bias of Chi serves to limit the extent of RecBCD-mediated DNA resection at one-ended DSB sites (13,18), whereas that of KOPS is intended to facilitate monomerization of chromosome dimers that may be generated following recombinational repair (28).

Finally, *ori*-to-*Ter* directionality of replisome progression also imposes a defined gene dosage gradient across the two replichores in asynchronously growing populations of cells (5,29). The steepness of this gradient is accentuated in rapidly growing cultures because of the phenomenon of 'multi-fork replication', wherein new rounds of replication are initiated at *oriC* even as the earlier pairs of forks have not reached the terminus region (29,30). Accordingly, highly expressed genes have also been favoured in evolution to be located closer to *oriC* than to the terminus (31).

Given that all of the features above require that chromosomal replication be initiated from the singular *oriC* locus, it is not surprising that mechanisms also exist in *Escherichia coli* cells for avoidance of aberrant *oriC*-independent chromosomal replication. Such *oriC*-independent replication is also called stable DNA replication (SDR) since it persists even after transcription or translation have been inhibited in the cells (4).

One approach to delineate the mechanisms for avoidance of aberrant replication has been that to obtain mutants which retain viability in absence of DnaA (whose action is obligatory for replication initiation from *oriC*). Such mutants are said to exhibit constitutive SDR (4)]. The mutations that have been so identified include those abrogating the functions of RNase HI (4,32,33); RecG helicase (32,34); topoisomerase I (35); or Dam DNA methylase (36). Rescue of lethality associated with DnaA deficiency also occurs upon loss of different combinations of DNA nucleases Exo I, Exo VII, SbcCD, and RecJ (37). Of these, the last is a 5' ss-DNA exonuclease (38), whereas the first three have been reported to function redundantly as 3' ss-DNA exonucleases (37); however, Exo VII also possesses 5' ss-DNA exonuclease activity (38,39), and SbcCD cleaves hairpin DNA and also degrades duplex DNA by a complex catalytic mechanism (40–42).

In all of the cases above, two additional mutations (Δtus and $rpoB^*35$) are also required for (or, in case of RNase HI deficiency, facilitate) DnaA-independent viability (32,34-37); the two mutations presumably aid in the progression of *oriC*-independent replication around the circular chromosome, the former by disrupting the polar replication barriers at *Ter* sequences (7,8) and the latter by resolving head-on collisions of transcription complexes with the replisome (43-46).

To further explore the mechanisms for avoidance of SDR, we have examined additional conditions that serve to confer $\Delta dnaA$ viability in *E. coli*. Our results suggest that ds-DNA end-resection activity of RecBCD [which is attenuated in $\Delta recD$ mutants (12,13)] is critically required to prevent a rolling-circle type (that is, σ -mode) of over-replication from sites where convergent replication forks merge and fuse. Such fork mergers are expected to occur both during normal replication in the chromosomal terminus region, as well as during 'ends-in' replication for repair of two-ended DSBs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids

Genotypes of *E. coli* strains are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Knockout (Kan^R insertion-deletion) alleles sourced from the Keio collection (47) included the following: *dinG*, *rdgB*, *recA*, *recD*, *recG*, *recJ*, *sbcC*, *tus*, *xseA* and *xonA*. The construction by recombineering of strains with distributed I-SceI sites on the chromosome is described in the *Supplementary Text*.

Previously described plasmids include: pKD13, pKD46 and pCP20, for use in recombineering experiments and for Flp-mediated site-specific excision of FRT-flanked DNA segments (48); ASKA vector pCA24N and its derivatives expressing $recD^+$ or $recJ^+$ (49); and the shelter plasmids pHYD2388 and pHYD4805 each carrying $dnaA^+$ (36) and pHYD5701 carrying $recA^+$ (36). Construction of plasmid pHYD5301 (used for recombineering of I-SceI sites at different chromosomal locations) is described in the *Supplementary Text*.

Culture media and conditions

Strains were routinely cultured in LB medium at 37° (50), and supplementation of growth media with Xgal or antibiotics ampicillin (Amp), chloramphenicol (Cm), kanamycin

(Kan), spectinomycin (Sp), tetracycline (Tet) and trimethoprim (Tp) were at the concentrations described earlier (51). Phleo and Bleo were each added at 1 μ g/ml, and Larabinose (Ara) at 0.2%.

Blue-white assay for determining viability of $\Delta dnaA$ and $\Delta recA$ derivatives

The 'blue-white screening' strategy used to identify conditions that render a $\Delta dnaA$ mutant viable was as earlier described (35,36). The parental strain is $\Delta dnaA$ (and $\Delta lacZ$) on the chromosome and carries a single-copy-number $Tp^{R} dnaA^{+} lacZ^{+}$ shelter plasmid pHYD2388 whose equipartitioning to daughter cells during cell division is inefficient; thus, typically around 5 to 20% of cells in a culture (grown in absence of Tp) are plasmid-free. The latter cells would be able to form colonies (white on Xgalsupplemented medium) only if SDR in them was sufficient to confer viability. [Since plasmid loss frequency in this assay is relatively low, occurrence of white-sectored blue colonies is not as common as that in an analogous system described by other groups (44, 52) in which the proportion of plasmid-free cells was around 50%.] The parental strain also harbored Δtus and $rpoB^*35$ mutations, which are expected to enable replication initiated from sites other than oriC to progress completely around the chromosome (34).

A similar blue-white screening approach, with $recA^+$ shelter plasmid pHYD5701, was employed to determine viability of $\Delta recA$ derivatives.

Genome-wide DNA copy number determinations

Copy number determinations of different genomic regions were performed by whole-genome sequencing (WGS) on phenol-chloroform extracted DNA preparations, essentially as described (36,53). WGS read data of 200-fold or greater coverage were generated with a paired-end sequencing strategy on an Illumina HiSeq platform.

Sequence reads were aligned to the MG1655 reference genome (NC_000913.3) with the aid of Bowtie2 package (54), following which the bedcov function of SAMtools software (55) was used to determine base read counts for successive non-overlapping 1 kb genomic intervals. Base read counts were normalized to the per-kb average of either (i) the aggregate of aligned base read counts, in the case of cultures not subjected to I-SceI cleavage, or (ii) read counts of the 500-kb region between genomic coordinates 683 and 1183 kb, for cultures subjected to I-SceI cleavage (since the latter region was expected to be the least affected by DNA resection following such cleavage in the different strains). A second normalization for all cultures was then done against interval-specific normalized read counts of the wild-type strain grown to stationary phase (data for which are shown in Supplementary Figure S5A). The moving average method for data smoothening was as described (36), for which the window size and step size used were, respectively, 10 and 4 kb. All strains that were used for WGS carried an $\Delta(argF-lac)U169$ deletion, that corresponds to kb coordinates 275-373 in the MG1655 reference genome.

The WGS data were also analysed, with the aid of BCFtools (55) and Integrative Genomics Viewer software (56), to validate the different strain genotypes and to exclude presence of significant sequence variations in the cultures.

Other methods

β-Galactosidase specific activities were determined for *sulA-lac* fusion strains, in cultures grown to midexponential phase, by the method of Miller (50) and the values are reported in the units defined therein; mean and standard error values were obtained from at least three experiments. The methods for phage P1 transduction (57); recombineering and Flp-recombinase-mediated sitespecific excision between FRT sites (48); flow cytometry for SDR detection (58,59); and chromosome linearization with the aid of N15 phage and engineered *tos* sites (32,34,60) were as described. Protocols of Sambrook and Russell (61) were followed for recombinant DNA manipulations, PCR, and transformation.

RESULTS

Approaches used to investigate SDR

Three approaches were used in this work to study occurrence and features of SDR in different strains.

- (i) As described above, a shelter plasmid-based 'bluewhite screening' strategy was used initially to identify conditions that serve to rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality (35,36).
- (ii) In a second approach based on flow cytometry, the extent of continued DNA synthesis following addition of a protein synthesis inhibitor spectinomycin was investigated (36,59). Since DnaA needs to be synthesized continuously for each round of replication initiation at *oriC*, this method provides a quantitation of SDR occurring in individual cells.
- (iii) Finally, a WGS approach was used to determine relative copy numbers of different chromosomal regions at the population level in cultures under SDR conditions. Gradients of copy number distribution permit inferences of likely aberrant replication initiation sites (32–34,36,37,58,62). The WGS approach also serves as a quality check on strain genotypes and possible existence of suppressor mutations.

Rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in a $\Delta recD$ mutant by imposition of two or more site-specific two-ended DSBs

DNA damage with its accompanying SOS response leads also to a type of SDR that is called inducible SDR [iSDR (4,63)]. We asked whether iSDR could be co-opted to rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in particular situations; towards this end, we tested the combined effects of a $\Delta recD$ mutation and perturbations conferring iSDR.

The $\Delta recD$ mutation was chosen as candidate for the following reasons. Leach and coworkers had shown excessive DNA synthesis in the vicinity of a site-specific chromosomal DSB in a $\Delta recD$ mutant (64). Furthermore, copy number determinations by WGS in $\Delta recD$ strains (62,64) have revealed a characteristic 'mid-terminus peak' that is most commonly associated with mutants exhibiting SDR (5,32– 37,58,62,65).

Viability in absence of DnaA was assessed by blue-white screening assays in strains (in a $\Delta tus \, rpoB^{*35}$ background) in which iSDR was provoked after engineering up to four I-SceI cleavage sites in the genome (I-SceI locations are schematically depicted and numbered with Roman numerals in Figure 1A; primer sequences for recombineering are in Supplementary Table S2). The derivatives also carried a chromosomal construct for Ara-induced expression of I-SceI enzyme (66). Previous studies have shown that <10% of wild-type cells that have suffered three discrete I-SceI cleavages on the chromosome retain their viability (67), which was also confirmed in our work (see Figure 1C).

The results from these experiments, performed on Arasupplemented media, indicate that $\Delta dnaA$ lethality is not rescued (i) even by four sites of I-SceI cleavage in a *recD*⁺strain (Figure 1Bii–v), nor (ii) by a $\Delta recD$ mutation by itself or in combination with a single site of I-SceI cleavage (Figure 1Bvi-vii, respectively); Dimude et al. (68) have also previously shown that a recD mutation fails to suppress lethality in a strain lacking DnaA function. On the other hand, rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality did occur in $\Delta recD$ mutants bearing two, three, or four I-SceI cleavage sites (Figure 1Bviii–x). Sustained viability of these $\Delta dnaA$ derivatives (white colonies) upon sub-culturing was contingent on supplementation of the growth medium with Ara (Figure 1D), although the proportion of viable cells in strains with three or four cleavage sites was low; a viable $\Delta dnaA rnhA$ (RNase HI-deficient) derivative (see Figure 8iii for a representative blue-white panel) was used as control on these plates (Figure 1D, top row).

Two-ended DSBs generated by radiomimetic agents also rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in $\Delta recD$ mutant

Radiomimetic agents such as Phleo and Bleo mediate scission of both DNA strands to generate two-ended DSBs (22,23). When these agents were tested at sublethal doses in the blue-white screening assay (in $recD^+$ or $\Delta recD$ strains that were $\Delta tus rpoB^*35$), $\Delta dnaA$ viability (that is, recovery of white colonies) was noted only with the combination of a $\Delta recD$ mutation and supplementation with Phleo or Bleo (Figure 2A, compare v-vi with i-iv). Rescue of $\Delta recD \ \Delta dnaA$ lethality on Phleo-supplemented medium was lost upon introduction of $recD^+$ on a plasmid, but not of the plasmid vector (Figure 2B). Rescue was also dependent on presence of both Δtus and $rpoB^*35$ mutations in the strain (Supplementary Figure S1A). Furthermore, as expected, the $\Delta recD$ white colonies obtained on Phleo-supplemented medium retained viability only on medium supplemented with sublethal Phleo (Figure 2C, bottom row; $\Delta dnaA \ rnhA$ colony was used as control, top row).

Accordingly, we conclude that a discrete number of two-ended DSBs, generated by either radiomimetic agents or site-specific endonucleolytic cleavages, are necessary and sufficient to rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in a $\Delta recD$ mutant.

Perturbations that generate one-ended DSBs do not rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in $\Delta recD$ strain

Perturbations that generate nicks or abasic sites in DNA lead subsequently to collapse or disintegration of replication forks and thereby to one-ended DSBs on the chromosome. Such perturbations include mutations in genes rdgB, polA or dut (12,69,70), or exposure to genotoxic agents such as norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, or mitomycin C (10,12,71). One- and two-ended DSBs are similar to one another in that (i) both provoke the SOS response and iSDR (4,63,72–74) and (ii) their repair is RecA- and RecBCD-dependent (9,12,14,19,74).

When one-ended DSB-generating perturbations were tested in a $\triangle recD$ mutant (carrying $\triangle tus$ and $rpoB^*35$ alleles), none was able to confer $\Delta dnaA$ lethality rescue (Figure 3A). For each of the exogenous genotoxic agents used, we showed that there is $>10^5$ -fold killing of cells of a $\triangle recA$ derivative at the same concentration (Supplementary Figure S2A; Phleo supplementation was used as positive control in this experiment), implying that DSBs are indeed being generated in all cells under these conditions (12). As expected, the $\Delta recD$ derivative was as tolerant as the wild-type parent to all the agents (Supplementary Figure S2A). Measurements of β -galactosidase specific activity, in derivatives bearing an SOS-responsive sulA-lac fusion (75), indicated that the magnitude of SOS induction by one-ended and twoended DSB damage was similar (around 4-fold), and that this was true for both wild-type and $\Delta recD$ strains (Figure 3B).

The results therefore indicate that one- and two-ended DSBs behave dissimilarly in the SDR assay with $\Delta recD$ strains. Furthermore, they suggest that iSDR is necessary but not by itself sufficient for rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in $\Delta recD$ mutants, since neither one-ended DSBs, nor a twoended DSB generated at a single I-SceI cleavage site, confer viability to a $\Delta recD \Delta dnaA$ derivative although they too elicit iSDR (4,63,72,73).

Rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality by a combination of $\Delta recD$ and $\Delta recJ$ mutations

We then asked whether any other single gene mutation, which by itself cannot rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality, can do so in combination with $\Delta recD$. Of a variety of candidate genes tested, a $\Delta recJ$ mutation (associated with deficiency of RecJ 5' ss-DNA exonuclease) was shown to synergize with $\Delta recD$ to confer viability to a $\Delta dnaA$ mutant (Figure 4A, compare iii with i-ii; see also Figure 1D, row 2); the phenotype was complementable by plasmids expressing RecD or RecJ, but not by the empty vector (Figure 4B, compare ii-iii with i). On the other hand, the combination of $\Delta recD$ with loss of any one of the following DNA nucleases Exo I, Exo VII, or SbcCD (encoded by xonA, xseA and sbcCD, respectively) could not rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality (Supplementary Figure S1B).

Suppression of $\Delta dnaA$ inviability, both in the $\Delta recD$ $\Delta recJ$ strain and in $\Delta recD$ with Phleo, was abolished upon introduction of a *priA300* mutation that leads to loss of helicase activity of PriA (Supplementary Figure S3i–ii); PriA helicase may regulate abnormal replication restart in certain mutant backgrounds (34,52,76), but it is also postu-



Figure 1. Rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality by I-SceI cleavages in $\Delta recD$ mutant. (A) Schematic depiction of locations on *E. coli* chromosome of different I-SceI sites used in the study (maroon, marked I to V); also shown are positions of *oriC*, terminus region flanked by *TerA* and *TerB/C*, and the seven *rrn* operons (blue arrows). (B) Blue-white assays for $recD^+$ and $\Delta recD$ derivatives each carrying the indicated number of I-SceI sites (positions denoted in parentheses); all strains (i) were $\Delta dnaA$ with $dnaA^+$ shelter plasmid pHYD2388, (ii) carried the gene encoding I-SceI enzyme under control of P_{ara} , and (iii) were plated on Ara-supplemented medium. Representative images are shown, and the numbers beneath each of the panels indicate the percentage of white colonies to the total, that is, viable even in absence of $dnaA^+$ shelter plasmid (minimum of 500 colonies counted). Examples of white colonies are marked by yellow arrows. Strains employed for the different sub-panels were pHYD2388 derivatives of (all strain numbers are prefixed with GJ): i, 16079; ii, 15857; iv, 15864; vi, 16080; vii, 15888; viii, 15892; ix, 15899. (C, D) Dilution-spotting assays on plates supplemented with 0.2% glucose (Glu) or Ara, of blue or white colonies sourced from plates of panel B; for the top two rows on the plates of panel D, the white colonies were sourced from plates shown, respectively, in Figure 8iii and Figure 4iii.



Figure 2. Rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality by $\Delta recD$ in presence of sublethal Phleo or Bleo. (**A**, **B**) Blue-white assay results with pHYD2388 derivatives of GJ18607 ($recD^+$) or GJ15935 ($\Delta recD$) are depicted as described in legend to Figure 1B, on plates without or with Phleo or Bleo supplementation. In panel B, the $\Delta recD$ strain also carried the ASKA plasmid vector (pCA24N) or the latter's $recD^+$ derivative. (**C**) Dilution-spotting assays on medium without or with Phleo supplementation, of white colonies from plates shown in Figure 8iii (for top row) and Figure 2Av (for bottom row).

lated to be required for one or more of the redundant restart pathways in a wild-type strain (9,19,20) and its loss is correlated with compromised SDR (77). Another candidate mutation that was tested $\Delta dinG$ also abolished viability of $\Delta dnaA$ both in the $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$ strain and in $\Delta recD$ on Phleo-supplemented medium (Supplementary Figure S3iii– iv). The DinG helicase is required to facilitate progression of replisomes when they are in head-on conflict with *rrn* operon transcription (such conflicts are expected in SDR), presumably by catalyzing removal of RNA-DNA hybrids generated at the sites of conflict (24,78); we could show that RNase HI overexpression (achieved from an ectopic chromosomal P_{ara} -rnhA construct) restores $\Delta dnaA$ rescue in the $\Delta dinG$ derivatives above (Supplementary Figure S3vii–viii), whereas the control construct lacking rnhA was unable to do so (Supplementary Figure S3v–vi).



Figure 3. $\Delta dnaA$ lethality is not rescued in $\Delta recD$ strain by perturbations generating one-ended DSBs. (A) Blue-white assay results are depicted, as described in legend to Figure 1B, for $\Delta recD$ derivatives subjected to one-ended DSBs through either additional mutations (panels i-iii) or exposure to genotoxic agents (panels iv-vi), as indicated. Concentrations used were (μ g/ml): ciprofloxacin, 0.005; norfloxacin, 0.02; and mitomycin C, 1. Strains used for the different panels were pHYD2388 derivatives of the following (all strain numbers are prefixed with GJ): i, 15939; ii, 15940; iii, 16082; and iv-vi, 15936. (B) β -Galactosidase specific activity (Miller units \pm SE) in *sulA-lac* strains GJ16091 (*recD*⁺) and GJ16092 ($\Delta recD$) without and with exposure to agents causing one-ended DSBs (norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin or mitomycin C at concentrations indicated above) or two-ended DSBs (Phleo or Bleo).



Figure 4. Rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality by $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$. (**A**, **B**) Blue-white assay results are depicted, as described in legend to Figure 1B, for derivatives whose relevant genotypes are given on top (all were $\Delta dnaA/dnaA^+$). Strains used for different sub-panels of panel A were pHYD2388 derivatives of the following (all strain numbers are prefixed with GJ): i, 15936; ii, 16083; iii, 15949; and iv, 16084. In panel B, the pHYD2388 derivative of $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$ strain GJ15949 also carried the ASKA plasmid vector (pCA24N) or the latter's $recD^+$ or $recJ^+$ derivative.

No evidence for spontaneous DSBs in recD recJ mutant

Our findings that $\Delta dnaA$ lethality rescue is achieved with multiple two-ended DSBs in $\Delta recD$, and separately so by combined loss of both RecD and RecJ, raised the question whether occurrence of spontaneous two-ended DSBs is responsible for the phenotype in the latter. A number of studies have shown that viability of a *recD recJ* mutant is more or less similar to that of the wild-type parent in absence of exposure to DNA damaging agents; however, it is moderately defective for homologous recombination during conjugation or transduction, and it is very sensitive to DNA damage by various exogenous agents as also with the *dut-1* mutation (79–85).

By employing a $recA^+$ shelter plasmid in the blue-white screening assay, we could show that loss of RecA does not confer lethality or even any greater sickness in the $\Delta recD$ $\Delta recJ$ derivative than in the wild-type or cognate single mutant strains [Supplementary Figure S2B, compare relative sizes of blue ($recA^+$) and white ($\Delta recA$) colonies in different panels]. This serves to exclude occurrence of spontaneous DSBs in the $\Delta recD$ $\Delta recJ$ mutant, since a recAmutant cell is killed with even one DSB on the chromosome (12,13,42,53,86). The triple mutant *recD recJ recA* of *Salmonella typhimurium* is also viable (84). It would appear, therefore, that the combination of *recD* and *recJ* mutations does not by itself cause damage to DNA, although it does render cells deficient for repair of DNA lesions generated by genotoxic perturbations.

On the other hand, rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality was not observed in the triple mutant $\Delta recD \ \Delta recJ \ \Delta recA$ (Figure 4 iv), indicating that SDR in the $\Delta recD \ \Delta recJ$ strain is RecA-dependent.

Demonstration by flow cytometry of SDR in $\Delta recD$ derivatives

In the flow cytometric method for SDR detection, incorporation of the nucleotide analog EdU (as detected with Alexa Fluor 488 staining) is used as a measure of DNA synthesis in individual cells after protein synthesis is inhibited by addition of spectinomycin (36,59). The experiments were performed in $\Delta tus rpoB^*35$ derivatives of different strains; we did not, however, employ the method for cultures that had been subjected to overt DNA damage (such as with Phleo or Bleo exposure, or I-SceI cleavage), since such damage is known to trigger iSDR (4).

EdU incorporation in absence of spectinomycin was uniformly high for all the strains tested (Supplementary Figure S4). Following spectinomycin treatment, the proportion of cells exhibiting DNA synthesis above threshold was (in percent): wild-type (negative control), 6; *rnhA* (positive control), 88; $\Delta recJ$, 29; $\Delta recD$, 36; $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$, 85; and $\Delta recD$ $\Delta recJ \Delta recA$, 25 (Figure 5 i–vi, respectively). Of these, as described above, it is only the $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$ derivative that is viable in absence of DnaA, and its EdU positivity was comparable to that in the positive control.

For the $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$ mutant, we also determined the proportion of EdU-positive cells after spectinomycin treatment of the *tus*⁺ *rpoB*⁺ derivative, in order to assess the quantitative contribution to SDR of the mutations in these genes (Figure 5, vii). At 45%, this proportion was approximately one-half of that in the isogenic $\Delta tus rpoB^*35$ strain.

Taken together, these data indicate that (i) substantial SDR occurs even in a $\Delta recD$ mutant without overt DNA damage; (ii) in a $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$ mutant, loss of RecA is associated with reduction of biochemical SDR, which is consistent with the genetic assay results that the triple mutant does not support $\Delta dnaA$ viability; and (iii) presence of Δtus and $rpoB^*35$ mutations is correlated with increased EdU incorporation in cells inhibited for protein synthesis. The last observation serves to re-affirm the proposal (34) that replication initiated from sites other than *oriC* is impeded for its progression around the chromosome by Tus-bound *Ter* sites and by conflicts between transcription and replication. Our data also support the notion advanced earlier (4) that the genetic assay imposes a far more stringent threshold for detection of SDR than do the biochemical assays.

Genome-wide DNA copy number determinations in $\Delta recD$ derivatives with Phleo supplementation or with $\Delta recJ$ mutation

As mentioned above, replication initiation from *oriC* in asynchronously growing cultures is characterized by a bidirectional *oriC*-to-*Ter* gradient of copy number distributions, with this pattern being disturbed in mutants exhibiting SDR (5). We performed WGS experiments in different $\Delta recD$ or $\Delta recD$ $\Delta recJ$ derivatives to determine, at the population level, the nature of such alterations and thus to obtain insights into SDR mechanisms operating in them.

Given that rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality, as a manifestation of SDR, had been observed in this study for the $\Delta recD$ mutant with Phleo and for the double mutant $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$, the WGS experiments were performed for both these situations, using the $dnaA^+$ tus⁺ $rpoB^+$ derivatives; the control cultures were the wild-type strain as well as its single mutant $\Delta recJ$ and $\Delta recD$ derivatives (all $dnaA^+$ tus⁺ $rpoB^+$, without Phleo). Copy number distribution by WGS were also determined for the cultures that were viable in absence of DnaA (that is, $\Delta dnaA \Delta tus rpoB^*35 \Delta recD$ with Phleo, and $\Delta dnaA \Delta tus rpoB^*35 \Delta recD$ as well as for their cognate $dnaA^+$ derivatives.

The cultures for wild-type as well as its $\triangle recD$ and $\triangle recJ$ single mutant derivatives exhibited the expected bidirec-

tional *oriC*-to-*Ter* gradient in their DNA copy number distributions (Figure 6 i-ii, and Supplementary Figure S5B, respectively). As mentioned above, one copy number feature that is common across several perturbations which serve to restore viability in absence of DnaA function, is presence in the cognate $dnaA^+$ cultures of a 'mid-terminus peak' [that is, an increased copy number of DNA of the terminus region in $dnaA^+$ tus⁺ rpoB⁺ derivatives (32–37,58,62,65)]. We have previously suggested that the mid-terminus peak represents a population averaging of copy number contributions from superimposed aberrantly initiated replication forks that have then been trapped between the Tus-bound Ter sites of the terminus (5). We note that this feature was preserved also for the two sets of perturbations ($\Delta recD$ with Phleo, and $\triangle recD \triangle recJ$) that were correlated with SDR in the present study (Figure 6, iii–iv, respectively). The peak was not observed in the isogenic $\Delta tus rpoB^*35$ derivatives (Figure 6 v-vi, respectively), which is consistent with the proposal (33,34) that it arises because of fork-trapping at *Ter* sites to which Tus is bound; the copy number gradient in these derivatives was also flattened which, as discussed below, supports the notion that SDR in these cells is sufficiently robust to counteract the oriC-directed copy number gradient averaged from the population.

For the pair of $\Delta dnaA$ cultures (which were also Δtus rpoB*35), absence of an oriC peak was as expected; the copy number distribution was more or less flat across the genome in the $\Delta recD$ derivative cultured with sublethal Phleo (Figure 6 vii), whereas that in the $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$ mutant exhibited a broad peak in the vicinity of the terminus region (Figure 6 viii). In the Discussion below, these patterns are interpreted in the context of different sites of postulated aberrant replication initiation in the cultures.

Genome-wide DNA copy number determinations in $\Delta recD$ derivatives with site-specific two-ended DSBs

Since we had identified that $\Delta dnaA$ lethality can be rescued in a $\Delta recD$ strain also by imposition of two-ended DSBs at two or more discrete sites through I-SceI cleavage (in presence of Δtus and $rpoB^*35$ mutations), we determined by WGS the DNA copy number distributions in four of these $\Delta dnaA$ -suppressed derivatives: three bearing different combinations of dual I-SceI sites (of which site II near *dusA* was common and the second numbered site I, III, or V was near *yihQ*, *yaiZ*, and *nadB*, respectively), and one with three I-SceI sites (II, IV and V; site IV being near *yddT*). All cultures were grown in continuous presence of Ara since this was a requirement for their viability.

As controls, $dnaA^+$ derivatives of the $recD^+$ and $\Delta recD$ strains each carrying two I-SceI cleavage sites (II and V) were used in the WGS experiments after growth in continuous presence of Ara (both were also Δtus and $rpoB^*35$). Previous studies have shown that sustained cleavage in the wild-type strain at a single chromosomal I-SceI site results in attainment of an equilibrium between DNA resection on the one hand and DNA repair on the other, such that an asymmetric V-shaped pattern of reduction in DNA copy number is generated around the cleavage site; this dip in copy number extends to approximately 100 kb towards oriCand 200 kb towards Ter (53,86).



Figure 5. Demonstration by flow cytometry of SDR in $\triangle recD \ \triangle recJ$ mutants. DNA synthesis was measured as described (29,45), for different strains (genotype given on top of each panel) after addition of spectinomycin to the cultures. In each panel, the percentage of cells in which the fluorescence value exceeded the threshold (denoted by vertical line) set at 2×10^3 arbitrary units (A.U.) is given at top right. Strains used for the different panels were (all strain numbers are prefixed with GJ): i, 18609; ii, 15981; iii, 15985; iv, 15984; v, 15986; vi, 15987; and vii, 15979.

The results from these population-level DNA copy number determinations are shown in Figure 7. In the $dnaA^+$ $recD^+$ control strain (Figure 7 i), copy number reductions were observed in the vicinity of both I-SceI cleavage sites II and V, but its magnitude was apparently less than that described in the previous studies for a single site of cleavage (53,86); it is possible that either cleavage by I-SceI, or resection by RecBCD, becomes rate-limiting with more than one I-SceI site on the chromosome. In the $dnaA^+ \Delta recD$ derivative (Figure 7 ii), copy number reductions at the I-SceI sites (II and V) were less than those in the $recD^+$ control, which is similar to that also described earlier for single-site cleavage (86).

In the $\Delta dnaA \Delta recD$ cultures rendered viable by I-SceI cleavages at various sites, DNA copy number patterns were consistent with the idea that aberrant replication was being initiated in the vicinity of the cleavage sites. Thus, in a derivative with the dual cleavage sites II and V (Figure 7 iii), two distinct copy number peaks were observed, whereas in that with sites II and III (Figure 7 iv), a broad peak was located approximately midway between the two loci; and in a derivative with sites I and II (which are very close to one another, as also to *oriC*), the copy number gradient resembled that for *oriC*-initiated replication itself (Figure 7 v). Finally, in a $\Delta dnaA$ strain with three I-SceI sites (II, IV, V) that were somewhat evenly separated on the chromosome, the copy number distribution was approximately flat across the genome (Figure 7 vi), which is reminiscent of the pattern

previously reported in derivatives of the archaeon *Haloferax volcanii* in which all replication origins were deleted, and chromosome duplication was achieved through DNA synthesis triggered by homologous recombination (87). The interpretations from these data with respect to the mechanism of SDR in absence of RecD are provided in the Discussion.

Effect of chromosome linearization on rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in $\Delta recD$ mutants

E. coli cells whose chromosome has been linearized in its terminus region by use of the phage N15-*tos* system retain viability without any obvious fitness deficit (60). In the context of SDR, it has been suggested (based on studies with N15-*tos*) that when the source of aberrant replication initiation is itself in the terminus region (as has been postulated for *recG* or 3'-exonuclease mutants), viability in absence of DnaA function is exhibited only if the chromosome is circular (32,34,37); on the other hand, RNase HI deficiency confers viability even in linear chromosome derivatives lacking DnaA (32).

With the aid of the blue-white screening assay, the effect of chromosome linearization by N15-tos on rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in different derivatives was tested. Our results support earlier findings (32,34) that $\Delta dnaA$ lethality rescue (in a $\Delta tus \ rpoB^*35$ background) by $\Delta rnhA$ is retained but that by $\Delta recG$ is abolished after chromosome linearization (Figure 8, compare, respectively, iii with iv and v



Figure 6. Chromosomal DNA copy number analysis by WGS. Distinguishing strain genotypes and Phleo supplementation were as indicated on top of the panels. DNA copy numbers are plotted (after normalization) as moving averages across the genome. For these graphical representations, the circular 4642-kb long chromosome is shown linearized at *oriC*, with genome coordinates on the abscissa corresponding to the MG1655 reference sequence (wherein *oriC* is at 3926 kb); the positions of *TerA* and *TerC/B* are marked. Strains used for the different panels were (all strain numbers are prefixed with GJ): i, 18601, ii–iii, 15988; iv, 15984; vi, 15986; vii, 15936; and viii, 15949.

with vi); they also show that with the linear chromosome constructs, $\Delta recD$ with Phleo, but not $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$, is able to confer $\Delta dnaA$ viability (Figure 8, compare, respectively, vii with viii and ix with x).

DISCUSSION

The roles of the dual function RecBCD helicase-nuclease for recombinational repair of one-ended and two-ended DSBs have been well characterized; the enzyme catalyzes resection of the DSB end and then mediates formation of a RecA nucleoprotein filament on ss-DNA (12–17). RecBCD's actions have also been implicated in the handling of reversed replication forks (88,89) as well as in fidelity of chromosomal terminus region inheritance (90–93).

In comparison to the RecBCD enzyme, the RecBC complex (without RecD subunit) is attenuated for nuclease activity, even as it retains helicase activity and is proficient for DSB repair (12,17). Reduced resection activity on ds-DNA ends in a *recD* mutant has recently been confirmed by in vivo imaging experiments (94), and this property underpins several phenotypes of *recD* mutants such as (i) generation of linear plasmid multimers (62,95,96), and (ii) ability to integrate linear DNA fragments in chromosomal recombineering (97,98).

Chromosomal DNA over-replication in recD mutants has also been recorded earlier in two situations, namely in the chromosomal terminus region (62,64) and in the vicinity of a DSB generated at an engineered palindromic sequence on the lagging strand template behind a replication fork (64). For the latter, a model to explain the phenomenon of DNA amplification in the $\Delta recD$ mutant has been proposed that invokes uncoordinated invasion of the two DSB ends into an intact sister chromosome (64). The present study demonstrates that DNA over-replication in cells attenuated for RecBCD's nuclease activity can indeed be sufficient to confer viability in absence of *oriC*-dependent replication, as further discussed below.

Suppression of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in $\Delta recD$ mutants, and its relationship to iSDR

We have shown here that in strains carrying the Δtus and $rpoB^*35$ mutations, $\Delta dnaA$ inviability is suppressed by a $\Delta recD$ mutation in two situations: (i) when two-ended DSBs are generated, either with sublethal Phleo or Bleo or by two or more site-specific endonucleolytic cleavages mediated by I-SceI; or (ii) in absence of another DNA exonuclease RecJ. The former suppression is exhibited in strains with a circular or a linear chromosome, whereas the latter occurs only in strains with a circular chromosome. One-ended DSBs in the $\Delta recD$ mutant do not support $\Delta dnaA$ viability.

If the phenotypes above are viewed in context of iSDR, a reasonable interpretation would be that iSDR is responsible for $\Delta dnaA$ -independent survival following generation of two or more two-ended DSBs in a $\Delta recD$ mutant. Asai *et al.* (99) have shown that the magnitude of iSDR is elevated in *recD* or *recJ* single mutants following DNA damage.

Nevertheless, iSDR may by itself not be a sufficient explanation for $\Delta dnaA$ suppression in the $\Delta recD$ strain, since



Genome coordinate (Mb)

Figure 7. Chromosomal copy number distributions, as determined by WGS, in $\Delta dnaA \Delta recD$ strains rendered viable by I-SceI cleavages. Distinguishing strain genotypes are indicated on top of the panels, and Roman numerals within parentheses denote the distinct I-SceI sites as shown in Figure 1A; all strains also carried the construct for Ara-inducible expression of I-SceI enzyme, and were cultured in continuous presence of Ara for these experiments. Representations of WGS analysis data and notations used are as in the legend to Figure 6; positions of I-SceI sites are also marked in each of the panels. Strains used for the different panels were (all strain numbers are prefixed with GJ): i, 15857/pHYD2388; ii, 15892/pHYD2388; iii, 15892; iv, 15889; v, 15890; and vi, 15896.



Figure 8. Effect of chromosome linearization on rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality by different perturbations. Blue-white assay results are depicted, as described in legend to Figure 1B, for isogenic pairs of circular- and linear-chromosome strains (upper and lower rows, respectively) with relevant perturbations as indicated on top. The proportion of white colonies to total was consistently elevated in *rnhA* mutants compared to that in other strains, the reasons for which remain to be determined. Strains used for the different panels were pHYD2388 derivatives of the following (all strain numbers are prefixed with GJ): i, 18607; ii, 15993; iii, 16081; iv, 15995; v, 16464; vi, 15999; vii, 15936; viii, 16001; ix, 15949; and x, 16003.



Figure 9. Model to explain SDR in strains lacking RecD and RecJ. (A) Schematic depiction of converging replication forks in chromosomal terminus region. Positions and directions of replisome progression (in all panels) are marked by black arrows. The two replichore pairs are designated as 1L-1R and 2L-2R, respectively; and the letters E through P are used to denote different segments of the *oriC*-distal chromosomal region. (**B**) Zoom-in of the replicated terminus region of panel A, wherein disposition and polarity of DNA strands is also shown. (**C**) Progression of components of one replisome past those of the other is postulated to result, ultimately, in generation of a 5'-flap (red) on the opposite lagging strand (shown as a mirror-symmetric pair, although it is possible that the two individual events occur in different sub-populations of cells). (**D**, **E**) Assembly of replication restart machinery at the fork structures of panel C results in replisome establishment for Okazaki fragment synthesis and initiation of a type of rolling-circle (σ -mode) replication. (**F**) Homologous recombination of the linear extruded duplex (marked by the green oval in panel E) with a sister chromosome to establish a second replisome for *oriC*- and DnaA-independent replication. Please see text for details.

one-ended DSBs (or a single two-ended DSB) are unable to suppress $\Delta dnaA$ lethality although they too provoke iSDR (4,63,72,73); our results also indicate that SOS induction in a $\Delta recD$ mutant following one- or two-ended DSBs is quantitatively similar. Furthermore, for the double mutant $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$, RecA-independence for viability suggests that its DNA has not suffered any damage, and therefore that rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality in this situation is unrelated to iSDR.

Model of rolling-circle replication at merger sites of convergent replication forks

The model which we propose to explain the findings above draws on those outlined earlier by the groups of Courcelle (62,93,100,101) and of Rudolph (34,37,102). In wild-type *E. coli*, the common feature between chromosomal terminus region replication on the one hand and ends-in replication during two-ended DSB repair on the other is that of convergent replication forks which subsequently merge; such fork mergers are not a feature of one-ended DSB repair. An example of such converging fork configurations at the chromosomal terminus region is depicted in Figure 9A, B, but equivalent structures would also occur at sites of two-ended DSB repair.

We suggest that such converging replisomes may cross one another, as was postulated earlier by the Rudolph and Courcelle labs (37,93) and has also been shown to occur in eukaryotic systems (103). We further propose that this ultimately results in a structure in which an extended leading strand has displaced the lagging strand of the opposite fork to create a 5' flap, as shown in Figure 9C.

Should this model be correct, the intermediate processing steps between the structures depicted in panels B and C of Figure 9 would remain to be determined, as too the roles if any of different DNA helicases (DnaB, RecG, PriA), DNA polymerases (I, III) and DNA topoisomerases (gyrase, topoisomerases III and IV) in mediating these events. It has been suggested earlier that when replisomes cross one another at a site of fork convergence, 3' flaps are initially generated which can then be converted to 5' flaps by action of RecG helicase (34,37).

According to our model, the 5' flap that is generated then serves as template for synthesis of Okazaki fragments (Figure 9D), similar to that occurring during rolling circle replication of λ phage in the late phase of its lytic cycle (104,105); this would require replication restart mechanisms mediated by PriA/B/C to aid in replisome assembly at the 3-way junction. The linear DNA duplex so generated will ordinarily be rapidly degraded by RecBCD nuclease in a wild-type strain; however, in a $\Delta recD$ mutant which exhibits attenuated nuclease activity on ds-DNA ends (12,17,94,97,98), it is expected both to be reasonably stable and to undergo further extension (as shown in Figure 9E). Thus, the 'driver' of *oriC*- and DnaA-independent replication under these conditions is establishment of rolling-circle replication at a site where a pair of converging replication forks merge.

The linear duplex that is generated by rolling-circle replication may also undergo homologous recombination with a circular sister chromosome within the cell, which is especially likely to be favoured in *recD* mutants since the latter are hyper-recombinogenic (85). Such an event would then set up a second 'driver' of DnaA-independent chromosomal replication (Figure 9F), which together with the first 'driver' of rolling-circle replication described above will mediate bidirectional replication of chromosomal DNA from a site of fork merger (note locations and opposing directions of replisome progression in panels E and F of Figure 9). Our findings in the WGS studies, of bidirectional gradient of DNA copy number from I-SceI cleavage sites, may accordingly be explained.

Bidirectionality of replication is also implicit from the mirror-symmetric disposition of the pair of 5'-flap structures that are postulated at sites of fork merger (as shown in Figure 9C). However, it is quite likely that this component of bidirectionality is merely a population phenomenon, and that it may not be a simultaneous occurrence in individual cells.

Our model would also explain several other observations from this and earlier studies. (i) Since the 5' flap is susceptible to RecJ-mediated degradation (which can happen prior to synthesis of the first Okazaki fragment), the extrusion process will be expected to be more efficient in a $\Delta recD$ $\Delta recJ$ mutant. Consequently, such synthesis from the chromosomal terminus region alone may be sufficient to support $\Delta dnaA$ viability in this mutant whereas in a $\Delta recD$ $recJ^+$ strain, additional converging fork pairs (at sites of two-ended DSB repair) would also be required for the purpose. (ii) As a corollary, since the locus of additional DNA synthesis is restricted to the terminus region of $\Delta recD \Delta recJ$ mutants, it is rendered sensitive to chromosome linearization (32,34,37); on the other hand, since such synthesis is distributed across several sites in $\Delta recD$ mutants that have suffered two-ended DSBs, rescue of $\Delta dnaA$ lethality is observed even in the linear chromosome derivatives. (iii) The same features would also explain, respectively, the terminus region peak and flattened DNA copy number distribution patterns in cultures of $\Delta dnaA \Delta recD \Delta recJ$ and $\Delta dnaA$ $\Delta recD$ with Phleo. (iv) Progression of many of the 'driver' replisomes would occur in a retrograde *Ter*-to-oriC direction, necessitating presence of the Δtus and $rpoB^*35$ mutations to achieve replication of the entire chromosome in absence of DnaA. (v) Abolition of $\Delta dnaA$ rescue in $\Delta recD$ derivatives with priA300 mutation can be related to compromise by the latter of one or more replication restart pathways (9,19,20). Abolition by $\Delta recA$ can probably be explained by the need for homologous recombination both to establish the second 'driver' of DnaA-independent replication as described above and to generate intact circular chromosomes from the newly replicated DNA regions; furthermore, any retrograde progression of the replisome (towards *oriC*) in these cells is likely to be impeded by frequent fork reversal events (89), whose resolution in $\Delta recD$ strains is known to be RecA-dependent (52,88).

Leach and coworkers had used a strain in which SbcCD overexpression leads to cleavage of a palindrome on the lagging strand behind a replication fork to show that such cleavage leads in a $\Delta recD$ mutant to localized DNA amplification (64). In $recD^+$ strains, the palindrome cleavage is expected to generate a one-ended DSB (since the *Ter*-proximal end would be resected back to the fork by RecBCD nuclease), whereas in a $\Delta recD$ mutant the consequence will be a two-ended DSB (106). Our model for ends-in replication outcomes in a $\Delta recD$ mutant would therefore account for their observations.

In summary, therefore, our proposal is that RecBCD's ds-DNA end-resection activity is critically important to control rolling-circle over-replication at merger sites of converging replication forks in vivo. Models for chromosomal rolling-circle replication have also been proposed earlier in related but not identical contexts, by Kogoma *et al.* (99) for *recD* mutants (but not specifically at sites of fork merger) and by Marians *et al.* (107) for convergent replication forks in an *in vitro* system.

Role of other DNA nucleases

Apart from RecJ, three other DNA nucleases Exo I, Exo VII and SbcCD have been implicated in control of aberrant DnaA-independent chromosomal replication (37,79,108,109); the quadruple mutant is cold-sensitive for growth and is UV^S (109,110). We found that of these different DNA nucleases, it is RecJ deficiency alone that can singly synergize with $\Delta recD$ to rescue $\Delta dnaA$ lethality. It is likely that redundancy explains the failure of the other nucleases to do so. Rudolph, Lloyd and coworkers have previously suggested that Exo I, Exo VII and SbcCD play redundant roles as 3' ss-DNA exonucleases during the merger process of convergent replication forks in the terminus region (34,37,111), which may not be mutually exclusive with our model above.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The genome sequence and flow cytometry data described in this work are available for full public access from the repositories at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/842492 and https://flowrepository.org/id/FR-FCM-Z5F3, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at NAR Online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Olivier Espéli, Mohan Joshi and Susan Rosenberg for strains; Nalini Raghunathan and Apuratha Pandiyan for assistance with flow cytometry and WGS data analysis; Manjula Reddy, Abhijit Sardesai and R Harinarayanan for comments on the manuscript; and Christian Rudolph and COE team members for advice and discussions.

FUNDING

Government of India funds from DBT Centre of Excellence (COE) project for Microbial Biology – Phase 2, SERB project [CRG/2018/000348]; DBT project [BT/ PR34340/BRB/10/1815/2019]; S.G. was recipient of a CSIR Research fellowship; J.G. was recipient of the J.C. Bose fellowship and INSA Senior Scientist award. Funding for open access charge: Although the research work described in this manuscript has been supported by funds from projects as listed in the manuscript, there is no provision that these funds may be used for the payment of article publication charges.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ekundayo, B. and Bleichert, F. (2019) Origins of DNA replication. *PLoS Genet.*, **15**, e1008320.
- Katayama, T., Ozaki, S., Keyamura, K. and Fujimitsu, K. (2010) Regulation of the replication cycle: conserved and diverse regulatory systems for DnaA and *oriC. Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 8, 163–170.
- Mott,M.L. and Berger,J.M. (2007) DNA replication initiation: mechanisms and regulation in bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 5, 343–354.
- Kogoma, T. (1997) Stable DNA replication: interplay between DNA replication, homologous recombination, and transcription. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 61, 212–238.
- Gowrishankar, J. (2015) End of the beginning: elongation and termination features of alternative modes of chromosomal replication initiation in bacteria. *PLoS Genet.*, 11, e1004909.
- Reyes-Lamothe, R., Nicolas, E. and Sherratt, D.J. (2012) Chromosome replication and segregation in bacteria. *Ann. Rev. Genet.*, 46, 121–143.
- Neylon, C., Kralicek, A. V, Hill, T.M. and Dixon, N.E. (2005) replication termination in *Escherichia coli*: structure and antihelicase activity of the tus-ter complex. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 69, 501–526.
- Duggin, I.G., Wake, R.G., Bell, S.D. and Hill, T.M. (2008) The replication fork trap and termination of chromosome replication. *Mol. Microbiol.*, **70**, 1323–1333.
- Michel, B., Sinha, A.K. and Leach, D.R.F. (2018) Replication fork breakage and restart in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 82, e00013-18.
- 10. Kuzminov, A. (1995) Collapse and repair of replication forks in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.*, **16**, 373–384.
- Cox, M.M., Goodman, M.F., Kreuzer, K.N., Sherratt, D.J., Sandler, S.J. and Marians, K.J. (2000) The importance of repairing stalled replication forks. *Nature*, 404, 37–41.
- Kuzminov,A. (1999) Recombinational repair of DNA damage in Escherichia coli and bacteriophage λ. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 63, 751–813.
- Kuzminov, A. (2011) Homologous recombination—experimental systems, analysis, and significance. *EcoSal Plus*, 4, https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.7.2.6.
- Sinha,A.K., Possoz,C. and Leach,D.R.F. (2020) The roles of bacterial DNA double-strand break repair proteins in chromosomal DNA replication. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 44, 351–368.
- 15. Dermić, D. (2015) Double-strand break repair mechanisms in *Escherichia coli:* recent insights. *Adv. Genomics Genet.*, **5**, 35–42.
- Kowalczykowski,S.C. (2015) An overview of the molecular mechanisms of recombinational DNA repair. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect. Biol.*, 7, a0164410.
- Dillingham, M.S. and Kowalczykowski, S.C. (2008) RecBCD enzyme and the repair of double-stranded DNA breaks. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 72, 642–671.
- Smith,G.R. (2012) How RecBCD enzyme and chi promote DNA break repair and recombination: a molecular biologist's view. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 76, 217–228.
- Michel, B. and Sandler, S.J. (2017) Replication restart in bacteria. J. Bacteriol., 199, e00102-17.

- Windgassen, T.A., Wessel, S.R., Bhattacharyya, B. and Keck, J.L. (2018) Mechanisms of bacterial DNA replication restart. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46, 504–519.
- Simmons, L.A., Foti, J.J., Cohen, S.E. and Walker, G.C. (2008) The SOS regulatory network. *Eco. Sal. Plus.*, 3, https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.5.4.3.
- Suzuki, H., Nagai, K., Akutsu, E., Yamaki, H., Tanaka, N. and Umezawa, H. (1970) On the mechanism of action of bleomycin: strand scission of DNA cause by bleomycin and its binding to DNA *in vitro. J. Antibiot.*, 23, 473–480.
- Sleigh, M.J. (1976) The mechanism of DNA breakage by phleomycin in vitro. Nucleic Acids Res., 3, 891–901.
- Boubakri,H., de Septenville,A.L., Viguera,E. and Michel,B. (2010) The helicases DinG, rep and UvrD cooperate to promote replication across transcription units in vivo. *EMBO J.*, 29, 145–157.
- Ivanova, D., Taylor, T., Smith, S.L., Dimude, J.U., Upton, A.L., Mehrjouy, M.M., Skovgaard, O., Sherratt, D.J., Retkute, R. and Rudolph, C.J. (2015) Shaping the landscape of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome: replication-transcription encounters in cells with an ectopic replication origin. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 43, 7865–7877.
- Boccard, F., Esnault, E. and Valens, M. (2005) Spatial arrangement and macrodomain organization of bacterial chromosomes. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 57, 9–16.
- Esnault, E., Valens, M., Espèli, O. and Boccard, F. (2007) Chromosome structuring limits genome plasticity in *Escherichia coli*. *PLoS Genet.*, 3, e226.
- Bigot,S., Saleh,O.A., Lesterlin,C., Pages,C., el Karoui,M., Dennis,C., Grigoriev,M., Allemand,J.F., Barre,F.X. and Cornet,F. (2005) KOPS: DNA motifs that control *E. coli* chromosome segregation by orienting the FtsK translocase. *EMBO J.*, 24, 3770–3780.
- Sueoka, N. and Yoshikawa, H. (1965) The chromosome of *Bacillus subtilis*. I. Theory of marker frequency analysis. *Genetics*, 52, 747–757.
- Cooper,S. and Helmstetter,C.E. (1968) Chromosome replication and the division cycle of *Escherichia coli* B/r. J. Mol. Biol., 31, 519–540.
- Couturier, E. and Rocha, E.P.C. (2006) Replication-associated gene dosage effects shape the genomes of fast-growing bacteria but only for transcription and translation genes. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 59, 1506–1518.
- Dimude,J.U., Stockum,A., Midgley-Smith,S.L., Upton,A.L., Foster,H.A., Khan,A., Saunders,N.J., Retkute,R. and Rudolph,C.J. (2015) The consequences of replicating in the wrong orientation: bacterial chromosome duplication without an active replication origin. *Mbio*, 6, e01294-15.
- Maduike, N.Z., Tehranchi, A.K., Wang, J.D. and Kreuzer, K.N. (2014) Replication of the *Escherichia coli* chromosome in RNase HI-deficient cells: multiple initiation regions and fork dynamics. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 91, 39–56.
- Rudolph,C.J., Upton,A.L., Stockum,A., Nieduszynski,C.A. and Lloyd,R.G. (2013) Avoiding chromosome pathology when replication forks collide. *Nature*, 500, 608–612.
- Leela,J.K., Raghunathan,N. and Gowrishankar,J. (2021) Topoisomerase I essentiality, dnaa-independent chromosomal replication, and transcription-replication conflict in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.*, 203, e00195-21.
- Raghunathan, N., Goswami, S., Leela, J.K., Pandiyan, A. and Gowrishankar, J. (2019) A new role for *Escherichia coli* dam DNA methylase in prevention of aberrant chromosomal replication. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47, 5698–5711.
- Midgley-Smith,S.L., Dimude,J.U. and Rudolph,C.J. (2019) A role for 3' exonucleases at the final stages of chromosome duplication in *Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47, 1847–1860.
- Lovett,S.T. (2013) The DNA exonucleases of *Escherichia coli*. *EcoSal Plus*, 4, https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.4.4.7.
- Chase, J.W. and Richardson, C.C. (1974) Exonuclease VII of Escherichia coli mechanism of action. J. Biol. Chem., 249, 4553–4561.
- Connelly,J.C., Kirkham,L.A. and Leach,D.R.F. (1998) The SbcCD nuclease of *Escherichia coli* is a structural maintenance of chromosomes (SMC) family protein that cleaves hairpin DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 95, 7969–7974.

- Connelly, J.C., de Leau, E.S. and Leach, D.R.F. (1999) DNA cleavage and degradation by the SbcCD protein complex from *Escherichia coli*. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 27, 1039–1046.
- Eykelenboom, J.K., Blackwood, J.K., Okely, E. and Leach, D.R.F. (2008) SbcCD causes a double-strand break at a DNA palindrome in the *Escherichia coli* chromosome. *Mol. Cell*, 29, 644–651.
- McGlynn,P. and Lloyd,R.G. (2000) Modulation of RNA polymerase by ppGpp reveals a recg-dependent mechanism for replication fork progression. *Cell*, 101, 35–45.
- 44. Trautinger, B.W., Jaktaji, R.P., Rusakova, E. and Lloyd, R.G. (2005) RNA polymerase modulators and DNA repair activities resolve conflicts between DNA replication and transcription. *Mol. Cell*, 19, 247–258.
- Dutta,D., Shatalin,K., Epshtein,V., Gottesman,M.E. and Nudler,E. (2011) Linking RNA polymerase backtracking to genome instability in *E. coli. Cell*, 146, 533–543.
- Kamarthapu, V., Epshtein, V., Benjamin, B., Proshkin, S., Mironov, A., Cashel, M. and Nudler, E. (2016) ppGpp couples transcription to DNA repair in *E. coli.Science*, 352, 993–996.
- 47. Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y., Baba, M., Datsenko, K.A., Tomita, M., Wanner, B.L. and Mori, H. (2006) Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the keio collection. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, 2, 2006.0008.
- Datsenko,K.A. and Wanner,B.L. (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 97, 6640–6645.
- Kitagawa, M., Ara, T., Arifuzzaman, M., Ioka-Nakamichi, T., Inamoto, E., Toyonaga, H. and Mori, H. (2005) Complete set of ORF clones of *Escherichia coli* ASKA library (A complete set of *E. coli* K-12 ORF archive): unique resources for biological research. *DNA Res.*, 12, 291–299.
- Miller, J.H. (1992) In: A Short Course in Bacterial Genetics: A Laboratory Manual and Handbook for Escherichia coli and Related Bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Lab Press, NY.
- Anupama, K., Leela, J.K. and Gowrishankar, J. (2011) Two pathways for RNase E action in *Escherichia coliin vivo* and bypass of its essentiality in mutants defective for Rho-dependent transcription termination. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 82, 1330–1348.
- 52. Mahdi,A.A., Buckman,C., Harris,L. and Lloyd,R.G. (2006) Rep and PriA helicase activities prevent RecA from provoking unnecessary recombination during replication fork repair. *Genes Dev.*, **20**, 2135–2147.
- Mallikarjun, J. and Gowrishankar, J. (2022) Essential role for an isoform of *Escherichia coli* translation initiation factor IF2 in repair of two-ended DNA double-strand breaks. *J. Bacteriol*, 204, e0057121.
- Langmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with bowtie 2. Nat. Methods, 9, 357–359.
- 55. Danecek, P., Bonfield, J.K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M.O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S.A., Davies, R.M. *et al.* (2021) Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*, **10**, giab008.
- Robinson,J.T., Thorvaldsdottir,H., Winckler,W., Guttman,M., Lander,E.S., Getz,G. and Mesirov,J.P. (2011) IGV. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 29, 24–26.
- Gowrishankar, J. (1985) Identification of osmoresponsive genes in Escherichia coli: evidence for participation of potassium and proline transport systems in osmoregulation. J. Bacteriol., 164, 434–445.
- Brochu, J., Vlachos-Breton, É., Sutherland, S., Martel, M. and Drolet, M. (2018) Topoisomerases I and III inhibit R-loop formation to prevent unregulated replication in the chromosomal Ter region of *Escherichia coli. PLoS Genet.*, 14, e1007668.
- Martel, M., Balleydier, A., Sauriol, A. and Drolet, M. (2015) Constitutive stable DNA replication in *Escherichia coli* cells lacking type 1A topoisomerase activity. *DNA Repair (Amst).*, 35, 37–47.
- Cui, T., Moro-oka, N., Ohsumi, K., Kodama, K., Ohshima, T., Ogasawara, N., Mori, H., Wanner, B., Niki, H. and Horiuchi, T. (2007) *Escherichia coli* with a linear genome. *EMBO Rep.*, 8, 181–187.
- Sambrook, J. and Russell, D. (2001) In: *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. 3rd edn., Cold Spring Harbor Lab Press, NY.
- Wendel, B.M., Courcelle, C.T. and Courcelle, J. (2014) Completion of DNA replication in *Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 111, 16454–16459.

- Asai, T. and Kogoma, T. (1994) D-loops and R-loops: alternative mechanisms for the initiation of chromosome replication in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.*, **176**, 1807–1812.
- White,M.A., Azeroglu,B., Lopez-Vernaza,M.A., Hasan,A.M.M. and Leach,D.R.F. (2018) RecBCD coordinates repair of two ends at a DNA double-strand break, preventing aberrant chromosome amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46, 6670–6682.
- Veetil, R.T., Malhotra, N., Dubey, A. and Seshasayee, A.S.N. (2020) Laboratory evolution experiments help identify a predominant region of constitutive stable DNA replication initiation. *Msphere*, 5, e00939-19.
- Ponder, R.G., Fonville, N.C. and Rosenberg, S.M. (2005) A switch from high-fidelity to error-prone DNA double-strand break repair underlies stress-induced mutation. *Mol. Cell*, **19**, 791–804.
- Meddows, T.R., Savory, A.P., Grove, J.I., Moore, T. and Lloyd, R.G. (2005) RecN protein and transcription factor DksA combine to promote faithful recombinational repair of DNA double-strand breaks. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 57, 97–110.
- Dimude,J.U., Midgley-Smith,S.L., Stein,M. and Rudolph,C.J. (2016) Replication termination: containing fork fusion-mediated pathologies in *Escherichia coli. Genes (Basel)*, 7, 40.
- Bradshaw, J.S. and Kuzminov, A. (2003) RdgB acts to avoid chromosome fragmentation in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol., 48, 1711–1725.
- Ting, H., Kouzminova, E.A. and Kuzminov, A. (2008) Synthetic lethality with the *dut* defect in *Escherichia coli* reveals layers of DNA damage of increasing complexity due to uracil incorporation. *J. Bacteriol.*, **190**, 5841–5854.
- Pohlhaus, J.R. and Kreuzer, K.N. (2005) Norfloxacin-induced DNA gyrase cleavage complexes block *Escherichia coli* replication forks, causing double-stranded breaks *in vivo*. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 56, 1416–1429.
- Kogoma, T. and Lark, K.G. (1975) Characterization of the replication of *Escherichia coli* DNA in the absence of protein synthesis: stable DNA replication. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 94, 243–256.
- Kogoma, T., Torrey, T.A. and Connaughton, M.J. (1979) Induction of UV-resistant DNA replication in *Escherichia coli*: induced stable DNA replication as an SOS function. *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, **176**, 1–9.
- 74. Kouzminova, E.A., Rotman, E., Macomber, L., Zhang, J. and Kuzminov, A. (2004) RecA-dependent mutants in *Escherichia coli* reveal strategies to avoid chromosomal fragmentation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **101**, 16262–16267.
- Nazir, A. and Harinarayanan, R. (2016) Inactivation of cell division protein FtsZ by SulA makes lon indispensable for the viability of a ppGpp0 strain of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol., 198, 688–700.
- Azeroglu,B., Mawer,J.S.P., Cockram,C.A., White,M.A., Hasan,A.M.M., Filatenkova,M. and Leach,D.R.F. (2016) RecG directs DNA synthesis during double-strand break repair. *PLoS Genet.*, 12, e1005799.
- 77. Tanaka, T., Taniyama, C., Arai, K.-I. and Masai, H. (2003) ATPase/helicase motif mutants of *Escherichia coli* PriA protein essential for recombination-dependent DNA replication. *Genes Cells*, 8, 251–261.
- Hawkins, M., Dimude, J.U., Howard, J.A.L., Smith, A.J., Dillingham, M.S., Savery, N.J., Rudolph, C.J. and McGlynn, P. (2019) Direct removal of RNA polymerase barriers to replication by accessory replicative helicases. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47, 5100–5113.
- Dermić, D. (2006) Functions of multiple exonucleases are essential for cell viability, DNA repair and homologous recombination in *recD* mutants of *Escherichia coli. Genetics*, **172**, 2057–2069.
- Lloyd, R.G., Porton, M.C. and Buckman, C. (1988) Effect of *recF*, *recD*, *recN*, *recO* and *ruv* mutations on ultraviolet survival and genetic recombination in a *recD* strain of *Escherichia coli* K12. *Mol. Gen. Genet.*, 212, 317–324.
- Lloyd, R. and Buckman, C. (1991) Overlapping functions of *recD*, *recJ* and *recN* provide evidence of three epistatic groups of genes in *Escherichia coli* recombination and DNA repair. *Biochimie*, **73**, 313–320.
- Ivančić-Baće, I., Salaj-Šmic, E. and Brčić-Kostić, K. (2005) Effects of recJ, recQ, and recFOR mutations on recombination in nuclease-deficient recB recD double mutants of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol., 187, 1350–1356.

- 83. Kouzminova, E.A. and Kuzminov, A. (2004) Chromosomal fragmentation in dUTPase-deficient mutants of *Escherichia coli* and its recombinational repair. *Mol. Microbiol.*, **51**, 1279–1295.
- 84. Garzon, A., Beuzon, C.R., Mahan, M.J. and Casadesus, J. (1996) recB recJ mutants of Salmonellatyphimurium are deficient in transductional recombination, DNA repair and plasmid maintenance. Mol. Gen. Genet., 250, 570–580.
- Lovett,S.T., Luisi-Deluca,C. and Kolodner,R.D. (1988) The genetic dependence of recombination in *recD* mutants of *Escherichia coli*. *Genetics*, 120, 37–45.
- 86. Sivaramakrishnan, P., Sepúlveda, L.A., Halliday, J.A., Liu, J., Núñez, M.A.B., Golding, I., Rosenberg, S.M. and Herman, C. (2017) The transcription fidelity factor GreA impedes DNA break repair. *Nature*, **550**, 214–218.
- Hawkins, M., Malla, S., Blythe, M.J., Nieduszynski, C.A. and Allers, T. (2013) Accelerated growth in the absence of DNA replication origins. *Nature*, 503, 544–547.
- Seigneur, M., Bidnenko, V., Ehrlich, S.D. and Michel, Bénédicte (1998) RuvAB acts at arrested replication forks. *Cell*, 95, 419–430.
- de Septenville, A.L., Duigou, S., Boubakri, H. and Michel, B. (2012) Replication fork reversal after replication-transcription collision. *PLoS Genet.*, 8, e1002622.
- Sinha,A.K., Durand,A., Desfontaines,J.M., Iurchenko,I., Auger,H., Leach,D.R.F., Barre,F.X. and Michel,B. (2017) Division-induced DNA double strand breaks in the chromosome terminus region of *Escherichia coli* lacking RecBCD DNA repair enzyme. *PLoS Genet.*, 13, e1006895.
- Sinha,A.K., Possoz,C., Durand,A., Desfontaines,J.M., Barre,F.X., Leach,D.R.F. and Michel,B. (2018) Broken replication forks trigger heritable DNA breaks in the terminus of a circular chromosome. *PLoS Genet.*, 14, e1007256.
- 92. Cockram, C.A., Filatenkova, M., Danos, V., el Karoui, M. and Leach, D.R.F. (2015) Quantitative genomic analysis of RecA protein binding during DNA double-strand break repair reveals RecBCD action *in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **112**, e4735–e4742.
- Courcelle, J., Wendel, B.M., Livingstone, D.D. and Courcelle, C.T. (2015) RecBCD is required to complete chromosomal replication: implications for double-strand break frequencies and repair mechanisms. *DNA Repair (Amst).*, **32**, 86–95.
- Wiktor, J., van der Does, M., Büller, L., Sherratt, D.J. and Dekker, C. (2018) Direct observation of end resection by RecBCD during double-stranded DNA break repair *in vivo*. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46, 1821–1833.
- 95. Cohen, A. and Clark, A.J. (1986) Synthesis of linear plasmid multimers in *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol., **167**, 327–335.
- Ogura, T., Hironori, N., Kano, Y., Imamoto, F. and Hiraga, S. (1990) Maintenance of plasmids in HU and IHF mutants of *Escherichia coli. Mol. Gen. Genet.*, 220, 197–203.
- 97. Shevell, D.E., Abou-Zamzam, A.M., Demple, B. and Walker, G.C. (1988) Construction of an *Escherichia coli* K-12 *ada* deletion by gene

replacement in a *recD* strain reveals a second methyltransferase that repairs alkylated DNA. *J. Bacteriol.*, **170**, 3294–3296.

- Russell, C.B., Thaler, D.S. and Dahlquist, F.W. (1989) Chromosomal transformation of *Escherichia colirecD* strains with linearized plasmids. *J. Bacteriol.*, **171**, 2609–2613.
- Asai, T., Sommer, S., Bailone, A. and Kogoma, T. (1993) Homologous recombination-dependent initiation of DNA replication from DNA damage-inducible origins in *Escherichia coli*. *EMBO J.*, **12**, 3287–3295.
- Wendel,B.M., Cole,J.M., Courcelle,C.T. and Courcelle,J. (2017) SbcC-SbcD and ExoI process convergent forks to complete chromosome replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 115, 349–354.
- 101. Hamilton, N.A., Wendel, B.M., Weber, E.A., Courcelle, C.T. and Courcelle, J. (2019) RecBCD, SbcCD and ExoI process a substrate created by convergent replisomes to complete DNA replication. *Mol. Microbiol.*, **111**, 1638–1651.
- Rudolph,C.J., Upton,A.L. and Lloyd,R.G. (2009) Replication fork collisions cause pathological chromosomal amplification in cells lacking RecG DNA translocase. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 74, 940–955.
- Dewar, J.M. and Walter, J.C. (2017) Mechanisms of DNA replication termination. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 18, 507–516.
- Takahashi,S. (1974) The rolling-circle replicative structure of a bacteriophage lambda DNA. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 61, 657–663.
- 105. Baranska,S., Gabig,M., Wegrzyn,A., Konopa,G., Herman-Antosiewicz,A., Hernandez,P., Schvartzman,J.B., Helinski,D.R. and Wegrzyn,G. (2001) Regulation of the switch from early to late bacteriophage λ DNA replication. *Microbiology*, **147**, 535–547.
- 106. Yasmin, T., Azeroglu, B., Cockram, C.A. and Leach, D.R.F. (2021) Distribution of holliday junctions and repair forks during *Escherichia coli* DNA double-strand break repair. *PLoS Genet.*, 17, e1009717.
- 107. Hiasa, H. and Marians, K.J. (1994) Tus prevents overreplication of oriC plasmid DNA. J. Biol. Chem., 269, 26959–26968.
- Viswanathan, M. and Lovett, S.T. (1998) Single-strand DNA-specific exonucleases in *Escherichia coli*: roles in repair and mutation avoidance. *Genetics*, 149, 7–16.
- 109. Thoms, B., Borchers, I. and Wackernagel, W. (2008) Effects of single-strand DNases ExoI, RecJ, ExoVII, and SbcCD on homologous recombination of *recBCD*+ strains of *Escherichia coli* and roles of sbcb15 and xona2 ExoI mutant enzymes. *J. Bacteriol.*, **190**, 179–192.
- 110. Burdett, V., Baitinger, C., Viswanathan, M., Lovett, S.T. and Modrich, P. (2001) *In vivo* requirement for RecJ, ExoVII, ExoI, and ExoX in methyl-directed mismatch repair. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 98, 6765–6770.
- 111. Syeda,A.H., Dimude,J.U., Skovgaard,O. and Rudolph,C.J. (2020) Too much of a good thing: how ectopic DNA replication affects bacterial replication dynamics. *Front. Microbiol.*, **11**, 534.