



pubs.acs.org/JACS Communication

Catalytic Hydrogenation of Thioesters, Thiocarbamates, and Thioamides

Jie Luo, Michael Rauch, Liat Avram, Yehoshoa Ben-David, and David Milstein*



Cite This: J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 21628-21633



ACCESS I

Metrics & More

Article Recommendations

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Direct hydrogenation of thioesters with H_2 provides a facile and waste-free method to access alcohols and thiols. However, no report of this reaction is documented, possibly because of the incompatibility of the generated thiol with typical hydrogenation catalysts. Here, we report an efficient and selective hydrogenation of thioesters. The reaction is catalyzed by an acridine-based ruthenium complex without additives. Various thioesters were fully hydrogenated to the corresponding alcohols and thiols with excellent tolerance for amide, ester, and carboxylic acid groups. Thiocarbamates and thioamides also undergo hydrogenation under similar conditions, substantially extending the application of hydrogenation of organosulfur compounds.

The four-electron reduction of thioesters by NAD(P)H to thiols and alcohols is well-known as the terminating step of non-ribosomal peptide synthetase and polyketide synthase involved biosynthetic processes. Due to the mild biological reducing conditions, multifunctionalized natural alcoholic products such as myxochelin A and myxalamid A are directly accessed (Scheme 1a). In contrast, current synthetic methods

Scheme 1. Hydrogenation of Thioesters toward Thiols and Alcohols

a. Natural alcoholic products from biological reduction of thioesters

b. This work: Hydrogenation of thioesters towards alcohols and thiols

for the reduction of thioesters to alcohols and thiols still largely rely on the use of stoichiometric amounts of hydride reagents, which not only generate significant waste, but also limit application in synthesis because of possible over-reduction of unsaturated functional groups. Inspired by biological reduction of thioesters and also considering the ubiquity of thioesters in foods, cosmetics, antibiotics, and natural products, developing a selective and green reducing method for thioesters can access various alcohols and widely used mercaptans.

In the context of producing high-value chemicals from biobased feedstocks through more sustainable methods, hydrogenation of carboxylic acids and their derivatives is of great importance for generating versatile organic building blocks in a waste-free fashion, as compared to traditional reducing methods using hydride reagents. Recent years have witnessed great progress in this area using H2 in transforming carboxylic acids, esters, amides, and even carbonates, carbamates, and ureas⁹ to a vast number of alcohols and amines. Nonetheless, to the best of our knowledge, the hydrogenation of thioesters is yet to be reported, 10 possibly due to the generation of thiols which might retard typical metal-catalyzed hydrogenation systems. Here we report our discovery of the hydrogenation of thioesters directly toward thiols and alcohols catalyzed by a Ru-acridine complex. Functional groups including amide, ester, carboxylic acid, and trisubstituted double bonds are tolerated (Scheme 1b). In addition, this system also enables hydrogenation of thiocarbamates and thioamides, demonstrating its efficiency and versatility.

Very recently, our group developed a Ru-acridine complex (Scheme 2a, Ru-1)-catalyzed synthesis of thioesters by dehydrogenative coupling of thiols and alcohols, evolving $\rm H_2$ as the only byproduct. In studying this reaction, a Ruthiolate acridine complex (Ru-3) was isolated from the reaction of Ru-1 and hexanethiol, which was also a catalytically active species. Interestingly, Ru-3 was demonstrated to heterolytically split $\rm H_2$ at 1 bar to generate a hydrido-thiol complex (Ru-2) at room temperature. In accordance with the ease of regenerating the hydrido-thiol ruthenium complex, we wondered whether this system can hydrogenate thioesters

Received: October 14, 2020 Published: December 17, 2020





Scheme 2. Stoichiometric Experiments toward Hydrogenation of Thioesters

under H_2 pressure, despite the accumulation of free thiol during the reaction.

Toward this end, a preliminary stoichiometric experiment was carried out in which equivalent amounts of thioester 1a and Ru-1 were mixed in toluene at room temperature. Interestingly, all of Ru-1 transformed into Ru-3 with the generation of the corresponding aldehyde in a few hours, indicating the capability of Ru-1 to reduce the thioester (Scheme 2b). However, in a catalytic reaction in which excess thiol is present, it is important that Ru-3 would still be capable of catalyzing the hydrogenation of thioesters under H2. Therefore, the Ru-thiolate complex (Ru-3) was tested in hydrogenation of 2 equiv of thioester 1a under 3 bar H2 in toluene at room temperature (Scheme 2c). Encouragingly, after 5 h, nearly no thioester 1a was observed by NMR, and alcohol and thiol were generated, while Ru-3 converted to Ru-hydrido-thiol isomers (mer- and fac-Ru-2).

Based on this promising result, catalytic experiments were further explored directly using Ru-1 as the catalyst (Table 1). While low conversion was observed using toluene as solvent (entry 1, 23%), 50% of thioester 1a was successfully hydrogenated to the alcohol and hexanethiol in dioxane under 10 bar $\rm H_2$ at room temperature after 36 h (46% and 48% yields, respectively, entry 2). However, the conversion was still incomplete even upon prolonging the reaction to 5 days (entry

Table 1. Screening of Catalytic Reactions^a

entry	T (°C)	H_2 pressure (bar)	conversion of 1a (%) ^b	yields of $2a/3a$ (%)
1 ^c	r.t.	10	23	19/22
2	r.t.	10	50	46/48
3^d	r.t.	10	70	64/68
4 ^e	r.t.	10	17	11/14
5^f	r.t.	10	92	81/-
6	r.t.	40	58	52/56
7	135	20	>99	94(92)/96

"Conditions: 1a (0.5 mmol), catalyst Ru-1 (1.0 mol%), dioxane (1 mL), 36 h. "Conversions/yields were determined by GC using benzyl benzoate as internal standard; isolated yields in parentheses. "Toluene (1 mL) as solvent. "5 days. "HexSH (1 equiv) was added before the reaction. "53-Phenylpropionaldehyde (0.5 mmol) was used as substrate in the presence of HexSH (1 equiv); 4% ester was generated.

3, 70%). We propose that under these conditions, the thiol can still inhibit the hydrogenation upon accumulation during the reaction. To further verify this point, a control experiment was performed by adding 1 equiv of hexanethiol before the reaction. As expected, only 17% conversion of the thioester was observed under the same conditions (entry 4). Notably, employing 3-phenylpropional dehyde as the substrate (instead of thioester 1a) in the presence of 1 equiv of hexanethiol, nearly full conversion of the aldehyde was observed (entry 5). These experiments, taken together (entries 4 and 5), indicate that the presence of thiol slows down only the step of thioester conversion to aldehyde. In addition, in all of the incomplete reactions, only trace aldehyde was detected after the reaction, supporting that the step from aldehyde to alcohol is not rate-determining.

To promote the reaction, we then evaluated the effect of hydrogen pressure and temperature. Increasing $\rm H_2$ pressure to 40 bar, the conversion was only slightly improved, indicating that $\rm H_2$ pressure is not the key factor of the hydrogenation (Table 1, entry 6, 58% conversion). Interestingly, heating the reaction to 135 °C under 20 bar $\rm H_2$ resulted in full conversion of the thioester with no side reactions, affording the alcohol and the thiol in 94% and 96% yields, respectively (entry 7). In consideration of the former results (entries 2–4), heating appears to facilitate the dissociation of thiol from the ruthenium center (Scheme 3, i), possibly suggesting that Ru-

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism

1 is the active species in the initial conversion of the thioester to aldehyde. Prior mechanistic insights on related systems indicate that Ru-1 likely first isomerizes to *fac*-Ru-1 with a vacant site *cis* to the hydride, ^{11b,c} which might facilitate the insertion of the coordinated thioester into the Ru-hydride bond (Scheme 3, ii, I to II). In contrast, based on the result that the presence of thiol does not affect the hydrogenation of aldehyde to alcohol (entry 5), an outer-sphere transition state III^{11b} is proposed for aldehyde hydrogenation, in which Ru-2 is the active species. In the whole pathway, the presence of H₂ ensures the regeneration of the Ru-H species from Ru-3 (Scheme 3, iii), thereby driving the hydrogenation reaction.

To further prove the high efficiency of the developed system, 13 a scaled-up reaction was carried out under 30 bar $\rm H_2$ at 150 °C. Notably, 5 mmol thioester 1a was fully converted to the products with 0.2 mol% catalyst in only 2 h (Scheme 4, 1a,

Scheme 4. Hydrogenation of S-Hexyl Thioesters

R 1 SHex +H2 —	Ru-1 (1.0 mol%) dioxane 135 or 150 °C	OH + Hex-SH 2 3a
thioesters	alcohols	yields (2/3a)
O 1a Ph SHex	Ph OH	5 mmol: 95%/99% ^a
SHex O 1b	ОН ОН	94%/93%
Me N Me SHex	Me Me C	95%/98%
SHex O 1d	EtO	96%/98% ^b
Me Me 1e SHe:	Me Me	96%/97% ^b OH
O 1f SHex	MeOH	H 96%/91%
Me SHex 1g	Me HO OH	95%/95% ^c
Me SHex 1h	Me Me Me	63%/64% ^{d,e}
O 1i Ph SHex	Ph OH	97%/97% ^d

Conditions: substrate (0.5 mmol), catalyst **Ru-1** (1 mol%), dioxane (1 mL), 135 °C, 20 bar H₂, 36 h. Yields of products were determined by NMR and GC using benzyl benzoate or 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standards; isolated yields in parentheses. Unless otherwise noted, the conversion of the reaction is >99%. "1a (5 mmol), **Ru-1** (0.2 mol%), dioxane (3 mL), 150 °C, 30 bar H₂, 2 h. b150 °C, in the presence of 2% hexanethiol. cIn the presence of 1 equiv of hexanethiol. d150 °C, 40 bar H₂. e66% conversion of 1h.

95% yield of the alcohol, and 99% yield of hexanethiol). Subsequently, S-hexyl thioesters based on different acyl chains were studied at 0.5 mmol scale under 20 bar H2 at 135 °C (Scheme 4). First, a bi-thioester compound 1b was successfully hydrogenated to 2 equiv of hexanethiol and hexanediol in 93% and 94% yields, respectively. Additionally, a thioester with a tertiary amine group was also transformed to the corresponding products in excellent yields (1c). To our surprise, both ester and trisubstituted alkene groups remained untouched under the hydrogenation conditions, demonstrating the excellent selectivity of the system toward hydrogenation of thioester group (1d and 1e). In these two cases, 2% hexanethiol was added before the reaction to avoid the possible hydrogenation of the ester group or double bond by the first turnover of Ru-1.7e However, functional groups more prone to hydrogenation, terminal alkenes and ketones for example, were hydrogenated simultaneously in the corresponding products (1f and 1g). Even in the presence of 1 equiv of hexanethiol, the ketone group in 1g was fully hydrogenated. The reaction system appears sensitive to steric hindrance about the carbonyl group. For example, when thioester 1h with substantial steric hindrance was used as substrate, only 66% conversion of the thioester was achieved under 40 bar H_2 at $150~^{\circ}$ C. Lastly, employing benzothioate 1i, excellent yields of benzyl alcohol and hexanethiol were obtained under $40~\text{bar}\ H_2$ at $150~^{\circ}$ C (97% for both). Interestingly, upon addition of a catalytic amount of the Lewis acid $In(OTf)_3$, hydrogenative deoxygenation of thioester 1i was achieved, resulting in formation of the corresponding sulfide in 90% yield (see Supporting Information).

Next, thioesters based on different thiols were explored (Scheme 5). Thioesters derived from longer thiol chains or

Scheme 5. Hydrogenation of Thioesters Based on Various Thiols

entry	thioesters	thiols (yields)	alcohols (yields)
1	Me SDodec	Dodec-SH >99%	Me OH
2	S 1k Ph	93% SH	Ph OH
3 ^{a,b}	Me S 11	HS 87%	Me OH 69%
4 N	O O O Me	HS 4 SH 95%	Me OH
5 ^c	Me N S Me	Me N SH	Me ∕ OH 77%
6 ^{a,c}	Me S OH	HS 0 8 OH 92%	Me ∕ OH 78%
7 ^{c,d}	Me S OEt 1p NHAc	O HS OEt NHAc >99% (98%)	Me OH 80%

Conditions: Same as Scheme 4. a 40 bar H₂. b >90% conversion. c 150 $^{\circ}$ C. d In the presence of 2% hexanethiol.

secondary thiols were hydrogenated to the corresponding thiols and alcohols in excellent yields under the optimal conditions (1j and 1k). However, for thiophenol-based thioesters, 40 bar H2 was needed to ensure high conversion (>90%), affording thiophenol in 87% yield (Scheme 5, entry 3). In addition, the dithiol-based thioester 1m was fully hydrogenated (95% yield of thiol). Similarly, functional groups on the thiol chain were examined. A tethered amide group was well tolerated under the reaction conditions, affording N-acetyl cysteamine in high yield (1n, 88%). Surprisingly, the reaction system is also compatible with a carboxylic acid group. Employing the thioester 10, the corresponding thio-substituted carboxylic acid was produced in 92% yield at 150 °C under 40 bar H₂ in spite of the possible deactivation effect of the catalyst by the carboxylic acid group. 15 Finally, the cysteine-derived thioester 1p was also tested in the hydrogenation reaction (Scheme 5, entry 7). Satisfactorily, chiral *N*-acetyl cysteine ethyl ester¹⁶ was obtained with excellent chemoselectivity toward the thioester unit. Noteworthy, no racemization of the chiral center was observed (see Supporting Information, pp S33-S34 and Figure S48). In contrast, base-catalyzed hydrolysis of 1p does not only deprotect the ester group, but also causes elimination of the RS- group, forming olefinic side products. 17 Significantly, this result indicates that the current system for selective hydrogenation of thioesters can serve as a deprotection strategy for the thiol group.

The success in efficient hydrogenation of thioesters and the tolerance toward the generated thiol motivated us to study other organosulfur compounds, which are also widely used and ubiquitous in natural products and pharmaceuticals. 4,18 First, thiocarbamates were studied. To our surprise, all of the screened substrates were efficiently hydrogenated to the corresponding formamides and thiols, whereas in the case of the oxygen-based analogs, it is much harder to hydrogenate carbamates than amides and esters (Scheme 6, entries 1-

Scheme 6. Hydrogenation of Thiocarbamates and Thioamides

entry	substrates	products (yields)	
1	Ph SHex	Hex-SH	Ph N H
	4a O	99%	96% (95%)O
2	Ph N SPh	Ph-SH	Ph H
	4b Ö	90%	95% Ö
3 ^{a,b}	Hex N SHex	Hex-SH	Hex H
	4c Ö	79%	82% Ö
4 ^{a,c}	S Ph N Ph 5a H	Ph SH	H ₂ N Ph 82%
5 ^{a,c}	Ph N O	Ph SH	HN O 83%
6 ^a	Ph S Ph	Ph8	N Ph H 8% (82%)

Conditions: Same as Scheme 4. a150 °C, 40 bar H₂. $^b>80\%$ conversion. ^cRu-1 (1.5 mol%).

3). 5e,19 For thiocarbamate 4c with both alkyl chains attached to the S and O atoms, hydrogenation required higher temperature and pressure (entry 3, >80% conversion). We then turned our attention to organosulfur compounds containing a typical C= S bond.²⁰ Three different types of thioamides were tested (entries 4-6). While benzyl thiol and amines were generated as the major products starting from thioamides 5a and 5b, most of thioamide 5c underwent hydrogenative desulfurization to afford the secondary amine 21 in 88% yield. A possible reason for the observed chemoselectivity, i.e., cleavage of either C-N or C-S bond, is related to the stability of thioaldehyde intermediates. Specifically, thiobenzaldehyde is more stable than linear aliphatic thioaldehyde, which promotes its generation. Such chemoselectivity toward two types of products was also observed in the reduction of thioamides with amalgams²² (for proposed pathways and other unsuccessful examples, see the Supporting Information).

In conclusion, we have developed the unprecedented catalytic hydrogenation of thioesters to efficiently form thiols

and alcohols, using a ruthenium acridine pincer complex as catalyst. Functional groups including amide, ester, carboxylic acid, and trisubstituted double bonds were tolerated, highlighting the excellent selectivity of the system. Since the catalyst is not deactivated by the generated thiol, the catalytic system was also utilized, for the first time, for the hydrogenation of thiocarbamates and thioamides, indicating a versatile range of applications. Other challenging reactions based on the developed system are being explored in our lab.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.0c10884.

Experimental details, GC-MS/GC traces, characterization data, and NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

David Milstein - Department of Organic Chemistry, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel; orcid.org/0000-0002-2320-0262; Email: david.milstein@weizmann.ac.il

Authors

Jie Luo - Department of Organic Chemistry, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel Michael Rauch - Department of Organic Chemistry, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel Liat Avram - Department of Chemical Research Support, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel; orcid.org/0000-0001-6535-3470

Yehoshoa Ben-David – Department of Organic Chemistry, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.0c10884

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the European Research Council (ERC AdG 692775). We thank Prof. Sergey Semenov and Dr. Yutao Sang for their help during the project. M.R. acknowledges the Zuckerman STEM Leadership Program for a research fellowship. D.M. is the Israel Matz Professorial Chair of Organic Chemistry.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Mullowney, M. W.; McClure, R. A.; Robey, M. T.; Kelleher, N. L.; Thomson, R. J. Natural Products from Thioester Reductase Containing Biosynthetic Pathways. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2018, 35, 847-878. (b) Read, J. A.; Walsh, C. T. The Lyngbyatoxin Biosynthetic Assembly Line: Chain Release by Four-Electron Reduction of a Dipeptidyl Thioester to the Corresponding Alcohol. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15762-15763. (c) Awodi, U. R.; Ronan, J. L.; Masschelein, J.; de los Santos, E. L. C.; Challis, G. L. Thioester Reduction and Aldehyde Transamination are Universal Steps in Actinobacterial Polyketide Alkaloid Biosynthesis. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8,

(2) (a) Bobbio, P. A. Hydrogenolysis of Thioesters. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 3023-3024. (b) Fukuyama, T.; Lin, S.-C.; Li, L. Facile Reduction of Ethyl Thiol Esters to Aldehydes: Application to a Total

Synthesis of (+)-Neothramycin A Methyl Ether. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 7050–7051.

- (3) (a) Dawson, P. E.; Muir, T. W.; Clark-Lewis, l.; Kent, S. B. H. Synthesis of Proteins by Native Chemical Ligation. *Science* 1994, 266, 776–779. (b) Kanda, Y.; Ashizawa, T.; Kakita, S.; Takahashi, Y.; Kono, M.; Yoshida, M.; Saitoh, Y.; Okabe, M. Synthesis and Antitumor Activity of Novel Thioester Derivatives of Leinamycin. *J. Med. Chem.* 1999, 42, 1330–1332. (c) Martin, N.; Neelz, V.; Spinnler, H.-E. Suprathreshold Intensity and Odour Quality of Sulphides and Thioesters. *Food Qual. Prefer.* 2004, 15, 247–257. (d) Fujiwara, S.; Kambe, N. Thio-, Seleno-, and Telluro-Carboxylic Acid Esters. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2005, 251, 87–140. (e) Pietrocola, F.; Galluzzi, L.; Bravo-San Pedro, J. M.; Madeo, F.; Kroemer, G. Acetyl Coenzyme A: A Central Metabolite and Second Messenger. *Cell Metab.* 2015, 21, 805–821. (f) Chandru, K.; Gilbert, A.; Butch, C.; Aono, M.; Cleaves, H. J. The Abiotic Chemistry of Thiolated Acetate Derivatives and the Origin of Life. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 29883–29892.
- (4) (a) Wardell, J. L. In *The Chemistry of Thiol Group*; Patai, S., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1974; p 230. (b) Cremlyn, R. J. *An Introduction to Organosulfur Chemistry*; John Wiley & Sons: Chichester, 1996. (c) Hoyle, C. E.; Lowe, A. B.; Bowman, C. N. Thiol-Click Chemistry: A Multifaceted Toolbox for Small Molecule and Polymer Synthesis. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2010, 39, 1355–1387. (d) Yin, C.; Huo, F.; Zhang, J.; Martínez-Máňez, R.; Yang, Y.; Lv, H.; Li, S. Thiol-Addition Reactions and Their Applications in Thiol Recognition. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 6032–6059. (e) Poole, L. B. The Basics of Thiols and Cysteines in Redox Biology and Chemistry. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 2015, 80, 148–157. (f) Dulsat-Serra, N.; Quintanilla-Casas, B.; Vichi, S. Volatile Thiols in Coffee: A Review on Their Formation, Degradation, Assessment and Influence on Coffee Sensory Quality. *Food Res. Int.* 2016, 89, 982–988.
- (5) For selected reviews, see: (a) de Vries, J. G.; Elsevier, C. J. The Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation with Bifunctional Ruthenium Catalysts; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, 2007. (b) Dub, P. A.; Ikariya, T. Catalytic Reductive Transformations of Carboxylic and Carbonic Acid Derivatives Using Molecular Hydrogen. ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 1718-1741. (c) Werkmeister, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. Catalytic Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acid Esters, Amides, and Nitriles with Homogeneous Catalysts. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2014, 18, 289-302. (d) Ikariya, T.; Kayaki, Y. Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acid Derivatives. Pure Appl. Chem. 2014, 86, 933-943. (e) Pritchard, J.; Filonenko, G. A.; van Putten, R.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Pidko, E. A. Heterogeneous and Homogeneous Catalysis for the Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acid Derivatives: History, Advances and Future Directions. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 3808-3833. (f) Kallmeier, F.; Kempe, R. Manganese Complexes for (De)Hydrogenation Catalysis: A Comparison to Cobalt and Iron Catalysts. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 46-60. (g) Filonenko, G. A.; van Putten, R.; Hensen, E. J. M.; Pidko, E. A. Catalytic (De)Hydrogenation Promoted by Non-Precious Metals-Co, Fe and Mn: Recent Advances in an Emerging Field. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 1459-1483.
- (6) (a) Tamura, M.; Nakagawa, Y.; Tomishige, K. Recent Developments of Heterogeneous Catalysts for Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acids to Their Corresponding Alcohols. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *9*, 126–143. (b) Geilen, F. M. A.; Engendahl, B.; Hölscher, M.; Klankermayer, J.; Leitner, W. Selective Homogeneous Hydrogenation of Biogenic Carboxylic Acids with [Ru(TriPhos)H]*: A Mechanistic Study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 14349–14358. (c) Brewster, T. P.; Miller, A. J. M.; Heinekey, D. M.; Goldberg, K. I. Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acids Catalyzed by Half-Sandwich Complexes of Iridium and Rhodium. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, 135, 16022–16025. (d) Korstanje, T. J.; van der Vlugt, J. I.; Elsevier, C. J.; de Bruin, B. Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acids with A Homogeneous Cobalt Catalyst. *Science* **2015**, 350, 298–302.
- (7) (a) Clarke, M. L. Recent Developments in the Homogeneous Hydrogenation of Carboxylic Acid Esters. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *2*, 2418–2423. (b) Sánchez, M. A.; Torres, G. C.; Mazzieri, V. A.; Pieck, C. L. Selective Hydrogenation of Fatty Acids and Methyl Esters of Fatty Acids to Obtain Fatty Alcohols-A Review. *J. Chem. Technol.*

- Biotechnol. 2017, 92, 27–42. (c) Zhang, J.; Leitus, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Efficient Homogeneous Catalytic Hydrogenation of Esters to Alcohols. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1113–1115. (d) Zell, T.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Unprecedented Iron-Catalyzed Ester Hydrogenation. Mild, Selective, and Efficient Hydrogenation of Trifluoroacetic Esters to Alcohols Catalyzed by an Iron Pincer Complex. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4685–4689. (e) Zou, Y.-Q.; von Wolff, N.; Anaby, A.; Xie, Y.; Milstein, D. Ethylene Glycol as an Efficient and Reversible Liquid-Organic Hydrogen Carrier. Nat. Catal. 2019, 2, 415–422.
- (8) (a) Smith, A. M.; Whyman, R. Review of Methods for the Catalytic Hydrogenation of Carboxamides. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 5477–5510. (b) Balaraman, E.; Gnanaprakasam, B.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. Direct Hydrogenation of Amides to Alcohols and Amines under Mild Conditions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132, 16756–16758. (c) Zou, Y.-Q.; Chakraborty, S.; Nerush, A.; Oren, D.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Highly Selective, Efficient Deoxygenative Hydrogenation of Amides Catalyzed by a Manganese Pincer Complex via Metal-Ligand Cooperation. *ACS Catal.* 2018, 8, 8014–8019. (d) Xie, Y.; Hu, P.; Bendikov, T.; Milstein, D. Heterogeneously Catalyzed Selective Hydrogenation of Amides to Alcohols and Amines. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2018, 8, 2784–2788.
- (9) (a) Balaraman, E.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Unprecedented Catalytic Hydrogenation of Urea Derivatives to Amines and Methanol. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11702-11705. (b) Balaraman, E.; Gunanathan, C.; Zhang, J.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. Efficient Hydrogenation of Organic Carbonates, Carbamates and Formates Indicates Alternative Routes to Methanol based on CO2 and CO. Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 609-614. (c) Han, Z.; Rong, L.; Wu, J.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Z.; Ding, K. Catalytic Hydrogenation of Cyclic Carbonates: A Practical Approach from CO2 and Epoxides to Methanol and Diols. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 13041-13045. (d) vom Stein, T.; Meuresch, M.; Limper, D.; Schmitz, M.; Hölscher, M.; Coetzee, J.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Klankermayer, J.; Leitner, W. Highly Versatile Catalytic Hydrogenation of Carboxylic and Carbonic Acid Derivatives Using a Ru-Triphos Complex: Molecular Control over Selectivity and Substrate Scope. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13217-13225. (e) Das, U. K.; Kumar, A.; Ben-David, Y.; Iron, M. A.; Milstein, D. Manganese Catalyzed Hydrogenation of Carbamates and Urea Derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141 (33), 12962-12966.
- (10) For selected examples of hydrogenation-resistant thioester groups, see: (a) Kitamura, M.; Ohkuma, T.; Inoue, S.; Sayo, N.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S.; Ohta, T.; Takaya, H.; Noyori, R. Homogeneous Asymmetric Hydrogenation of Functionalized Ketones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 629–631. (b) Li, N.; Ou, J.; Miesch, M.; Chiu, P. Conjugate Reduction and Reductive Aldol Cyclization of α , β -Unsaturated Thioesters Catalyzed by (BDP)CuH. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 6143–6147. (c) Iwasaki, K.; Wan, K. K.; Oppedisano, A.; Crossley, S. W. M.; Shenvi, R. A. Simple, Chemoselective Hydrogenation with Thermodynamic Stereocontrol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 1300–1303.
- (11) (a) Luo, J.; Rauch, M.; Avram, L.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Shmul, G.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Formation of Thioesters by Dehydrogenative Coupling of Thiols and Alcohols with H₂ Evolution. *Nat. Catal.* **2020**, *3*, 887–892. For flip of the acridine ring, see: (b) Ye, X.; Plessow, P. N.; Brinks, M. K.; Schelwies, M.; Schaub, T.; Rominger, F.; Paciello, R.; Limbach, M.; Hofmann, P. Alcohol Amination with Ammonia Catalyzed by an Acridine-Based Ruthenium Pincer Complex: A Mechanistic Study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5923–5929. (c) Gellrich, U.; Khusnutdinova, J. R.; Leitus, G. M.; Milstein, D. Mechanistic Investigations of the Catalytic Formation of Lactams from Amines and Water with Liberation of H₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4851–4859.
- (12) Note that over time, the aldehyde further couples to form an ester in the absence of hydrogen gas.
- (13) With other classical catalysts developed in our group (in the presence of catalytic amount of base), the reaction could indeed generate some products; however, the conversion was inadequate

- (<35%), possibly due to poisoning of the catalyst by the generated thiol.
- (14) In(OTf)₃ and **Ru-1**-catalyzed oxidation of alkenes by water: Tang, S.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. Oxidation of Alkenes by Water with H₂ Liberation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 5980–5984.
- (15) Balaraman, E.; Khaskin, E.; Leitus, G.; Milstein, D. Catalytic Transformation of Alcohols to Carboxylic Acid Salts and H₂ Using Water as the Oxygen Atom Source. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 122–125.
- (16) Giustarini, D.; Milzani, A.; Dalle-Donne, I.; Tsikas, D.; Rossi, R. N-Acetylcysteine Ethyl Ester (NACET): A Novel Lipophilic Cell-Permeable Cysteine Derivative with an Unusual Pharmacokinetic Feature and Remarkable Antioxidant Potential. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **2012**, *84*, 1522–1533.
- (17) (a) Greene, T. W. Protection for the Thiol Group; Wuts, P. G. M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2014; pp 837–894. (b) Hiskey, R. G.; Upham, R. A.; Beverly, G. M.; Jones, W. C. Sulfur-Containing Polypeptides. X. β -Elimination of Mercaptides from Cysteine Peptides. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 513–515.
- (18) (a) Chen, Y. S.; Schuphan, I.; Casida, J. E. S-Chloroallyl Thiocarbamate Herbicides: Mouse Hepatic Microsomal Oxygenase and Rat Metabolism of cis- and trans-[¹⁴C = O] Diallate. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1979**, 27, 709–712. (b) Murai, T. *Chemistry of Thioamides*; Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., 2019.
- (19) The ease of hydrogenation of benzoyl-type thiocarbamates might originate from their thermo-stability under the reaction conditions; see: (a) Delebecq, E.; Pascault, J.-P.; Boutevin, B.; Ganachaud, F. On the Versatility of Urethane/Urea Bonds: Reversibility, Blocked Isocyanate, and Non-isocyanate Polyurethane. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 80–118. (b) Li, L.; Chen, X.; Torkelson, J. M. Reprocessable Polymer Networks via Thiourethane Dynamic Chemistry: Recovery of Cross-link Density after Recycling and Proof-of-Principle Solvolysis Leading to Monomer Recovery. Macromolecules 2019, 52, 8207–8216.
- (20) Wiberg, K. B.; Wang, Y. A Comparison of Some Properties of C = O and C = S Bonds. *ARKIVOC* **2011**, 2011, 45–56.
- (21) Wünsch, B.; Geiger, C. Reduction of Carbonic and Carboxylic Acid Derivatives. *Science of Synthesis* **2009**, *40*, 23–64.
- (22) In the reduction of thiobenzamide with sodium amalgam, thiobenzaldehdye was observed as the major product. See amalgam reduction part of the following: (a) Hurd, R. N.; DeLaMater, G. The Preparation and Chemical Properties of Thionamides. *Chem. Rev.* 1961, 61, 45–86. Selected references about the stabilities of thioaldehydes: (b) Vedejs, E.; Perry, D. A. 2,2-Dimethylpropanethial: A Long-Lived Aliphatic Thioaldehyde. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105, 1683–1684. (c) Becher, J.; Jørgensen, P. L.; Frydendahl, H.; Fält-Hansen, B. A Facile Preparation of o-Aminoheteroarenecarbothialdehydes. *Synthesis* 1991, 1991, 609–612. (d) Okazaki, R. Kinetic Stabilization of Highly Reactive Species Bearing Heteroatoms. *Heteroat. Chem.* 2014, 25, 293–305.