DOI: 10.1002/mbo3.613

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Antimicrobial activity of selected essential oils against *Streptococcus suis* isolated from pigs

Fabiana C. de Aguiar 🕩 Ana Lucía Solarte 🏼	Carmen Tarradas	Inmaculada Luque
Alfonso Maldonado Ángela Galán-Relaño	Belén Huerta	

Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Universidad de Córdoba, International Excellence Agrifood Campus 'CeiA3', Córdoba, Spain

Correspondence

Belén Huerta

Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Campus Universitario de Rabanales, Córdoba, Spain. Email: sa2hulob@uco.es

Funding information Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - CAPES

Abstract

The inhibitory potential by contact and vapor of basil, cinnamon, clove, peppermint, oregano, rosemary, common thyme, and red thyme essential oils (EOs) against 20 strains of *Streptococcus suis* was determined by the disk diffusion test. The broth microdilution method was used to determine the minimal inhibitory and minimal bactericidal concentration (MIC and MBC) of the four selected oils. Furthermore, the bactericidal power (ratio MBC/MIC) was calculated. The EOs with the major potential in the disk diffusion method were red thyme, common thyme, oregano, and cinnamon (\emptyset mean 16.5–34.2 mm), whereas cinnamon did not show vapor activity. In the microdilution test, all the EOs showed notable antimicrobial activity (MIC₉₀ and MBC₉₀ 312.5–625 µg·ml⁻¹) and a strong bactericidal power (ratio = 1). This is the first study that selects essential oils against *S. suis*. New studies about the possible synergic effect of EOs with antibiotics and about toxicity and efficacy in in vivo conditions are recommended.

KEYWORDS

antimicrobial activity, essential oils, MIC, Streptococcus suis, vapor

1 | INTRODUCTION

Streptococcus suis is a major gram-positive swine pathogen associated with a wide variety of pig diseases, such as meningitis, arthritis, bronchopneumonia, endocarditis, polyserositis, and septicemia. In addition, it is a zoonotic agent that causes severe infections in people in close contact with infected pigs or pork-derived products. According to the capsular polysaccharide, 33 serotypes of *S. suis* have been described with serotypes 1–10 (except 6) and 14, 15, 16, ½,and 1/14 being the most prevalent and virulent ones for pigs (Goyette-Desjardins, Auger, Xu, Segura, & Gottschalk, 2014; Tarradas et al., 2004). The control of the disease is nowadays based on the antibiotic therapy; however, relatively high levels of resistance (up to 85%) to some antimicrobials commonly used in swine, including lincosamides, macrolides, sulfonamides, and tetracycline, has been worldwide documented (Varela et al., 2013). Different strategies to reduce the use of antimicrobials have been proposed and natural products with antimicrobial effects can be an attractive alternative (Manzanilla et al., 2004).

Essential oils (EOs) are extracts of plants prepared by steam distillation and are generally composed of a combination of substances like terpenes, phenolics, aldehydes, or alcohols, the most of which are volatile (Laird & Phillips, 2012). EOs can affect bacterial permeability and survival, either by direct contact or by contact with their vapor (Martinez & Baquero, 2000; Nazzaro, Fratianni, De Martino, Coppola, & De Feo, 2013). The volatile nature of essential oils could also have a direct application in food preservation and surface disinfection (Laird & Phillips, 2012). The complex composition and different mechanisms of action of EOs may be an advantage over other antimicrobials to prevent the development of resistance of pathogenic bacteria (Knezevic et al., 2016; Yap, Yiap, Ping, & Lim, 2014).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2018 The Authors. *MicrobiologyOpen* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. -WILEY_MicrobiologyOpen

Essential oils have an acceptable activity against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria of interest in human and veterinary medicine, such as *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and different species of Genus *Streptococcus* of human origin: *S. mutans*, *S. pyogenes*, and *S. salivarius*. In vitro studies have highlighted the activity of oregano, thyme, peppermint, and cinnamon oils against these bacteria (Freires, Denny, Benso, de Alencar, & Rosalen, 2015; Galvão et al., 2012; Sfeir, Lefrancois, Baudoux, Derbre, & Licznar, 2013). Nevertheless, in all those studies, a limited number of clinical isolates have been analyzed, and there are few studies showing susceptibility of *S. suis* to EOs.

In this way, the objectives of this study were to evaluate the in vitro activity of eight essential oils, by direct contact and by contact with the oils vapors, against field isolates of *S. suis* of the most important serotypes for swine and humans, and to determine the susceptibility of this microorganism to the four oils with the best antimicrobial potential by means of MIC and MBC determination.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Bacterial strains

A total of 19 *S. suis* strains from Culture Collection of Animal Health Department (University of Cordoba, Spain) and Central Veterinary Institute of Wageningen (Lelystad, Netherlands) were studied. All the strains had been isolated from diseased and healthy carrier pigs submitted to these centers, and belonged to different sero-types (serotypes 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 24, 25, 28, and 1/14). In addition, the European reference strain of *S. suis* (*p* 1/7) was included in this study. *Streptococcus pneumonie* ATCC 49619 reference strain was used as quality control. The selected isolates were stored at -80° C in Microbank[®] beads (Prolab diagnostics Co., UK) and were grown in Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (Oxoid Ltd, ES).

2.2 | Essentials oils

Eight commercial EOs (purity ≥98%) were purchased from Aromium[®] (Barcelona, ES), the purity and composition of which was determined by the manufacturer. The list of essential oils and their properties are given in Table 1. All the oils were stored at room temperature in the dark prior to testing.

2.3 | Antimicrobial activity assays

As a preliminary step, the antibacterial activity of the essential oils was determined by the disk diffusion method, following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI VET01-A4, 2013) for the disk diffusion test with antibiotics, including the modifications proposed for essential oils (Huerta et al., 2016). Briefly, from an overnight culture, a suspension of 1.5×10^8 CFU·ml⁻¹ was prepared in sterile saline solution, and inoculated onto a plate of MHA with 5% defibrinated sheep blood. A sterile 6 mm diameter

white disk (Oxoid Ltd, ES), previously impregnated with 15 μ l of pure essential oil, was placed onto every plate and once sealed with parafilm, plates were incubated in a 5% CO₂-enriched atmosphere at 35°C for 20–24 hr.

Furthermore, the effect of the volatile fraction of every EO was studied with the inverted Petri dish method (Maruzzella & Sicurella, 1960; Ross, O'Gara, Hill, Sleightholme, & Maslin, 2001), placing the disk impregnated with 15 μ l of each EO in the lid of MHA with 5% defibrinated sheep blood and incubating under the conditions named before.

The antibacterial activity was evaluated by measuring in millimeters the diameter of the inhibitory zone. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and the mean \pm *SD* was calculated for each strain. The standard reference penicillin (Sigma Aldrich Co.; Madrid, ES) was used as reference control for the tested bacteria. Inoculum concentration was checked by viable counts in MHA with 5% defibrinated sheep blood.

2.4 | Determination of minimal inhibitory and bactericidal concentrations

The essential oils with the major antimicrobial activity in the paper disk diffusion assay were selected to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC), using the broth dilution method for bacteria isolated from animals (CLSI VET01-A4, 2013). To facilitate the dilution of the oils and the reading of the results, Brain-Heart Infusion broth supplemented with 0.15% agar was used (Oxoid Ltd, ES) (Mann & Markham, 1998). The microdilution broth technique was performed as follows: double serial dilutions of selected EOs were prepared ranging from 5,000 to 39.0625 μ g·ml⁻¹; in a 96 well microtiter plate, 100 μ l of each EO dilution was mixed with 100 μ l of bacterial suspension (10⁶ CFU·ml⁻¹). Then, the plate was incubated at 35°C for 20-24 hr under aerobic conditions. Every assay was carried out in triplicate. Positive (oil-free broth with bacterial inoculum) and negative (oil-free broth without bacterial inoculum) controls were included (Bajpai, Baek, & Kang, 2012; Huerta et al., 2016). Penicillin G (Sigma Aldrich Co.; Madrid, ES) was used as quality control.

The MIC was the lowest concentration that prevented the visible growth of *S. suis*. The MBC was determined by subculturing 10 μ l from the last four wells without visible bacterial growth onto MHA with 5% defibrinated sheep blood. After incubation at 35°C for 20–24 hr 5% CO₂-enriched atmosphere, MBC was defined as the lowest concentration resulting in a negative subculture or giving presence of only one colony after incubation. All assays were performed in triplicate.

2.5 | Statistical analysis

A statistical package SPSS 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, USA) was used for the data processing. The diameter of inhibitory zones and MICs and MBCs results were grouped according to oil type and checked for normality by Shapiro–Wilk test.

TABLE 1 Essential oils tested and their properties

Essential oil	Common name	Family	Main composition [%] ^a
Ocimum basilicum	Basil	Lamiaceae	Estragole [83.34], eucalyptol [3.34], bergamotene [2.58], linalool [0.89]
Cinnammomum zeylanicum (bark)	Cinnamon	Lauraceae	Cinnamaldehyde [69.18], linalool [3.19], eugenol [3.03]
Eugenia caryophyllata	Clove	Myrtaceae	Eugenol [85–90], eugenyl acetate [5–10], caryophyl- lene [0–5]
Mentha piperita	Peppermint	Lamiaceae	Menthol [50–55], menthone [20–25], eucalyptol [5–10], menthyl acetate [5–10]
Origanum vulgare	Oregano	Lamiaceae	Carvacrol [63.01], thymol [10.56], γ-terpinene [8.11]
Rosmarinus officinalis	Rosemary	Lamiaceae	α-Pinene + α-thuyene [22.75], 1.8 cineol [20.63], camphor [18.78]
Thymus vulgaris	Common thyme	Lamiaceae	Thymol ^{NA} , p-cymene ^{NA} , linalool ^{NA}
Thymus zygis	Red thyme	Lamiaceae	Thymol [46.9], p-cymene [21.72], γ-terpinene [9.32], linalool [4.8]

MicrobiologyOpen

NA, Not available.

^aBased on the data provided by manufacturer.

The repeated-measures ANOVA test was used for the selection of the four essential oils with the highest antimicrobial potential in the disk diffusion assay, whereas differences between EOs were estimated by comparison of main effects. The results obtained allowed to establish groups of homogeneity depending on the similarity of the antimicrobial activity. MIC and MBC values were treated as ordinal numerical variables. Comparison of the four selected EOs was performed by the nonparametric tests of Friedman and Wilcoxon and allowed the establishment of groups. Significance was set at p < .05.

The concentration that inhibited and killed the 50% and 90% of the tested strains (MIC_{50} and MIC_{90} , MBC_{50} , and MBC_{90} , respectively) was determined for the selected EOs. Microcidal power was calculated by MBC/MIC ratio of the previous parameters and interpreted based on the criteria of Schwarz et al. (2010) and Radhakrishnan, Gnanamani, and Mandal (2011).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Antimicrobial activity of the eight essential oils analyzed

Essential oils and plants extracts have been traditionally used in human medicine for their anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and immunomodulatory properties (Inouye, Takizawa, & Yamaguchi, 2001; Shaaban, El-Ghorab, & Shibamoto, 2012). Currently, EOs have been authorized as food additives and their antimicrobial activity is analyzed to be used as disinfectants and a possible alternative to the antibiotic therapy in human and veterinary medicine, especially for diseases caused by multidrug-resistant microorganisms (Laird & Phillips, 2012; Lv, Liang, Yuan, & Li, 2011).

3 of 6

WILEY-

In our study, almost every EO exhibited good antimicrobial activity against *S. suis* (Tables 2 and S1). The oils with a significantly higher inhibitory activity were red thyme and common thyme (Ø mean of inhibition zone 34.2 and 33.2 mm, respectively), followed by oregano (Ø mean of inhibition zone 29.4 mm). Cinnamon, peppermint, and clove (Ø mean 16.5, 16.4, and 15.8-mm, respectively) showed a similar antimicrobial potential, although it was significantly lower than the previous ones. A weak or nonexistent activity of basil and rosemary was observed. It has been possible to establish five homogeneous groups of EOs, according to the results obtained in the disk diffusion test (Tables 2 and S1).

Red thyme, common thyme, oregano, and cinnamon have showed the best antimicrobial potential in the qualitative assay against the field isolates of *S. suis*, including the European reference strain P1/7. According to the classification proposed by Lv et al. (2011), red thyme, common thyme, and oregano would have a strong activity (inhibition zone \geq 20 mm) and cinnamon, a moderate one (inhibition zone between 12 and 20 mm). These EOs have also shown a good antimicrobial activity against a wide variety of microbial pathogens involved in different clinical processes of both humans and animals, including other *Streptococcus* species (Fabio, Cermelli, Fabio, Nicoletti, & Quaglio, 2007; Galvão et al., 2012; Sfeir et al., 2013). Taking into account these preliminary results, red thyme, common thyme, oregano, and cinnamon essential oils were selected for the quantitative study.

		Disk diffusion		Vapor contact	
Essential oil		Mean ± SD	Range	Mean ± SD	Range
Groups* I	Red thyme	34.2 ± 8.2	24.3-49.3	25.7 ± 5.1	20.0-42.5
	Common thyme	33.2 ± 7.3	24.0-49.0	25.6 ± 4.4	19.5-37.5
Group II	Oregano	29.4 ± 5.8	22.0-40.3	23.3 ± 3.5	19.0-30.5
Group III	Cinnamon	16.5 ± 5.2	6.0-27.0	0.8 ± 2.6	0.0-10.0
	Peppermint	16.4 ± 6.8	9.0-36.3	7.6 ± 7.9	0.0-22.5
	Clove	15.8 ± 5.0	6.0-28.0	0.8 ± 2.6	0.0-10.0
Group IV	Rosemary	10.3 ± 2.4	6.3-15.7	0.4 ± 1.8	0.0-8.0
Group V	Basil	7.1 ± 1.0	6.0-9.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0-0.0

DE AGUIAR ET AL.

*The homogeneity groups p < .05 in the disk diffusion assay.

We also assessed the possible activity of volatile components of these EOs, since they may have a great antimicrobial potential to be used in respiratory pathologies treatment and disinfection of facilities, preventing the formation of biofilms (Inouye et al., 2001; Laird & Phillips, 2012). Good activity was obtained from the volatile fraction of thyme (red and common) and oregano (Ø mean 23.3–25.7 mm), whereas a limited or absent inhibition was observed for peppermint, basil, rosemary, cinnamon, and clove (Tables 2 and S2).

Despite the great vapor activity of thyme (red and common) and oregano, their inhibition zones were slightly lower than those observed in the direct contact assay. The remaining EOs presented weak or nonexistent antibacterial activity, which disagrees with Inouye et al. (2001), who found good activity of cinnamon and moderate activity of rosemary against *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. These differences could be related to the volatility and the absorption of the volatile compounds through the agar (Inouye et al., 2001; Maruzzella & Sicurella, 1960).

3.2 | Minimal inhibitory concentration and minimal bactericidal concentration

Different studies highlight the usefulness of disk diffusion method as a screening test (Lopez, Sanchez, Batlle, & Nerin, 2005). It may present a weak correlation with the quantitative microdilution technique because of heterogeneity of some oils when diffusing through the agar or their different volatility, depending on the chemical composition or external temperature (Hernandez et al., 2005). The dilution method could be more reliable than the disk method with regards to reproducibility and clinical relevance (Inouye et al., 2001).

The susceptibility of all the *S. suis* isolates analyzed in this study to each EO was similar, suggesting a very homogeneous behavior of *S. suis* against the analyzed essential oils. MIC values ranged from 156.25 to $312.5 \ \mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$ for oregano and common thyme, from 156.25 to $625 \ \mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$ for red thyme, and from $312.5 \ to 1250 \ \mu g \cdot ml^{-1}$ for cinnamon. The statistical comparison of the distribution of the MIC and the MBC showed significant difference between the oregano and the two thymes (group I) and the cinnamon (group II) (Table S3). These results are in accordance with the classification

proposed by Freires et al. (2015): the essential oils with a MIC range of 101-500 μ g·ml⁻¹ would have a strong activity and the essential oils with a MIC range 501–1000 μ g·ml⁻¹ would have a moderate activity.

However, the values determined for MIC_{90} and MBC_{90} only differed in one dilution (312.5 µg·ml⁻¹ for oregano, common thyme, and red thyme and 625 µg·ml⁻¹ for cinnamon) (Table 3), and the microcidal power was equal to 1.0 for all the EOs, indicating a notable bactericidal activity for the four essential oils tested against *S. suis*. Other gram-positive bacteria (*Streptococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Listeria* spp.), including multiresistant isolates, have also showed a notable antimicrobial activity of oregano and thyme (Fabio et al., 2007; Lv et al., 2011).

The absence of bacterial resistance described against EOs is considered to be the main advantage of these products in comparison with other antimicrobial agents (Knezevic et al., 2016). Nevertheless, EOs studies in cell cultures show a dose-dependent cytotoxic effect, described as an increased apoptosis and cellular necrosis (Dusan, Marian, Katarina, & Dobroslava, 2006). The nontoxic concentration described by Fabio et al. (2007) for thyme is near to the minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC₉₀ and MBC₉₀) obtained in our study. However, the nontoxic concentration of cinnamon that they publish was lower than our values. Several studies have shown synergistic effect between the essential oils tested in this work and some traditional antimicrobials, with a notable decrease in the effective concentration (Solarte et al., 2017).

TABLE 3 Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) 50 and 90 and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) 50 and 90 of the selected essential oils against 20 isolates of *S. suis*

	MIC ^a (µg∙ml ⁻¹)		MBC ^b (μg⋅ml ⁻¹)	
Essential oil	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	MBC ₅₀	MBC ₉₀
Red thyme	312.5	312.5	312.5	312.5
Common thyme	312.5	312.5	312.5	312.5
Oregano	312.5	312.5	312.5	312.5
Cinnamon	625	625	625	625

^aMIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀: concentration (μ g·ml⁻¹) that inhibited the growth of 50% (10/20) and 90% (18/20) of the strains.

 $^bMBC_{50}$ and $MBC_{90:}$ concentration (µg·ml^1) that destroyed 50% (10/20) and 90% (18/20) of the strains.

In vivo studies in pigs with oregano, thyme, and cinnamon generally describe a significant increase in growth performance without altering the quality of the carcass (Namkung et al., 2004; Simitzis, Symeon, Charismiadou, Bizelis, & Deligeorgis, 2010), which is associated with a beneficial effect on the intestinal microbiota, nutrient absorption, and on the action of digestive enzymes (Zeng, Zhang, Wang, & Piao, 2015). However, in some studies, the potential of these EOs was lower than that of the antimicrobial agents (Neill et al., 2006). A notable difference has been shown in the productive effect of EOs according to the type and origin of the essential oil, the quantity added to feed and some intrinsic and extrinsic factors, including age group, gastric pH, the nutritional status, the diet, or the environmental conditions of the trial (Lan, Li, & Kim, 2016).

This is the first study that selects EOs with antimicrobial activity against several *S. suis* strains. The essential oils of oregano, red thyme, common thyme and cinnamon showed a notable in vitro bactericidal activity, by vapor and/or direct contact. The essential oils could be used alone or in combination with antimicrobial agents to control multidrug-resistant bacteria, although more in vivo studies on the safety and the effect of essential oils are needed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by *Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior* – CAPES, Brazil.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest declared.

ORCID

Fabiana C. de Aguiar 🕩 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5463-8769

REFERENCES

- Bajpai, V. K., Baek, K. H., & Kang, S. C. (2012). Control of Salmonella in foods by using essential oils: A review. Food Research International, 45, 722–734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.04.052
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, CLSI. (2013). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. (3rd ed.). Wayne, PA: CLSI Document VET01.A4.
- Dusan, F., Marian, S., Katarina, D., & Dobroslava, B. (2006). Essential oils - their antimicrobial activity against *Escherichia coli* and effect on intestinal cell viability. *Toxicology in Vitro*, 20, 1435–1445. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tiv.2006.06.012
- Fabio, A., Cermelli, C., Fabio, G., Nicoletti, P., & Quaglio, P. (2007). Screening of the antibacterial effects of a variety of essential oils on microorganisms responsible for respiratory infections. *Phytotherapy Research*, 21, 374–377. https://doi.org/10.1002/ (ISSN)1099-1573
- Freires, I. A., Denny, C., Benso, B., de Alencar, S. M., & Rosalen, P. L. (2015). Antibacterial activity of essential oils and their

isolated constituents against cariogenic bacteria: A systematic review. *Molecules*, 20, 7329-7358. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules20047329

- Galvão, L. C. D., Furletti, V. F., Bersan, S. M. F., da Cunha, M. G., Ruiz, A., de Carvalho, J. E., ... Rosalen, P. L. (2012). Antimicrobial activity of essential oils against *Streptococcus mutans* and their antiproliferative effects. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2012, 751435.
- Goyette-Desjardins, G., Auger, J.-P., Xu, J., Segura, M., & Gottschalk, M. (2014). Streptococcus suis, an important pig pathogen and emerging zoonotic agent-an update on the worldwide distribution based on serotyping and sequence typing. Emerging Microbes & Infections, 3, e45. https://doi.org/10.1038/emi.2014.45
- Hernandez, T., Canales, M., Avila, J. G., Garcia, A. M., Martinez, A., Caballero, J., ... Lira, R. (2005). Composition and antibacterial activity of essential oil of *Lantana achyranthifolia* Desf. (Verbenaceae). Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 96, 551–554. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jep.2004.09.044
- Huerta, B., Barrero-Dominguez, B., Galan-Relano, A., Tarradas, C., Maldonado, A., & Luque, I. (2016). Essential oils in the control of infections by *Staphylococcus xylosus* in horses. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*, 38, 19–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2015.11.011
- Inouye, S., Takizawa, T., & Yamaguchi, H. (2001). Antibacterial activity of essential oils and their major constituents against respiratory tract pathogens by gaseous contact. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 47, 565–573. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/47.5.565
- Knezevic, P., Aleksic, V., Simin, N., Svircev, E., Petrovic, A., & Mimica-Dukic, N. (2016). Antimicrobial activity of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* essential oils and their interactions with conventional antimicrobial agents against multi-drug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Journal* of *Ethnopharmacology*, 178, 125–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jep.2015.12.008
- Laird, K., & Phillips, C. (2012). Vapour phase: A potential future use for essential oils as antimicrobials? *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 54, 169–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2011.03190.x
- Lan, R. X., Li, T. S., & Kim, I. H. (2016). Effects of essential oils supplementation in different nutrient densities on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood characteristics and fecal microbial shedding in weaning pigs. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 214, 77–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.02.011
- Lopez, P., Sanchez, C., Batlle, R., & Nerin, C. (2005). Solid- and vapor-phase antimicrobial activities of six essential oils: Susceptibility of selected foodborne bacterial and fungal strains. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 53, 6939–6946. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf050709v
- Lv, F., Liang, H., Yuan, Q., & Li, C. (2011). In vitro antimicrobial effects and mechanism of action of selected plant essential oil combinations against four food-related microorganisms. *Food Research International*, 44, 3057–3064. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foodres.2011.07.030
- Mann, C. M., & Markham, J. L. (1998). A new method for determining the minimum inhibitory concentration of essential oils. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 84, 538–544. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672. 1998.00379.x
- Manzanilla, E. G., Perez, J. F., Martin, M., Kamel, C., Baucells, F., & Gasa, J. (2004). Effect of plant extracts and formic acid on the intestinal equilibrium of early-weaned pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 82, 3210–3218. https://doi.org/10.2527/2004.82113210x
- Martinez, J. L., & Baquero, F. (2000). Mutation frequencies and antibiotic resistance. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 44, 1771–1777. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.7.1771-1777.2000
- Maruzzella, J. C., & Sicurella, N. A. (1960). Antibacterial activity of essential oil vapors. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association*, 49, 692–694.
- Namkung, H., Li, M., Gong, J., Yu, H., Cottrill, M., & de Lange, C. F. M. (2004). Impact of feeding blends of organic acids and herbal extracts

-WILFY_MicrobiologyOpen

on growth performance, gut microbiota and digestive function in newly weaned pigs. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science*, 84, 697–704. https://doi.org/10.4141/A04-005

- Nazzaro, F., Fratianni, F., De Martino, L., Coppola, R., & De Feo, V. (2013). Effect of essential oils on pathogenic bacteria. *Pharmaceuticals* (*Basel*), *6*, 1451–1474. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph6121451
- Neill, C. R., Nelssen, J. L., Tokach, M. D., Goodband, R. D., DeRouchey, J. M., Dritz, S. S., ... Brown, K. R. (2006). Effects of oregano oil on growth performance of nursery pigs. *Journal of Swine Health and Production*, 14, 312–316.
- Radhakrishnan, N., Gnanamani, A., & Mandal, A. B. (2011). A potential antibacterial agent Embelin, a natural benzoquinone extracted from *Embelia ribes*. Biology and Medicine, 3, 1–7.
- Ross, Z. M., O'Gara, E. A., Hill, D. J., Sleightholme, H. V., & Maslin, D. J. (2001). Antimicrobial properties of garlic oil against human enteric bacteria: Evaluation of methodologies and comparisons with garlic oil sulfides and garlic powder. Applied and Environment Microbiology, 67, 475-480. https://doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.67.1.475-480.2001
- Schwarz, S., Silley, P., Simjee, S., Woodford, N., van Duijkeren, E., Johnson, A. P., & Gaastra, W. (2010). Assessing the antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria obtained from animals. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 141, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. vetmic.2009.12.013
- Sfeir, J., Lefrancois, C., Baudoux, D., Derbre, S., & Licznar, P. (2013). In vitro antibacterial activity of essential oils against *Streptococcus pyo*genes. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2013, 269161. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/269161
- Shaaban, H. A. E., El-Ghorab, A. H., & Shibamoto, T. (2012). Bioactivity of essential oils and their volatile aroma components: Review. Journal of Essential Oil Research, 24, 203–212. https://doi.org/10.1080/104129 05.2012.659528
- Simitzis, P. E., Symeon, G. K., Charismiadou, M. A., Bizelis, J. A., & Deligeorgis, S. G. (2010). The effects of dietary oregano oil supplementation on pig meat characteristics. *Meat Science*, 84, 670–676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2009.11.001

- Solarte, A. L., Astorga, R. J., Aguiar, F., Galan-Relano, A., Maldonado, A., & Huerta, B. (2017). Combination of antimicrobials and essential oils as an alternative for the control of *Salmonella enterica* multiresistant strains related to foodborne disease. *Foodborne Pathogens Disease*, 14, 558–563. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2017.2295
- Tarradas, C., Perea, A., Vela, A. I., Goyache, J., Dominguez, L., Fernandez-Garaizabal, J. F., ... Luque, I. (2004). Distribution of serotypes of *Streptococcus suis* isolated from diseased pigs in Spain. Veterinary Record, 154, 665–666. https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.154.21.665
- Varela, N. P., Gadbois, P., Thibault, C., Gottschalk, M., Dick, P., & Wilson, J. (2013). Antimicrobial resistance and prudent drug use for *Streptococcus suis*. *Animal Health Research Reviews*, 14, 68–77. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S1466252313000029
- Yap, P.S.X., Yiap, B.C., Ping, H.C., & Lim, S.H.E. (2014). Essential oils, a new horizon in combating bacterial antibiotic resistance. *The Open Microbiology Journal*, 8, 6–14. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874285801408010006
- Zeng, Z. K., Zhang, S., Wang, H. L., & Piao, X. S. (2015). Essential oil and aromatic plants as feed additives in non-ruminant nutrition: A review. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 6, 10.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: de Aguiar FC, Solarte AL, Tarradas C, et al. Antimicrobial activity of selected essential oils against *Streptococcus suis* isolated from pigs. *MicrobiologyOpen*. 2018;7:e613. https://doi.org/10.1002/mbo3.613