Bhat TA, Kalathil SG, Bogner PN, Blount BC, Goniewicz ML, Thanavala YM. An animal model of inhaled vitamin E acetate and EVALI-like lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1175–1177.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society

### Check for updates

# In Patients with ARDS, Optimal PEEP Should Not Be Determined Using the Intersection of Relative Collapse and Relative Overdistention

## To the Editor:

With great interest, we read the article by van der Zee and colleagues suggesting an individualized approach for setting the correct amount of positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) in ventilated patients with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) (1). In their cohort of 15 mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19, they used electrical impedance tomography to study the relative overdistention and relative collapse curves. The authors state that optimal PEEP for these patients is at the intersection of these curves and close to the values suggested in the high PEEP/FI<sub>Q2</sub> table. This intersection has indeed been used to set optimal PEEP but only for mechanical ventilation during surgery (2).

Using the intersection of relative collapse and relative overdistention suggests that both phenomena are equally harmful for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Unfortunately, there is no evidence in the literature that supports this assumption. In fact, several studies and reviews suggest the opposite: overdistention may be more harmful (3–5).

We fully agree with the authors that an individualized approach for mechanical ventilation for patients with COVID-19 (or any form of acute respiratory distress syndrome for that matter) is very important. But instead of recruitment of the lung with high PEEP, prone positioning with lower PEEP levels could be considered to improve oxygenation and to recruit parts of the lung. In 14 patients admitted to our ICU, we have shown that using more PEEP often leads to reduction in lung compliance and increase in dead space ventilation, which suggests overdistention of alveoli (6).

In conclusion, although atelectrauma decreases with higher levels of PEEP, hyperinflation increases, which is potentially even more harmful. Therefore, using the intersection of the relative overdistention and relative collapse with electrical impedance tomography in patients with COVID-19 is not the technique to determine optimal PEEP for the individual patient. What van der Zee and colleagues do very elegantly show us with their research, however, is that there is always a tradeoff with higher levels of PEEP. Author disclosures are available with the text of this letter at www.atsjournals.org.

Maarten van den Berg, M.D.\* Hans van der Hoeven, M.D., Ph.D. Radboud University Medical Centre Nijmegen, the Netherlands

\*Corresponding author (e-mail: maarten.vandenberg@radboudumc.nl).

## References

- van der Zee P, Somhorst P, Endeman H, Gommers D. Electrical impedance tomography for positive end-expiratory pressure titration in COVID-19–related acute respiratory distress syndrome [letter]. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020;202:280–284.
- Pereira SM, Tucci MR, Morais CCA, Simões CM, Tonelotto BFF, Pompeo MS, *et al.* Individual positive end-expiratory pressure settings optimize intraoperative mechanical ventilation and reduce postoperative atelectasis. *Anesthesiology* 2018;129: 1070–1081.
- Bellani G, Guerra L, Musch G, Zanella A, Patroniti N, Mauri T, *et al.* Lung regional metabolic activity and gas volume changes induced by tidal ventilation in patients with acute lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:1193–1199.
- Gattinoni L, Quintel M, Marini JJ. Volutrauma and atelectrauma: which is worse? Crit Care 2018;22:264.
- Chiumello D, Carlesso E, Cadringher P, Caironi P, Valenza F, Polli F, et al. Lung stress and strain during mechanical ventilation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;178: 346–355.
- Roesthuis L, van den Berg M, van der Hoeven H. Advanced respiratory monitoring in COVID-19 patients: use less PEEP! Crit Care 2020;24:230.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society

#### Check for updates

# Reply to van den Berg and van der Hoeven

### From the Authors:

We thank van den Berg and van der Hoeven for the opportunity to further discuss our research letter in which positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) was titrated at the level of lowest relative alveolar overdistention and collapse based on electrical impedance tomography (EIT) (1). In their comment, the authors argue that PEEP should not be set at the minimum level of both alveolar overdistention and collapse, as alveolar overdistention is potentially more harmful.

9

<sup>8</sup> This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202006-2175LE on August 5, 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Author Contributions: Conception of the work: P.v.d.Z., P.S., H.E., and D.G. Data acquisition and analysis: P.v.d.Z. and P.S. Drafting the manuscript: P.v.d.Z. Revising the manuscript: P.S., H.E., and D.G. Final approval of the version: P.v.d.Z., P.S., H.E., and D.G. All authors had full access to all the data and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of data analysis.

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202006-2460LE on August 5, 2020