







Article

# Merkel Cell Polyomavirus T Antigens Induce Merkel Cell-Like Differentiation in GLI1-Expressing Epithelial Cells

Thibault Kervarrec <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> , Mahtab Samimi <sup>2,4</sup>, Sonja Hesbacher <sup>3</sup>, Patricia Berthon <sup>2</sup>, Marion Wobser <sup>3</sup>, Aurélie Sallot <sup>5</sup>, Bhavishya Sarma <sup>3</sup>, Sophie Schweinitzer <sup>3</sup>, Théo Gandon <sup>2</sup>, Christophe Destrieux <sup>6</sup> , Côme Pasqualin <sup>7</sup> , Serge Guyétant <sup>1,2</sup> , Antoine Touzé <sup>2</sup>, Roland Houben <sup>3,†</sup>  and David Schrama <sup>3,†</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pathology, Université de Tours, CHU de Tours, Avenue de la République, 37170 Chambray-les-Tours, France; serge.guyétant@univ-tours.fr

<sup>2</sup> “Biologie des Infections à Polyomavirus” Team, UMR INRA ISP 1282, Université de Tours, 31 Avenue Monge, 37200 Tours, France; mahtab.samimi@univ-tours.fr (M.S.); patricia.berthon@inra.fr (P.B.); theo.gandon@etu.univ-tours.fr (T.G.); antoine.touze@univ-tours.fr (A.T.)

<sup>3</sup> Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Allergology, University Hospital Würzburg, Josef-Schneider-Straße 2, 97080 Würzburg, Germany; hesbacher\_s@ukw.de (S.H.); wobser\_m@ukw.de (M.W.); Sarma\_B@ukw.de (B.S.); sophie.schweinitzer@gmx.de (S.S.); houben\_r@ukw.de (R.H.); Schrama\_d@ukw.de (D.S.)

<sup>4</sup> Dermatology Department, Université de Tours, CHU de Tours, Avenue de la République, 37170 Chambray-les-Tours, France

<sup>5</sup> Plastic Surgery Department, Université de Tours, CHU de Tours, Avenue de la République, 37170 Chambray-les-Tours, France; aurelie.sallot@hotmail.fr

<sup>6</sup> Neurosurgery Department, UMR 1253, i Brain, Université De Tours, CHU de Tours, Boulevard Tonnelé, 37044 Tours, France; christophe.destrieux@univ-tours.fr

<sup>7</sup> CNRS ERL 7368, Signalisation et Transports Ioniques Membranaires, Equipe Transferts Ioniques et Rythmicité Cardiaque, Groupe Physiologie des Cellules Cardiaques et Vasculaires, Université de Tours, 31 Avenue Monge, 37200 Tours, France; come.pasqualin@univ-tours.fr

\* Correspondence: thibaultkervarrec@yahoo.fr

† Equal contribution.

Received: 19 June 2020; Accepted: 13 July 2020; Published: 21 July 2020



**Abstract:** Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is an aggressive skin cancer frequently caused by the Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV). It is still under discussion, in which cells viral integration and MCC development occurs. Recently, we demonstrated that a virus-positive MCC derived from a trichoblastoma, an epithelial neoplasia bearing Merkel cell (MC) differentiation potential. Accordingly, we hypothesized that MC progenitors may represent an origin of MCPyV-positive MCC. To sustain this hypothesis, phenotypic comparison of trichoblastomas and physiologic human MC progenitors was conducted revealing GLI family zinc finger 1 (GLI1), Keratin 17 (KRT 17), and SRY-box transcription factor 9 (SOX9) expressions in both subsets. Furthermore, GLI1 expression in keratinocytes induced transcription of the MC marker SOX2 supporting a role of GLI1 in human MC differentiation. To assess a possible contribution of the MCPyV T antigens (TA) to the development of an MC-like phenotype, human keratinocytes were transduced with TA. While this led only to induction of KRT8, an early MC marker, combined GLI1 and TA expression gave rise to a more advanced MC phenotype with SOX2, KRT8, and KRT20 expression. Finally, we demonstrated MCPyV-large T antigens' capacity to inhibit the degradation of the MC master regulator Atonal bHLH transcription factor 1 (ATOH1). In conclusion, our report suggests that MCPyV TA contribute to the acquisition of an MC-like phenotype in epithelial cells.

**Keywords:** Merkel cell carcinoma; histogenesis; polyomavirus; ATOH1; GLI1; sonic hedgehog; hair follicle

---

## 1. Introduction

Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is an aggressive cutaneous neoplasm with a five-year overall survival rate of 40% [1]. Morphologically, MCC tumor cells display small cell carcinoma features and express both neuroendocrine and epithelial markers. In 2008, Feng et al. detected the sequence of a hitherto unknown polyomavirus integrated in the genomes of MCC tumor cells [2]. Subsequent studies revealed that approximately 80% of MCC cases are Merkel cell polyomavirus (MCPyV)-positive, and expression of the two viral T antigens (TA) (small T (sT) and large T antigens (LT)) are considered as the main drivers for carcinogenesis and growth of such tumors [2]. Interestingly, while several candidates, such as epithelial cells, fibroblasts, neuronal progenitors, or B cells, have been proposed, the nature of the cells giving rise to MCC following infection remains unknown [3–6].

Based on close phenotypic similarities, the eponymous Merkel cell (MC) was initially regarded as the most probable cell of origin of MCC. MCs can be found either in the appendages of the skin or in the basal layer of the epidermis. They function as mechanoreceptors capable of transmitting tactile stimuli onto A $\beta$ -afferent nerve endings [7]. In mice and humans, MCs can be distinguished immunohistochemically from other intra-epidermal cells by positivity for the SRY-box transcription factor 2 (SOX2) and cytokeratins (KRT) 8, 18, and 20, which sequentially appear during MC differentiation and are also expressed by MCC [8–12].


For a long time, it was a matter of debate whether MCs develop from the neural crest or from the epidermal lineage [13]. Based on genetic mouse models, it is now widely accepted that MCs derive from epidermal progenitors in mammals [12,14,15] and that the transcription factor atonal homolog 1 (Atoh1) is the master regulator of this differentiation process [12,16,17]. While ectopic Atoh1 expression can induce MC differentiation throughout the epidermis of transgenic mice [3], physiological MC development preferentially occurs in hair follicles and in specialized structures named “touch domes” where the epithelial progenitors of MCs are located [18,19]. A critical step for MC differentiation in mice hairy skin is that these progenitors come into contact with dermal nerves leading to activation of the sonic hedgehog pathway (SHH) and subsequent GLI family zinc finger 1 (Gli1) expression [18,19]. Further markers characterizing these Gli1-expressing progenitors in mice are Krt17 [18], Sox9 [20], and CD200 [21], while only one study has shown KRT17 expression in human “touch dome” keratinocytes [22]. Notably, a high tumorigenic potential has been demonstrated for this cell population in transgenic models [23]. Therefore, these MCs’ epithelial progenitors, which remain poorly characterized in humans, are one potential candidate for MCC origin [24]. In contrast, due to lack of proliferative activity [25] and insensitiveness to oncogenic stimuli including ectopic TA expression [26], differentiated MCs are regarded as unlikely to be transformable [4].

Besides MCC, a second tumor entity known as trichoblastoma (TB) harbors cells with an MC phenotype. In this regard, TB as a benign epithelial skin tumor displaying hair follicle differentiation [27] is mainly composed of germinative basaloid cells, but is also characterized by sparse intra-tumoral MC cells. The latter probably reflects a preserved potential of TB cells to act as epithelial progenitors and, therefore, to differentiate into MCs [28–30]. Applying massive parallel sequencing on a combined tumor consisting of MCC and TB components, we recently demonstrated that MCPyV integration in a TB cell gave rise to an MCPyV-positive MCC [31] indicating that an MCPyV-positive MCC can arise from an epithelial cell. Moreover, the phenotypical similarities between TB and physiologic hair follicles, where MC progenitors are preferentially located, further support epithelial progenitors with intrinsic MC differentiation potential as possible ancestry for MCPyV-induced MCC [31]. In the present study, we first expanded characterization of such MC progenitors in humans and then aimed to evaluate how the viral T antigens might contribute to the development of an MC-like phenotype in this population using GLI1-expressing keratinocytes as a model system.

## 2. Results

### 2.1. MCs Are Often Located in Appendage Structures in Human Skin

MC development has mainly been characterized in mouse models [9,12,14]. Hence, in a first set of experiments, we used immunohistochemistry to compare the MC differentiation process under physiological conditions as well as in the tumor setting in humans. We started with characterizing the MC lineage by assessing physiological density and location of MCs in a set of 15 samples from three human autopsy skin specimens (Figure 1A,B, Figure S1, Table S1). Mean MC density, regardless of the location, was 50 cells/mm<sup>2</sup> of epidermis, and head and neck as well as acral skin were enriched in MCs compared to the other sites (density = 55 and 104 MCs/mm<sup>2</sup>, respectively). Moreover, MCs were often located in appendage structures (72% of all observed MCs), i.e., either hair follicles or sweat glands, as depicted in Figure 1B, Figure S1. Of note, contrary to previous reports, some dermal MCs were observed (Figure S1).




**Figure 1.** Merkel cells and possible Merkel cell progenitors in human skin. (A) Keratin 20 (KRT20), SRY-box transcription factor 2 (SOX2), KRT8, and KRT18 staining was used to identify Merkel cells (MCs) (bar = 100 µm) (only one hotspot investigated for illustration purpose). Merged analysis is available in Figure S1B. (B) Identification of potential MC progenitors in human skin: Three MC hotspots as well as interfollicular epidermis for comparison are depicted (bar = 100 µm) (15 hotspots investigated in total). Immunohistochemical staining revealed expression of KRT17 and SOX9 in the epidermal cells surrounding differentiated MCs suggesting that these cells are MC progenitors. Nuclear GLI family zinc finger 1 (GLI1) was detected only close to MC hotspots in hairy, but not in acral skin. Of note, neurofilament (NF)-expressing dermal nerves were observed in contact with the MCs.

### 2.2. Cells with an MC Progenitor Phenotype Characterized by GLI1 Expression are Found in Close Proximity of MCs in Human Hairy Skin

Since MC epithelial progenitors can be expected to be found preferentially in regions enriched for MCs, we focused on the following on MC hotspots [18,22]. Such areas enriched in KRT20-positive MCs were mostly observed in hair follicles (52% of cases) or in junctions between eccrine sweat ducts and the overlying epidermis (36%). In the latter case, MCs were surrounded by clusters of verticalized basal keratinocytes resembling structures reported as “touch domes” [22] (Figure 1B, Figure S1, Table S1). Slides of MC hotspots were subsequently stained for the epithelial progenitor markers GLI1, SOX9, and KRT17, revealing that epidermal cells surrounding MCs—in contrast to the rest of the epidermis—were characterized by nuclear GLI1 expression and positivity for the stem cell markers KRT17 and SOX9 (Figure 1B, Figure S1). In mice, Gli1-expressing keratinocytes in the hair follicle have been identified as MC progenitors [17–20]. Hence, our results demonstrate that also in human hairy skin an equivalent GLI1-positive population is preferentially located in the hair follicle.

### 2.3. GLI1 Expression in Keratinocytes Induces MC Lineage Markers

To evaluate a role of GLI1 expression in the establishment of the MC lineage in human epithelial cells, we used primary normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK) as model system (Figure S2). These cells were transduced with a lentiviral vector encoding GLI1. Gene expression analysis after 14 days revealed an increase of the MC lineage markers SOX2 (110-fold compared to the empty vector control,  $p = 0.002$ ) and KRT8 (4-fold,  $p = 0.05$ ) in those cells (Figure 2A). Moreover, in GLI1-transduced cells KRT17 and SOX9 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels were found to be slightly elevated (2-fold), which, however, did not reach statistical significance. On protein level, we observed increased expression levels of SOX2 upon GLI1 expression by immunocytochemistry and immunoblot (Figure 2B, Figure S3A,B). Additional immunostainings suggested enhanced KRT17 and SOX9 expression in GLI1-transduced NHEK, while no expression of the additional MC markers KRT8 or KRT20 was observed (Figure 2B, Figure S3). The discrepancy between induction of mRNA and lack of KRT8 protein in immunostaining upon GLI1 expression might be explained by protein levels below the detection limit of the antibody used. Nevertheless, together, these results suggest that GLI1, the executor of the sonic hedgehog pathway, is capable of initiating the first step of MC differentiation via SOX2 induction [6,9].



**Figure 2.** Ectopic GLI1 expression in primary human epidermal keratinocytes induces several MC lineage markers: Normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK) were infected with a lentiviral vector coding for GLI1 and puromycin resistance. Following antibiotic selection, cells were harvested after 14 days of cultivation. (A) Immunoblot analysis was performed to confirm GLI1 expression (insert), and isolated RNA was subjected to complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis and real-time PCR. Relative messenger RNA (mRNA) expression levels of the indicated Merkel cell lineage markers are given as mean (+ standard error of the mean (SEM)) of four independent experiments (\*  $p$  value < 0.05, paired  $t$  test) (mean CT value of the controls was used as reference). (B) Expression of GLI1, the MC progenitor (KRT17, SOX9) and the MC markers (SOX2, KRT8, and KRT20) was assessed by immunohistochemistry and relative protein expression quantification was performed on at least 1000 cells/condition using ImageJ software. Results are displayed as box and whiskers diagram with median, Q1, and Q3, as well as first and 99th percentile. These results were confirmed by two additional independent experiments (immunostaining and immunoblot) as shown in Figure S3. Uncropped membranes and Western blot signal quantifications are available in Figures S8 and S9, respectively.

#### 2.4. MC-Progenitor and MC Markers Are Expressed in Trichoblastoma and Merkel Cell Carcinoma

Next, we assessed how the markers defining the MC differentiation status are distributed in the two tumor entities harboring MC-like cells, i.e., TB and MCC. In five out of six MC containing interpretable TBs, we detected sparse SOX2-positive intra-tumoral cells. As typical for trichoblastoma, these expected “MCs” represented only a minority of cells dispersed within a vast majority of germinative tumor cells displaying a MC progenitor phenotype, and may be explained by germinative TB cells undergoing MC differentiation [30,32]. In line with this view and in line with the necessity of active hedgehog pathway signaling for potential MC differentiation in human epithelial cells [9,18], widespread nuclear GLI1 expression in the germinative cells was detectable in seven out of eight TB specimens (Table 1, Table S2, Figure S4A). Furthermore, diffuse expression of the GLI1 target genes, SOX9 and KRT17, was observed in germinative cells of all TB cases (Table 1, Table S2, Figure S4A). In conclusion, these results further substantiate known similarities between MCs’ epithelial progenitors and TB cells. In light of our previous report of an MCPyV-positive MCC arising from a TB cell [31], these observations further suggest such MC epithelial progenitors as a potential origin of MCPyV-induced MCC.

**Table 1.** Expression of Merkel cell progenitor markers in trichoblastoma ( $n = 8$ ) and Merkel cell carcinoma ( $n = 103$ ).

| MC Progenitor Markers          | TB ( $n = 8$ Cases) | MCC ( $n = 103$ Cases) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| <b>GLI1</b>                    |                     |                        |
| Negative                       | 1 (13%)             | 60 (67%)               |
| Positive (nuclear)             | 7 (87%)             | 29 (33%)               |
| No data available              | 0                   | 14                     |
| <b>KRT17</b>                   |                     |                        |
| Negative                       | 0                   | 94 (100%)              |
| Positive (cytoplasmic)         | 8 (100%)            | 0                      |
| No data available              | 0                   | 9                      |
| <b>SOX9</b>                    |                     |                        |
| Negative                       | 0                   | 7 (8%)                 |
| Dot-like (cytoplasmic)         | 0                   | 59 (64%)               |
| Patchy (nuclear)               | 0                   | 26 (28%)               |
| Diffuse (nuclear)              | 8 (100%)            | 0                      |
| No data available              | 0                   | 11                     |
| <b>MC markers</b>              | <b>TB</b>           | <b>MCC</b>             |
| <b>SOX2</b>                    |                     |                        |
| Negative                       | 1 (17%)             | 2 (2%)                 |
| Positive (nuclear)             | 5 (83%)             | 94 (98%)               |
| No data available              | 2                   | 7                      |
| <b>KRT20</b>                   |                     |                        |
| Negative                       | 0                   | 8                      |
| Diffuse (cytoplasmic)          | 8 (100%)            | 2                      |
| Mixed (cytoplasmic)            | 0                   | 66                     |
| Dot-like pattern (cytoplasmic) | 0                   | 19                     |
| No data available              | 0                   | 8                      |


KRT: Cytokeratin; GLI1: GLI family zinc finger 1; MC: Merkel cell; MCC: Merkel cell carcinoma; SOX2: SRY-box transcription factor 2; SOX9: SRY-box 9, TB: Trichoblastoma. Representative photos of SOX9 expression patterns are available in Figure S3. Results are given as numbers and percentage of interpretable cases.

While in TB a mixture of cells with either epithelial progenitor or MC phenotype is present, almost all MCC tumor cells display a phenotype of mature MC. Indeed, in a previous study we observed 100, 99, and 92% of MCC cases with widespread positivity for the MC markers KRT8, 18, and 20, respectively [33,34]. Accordingly, in the present work, diffuse and strong nuclear positivity for SOX2 was detected in almost all analyzed MCC tumors (98%). While the MC progenitor marker

KRT17 was not detectable (Table 1, Figure S4A), GLI1 and SOX9 nuclear expression, representing the active forms of these transcription factors, were detected in 33% and 28% of cases, respectively (Table 1, Table S2). Moreover, such findings were more frequently observed in MCPyV-negative than in MCPyV-positive cases (GLI1: 52 versus 24%,  $p < 0.03$ ; SOX9 nuclear positivity: 81 versus 10%,  $p < 10^{-9}$ , respectively) (Figure S4B,C, Table S3), suggesting that MCPyV presence is associated with a more mature MC phenotype.

#### 2.5. T Antigens Can Trigger Early MC Differentiation Marker Expression in Epidermal Cells

On the supposition that MCC arises upon integration of MCPyV in a cell of the MC lineage, the virus might either hit an already determined MC cell or might trigger or promote the acquisition of the MC phenotype in an epithelial progenitor. To investigate a possible contribution of the MCPyV TAs to the development of an MC phenotype, sT and truncated LT were ectopically expressed in NHEK (Figure 3A). Notably, while cells could not be immortalized by the viral proteins, significant morphologic changes with reduction of cell size were observed upon TA expression (Figure 3A). Gene expression analysis after two weeks revealed an increase of mRNAs coding for early MC differentiation markers (*KRT8*  $p = 0.02$  and *KRT18*  $p = 0.02$ ), while the keratinocyte marker *KRT14* was slightly reduced upon TA expression ( $p = 0.09$ ) (Figure 2B). Induction of KRT8 upon TA expression in NHEKs was confirmed by immunoblot and immunocytochemical staining, while no expression of SOX2 or KRT20 was observed in three independent experiments (Figure 3C,D, Figure S5). Interestingly, in situ KRT8 staining of TA-expressing NHEK demonstrated that expression of this marker was restricted to a subpopulation of cells with small-medium size and round shape (Figure 3C).




**Figure 3.** T antigens induce expression of some early MC differentiation markers in primary human keratinocytes. **A:** NHEKs were infected with a lentiviral vector coding for small T (sT) and truncated Large T (LT) as well as a puromycin resistance. Following antibiotic selection, cells were analyzed after 14 days of cultivation. **(A)** Immunoblot analysis confirmed LT expression, and microscopic inspection revealed a less-flattened phenotype and cultures reaching much higher densities. Under microscopic examination such cells harbored reduced cytoplasmic size compared to the controls, as confirmed using imageJ software (bar = 100  $\mu$ m) (\*  $p$  value < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U test,  $n$  = 3 independent experiments). **(B)** Relative mRNA levels of the indicated Merkel cell differentiation markers (\*  $p$  value < 0.05, paired  $t$  test,  $n$  = 4 independent experiments), **(C)** Immunoblot demonstrated T antigens (TA)-induced KRT8 protein expression and immunohistochemistry additionally revealed KRT8 expression is restricted to a subpopulation of small- to medium-sized round cells. Furthermore, occasionally “dot like” staining was observed (white arrows). **(D)** Immunohistochemical assessment of the indicated MC markers in TA-expressing NHEK, control NHEK and the MCC cell line WaGa (bar = 100  $\mu$ m). KRT8 induction by T antigens was confirmed in two additional independent experiments, which are depicted in Figure S4. For relative quantification of protein expression levels, at least 1000 cells/condition were evaluated using ImageJ software. Results are displayed as box and whiskers diagram with median, Q1, and Q3 as well as first and 99th percentile. Uncropped membranes and Western blot signal quantifications are available in Figures S8 and S9, respectively.

### 2.6. T Antigens Induce Late MC Markers in GLI1-Expressing NHEK

To model TA expression in GLI1-expressing epithelial progenitor cells, we infected NHEKs with a bicistronic lentiviral construct coding for GLI1 and MCPyV-TA. After two weeks, morphological analysis of these cells in comparison to control cells infected with an empty vector revealed induction of a subpopulation of non-adherent, living cells forming clusters similar to the one observed for MCC cell lines (Figure 4A). Moreover, immunocytochemical staining revealed expression of the MC markers KRT8, SOX2, and, to a lesser extent, KRT20 (Figure 4B,C, Figure S5). Given that NHEKs represent only a limited model for MC progenitor cells, these findings—even though the detection of KRT20 was restricted to only a few cells—indicate that the interplay of GLI1 and MCPyV TA bears the potential of enforcing MC differentiation.






**Figure 4.** Induction of late MC markers by combined expression of GLI1 and Merkel cell Polyomavirus (MCPyV) T antigens (TA) in primary keratinocytes. NHEKs were infected with a bicistronic lentiviral vector coding for GLI1 as well as sT and truncated LT. Under control of a second promoter, a pure resistance was expressed. Following antibiotic selection, cells were analyzed after 14 days of cultivation. (A) GLI1/TA combined ectopic expression was associated with formation of floating clusters of living cells in normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK), while these findings were not observed in controls or when GLI1 and TA were transduced independently (PC: Phase contrast) (Figure S4) ( $n = 3$  independent experiments). White arrows indicate the floating cells. (B,C) Immunohistochemical assessment of Merkel cell markers (SOX2, KRT8, and KRT20) expression levels in GLI1/T antigen-expressing NHEKs and controls. Immunohistochemistry was performed on the respective cells spotted on slides ( $2 \times 10^5$  cells/condition). B. Count of cells expressing the Merkel cell markers in GLI1/T antigens (TA)-expressing NHEK and controls (results are mean  $\pm$ SEM of three independent experiments). Counting of positive cells was preferred to relative protein level quantification due to the low number of GLI1/TA-expressing cells. C. Representative photos of LT, GLI1, SOX2, KRT8, KRT18, and KRT20 expression in NHEK (controls), GLI1/TA-expressing NHEK, and the WaGa MCC cell line. White arrows indicate cells expressing the respective proteins. The results for two additional independent experiments are shown in Figure S5.

### 2.7. T Antigens Prevent ATOH1 Degradation

In NHEK, MCPyV-TA induced transcription of MC markers without significantly affecting *ATOH1* (Figure 3B), the known master regulator of MC differentiation [12,14]. Indeed, although LT-mediated *ATOH1* induction was recently reported [35], we only observed a slight and statistically not significant mRNA increase upon TA expression. Hence, we hypothesized that the TAs might affect *ATOH1* protein independent of gene transcription. To test this hypothesis, we transfected U2OS cells either with hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged *ATOH1* alone or in combination with MCPyV-TA and analyzed RNA as well as protein levels. To this end, while the *ATOH1* mRNA level was not affected by TA co-expression, *ATOH1* protein was increased (Figure 5A). Next, a constant amount of *ATOH1*-encoding plasmid (0.3  $\mu$ g) and increasing amounts of TA-encoding plasmid (0–1.4  $\mu$ g) were co-transfected, demonstrating a dose-dependent relation of increasing *ATOH1* in the presence of MCPyV-TA (Figure 5B). Then, we asked whether this effect might be due to decreased protein degradation. To test whether protein stability is affected, the co-expression was performed while translation was inhibited in cycloheximide chase assays, allowing to assess *ATOH1* protein decay in

the presence or absence of TA (Figure 5C). These analyses revealed that TA increased ATOH1 half-life from 2 to 9 h. Interestingly, knockdown of TA expression in the MCC cell lines MKL-1 and WaGa failed to reduce ATOH1 protein levels (Figure S6) suggesting that in established MCC cells ATOH1 does not depend on stabilization by LT.



**Figure 5.** MCPyV T antigens increase the half-life of ATOH1 (A) Hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged ATOH1 and/or TA-encoding plasmids were transfected either individually or combined into U2OS cells. After two days, real-time PCR and immunoblot analyses were performed. While *ATOH1* mRNA was not affected (mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments), ATOH1 protein accumulation in the presence of TA was observed. (B) Co-transfection of a constant amount (0.3 µg) of HA-tagged ATOH1 and increasing amounts of TA in U2OS cells followed by immunoblot analysis. ATOH1-HA signals relative to actin were quantified using ImageJ. Mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments was displayed. (C) Evaluation of ATOH1 half-life in absence or presence of T antigens. Twenty-four hours after transfection, HEK293 cells were exposed to the translation inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) for variable durations (0–6 h). ATOH1-HA expression was then evaluated by immunoblot analysis and quantified using the Image J Software (mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments are depicted). (D) A mutant of ATOH1-HA, in which the three serines at positions 331, 337, and 342 were all exchanged to alanines (ATOH1-HA-3A), was generated, and the impact of co-transfected TA on ATOH1-HA wild type and ATOH1-HA-3A expression was analyzed in CHX chase experiments (see C). Quantified signals relative to actin are given in the graphs below. (E) Co-transfection of ATOH1-HA-3A with increasing amounts of TA did not affect ATOH1 protein expression level (this was confirmed in a second independent experiment). Uncropped membranes and Western blot signal quantifications are available in Figures S8 and S9, respectively.

In mice, Atoh1 degradation has been shown to be controlled by phosphorylation of three carboxy-terminal serine residues (S331, S337, S341) leading to Atoh1 ubiquitinylation and subsequent targeting to the proteasome [36,37]. Hence, we speculated that TA-dependent stabilization might involve the respective sites in the human protein. Consequently, we generated expression constructs coding for ATOH1 proteins in which the serines were exchanged to alanines, either individually (S331A, S337A, and S342A) or all three combined (ATOH1-3A). Indeed, these modified ATOH1 proteins displayed extended half-lives in cycloheximide chase assays (Figure 5D, Figure S7A). More importantly, however, while T antigens still stabilized ATOH1 proteins harboring single phospho-site mutations (Figure S7B), no additional stabilization could be observed for the triple mutant protein (Figure 5D,E). Therefore, it is likely that the TAs act in the same pathway either by impacting phosphorylation of several serine residues on ATOH1 or by interfering with subsequent phosphorylation-dependent proteasome targeting.

### 2.8. The MCPyV Unique Region 1 (MUR1) in MCPyV LT Contributes to ATOH1 Stabilization

Irrespective of the fact that the exact mechanism of TA-mediated ATOH1 protein stabilization still requires further investigations, we finally wanted to know which of the two T antigens and which protein subdomains are involved in the process. Hence, we assessed ATOH1 protein levels after co-transfection of ATOH1 with either sT or LT, respectively. These experiments identified LT as the main effector of ATOH1 stability (Figure S7C). To scrutinize which functional domain of large T might be involved in regulating ATOH1 degradation, another series of co-transfections was performed combining ATOH1 with LT mutants devoid of either specific interaction sites or the MCPyV unique region 1 (MUR1) region. Interestingly, mutants, which have been demonstrated to lack any growth-promoting activity, like the heat shock protein 70 (HSC70)-binding mutant D44N [38,39] or the RB transcriptional corepressor 1 (RB1)-binding deficient variants E216K and S220A [38], were still capable of mediating ATOH1 accumulation (Figure S7D). However, co-transfection of ATOH1 with MCPyV-LT<sup>ΔMUR1</sup>, a LT variant still bearing growth-promoting activity [38], did not result in enhanced protein expression (Figure S7D), suggesting that the MUR1 region of MCPyV-LT is essential for its ATOH1-stabilizing capacity. Since, however, the applied LT antibody (CM2B4) does not recognize LT<sup>ΔMUR1</sup>, we could not confirm that the protein was de facto expressed in these experiments (Figure S7D). We, therefore, repeated this experiment with V5-tagged versions of LT and LT<sup>ΔMUR1</sup>. Now, both proteins were detectable and we again observed no stabilization of co-transfected ATOH1 in the case of LT lacking the MUR1 region (Figure S7E). To further confirm the contribution of the MUR1 region, we also tested the truncated large T of AIDo, an MCC cell line expressing a truncated LT with an additional large deletion representing most of MUR1 [40]. Indeed, upon co-expression of AIDo LT, no stabilization but even a reduction of the ATOH1 protein level was observed (Figure S7F).

## 3. Discussion

Today, the identification of the cell of origin for MCC is still pending. Based on the similarities in phenotype to MCs, the initially described “trabecular carcinoma of the skin” got its name MCC [24]. These phenotypic similarities can result either from transformation of the eponymous cell or inducing phenotypic changes during oncogenesis resulting in a phenotype resembling those cells. Since (1) MCs are regarded as post-mitotic cells with low sensitivity to oncogenic stimuli, (2) they demonstrate different preferred localizations compared to MCCs, (3) lack of infection of MCs by MCPyV, and (4) neuroendocrine tumors tend to derive from epithelial progenitor cells rather than end-differentiated cells [24], a direct transformation of MCs into MCCs is considered as quite unlikely. In this regard, we recently demonstrated that MCPyV integration in a TB gave rise to an MCPyV-positive MCC [31]. Of note, scattered MCs are frequently observed in TB [27,29,30], demonstrating that at least some of the cells possess the potential for MC differentiation, although the molecular determinants of this process are unknown.

Of note, the knowledge on MC development is mainly derived from mouse experiments. In the present study, we confirmed that MC hotspots are mostly located in the hair follicle in human hairy skin. In close vicinity to the MCs, we observed GLI1 and its downstream targets SOX9 [41] and KRT17 [42]-expressing keratinocytes. Similarly, we could confirm nuclear GLI1 positivity and related downstream SOX9 and KRT17 [27] expression in our TB cases suggesting that MC development under human physiological conditions as well as in TB tumors are quite similar and resemble the murine process with GLI1 activation being an early step. Accordingly, upon GLI1-expression in NHEK, we detected an increased expression of SOX9 and KRT17, and—as has been described for other cell lineages [43,44]—a prominent induction of SOX2. Since SOX2 can drive ATOH1 expression by binding to *ATOH1* enhancer [17] or *ATOH1* promoter [6] and thereby promote MC differentiation [45], SOX2 induction appears as a potential mechanism by which GLI1 promotes ATOH1-driven MC development.

Based on our recently reported observation that a MCPyV-positive MCC could arise from a TB, we hypothesized that MCPyV oncoprotein expression is able to induce acquisition of a Merkel cell-like phenotype in epithelial progenitors with intrinsic MC differentiation potential. Indeed, while TA expression in NHEK reduced cell size, triggered KRT8 protein expression, and enhanced *KRT18* mRNA levels, we did not observe expression of KRT20, a marker appearing later during the MC differentiation process [9]. Although *Atoh1* alone is able to initiate MC differentiation during embryonic mice development, *Sox2* expression is required for *Krt20* expression [9]. Accordingly, the two MCC tumors lacking SOX2 expression in our cohort were also KRT20 negative (data not shown). Hence, to test if the lack of KRT20 expression was due to a lack of SHH activation in NHEK, and subsequent lack of SOX2 expression, we generated a MC progenitor model system and assessed TA impact in it, by co-expressing GLI1 and TA in these cells. Although GLI1-expressing NHEKs represent only an artificial and limited model for MC progenitor cells, GLI1 and TA co-expression resulted in cells expressing SOX2 and KRT8, and even to a few cells displaying KRT20 positivity. Of note, similar as to what has been described for ectopic expression of LT in fibroblasts, we detected living cells with suspension growth. In contrast, however, we did not observe a different expression of Merkel cell markers between the adherent and floating cells (Figure S4).

While our results suggest SHH activation is required at some time point in MCC cell development, GLI1 expression was only observed in about 30% of cases in our study, which were mostly MCPyV-negative cases. Accordingly, therapeutic inhibition of SHH pathway using chemical inhibitors failed to reduce MCC tumor cell viability [46]. Therefore, SHH activation might contribute to MCC cell of origin establishment but then be lost during tumor development.

Another important factor in MC development is ATOH1. In this regard, induction of ATOH1 upon large T expression has been recently reported in fibroblasts [35]. In keratinocytes, we observed only a slight, statistically nonsignificant *ATOH1* mRNA level increase upon TA expression. This is in accordance with data obtained in mice where ectopic sT expression in combination with *Atoh1* in epidermal cells did initiate a MC-like development [3], but only TA expression did not [3,47]. Thus, cellular context seems to influence the impact of LT expression on *ATOH1*. Indeed, we observed that ATOH1 degradation is impaired in the presence of LT in U2OS and 293 cells while TA knockdown does not affect ATOH1 protein levels in MCC cell lines. This might imply that TA only stabilizes ATOH1 in a specific environment. It is conceivable that, in a hit-and-run type mechanism (although the virus stays integrated in the host genome), LT contributes to initiating MC-like differentiation which later becomes independent of the viral protein. Indeed, T antigens are known to hijack many cellular processes [48], and stabilization of LT by sT via inhibition of the ubiquitin ligase “F-box and WD repeat domain containing 7” (*SCF<sup>Fbw7</sup>*) has been proposed [49], although this finding was recently called into question [50]. In mice, phosphorylation of the *Atoh1* serine residues S328, S334, and S339 [36,37], equivalent to the amino acids S331, S337, and S342 in human, led to the ubiquitination of the protein by the ubiquitin ligase “HECT, UBA and WWE domain containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1” (*HUWE1*) and subsequent targeting to the proteasome. Accordingly, human ATOH1 lacking the respective

phosphorylation sites presented with an extended half-life in our study. Notably, while LT impaired degradation of wild-type ATOH1, it had no effect on mutant ATOH1. Hence, LT appears to affect the degradation process of ATOH1, either by interfering with the phosphorylation or ubiquitination step. With respect to the latter, although interactions between MCPyV-LT and SCF<sup>Fbw7</sup> or “beta-transducin repeat-containing protein” ( $\beta$ TrCP) have been reported [49], these ubiquitin ligases appear as unlikely candidates since the (1) LT has been described as their target but not as targeting them, (2) sT, which inhibits both ubiquitin ligases, did not stabilize ATOH1, and (3) none of these ubiquitin ligases was shown to interact with ATOH1 [51]. In contrast, HUWE1 is a ubiquitin ligase that has been identified as ATOH1 binding partner using an unbiased comparative mass spectrometry approach [51]. Therefore, it is possible that the ubiquitin ligase HUWE1 is mediating the ATOH1 stabilization by MCPyV-LT. Moreover, our results suggest that for the ATOH1 stabilization MUR1 in LT is essential. In addition to the several unique functions of MCPyV-sT which have been described [52], this may contribute to the exceptional position of MCPyV among the polyomavirus family in being able to induce a neuroendocrine carcinoma of the skin. Furthermore, these observations suggest that the cell of origin of MCC might already display some degree of ATOH1 expression.

In the present study, we demonstrated that in a specific cellular context, i.e., GLI1-expressing keratinocytes, the expression of MCPyV T antigens can induce a MC-like differentiation. Moreover, the stabilization of ATOH1 by LT might enhance or promote the differentiation of the cell of origin toward an MCC phenotype.

## 4. Material and Methods

### 4.1. Human Samples

Healthy cutaneous tissues were obtained from dead people who had signed a body donation procedure for scientific purposes. Skin from five anatomic sites (scalp, face, trunk, finger, lower limb) were collected using a 6-mm-diameter punch in the 24 h following death, and then immediately fixed in formalin and then paraffin embedded. Fifteen TB cases were extracted from the archives of the Dermatology department of Würzburg (Local Würzburg Ethics Committee in Human Research, 196/12). After histological diagnosis confirmation by two pathologists (M.W., T.K.), only cases containing MCs were selected based on KRT20 immunostainings ( $n = 8$ ). MCC cases enrolled in the present work were already included in a tissue microarray used in a previous study [34] (local ethics committee (Tours, France, N° ID RCB2009- A01056-51)). MCPyV status was previously determined using a validated real-time PCR [34].

### 4.2. Immunohistochemistry

Protein immunochemical detection was performed on formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples (tissue), paraformalin-fixed (cytospin), or living cells. Immunohistochemical staining for KRT20, MCPyV-LT, Neurofilament, and SOX9 were performed using a BenchMark XT Platform, as instructed [34,53]. Immunohistochemical staining for GLI1, KRT8, KRT17, KRT18, and SOX2 as well as all cytospin stainings were performed manually. Microscopic evaluation was performed by a pathologist (T.K.). All details regarding antibodies and dilutions are provided in Supplementary Methods.

### 4.3. Samples' Management and Interpretation of Immunohistochemical Staining

To determine MC densities, 250 consecutive 5- $\mu$ m-thick sections were cut from FFPE healthy cutaneous tissues (6-mm-diameter skin punches cut into two equal parts). Every 10th slide, a KRT20 immunohistochemical staining allowing the detection of MC was performed, i.e., one KRT20-stained slide every 50  $\mu$ m. Unstained slides were preserved for further analyses (MC progenitor markers' evaluation). MC number and location (interfollicular epidermis, hair follicle (infundibulum or isthmus), sebaceous, or sweat glands) were then assessed by a pathologist (T.K.). Since MC are frequently located in the connection area between epidermis and an appendage, i.e., either hair follicles or sweat glands,

all MCs located in front of an appendage structure (hair follicle, ostium of a sweat gland or sweat gland duct) were considered to belong to this appendage. Of note, MC hotspots were defined as areas with more than three MCs in one microscopic field at high magnification. Densities of MCs and related hotspots were estimated, taking cut thickness and length of the skin sample into account (estimated evaluated surface = 14.74 mm<sup>2</sup>/punch). Unstained slides adjacent to the hotspots were consequently investigated for MC progenitor markers.

#### 4.4. Primary Keratinocytes and Cell Lines

After informed written consent of the patients ( $n = 3$ ), normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK) were extracted, respectively, from abdominal human samples obtained from the plastic surgery of the University Hospital center of Tours (France) using previously described protocols [40,54–56] (Local Ethics Committee in Human Research, Tours, France; no. ID RCB2009-A01056-512016 064). NHEK were cultured in Keratinocyte Serum-Free Medium (K-SFM; Invitrogen Life Technologies), supplemented with epidermal growth factor (5 ng/mL) and bovine pituitary extract (50 µg/mL; all purchased from Invitrogen Life Technologies) at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in a humidified incubator. HEK293 (RRID: CVCL\_0045), HEK293T (RRID: CVCL\_0063), U2OS (RRID: CVCL\_0045), the MCC cell line WaGa (RRID: CVCL\_E998), and MKL-1 (RRID: CVCL\_2600) [57] were cultivated in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 supplemented with 10% Foetal calf serum (FCS), 100 U/mL penicillin and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin. HEK293 (RRID: CVCL\_0045) and U2OS (RRID: CVCL\_0045) were used for co-transfection experiments. HEK293T (RRID: CVCL\_0063), i.e., HEK293 expressing SV40 T antigens, were used for lentivirus production. The MCC cell line WaGa was included as positive control for immunostaining of MC markers.

#### 4.5. Lentiviral Vectors' Generation and Transduction Protocol

The pFLAG-CMV-4-GLI1 plasmid was kindly provided by Dr. J. Vachtenheim (Czech Republic) [58]. *GLI1* was subcloned into pFLAG-CMV backbone (System Biosciences) containing puromycin resistance by classical cloning. Phosphosite mutations (S331A, S337A, S341A) were introduced in *ATOH1* sequence using the Quickchange Lightning mutagenesis kit (Agilent, Frankfurt, Germany) [59]. All TA- and LT-expressing pCDH vectors were previously described [38]. GLI-IRES-TA sequence was cloned into a pCDH backbone. For inducible knockdown of MCPyV-LT, we used the lentiviral single vector TA.shRNA.tet, allowing constitutive green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression and doxycycline (Dox)- inducible expression of an shRNA targeting all transcripts derived from the MCPyV early region [59]. Lentiviral supernatants were produced in HEK293T cells as previously described [60]. Harvested virus supernatant was sterile filtered (0.45 µm) and polybrene (1 µg/mL) was added for infection. Lentiviral transduction of NHEK was performed after seven days of culture. Then, 14–20 h after infection, target cells were washed with medium. NHEK were then subjected to antibiotic selection (puromycin). NHEK were analyzed two weeks after transduction.

#### 4.6. Gene Expression Analyses

Total cellular RNA was isolated by using the peqGOLD total RNA kit (VWR; Darmstadt, Germany) with a subsequent DNaseI digestion step according to the manufacturer's instructions. For cDNA synthesis, the Superscript II RT First Strand Kit (Invitrogen GmbH, Karlsruhe) was used. PCR primer sequences used to detect *ATOH1*, *GLI1*, *KRT8*, *14*, *17*, *18*, *20*, *RPLP0*, *SOX2*, and *SOX9* are given in Supplementary Methods. Thermal profile for the PCR using the Takyon Low Rox Sybr MasterMix (Eurogentec; Cologne, Germany) contained an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of two-step PCR including 15 sec at 95 °C and 60 sec at 60 °C. Quantification was performed in three independent experiments.

#### 4.7. Immunoblot

Cells were lysed in 0.6% SDS, 1 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 10 mM Tris- HCl (pH 8.0), 2 mM NaF, 2 mM NaVO<sub>3</sub> supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, blocked for 1 h with Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 5% powdered skim milk, then incubated overnight with anti-HA (ab18181, Abcam, 1:1000), LT (CM2B4, Santa Cruz, 1:200), sT (2T2, Hybridoma obtained from C. Buck laboratory), anti-GLI1 (C68H3, Ozyme, 1:200), anti-SOX2 (EPR3131, Abcam, 1:200), anti-ATOH1 (polyclonal, Proteintech, 1:600), or anti-Actin antibody (A5441, Sigma, 1:1000), washed three times with PBS with 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS/Tween), then incubated for 1 h with a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Finally, following three washes with PBS/Tween, respective proteins were detected by using a chemiluminescence detection procedure. All primary Western blot membranes' acquisition without cropping and intensity adjustment are available in Figure S8.

#### 4.8. Transient Transfection and ATOH1 Half-Life Evaluation

Transient transfections were done using 2 µg of DNA with polyethylenimine (PEI) and protein expression was analyzed 24 h after transfection. For ATOH1 half-life determination, 24 h after transfection, cells were exposed to cycloheximide (0.3 mg/mL) in a time-course experiment. After harvesting, protein expression was then investigated by immunoblotting, and quantification was performed using ImageJ software.

#### 4.9. Flow Cytometry

Anti-CD200 phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated (OX-104, BioLegend) and anti-leucine rich repeat containing G protein-coupled receptor 6 (LGR6) Allophycocyanin (APC)-conjugated (Sc-393010, SantaCruz) antibodies were used for NHEK characterization.

#### 4.10. Image Analysis and Expression Score Determination

Cell morphology was analyzed on adherent living cells. After acquisition of five adjacent microscopic fields, cell contouring was performed on 100 cells per conditions (three independent experiments) and cell size was then analyzed using ImageJ software. For protein expression evaluation,  $2 \times 10^5$  cells were fixed in formalin, spotted on slides, and submitted to immunohistochemical staining. Stained slides were scanned by using NanoZoomer (Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu City, Japan). Computation of the expression score after transduction was performed with a custom software written in ImageJ Macro language. Briefly, color range for each staining was first defined from the whole image data set. Afterwards, cells were segmented in each image. For each cell-related area, the percentage of each type of viral protein staining (low, medium, and high) was computed. H-score was finally calculated for each cell with the following formula:

$$Hscore = \frac{(lowstainingarea \times 1) + (mediumstainingarea \times 2) + (highstainingarea \times 3)}{totalcellarea}$$

Analysis was initially performed on 10 consecutive fields (magnification  $\times 10$ ). In cases in which fewer than 1000 cells per conditions were analyzed, new acquisitions were performed in order to reach this minimal limit of analyzed cells. Results were subsequently expressed as median, quartiles Q1–Q3, and 1st–99th percentiles of the complete cell population analyzed. Protein quantification on immunoblot was performed by ImageJ using the “gel analysis” function.

#### 4.11. Statistical Analysis

Continuous data are described as mean with standard error of mean (SEM), and categorical data with number and as percent. Associations were assessed by two-tailed Fisher exact test for categorical

data and Mann–Whitney test for continuous data. Paired *t* test was used for RNA expression analysis without multiple testing correction. The  $p < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant. XL-Stat-Life (Addinsoft, Paris, France) was used for statistical analyses.

## 5. Conclusions

Whether MCC is derived from MC or from another skin lineage is a long-time matter of debate. In this regard, we recently demonstrated that MCPyV integration in a TB gave rise to an MCPyV-positive MCC [31] and, consequently, postulated that MCC tumorigenesis can be initiated in MC epithelial progenitors. In the present work, we confirmed the close similarities between TB tumor cells and epithelial MC progenitors, evident by expression of GLI1 and its related downstream targets, i.e., KRT17 and SOX9, in both settings. While a mixture of cells with either MC progenitor phenotype or already differentiated MCs was observed in TB, almost all MCC tumor cells display a fully differentiated MC phenotype. Consequently, we assessed if TA could contribute to the acquisition of an MC phenotype. In accordance with this hypothesis, ectopic TA expression in NHEK led to induction of early MC markers while concomitant induction of SOX2, KRT8, and KRT20 were only achieved upon co-expression of TA and GLI1. Therefore, our results suggest that TA can induce acquisition of Merkel cell-like phenotype when expressed in epithelial MC progenitors. Accordingly, since large T antigen extends ATOH1 half-life, ATOH1 stabilization by MCPyV oncoproteins might further contribute to the MC-like phenotype observed in MCC.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at <http://www.mdpi.com/2072-6694/12/7/1989/s1>. Figure S1. Further characterization of Merkel cells and related progenitors in human, Figure S2. Characterization of the NHEK, Figure S3. Further characterization of native and GLI1-transduced normal human epidermal keratinocytes, Figure S4. Expression of the Merkel cell progenitor markers in tumors, Figure S5. Further characterization of native, TA, and GLI1/TA-transduced normal human epidermal keratinocytes, Figure S6. Impact of TA knockdown on ATOH1 protein level in the MCC cell lines MKL-1 and WaGa, Figure S7. Further characterization of factors involved in ATOH1 stabilization, Figure S8. Uncropped Western blot membranes' primary acquisitions, Figure S9. Signal quantification of the Western blots, Table S1. MCs density and location depending on the anatomic site, Table S2. Expression of the MC progenitor and MC markers in the trichoblastoma and MCC tumors, Table S3. Expression of GLI1 and SOX9 according to the MCPyV status in MCC tumors.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, T.K., B.S., C.D., S.G., A.T., R.H., and D.S.; Data curation, T.K., P.B., M.W., T.G., R.H., and D.S.; Formal analysis, T.K., M.W., S.G., R.H., and D.S.; Funding acquisition, T.K., A.T., R.H., and D.S.; Investigation, S.H., B.S., S.S., and C.P.; Methodology, T.K., M.S., S.H., P.B., M.W., A.S., C.P., A.T., R.H., and D.S.; Project administration, T.K., M.S., A.T., R.H., and D.S.; Resources, M.W., A.S., C.D., S.G., R.H., and D.S.; Software, C.P.; Supervision, M.S., S.H., R.H., and D.S.; Validation, T.K., M.S., S.G., R.H., and D.S.; Writing—original draft, T.K.; Writing—review and editing, T.K., M.S., S.H., P.B., M.W., A.S., B.S., S.S., T.G., C.D., C.P., S.G., A.T., R.H., and D.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Fondation ARC pour la recherche contre le cancer and la ligue contre le cancer (Comités 16, 18, 28). The study was further supported by the Interdisziplinäres Zentrum für Klinische Forschung Würzburg (IZKF B-343) and by the German Research Foundation (SCHR 1178/3-1).

**Acknowledgments:** We express our gratitude to the donors involved in the body donation program of the *Association des dons du corps du Centre Ouest*, Tours, who made this study possible by generously donating their bodies for science. We thank J.F. Jégou, J. Vachtenheim, C. Buck, and G. Deluermoz for their help and assistance. In addition, we thank Daniel Sage (EPFL) for the color segmentation imageJ plugin. We thank the foundation ARC and la ligue contre le cancer, the IZKF (B-343) and the German Research Foundation (SCHR 1178/3-1) for funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Institutional Review Board:** The local Ethics Committee in Human Research of Tours (France) approved the study (no. ID RCB2009-A01056-51).

## References

1. Lemos, B.D.; Storer, B.E.; Iyer, J.G.; Phillips, J.L.; Bichakjian, C.K.; Fang, L.C.; Johnson, T.M.; Liegeois-Kwon, N.J.; Otley, C.C.; Paulson, K.G.; et al. Pathologic nodal evaluation improves prognostic accuracy in Merkel cell carcinoma: Analysis of 5823 cases as the basis of the first consensus staging system. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2010**, *63*, 751–761. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]



2. Feng, H.; Shuda, M.; Chang, Y.; Moore, P.S. Clonal integration of a polyomavirus in human Merkel cell carcinoma. *Science* **2008**, *319*, 1096–1100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Verhaegen, M.E.; Mangelberger, D.; Harms, P.W.; Eberl, M.; Wilbert, D.M.; Meireles, J.; Bichakjian, C.K.; Saunders, T.L.; Wong, S.Y.; Dlugosz, A.A. Merkel cell polyomavirus small T antigen initiates Merkel cell carcinoma-like tumor development in mice. *Cancer Res.* **2017**, *77*, 3151–3157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Tilling, T.; Moll, I. Which are the cells of origin in merkel cell carcinoma? *J. Skin Cancer* **2012**, *2012*, 680410. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Becker, J.C.; Zur Hausen, A. Cells of origin in skin cancer. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2014**, *134*, 2491–2493. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. Harold, A.; Amako, Y.; Hachisuka, J.; Bai, Y.; Li, M.Y.; Kubat, L.; Gravemeyer, J.; Franks, J.; Gibbs, J.R.; Park, H.J.; et al. Conversion of Sox2-dependent Merkel cell carcinoma to a differentiated neuron-like phenotype by T antigen inhibition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Ikeda, R.; Cha, M.; Ling, J.; Jia, Z.; Coyle, D.; Gu, J.G. Merkel cells transduce and encode tactile stimuli to drive A $\beta$ -afferent impulses. *Cell* **2014**, *157*, 664–675. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Laga, A.C.; Lai, C.-Y.; Zhan, Q.; Huang, S.J.; Velazquez, E.F.; Yang, Q.; Hsu, M.-Y.; Murphy, G.F. Expression of the embryonic stem cell transcription factor SOX2 in human skin: Relevance to melanocyte and merkel cell biology. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2010**, *176*, 903–913. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Perdigoto, C.N.; Bardot, E.S.; Valdes, V.J.; Santoriello, F.J.; Ezhkova, E. Embryonic maturation of epidermal Merkel cells is controlled by a redundant transcription factor network. *Dev. Camb. Engl.* **2014**, *141*, 4690–4696. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Moll, R.; Moll, I.; Franke, W.W. Identification of Merkel cells in human skin by specific cytokeratin antibodies: Changes of cell density and distribution in fetal and adult plantar epidermis. *Differ. Res. Biol. Divers.* **1984**, *28*, 136–154. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Moll, I.; Paus, R.; Moll, R. Merkel cells in mouse skin: Intermediate filament pattern, localization, and hair cycle-dependent density. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **1996**, *106*, 281–286. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Van Keymeulen, A.; Mascré, G.; Youseff, K.K.; Harel, I.; Michaux, C.; De Geest, N.; Szpalski, C.; Achouri, Y.; Bloch, W.; Hassan, B.A.; et al. Epidermal progenitors give rise to Merkel cells during embryonic development and adult homeostasis. *J. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *187*, 91–100. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Halata, Z.; Grim, M.; Bauman, K.I. Friedrich Sigmund Merkel and his “Merkel cell”, morphology, development, and physiology: Review and new results. *Anat. Rec. A. Discov. Mol. Cell. Evol. Biol.* **2003**, *271*, 225–239. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Morrison, K.M.; Miesegaes, G.R.; Lumpkin, E.A.; Maricich, S.M. Mammalian Merkel cells are descended from the epidermal lineage. *Dev. Biol.* **2009**, *336*, 76–83. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Moll, I.; Lane, A.T.; Franke, W.W.; Moll, R. Intraepidermal formation of Merkel cells in xenografts of human fetal skin. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **1990**, *94*, 359–364. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Ostrowski, S.M.; Wright, M.C.; Bolock, A.M.; Geng, X.; Maricich, S.M. Ectopic Atoh1 expression drives Merkel cell production in embryonic, postnatal and adult mouse epidermis. *Dev. Camb. Engl.* **2015**, *142*, 2533–2544. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Bardot, E.S.; Valdes, V.J.; Zhang, J.; Perdigoto, C.N.; Nicolis, S.; Hearn, S.A.; Silva, J.M.; Ezhkova, E. Polycomb subunits Ezh1 and Ezh2 regulate the Merkel cell differentiation program in skin stem cells. *EMBO J.* **2013**, *32*, 1990–2000. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Xiao, Y.; Thoresen, D.T.; Williams, J.S.; Wang, C.; Perna, J.; Petrova, R.; Brownell, I. Neural Hedgehog signaling maintains stem cell renewal in the sensory touch dome epithelium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 7195–7200. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Xiao, Y.; Thoresen, D.T.; Miao, L.; Williams, J.S.; Wang, C.; Atit, R.P.; Wong, S.Y.; Brownell, I. A Cascade of Wnt, Eda, and Shh Signaling Is Essential for Touch Dome Merkel Cell Development. *PLoS Genet.* **2016**, *12*, e1006150. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Nguyen, M.B.; Cohen, I.; Kumar, V.; Xu, Z.; Bar, C.; Dauber-Decker, K.L.; Tsai, P.-C.; Marangoni, P.; Klein, O.D.; Hsu, Y.-C.; et al. FGF signalling controls the specification of hair placode-derived SOX9 positive progenitors to Merkel cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2333. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Woo, S.-H.; Stumpfova, M.; Jensen, U.B.; Lumpkin, E.A.; Owens, D.M. Identification of epidermal progenitors for the Merkel cell lineage. *Dev. Camb. Engl.* **2010**, *137*, 3965–3971. [[CrossRef](#)]

22. Moll, I.; Troyanovsky, S.M.; Moll, R. Special program of differentiation expressed in keratinocytes of human haarscheiben: An analysis of individual cytokeratin polypeptides. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **1993**, *100*, 69–76. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Peterson, S.C.; Eberl, M.; Vagnozzi, A.N.; Belkadi, A.; Veniaminova, N.A.; Verhaegen, M.E.; Bichakjian, C.K.; Ward, N.L.; Dlugosz, A.A.; Wong, S.Y. Basal cell carcinoma preferentially arises from stem cells within hair follicle and mechanosensory niches. *Cell Stem Cell* **2015**, *16*, 400–412. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Kervarrec, T.; Samimi, M.; Guyétant, S.; Sarma, B.; Chéret, J.; Blanchard, E.; Berthon, P.; Schrama, D.; Houben, R.; Touzé, A. Histogenesis of Merkel Cell Carcinoma: A Comprehensive Review. *Front. Oncol.* **2019**, *9*, 451. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Moll, I.; Zieger, W.; Schmelz, M. Proliferative Merkel cells were not detected in human skin. *Arch. Dermatol. Res.* **1996**, *288*, 184–187. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Shuda, M.; Guastafierro, A.; Geng, X.; Shuda, Y.; Ostrowski, S.M.; Lukianov, S.; Jenkins, F.J.; Honda, K.; Maricich, S.M.; Moore, P.S.; et al. Merkel Cell Polyomavirus Small T Antigen Induces Cancer and Embryonic Merkel Cell Proliferation in a Transgenic Mouse Model. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0142329. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Kurzen, H.; Esposito, L.; Langbein, L.; Hartschuh, W. Cytokeratins as markers of follicular differentiation: An immunohistochemical study of trichoblastoma and basal cell carcinoma. *Am. J. Dermatopathol.* **2001**, *23*, 501–509. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Leblebici, C.; Bambul Sığirci, B.; Kelten Talu, C.; Koca, S.B.; Huq, G.E. CD10, TDAG51, CK20, AR, INSM1, and Nestin Expression in the Differential Diagnosis of Trichoblastoma and Basal Cell Carcinoma. *Int. J. Surg. Pathol.* **2019**, *27*, 19–27. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. McNiff, J.M.; Eisen, R.N.; Glusac, E.J. Immunohistochemical comparison of cutaneous lymphadenoma, trichoblastoma, and basal cell carcinoma: Support for classification of lymphadenoma as a variant of trichoblastoma. *J. Cutan. Pathol.* **1999**, *26*, 119–124. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Collina, G.; Eusebi, V.; Capella, C.; Rosai, J. Merkel cell differentiation in trichoblastoma. *Virchows Arch. Int. J. Pathol.* **1998**, *433*, 291–296. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Kervarrec, T.; Aljundi, M.; Appenzeller, S.; Samimi, M.; Maubec, E.; Cribier, B.; Deschamps, L.; Sarma, B.; Sarosi, E.-M.; Berthon, P.; et al. Polyomavirus-positive Merkel cell carcinoma derived from a trichoblastoma suggests an epithelial origin of this Merkel cell carcinoma. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2019**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
32. Foschini, M.P.; Eusebi, V. Divergent differentiation in endocrine and nonendocrine tumors of the skin. *Semin. Diagn. Pathol.* **2000**, *17*, 162–168. [[PubMed](#)]
33. Kervarrec, T.; Tallet, A.; Miquelestorena-Standley, E.; Houben, R.; Schrama, D.; Gambichler, T.; Berthon, P.; Le Corre, Y.; Hainaut-Wierzbicka, E.; Aubin, F.; et al. Morphologic and immunophenotypical features distinguishing Merkel cell polyomavirus-positive and -negative Merkel cell carcinoma. *Mod. Pathol. Off. J. U. S. Can. Acad. Pathol. Inc.* **2019**, *32*, 1605–1616. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Kervarrec, T.; Tallet, A.; Miquelestorena-Standley, E.; Houben, R.; Schrama, D.; Gambichler, T.; Berthon, P.; Le Corre, Y.; Hainaut-Wierzbicka, E.; Aubin, F.; et al. Diagnostic accuracy of a panel of immunohistochemical and molecular markers to distinguish Merkel cell carcinoma from other neuroendocrine carcinomas. *Mod. Pathol. Off. J. U. S. Can. Acad. Pathol. Inc.* **2019**, *32*, 499–510. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Fan, K.; Gravemeyer, J.; Ritter, C.; Rasheed, K.; Gambichler, T.; Moens, U.; Shuda, M.; Schrama, D.; Becker, J.C. MCPyV Large T antigen induced atonal homolog 1 (Atoh1) is a lineage-dependency oncogene in Merkel cell carcinoma. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2020**, *140*, 56–65.e3. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
36. Forget, A.; Bihannic, L.; Cigna, S.M.; Lefevre, C.; Remke, M.; Barnat, M.; Dodier, S.; Shirvani, H.; Mercier, A.; Mensah, A.; et al. Shh signaling protects Atoh1 from degradation mediated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase Huwe1 in neural precursors. *Dev. Cell* **2014**, *29*, 649–661. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Cheng, Y.-F.; Tong, M.; Edge, A.S.B. Destabilization of Atoh1 by E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Huwe1 and Casein Kinase 1 Is Essential for Normal Sensory Hair Cell Development. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2016**, *291*, 21096–21109. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Houben, R.; Angermeyer, S.; Haferkamp, S.; Aue, A.; Goebeler, M.; Schrama, D.; Hesbacher, S. Characterization of functional domains in the Merkel cell polyoma virus Large T antigen. *Int. J. Cancer* **2015**, *136*, E290–E300. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Kwun, H.J.; Guastafierro, A.; Shuda, M.; Meinke, G.; Bohm, A.; Moore, P.S.; Chang, Y. The minimum replication origin of merkel cell polyomavirus has a unique large T-antigen loading architecture and requires small T-antigen expression for optimal replication. *J. Virol.* **2009**, *83*, 12118–12128. [[CrossRef](#)]

40. Chéret, J.; Bertolini, M.; Ponce, L.; Lehmann, J.; Tsai, T.; Alam, M.; Hatt, H.; Paus, R. Olfactory receptor OR2AT4 regulates human hair growth. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3624. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Vidal, V.P.I.; Ortonne, N.; Schedl, A. SOX9 expression is a general marker of basal cell carcinoma and adnexal-related neoplasms. *J. Cutan. Pathol.* **2008**, *35*, 373–379. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Mikami, Y.; Fujii, S.; Nagata, K.; Wada, H.; Hasegawa, K.; Abe, M.; Yoshimoto, R.U.; Kawano, S.; Nakamura, S.; Kiyoshima, T. GLI-mediated Keratin 17 expression promotes tumor cell growth through the anti-apoptotic function in oral squamous cell carcinomas. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* **2017**, *143*, 1381–1393. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Jia, Y.; Gu, D.; Wan, J.; Yu, B.; Zhang, X.; Chiorean, E.G.; Wang, Y.; Xie, J. The role of GLI-SOX2 signaling axis for gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. *Oncogene* **2019**, *38*, 1764–1777. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Santini, R.; Pietrobono, S.; Pandolfi, S.; Montagnani, V.; D’Amico, M.; Penachioni, J.Y.; Vinci, M.C.; Borgognoni, L.; Stecca, B. SOX2 regulates self-renewal and tumorigenicity of human melanoma-initiating cells. *Oncogene* **2014**, *33*, 4697–4708. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Lesko, M.H.; Driskell, R.R.; Kretzschmar, K.; Goldie, S.J.; Watt, F.M. Sox2 modulates the function of two distinct cell lineages in mouse skin. *Dev. Biol.* **2013**, *382*, 15–26. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Carroll, T.M.; Williams, J.S.; Daily, K.; Rogers, T.; Gelb, T.; Coxon, A.; Wang, S.Q.; Crago, A.M.; Busam, K.J.; Brownell, I. Hedgehog Signaling Inhibitors Fail to Reduce Merkel Cell Carcinoma Viability. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2017**, *137*, 1187–1190. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Spurgeon, M.E.; Cheng, J.; Bronson, R.T.; Lambert, P.F.; DeCaprio, J.A. Tumorigenic activity of merkel cell polyomavirus T antigens expressed in the stratified epithelium of mice. *Cancer Res.* **2015**, *75*, 1068–1079. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Starrett, G.J.; Marcelus, C.; Cantalupo, P.G.; Katz, J.P.; Cheng, J.; Akagi, K.; Thakuria, M.; Rabinowits, G.; Wang, L.C.; Symer, D.E.; et al. Merkel Cell Polyomavirus Exhibits Dominant Control of the Tumor Genome and Transcriptome in Virus-Associated Merkel Cell Carcinoma. *mBio* **2017**, *8*. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Kwun, H.J.; Shuda, M.; Feng, H.; Camacho, C.J.; Moore, P.S.; Chang, Y. Merkel cell polyomavirus small T antigen controls viral replication and oncoprotein expression by targeting the cellular ubiquitin ligase SCFFbw7. *Cell Host Microbe* **2013**, *14*, 125–135. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Dye, K.N.; Welcker, M.; Clurman, B.E.; Roman, A.; Galloway, D.A. Merkel cell polyomavirus Tumor antigens expressed in Merkel cell carcinoma function independently of the ubiquitin ligases Fbw7 and  $\beta$ -TrCP. *PLoS Pathog.* **2019**, *15*, e1007543. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Cheng, Y.-F. Atoh1 regulation in the cochlea: More than just transcription. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B* **2019**, *20*, 146–155. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Becker, J.C.; Stang, A.; Hausen, A.Z.; Fischer, N.; DeCaprio, J.A.; Tothill, R.W.; Lyngaa, R.; Hansen, U.K.; Ritter, C.; Nghiem, P.; et al. Epidemiology, biology and therapy of Merkel cell carcinoma: Conclusions from the EU project IMMOMEC. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother. CII* **2018**, *67*, 341–351. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Kervarrec, T.; Samimi, M.; Gaboriaud, P.; Gheit, T.; Beby-Defaux, A.; Houben, R.; Schrama, D.; Fromont, G.; Tommasino, M.; Le Corre, Y.; et al. Detection of the Merkel cell polyomavirus in the neuroendocrine component of combined Merkel cell carcinoma. *Virchows Arch. Int. J. Pathol.* **2018**. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Boniface, K.; Bernard, F.-X.; Garcia, M.; Gurney, A.L.; Lecron, J.-C.; Morel, F. IL-22 inhibits epidermal differentiation and induces proinflammatory gene expression and migration of human keratinocytes. *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* **2005**, *174*, 3695–3702. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Couderc, E.; Morel, F.; Levillain, P.; Buffière-Morgado, A.; Camus, M.; Paquier, C.; Bodet, C.; Jégou, J.-F.; Pohin, M.; Favot, L.; et al. Interleukin-17A-induced production of acute serum amyloid A by keratinocytes contributes to psoriasis pathogenesis. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0181486. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Langan, E.A.; Philpott, M.P.; Klopper, J.E.; Paus, R. Human hair follicle organ culture: Theory, application and perspectives. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2015**, *24*, 903–911. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. Schrama, D.; Sarosi, E.-M.; Adam, C.; Ritter, C.; Kaemmerer, U.; Klopocki, E.; König, E.-M.; Utikal, J.; Becker, J.C.; Houben, R. Characterization of six Merkel cell polyomavirus-positive Merkel cell carcinoma cell lines: Integration pattern suggest that large T antigen truncating events occur before or during integration. *Int. J. Cancer* **2019**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Vlčková, K.; Ondrušová, L.; Vachtenheim, J.; Réda, J.; Dundr, P.; Zadinová, M.; Žáková, P.; Poučková, P. Survivin, a novel target of the Hedgehog/GLI signaling pathway in human tumor cells. *Cell Death Dis.* **2016**, *7*, e2048. [[CrossRef](#)]

59. Houben, R.; Adam, C.; Baeurle, A.; Hesbacher, S.; Grimm, J.; Angermeyer, S.; Henzel, K.; Hauser, S.; Elling, R.; Bröcker, E.-B.; et al. An intact retinoblastoma protein-binding site in Merkel cell polyomavirus large T antigen is required for promoting growth of Merkel cell carcinoma cells. *Int. J. Cancer* **2012**, *130*, 847–856. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Angermeyer, S.; Hesbacher, S.; Becker, J.C.; Schrama, D.; Houben, R. Merkel cell polyomavirus-positive Merkel cell carcinoma cells do not require expression of the viral small T antigen. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2013**, *133*, 2059–2064. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).