





Draft Genome Sequence of an *Enterococcus thailandicus* Strain Isolated from Bovine Feces

Alicia G. Beukers, a,b Rahat Zaheer, Noriko Goji, Shaun R. Cook, Kingsley K. Amoako, Alexandre V. Chaves, Michael P. Ward, Tim A. McAllister

Faculty of Veterinary Science, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia^a; Lethbridge Research Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada^b; Canadian Food Inspection Agency, National Centers for Animal Disease, Lethbridge Laboratory, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada^c

Here, we report the first draft genome sequence of *Enterococcus thailandicus* isolated from the feces of feedlot cattle in Southern Alberta.

Received 5 May 2016 Accepted 1 June 2016 Published 21 July 2016

Citation Beukers AG, Zaheer R, Goji N, Cook SR, Amoako KK, Chaves AV, Ward MP, McAllister TA. 2016. Draft genome sequence of an Enterococcus thailandicus strain isolated from bovine feces. Genome Announc 4(4):e00576-16. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00576-16.

Copyright © 2016 Beukers et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Address correspondence to Tim A. McAllister. tim.mcallister@agr.gc.ca.

Enterococcus thailandicus was first isolated from fermented sausage in Thailand in 2008 (1) and has been identified in the feces of swine (2). We isolated *E. thailandicus* with an intermediate resistance to erythromycin from bovine feces in Alberta, Canada, in 2005 (3). This isolate was originally identified through a previously unobserved variance in the *groES-EL* spacer region (4). The nonexistence/unavailability of an *E. thailandicus* genome sequence in the database provided the motive for selecting this isolate for whole-genome sequencing. The present genome sequence will help provide further insight and understanding of *Enterococcus* genera.

Here, we report the first draft genome sequence of *E. thailandicus*. Genomic DNA was prepared as described by Klima et al. (5). Indexed paired-end libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA sample preparation kit (Illumina, Inc., CA) and paired-end $(2 \times 300 \text{ bp reads})$ sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina) to yield a total of 1,169,142 reads. High-quality reads were *de novo* assembled using SPAdes version 3.6.0 software (6).

The draft genome of E. thailandicus has a total size of 2,603,691 bp with a GC content of 36.7% and consists of 17 contigs ranging from 998 bp to 431,427 bp with an average coverage of 39× and an N_{50} length of 337,578 bp. Genome annotation was performed by use of the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (http://www .ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_prok/), leading to the prediction of 2,397 protein-coding genes, 56 tRNAs, 1 transfermessenger RNA (tmRNA), and 5 rRNA operons. At least four multidrug efflux pump proteins were annotated in the genome and may have contributed to the observed intermediate resistance to erythromycin (3). A glycopeptide resistance protein with homology to VanZ was also identified in the genome. VanZ is known to confer low-level resistance to teicoplanin in Enterococcus fae*cium* but not to vancomycin (7). No resistance determinants were identified using the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARDs) (8) or the ResFinder version 2.1 server (9). No virulence factors were identified using the VirulenceFinder version 1.5 server (10). Limitations of databases for both antibiotic resistance and virulence genes could have resulted in unknown

resistance or virulence genes remaining unidentified. It is possible that *E. thailandicus* contains further novel antibiotic resistance or virulence genes with further studies required to elucidate this.

The genome was ordered based on alignment against *E. fae-cium* T110 (accession number CP006030.1) using progressive Mauve (11) and analyzed for the presence of prophage using PHAST (12). Three incomplete and one questionable prophage were predicted in the genome. Six confirmed clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) arrays were identified using CRISPRfinder (13). Only one CRISPR array was linked to CRISPR-associated (*cas*) genes, consisting of *cas9*, *cas2*, *cas1* and *csn2* and classifying this array as a type II-A system (14). Gene clusters encoding the production of a putative lantipeptide and a bacteriocin were predicted using the Antibiotics and Secondary Metabolite Analysis Shell (15).

The addition of the draft genome of *E. thailandicus* has expanded on the current *Enterococcus* genome database and will be a valuable addition in comparative genomic analysis studies to further understanding of the diversity of the genus *Enterococcus*.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession LWMN00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version LWMN01000000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was conducted with funding from Beef Cattle Research Council (BCRC) Beef Cluster of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC). A. G. Beukers was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award from the Australian Government.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work, including the efforts of Alicia G. Beukers, was funded by Beef Cattle Research Council (BCRC).

Funding was provided by BCRC, but this organization had no control over publication or direct input into research.

REFERENCES

- Tanasupawat S, Sukontasing S, Lee JS. 2008. Enterococcus thailandicus sp. nov., isolated from fermented sausage ("mum") in Thailand. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:1630–1634. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65535-0.
- Liu Y, Wang Y, Schwarz S, Li Y, Shen Z, Zhang Q, Wu C, Shen J. 2013.
 Transferable multiresistance plasmids carrying cfr in Enterococcus spp. from swine and farm environment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57: 42–48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01605-12.
- 3. Beukers AG, Zaheer R, Cook SR, Stanford K, Chaves AV, Ward MP, McAllister TA. 2015. Effect of in-feed administration and withdrawal of Tylosin phosphate on antibiotic resistance in enterococci isolated from feedlot steers. Front Microbiol 6:483. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00483.
- Zaheer R, Yanke LJ, Church D, Topp E, Read RR, McAllister TA. 2012. High-throughput species identification of enterococci using pyrose-quencing. J Microbiol Methods 89:174–178. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2012.03.012.
- Klima CL, Cook SR, Zaheer R, Laing C, Gannon VP, Xu Y, Rasmussen J, Potter A, Hendrick S, Alexander TW, McAllister TA. 2016. Comparative genomic analysis of *Mannheimia haemolytica* from bovine sources. PLoS One 11:e0149520. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149520.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- 7. Arthur M, Depardieu F, Molinas C, Reynolds P, Courvalin P. 1995. The *vanZ* gene of Tn*1546* from *Enterococcus faecium* BM4147 confers resistance to teicoplanin. Gene 154:87–92. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(94)00851-I.
- 8. McArthur AG, Waglechner N, Nizam F, Yan A, Azad MA, Baylay AJ,

- Bhullar K, Canova MJ, De Pascale G, Ejim L, Kalan L, King AM, Koteva K, Morar M, Mulvey MR, O'Brien JS, Pawlowski AC, Piddock LJ, Spanogiannopoulos P, Sutherland AD, Tang I, Taylor PL, Thaker M, Wang W, Yan M, Yu T, Wright GD. 2013. The comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:3348–3357. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00419-13.
- 9. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, Aarestrup FM, Larsen MV. 2012. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:2640–2644. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks261.
- Joensen KG, Scheutz F, Lund O, Hasman H, Kaas RS, Nielsen EM, Aarestrup FM. 2014. Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance, and outbreak detection of verotoxigenic *Escherichia* coli. J Clin Microbiol 52:1501–1510. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ JCM.03617-13.
- 11. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One 5:e11147. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147.
- Zhou Y, Liang Y, Lynch KH, Dennis JJ, Wishart DS. 2011. PHAST: a fast phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 39:W347–W352. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkr485.
- Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. 2007. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res 35:W52–W57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm360.
- 14. Chylinski K, Makarova KS, Charpentier E, Koonin EV. 2014. Classification and evolution of type II CRISPR-Cas systems. Nucleic Acids Res 42:6091–6105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku241.
- 15. Medema MH, Blin K, Cimermancic P, de Jager V, Zakrzewski P, Fischbach MA, Weber T, Takano E, Breitling R. 2011. antiSMASH: rapid identification, annotation and analysis of secondary metabolite Bio-Synthesis gene clusters in bacterial and fungal genome sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 39:W339–W346. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr466.