



# Article In Vitro Activity of Ebselen and Diphenyl Diselenide Alone and in Combination with Drugs against *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* Strains

Sebastian Gnat <sup>1,\*</sup>, Dominik Łagowski <sup>1</sup>, Mariusz Dyląg <sup>2</sup>, Grzegorz Jóźwiak <sup>3</sup>, Aleksandra Trościańczyk <sup>1</sup> and Aneta Nowakiewicz <sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Life Sciences, Akademicka 12, 20-033 Lublin, Poland; dominik.lagowski@up.lublin.pl (D.Ł.);
- aleksandra.trosciaczyk@up.lublin.pl (A.T.); aneta.nowakiewicz@up.lublin.pl (A.N.)
  <sup>2</sup> Department of Mycology and Genetics, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Wroclaw, S. Przybyszewskiego 63, 50-137 Wroclaw, Poland; mariusz.dylag@uwr.edu.pl
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Lublin, Chodźki 4a, 20-093 Lublin, Poland; grzegorzjozwiak@umlub.pl
- \* Correspondence: sebastian.gnat@up.lublin.pl; Tel.: +48-81-445-6093

Abstract: Background: Dermatophytoses are one of the most prevalent infectious diseases in the world for which the pace of developing new drugs has not kept pace with the observed therapeutic problems. Thus, searching for new antifungals with an alternative and novel mechanism of action is necessary. Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the antifungal activity of ebselen and diphenyl diselenide against Trichophyton mentagrophytes clinical isolates. Methods: In vitro antifungal susceptibility was assessed for organoselenium compounds used alone or in combination with allylamines and azoles according to the 3rd edition of the CLSI M38 protocol. Results: Ebselen demonstrated high antifungal activity with MIC\_{GM} equal to 0.442  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.518  $\mu$ g/mL in the case of human and animal origin strains, respectively. The values of MIC<sub>GM</sub> of diphenyl diselenide were higher: 17.36 µg/mL and 13.45 µg/mL for the human and animal isolates, respectively. Synergistic or additive effects between terbinafine and ebselen or diphenyl diselenide were observed in the case of 12% and 20% strains, respectively. In turn, the combination of itraconazole with diphenyl diselenide showed a synergistic effect only in the case of 6% of the tested strains, whereas no synergism was shown in the combination with ebselen. Conclusions: The results highlight the promising activity of organoselenium compounds against Trichophyton mentagrophytes. However, their use in combinational therapy with antifungal drugs seems to be unjustified due to the weak synergistic effect observed.

Keywords: dermatophytes; ebselen; diphenyl diselenide; antifungal therapy; Trichophyton mentagrophytes

# 1. Introduction

Superficial fungal infections are one of the most prevalent infectious diseases globally [1]. Literature reports have revealed that dermatomycoses can affect almost one billion people around the world, and approximately \$1.67 billion is spent on the treatment of these kinds of infections each year [2,3]. The increased frequency of these diseases recorded in the last years can be attributed to the growing population of patients belonging to so-called risk groups, including pet owners [2,4–6]. This phenomenon can be related to a high incidence of asymptomatically infected animals, especially cats, dogs, and guinea pigs [7–9]. There are also reports that, once transferred from animal to human, zoophilic dermatophyte infection can be easily transmitted indirectly from human to human [10,11].

Among dermatophytes, *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* stands out as the second most common causative agent of dermatophytosis in humans, after *Trichophyton rubrum* [1]. *T. mentagrophytes* infections in humans are zoonoses in the vast majority of cases [2]. From a



Citation: Gnat, S.; Łagowski, D.; Dylag, M.; Jóźwiak, G.; Trościańczyk, A.; Nowakiewicz, A. In Vitro Activity of Ebselen and Diphenyl Diselenide Alone and in Combination with Drugs against *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* Strains. *Pharmaceutics* 2022, 14, 1158. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/pharmaceutics14061158

Academic Editor: Ivana Cacciatore

Received: 13 April 2022 Accepted: 26 May 2022 Published: 28 May 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). taxonomic point of view, *T. mentagrophytes sensu stricto* is a member of the *T. mentagrophytes* complex, which includes seven species: *T. tonsurans, T. mentagrophytes, T. interdigitale, T. equinum, T. quinckeanum, T. schöenleinii,* and *T. simii* [1,4]. These species differ with regards to their ecological preferences [2]. *Trichophyton mentagrophytes sensu stricto* is a zoophilic species and the second most common causal agent of dermatophytosis from this complex, i.e., *Trichophyton interdigitale* is anthropophilic [1,4,6,8]. Discriminating between these two species is difficult, and often, in addition to morphological features, diagnostics should refer to the sources of infection [4,7]. Moreover, to ensure identification accuracy, the use of molecular methods is recommended in combination with morphological analyses [2,4].

Currently, there are numerous options for the treatment of dermatophyte infections [12–14]. In its initial stages, the topical formulations of azoles and/or allylamines are generally sufficient to achieve therapeutic success [15,16]. Terbinafine or naftifine used in combination with azole drugs, i.e., ketoconazole or luliconazole, are regarded as effective and cost-justified strategies to maintain a cured status [15,17]. Other useful options are griseofulvin, amorolfine, and ciclopirox [18]. However, the long duration of treatment, toxicity, and the side effects, in combination with some other drawbacks of conventional therapy, lead to frequent abandonment or complete failure in patients [4,19]. Furthermore, an increase in the number of cases caused by *T. mentagrophytes* resistant to terbinafine or azoles, which are the treatment of choice, as well as a multi-drug resistant phenotype, has been reported [2,4]. Hence, the search for new alternative therapies and antimycotics is of the utmost importance.

Selenium is an essential micronutrient for humans and animals assimilated in inorganic and organic forms with ingested food, as it is present in vegetables, meats, seafood, and nuts [20]. In humans, this microelement is an antioxidant involved in immunological and inflammatory processes and in oxidative stress responses [21]. Moreover, organoselenium compounds are widely studied as they have many potential pharmacological applications due to their antimicrobial activity against several bacterial and fungal pathogens, with promising effects on human cells in terms of therapeutic dosage [20,22,23]. In the literature, it is suggested that the mechanism of action of these organoselenium compounds in fungal cells is related to pro-oxidant activity, which causes intracellular accumulation of reactive oxygen [22,23]. Furthermore, a different mechanism of action has been proposed in the case of yeast cells. It consists in the inhibition of H<sup>+</sup> ATPase, which consequently changes membrane permeability and leads to cellular death [24]. Contrarily, inorganic selenium compounds are widely used as food supplements, but their use as potential drugs and/or antifungals is limited due to their high toxicity [23]. Ebselen ( $C_{13}H_9NOSe$ ) and diphenyl diselenide ( $C_{12}H_{10}Se_2$ ) are prospective new antimicrobial drugs from the organoselenium group [24–26]. Currently, ebselen is used in the therapy of cardiovascular diseases, arthritis, atherosclerosis, cancer, and bipolar disorder [27]. Furthermore, the in vitro antifungal activity of this compound has also been reported [28–30]. In turn, the clinical use of diphenyl diselenide is less well characterized, but its antifungal activity has been demonstrated against Fusarium spp., Candida spp., Cryptococcus spp., Pythium spp., Aspergillus spp., Trichosporon spp., and Sporothrix spp. [22,28,31,32]. However, the activity of organic selenium compounds against dermatophytes has been relatively poorly studied [23,26].

Since a growing number of recalcitrant zoophilic dermatophytoses caused by *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* have recently been observed in Europe, this study aimed to determine the in vitro antifungal effectiveness of ebselen and diphenyl diselenide used alone and in combination with terbinafine and itraconazole. In vitro antifungal susceptibility tests were performed according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) document M38, 3rd edition. The interactions between the antifungals and the organoselenium compounds were evaluated based on a microdilution checkerboard assay following the protocol published in Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook, 4th edition.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Materials

Terbinafine (TRB), itraconazole (ITC), ebselen (EBS), and diphenyl diselenide (DPDS) were purchased from Merck Life Sciences (Darmstadt, Germany) and were of analytical grade with at least 99% chemical purity. Microbiological media for the culturing and identification of dermatophytes, i.e., Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) and potato dextrose agar (PDA), were purchased from Oxoid (Basingstoke, UK), and malt extract agar (MEA) was purchased from BioMaxima (Lublin, Poland). Microscopic preparations were examined in Olympus BX51 (Tokio, Japan). For light microscopy, the preparations were examined after lactophenol blue and chlorazol black (Merck Life Sciences, Darmstadt, Germany) staining. Calcofluor white (Merck Life Sciences, Darmstadt, Germany) staining was used for fluorescence microscopy. The ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') pairs of primers used for the molecular identification tests were synthesized by Genomed (Warsaw, Poland). ITS-PCR was carried out using Qiagen Taq PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and T Personal Cycler (Biometra GmbH, Goettingen, Germany). The electrophoretic separation of PCR products was carried out in Basica LE agarose obtained from Prona (ABO, Gdańsk, Poland). The ITS sequencing reaction was carried out using a BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The PCR product was purified using an ExTerminator kit (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland), and then the DNA sequence was read in a 3500 Genetic Analyser (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Chemicals for the in vitro antifungal susceptibility tests, including dimethyl sulfoxide, Tween 80, and RPMI, were obtained from Merck Life Science (Darmstadt, Germany). The inoculum supernatants were collected and standardized by counting in a hemocytometer (BrightLine<sup>TM</sup>, Merck Life Sciences, Darmstadt, Germany). The 96-well microtitre plates were purchased from Corning (New York, NY, USA). Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were read spectrophotometrically using a SmartSpec<sup>TM</sup> spectrophotometer (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA).

## 2.2. Dermatophyte Strains

In total, 37 clinical isolates of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* were obtained from human zoonoses (n = 17) and pets (n = 20) with typical symptoms of dermatomycosis. All cases of infection were diagnosed in Poland between 2017 and 2020 (Table 1). Human and animal clinical material was collected, especially from the margins of skin lesions, using a sterile surgical scalpel. The dermatophyte isolates showed susceptibility to azoles, including itraconazole, and toward allylamine-type drugs, including terbinafine and naftifine, for which MIC values did not exceed 1  $\mu$ g/mL.

The identification of these clinical isolates on the species level was performed by a combination of conventional and molecular techniques, comprising the examination of macro- and micro-morphology (Figure 1) and the internal-transcribed spacer (ITS) rDNA region sequencing. First, each sample was examined microscopically after washing in 10% potassium hydroxide (KOH) with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for the detection of fungal elements. The microscopy slides were viewed under a light microscope (Olympus BX51, Tokyo, Japan) after staining with lactophenol blue and chlorazol black (Merck Life Sciences, Darmstedt, Germany) and under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus BX51, Tokyo, Japan) after staining with calcofluor white (Merck Life Sciences, Darmstadt, Germany) at 300 to 440 nm emission and ca. 355 nm excitation wavelengths. Each preparation was viewed in 10 visual fields at a magnification of  $400 \times$  and  $1000 \times$ . The presence of arthrospores or septate hyphae was considered a positive result. The second step included the cultivation and microscopic analysis of pure cultures. Three different microbiological media were used for this purpose, i.e., Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA), potato dextrose agar (PDA; Oxoid), and malt extract agar (MEA; BioMaxima, Lublin, Poland). The incubations were carried out at 25 °C and 37 °C, and the colonies were analyzed macro- and microscopically every 3 days for 21 days. In the next step, DNA was isolated from the dermatophyte colonies with the phenol-chloroform method. Molecular identification was based on ITS (internal

transcribed spacer) sequence analysis. ITS-PCR was carried out in 25  $\mu$ L of the reaction mixture composed of 12.5  $\mu$ L Qiagen Taq PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), 10 pmol of each primer, and 100 ng of DNA template. The thermal cycler reaction conditions were as follows: an initial cycle at 95 °C for 3 min followed by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, and then an extension cycle of 72 °C for 10 min. The electrophoretic separation of PCR products was carried out in 1% agarose gels. The PCR product was sequenced using the Sanger method. All the nucleotide sequences obtained were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

Accession Number of Isolates Host **Isolation Source** Sex **Contact with Animals** Age ITS Sequences TMH1/20 MT106055 Μ 71 Cat Human tinea capitis + TMH3/20 MT106057 tinea capitis Cat M 68 + TMH4/20 MT106058 tinea capitis Μ 20 + Dog TMH7/20 MT106061 tinea unguium F 65 + Cat 19 TMH8/20 OM574776 tinea capitis M + Chinchilla TMH9/20 F 43 OM574777 tinea corporis + Guinea pig TMH10/20 F 37 OM574778 + tinea corporis Dog TMH1/19 OM574779 F 36 + Guinea pig tinea capitis TMH3/19 OM574780 tinea corporis Μ 21 + Ferret TMH4/19 OM574781 tinea unguium F 74 + Chinchilla TMH5/19 OM574782 tinea capitis Μ 54 + Cat TMH6/19 OM574783 tinea capitis M 27 + Ferret TMH7/19 OM574784 tinea capitis F 69 + Ferret F TMH10/19 OM574785 tinea capitis 64 + Guinea pig 22 TMH11/19 + OM574786 tinea capitis Μ Guinea pig TMH12/19 26 OM574787 + Rabbit tinea capitis Μ TMH13/19 OM574788 F 68 Ferret tinea capitis TMA13/20 Guinea pig MT106066 Μ 4 N/A torso TMA14/20 MT106067 multiple F 4 N/A F TMA15/20 MT106075 multiple 6 N/A F 7 N/A TMA16/20 MT106076 multiple TMA6/19 OM574798 Μ 8 N/A head TMA7/19 OM574799 F 5 N/A neck TMA16/19 OM574800 multiple Μ 5 N/A 5 Rabbit TMA1/19 OM574923 head Μ N/A F 7 TMA28/17 OM574924 head, neck N/A F 7 TMA18/19 OM574925 head, neck N/A F 3 TMA19/19 OM574926 N/A multiple OM574921 abdomen F 1 N/A TMA21/17 Hamster TMA31/18 OM574922 torso Μ 3 N/A Dog OM575020 7 TMA23/17 head M N/A TMA24/17 OM575021 multiple F 2 N/A TMA12/19 MT106084 F 4 N/A neck TMA13/19 OM575022 multiple Μ 5 N/A 8 Cat TMA25/17 OM574918 torso Μ N/A TMA9/19 OM574919 head, neck F 4 N/A TMA10/19 OM574920 Μ 7 N/A torso

Table 1. The clinical characteristics of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* strains of human and animal origin.

Notes: ITS: internal transcribed spacer; F: female; M: male; +: contact with the animal has occurred; N/A: not applicable.

5 of 13



**Figure 1.** The micro- and macroscopic morphology of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* after 21 days of incubation. (**A**) Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA). (**B**) Potato dextrose agar (PDA). (**C**) Malt extract agar (MEA). (**D**–**F**) micromorphology after calcofluor white staining (Olympus BX51, Tokyo, Japan). (**D**) At 400× magnification. (**E**,**F**) At 1000× magnification. Notes: The selection of the three media for the macro- and microscopic identification of dermatophytes was prompted by the characteristic features of the colony and the optimal degree of sporulation. The micromorphological picture of the examined strains shows a distinct transition from the dominant hyphal form in the SDA medium to the sporous form dominating in PDA and MEA. The colonies had a softer powdery or downy texture and a yellow or yellow-orange to brown reverse. There was a visible origin-dependent difference in the colony fluffiness between the strains, which was the highest in the animal isolates. The presence of spherical, one-chambered microconidia, which are more numerous than macroconidia, is an important diagnostic feature. The arrangement lateral to the hyphae is characteristic. In turn, the macroconidia are elongated, cigar-shaped, and multi-chambered.

# 2.3. In Vitro Antifungal Susceptibility Tests

In vitro antifungal susceptibility tests were performed according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) document M38, 3rd edition [33]. The stock solutions of the organoselenium compounds were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to reach the final DMSO concentration in the wells below 1%. The final analyzed concentrations were in the range of 0.064–2  $\mu$ g/mL for ebselen and 2–128  $\mu$ g/mL for diphenyl disulfide. The preparation of the inoculum suspension containing conidia of Trichophyton mentagro*phytes*, the 2-fold dilutions of the organoselenium compounds, and MIC determination were performed according to CLSI protocol [33] with some modifications. Briefly, the dermatophyte isolates were cultured on Potato Dextrose Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) for 21 days, and inoculum suspensions containing only conidia were prepared by gentle scraping mature colonies into sterile physiological saline containing 0.002% Tween 80. Homogeneous inoculum supernatants were collected and standardized by counting in hemocytometer (BrightLine<sup>TM</sup>, Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MI, USA) to achieve final concentration equal to  $2 \times 10^3$  CFU/mL. The cell suspensions were diluted 1:50 in RPMI 1640 medium and incubated in the presence of indicated concentrations of the organoselenium compounds prepared as serial dilutions within 96-well flat-bottom plates. The last mentioned were incubated at 30 °C for 96 h. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were read spectrophotometrically using a SmartSpec<sup>TM</sup> (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) at the 530-nm

wavelength ( $\lambda$ ). The endpoint for the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was the inhibition of growth corresponding to  $\geq$ 80% of that of the control free of antifungal compound. *Trichophyton rubrum* MYA4438 and *Trichophyton interdigitale* MYA4439 reference dermatophyte strains were used as quality controls for every new microplate series that was set up. These reference strains were tested against terbinafine (Merck Life Sciences, Darmstadt, Germany). The dilution series of terbinafine was performed simultaneously with the organoselenium compounds using the same laboratory tools.

#### 2.4. Interactions between Antifungal Drugs and Organoselenium Compounds

The type of interactions between terbinafine, itraconazole, and the organoselenium compounds were evaluated based on the microdilution checkerboard assay following the standard protocol [34]. Briefly, 2-fold serial dilutions of one of the antifungals were added to rows A–G of a 96-well microtiter plate, whereas dilutions of ebselen or diphenyl selenide were placed in columns 1–9 of the same plate. In this scheme, column 10 and H contained ebselen (or diphenyl diselenide) and an antifungal drug alone, respectively. Columns 11 and 12 were used as positive (without antifungal compound) and negative (without inoculum) controls. It was also confirmed that DMSO at a final concentration equal to 1% did not affect the growth of tested dermatophyte strains that were compared with a positive control. The MIC<sub>80</sub> values were used for calculation of the Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index (FICI). The 80% endpoint for the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was read spectrophotometrically using a Varioskan LUX multimode microplate reader (ThermoFisher) at the 530-nm wavelength ( $\lambda$ ). The FICI was defined as follows:  $(MIC_{A \text{ in combination with }B}/MIC_{A \text{ tested alone}}) + (MIC_{B \text{ in combination with }A}/MIC_{B \text{ tested alone}}),$ where A is the antifungal drug and B is the organoselenium compound. The FICI values were interpreted as follows: FICI  $\leq 0.5$  corresponds to synergism,  $0.5 < FICI \leq 4$  means indifference, and FICI > 4.0: antagonism [34].

## 2.5. Statistical Analysis

The differences between MICs and FICIs were evaluated by the nonparametric Wilcoxon paired *t* test using Statistica 13.1 (Statsoft, Warsaw, Poland). The differences were considered statistically significant at  $p \le 0.05$ .

#### 3. Results

The results of the in vitro susceptibility testing of Trichophyton mentagrophytes isolates to the antifungals and organoselenium compounds are listed in Table 2. The MIC values of terbinafine and itraconazole against all *T. mentagrophytes* isolates were below 1 µg/mL. The geometric means of the antifungal agents for the human and animal origin isolates were 0.01  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.019  $\mu$ g/mL for terbinafine and 0.261  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.135  $\mu$ g/mL for itraconazole, respectively. Remarkably, lower MIC<sub>90</sub> values were obtained for terbinafine than for itraconazole (0.016  $\mu$ g/mL vs. 0.5  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.032  $\mu$ g/mL vs. 0.25  $\mu$ g/mL for the human and animal isolates, respectively). Moreover, ebselen demonstrated antifungal activity against the T. mentagrophytes isolates in both groups, with MIC geometric means of 0.442  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.518  $\mu$ g/mL for the human and animal strains, respectively. For diphenyl diselenide, the MIC geometric means were higher:  $17.36 \,\mu g/mL$  for the human origin isolates and 13.45  $\mu$ g/mL for the animal origin strains. The statistical analysis revealed significant differences between the susceptibility (MIC geometric means values) of human vs. animal origin isolates to the antifungal drugs and to the tested organoselenium compounds. The MIC values of ebselen and diphenyl diselenide against Trichophyton rubrum MYA4438 and Trichophyton interdigitale MYA4439 reference dermatophyte strains were 0.5  $\mu$ g/mL and 32  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively.

		Antifungals (μg/mL)							Organoselenium Compounds (µg/mL)					
Host	Isolates <sup>—</sup>	TRB			ITC			EBS			DPDS			
		MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode	MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode	MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode	MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode	
Human	TMH1/20 TMH3/20 TMH3/20 TMH7/20 TMH7/20 TMH9/20 TMH10/20 TMH1/19 TMH3/19 TMH4/19 TMH5/19 TMH6/19 TMH6/19 TMH7/19 TMH10/19 TMH10/19 TMH11/19 TMH11/19 TMH12/19 TMH13/19	$\begin{array}{c} 0.004\\ 0.008\\ 0.004\\ 0.016\\ 0.016\\ 0.008\\ 0.008\\ 0.008\\ 0.008\\ 0.016\\ 0.032\\ 0.016\\ 0.004\\ 0.016\\ 0.008\\ 0.032\\ 0.004\\ 0.008\\ 0.032\\ 0.004\\ 0.008\\ \end{array}$	0.008 0.016 0.01	0.004–0.032 0.008	$\begin{array}{c} 0.125\\ 0.125\\ 0.25\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.125\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.64\\ 0.25\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.$	0.25 0.5 0.261	0.125–0.5 0.5	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.25\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0.125\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0.5\\ \end{array}$	0.5 1 0.442	0.125–1 0.5	32 32 8 64 64 16 32 16 8 16 32 32 8 8 8 4 4 32	32 64 17.36	4-64 32	
Guinea pig Rabbit	TMA13/20 TMA14/20 TMA15/20 TMA16/20 TMA6/19 TMA7/19 TMA16/19 TMA16/19 TMA28/17 TMA18/19 TMA19/19 TMA21/17	0.016 0.032 0.064 0.064 0.016 0.008 0.064 0.016 0.032 0.016 0.064 0.008	0.016 0.032 0.019	0.008–0.064 0.016	$\begin{array}{c} 0.064\\ 0.064\\ 0.125\\ 0.25\\ 0.125\\ 0.5\\ 0.064\\ 0.125\\ 0.25\\ 0.032\\ 0.5\\ 0.125\\ \end{array}$	0.125 0.25 0.135	0.032–0.5 0.125	$\begin{array}{c} 0.25\\ 0.125\\ 0.125\\ 0.5\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0.5\\ 2\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0.5\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0.5\\ 2\\ 2\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\ 1\\$	0.5 1 0.518	0.125–2 1	8 8 32 32 4 64 32 8 8 8 16 64 8	8 32 13.45	4-64 8	
namster	TMA31/18	0.000			0.125			0.5			16			

**Table 2.** The characteristics of clinical isolates of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* and the values of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for known antifungal drugs and organoselenium compounds.

|--|

		Antifungals (µg/mL)						Organoselenium Compounds (µg/mL)					
	_	TRB			ITC			EBS			DPDS		
Host	Isolates <sup>–</sup>	MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode	MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode	MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode	MIC	MIC <sub>50</sub> MIC <sub>90</sub> GM	Range Mode
Dog	TMA23/17	0.008			0.064			0.5			4		
	TMA24/17	0.008			0.064			1			8		
	TMA12/19	0.016			0.25			0.125			16		
	TMA13/19	0.032			0.5			0.25			32		
Cat	TMA25/17	0.016			0.125			0.25			16		
	TMA9/19	0.008			0.064			1			4		
	TMA10/19	0.008			0.25			0.5			8		

Notes: ITC, itraconazole; TRB, terbinafine; EBS, ebselen, and DPDS, diphenyl diselenide.

The results for each drug combination are given in Table 3 and Table S1. The percentage of strains for which synergism between tested compounds has been demonstrated in case of combination of ebselen or diphenyl diselenide with terbinafine were 10.82% and 18.92%, respectively. In turn, for the combination of itraconazole with diphenyl diselenide, synergism was shown only in the case of 5.4% of tested strains, and no synergism was shown in the combination with ebselen. Antagonism between terbinafine and ebselen was observed in the case of 8.1% strains and between terbinafine and diphenyl diselenide for 13.51% studied strains. In turn, for a combination of itraconazole with ebselen or diphenyl diselenide, antagonism was observed in the case of 81.08% and 18.92% of strains, respectively. The FICI values showed indifference for the combination of ebselen and diphenyl diselenide for all the analyzed isolates. Statistically significant differences were observed for the combination of itraconazole with ebselen.

**Table 3.** The fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI), and the geometric mean (GM) of interactions between antifungal agents and organoselenium compounds against clinical isolates of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*.

Drug	FICICM	Interaction (%)						
Combination	GW	Synergism	Indifference	Antagonism				
TRB + EBS	1.05	10.82	81.08	8.1				
TRB + DPDS	1.37	18.92	67.57	13.51				
ITC + EBS	8.25 *	0	18.92	81.08				
ITC + DPDS	1.91	5.4	75.68	18.92				
EBS + DPDS	2.31	0	100	0				

Notes: FICI<sub>GM</sub>, geometric mean of fractional inhibitory concentration index; TRB, terbinafine, ITC, itraconazole, EBS, ebselen, DPDS, diphenyl diselenide; and \*, significant difference ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between groups.

## 4. Discussion

Inorganic selenium is a trace element with an important role in human and animal nutrition due to its biological activity; however, it is more toxic to mammals than selenium in its organic forms [35]. In this context, several organoselenium compounds have been studied. They have exhibited a diversity of beneficial biological effects and pharmacologic potential for mammalian hosts, such as hepatoprotective, antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant effects [20,21]. The antimicrobial activity of organoselenium compounds has been described recently, highlighting its potential to be used in antifungal therapy alone or in combination with other antifungals against various fungal species of great importance in the medical mycology field [23–25]. Benelli et al. [23] pointed out that the importance of organoselenium compounds can be comparable with that of classic antifungal substances since >95% of fungi were inhibited by these compounds in concentrations  $\leq 64 \, \mu g/mL$ . Furthermore, the broad spectrum of ebselen and diphenyl diselenide antifungal activity included yeasts as well as filamentous and dimorphic fungi [22,26,31,36]. However, studies of this subject are still uncommon, especially in the case of dermatophytes [26,28,30,37–39]; additionally, most articles available in the literature provide data obtained from analyses of only a few isolates with one of the molecules. Curiously, a topical selenium-based drug, i.e., shampoo containing 2.5% selenium sulphide, is already commercially used in pharmacies for the treatment of dermatophyte infections and dandruff [39]. This fact suggests the need to undertake even more investigations of the role of these promising compounds in fighting this group of fungi. Our study shows for the first time the in vitro ebselen and diphenyl diselenide activity against Trichophyton mentagrophytes with human and animal origin and their combinatory effect with terbinafine and itraconazole.

Our findings proved that *T. mentagrophytes* isolates were inhibited by ebselen and diphenyl diselenide used solely. Moreover, lower MIC values were noted for ebselen, i.e.,  $MIC_{GM} = 0.442$  and 0.518 µg/mL for the human and animal origin isolates, respectively, than for diphenyl diselenide, which were ( $MIC_{GM}$ ) 17.36 and 13.45 µg/mL, respectively. This observation is consistent with the previous results. In general, the literature

reported lower MIC values of ebselen versus diphenyl diselenide against pathogenic fungi [28,37,40]. The MIC<sub>GM</sub> values for ebselene and diphenyl diselenide against eukaryotic pathogens, without distinguishing between genera and groups of fungi, were estimated at 3.69 and 15.74 µg/mL, respectively [23]. There are no comparative literature data related to the anti-dermatophyte activity of organoselenium compounds. In one study, Wójtowicz et al. [26] found a very wide MIC range of ebselen in relation to Microsporum spp., i.e., from 3.4 to above 500 µg/mL. Concerning other filamentous fungi, ebselen showed promising in vitro antifungal activity. Nevertheless, the  $MIC_{GM}$  values turned out to be higher than in our study, i.e., 4.87 and 11.59 µg/mL for *Fusarium* spp. and Aspergillus spp., respectively [37,41]. Interestingly, ebselen was also active against Candida auris, one of the globally emerging multidrug-resistant yeast-like pathogens, in  $MIC_{GM}$  concentrations equal to 3.13  $\mu$ g/mL [30,42]. Based on an extensive literature review, Benelli et al. [23] showed lower  $MIC_{GM}$  values of the antifungal activity of ebselen against yeasts-like pathogens than against filamentous fungi, i.e., in the range of  $0.29-3.47 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ and  $4.87-11.59 \ \mu g/mL$ , respectively. Moreover, for ebselen the ability to prevent biofilm formation in *Candida* spp. [30] was also shown.

The present report evaluated the antifungal activity of ebselen and diphenyl diselenide and in combination with commonly used antifungal drugs from two different groups, i.e., terbinafine and itraconazole. We observed that the combinations of organoselenium compounds with antifungals against *T. mentagrophytes* isolates exhibited low rates of synergism, and in the case of most of tested isolates was observed indifferent interaction, i.e., fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) was between 0.5 and less than or equal to 4. Thus, the combination of drugs does not increase the efficacy of in vitro therapy. In turn, the combination of ebselen and itraconazole demonstrated a predominance of antagonistic (in the case of 81.08% of tested strains) interactions against the tested dermatophytes. These results are not consistent with studies on other fungal groups. Felli Kubiça et al. [28] revealed high rates of synergism at the level of 83.33%–96.67% for use by his research group combinations of antifungal agents (caspofungin, itraconazole, and amphotericin B) and diphenyl diselenide against Trichosporon asahii strains, even in a fluconazole-resistant pool of strains. Venturini et al. [40] also reported that combinations of ebselen or diphenyl diselenide with amphotericin B exhibited high rates of synergism, i.e., in the case of over 70% of isolates of Fusarium spp. However, a combination of diphenyl diselenide with fluconazole demonstrated a predominance of indifferent (50% tested isolates) and antagonistic (40% studied strains) interactions in respect to Candida glabrata strains [36]. Thus, it seems that the interdependencies in the type of interactions between organoselenium compounds combined with antifungal drugs cannot be generalized. They rather show specific properties depending on the group of fungi. The mechanism of action of organoselenium compounds has not been fully described. Several studies have demonstrated that ebselen and diphenyl diselenide are capable of mimicking the activity of glutathione peroxidases, which stimulate a rapid oxidative stress response and catalyze formation of reactive oxygen species [20,43]. Nevertheless, there is no consensus on the antifungal activity of these compounds. Billack et al. [44] and Chan et al. [45] demonstrated that ebselen inhibits the plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup> ATPase pump (Pma1p) in yeast. As proposed by Azad et al. [46], ebselen increases reactive oxygen species levels in yeast by inhibiting the glutamate dehydrogenase (Gdh3) enzyme involved in glutathione synthesis. However, Thangamani et al. [47] did not agree with these results and demonstrated that ebselen reduces intracellular glutathione (GSH) concentrations leading to the dysregulation of redox homeostasis and that deficiency in glutathione biosynthesis exacerbates this mode of action. Similarly, Felli Kubiça et al. [28] found that diphenyl diselenide can also reduce levels of cellular glutathione (GSH) in yeasts, which is the main non-enzymatic antioxidant, consequently causing cell damage through the action of reactive oxygen species [28]. All the studies cited relate to yeast fungi, primarily *Candida albicans*. There are no similar data in the literature on dermatophytes. Nonetheless, the structure and cell wall composition and their modifications play an important role in the antifungal susceptibility and the development of resistance in

yeast and filamentous fungi [48]; therefore, it is likely that the mechanisms of action of organoselenium compounds may also differ between these types of fungi. There is still a large research niche in this regard.

Organoselenium compound-based therapy is a promising alternative when commonly known antifungal drugs are not effective, however, it is only possible when toxicity can be reduced. Nogueira et al. [43] suggest that the relative safety of these compounds in human therapy only in a short-term intake regimen. Furthermore, the beneficial effects and toxicity seem to be directly dose-related. Ebselen present acute lethal potency in laboratory rats and mice when administered by the intraperitoneal route in dose LD<sub>50</sub> of 400 and 340  $\mu$ mol/kg, respectively [49]. Diphenyl diselenide showed lower toxicity than ebselen in a rat model in intraperitoneal route dose LD<sub>50</sub> 210 and 1200  $\mu$ mol/kg, respectively, and haematological and biochemical parameters indicated no detectable toxicity caused by this substance [47]. The toxic doses are high in relation to the MIC values obtained against dermatophytes. Moreover, diphenyl diselenide does not exhibit acute toxic effects when administrated by the subcutaneous route [50]. Thus, topical administration may be an alternative to the use of this compound in the treatment of dermatophytosis. An open question is whether other selenium compounds will not show toxicity at all while maintaining high antifungal activity.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated that ebselen and diphenyl diselenide exhibit strong in vitro antifungal activity against *T. mentagrophytes* isolates of human and animal origin. However, the combination of these organoselenium compounds with azole and allylamine drugs does not lead to synergistic effect increased activity of known antifungal drugs. In this scenario, it is important to conduct further studies to assess the mechanisms by which the toxicity of these organoselenium compounds can be limited, making them a real alternative to currently known antifungal drugs.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pharmaceutics14061158/s1, Table S1: Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC; µg/mL) and fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) of interactions between antifungal agents and organoselenium compounds against clinical isolates of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*—details.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, S.G.; methodology, S.G. and D.Ł.; validation, S.G., M.D. and A.N.; formal analysis, A.N.; investigation, S.G., D.Ł., A.T. and G.J.; resources, D.Ł. and G.J.; data curation, S.G.; writing—original draft preparation, S.G.; writing—review and editing, M.D.; and visualization, D.Ł. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was partially funded by an internal project of the University of Life Sciences in Lublin, grant number WKD/MN-2/WET/21, financed by the Ministry of Education and Science.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data are available from corresponding author upon request.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Martinez-Rossi, N.M.; Peres, N.T.A.; Bitencourt, T.A.; Martins, M.P.; Rossi, A. State-of-the-Art Dermatophyte Infections: Epidemiology Aspects, Pathophysiology, and Resistance Mechanisms. J. Fungi 2021, 7, 629. [CrossRef]
- Łagowski, D.; Gnat, S.; Nowakiewicz, A.; Osińska, M.; Dyląg, M. Intrinsic Resistance to Terbinafine among Human and Animal Isolates of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* Related to Amino Acid Substitution in the Squalene Epoxidase. *Infection* 2020, 48, 889–897. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gupta, A.K.; Foley, K.A.; Versteeg, S.G. New Antifungal Agents and New Formulations Against Dermatophytes. *Mycopathologia* 2017, 182, 127–141. [CrossRef]

- Gnat, S.; Łagowski, D.; Nowakiewicz, A.; Osińska, M.; Kopiński, Ł. Population Differentiation, Antifungal Susceptibility, and Host Range of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* Isolates Causing Recalcitrant Infections in Humans and Animals. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2020, 39, 2099–2113. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Bontems, O.; Fratti, M.; Salamin, K.; Guenova, E.; Monod, M. Epidemiology of Dermatophytoses in Switzerland According to a Survey of Dermatophytes Isolated in Lausanne between 2001 and 2018. *J. Fungi* **2020**, *6*, 95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Petrucelli, M.F.; de Abreu, M.H.; Cantelli, B.A.M.; Segura, G.G.; Nishimura, F.G.; Bitencourt, T.A.; Marins, M.; Fachin, A.L. Epidemiology and Diagnostic Perspectives of Dermatophytoses. *J. Fungi* **2020**, *6*, 310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Czaika, V.A.; Lam, P.A. Trichophyton mentagrophytes Cause Underestimated Contagious Zoophilic Fungal Infection. Mycoses 2013, 56, 33–37. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ozkutuk, A.; Ergon, C.; Yulug, N. Species Distribution and Antifungal Susceptibilities of Dermatophytes during a One Year Period at a University Hospital in Turkey. *Mycoses* 2007, *50*, 125–129. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ilhan, Z.; Karaca, M.; Ekin, I.H.; Solmaz, H.; Akkan, H.A.; Tutuncu, M. Detection of Seasonal Asymptomatic Dermatophytes in Van Cats. *Brazilian J. Microbiol.* 2016, 47, 225–230. [CrossRef]
- Gnat, S.; Łagowski, D.; Nowakiewicz, A.; Zięba, P. Tinea Corporis by *Microsporum canis* in Mycological Laboratory Staff: Unexpected Results of Epidemiological Investigation. *Mycoses* 2018, 61, 945–953. [CrossRef]
- 11. Pasquetti, M.; Min, A.R.M.; Scacchetti, S.; Dogliero, A.; Peano, A. Infection by *Microsporum Canis* in Paediatric Patients: A Veterinary Perspective. *Vet. Sci.* 2017, 4, 46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saunte, D.; Pereiro-Ferreirós, M.; Rodríguez-Cerdeira, C.; Sergeev, A.; Arabatzis, M.; Prohić, A.; Piraccini, B.; Lecerf, P.; Nenoff, P.; Kotrekhova, L.; et al. Emerging antifungal treatment failure of dermatophytosis in Europe: Take care or it may become endemic. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 2021, 35, 1582–1586. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Khurana, A.; Sardana, K.; Chowdhary, A. Antifungal resistance in dermatophytes: Recent trends and therapeutic implications. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **2019**, *132*, 103255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gnat, S.; Łagowski, D.; Dyląg, M.; Zielinski, J.; Studziński, M.; Nowakiewicz, A. Cold Atmospheric Pressure Plasma (CAPP) as a New Alternative Treatment Method for Onychomycosis Caused by *Trichophyton verrucosum*: In Vitro Studies. *Infection* 2021, 49, 1233–1240. [CrossRef]
- 15. Hiruma, J.; Noguchi, H.; Hase, M.; Tokuhisa, Y.; Shimizu, T.; Ogawa, T.; Hiruma, M.; Harada, K.; Kano, R. Epidemiological study of terbinafine-resistant dermatophytes isolated from Japanese patients. *J. Dermatol.* **2021**, *48*, 564–567. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wall, G.; Lopez-Ribot, J.L. Current Antimycotics, New Prospects, and Future Approaches to Antifungal Therapy. *Antibiotics* **2020**, *9*, 445. [CrossRef]
- 17. Pereira, F.d.O. A Review of Recent Research on Antifungal Agents against Dermatophyte Biofilms. *Med. Mycol.* **2021**, *59*, 313–326. [CrossRef]
- Wiederhold, N.P. The Antifungal Arsenal: Alternative Drugs and Future Targets. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 2018, 51, 333–339. [CrossRef]
- Lipner, S.R. Pharmacotherapy for Onychomycosis: New and Emerging Treatments. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* 2019, 20, 725–735. [CrossRef]
- 20. Hariharan, S.; Dharmaraj, S. Selenium and Selenoproteins: It's Role in Regulation of Inflammation. *Inflammopharmacology* **2020**, 28, 667–695. [CrossRef]
- Chan, J.M.; Darke, A.K.; Penney, K.L.; Tangen, C.M.; Goodman, P.J.; Lee, G.-S.M.; Sun, T.; Peisch, S.; Tinianow, A.M.; Rae, J.M.; et al. Selenium- or Vitamin E-Related Gene Variants, Interaction with Supplementation, and Risk of High-Grade Prostate Cancer in SELECT. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev. Publ. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. Cosponsored Am. Soc. Prev. Oncol.* 2016, 25, 1050–1058. [CrossRef]
- Loreto, É.S.; Nunes Mario, D.A.; Santurio, J.M.; Alves, S.H.; Nogueira, C.W.; Zeni, G. In Vitro Antifungal Evaluation and Structure–Activity Relationship of Diphenyl Diselenide and Synthetic Analogues. *Mycoses* 2011, 54, e572–e576. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Benelli, J.L.; Poester, V.R.; Munhoz, L.S.; Melo, A.M.; Trápaga, M.R.; Stevens, D.A.; Xavier, M.O. Ebselen and Diphenyl Diselenide against Fungal Pathogens: A Systematic Review. *Med. Mycol.* 2021, 59, 409–421. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Giurg, M.; Gołąb, A.; Suchodolski, J.; Kaleta, R.; Krasowska, A.; Piasecki, E.; Piętka-Ottlik, M. Reaction of Bis[(2-Chlorocarbonyl)Phenyl] Diselenide with Phenols, Aminophenols, and Other Amines towards Diphenyl Diselenides with Antimicrobial and Antiviral Properties. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 974. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. May, H.C.; Yu, J.-J.; Guentzel, M.N.; Chambers, J.P.; Cap, A.P.; Arulanandam, B.P. Repurposing Auranofin, Ebselen, and PX-12 as Antimicrobial Agents Targeting the Thioredoxin System. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 336. [CrossRef]
- Wójtowicz, H.; Chojnacka, M.; Młochowski, J.; Palus, J.; Syper, L.; Hudecova, D.; Uher, M.; Piasecki, E.; Rybka, M. Functionalized Alkyl and Aryl Diselenides as Antimicrobial and Antiviral Agents: Synthesis and Properties. *Il Farmaco* 2003, *58*, 1235–1242. [CrossRef]
- 27. Singh, N.; Halliday, A.C.; Thomas, J.M.; Kuznetsova, O.V.; Baldwin, R.; Woon, E.C.Y.; Aley, P.K.; Antoniadou, I.; Sharp, T.; Vasudevan, S.R.; et al. A Safe Lithium Mimetic for Bipolar Disorder. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1332. [CrossRef]
- Felli Kubiça, T.; Bedin Denardi, L.; Silva de Loreto, É.; Zeni, G.; Weiblen, C.; Oliveira, V.; Morais Santurio, J.; Hartz Alves, S. In Vitro Activity of Diphenyl Diselenide and Ebselen Alone and in Combination with Antifungal Agents against *Trichosporon asahii*. *Mycoses* 2019, 62, 428–433. [CrossRef]

- Jaromin, A.; Zarnowski, R.; Piętka-Ottlik, M.; Andes, D.R.; Gubernator, J. Topical Delivery of Ebselen Encapsulated in Biopolymeric Nanocapsules: Drug Repurposing Enhanced Antifungal Activity. *Nanomedicine* 2018, 13, 1139–1155. [CrossRef]
- de Oliveira, H.C.; Monteiro, M.C.; Rossi, S.A.; Pemán, J.; Ruiz-Gaitán, A.; Mendes-Giannini, M.J.S.; Mellado, E.; Zaragoza, O. Identification of Off-Patent Compounds That Present Antifungal Activity Against the Emerging Fungal Pathogen *Candida auris*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2019, 9, 83. [CrossRef]
- 31. Rossato, L.; Loreto, E.S.; Venturini, T.P.; de Azevedo, M.I.; Al-Hatmi, A.M.S.; Santurio, J.M.; Alves, S.H. In Vitro Combination between Antifungals and Diphenyl Diselenide against *Cryptococcus* Species. *Mycoses* **2019**, *62*, 508–512. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Poester, V.R.; Mattei, A.S.; Mendes, J.F.; Klafke, G.B.; Ramis, I.B.; Sanchotene, K.O.; Xavier, M.O. Antifungal Activity of Diphenyl Diselenide Alone and in Combination with Itraconazole against *Sporothrix brasiliensis*. *Med. Mycol.* 2019, 57, 328–331. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Filamentous Fungi*, 3rd ed.; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Wayne, PA, USA, 2017; ISBN 1562388304.
- Leber, A.L. Synergism Testing: Broth Microdilution Checkerboard and Broth Macrodilution Methods. *Clin. Microbiol. Proc. Handb.* 2016, 5.16.1–5.16.23.
- Kieliszek, M.; Błażejak, S. Current Knowledge on the Importance of Selenium in Food for Living Organisms: A Review. *Molecules* 2016, 21, 609. [CrossRef]
- Denardi, L.B.; Mario, D.A.N.; de Loreto, É.S.; Nogueira, C.W.; Santurio, J.M.; Alves, S.H. Antifungal Activities of Diphenyl Diselenide Alone and in Combination with Fluconazole or Amphotericin B against *Candida glabrata*. *Mycopathologia* 2013, 176, 165–169. [CrossRef]
- 37. Marshall, A.C.; Kidd, S.E.; Lamont-Friedrich, S.J.; Arentz, G.; Hoffmann, P.; Coad, B.R.; Bruning, J.B. Structure, Mechanism, and Inhibition of *Aspergillus fumigatus* Thioredoxin Reductase. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2019**, *63*, e02281-18. [CrossRef]
- Melo, A.M.; Poester, V.R.; Trapaga, M.; Nogueira, C.W.; Zeni, G.; Martinez, M.; Sass, G.; Stevens, D.A.; Xavier, M.O. Diphenyl Diselenide and Its Interaction with Antifungals against *Aspergillus* spp. *Med. Mycol.* 2021, 59, 528–536. [CrossRef]
- 39. Hainer, B.L. Dermatophyte Infections. Am. Fam. Phys. 2003, 67, 101-108. [CrossRef]
- Venturini, T.P.; Chassot, F.; Loreto, É.S.; Keller, J.T.; Azevedo, M.I.; Zeni, G.; Santurio, J.M.; Alves, S.H. Antifungal Activities of Diphenyl Diselenide and Ebselen Alone and in Combination with Antifungal Agents against *Fusarium* spp. *Med. Mycol.* 2016, 54, 550–555. [CrossRef]
- Ngo, H.X.; Shrestha, S.K.; Garneau-Tsodikova, S. Identification of Ebsulfur Analogues with Broad-Spectrum Antifungal Activity. *ChemMedChem* 2016, 11, 1507–1516. [CrossRef]
- Wall, G.; Chaturvedi, A.K.; Wormley, F.L.; Wiederhold, N.P.; Patterson, H.P.; Patterson, T.F.; Lopez-Ribot, J.L. Screening a Repurposing Library for Inhibitors of Multidrug-Resistant *Candida auris* Identifies Ebselen as a Repositionable Candidate for Antifungal Drug Development. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2018, 62, e01084-18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nogueira, C.W.; Rocha, J.B.T. Toxicology and Pharmacology of Selenium: Emphasis on Synthetic Organoselenium Compounds. *Arch. Toxicol.* 2011, 85, 1313–1359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Billack, B.; Pietka-Ottlik, M.; Santoro, M.; Nicholson, S.; Mlochowski, J.; Lau-Cam, C. Evaluation of the antifungal and plasma membrane H +-ATPase inhibitory action of ebselen and two ebselen analogs in *S. cerevisiae* cultures. *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2010, 25, 312–317. [CrossRef]
- Chan, G.; Hardej, D.; Santoro, M.; Lau-Cam, C.; Billack, B. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of ebselen: Role of the yeast plasma membrane H +-ATPase. J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 2007, 21, 252–264. [CrossRef]
- Azad, G.K.; Singh, V.; Mandal, P.; Singh, P.; Golla, U.; Baranwal, S.; Chauhan, S.; Tomar, R.S. Ebselen induces reactive oxygen species (ROS)-mediated cytotoxicity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with inhibition of glutamate dehydrogenase being a target. *FEBS Open Bio* 2014, 4, 77–89. [CrossRef]
- Thangamani, S.; Eldesouky, H.E.; Mohammad, H.; Pascuzzi, P.E.; Avramova, L.; Hazbun, T.R.; Seleem, M.N. Ebselen Exerts Antifungal Activity by Regulating Glutathione (GSH) and Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Production in Fungal Cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta. Gen. Subj.* 2017, 1861, 3002–3010. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lima, S.L.; Colombo, A.L.; de Almeida Junior, J.D. Fungal Cell Wall: Emerging Antifungals and Drug Resistance. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10, 2573. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Meotti, F.C.; Borges, V.C.; Zeni, G.; Rocha, J.B.; Nogueira, C.W. Potential renal and hepatic toxicity of diphenyl diselenide, diphenyl ditelluride and ebselen for rats and mice. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2003**, *143*, 9–16. [CrossRef]
- 50. Nogueira, C.W.; Meotti, F.C.; Curte, E.; Pilissão, C.; Zeni, G.; Rocha, J.B.T. Investigations into the potential neurotoxicity induced by diselenides in mice and rats. *Toxicology* **2003**, *183*, 29. [CrossRef]