



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 7955

# A lysosome-targetable fluorescent probe for the simultaneous sensing of Cys/Hcy and GSH from different emission channels†

Hui Zhang,<sup>‡,ab</sup> Lizhen Xu,<sup>‡,a</sup> Wenxiu Li,<sup>c</sup> Wenqiang Chen,<sup>id</sup>\*<sup>a</sup> Qi Xiao,<sup>id</sup><sup>a</sup> Jun Huang,<sup>a</sup> Chusheng Huang,<sup>a</sup> Jiarong Sheng<sup>\*a</sup> and Xiangzhi Song<sup>id</sup><sup>b</sup>

A lysosome-specific fluorescent probe, **Lyso-AC**, for biothiols was developed by incorporation of a 4-nitrophenol moiety into a coumarin dye. The Cys/Hcy-triggered substitution-rearrangement cascade, and GSH-induced substitution reaction lead to the corresponding blue emissive amino-coumarin and yellow emissive thiol-coumarin, thereby enabling Cys/Hcy and GSH detection from distinct emissions. Moreover, this probe displayed an excellent lysosome targeting property with a 0.92 Pearson's colocalization coefficient by using Neutral Red as a reference. Significantly, biological experiments indicated **Lyso-AC** has the potential to monitor lysosome Cys/Hcy and GSH simultaneously in living HeLa cells from distinct emissions.

Received 10th January 2019  
Accepted 25th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra00210c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

Given that intracellular low-molecular-weight thiols (such as cysteine (Cys), homocysteine (Hcy) and glutathione (GSH)), are involved in vital pathways in human physiology,<sup>1,2</sup> disentangling the chemical biology of these species has become a current intense interest. Biothiols play central roles in maintaining cellular redox homeostasis or combating oxidative stress and the abnormal levels of these species are closely related to critical illnesses, such as AD, psoriasis, AIDS, liver damage, cardiovascular diseases, and even cancer.<sup>3–5</sup> As a result, precise determinations of biothiols in biological samples are meaningful in the clinical diagnosis of many diseases.

Fluorescence sensing is a powerful technique that has been extensively used to detect or visualize a wide variety of bioactive molecules by virtue of its high sensitivity and non-invasive operation as well as excellent spatiotemporal resolution.<sup>6–8</sup> In recent years, a large numbers of fluorescent probe for biothiols have been developed by taking advantage of various mechanisms.<sup>9–17</sup> However, due to the intrinsic similarities exist among these species, fluorescent probes that could distinguish

different biothiols are rare.<sup>18,19</sup> In fact, growing evidence suggests Cys, Hcy and GSH levels in biological systems are interrelated.<sup>20</sup> To elucidate the complicated molecular mechanism by which Cys, Hcy and GSH involved in physiological processes or diseases, fluorescent probes that can detect two or all three of them from distinct signals at the same time are urgently needed.

Contributed by Strongin and co-workers, simultaneous detection of Cys and Hcy was realized by virtue of the distinct ring-formation kinetics between Cys/Hcy and acrylates.<sup>21</sup> From then on, some more specific probes for Cys and GSH<sup>22</sup> or Cys/Hcy and GSH were constructed.<sup>23–26</sup> Recently, the differentiation of Cys, Hcy and GSH was also achieved by Yin's group through a simple molecule.<sup>27</sup> While the above examples represent the new frontier in this field, it is noteworthy that almost all these probes only functioned at cellular level. Fluorescent probes for biothiols differentiation at subcellular level are rare, but even more valuable.

Lysosome is a membrane-bound organelle found in nearly all animal cells, it providing various hydrolases for degradation and recycling of biomacromolecules.<sup>28</sup> Besides, lysosome also play significant roles in many cellular functions, including plasma membrane repair, cell signaling, secretion and energy metabolism.<sup>29</sup> In lysosome, biothiols are mainly produced through the proteolysis.<sup>30</sup> It was reported that GSH is involved in maintaining the lysosome membranes stabilization.<sup>31</sup> Reported evidences indicating Cys and GSH are capable of promoting the intralysosomal proteolysis. For example, Cys, but not GSH, is an effective simulator of formaldehyde-treated albumin degradation in liver lysosomes; however, GSH should be a more effective stimulant of proteolysis than Cys in kidney lysosomes.<sup>32</sup> To better understand the actual roles of each

<sup>a</sup>College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Natural Polymer Chemistry and Physics, Nanning Normal University, Nanning 530001, P. R. China. E-mail: chemwq@csu.edu.cn; Fax: +86 771 3908065; Tel: +86 771 3908065

<sup>b</sup>College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory for the Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Medicinal Resources of Education Ministry, Guangxi Normal University, 541004 Guilin, Guangxi, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra00210c

‡ These authors contributed equally.



lysosomal thiols, the development of a lysosome-targetable fluorescent probe for sensing Cys/Hcy and GSH simultaneously is highly desirable. However, such a fluorescent probe has not been reported so far.

Herein, we have developed a lysosome targetable fluorescent probe **Lyso-AC**, which can simultaneously detect Cys/Hcy and GSH from blue and yellow emission channels with excellent selectivity. Significantly, simultaneously sensing of lysosomal Cys/Hcy and GSH from two distinct emissions through **Lyso-AC** was also realized.

## Experimental section

### Materials and methods

All reagents used in this work were in AR grade, which was obtained from Aladdin and J&K without additional purification. All solvents were used in HPLC grade. The NMR spectra were tested on a BRUKER 300 MHz NMR instrument (USA) with 0.03% TMS as an internal standard. The HRMS spectra were measured on a Waters Xevo G2 QToF MS (USA). A Shimadzu UV 2450 spectrophotometer was used for absorption spectra measuring. Fluorescence spectra were acquired on a HITACHI F-4600 spectrofluorometer. Cell images were obtained on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Germany).

### General procedure for spectral measurements

**Lyso-AC** was prepared at  $10^{-3}$  M in DMSO as a stock solution. Aqueous solutions of cysteine (Cys), homocysteine (Hcy), glutathione (GSH), isoleucine (Ile), valine (Val), alanine (Ala), phenylalanine (Phe), serine (Ser), glutamine (Gln), histidine (His), threonine (Thr), lysine (Lys), proline (Pro), arginine (Arg), KCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>S, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were newly prepared in ultrapure water. Leucine (Leu), tyrosine (Tyr), aspartic acid (Asp), glutamate (Glu) were freshly prepared in PBS buffer (10 mM, pH = 7.4). Typically, a test solution (2.0 mL) was prepared in a quartz cuvette by adding 0.02 mL of **Lyso-AC** (1 mM), 0.38 mL of DMSO, and an appropriate volume of analyte into apposite amount of PBS buffer. The solution was well mixed and then incubated at 25 °C for 60 min before measuring its optical spectra.

### Cell culture and fluorescence imaging procedure

HeLa cells were obtained from the first affiliated hospital of Guangxi Medical University and cultured in DMEM medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FBS and 100  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  penicillin. The cells were seeded in 24-well plates and allowed to adhere for 24 h at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. The cytotoxic effect of **Lyso-AC** was evaluated using classical MTT assay.  $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 405$  nm. Blue channel: at 450–490 nm; yellow channel: emissions were collected at 540–580 nm.

### Synthesis of compound 2

3-Hydroxybenzoic acid (138 mg, 1 mmol), *N*-hydroxy succinimide (115 mg, 1 mmol), EDCI (192 mg, 1 mmol) and DMAP (5 mg) were dissolved in anhydrous CH<sub>3</sub>CN (6 mL). The mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 2 hours. After removal of the solvent, the

residue was used directly for next step. Mp: 184–187 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  10.18 (s, 1H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (dd, *J* = 5.7, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (m, 1H), 2.89 (s, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  173.24, 170.80, 162.22, 158.40, 131.22, 126.03, 123.13, 121.08, 116.41, 26.01.

### Synthesis of compound 3

Compound 2 (529 mg, 2 mmol) and *N*-(3-aminopropyl) morpholine (288 mg, 2 mmol) were dissolved in 10 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The mixture was allowed to stir for 1.5 hours at room temperature before 100 mL ice water was added. The resulting solution was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> twice (2  $\times$  50 mL), and the organic layer was separated and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After removal the solvent in vacuum, the resultant residue was further purified by silica gel chromatography to afford the desired product as a white solid (423 mg, 80%). Mp: 130–133 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.51 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.20 (s, 2H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (s, 4H), 3.49 (d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 1.80–1.76 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  168.19, 157.44, 135.62, 129.65, 119.11, 117.76, 114.74, 66.47, 57.90, 53.53, 40.05, 24.17.

### Synthesis of compound 5

Compound 3 (26 mg, 0.1 mmol) was combined with Et<sub>3</sub>N (420  $\mu\text{L}$ , 3 mmol) in 3 mL dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and the mixture was chill to 0 °C through an ice bath. Then a portion of compound 4 (0.1 mmol, dissolved in 2 mL dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) was added dropwise to the above solution. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to stir in the ice bath for additional 2 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was further purified by silica gel chromatography to give compound 5 as a yellow powder (27 mg, 50%). Mp: 122–125 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.06 (s, 1H), 7.75 (m, 1H), 7.70 (d, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.39 (m, 2H), 6.68 (dd, *J* = 9.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.76–3.66 (m, 4H), 3.57 (d, *J* = 11.4, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.46 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 12.3, 6.0 Hz, 6H), 1.87–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.36, 161.91, 157.65, 155.44, 152.71, 150.62, 149.36, 136.54, 129.69, 127.90, 124.98, 124.67, 120.31, 111.75, 109.97, 106.17, 96.73, 66.78, 58.34, 53.76, 45.10, 40.35, 24.22, 12.40. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: calculated for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>33</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6</sub> [M + H]<sup>+</sup>: 542.2052; found 542.2052.

### Synthesis of Lyso-AC

To a solution of compound 5 (54 mg, 0.1 mmol) and *p*-nitrophenol (139 mg, 1 mmol) in 5 mL dry CH<sub>3</sub>CN was added Et<sub>3</sub>N (140  $\mu\text{L}$ , 1 mmol). The mixture was warmed to reflux for 2 hours. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo*, the resultant residue was further purified by silica gel chromatography to give **Lyso-AC** as an orange powder (55 mg, 85%). Mp: 163–166 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.25 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 7.63 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.35 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.61–6.52 (m, 2H), 3.68 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4H), 3.53 (dd, *J* = 11.4, 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.46 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 2.56 (dd, *J* = 12.3, 6.0 Hz, 6H), 1.83–1.73 (m, 2H), 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.13, 164.11, 161.43, 160.95, 159.39, 157.24, 153.18, 150.40, 143.54,


136.45, 129.49, 126.20, 124.53, 124.24, 120.24, 116.60, 109.91, 102.76, 102.45, 97.14, 66.84, 58.50, 53.81, 45.18, 40.46, 24.20, 12.39. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ : calculated for  $C_{34}H_{36}N_4NaO_9$   $[M + Na]^+$ : 667.2374; found 667.2375.

## Results and discussion


### Design and synthesize

Motivated by the above-mentioned concerns, we invested effort into exploiting a lysosome-targetable fluorescent probe that could simultaneously sense Cys/Hcy and GSH from distinct emissions. As shown in Scheme 1, the probe, **Lyso-AC**, was designed based on the following concerns: (1) 7-diethylaminocoumarin was selected as the fluorophore due to its outstanding optical properties.<sup>33</sup> Moreover, the photophysical properties of 7-diethylaminocoumarin is adjustable, which can be easily tuned through proper structure modification. For instance, in 2013 Guo's group reported several amino- and thiol-modified diethylaminocoumarin dyes, and the absorption of 4-amino-7-diethylaminocoumarin peaks at about 360 nm whereas the 4-thio-7-diethylaminocoumarin absorbs at about 385 nm.<sup>19</sup> We speculated that coupling the remarkable photophysical differences of amino- and thio-diethylaminocoumarin with the unique Cys/Hcy-triggered substitution-rearrangement cascade would serve as an effective approach to sense Cys/Hcy and GSH simultaneously. (2) 4-Nitrophenol moiety was chosen as the biothiols recognition group. First, it can function as an effective leaving group. Second, it also can act as a quencher to minimize the background fluorescence signal of the probe *via* the efficient d-PET process. Furthermore, the 4-nitrophenol moiety should be linked to the 4 position of the coumarin, so that it can be activated by two nearby carbonyls.<sup>34</sup> (3) A morpholine moiety was employed as the lysosome targeting group due to the effective lysosome-targetable feature of this moiety.<sup>35–42</sup>

Finally, by considering all above issues, **Lyso-AC** was designed by integrating a 4-nitrophenol moiety and a morpholine moiety into a diethylaminocoumarin dye (Scheme 1). We speculated that the addition of Cys (or Hcy) to **Lyso-AC** would initially result the corresponding  $S_NAr$  substituted product **Lyso-AC-S-Cys** (or **Lyso-AC-S-Hcy**) and the subsequent Smiles rearrangement would ultimately generate the **Lyso-AC-N-Cys** (or **Lyso-AC-N-Hcy**) *via* a five (or six)-membered cyclic intermediate.



Scheme 1 Proposed sensing mechanism of **Lyso-AC** for Cys/Hcy and GSH.



Scheme 2 Synthetic route for **Lyso-AC** and the chemical structures of reference dyes **A1** and **A2**.


In the case of GSH, **Lyso-AC-S-GSH** is expected to generate. However the following intermolecular Smiles rearrangement of **Lyso-AC-S-GSH** is difficult to undergo due to the fact that an unstable transition state would be involved in during this process. Based on the distinct emission wavelengths of the 4-amino- and 4-thio-diethylaminocoumarin, simultaneous detection of lysosomal Cys/Hcy and GSH from distinct emission signals would likely to be realized.

As a proof of concept, **Lyso-AC** was synthesized through the corresponding synthetic route in Scheme 2 (details were shown in the Experimental section). The structure of **Lyso-AC** was fully identified by HRMS spectrum and NMR spectra (see in ESI<sup>†</sup>).

### UV-vis spectra studies

First, the reactivity of **Lyso-AC** toward Cys/Hcy/GSH was examined through time-dependent UV-vis spectra in PBS buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4, containing 20% DMSO). Free **Lyso-AC** exhibited a major absorption band centred at 430 nm ( $\epsilon = 4.34 \times 10^4$  L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). As expected, upon treatment of 10 equivalents of Cys to **Lyso-AC**, the initial peak at 430 nm decreased gradually, along with simultaneous occurrence of a new absorption peak at 383 nm (Fig. 1A and B). The absorption peak at 383 nm belongs to **Lyso-AC-N-Cys**, which was further demonstrated by the reference dye **A1**, whose absorption peak was determined to be around 383 nm (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>). Similar results can be observed when addition of 10 equivalents of Hcy to **Lyso-AC** (Fig. 1C, D and Fig. S2<sup>†</sup>). By contrast, upon treatment 10 equivalents of GSH to **Lyso-AC**, the absorption peak at 430 nm decreased and slightly blue-shifted to 426 nm. Unlike the case of Cys or Hcy, no absorption peak at 383 nm in this case can be seen, indicating the failure of the subsequent intramolecular rearrangement in this case (Fig. 1E and F). The new slightly blue-shifted peak at 423 nm belongs to **Lyso-AC-S-GSH**, which was further supported by the reference dye **A2** (Fig. S3<sup>†</sup>). In addition, all adducts (**Lyso-AC-N-Cys**, **Lyso-AC-N-Hcy** and **Lyso-AC-S-GSH**) were detectable in the corresponding HRMS data (Fig. S4–S6<sup>†</sup>). All to all, the above traits in good agreement with the mechanism proposed in Scheme 2. Moreover, the photostability of **Lyso-AC** has been studied. As show in Fig. S7,<sup>†</sup> it appears a favourable photostability of **Lyso-AC**.






**Fig. 1** Time-dependent absorption spectra of **Lyso-AC** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) in the presence of 10 equiv. of Cys (A), Hcy (C) or GSH (E) in PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 10 mM, containing 20% DMSO, v/v) at room temperature. Time-dependent absorption intensity changes toward 10 equiv. of Cys (B), Hcy (D) or GSH (F) at 425 nm and 383 nm in PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 10 mM, containing 20% DMSO, v/v) at room temperature.

### Fluorescence spectra studies

The emission behaviours of **Lyso-AC** upon addition of Cys, Hcy and GSH were further evaluated. Initially, time-dependent fluorescence spectra of **Lyso-AC** with respective biothiols were studied. The corresponding fluorescence spectra were first measured with an excitation wavelength of 383 nm. Free **Lyso-AC** was essentially non-fluorescence due to the efficient d-PET process. However, upon treatment of 10 equivalents of Cys to **Lyso-AC**, a remarkable fluorescence enhancement (19.5-fold) at 485 nm can be observed due to the production of **Lyso-AC-N-Cys** (Fig. 2A1). A similar observation was made in the case of Hcy (about 16.5-fold enhancement at 485 nm) (Fig. 2A2). However, in comparison with Cys/Hcy, GSH elicited only a slight fluorescence enhancement (3.6-fold) at 485 nm (Fig. 2A3). These results demonstrated that **Lyso-AC** can be used to discriminate Cys/Hcy from GSH when excited at 383 nm. Moreover, the kinetic studies revealed that **Lyso-AC** respond to Cys/Hcy obey classic pseudo-first-order reaction, and the corresponding rate constants  $k_{\text{obs}}$  determined to be about  $0.0727 \text{ min}^{-1}$  for Cys (Fig. S8†) and  $0.0931 \text{ min}^{-1}$  for Hcy (Fig. S9†).

Next, the fluorescence response of **Lyso-AC** toward Cys/Hcy/GSH was further investigated by using excitation at 425 nm. Similarly, **Lyso-AC** displayed weak fluorescence under this excitation wavelength. Upon introduction of 10 equivalents of GSH to **Lyso-AC**, fluorescence at 550 nm increased rapidly and then got saturation within 60 min (Fig. 2B3). On the other hand,



**Fig. 2** Time-dependent fluorescence response of **Lyso-AC** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) toward 10 equiv. of Cys, Hcy and GSH excited at 383 nm (A1–A3). Time-dependent fluorescence intensity changes toward 10 equiv. of Cys, Hcy and GSH excited at 425 nm (B1–B3). Condition: slits, 5/5 nm; PBS buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4, containing 20% DMSO) at 25  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

introduction of 10 equivalents of Cys or Hcy did not elicit remarkable fluorescence at 550 nm (Fig. 2B1 and B2). The corresponding fluorescence increments at 550 nm for GSH, Cys and Hcy were 29.7-, 2.7- and 2.4-fold respectively. These results indicated that **Lyso-AC** was capable of differentiate GSH from Cys/Hcy when excited at 425 nm. Notably, the reaction between **Lyso-AC** and GSH also obeys a classic pseudo-first-order and the corresponding  $K_{\text{obs}}$  was determined to be  $0.0506 \text{ min}^{-1}$  (Fig. S10†).

To evaluate the sensitivity of **Lyso-AC**, fluorescence titration experiments were conducted. Upon addition of increasing amounts of Cys (or Hcy), the fluorescence intensity of **Lyso-AC** at 485 nm increased gradually. Moreover, as shown in Fig. S11 and S12,† a good linearity between fluorescence intensity at 485 nm and concentrations of Cys (0–60  $\mu\text{M}$ ) or Hcy (0–45  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was observed. The detection limits for Cys and Hcy were calculated to be 0.86  $\mu\text{M}$  and 1.52  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. As for GSH, the fluorescence at 550 nm increases with the incremental GSH added and a good linearity between  $F_{550}$  and concentrations of GSH in the range of 0–40  $\mu\text{M}$  was observed (Fig. S13†). And the corresponding detection limit was determined to be 1.75  $\mu\text{M}$ .

### Selectivity studies and pH effect

To examine the selectivity of **Lyso-AC** toward Cys/Hcy and GSH, the fluorescence behaviour of **Lyso-AC** in response to various biologically related species, including some essential amino acids (Tyr, Val, Gly, Ala, Asp, Arg, Iso, Lys, Met, His, Phe, Thr, Ser, Pro and Glu, 0.5 mM for each), biological metal ions ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^{+}$ ,  $\text{K}^{+}$ ,  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  and,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  0.5 mM for each), hydrogen sulphide ( $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , 0.5 mM) as well as reactive oxygen species ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , 0.1 mM)




Fig. 3 Fluorescence intensity of **Lyso-AC** (10  $\mu$ M) at 485 nm (A) and 550 nm (B) upon addition of various species in PBS buffer (pH 7.4, 10 mM, containing 20% DMSO) at 25  $^{\circ}$ C. Each spectrum recorded at 60 min after addition of the corresponding species.

were investigated, The fluorescence intensities were then measured at 485 nm and 550 nm, respectively. As illustrated in Fig. 3A, only Cys/Hcy induced a significant fluorescence enhancement at 485 nm whereas other analytes, including the GSH and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , gave marginal increments. On the other hand, with the excitation of 425 nm, all these interfering species caused insignificant fluorescence variations, and only GSH caused noticeable fluorescence enhancement at 550 nm (Fig. 3B). These results suggesting **Lyso-AC** is highly selective for Cys/Hcy or GSH. In addition, the effect of pH values were also examined, and the results indicating **Lyso-AC** was quite stable over a wide pH range (1–10), and displayed noticeable fluorescence enhancements upon treatment of Cys, Hcy or GSH in the region of 4–10 (Fig. S14<sup>†</sup>). Therefore, **Lyso-AC** is suitable to be used at physiological pH range.

### Cell imaging

On the basis of the above excellent sensing traits of **Lyso-AC**, we sought to further investigate the capability of **Lyso-AC** to selectively detect intracellular Cys/Hcy and GSH at the same time. When HeLa cells were treated with 2  $\mu$ M **Lyso-AC**, a strong fluorescence in yellow channel was observed, implying the reaction of intracellular GSH with the probe (Fig. 4A2). When HeLa cells were successive treated with 1 mM NEM and 1 mM Cys (or Hcy), then further treated with 2  $\mu$ M **Lyso-AC**, a strong fluorescence in blue channel (Fig. 4B1 or Fig. 4C1) and an insignificant fluorescence in yellow channel (Fig. 4B2 or Fig. 4C2) were taking place. When HeLa cells were successive treated with 1 mM NEM and 1 mM GSH, then further treated with 2  $\mu$ M **Lyso-AC**, an obvious fluorescence in yellow channel (Fig. 4D2) and negligible emission in blue channel (Fig. 4D1) were observed. For comparison, HeLa cells were successively introduced with 1 mM NEM and 2  $\mu$ M **Lyso-AC**. As a result, negligible emissions can be observed both in blue channel and yellow channel (Fig. 4E1–E4). Moreover, to verify **Lyso-AC** is lysosome targetable, colocalization experiments were performed by using **Lyso-AC** and a commercial lysosome sensor Neutral Red (NR) (Fig. 5). As shown in Fig. 5C, the fine merged images strongly convinced that **Lyso-AC** fits well with lysosomes inside the cells with a Pearson's coefficient of 0.92. These experiments demonstrated that **Lyso-AC** can specifically localize in lysosomes and be used to detect Cys/Hcy and GSH in lysosomes of living HeLa cells. In addition, **Lyso-AC** exhibited




Fig. 4 Confocal microscopic images of biothiols in living HeLa cells. (A1–A4) HeLa cells pretreated with incubated with 2  $\mu$ M **Lyso-AC** for 30 min; HeLa cells pretreated with 1 mM NEM for 15 min then 1 mM Cys (B1–B4), Hcy (C1–C4), or GSH (D1–D4) for 15 min, finally incubated with 2  $\mu$ M **Lyso-AC** for additional 30 min; (E1–E4) HeLa cells pretreated with 1 mM NEM for 15 min and then incubated with 2  $\mu$ M **Lyso-AC** for 30 min. Excitation wavelength; 405 nm. Emissions were collected at 450–490 nm for blue channel and 540–580 nm for yellow channel. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m.




Fig. 5 The images of living HeLa cells co-stained with **Lyso-AC** (2  $\mu$ M) and **Lyso-Tracker NR** (2  $\mu$ M) for 30 min (a–c). (a) Blue channel ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 405$  nm,  $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 450$ –490 nm); (b) red channel image ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 559$  nm,  $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 586$ –610 nm); (c) bright field; (d) merged images of (a–c); (e) intensity profile of regions of interest across HeLa cells; (f) Intensity correlation plot of **Lyso-AC** and NR. Scale bar: 10  $\mu$ m.

minimal cytotoxicity, which was supported by corresponding MTT assay (Fig. S15<sup>†</sup>).

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a novel lysosome targetable fluorescent probe, **Lyso-AC**, which can selectively detect

lysosomal Cys/Hcy and GSH from distinct emission signals. Preliminary cell imaging studies have revealed that **Lyso-AC** was capable of sensing Cys/Hcy and GSH in living HeLa cells at the same time. We hope this probe can become a robust tool for lysosomal biothiols investigation.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (2016GXNSFBA380229, 2017GXNSFA198342), Guangxi Scientific and Technological Development Projects (AD17195081), "BAGUI Scholar" program of Guangxi Province of China, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Central South University (2018zzts109).

## Notes and references

- 1 N. Brandes, S. Schmitt and U. Jakob, *Antioxid. Redox Signaling*, 2009, **11**, 997–1014.
- 2 D. M. Townsend, K. D. Tew and H. Tapiero, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2003, **57**, 145–155.
- 3 M. T. Heafield, S. Fearn, G. B. Steventon, R. H. Waring, A. C. Williams and S. G. Sturman, *Neurosci. Lett.*, 1990, **110**, 216–220.
- 4 P. Sachdev, *J. Neurol. Sci.*, 2004, **226**, 81–87.
- 5 Z. A. Wood, E. Schroder, J. H. Robin and L. B. Poole, *Trends Biochem. Sci.*, 2003, **28**, 32–40.
- 6 L. Yuan, W. Lin, K. Zheng, L. He and W. Huang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 622–661.
- 7 M. Fernandez-Suarez and A. Y. Ting, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2008, **9**, 929–943.
- 8 J. Chan, S. C. Dodani and C. J. Chang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 973–977.
- 9 X. Chen, Y. Zhou, X. Peng and J. Yoon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 2120–2135.
- 10 Y. Zhou and J. Yoon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **41**, 52–67.
- 11 L. Y. Niu, Y. Z. Chen, H. R. Zheng, L. Z. Wu, C. H. T and Q. Z. Yang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 6143–6160.
- 12 Y. M. Yang, Q. Zhao, W. Feng and F. Y. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 192–270.
- 13 Y. Li, W. M. Liu, P. P. Zhang, H. Y. Zhang, J. S. Wu, J. C. Ge and P. F. Wang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **90**, 117–124.
- 14 X. F. Yang, W. Y. Liu, J. Tang, P. Li, H. B. Weng, Y. Ye, M. Xian, B. Tang and Y. F. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 11387–11390.
- 15 D. Qiao, T. L. Shen, M. Y. Zhu, X. Liang, L. Zhang, Z. Yin, B. H. Wang and L. Q. Shang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 13252–13255.
- 16 C. Y. Zhang, S. Wu, Z. Xi and L. Yi, *Tetrahedron*, 2017, **73**, 6651–6656.
- 17 L. Sun, Y. Q. Jiang, C. Y. Zhang, X. R. Ji, D. Q. Lv, Z. Xi and L. Yi, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 15277–15283.
- 18 C. X. Yin, K. M. Xiong, F. J. Huo, J. C. Salamanca and R. M. Strongin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 13188–13198.
- 19 J. Liu, Y. Q. Sun, Y. Huo, H. Zhang, L. Wang, P. Zhang, D. Song, Y. Shi and W. Guo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 574–577.
- 20 H. Kimura, *Amino Acids*, 2011, **41**, 113–121.
- 21 O. Rusin, N. N. S. Luce, R. A. Agbaria, J. O. Escobedo, S. Jiang, I. M. Warner, F. B. Dawan, K. Lian and R. M. Strongin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 438–439.
- 22 J. Liu, Y. Q. Sun, Y. Huo, H. Zhang, L. Wang, P. Zhang, D. Song, Y. Shi and W. Guo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 574–577.
- 23 X. F. Yang, Q. Huang, Y. G. Zhong, Z. Li, H. Li, M. Lowry, J. O. Escobedo and R. M. Strongin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 2177–2183.
- 24 J. Liu, Y. Q. Sun, H. X. Zhang, Y. Y. Huo, Y. W. Shi and W. Guo, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3183–3188.
- 25 F. Y. Wang, L. Zhou, C. C. Zhao, R. Wang, Q. Fei, S. H. Luo, Z. Q. Guo, H. Tian and W. H. Zhu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 2584–2589.
- 26 W. Q. Chen, H. C. Luo, X. J. Liu, W. F. James and X. Z. Song, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 3638–3646.
- 27 G. X. Yin, T. T. Niu, Y. B. Gan, T. Yu, P. Yin, H. M. Chen, Y. Y. Zhang, H. T. Li and S. Z. Yao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 4991–4994.
- 28 K. Surendran, S. P. Vitiello and D. A. Pearce, *Pediatr. Nephrol.*, 2014, **29**, 2253–2261.
- 29 S. Carmine, F. Alessandro, D. L. Medina and B. Andrea, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2013, **14**, 283–296.
- 30 D. R. Balce, E. R. O. Allan, N. McKenna and R. M. Yates, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2014, **289**, 31891–31904.
- 31 Q. K. Gao, W. Z. Zhang, B. Song, R. Zhang, W. H. Guo and J. L. Yuan, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 4517–4524.
- 32 J. L. Mego, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1984, **218**, 775–783.
- 33 S. Sanghi, D. Mohan and R. D. Singh, *Asian J. Phys.*, 1995, **4**, 283–288.
- 34 Y. Kim, S. V. Mulay, M. Choi, S. B. Yu, S. Jon and D. G. Churchill, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 5435–5439.
- 35 M. G. Ren, Z. H. Li, J. Nie, L. Wang and W. Y. Lin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 9238–9241.
- 36 Y. Liu, F. F. Meng, L. W. He, K. Y. Liu and W. Y. Lin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 7016–7019.
- 37 B. L. Dong, X. Z. Song, C. Wang, X. Q. Kong, Y. H. Tang and W. Y. Lin, *Anal. Chem.*, 2016, **88**, 4085–4091.
- 38 M. G. Ren, B. B. Deng, J. Y. Wang, X. Q. Kong, Z. R. Liu, K. Zhou, L. W. He and W. Y. Lin, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **79**, 237–243.
- 39 H. B. Yu, Y. Xiao and L. J. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 17486–17489.
- 40 X. T. Jing, F. B. Yu and L. X. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 14253–14256.
- 41 T. Y. Liu, Z. C. Xu, D. R. Spring and J. N. Cui, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 2310–2313.
- 42 M. G. Ren, B. B. Deng, J. Y. Wang, X. Q. Kong, Z. R. Liu, K. Zhou, L. W. He and W. Y. Lin, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, **79**, 237–243.