

## Computational eco-systems biology in *Tara* Oceans: translating data into knowledge

Shinichi Sunagawa<sup>1</sup>, Eric Karsenti<sup>1,2</sup>, Chris Bowler<sup>2</sup> & Peer Bork<sup>1,3</sup>

n molecular systems biology, data are flooding us at an ever-increasing pace, from genomic to transcriptomic and proteomic information, complemented by spatially and time-resolved data obtained at multiple scales. Computational biology usually integrates these layers of information at the cellular and biochemical levels. But how does the interplay between experimental and computational biology work if this information is coming not only from a cellular system, but from an entire ecosystem and if this ecosystem spans the entire Earth? Here, we illustrate some of the computational challenges and promises of large-scale eco-systems biology studies (Raes & Bork, 2008) in the context of the Tara Oceans project (Fig 1), which has arguably been one of the wettest wet laboratory experiments ever.

From 2009 to 2013, the schooner Tara sampled ocean plankton spanning several orders of magnitude in size at 210 stations over a range of depths (down to 2,000 m) around the world's oceans, together with various oceanographic measures such as temperature, salinity, nutrient concentrations, as well as visual monitoring of plankton far beyond the resolution of the naked eye (Bork et al, 2015). This adventurous wet part of the project obviously needed a dry counterpart on land, not only mastering study design, standards (e.g. standard operational protocols), archiving and logistics (Pesant et al, 2015), but also the arduous vet exciting part of translating heterogeneous data into knowledge at a truly planetary scale. To tackle this grand challenge, an interdisciplinary team was formed early on to take an integrative approach and to maximize the interactions between fields and

people, with the objective of promoting research that was beyond what individual laboratories could accomplish alone (Karsenti, 2015).

In total, > 35,000 samples, each one with an individual barcode and with contextual metadata, were collected for morphological, environmental and genomic analysis. Of the latter, a subset of ca. 600 samples had been prioritized early on to balance biogeographical coverage and analysis costs (Table 1). At regular meetings and telephone conferences, consortium scientists were able to build a network of the different methodological approaches, biomolecular data types, diverse organism groups and oceanographic parameters, and then to superimpose a range of

global and discipline-specific questions that could be addressed with these data.

To enable synchronization of the different laboratories, the first steps of the analysis involved data standardization, normalization, quality control and public deposition of the data. For example, signal profiles that had been recorded *in situ* by numerous instruments had to be calibrated and validated, data from satellites and autonomous floats were integrated, and on land, analyses of samples added further data such as nutrients, pigments and carbonate chemistry to yield comprehensive environmental data. Digital images were analysed to extract features describing the shape and diversity of the captured organisms. Trillions

Table 1. Taxonomic and genetic diversity analysed to date by the Tara Oceans project.

	Eukaryotes	Prokaryotes	Viruses
Taxonomic diversity			
Method	18S rRNA (PCR tags)	16S rRNA ( <sub>mi</sub> tags)	Contigs (assembly)
Detected diversity	110 k OTUs	35 k OTUs	5.5 k populations
Novel taxa	23 k OTUs	ND	ND
Samples	334	139	43
Stations	47	67	26
Genetic diversity			
Method	Metatranscriptomics	Metagenomics	Metagenomics
Detected diversity	7.6 M genes <sup>a</sup>	40 M genes <sup>a</sup>	1 M proteins <sup>b</sup>
Novel genes	> 30% <sup>c</sup>	> 80% <sup>a</sup>	< 20% <sup>b</sup>
Samples	29	243	43
Stations	3	68	26

Cells highlighted in yellow: only those data are, in principle, comparable but even here station numbers and filters differ.

DOI 10.15252/msb.20156272

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Based on clustering at 95% nucleotide sequence similarity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Based on clustering at 60% protein sequence identity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Based on taxonomic assignments.

<sup>1</sup> European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany. E-mail: bork@embl.de

<sup>2</sup> Ecole Normale Supérieure, Institut de Biologie de l'ENS (IBENS), and Inserm U1024, and CNRS UMR 8197, Paris, France

<sup>3</sup> Max-Delbrück-Centre for Molecular Medicine, Berlin, Germany

Molecular Systems Biology Editorial Shinichi Sunagawa et al



Figure 1. Translating Tara Oceans' data deluge into knowledge.

## Box 1: *Tara* Oceans: from parts lists towards an understanding of ecosystems.

Tara Oceans released a massive amount of primary and derived data along with the publication of their initial results. For example, a data volume of ca. 13 terabytes has already been archived at the EBI (PRJEB402); however, many data types can still not be easily compared as methodological details and context differ.

Due to differing biological features in the different organism classes and due to funding constraints, different methods were applied to capture biodiversity. For example, metagenomics could not be afforded for eukaryotes, since only a very small fraction of the large genomes are proteincoding. Also, because of missing methodological standards, direct comparison of these data is challenging. For example, due to difficulties in delineating species based on molecular data alone, the term operational taxonomic unit (OTU) is commonly used to define a taxonomic group based on sequence similarity of select taxonomic marker genes. However, the 18S and 16S rRNA genes are used for eukaryotes and prokaryotes, respectively, which differ in diversification rates and operational taxonomic definitions. Moreover, as viruses lack any universal genes that could be used for consistent taxonomic classification, long contiguous sequences of assembled viral genomes were used as an alternative approach to quantify viral populations. On the other hand, for studying genetic diversity, similar gene definitions were used for metagenomically characterized prokaryotic genes and metatranscriptomically derived eukaryotic genes. However, sequencing depths, sample numbers, gene lengths, genome sizes and many other parameters are different and need normalization, before sensible comparisons can be made (Table 1). Thus, despite a 1,000-fold increase of data over earlier ocean surveys (Rusch et al, 2007), the established Tara Oceans' resources are only the tip of an iceberg when attempting to collect planetary biodiversity. While representing a promising start to collect the molecular and taxonomic parts lists of the contemporary ocean, Tara Oceans has a lot of work ahead to connect these into species interactions and their functional meaning in the context of the environment.

of sequenced DNA base pairs were translated into organismal abundance and diversity using 16S and 18S rRNA gene data on the one hand and assembled into genes and genomes based on metagenomics and metatranscriptomics on the other hand (Jaillon *et al* in preparation; Sunagawa *et al*, 2015). For the analysis of metagenomics data

Shinichi Sunagawa et al Editorial Molecular Systems Biology

alone, millions of CPU hours distributed over high-performance clusters with terabyte memory nodes were required to solve this gigantic puzzle. The public deposition of the raw and derived data was a challenge on its own, not only due to their sheer volume (to date, 11.5 terabytes), but also due to the need to contextualize and cross-link data from heterogeneous sources. But with the much-appreciated support from the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) and the environmental data publisher PANGAEA (www.pangaea.de), it was finally accomplished.

As a first integration milestone, a number of general resources were created: an 18S rRNA gene-based census of eukaryotic biodiversity and an ocean microbial reference gene catalogue; the latter derived from the analysis of organisms filtered by size to enrich viral and prokaryotic content. Both biomolecule-based data types give insights into the biodiversity of the world's oceans at unprecedented scale (Sunagawa et al, 2015; de Vargas et al, 2015). The resources, together with other data types (e.g. microscopy images, environmental parameters and oceanographic measurements), were then utilized to establish an overview of DNA virus distribution in the oceans (Brum et al, 2015), to derive global species interaction networks across all domains of life and viruses (Lima-Mendez et al, 2015) and to integrate oceanographic and biological data to study plankton dispersal at a major chokepoint of global ocean circulation (Villar et al, 2015). These studies exemplify how an ecosystems biology approach can be used to interpret molecular data in the context of planetary-scale processes such as ocean currents, temperature gradients and nutrient cycles.

Analysis of the *Tara* Oceans' data is likely to continue for years, perhaps decades. Together with other data sources and types, the *Tara* Oceans' data sets should contribute to a comprehensive parts list of organisms, genes and genomes in our oceans, although

challenges in data comparability still need to be addressed (Box 1). The current data should also be amended, for example, with a dissection of temporal and seasonal variation at global scale, which could be achieved by simultaneously and repeatedly collecting samples of the global ocean. To this end, initiatives of crowd-sourced research are already on the horizon (www.oceansam plingday.org). The increasing quantity, quality and resolution of such data will make it possible to address global-scale phenomena, and by integrating molecular data, to test constraints on biodiversity, dispersal and evolution at various spatial and temporal scales, for example. We anticipate that with advances in 'omics' technologies, deciphering the features that are consistent within and across Earth's ecosystems as well as the mechanisms that drive them over seasonal and evolutionary time scales has now become a little more science than just fiction.

## References

Bork P, Bowler C, de Vargas C, Gorsky G, Karsenti E, Wincker P (2015) *Tara* Oceans studies plankton at planetary scale. *Science* doi: 10.1126/science.aac5605

Brum JR, Ignacio-Espinoza JC, Roux S, Doulcier G,
Acinas S, Alberti A, Chaffron S, Cruaud C, de
Vargas C, Gasol JM, Gorsky G, Gregory AC, Guidi
L, Hingamp P, Iudicone D, Not F, Ogata H,
Pesant S, Poulos BT, Schwenck SM et al (2015)
Global patterns and ecological drivers of ocean
viral communities. Science doi: 10.1126/
science.1261498

de Vargas C, Audic S, Henry N, Decelle J, Mahé F,
Logares R, Lara E, Berney C, Le Bescot N, Probert
I, Carmichael M, Poulain J, Romac S, Colin S, Aury
J-M, Bittner L, Chaffron S, Dunthorn M, Engelen
S, Flegontova O et al (2015) Eukaryotic plankton
diversity in the sunlit global ocean. Science doi:
10.1126/science.1261605

Karsenti E (2015) The making of *Tara* Oceans: funding blue skies research for our Blue Planet. *Mol Syst Biol* 11: 811

Lima-Mendez G, Faust K, Henry N, Decelle J, Colin S, Carcillo F, Chaffron S, Ignacio-Espinosa JC, Roux S, Vincent F, Bittner L, Darzi Y, Wang J, Audic S, Berline L, Cabello AM, Coppola L, Cornejo-Castillo FM, d'Ovidio F, De Meester L *et al* (2015) Top-down determinants of community structure in the global plankton interactome. *Science* doi: 10.1126/science.1262073

Pesant S, Not F, Picheral M, Kandels-Lewis S, Le Bescot N, Gorsky G, Iudicone D, Karsenti E, Speich S, Troublé R, Dimier C, Searson S, Tara Oceans Consortium Coordinators (2015) Open science resources for the discovery and analysis of *Tara* Oceans Data. *Sci Data* 2: 150023. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2015.23

Raes J, Bork P (2008) Molecular eco-systems biology: towards an understanding of community function. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 6: 693 – 699

Rusch DB, Halpern AL, Sutton G, Heidelberg KB,
Williamson S, Yooseph S, Wu D, Eisen JA,
Hoffman JM, Remington K, Beeson K, Tran B,
Smith H, Baden-Tillson H, Stewart C, Thorpe J,
Freeman J, Andrews-Pfannkoch C, Venter JE, Li K
et al (2007) The sorcerer II global ocean
sampling expedition: Northwest Atlantic through
Eastern Tropical Pacific. PLoS Biol 5: e77

Sunagawa S, Coelho LP, Chaffron S, Kultima JR, Labadie K, Salazar G, Djahanschiri B, Zeller G, Mende DR, Alberti A, Cornejo-Castillo FM, Costea Pl, Cruaud C, d'Ovidio F, Engelen S, Ferrera I, Gasol JM, Guidi L, Hildebrand F, Kokoszka F et al (2015) Structure and Function of the Global Ocean Microbiome. Science doi: 10.1126/science.1261359

Villar E, Farrant GK, Follows M, Garczarek L, Speich S, Audic S, Bittner L, Blanke B, Brum JR, Brunet C, Casotti R, Chase A, Dolan JR, d'Ortenzio F, Gattuso J-P, Grima N, Guidi L, Hill CN, Jahn O, Jamet J-L et al (2015) Environmental characteristics of Agulhas rings affect interocean plankton transport. Science doi: 10.1126/science.1261447



**License:** This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.