# Transcranial Functional Ultrasound Imaging Detects Focused Ultrasound Neuromodulation Induced Hemodynamic Changes in Mouse and Nonhuman Primate Brains *In Vivo*

Christian Aurup<sup>1</sup>, Jonas Bendig<sup>1</sup>, Samuel G. Blackman<sup>1</sup>, Erica P. McCune<sup>1</sup>, Sua Bae<sup>1</sup>, Sergio Jimenez-Gambin<sup>1</sup>, Robin Ji<sup>1</sup>, and Elisa E. Konofagou<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

<sup>9</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

10 Correspondence to: Elisa E. Konofagou

11 Full address: 630 West 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, USA

12 E-mail: ek2191@columbia.edu

13

4

5

6

7

# 14 Abstract

Focused ultrasound (FUS) is an emerging noinvasive technique for neuromodulation in the central 15 nervous system (CNS). To evaluate the effects of FUS-induced neuromodulation, many studies 16 imaging used behavioral changes, functional magnetic resonance (fMRI) 17 or electroencephalography (EEG). However, behavioral readouts are often not easily mapped to 18 specific brain activity, EEG has low spatial resolution limited to the surface of the brain and fMRI 19 requires a large importable scanner that limits additional readouts and manipulations. In this 20 context, functional ultrasound imaging (fUSI) holds promise to directly monitor the effects of FUS 21 neuromodulation with high spatiotemporal resolution in a large field of view, with a comparatively 22 simple and flexible setup. fUSI uses ultrafast Power Doppler Imaging (PDI) to measure changes 23 in cerebral blood volume, which correlates well with neuronal activity and local field potentials. 24 We designed a setup that aligns a FUS transducer with a linear array to allow immediate 25 subsequent monitoring of the hemodynamic response with fUSI during and after FUS 26 neuromodulation. We established a positive correlation between FUS pressure and the size of the 27 activated area, as well as changes in cerebral blood volume (CBV) and found that unilateral 28 sonications produce bilateral hemodynamic changes with ipsilateral accentuation in mice. We 29 further demonstrated the ability to perform fully noninvasive, transcranial FUS-fUSI in nonhuman 30 primates for the first time by using a lower-frequency transducer configuration. 31

32 Keywords: Focused Ultrasound, Neuromodulation, Functional Ultrasound, Nonhuman primate

# 33 Introduction

Focused ultrasound (FUS) has shown the ability to noninvasively modulate neuronal activity in 34 the central nervous system of different animal species as well humans<sup>1–9</sup>. In contrast to established 35 neuromodulatory techniques like deep brain stimulation, transcranial magnetic stimulation or 36 transcranial (direct) current simulation, FUS combines a favorable safety profile with the ability 37 to target deep brain structures with spatial resolution in the millimeter (e.g. humans) or sub-38 millimeter range (e.g. rodents)<sup>9,10</sup>. In a previous study, we introduced a robust technique for 39 performing FUS neuromodulation in mice in vivo<sup>11</sup>. However, in silico ultrasound simulations 40 indicated that transcranial pressure field patterns are difficult to predict and that intracranial 41 acoustic reverberations can generate additional pressure peaks sufficient to activate the brain 42 outside of the intended focal target. More direct measurements of evoked brain activity are 43 therefore needed to fully assess the acute and long-term effects of FUS in the targeted area as well 44 as connected brain regions. 45

Electroencephalography (EEG)<sup>12,13</sup> and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)<sup>14,15</sup> are the 46 most common techniques for studying neuronal activity in animal models and humans. However, 47 EEG is not capable of directly localizing activity in deep brain regions and fMRI requires long 48 imaging sessions in a spatially confined MRI scanner with high capital costs<sup>16-18</sup>. In contrast, 49 functional ultrasound imaging (fUSI) is an emerging imaging technique<sup>19-21</sup> that allows monitoring 50 of stimulus-evoked activity and functional connectivity in the whole brain with a comparatively 51 small ultrasound array<sup>22–25</sup>. fUSI uses ultrafast Power Doppler Imaging (PDI) to measure changes 52 in cerebral blood volume while suppressing signals from the surrounding tissue through the 53 implementation of advanced spatiotemporal filtering techniques such as singular value 54 decomposition (SVD)<sup>26,27</sup>. Analogous to fMRI, fUSI leverages neurovascular coupling and has 55 been shown to correlate well with neuronal activity and local field potentials<sup>28,29</sup>. The spatial 56 resolution is similar to that of fMRI<sup>30</sup> but it attains greater temporal resolution<sup>16</sup>. 57

The principal challenge in applying transcranial fUSI to the brain is the substantial acoustic attenuation induced by the skull. Consequently, most implementations of fUSI to date have relied on removal or thinning of the skull bone<sup>18</sup>. Previous work by our group and others has demonstrated transcranial applications of fUSI for detecting hemodynamic changes in the mouse brain<sup>23,31–33</sup>, allowing for a fully noninvasive ultrasound-based functional brain imaging technique.

Although recent studies have demonstrated implementations of fUSI in Nonhuman primates (NHPs)<sup>34–37</sup>, the substantially thicker skull has, to date, precluded transcranial applications of the technique. Achieving transcranial fUSI in combination with FUS could allow noninvasive neuromodulation with simultaneous monitoring of neuromodulatory effects in a large field of view.

In this study, we developed a simultaneous FUS and power Doppler imaging transducer configuration to assess the immediate and short-term effects of FUS neuromodulation with transcranial fUSI. We demonstrate that the size of the activated area is positively correlated with the magnitude of the applied pressure and that unilateral sonications produce bilateral hemodynamic changes with ipsilateral bias in mice. We further tested the feasibility of using a lower-frequency transducer configuration in NHP *in vivo* and demonstrated the ability to perform fully noninvasive, transcranial FUS-fUSI in a thicker-skulled animal model for the first time.

75

#### 76 Methods

#### 77 Mice preparation

Young male wild-type mice between 8 to 12 weeks of age (C57BL/6, n = 22) were used for 78 transcranial experiments in this study. To assess possible effects of the skull, a subgroup of mice 79 between 8 to 20 weeks of age (C57BL/6, n = 5) was implanted with a chronic cranial window 80 covered by a polymethyl pentene membrane as described by Brunner et al<sup>20</sup>. Mice implanted with 81 a cranial window were allowed to rest for 2 weeks before experiments were performed. Anesthesia 82 was induced with isoflurane (1-3%) and supplementary oxygen (0.8 L/min). The absence of a 83 pedal reflex confirmed induction and isoflurane was then decreased and adjusted between 0.5-1% 84 to maintain light anesthesia without producing gasping from low oxygenation, which can increase 85 motion artifacts during imaging sessions. The subject's head was fixed by a stereotactic frame 86 (Model 900, David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA, USA) using ear and bite bars to immobilize 87 the head. Elastic bands were then placed around the ear bars and passed over the subject's body to 88 mitigate motion artifacts from respiration. The animal's head was shaved and depilatory cream 89 90 was used to remove all remaining fur to optimize acoustic coupling with acoustic gel placed on the subject's head. A piece of polyethylene was cut with a hole the size of the head and fastened 91

to the ear bars. Mice with cranial windows were fixed with a 3D-printed holder that connected to the implanted headpost and acoustic gel was placed on the membrane covering the cranial window.

A data acquisition (DAQ) system (MP150, Biopac Systems Inc, Goleta, CA) was used to acquire pulse-oximetry signals (MouseOx+, Starr Life Sciences, Oakmont, PA, USA) recorded from a sensor placed on the shaved thigh. The pulse-oximeter was used in conjunction with intermittent toe pinches to monitor the depth of anesthesia. The ideal depth of anesthesia during experiments corresponded with heart rates above 400 bpm and an unresponsive pedal reflex. A diagram showing the configuration of experimental equipment is provided in Figure 1. The full animal preparation for mice and NHP is provided in Figure 1.

101

## 102 NHP Preparation

FUS neuromodulation with fUSI was performed across multiple experimental sessions in 2 male 103 104 Rhesus macaques (age: 130 months and 133 months). The animals were sedated, intubated, and an intravenous catheter was placed in the saphenous vein to allow for administration of fluids, 105 microbubbles, and magnetic resonance (MR) contrast agents. Anesthesia monitoring was provided 106 by on-site veterinary staff. The animal's head was immobilized in a stereotactic frame and the 107 scalp was shaved and depilatory cream used to fully remove any remaining fur before applying 108 acoustic coupling gel. FUS neuromodulation experiments were immediately followed by a FUS 109 blood-brain barrier opening (BBBO) session at the same location to validate targeting via 110 Gadolinium uptake in a subsequent contrast-enhanced MRI scan as described previously<sup>38</sup>. 111



**Figure 1.** Experimental setups for mice (**a**) and NHP (**b**). The relevant shared and differing components

# 116 **FUS Neuromodulation in Mice and NHP**

A single-element spherical segment annular focused ultrasound transducer with confocally aligned 117 ultrasound imaging arrays were used in both mice and NHP in this study (Table 1). Each transducer 118 119 had an acoustic coupling cone attached to the transducer face with an acoustically transparent membrane (Tegaderm, 3M Company, Maplewood, MN, USA) placed over its opening. The sealed 120 coupling chamber was then filled with deionized water and degassed using a degassing system 121 (WDS105+; Sonic Concepts, Bothell, WA, USA). FUS transducers were calibrated in a degassed 122 123 water tank using a hydrophone (HGL0200, Onda Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and ex vivo skulls for estimating attenuation and reporting derated pressures. FUS sequences were driven by 124 function generators and RF amplifiers. The specifications of the different equipment used and 125 related acoustic parameters in the mouse and NHP experiments are provided in Table 1. 126

127

# 128 Table 1. FUS and fUSI equipment and acoustic parameters.

	Mice	Nonhuman Primates
	FUS	
Transducer	H-204 (Sonic Concepts Inc, Bothell, WA, USA); Focal Size (-6 dB): 0.8 mm (lateral), 8 mm (axial) H-215 (Sonic Concepts Inc, Bothell, WA, USA); Focal Size (-6 dB): 0.3 mm (lateral), 2 mm (axial)	H-231 (Sonic Concepts Inc, Bothell, WA, USA) Focal Size (-6 dB): 6 mm (lateral), 49 mm (axial)
RF Amplifier	325LA, Electronics Innovation Ltd., Rochester, NY, USA	A075 (Electronics Innovation Ltd., Rochester, NY, USA)
Acoustic Parameters	Carrier Frequency: H-204: 1.68 MHz (3 <sup>rd</sup> Harmonic) H-215: 4 MHz Amplitude Modulation Freq: 1 kHz Burst Duration: 150 ms Sonication Frequency: H-204: 1 Hz H-215: 2 Hz Peak Negative Pressures: 0.8-2.6 MPa (Derated, transcranial, H204) 0.7-2.8 MPa (Cranial window, H204) 1.4-3.6 MPa (Cranial window, H215)	Center Frequency: 0.25 MHz (Fundamental) Pulse Repetition Frequency: 1 kHz Duty Cycle: 50% Burst Duration: 300 ms Sonication Frequency: ~0.5 Hz Peak Negative Pressure: 1.2 MPa (Derated)

	fUSI	
Transducer	L22-14vXLF (Vermon S.A., Tours, France)	P4-2 (ATL/Philips, Andover, MA,USA)
Acoustic	Center frequency: 15.0 MHz	Center frequency: 3.0 MHz
Parameters	Compounded Plane Wave Imaging Plane Angles: 5 (-6° to 6°) Compounded Image Rate: 500 Hz Compounded Images in PDI: 200 (transcranially) 70 (craniotomized)	Compounded Diverging Wave Imaging Virtual Sources (VS): 5 VS Depth/Interval: -50mm/3mm Compounded Image Rate: 800 Hz Compounded Images in PDI: 500
Filtering	Singular Value Decomposition: 10% of singular values removed High-Pass Filter: Butterworth (2 <sup>nd</sup> order), 8- Hz cutoff frequency	<ul> <li>Singular Value Decomposition: 10% of singular values removed</li> <li>High-Pass Filter: Butterworth (4<sup>th</sup> order), 4.5-Hz cutoff frequency</li> </ul>

130

In mice, amplitude-modulated (AM) sequences were implemented to mitigate confounding 131 auditory effects associated with using pulsed ultrasound in small animal studies<sup>39,40</sup>. It is expected 132 that the smooth envelope in AM ultrasound is less likely than the square envelope in pulsed 133 ultrasound to produce auditory confounds<sup>41</sup>. A comparison of AM and the pulsing scheme used in 134 NHPs is depicted in Supplementary Figure 1. The FUS transducer was attached to the stereotactic 135 frame using a stereotactic micromanipulator (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA, USA). A 136 block design was implemented consisting of a 60-second baseline imaging block followed by four 137 30-second FUS blocks with intervals randomized between 60 and 90 seconds. During the FUS 138 blocks, the function generator output was triggered by the imaging system directly after image 139 acquisition to avoid any interference between the FUS and fUSI. In NHP, pulsed sequences were 140 utilized in testing the feasibility of the technique. The block design also differed slightly from the 141 mouse experiments. Single trials were conducted consisting of a 20-frame (~40 seconds) baseline 142 block, a 10-frame (~20 seconds) FUS block, and a 20-frame (~40 seconds) post-stimulus block. 143 At least 6 stimulus trials were performed at each target and fUSI datasets were averaged across 144 trials. The imaging and FUS block designs for mice and NHP are provided in Figure 2. 145



Figure 2. fUSI and FUS stimulus block designs for singular trials. The stimulus and post-stimulus periods in mice (a) are looped four times while not looped in NHP (b). FUS is triggered by the fUSI system in both setups. In (a), every fUSI acquisition transmits a trigger pulse and FUS output is controlled by a programmed gate. In (b), fUSI only transmits triggers during the stimulus phase.

150

#### 151 Functional Ultrasound Imaging (fUSI)

fUSI was implemented to detect and characterize stimulus-evoked hemodynamic changes in 152 mouse and NHP brains. This study utilized linear array ultrasound imaging transducers confocally 153 aligned within the annular opening of its paired FUS transducer. Imaging sequences were 154 generated using a programmable research ultrasound system (Vantage 256, Verasonics, Kirkland, 155 WA) to perform ultrafast compounded plane wave imaging. fUSI was performed by acquiring a 156 time series of coronal power Doppler images (PDI). The imaging parameters utilized in the mouse 157 158 and NHP experiments are provided in Table 1. A single compounded image was generated by averaging delay-and-sum reconstructed ultrasound images acquired from multiple plane wave 159 transmits in mice and diverging wave transmits in NHP. A PDI was generated by first applying a 160 high-pass filter to a stack of compounded images followed by spatiotemporal filtering using 161 singular value decomposition (SVD)<sup>26,27</sup>. SVD filtering cannot remove the influence of large 162 motion artifacts, so outlier frames were removed whose mean image value was three standard 163 deviations above the mean image value of the remaining image set. The pixel intensity data, 164 representing cerebral blood volume (CBV), was averaged across the four stimuli prior to 165 performing the statistical analysis. The image processing steps are outlined in Figure 3. 166



Figure 3. fUSI image processing steps and averaging. (a) Power Doppler images (PDI) were generated from stacks of compounded images. A high-pass filter (HPF) was applied prior to performing singular value decomposition (SVD). An eigenvalue cutoff of 10 % was chosen to filter out the tissue clutter signal. These datasets were then recomposed using the same eigenvalue cutoff, yielding a time series of PDI. (b) PDI pixel intensity time series were averaged across focused ultrasound (FUS) stimuli for each trial before performing statistical analysis.

173

## 174 Neuronavigation and Targeting

The confocal FUS-fUSI transducer system was lowered into the acoustic gel on the scalp, ensuring 175 that no bubbles were trapped along the beam path. B-Mode imaging was used to verify adequate 176 acoustic coupling (Figure 4). In mice, the transducer system was attached to a stereotactic 177 micromanipulator. Targeting was performed by first landmarking the interaural line using B-mode 178 imaging to locate highly reflective metal syringe tips temporarily placed on the stereotactic ear 179 bars (i.e. interaural line). The syringe tips were then removed, taking extra care not to leave trapped 180 air bubbles that could result in signal loss or artifacts. Neuronavigation could then be performed 181 by manually translating the transducer system in the anteroposterior or mediolateral (ML) 182 directions according to a reference atlas<sup>42</sup> using the micromanipulator. In animals with cranial 183 windows, targeting was performed by using the anterior border of the cranial window (Bregma +2 184 in the anterioposterior direction) as a reference point. The imaging plane was located at -1.6 mm 185 to -2.0 mm from Bregma in the anterioposterior direction. Sonications were performed along the 186 midline ( $\pm 0 \text{ mm ML}$ ) or at  $\pm 2 \text{ mm ML}$  to investigate possible lateralization. 187

In NHP, the FUS-fUSI transducer system was fixed to a robotic arm (UR5e, Universal Robots, 188 Denmark) that allowed for precision targeting anywhere in the brain. Transducer positioning was 189 performed using a neuronavigation system (Brainsight; Rogue Research, Montreal, QC, Canada) 190 that allowed for preselection of brain targets and planning of trajectories. This neuronavigation 191 procedure has been described previously<sup>38,43,44</sup>. The selection of targets and determination of 192 trajectories were performed manually using previously acquired anatomical MRI scans uploaded 193 to the neuronavigation software (Figure 4). The robotic arm allowed for highly precise transducer 194 positioning with respect to the planned target and trajectory. Nevertheless, the target and trajectory 195 immediately prior to sonications was saved and used to predict transcranial pressure fields in 196 simulations using k-Wave<sup>45</sup>. Simulations allowed for the spatial comparison of predicted pressure 197 fields with fUSI data. 198



**Figure 4.** Planned FUS targets and associated B-Mode images. Targeting was performed with the Brainsight neuronavigation system (**a** and **c**) and B-Mode images were used to ensure proper acoustic coupling and alignment (**b** and **d**). Both modalities are shown for the first (**a** and **b**) and the second subject (**c** and **d**). The skin surface (blue dotted line) and skull (orange dotted line) are marked on each B-Mode image.

#### 205 Correlation Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed to identify pixels exhibiting intensity time courses that were 206 significantly correlated with applied stimulus patterns in a manner as in our previous study<sup>31</sup>. The 207 binary stimulus vector (i.e. FUS ON vs FUS OFF) was convolved with a modified hemodynamic 208 response function (HRF)<sup>20</sup> to generate a more physiologically relevant HRF regressor for 209 computing correlation coefficients. Using the binary vector as a regressor yields significance; 210 however, using a physiologically relevant regressor is optimal because changes in CBV are not 211 instantaneous. Pixel-wise Spearman correlation coefficients were computed between the regressor 212 and PDI time series. Pixels with significantly correlated (p < 0.01) CBV changes were identified 213 in each session and used to create binary maps. Small areas of connected pixels were removed 214 from the binary maps to isolate the spatially dominant effects ( $<0.05 \text{ mm}^2$  in mice;  $<15 \text{ mm}^2$  in 215 NHP). The computed correlation values were then remapped using the binary maps to be overlaid 216 onto mean PDI, B-mode, or anatomical MRI. The main steps are summarized in Figure 5. 217





# 226 **Results**

#### 227 **Mice**

The ultrasound imaging sequence implemented in this study was able to successfully transcranially 228 image the mouse brain in vivo. The immobilization techniques implemented adequately mitigated 229 motion artifacts such that fewer than 5% of image frames were removed as outliers in all image 230 sets. Experiments were performed to determine whether FUS induces hemodynamic changes in 231 the brain. A total of 22 sham trials were conducted across 6 mice. Sham trials performed with the 232 amplifier powered off showed no significant activation. However, FUS routinely produced 233 widespread hemodynamic responses in all subjects. Activity was typically observed both within 234 the focal region and across both hemispheres of the cortex. Example sham results for two subjects 235 receiving both sham (0 MPa) and FUS (1.7 MPa) conditions are provided in Figure 6. The FUS 236 condition induced significantly greater activation area size than the sham groups (p < 0.001, 237 Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test). 238





Figure 6. Activation in FUS and sham trials. (a) Activity maps of Spearman correlation coefficients for two subjects receiving both sham and FUS conditions are overlaid onto PDI. The predicted acoustic focus assessed during transducer calibration is overlaid with a white dotted line. (b) Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test revealed a significantly greater activation area in the FUS group. (\*\*\*\*p < 0.001)

Further FUS experiments were performed to determine whether response patterns demonstrate a dependence on the applied acoustic pressure. 4 subjects were sonicated with 3 different FUS pressures in randomized order for a total of 9 trials (n = 2 trials for 3 subjects and n = 3 trials for 1 subject). A sample of each pressure condition for 3 subjects is provided in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Higher pressures of transcranial FUS induce greater activation. (a) Activity maps for three 248 249 subjects receiving 0.8, 1.7, and 2.6 MPa FUS. Spearman coefficients of significantly correlated pixels and the predicted acoustic focus (white) assessed during transducer calibration are overlaid onto PDI. The color 250 bar represents Spearman correlation values. (b) Mean CBV changes (solid) and standard deviations 251 (shaded) for all jointly significantly correlated pixels across all trials. Multiple Wilcoxon matched-pairs 252 signed rank tests revealed significantly greater (c) activation area and (d) CBV change as pressure 253 254 increased. (\*\*p < 0.01). Data is depicted as individual observations (circles) with mean (central line) and standard deviations (whiskers). 255

A Friedman test revealed a significant difference between the pressure groups (p < 0.001). The size of the activation area was observed to increase significantly with the applied FUS pressure from 0.8 to 2.6 MPa. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests showed that the 1.7 and 2.6 MPa group both produced greater activation areas than the 0.8 MPa group (p < 0.01). The 2.6 MPa group compared with the 1.7 MPa did not rise to significance; however, linear regression showed a significant positive trend (p < 0.05) in activation area size with increasing pressure. Mean

changes in CBV were calculated by averaging significantly correlated pixels that were common to each of the three pressure conditions for each individual trial. Selecting pixels that were jointly significant across pressure conditions was intended to reduce bias in datasets with stronger correlation and more significant pixels. In one subject, the 0.8 MPa condition did not yield any significance and was therefore omitted from the CBV analysis. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests showed that the 2.6 MPa group produced a greater mean change in CBV than the 0.8 MPa group (p < 0.01).

269

270 To investigate the effects of the skull on the response patterns, FUS was delivered with different pressures in 5 mice implanted with cranial windows. We further evaluated possible 271 effects of frequency and focal size, by using a 4 MHz transducer to deliver FUS with comparable 272 pressures. Like the transcranial condition, there were significant differences between the pressure 273 groups for both tested frequencies (Friedman test, 1.68 MHz: p = 0.0014, 4.0 MHz: p = 0.0002). 274 A Dunn's test corrected for multiple comparisons was performed as a post-hoc analysis to account 275 for the small group size. We found significantly larger activated areas in 2.8 MPa FUS compared 276 to the lower pressures of 0.7 MPa (p = 0.0443), 1.1 MPa (p = 0.0070) or 1.4 MPa (p = 0.0208) in 277 the 1.68 MHz condition (Figure 8b). Similarly, with 4 MHz FUS the activated area with pressures 278  $\geq$  3.0 MPa was significantly larger compared to 1.4 MPa (Dunn's Test, 3 MPa: p = 0.00175, 3.3 279 MPa: p = 0.0437, 3.6 MPa: p = 0.0175, Figure 8a). Clear trends for an increase in activated areas 280 start at pressures of 2.1 MPa in the 1.68 MHz condition and at 2.3 MPa in the 4 MHz condition 281 (Figure 8b/c). Compared to transcranial FUS there was no activation in lower pressure conditions 282 (i.e. 0.8 MPa and 1.7 MPa) and correlation coefficients of significantly activated areas were lower. 283 Especially in lower pressures, cortical activation was less pronounced in mice with cranial 284 windows, while subcortical responses appeared enhanced (Figure 8). 285



286

Figure 8. Higher pressures with different center frequencies induce greater activation in craniotomized mice. (a) Representative activity maps for 2 subjects receiving FUS with 1.68 MHz or 4.0 MHz center frequency under different pressure conditions. The predicted acoustic focus (white) assessed during transducer calibration is overlaid onto the PDIs. The color bar represents Spearman correlation values. (b) Activation area for different pressures in the 1.68 MHz condition. (c) Activation area for different pressures in the 4.0 MHz condition. Data is depicted as individual observations (circles) with mean (central line) and standard deviations (whiskers). (\* p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01)

294

A separate experiment investigated whether unilateral targeting of FUS in a single hemisphere 295 produces lateralized responses. FUS was targeted 2 mm left and right of the midline in each 296 imaging plane in 13 paired trials across 6 subjects. Unilateral sonications produced bilateral 297 hemodynamic responses with subcortical activation observed in most subjects. Significantly 298 greater activation areas were observed ipsilateral to the sonicated hemisphere (Figure 9, p < 0.01). 299 The overall success rate of activation across unilateral sonication trials was 80% (n = 26). Focally 300 aligning activation area maps across these trials revealed a mean centroid distance of -0.031±0.216 301 mm from the focal axis. 302



303

Figure 9. Ipsilateral bias in unilateral sonications. A total of 13 paired trials of unilateral sonications were performed across 6 subjects. Activation maps (Spearman correlation, p < 0.01) were averaged for (a) left and (b) right hemispheric sonications. Paired t-tests for activation area size in the left- versus right-hand halves of the imaging planes were performed for each paired set of unilateral sonications. Activation areas were significantly greater in the sonicated hemisphere (\*\* p < 0.01).

309

A best-case example for focal activation is provided in Figure 10 showing three ROIs and their averaged CBV responses over time. This subject was sonicated at the midline (0 mm ML). Although bilateral activity was observed, there is a stretch of activity that extends into the subcortical region along the focal axis.



314

Figure 10. Example of CBV changes over time in one mouse induced by FUS neuromodulation. (a) The activity map produced by FUS neuromodulation (Spearman correlation, Pixels with p < 0.01). (b) Three regions of interest extracted from the activity map are labeled by color. (c) The CBV changes over time associated with each of the three regions are plotted according to the color of the region in (b). FUS was applied at 0 mm laterally as indicated by the dotted green line in (a).

320

#### 321 Non-human primates

We translated our experimental setup from mice to NHP by making use of lower center frequencies 322 for FUS neuromodulation and fUSI (Table 1). A total of 4 experiments in 2 subjects were 323 performed to demonstrate the feasibility of our approach. Supplementary Figure 2 shows an 324 example of activity maps for 6 individual neuromodulation trials (1 experiment) overlaid onto B-325 Mode images with traces of cerebral blood volume (CBV) change. For further analysis, the six 326 trials from each experiment were averaged to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. We found 327 significantly activated areas in all four experiments that were mainly localized to the center of the 328 image where the focus is predicted to be and in cortical areas (Figure 11). Surprisingly we were 329 also able to identify negatively correlated areas that showed decreases in CBV during and 330 following FUS neuromodulation. However, negative changes in CBV were less pronounced than 331 positive CBV changes across all subjects (Figure 11, Maximum CBV decrease: 2.5 % - 9.6 %; 332 Maximum CBV increase: 10.0 % - 46.0 %). The average size of the activated area following 333 sonications of 4 separate targets across both subjects was  $56.9 \pm 26.5 \text{ mm}^2$  and  $40.3 \pm 18.0 \text{ mm}^2$ 334 for the positively and negatively correlated areas, respectively. The subsequent BBBO procedure 335 and contrast-enhanced MRI in the same region as the neuromodulation session revealed successful 336 delivery of acoustic energy to deep brain regions in all four experiments (Suppl. Figure 3). 337





Figure 11. FUS targets and corresponding fUSI activity maps and CBV changes in NHP. Each row represents an experiment with n = 6 stimulus sessions that were averaged for further analysis. The first column shows simulation results, the second column shows activity maps (Spearman correlation) and the third column CBV changes in the significantly correlated areas (red: positive correlation, blue: negative correlation, shaded area: 95 % confidence interval). The fUSI transducer was aligned in the coronal plane or sagittal plane in experiments 1 and 2, respectively.

# 345 **Discussion**

This study presents the first implementation of transcranial fUSI in combination with FUS to investigate neuromodulatory effects in the CNS by analyzing changes in CBV. We demonstrate that higher pressures significantly increase the activated area in the brain and induce stronger CBV increases, while lateralized sonications result in CBV responses with ipsilateral bias in mice. Finally, we show the feasibility of our approach in NHPs, establishing the first successful implementation of transcranial fUSI in a large animal model.

Our results show robust and repeatable bilateral activation of cortical and subcortical areas during 352 FUS neuromodulation not strictly limited to the focal area. This finding is seemingly in contrast 353 with several studies demonstrating focal activation and specific behavioral responses during or 354 following FUS<sup>2,5,46–48</sup>. However, others have demonstrated off-target activations likely associated 355 with brain regions connected to the same network as the targeted areas<sup>49</sup> and network-associated 356 changes in functional connectivity following FUS neuromodulation are widely reported<sup>50–53</sup>. The 357 long neuromodulation periods (20 s) and high pressures (up to 3.6 MPa) employed in this study 358 make it conceivable that connected brain areas were activated, while the comparatively low frame 359 rate of fUSI (1-2 Hz) did not allow the identification of a focal starting point. This notion is 360 partially supported by the facts that the induced CBV responses remained consistent at single 361 transducer positions, that a smaller focus induced less activation and that lateral sonications 362 produced an ipsilateral bias in the activated areas. Further studies that combine fUSI with electrical 363 or optical recordings of neuronal activity in multiple brain regions are needed to fully elucidate 364 the short-term activation patterns generated by FUS neuromodulation in the brain. 365

Subcortical activation was inconsistent during transcranial fUSI acquisitions while reliable 366 activation was observed in the cortex. In mice implanted with an ultrasound-transparent cranial 367 window, we found more robust activation in subcortical regions and less pronounced cortical 368 activation, while the correlation with the stimulus vector appeared weaker in general. These results 369 could be explained by the effects of temperature on neuronal activation since the brain temperature 370 371 was decreased in mice with a cranial window, especially in cortical areas. Thermocouple measurements revealed a temperature of 31.6 °C in the cortex and 33.6 °C in the thalamus in the 372 cranial window condition (Supplementary Figure 4), whereas brain temperature under similar 373 anesthetic conditions without a cranial window has been reported by others to be 34.6 °C or 35.4 374

°C, respectively<sup>54</sup>. In addition, FUS can cause skull heating due to the acoustic properties of the 375 skull, which results in a local temperature increase below the skull surface<sup>55,56</sup>. It has been shown 376 repeatedly that temperature can influence neuronal activation and cerebral blood flow<sup>57-60</sup>. 377 Specifically, temperature decreases induce lower cerebral blood flow, while the effects on 378 neuronal activity are mostly described as excitatory<sup>57,59</sup>. Both mechanisms as well as skull heating 379 likely influence the results presented here and could explain the differences between the activation 380 profiles in the transcranial and cranial window conditions. Furthermore, lower temperature 381 decreases the activity of mechanosensitive channels like Piezo1<sup>61</sup> and TRPP2<sup>62</sup> which have been 382 shown to excite neurons during FUS neuromodulation<sup>63</sup>. Further investigation of the effects of 383 temperature in the context of fUSI and during FUS neuromodulation is therefore warranted to 384 advance our understanding and refine the application of both techniques. In addition, the stronger 385 cortical activation and less pronounced subcortical response in the transcranial condition compared 386 to animals with a cranial window should caution authors to directly translate results between both 387 cases and carefully adjust relevant parameters like the cranial temperature. 388

We tested the feasibility of an adapted version of our FUS-fUSI setup in NHPs and were able to 389 show robust responses to FUS neuromodulation. The activity maps following FUS 390 neuromodulation were similar to the ones obtained transcranially in mice in the sense that all of 391 392 them displayed cortical activation. In one case, activation maps matched the predicted focal area of neuromodulation very well. The differences in results could be explained by individual 393 differences in skull properties that might affect fUSI and by small errors in the targeting 394 procedure<sup>64,65</sup>. Interestingly, we were able to identify negatively correlated regions with 395 stimulation-associated decreases in CBV, which could be connected to decreases in neuronal 396 activity<sup>66</sup>. However, a variety of different mechanisms like a 'steal-phenomenon'<sup>67</sup> in the vicinity 397 of active regions or vasoconstriction independent of neuronal activity<sup>68</sup> have been proposed. To 398 allow translation from mice to NHPs the setup was adjusted by lowering the frequency of the FUS 399 transducer and the fUSI array and increasing the number of compounded frames for PDI. These 400 adjustments decrease temporal as well as spatial resolution and further studies are necessary to 401 fully understand the limits of our method. Technical improvements like coded excitation might be 402 able to increase SNR without sacrificing resolution in the future<sup>69,70</sup>. The high increase in CBV in 403 NHPs were in contrast to our results in rodents, but are within the range of what others have 404 reported with visual stimulation or in task-related behavioral paradigms<sup>34,35</sup>. Interestingly, we 405

found stronger increases in CBV while imaging in the coronal plane although the sagittal plane 406 resulted in greater normality of the skull along the transducer surface that should have increased 407 the gain of the functional signal. Additional studies are warranted to fully understand the effects 408 of different imaging planes and skull aberrations on the quality of transcranial fUSI. Including 409 greater or lesser portions of the skull should also affect the cutoff threshold of SVD filtering since 410 a larger skull piece in the field of view would manifest as greater energy in the lower singular 411 values. The effect of SVD cutoff value on the quality of fUSI data therefore requires further 412 examination. Additional studies will need to be performed at identical targets across multiple 413 independent experimental days to validate the repeatability of FUS-evoked fUSI responses in 414 NHPs. 415

416

# 417 Conclusion

This study introduced a system for FUS neuromodulation that allows simultaneous online 418 monitoring of hemodynamic responses with fUSI in vivo. We show that fUSI can capture region-419 dependent responses to FUS neuromodulation and displays stronger responses in higher-pressure 420 conditions. Our approach allowed for transcranial imaging of FUS neuromodulation-induced 421 changes with a large field of view in rodents, which could help in studying the immediate to mid-422 term effects of FUS neuromodulation, especially in the context of network activation patterns. 423 Finally, this study demonstrated for the first time that transcranial fUSI can detect FUS 424 neuromodulation-evoked hemodynamic changes in nonhuman primates. Although results need to 425 be validated in different brain regions and with a larger number of subjects, the findings presented 426 herein could serve as a framework for implementing fully non-invasive FUS neuromodulation with 427 simultaneous indirect monitoring of neuronal activity in humans. 428

429

430

# 432 **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

435

# 436 Acknowledgments

- 437 This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (Award numbers: 5R01EB02757 6-
- 438 04 and 5R01AG03896 1-10) and Jonas Bendig was partially supported by the Thiemann
- 439 Foundation. Additionally, we would like to thank Dr. Stephen Lee for his crucial advice and
- support during the whole project.

441

# 442 **References**

- Hameroff, S. *et al.* Transcranial ultrasound (TUS) effects on mental states: a pilot study. *Brain Stimulat.* 6, 409–415 (2013).
- 445 2. Tufail, Y. *et al.* Transcranial pulsed ultrasound stimulates intact brain circuits. *Neuron* 66, 681–694 (2010).

Tufail, Y., Yoshihiro, A., Pati, S., Li, M. M. & Tyler, W. J. Ultrasonic neuromodulation by
brain stimulation with transcranial ultrasound. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 1453–1470 (2011).

- 449
  4. Murphy, K. R. *et al.* A tool for monitoring cell type-specific focused ultrasound
  450 neuromodulation and control of chronic epilepsy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 119,
  451 e2206828119 (2022).
- 452 5. Yoo, S.-S. *et al.* Focused ultrasound modulates region-specific brain activity. *Neuroimage* 56, 1267–1275 (2011).
- 454
   6. Yu, K., Niu, X., Krook-Magnuson, E. & He, B. Intrinsic functional neuron-type selectivity of
   455
   456
   457
   457
   458
   459
   459
   459
   450
   450
   450
   451
   451
   451
   451
   452
   453
   454
   455
   455
   455
   455
   455
   455
   456
   457
   457
   458
   458
   459
   459
   450
   450
   450
   450
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
   451
- Fry, F. J., Ades, H. W. & Fry, W. J. Production of reversible changes in the central nervous system by ultrasound. *Science* 127, 83–84 (1958).
- 8. Sarica, C. *et al.* Human Studies of Transcranial Ultrasound neuromodulation: A systematic review of effectiveness and safety. *Brain Stimulat.* 15, 737–746 (2022).
- 460 9. Kamimura, H. A. S., Conti, A., Toschi, N. & Konofagou, E. E. Ultrasound neuromodulation:
  461 mechanisms and the potential of multimodal stimulation for neuronal function assessment.
  462 *Front. Phys.* 8, 150 (2020).
- 463 10. Fomenko, A., Neudorfer, C., Dallapiazza, R. F., Kalia, S. K. & Lozano, A. M. Low-intensity
   464 ultrasound neuromodulation: An overview of mechanisms and emerging human applications.
   465 *Brain Stimulat.* 11, 1209–1217 (2018).

- 466 11. Aurup, C., Kamimura, H. A. S. & Konofagou, E. E. High-Resolution Focused Ultrasound
   467 Neuromodulation Induces Limb-Specific Motor Responses in Mice in Vivo. *Ultrasound Med.* 468 *Biol.* 47, 998–1013 (2021).
- 469 12. Hernandez-Pavon, J. C. *et al.* TMS combined with EEG: Recommendations and open issues
  470 for data collection and analysis. *Brain Stimul. Basic Transl. Clin. Res. Neuromodulation* 16,
  471 567–593 (2023).
- 13. Chen, S., Mohajerani, M. H., Xie, Y. & Murphy, T. H. Optogenetic analysis of neuronal
  excitability during global ischemia reveals selective deficits in sensory processing following
  reperfusion in mouse cortex. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. 32, 13510–13519 (2012).
- 475 14. Ahrens, E. T. & Dubowitz, D. J. Peripheral somatosensory fMRI in mouse at 11.7 T. NMR
  476 Biomed. 14, 318–324 (2001).
- 477 15. Bosshard, S. C. *et al.* Assessment of brain responses to innocuous and noxious electrical
   478 forepaw stimulation in mice using BOLD fMRI. *Pain* 151, 655–663 (2010).
- 16. Deffieux, T., Demene, C., Pernot, M. & Tanter, M. Functional ultrasound neuroimaging: a
  review of the preclinical and clinical state of the art. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 50, 128–135
  (2018).
- 482 17. Martinez de Paz, J. M. & Macé, E. Functional ultrasound imaging: A useful tool for functional
   483 connectomics? *NeuroImage* 245, 118722 (2021).
- 484 18. Montaldo, G., Urban, A. & Macé, E. Functional Ultrasound Neuroimaging. *Annu. Rev.* 485 *Neurosci.* 45, 491–513 (2022).
- 486 19. Urban, A. *et al.* Real-time imaging of brain activity in freely moving rats using functional
   487 ultrasound. *Nat. Methods* 12, 873–878 (2015).
- Brunner, C. *et al.* Whole-brain functional ultrasound imaging in awake head-fixed mice. *Nat. Protoc.* 16, 3547–3571 (2021).
- 490 21. Macé, E. et al. Functional ultrasound imaging of the brain. Nat. Methods 8, 662–664 (2011).
- 491 22. Ionescu, T. M., Grohs-Metz, G. & Hengerer, B. Functional ultrasound detects frequency492 specific acute and delayed S-ketamine effects in the healthy mouse brain. *Front. Neurosci.* 17, 1177428 (2023).
- 494 23. Rabut, C. *et al.* Pharmaco-fUS: Quantification of pharmacologically-induced dynamic
   495 changes in brain perfusion and connectivity by functional ultrasound imaging in awake mice.
   496 *NeuroImage* 222, 117231 (2020).
- 497 24. Rabut, C. *et al.* 4D functional ultrasound imaging of whole-brain activity in rodents. *Nat.* 498 *Methods* 16, 994–997 (2019).
- 25. Osmanski, B.-F., Pezet, S., Ricobaraza, A., Lenkei, Z. & Tanter, M. Functional ultrasound
   imaging of intrinsic connectivity in the living rat brain with high spatiotemporal resolution.
   *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5023 (2014).
- 26. Baranger, J. *et al.* Adaptive Spatiotemporal SVD Clutter Filtering for Ultrafast Doppler
   Imaging Using Similarity of Spatial Singular Vectors. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 37, 1574–
   1586 (2018).
- 27. Demené, C. *et al.* Spatiotemporal Clutter Filtering of Ultrafast Ultrasound Data Highly
   Increases Doppler and fUltrasound Sensitivity. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 34, 2271–2285
   (2015).
- 28. Claron, J. *et al.* Co-variations of cerebral blood volume and single neurons discharge during
   resting state and visual cognitive tasks in non-human primates. *Cell Rep.* 42, 112369 (2023).
- 29. Nunez-Elizalde, A. O. *et al.* Neural correlates of blood flow measured by ultrasound. *Neuron*110, 1631-1640.e4 (2022).

- 30. Mace, E. *et al.* Functional ultrasound imaging of the brain: theory and basic principles. *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* 60, 492–506 (2013).
- Aurup, C., Pouliopoulos, A. N., Kwon, N., Murillo, M. F. & Konofagou, E. E. Evaluation of
   Non-invasive Optogenetic Stimulation with Transcranial Functional Ultrasound Imaging.
   *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* 49, 908–917 (2023).
- 32. Bertolo, A. *et al.* Whole-Brain 3D Activation and Functional Connectivity Mapping in Mice
   using Transcranial Functional Ultrasound Imaging. *J. Vis. Exp. JoVE* (2021)
   doi:10.3791/62267.
- 33. Tiran, E. *et al.* Transcranial Functional Ultrasound Imaging in Freely Moving Awake Mice
   and Anesthetized Young Rats without Contrast Agent. *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* 43, 1679–1689
   (2017).
- 523 34. Blaize, K. *et al.* Functional ultrasound imaging of deep visual cortex in awake nonhuman 524 primates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **117**, 14453–14463 (2020).
- 35. Dizeux, A. *et al.* Functional ultrasound imaging of the brain reveals propagation of task-related
   brain activity in behaving primates. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1400 (2019).
- 527 36. Griggs, W. S. *et al.* Decoding motor plans using a closed-loop ultrasonic brain-machine 528 interface. *Nat. Neurosci.* **27**, 196–207 (2024).
- 37. Norman, S. L. *et al.* Single Trial Decoding of Movement Intentions Using Functional
   Ultrasound Neuroimaging. *Neuron* 109, 1554-1566.e4 (2021).
- 38. Bae, S., Liu, K., Pouliopoulos, A. N., Ji, R. & Konofagou, E. E. Real-Time Passive Acoustic
   Mapping With Enhanced Spatial Resolution in Neuronavigation-Guided Focused Ultrasound
   for Blood-Brain Barrier Opening. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 70, 2874–2885 (2023).
- 39. Sato, T., Shapiro, M. G. & Tsao, D. Y. Ultrasonic Neuromodulation Causes Widespread
   Cortical Activation via an Indirect Auditory Mechanism. *Neuron* 98, 1031-1041.e5 (2018).
- 40. Guo, H. *et al.* Ultrasound Produces Extensive Brain Activation via a Cochlear Pathway.
   *Neuron* 98, 1020-1030.e4 (2018).
- 41. Mohammadjavadi, M. *et al.* Elimination of peripheral auditory pathway activation does not
   affect motor responses from ultrasound neuromodulation. *Brain Stimulat.* 12, 901–910 (2019).
- 42. Paxinos, G. & Franklin, K. *Paxinos and Franklin's the Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. (Elsevier Academic Press, 2019).
- 43. Wu, S.-Y. *et al.* Efficient Blood-Brain Barrier Opening in Primates with Neuronavigation Guided Ultrasound and Real-Time Acoustic Mapping. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 7978 (2018).
- 44. Pouliopoulos, A. N. *et al.* Safety evaluation of a clinical focused ultrasound system for
  neuronavigation guided blood-brain barrier opening in non-human primates. *Sci. Rep.* 11,
  15043 (2021).
- 45. Treeby, B. E. & Cox, B. T. k-Wave: MATLAB toolbox for the simulation and reconstruction
  of photoacoustic wave fields. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 15, 021314 (2010).
- 46. Kamimura, H. A. S. *et al.* Focused ultrasound neuromodulation of cortical and subcortical
  brain structures using 1.9 MHz. *Med. Phys.* 43, 5730 (2016).
- 47. Yang, Y. *et al.* Induction of a torpor-like hypothermic and hypometabolic state in rodents by ultrasound. *Nat. Metab.* **5**, 789–803 (2023).
- 48. Ai, L., Bansal, P., Mueller, J. K. & Legon, W. Effects of transcranial focused ultrasound on human primary motor cortex using 7T fMRI: a pilot study. *BMC Neurosci.* **19**, 56 (2018).
- 49. Yang, P.-F. *et al.* Bidirectional and state-dependent modulation of brain activity by transcranial
   focused ultrasound in non-human primates. *Brain Stimulat.* 14, 261–272 (2021).

- 557 50. Yaakub, S. N. *et al.* Transcranial focused ultrasound-mediated neurochemical and functional 558 connectivity changes in deep cortical regions in humans. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 5318 (2023).
- 559 51. Folloni, D. *et al.* Manipulation of Subcortical and Deep Cortical Activity in the Primate Brain
   560 Using Transcranial Focused Ultrasound Stimulation. *Neuron* 101, 1109-1116.e5 (2019).
- 561 52. Verhagen, L. *et al.* Offline impact of transcranial focused ultrasound on cortical activation in 562 primates. *eLife* **8**, e40541 (2019).
- 53. Sanguinetti, J. L. *et al.* Transcranial Focused Ultrasound to the Right Prefrontal Cortex
   Improves Mood and Alters Functional Connectivity in Humans. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 14, 52
   (2020).
- 566 54. Shirey, M. J. *et al.* Brief anesthesia, but not voluntary locomotion, significantly alters cortical 567 temperature. *J. Neurophysiol.* **114**, 309–322 (2015).
- 55. Kim, C. *et al.* Closed-loop trans-skull ultrasound hyperthermia leads to improved drug delivery
   from thermosensitive drugs and promotes changes in vascular transport dynamics in brain
   tumors. *Theranostics* 11, 7276–7293 (2021).
- 56. Blackmore, D. G. *et al.* Low-intensity ultrasound restores long-term potentiation and memory
   in senescent mice through pleiotropic mechanisms including NMDAR signaling. *Mol. Psychiatry* 26, 6975–6991 (2021).
- 574 57. Kim, T. *et al.* Thermal effects on neurons during stimulation of the brain. *J. Neural Eng.* **19**, 056029 (2022).
- 576 58. Kiyatkin, E. A. Brain temperature and its role in physiology and pathophysiology: Lessons 577 from 20 years of thermorecording. *Temp. Multidiscip. Biomed. J.* **6**, 271–333 (2019).
- 578 59. Van Hook, M. J. Temperature effects on synaptic transmission and neuronal function in the visual thalamus. *PLoS ONE* **15**, e0232451 (2020).
- 60. Wang, H. *et al.* Brain temperature and its fundamental properties: a review for clinical neuroscientists. *Front. Neurosci.* **8**, (2014).
- 582 61. Zheng, W., Nikolaev, Y. A., Gracheva, E. O. & Bagriantsev, S. N. Piezo2 integrates
  583 mechanical and thermal cues in vertebrate mechanoreceptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*584 116, 17547–17555 (2019).
- 62. Ng, L. C. T., Vien, T. N., Yarov-Yarovoy, V. & DeCaen, P. G. Opening TRPP2 (PKD2L1)
   requires the transfer of gating charges. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 116, 15540–15549
   (2019).
- 63. Yoo, S., Mittelstein, D. R., Hurt, R. C., Lacroix, J. & Shapiro, M. G. Focused ultrasound
  excites cortical neurons via mechanosensitive calcium accumulation and ion channel
  amplification. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 493 (2022).
- 64. Pouliopoulos, A. N. *et al.* A clinical system for non-invasive blood-brain barrier opening using
   a neuronavigation-guided single-element focused ultrasound transducer. *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* 46, 73–89 (2020).
- 65. Bae, S. *et al.* Transcranial Blood-Brain Barrier Opening in Alzheimer's Disease Patients Using
   A Portable Focused Ultrasound System with Real-Time 2-D Cavitation Mapping. *MedRxiv Prepr. Serv. Health Sci.* 2023.12.21.23300222 (2024) doi:10.1101/2023.12.21.23300222.
- 66. Macé, É. *et al.* Whole-Brain Functional Ultrasound Imaging Reveals Brain Modules for
   Visuomotor Integration. *Neuron* 100, 1241-1251.e7 (2018).
- 67. Harel, N., Lee, S.-P., Nagaoka, T., Kim, D.-S. & Kim, S.-G. Origin of negative blood
  oxygenation level-dependent fMRI signals. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. Off. J. Int. Soc.
  Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 22, 908–917 (2002).

- 68. Shih, Y.-Y. I. *et al.* A new scenario for negative functional magnetic resonance imaging
  signals: endogenous neurotransmission. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 29, 3036–3044
  (2009).
- 605 69. Vienneau, E. P. & Byram, B. C. A Coded Excitation Framework for High SNR Transcranial 606 Ultrasound Imaging. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **42**, 2886–2898 (2023).
- 70. Vienneau, E., Weeks, A. & Byram, B. Coded Excitation for Increased Sensitivity in
   Transcranial Power Doppler Imaging. in 2022 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium
   (IUS) 1–4 (2022). doi:10.1109/IUS54386.2022.9958842.
- 610