

5. Johnson FM, Bekele BN, Feng L, Wistuba I, Tang XM, Tran HT, *et al.* Phase II study of dasatinib in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:4609–4615.
6. Kelley MJ, Jha G, Shoemaker D, Herndon JE II, Gu L, Barry WT, *et al.* Phase II study of dasatinib in previously treated patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Invest* 2017;35:32–35.
7. Garmendia I, Pajares MJ, Hermida-Prado F, Ajona D, Bértolo C, Sainz C, *et al.* YES1 drives lung cancer growth and progression and predicts sensitivity to dasatinib. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:888–899.
8. Iida M, Brand TM, Campbell DA, Li C, Wheeler DL. Yes and Lyn play a role in nuclear translocation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Oncogene* 2013;32:759–767.
9. Fan PD, Narzisi G, Jayaprakash AD, Venturini E, Robine N, Smbert P, *et al.* YES1 amplification is a mechanism of acquired resistance to EGFR inhibitors identified by transposon mutagenesis and clinical genomics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2018;115:E6030–E6038.
10. Desai A, Adjei AA. FGFR signaling as a target for lung cancer therapy. *J Thorac Oncol* 2016;11:9–20.
11. Heist RS, Mino-Kenudson M, Sequist LV, Tammireddy S, Morrissey L, Christiani DC, *et al.* FGFR1 amplification in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012;7:1775–1780.
12. Drilon A, Cappuzzo F, Ou SI, Camidge DR. Targeting MET in lung cancer: will expectations finally be MET? *J Thorac Oncol* 2017;12:15–26.
13. Tong JH, Yeung SF, Chan AW, Chung LY, Chau SL, Lung RW, *et al.* MET amplification and Exon 14 splice site mutation define unique molecular subgroups of non-small cell lung carcinoma with poor prognosis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2016;22:3048–3056.
14. Malchers F, Ercanoglu M, Schutte D, Castiglione R, Tischler V, Michels S, *et al.* Mechanisms of primary drug resistance in FGFR1-amplified lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017;23:5527–5536.
15. Lim SH, Sun JM, Choi YL, Kim HR, Ahn S, Lee JY, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of dolutinib in pretreated patients with advanced squamous non-small cell lung cancer with FGFR1 amplification: a single-arm, phase 2 study. *Cancer* 2016;122:3024–3031.
16. Ng TL, Yu H, Smith DE, Boyle TA, York ER, Leedy S, *et al.* Preselection of lung cancer cases using FGFR1 mRNA and gene copy number for treatment with ponatinib. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2019;20:e39–e51.

Copyright © 2019 by the American Thoracic Society

Life after Pediatric Critical Illness: Risk Factors for Reduced Health-related Quality of Life and Functional Decline

Survivors of a critical illness are at risk to develop physical, cognitive, and psychological impairments that can persist for months to years after they leave the hospital. Health-related quality of life (HRQL) and functional abilities are important measures of outcomes after a critical illness, as they reflect not only the effects of the critical illness, its treatment, and comorbid illness but also physical disability, cognitive impairment, and psychological disorders (depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder) after a critical illness in adults (1). A review of HRQL in 557 adult survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome found a substantially lower HRQL in both physical and mental components compared with age- and sex-matched healthy populations, which was associated with functional disability (2). The preponderance of evidence regarding long-term outcomes after a critical illness comes from adult ICU populations, and less is known about long-term outcomes for children after a critical illness. Children admitted to a pediatric ICU (PICU) have increased vulnerability to develop new or worsening long-term morbidities, including a reduced quality of life and new functional disability. For example, studies of children who had been admitted to a PICU found that they had a reduced HRQL (3) and a high rate of functional disability (up to 82%) after they were discharged from the hospital (4). These findings suggest that, like adult populations, many PICU survivors develop physical, cognitive, and psychological impairments that are associated with reduced HRQL and functional disability.

Although the data regarding HRQL and functional outcomes after a pediatric critical illness have increased in the past 10 years, there are few data regarding risk factors associated with a reduced HRQL or functional decline after pediatric respiratory failure. It is important to understand the risk factors for post-ICU morbidities in pediatric populations in order to understand the full effects of critical illness in children and to identify potential interventions to prevent or improve outcomes. In a study presented in this issue of the *Journal*, Watson and colleagues (pp. 900–909) evaluated risk factors for a post-PICU decline in functioning and reduced HRQL in children with respiratory failure (5). Children 2 weeks to 17 years of age who were enrolled in the RESTORE (Randomized Evaluation of Sedation Titration for Respiratory Failure) trial were included in the study. Age-appropriate, validated measures of HRQL and functional abilities were assessed at 6 months after PICU discharge via medical record reviews of baseline functioning and parental interviews, and postdischarge functional status and HRQL were assessed by telephone interviews. The parents/guardians of 960 patients were interviewed, and 91% of these patients were discharged home and 6% were discharged to a rehabilitation or assisted-living facility (5).

Post-PICU morbidity was common in pediatric patients with acute respiratory failure. The prevalence of functional decline was 20%, and 19% of the patients had a reduced quality of life. Among patients with normal baseline functioning, 49% had functional decline and 19% had reduced HRQL. Risk factors included sociodemographic factors, preexisting health status, and factors associated with the critical illness factors that occurred during the child's hospital course (see Table 1 for a summary) (5). The authors found that the magnitude of the new morbidities was similar to that observed in severe underlying diseases such as cancer (5). Similar to findings in adults (6), the trajectories of outcomes were variable and included a continued decline over

©This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgerm@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201905-0977ED on May 30, 2019

Table 1. Multivariable Risk Factors for Functional Impairment and Reduced Health-related Quality of Life

Outcomes	Sociodemographic Factors	Preexisting Health Factors	Hospital Course Factors
Decline in functioning	NA	Baseline impaired function Prematurity Cancer	Etiology of respiratory failure Duration of mechanical ventilation Clonidine
Impaired growth and development*	NA	Receipt of methadone Inadequate pain management	NA
Health-related quality of life	Older age Non-white or Hispanic	Cancer	Inadequate sedation management

Definition of abbreviation: NA = not applicable.

*Independent risk factors.

time, improvement over time, no change over time, and decline and then improvement. The etiology of the risk factors is likely multifactorial. Importantly, comorbid disease and impaired baseline function increased the risk of poor outcomes (5).

Support for the findings of Watson and colleagues comes from a multicenter study that found that in patients with a trauma or oncologic or neurologic diagnosis, unscheduled admission to the PCIU, mechanical ventilation, renal replacement therapy, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, and extracorporeal membrane oxidation were risk factors for a new functional disability (7). A retrospective study found that PICU patients with multiple organ dysfunction on Day 1 of the study had worse functional disability than children who did not have multiple organ dysfunction (8). Although they were not assessed in the study by Watson and colleagues (5), similar risk factors for cognitive impairments have been identified after a pediatric critical illness, including younger age, lower socioeconomic status, mechanical ventilation, high oxygen requirements, and the use of sedatives and pain medications (9). Additional research is needed to determine whether the risk factors identified also have adverse effects on cognitive outcomes.

The strengths of this study include the large multicenter population and the evaluation of post-PICU discharge functioning and HRQL using reliable and validated instruments, and new information regarding risk factors for functional decline and reduced HRQL after a pediatric critical illness (5). The limitations of this study include the lack of socioeconomic data, estimation of baseline function based on interviews with the parents and medical records, lack of baseline HRQL, and parent/proxy report—all of which the authors acknowledge. Another limitation is the use of the Pediatric Overall Performance Category and Pediatric Cerebral Performance Category, which rely on parental/legal guardian reports rather than on performance-based measures and therefore may be less sensitive, especially in populations with baseline impairments (5). Future studies to directly assess functional outcomes using performance-based measures are needed. Studies are also needed to characterize outcome trajectories at time points more distant from the critical illness to understand the trajectories over time, and to assess the effects of potential interventions. Determining which factors may be potentially modifiable to improve long-term outcomes after a pediatric critical illness should also be the focus of future research. ■

Author disclosures are available with the text of this article at www.atsjournals.org.

Ramona O. Hopkins, Ph.D.

Psychology Department
and
Neuroscience Center
Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah

and

Department of Medicine, Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine
and
Center for Humanizing Critical Care
Intermountain Health Care
Murray, Utah

References

1. Wilcox ME, Herridge MS. Long-term outcomes in patients surviving acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;31:55–65.
2. Dowdy DW, Eid MP, Dennison CR, Mendez-Tellez PA, Herridge MS, Guallar E, et al. Quality of life after acute respiratory distress syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2006;32:1115–1124.
3. Ward SL, Turpin A, Spicer AC, Treadwell MJ, Church GD, Flori HR. Long-term pulmonary function and quality of life in children after acute respiratory distress syndrome: a feasibility investigation. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2017;18:e48–e55.
4. Choong K, Fraser D, Al-Harbi S, Borham A, Cameron J, Cameron S, et al. Functional recovery in critically ill children, the “WeeCover” multicenter study. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2018;19:145–154.
5. Watson RS, Asaro LA, Hutchins L, Bysani GK, Killien EY, Angus DC, et al.; RESTORE Study Investigators. Risk factors for functional decline and impaired quality of life after pediatric respiratory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:900–909.
6. Jackson JC, Warrington HJ, Kessler R, Kiehl AL, Ely WE. Florbetapir-PET β -amyloid imaging and associated neuropsychological trajectories in survivors of critical illness: a case series. *J Crit Care* 2018;44:331–336.
7. Bone MF, Feinglass JM, Goodman DM. Risk factors for acquiring functional and cognitive disabilities during admission to a PICU. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2014;15:640–648.
8. Typo KV, Petersen NJ, Hallman DM, Markovitz BP, Mariscalco MM. Day 1 multiple organ dysfunction syndrome is associated with poor functional outcome and mortality in the pediatric intensive care unit. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2009;10:562–570.
9. Kachmar AG, Irving SY, Connolly CA, Curley MAQ. A systematic review of risk factors associated with cognitive impairment after pediatric critical illness. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2018;19:e164–e171.

Copyright © 2019 by the American Thoracic Society