# Systematic Screen for Drosophila Transcriptional **Regulators Phosphorylated in Response to** Insulin/mTOR Pathway

Ying Liu,\*<sup>,†,1</sup> Jaakko Mattila,\*<sup>,2</sup> and Ville Hietakangas\*<sup>,†,2</sup>

\*Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00790, Finland and †Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00790, Finland ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-6419-1980 (Y.L.); 0000-0002-9900-7549 (V.H.)

ABSTRACT Insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS) is a conserved mechanism to regulate animal physiology in response to nutrition. IIS activity controls gene expression, but only a subset of transcriptional regulators (TRs) targeted by the IIS pathway is currently known. Here we report the results of an unbiased screen for Drosophila TRs phosphorylated in an IIS-dependent manner. To conduct the screen, we built a library of 857 V5/Strep-tagged TRs under the control of Copper-inducible metallothionein promoter (pMt). The insulin-induced phosphorylation changes were detected by using Phos-tag SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Eight proteins were found to display increased phosphorylation after acute insulin treatment. In each case, the insulin-induced phosphorylation was abrogated by mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin. The hits included two components of the NURF complex (NURF38 and NURF55), bHLHZip transcription factor Max, as well as the Drosophila ortholog of human proliferation-associated 2G4 (dPA2G4). Subsequent experiments revealed that the expression of the dPA2G4 gene was promoted by the mTOR pathway, likely through transcription factor Myc. Furthermore, NURF38 was found to be necessary for growth in larvae, consistent with the role of

IIS/mTOR pathway in growth control. Several aspects of animal physiology, such as tissue growth, metab-

olism, reproduction, stem cell function and longevity, are regulated in response to nutrients. The insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling (IIS) pathway is a central regulator of these processes in multicellular animals (Hietakangas and Cohen 2009; Teleman 2009; Partridge et al. 2011). Deregulation of IIS is the underlying cause of human diseases, such as diabetes and many types of cancer (Renehan et al. 2006; Guo 2014). Due to the conserved nature of IIS, Drosophila melanogaster serves as an important genetically tractable model system for the

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding authors: Viikinkaari 9C, room 4316, 00790 Helsinki, Finland. E-mail: jaakko.i.mattila@helsinki.fi; Viikinkaari 9C, room 4317, 00790 Helsinki,

Finland. E-mail: ville.hietakangas@helsinki.fi

discovery of novel pathway components and targets as well as their physiological roles (Hietakangas and Cohen 2009; Teleman 2009).

IIS regulates cellular functions at different levels, including gene expression. The best-established transcription factor target of Drosophila IIS is the Forkhead transcription factor 'O' (FoxO), which is phosphorylated by protein kinase AKT, leading to inhibition of FoxO function through cytoplasmic retention (Puig et al. 2003; Hietakangas and Cohen 2007). Upon low IIS, activated FoxO promotes the transcription of growth inhibitory genes, such as 4EBP and sestrin (Puig et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2010). IIS also regulates the phosphorylation of transcriptional cofactors. Phosphorylation of CREB coactivator TORC/CRTC is increased upon insulin treatment through salt-inducible kinase 2 (SIK2), leading to the inhibition of TORC/ CRTC activity (Wang et al. 2008). This pathway controls starvation resistance and lipid metabolism in adult flies through the central nervous system. A paralog of SIK2, SIK3, phosphorylates histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4) in response to insulin, thereby inhibiting its deacetylase activity on FoxO (Wang et al. 2011). Upon low insulin signaling, HDAC4-mediated deacetylation promotes FoxO activity increasing lipolysis through the Brummer lipase (Wang et al. 2011).

The mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway is another important regulator of nutrient-responsive cell physiology.

#### **KEYWORDS**

phosphorylation insulin transcription growth mTOR



Copyright © 2020 Liu et al.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401383

Manuscript received May 14, 2020; accepted for publication June 16, 2020; published Early Online June 17, 2020.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.12498020. <sup>1</sup>Present address: Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115


The mTOR pathway integrates several nutrient derived signals, including IIS activity, which promotes mTOR activity (Hietakangas and Cohen 2009). mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) is an activator of anabolic pathways, such as ribosome biogenesis through all three RNA polymerases (Wullschleger et al. 2006). In Drosophila, mTORC1 is known to promote the expression of ribosome assembly genes through transcription factor Myc, albeit the molecular mechanism remains poorly understood (Guertin et al. 2006; Teleman et al. 2008). mTOR also promotes the activities of RNA Pol I and Pol III, which transcribe ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) and other non-coding RNAs needed for gene expression (Grewal et al. 2007; Marshall et al. 2012), but the phosphorylation targets of mTOR in this context have remained insufficiently characterized. One such target is chromatin binding protein PWP1, which is phosphorylated in an mTORC1dependent manner and is necessary for promoting the transcription of rRNAs by RNA Pol I and Pol III (Liu et al., 2018, 2017). TORC1 also phosphorylates transcription factor Reptor, leading to its cytoplasmic retention (Tiebe et al. 2015). Upon mTORC1 inhibition by rapamycin, Reptor and its heterodimerization partner Reptor-BP activate gene transcription, controlling the majority of rapamycinactivated genes in Drosophila S2 cells.

While several TRs have been identified as phosphorylation targets for IIS/mTOR signaling, comprehensive understanding of the mediators of IIS/mTOR-dependent transcriptional control has not been achieved. Quantitative phosphoproteomics is the state-of-the-art approach for unbiased identification of phosphorylated proteins. Such approach was used to identify 191 proteins, whose phosphorylation changed upon insulin treatment of Drosophila S2R+ cells (Vinayagam et al. 2016). However, only few transcriptional regulators, such as Jun-related antigen, Modulo and Myb, were among the identified proteins (Vinayagam et al. 2016). Therefore, complementary approaches to detect phosphorylation changes in TRs are necessary. Phos-tag SDS-PAGE has emerged as a robust method to separate phosphorylated forms of proteins based on their reduced electrophoretic mobility (Kinoshita et al. 2006, 2015). When combined with ectopic expression of proteins of interest, it is possible to overcome the technical limitations posed by low endogenous expression levels. Here we have generated a library of constructs that allow Copper-inducible ectopic expression of 857 Strep/V5-tagged Drosophila TRs. Subsequent Phos-tag SDS-PAGE screen was used to identify targets of IIS/mTORC1 signaling.


# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**


# Plasmids, cell culture and transfection

Drosophila TRs were cloned into modified pMt-V5-HisA (Invitrogen) vectors by ligase independent cloning (Aslanidis and de Jong 1990). A C-terminal strepIII tag was inserted to the vector backbone, together with a hygromycin resistance gene. The library cDNAs were amplified from the *Drosophila* Genomics Resource Center (DGRC) gold collection library when available. When not in the DGRC collection, TR cDNAs were amplified from 5'RACE (Invitrogen) cDNA library made from adult female flies. Cloning was verified by digestion, the constructs of hits were confirmed by sequencing. *Drosophila* S2 cells were cultured in M3 medium (Sigma) supplemented with 1X Insect Medium Supplement (Sigma), 2% fetal bovine serum (LifeTechnologies) and penicillin/streptomycin (LifeTechnologies). Transfections were performed with Effectene Transfection Reagent kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To analyze phosphorylation, S2 cells were incubated 10 min with



**Figure 1** Construction of the library of *Drosophila* transcriptional regulators A. Schematic presentation of ligase-independent cloning of the TRs. B. Schematic map of the vector used. C. Cloning process and coverage of the library.





10  $\mu$ g/ml insulin (Sigma, 16634) alone, or after inhibition for 2 hr with 1  $\mu$ M Rapamycin (Sigma, 37094) (Liu *et al.* 2017).

# **Phos-tag Western blotting**

Phos-tag SDS-PAGE and Western blotting were performed according to previous studies (Liu *et al.* 2017). In brief, Phos-tag SDS-PAGE gels were made adding 30  $\mu$ M Phos-tag reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (Wako Chemical). Samples were resolved on SDS-PAGE with Phos-tag and detected via Western blotting mouse anti-V5 (Life Technologies, R960-25) and IRDye 680 goat anti-mouse (LI-COR, 926-32220). Bands were quantified using Image studio lite software (Li-COR). All bands that migrate slower than the most intensive band were considered as putative phosphorylated forms. A small subset of these bands displayed insulin/rapamycin responsiveness.

### **Drosophila genetics**

Flies were grown at 25°, on medium containing agar 0.6% (w/v), malt 6.5% (w/v), semolina 3.2% (w/v), baker's yeast 1.8% (w/v), nipagin 2.4%, propionic acid 0.7%. In starvation experiments, larvae were kept on medium contain agar 0.5% (w/v), nipagin 2.5%, and propionic acid 0.7% in PBS, supplemented with or without 5% sucrose (w/v). Fly stocks used in this study were: Tub-Gal4 (Lee *et al.* 1999), Ey-Gal4 (BDSC 5534),  $w^{1118}$  (BDSC 6326), NURF38 RNAi (BDSC 31341), UAS-Rheb (BDSC, 9688), *mTOR* delP mutant (BDSC 7014), *myc dm*<sup>2</sup> mutant (Maines *et al.* 2004), UAS-Myc (Johnston *et al.* 1999), UAS-Myc RNAi (VDRC 2947).

#### Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was extracted using a Nucleospin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel). cDNA was synthesized using SensiFAST cDNA Synthesis kit




Figure 3 dPA2G4 expression is regulated through IIS/mTORC1 and Myc A. qRT-PCR analysis of dPA2G4 mRNA expression in third instar larvae upon feeding, or starvation for 24 h (n = 3), or re-feeding with a high-protein diet (20% yeast) for 6 h (n = 3), or a high-sugar diet (20% sucrose) for 6 h (n = 3). cdk7 was used as a reference gene. B. qRT-PCR analysis of dPA2G4 mRNA expression in control ( $w^{1118}$ ) (n = 3) and TOR mutant (TORdeIP) (n = 3) second instar larvae on 5% sucrose food for 48 h and 20% yeast food re-feeding for 20 h. All samples were normalized to the 5% sugar-starved control larvae. cdk7 was used as a reference gene. C. gRT-PCR analysis of dPA2G4 mRNA expression in

control ( $w^{1118}$ , tub-Gal4) (n = 3) and Rheb overexpressed (Tub-Gal4) (n = 3) second instar larvae. *cdk7* was used as a reference gene. D. qRT-PCR analysis of *dPA2G4* mRNA expression in control ( $w^{1118}$ , Tub-Gal4) (n = 3), Myc depletion (Tub-Gal4 > RNAi) (n = 3), and Myc overexpressed (Tub-Gal4 > Myc) (n = 3) second instar larvae. *cdk7* was used as a reference gene. E. qRT-PCR analysis of *dPA2G4* mRNA expression in control ( $w^{1118}$ , Tub-Gal4) (n = 3), Myc depletion (Tub-Gal4 > RNAi) (n = 3), and Myc overexpressed (Tub-Gal4 > Myc) (n = 3) second instar larvae. *cdk7* was used as a reference gene. E. qRT-PCR analysis of *dPA2G4* mRNA expression in control ( $w^{1118}$ ) (n = 3) and *myc* mutant (*dm*<sup>2</sup>) (n = 3) second instar larvae on 5% sucrose food for 48 h and 20% yeast food re-feeding for 20 h. All samples were normalized to the 5% sugarstarved control larvae. *cdk7* was used as a reference gene. F. modERN ChIP-seq data (ENCSR191VCQ; modencode:5008; ChIP-seq against Myc in *D. melanogaster* wandering third instar larvae) displayed a significant enrichment of Myc chromatin binding close to *dPA2G4* transcriptional start site. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001 by Student's *t*-test. Error bars indicate SDs.

(Bioline) according to the manufacturer's protocol. qPCR was performed with a Light cycler 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche) using SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX qPCR Master Mix (Bioline). The primers used in this research are listed below:

CDK7-F: GGGTCAGTTTGCCACAGTTT CDK7-R: GATCACCTCCAGATCCGTG NURF38-F: GTGCCACGTTGGACCAACGCG NURF38-R: GTAGCCCTTGTGCGGGAAGCAG NURF55-F: GGACTCTCTTGGAATCCCAACCTC NURF55-R: GGCCGGTGAAGATGTTCTTGGC dPA2G4-F: AAGGGCATTGCCTTTCCCAC dPA2G4-R: GCCTTTAACGTGTAGTCAGCATCG

# Quantification and statistical analysis

Student's *t*-tests were performed for statistical analyses. n indicates the number of biological replicates and is detailed in the figure legends. Error bars indicate standard deviation.

# Data availability

Plasmids are available upon request. Information of the plasmid library is listed in Supplemental table 1. The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables. Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.12498020.

# RESULTS


# Construction of the epitope tagged library of Drosophila transcriptional regulators

This study aimed to identify novel transcriptional regulators (TRs) downstream of IIS through a cell-based phosphorylation screen. For this purpose, we constructed an epitope tagged *Drosophila* TR

library, based on the annotation by Harvard medical school Drosophila RNAi Screening Center (DRSC) 'Transcription Factors and Related' RNAi Sub-Library (TRXN) (https://fgr.hms.harvard.edu/ drsc-focused-sub-libraries). TRXN includes a set of 963 Drosophila genes which are annotated as transcription factor, nuclear protein, and DNA binding protein. The proteins in the library include DNA binding factors, DNA remodeling factors, and histone modifying enzymes along with their associated proteins. Ligase-independent cloning (LIC) was performed to clone these genes into a modified pMt-Strep-V5-HisA expression vector (Figure 1AB). This modified vector was made by inserting a C-terminal Strep-tag and a hygromvcin resistance gene to the backbone of the pMt-V5-HisA vector (Figure 1B). The pMt promoter allows Copper-inducible expression of these TRs in cells. Strep-tag is optimal for immobilization and pulldown and V5 is a commonly used epitope tag for antibody detection (e.g., Western blot and immunofluorescence). In total 857 Drosophila genes were successfully included in our library, which provides 89% coverage of the TRXN gene set (Figure 1C).

# Screen for TRs downstream of IIS

Next, we wanted to identify novel TRs regulated by insulin-dependent phosphorylation. To detect changes in phosphorylation status, we utilized the mobility shift of phosphorylated proteins on Phos-tag SDS-PAGE (Kinoshita *et al.* 2006, 2015). Individual TRs were expressed in S2 cells, treated with insulin, or left untreated. Cell lysates resolved on Phos-tag SDS-PAGE were immunoblotted using anti-V5 antibodies (Figure 2A). We were able to detect the expression of 61% of the TRs (504/857) (Figure 2B). In total eight TRs were identified to display increased intensity of a slow migrating band upon insulin treatment, consistent with increased phosphorylation (Figure 2C, Table 1). FoxO was used as a positive control. One of the hits was PWP1, reported earlier (Liu *et al.*, 2017). The Phos-tag



**Figure 4** NURF complex is a nutrient-dependent growth regulator AB. qRT-PCR analysis of *NURF38* and *NURF55* mRNA expression in control ( $w^{1118}$ ) (n = 3) and *TOR* mutant (TORdeIP) (n = 3) second instar larvae on 5% sucrose food for 48 h and 20% yeast food re-feeding for 20 h. All samples were normalized to the 5% sugar-starved control larvae. *cdk7* was used as a reference gene. CD. qRT-PCR analysis of *NURF38* and *NURF55* mRNA expression in control ( $w^{1118}$ ) (n = 3) and *myc* mutant (*dm*<sup>2</sup>) (n = 3) second instar larvae on 5% sucrose food for 48 h and 20% yeast food re-feeding for 20 h. All samples were normalized to the 5% sugar-starved control larvae. *cdk7* was used as a reference gene. E. Representative images of control and NURF38 depleted (Tub-Gal4)

SDS-PAGE approach was validated by phosphatase treatment of PWP1, which fully eliminated the insulin-responsive slow migrating bands (Liu *et al.*, 2017). mTOR pathway is a downstream effector of IIS (Hietakangas and Cohen 2009). Hence, we tested whether mTOR pathway is involved in regulating these TRs by using rapamycin, an inhibitor of mTORC1. Indeed, rapamycin treatment fully inhibited the insulin-induced slow migrating band in the case of all TRs (Figure 2D). Interestingly, several of the identified TRs have been reported to control growth in *Drosophila* (Table 1).

# dPA2G4 expression is controlled by mTORC1 and Myc

One of the hits was CG10576, which encodes the Drosophila ortholog of human proliferation-associated 2G4 (PA2G4) and will be referred to as Drosophila proliferation-associated 2G4 (dPA2G4). Downstream targets of nutrient sensing pathways are often regulated transcriptionally in parallel to posttranslational regulation. For example, our previous data showed that PWP1 is regulated by nutrients and IIS/mTOR pathway through both phosphorylation and gene expression (Liu et al., 2017). Thus, we wanted to test, whether dPA2G4 gene expression is also nutrient regulated in vivo. Indeed, dPA2G4 mRNA levels were downregulated in fasting larvae, while being prominently elevated upon re-feeding with protein-rich yeast diet, but not similarly induced by sugar-only diet (Figure 3A). Next, we asked whether this expression regulation is dependent on the mTOR pathway. Indeed, the protein-induced expression of dPA2G4 was blunted in mTOR mutant larvae (Figure 3B). Moreover, activation of mTORC1 pathway by overexpressing Ras homolog enriched in brain (Rheb) promoted dPA2G4 expression (Figure 3C). These data suggest dPA2G4 expression is controlled through the mTORC1 pathway.

The transcription factor Myc is an established downstream effector of the IIS/mTORC1 pathway (Teleman et al. 2008). To address whether Myc is responsible for the transcriptional regulation of dPA2G4, we analyzed dPA2G4 expression levels in larvae following myc loss- and gain-of-function. dPA2G4 expression was significantly upregulated upon Myc overexpression and reduced upon depletion of Myc (Figure 3D). In addition, induction of dPA2G4 mRNA expression by protein-rich diet was partially prevented in myc mutant larvae (Figure 3E), implying that Myc contributes to nutrient-responsive expression of dPA2G4. Moreover, in silico analysis of modENCODE ChIP-seq data (ENCSR191VCQ) in wandering 3rd instar larvae revealed a significant enrichment of Myc chromatin binding close to the transcriptional start site of dPA2G4 (Figure 3F). In conclusion, our data indicates that transcription of dPA2G4 is promoted by mTORC1 pathway through transcription factor Myc in response to protein-rich diet.

#### NURF38 is necessary for animal growth

Our Phos-tag data revealed that two subunits of the ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex NURF, NURF38 and NURF55 (Caf1-55), are phosphorylated upon insulin treatment, in mTORC1-dependent manner (Figure 2CD). Similar to *dPA2G4*, mRNA levels of *NURF38* were significantly increased in response to re-feeding with a protein rich diet, and this elevated expression was partially blunted in *mTOR* mutant larvae (Figure 4A). The expression of *NURF55* was also elevated upon yeast re-feeding,

larvae and pupae. F. Representative images of control ( $w^{1118}$ ) and NURF38 eye (Ey-Gal4)-depleted adult eye. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001 by Student's t-test. Error bars indicate SDs.

# Table 1 Identified IIS targeted TRs

Full gene name	CG Number	Name used in this study	mTORC1 dependent	Growth regulation
Chromatin assembly factor 1, p55 subunit	CG4236	NURF55	Yes	Yes (Wen <i>et al.</i> 2012)
Nucleosome remodeling factor - 38kD	CG4634	NURF38	Yes	Yes, this study
bicaudal	CG3644	Bic	Yes	
ecdysoneless	CG5714	Ecd	Yes	Yes (Claudius et al. 2014)
Max	CG9648	Max	Yes	Yes (Steiger <i>et al.</i> 2008)
CG10576	CG10576	dPA2G4	Yes	
Mevalonate kinase	CG33671	CG33671	Yes	
no child left behind	CG6751	dPWP1	Yes	Yes (Liu <i>et al.</i> 2017)

but this induction was insensitive to loss of mTOR (Figure 4B). In contrast to *dPA2G4*, *NURF38* and *NURF55* expression levels were not affected in *myc* mutants (Figure 4 CD). Thus, the NURF38 expression is regulated in response to nutrients through mTOR pathway, but is independent of Myc.

A previous study has shown that *Drosophila* mutants of *NURF55* display a strong undergrowth phenotype (Wen *et al.* 2012). To explore the possible role of NURF38 in growth regulation, we depleted NURF38 ubiquitously in *Drosophila* larvae by Tub-GAL4 > NURF38 RNAi. The NURF38 depleted larvae remained viable for several days, but failed to increase in size, consistent with impaired tissue growth (Figure 4E). Moreover, eye-specific depletion of NURF38 using Ey-GAL4 led to strongly reduced eye size, suggesting a tissue autonomous role for NURF38-dependent growth regulation (Figure 4F).

# DISCUSSION

Animals coordinate their growth rate and metabolism in a changing nutrient landscape, which requires dynamic regulation of gene expression. Therefore, it is important to understand the identity and roles of the transcriptional regulators (TRs) involved. Here, we identify several TRs with a putative role in nutrient-dependent gene regulation. Specifically, we (1) identify two components of the NURF complex, Max and dPA2G4 as phosphorylation targets of IIS/ mTORC1 signaling in Drosophila S2 cells; (2) provide evidence that expression of dPA2G4 is regulated by IIS/mTORC1 signaling, likely through Myc; (3) identify NURF38 as a necessary gene for tissue growth in larvae; (4) construct a library of 857 V5/Strep-tagged TRs compatible for Copper-induced expression in Drosophila cells. Collectively, this study demonstrates the potential of Phos-tag SDS-PAGE for unbiased identification of new phosphorylation targets of signaling pathways. Thus, it can be used to complement other approaches, such as phosphoproteomics. Some technical limitations were also observed. >350 proteins remained undetected, including Reptor (a known target of mTORC1). This might be due to high protein turnover or cell lethality or caused by technical reasons, such as insufficient transfer of large proteins or poor transfection efficiency. It should be also noted that some of the genes included in the library might be misannotated as TRs, including some of the hits (e.g., CG33671/Mevalonate kinase).

The *Drosophila* nucleosome remodeling factor (NURF) complex is a conserved ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex with four subunits: NURF38, NURF55, NURF301 and ISWI (Becker and Hörz 2002; Corona and Tamkun 2004). The NURF complex was initially discovered as a regulator of heat shock gene expression, a key mechanism to maintain cellular proteostasis (Tsukiyama and Wu 1995). Moreover, NURF55, one of the discovered IIS/mTOR phosphorylation targets, has been shown to be necessary for larval growth (Wen *et al.* 2012) as well as for regulation of lipid droplet size in the larval fat body (Yao *et al.* 2018). We found that another component of the NURF complex, NURF38, is necessary for larval growth along with its IIS/mTOR-dependent phosphorylation. Notably, however, mutants of *NURF301* do not display a strong undergrowth phenotype, but an ecdysone-dependent pupariation phenotype (Badenhorst *et al.* 2005). Thus, it remains to be determined whether growth regulation by NURF38 and NURF55 is mediated through their function in the NURF complex and how they contribute to the gene regulatory effects of IIS/mTOR signaling.

Ribosome biogenesis is a key process in regulating cellular growth capacity and it is tightly coordinated by the IIS/mTOR pathway. Ribosome biogenesis involves all three RNA polymerases and is likely regulated through multiple effectors. Myc is known to control ribosome biogenesis downstream of mTOR signaling, as inhibition of mTORC1 leads to downregulation of Myc protein levels (Teleman et al. 2008). However, the mechanistic details of how mTORC1 signaling regulates Myc have remained unresolved. Interestingly, our screen identified the Myc heterodimerization partner Max as a phosphorylation target by mTORC1. Earlier studies in mammals have shown that Max is a phosphoprotein, constitutively phosphorylated by CKII on N-terminal serines S2 and S11 (Berberich and Cole 1992; Koskinen et al. 1994). Future studies will be needed to address whether Max phosphorylation contributes to the mTORC1-dependent regulation of Myc-Max target genes in Drosophila. Another downstream target of mTORC1 signaling is PWP1, which is phosphorylated in an mTORC1-dependent manner and necessary for RNA Pol I and III-dependent expression of ribosomal RNA (Liu et al. 2017, 2018). In addition to phosphorylation, PWP1 gene expression is promoted by IIS/mTOR signaling through Myc transcription factor (Liu et al. 2017). Interestingly, we demonstrated here that dPA2G4 is under similar dual regulation by phosphorylation and gene expression. In mammalian cells, PA2G4 has been found to localize to the nucleolus, bind to Pol I, and promote rRNA expression (Karlsson et al. 2016; Nguyen et al. 2016). It can be therefore hypothesized that PA2G4 is a candidate to cooperate with PWP1 in regulating nutrientresponsive ribosome biogenesis in vivo.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Stein, Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center and Vienna *Drosophila* resource center for providing fly stocks. Jukka Kallijärvi is acknowledged for providing the 5' RACE fly cDNA library. Eiji Kinoshita is acknowledged for advice on Phos-tag SDS-PAGE and Heini Lassila and Juhana Juutila are thanked for technical help. Funding was provided by the Academy of Finland (286767 to VH, 137530 to JM), Sigrid Juselius Foundation (VH), Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF19OC0057478 to VH), Centre for International Mobility of Finland (YL), Biocenter Finland (YL), Integrative Life Science Doctoral Program, University of Helsinki (YL), Cancer Foundation Finland (YL). *Drosophila* work was facilitated by the UH *Drosophila* core facility (Hi-Fly).

# LITERATURE CITED

- Aslanidis, C., and P. J. de Jong, 1990 Ligation-independent cloning of PCR products (LIC-PCR). Nucleic Acids Res. 18: 6069–6074. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/18.20.6069
- Badenhorst, P., H. Xiao, L. Cherbas, S. Y. Kwon, M. Voas *et al.*, 2005 The *Drosophila* nucleosome remodeling factor NURF is required for Ecdysteroid signaling and metamorphosis. Genes Dev. 19: 2540–2545. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gad.1342605
- Becker, P. B., and W. Hörz, 2002 ATP-dependent nucleosome remodeling. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 71: 247–273. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.biochem.71.110601.135400
- Berberich, S. J., and M. D. Cole, 1992 Casein kinase II inhibits the DNAbinding activity of Max homodimers but not Myc/Max heterodimers. Genes Dev. 6: 166–176. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.6.2.166
- Claudius, A.-K., P. Romani, T. Lamkemeyer, M. Jindra, and M. Uhlirova, 2014 Unexpected role of the steroid-deficiency protein Ecdysoneless in pre-mRNA Splicing. PLoS Genet. 10: e1004287. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pgen.1004287
- Corona, D. F. V., and J. W. Tamkun, 2004 Multiple roles for ISWI in transcription, chromosome organization and DNA replication. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Structure and Expression 1677: 113–119. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0167478103002835?via%3Dihub
- Grewal, S. S., J. R. Evans, and B. A. Edgar, 2007 Drosophila TIF-IA is required for ribosome synthesis and cell growth and is regulated by the TOR pathway. J. Cell Biol. 179: 1105–1113. https://doi.org/10.1083/ jcb.200709044
- Guertin, D. A., K. V. P. Guntur, G. W. Bell, C. C. Thoreen, and D. M. Sabatini, 2006 Functional genomics identifies TOR-regulated genes that control growth and division. Curr. Biol. 16: 958–970. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cub.2006.03.084
- Guo, S., 2014 Insulin signaling, resistance, and the metabolic syndrome: Insights from mouse models to disease mechanisms. J. Endocrinol. 220: T1–T23. https://doi.org/10.1530/JOE-13-0584
- Hietakangas, V., and S. M. Cohen, 2007 Re-evaluating AKT regulation: role of TOR complex 2 in tissue growth. Genes Dev. 21: 632–637. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gad.416307
- Hietakangas, V., and S. M. Cohen, 2009 Regulation of tissue growth through nutrient sensing. Annu. Rev. Genet. 43: 389–410. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-genet-102108-134815
- Johnston, L. A., D. A. Prober, B. A. Edgar, R. N. Eisenman, and P. Gallant, 1999 Drosophila myc regulates cellular growth during development. Cell 98: 779–790. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81512-3
- Karlsson, T., A. Altankhuyag, O. Dobrovolska, D. C. Turcu, and A. E. Lewis, 2016 A polybasic motif in ErbB3-binding protein 1 (EBP1) has key functions in nucleolar localization and polyphosphoinositide interaction. Biochem. J. 473: 2033–2047. https://doi.org/10.1042/ BCJ20160274
- Kinoshita, E., E. Kinoshita-Kikuta, and T. Koike, 2015 Advances in Phostag-based methodologies for separation and detection of the phosphoproteome. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1854: 601–608. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.bbapap.2014.10.004
- Kinoshita, E., E. Kinoshita-Kikuta, K. Takiyama, and T. Koike, 2006 Phosphate-binding tag, a new tool to visualize phosphorylated proteins. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 5: 749–757. https://doi.org/10.1074/ mcp.T500024-MCP200
- Koskinen, P. J., I. Vastrik, T. P. Makela, R. N. Eisenman, and K. Alitalo, 1994 Max activity is affected by phosphorylation at two NH2-terminal sites. Cell Growth Differ. 5: 313.
- Lee, J. H., A. V. Budanov, E. J. Park, R. Birse, T. E. Kim *et al.*, 2010 Sestrin as a feedback inhibitor of TOR that prevents age-related pathologies. Science 327: 1223–1228. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1182228

- Lee, T., A. Lee, and L. Luo, 1999 Development of the *Drosophila* mushroom bodies: sequential generation of three distinct types of neurons from a neuroblast. Development 126: 4065–4076.
- Liu, Y., R. Cerejeira Matos, T. I. Heino, and V. Hietakangas, 2018 PWP1 promotes nutrient-responsive expression of 5S ribosomal RNA. Biol. Open 7: bio037911. https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.037911
- Liu, Y., J. Mattila, S. Ventelä, L. Yadav, W. Zhang et al., 2017 PWP1 mediates nutrient-dependent growth control through nucleolar regulation of ribosomal gene expression. Dev. Cell 43: 240–252.e5. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.devcel.2017.09.022
- Maines, J. Z., L. M. Stevens, X. Tong, and D. Stein, 2004 Drosophila dMyc is required for ovary cell growth and endoreplication. Development 131: 775–786. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00932
- Marshall, L., E. J. Rideout, and S. S. Grewal, 2012 Nutrient/TOR-dependent regulation of RNA polymerase III controls tissue and organismal growth in *Drosophila*. EMBO J. 31: 1916–1930. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.33
- Nguyen, L. X. T., L. Zhu, Y. Lee, L. Ta, and B. S. Mitchell, 2016 Expression and role of the ErbB3-binding protein 1 in acute myelogenous leukemic cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 22: 3320–3327. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2282
- Partridge, L., N. Alic, I. Bjedov, and M. D. W. Piper, 2011 Ageing in Drosophila: the role of the insulin/Igf and TOR signalling network. Exp. Gerontol. 46: 376-381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2010.09.003
- Puig, O., M. T. Marr, M. L. Ruhf, and R. Tjian, 2003 Control of cell number by Drosophila FOXO: downstream and feedback regulation of the insulin receptor pathway. Genes Dev. 17: 2006–2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1098703
- Renehan, A. G., J. Frystyk, and A. Flyvbjerg, 2006 Obesity and cancer risk: the role of the insulin–IGF axis. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 17: 328–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2006.08.006
- Steiger, D., M. Furrer, D. Schwinkendorf, and P. Gallant, 2008 Max-independent functions of Myc in *Drosophila* melanogaster. Nat. Genet. 40: 1084–1091. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.178
- Teleman, A. A., 2009 Molecular mechanisms of metabolic regulation by insulin in *Drosophila*. Biochem. J. 425: 13–26. https://doi.org/10.1042/ BJ20091181
- Teleman, A. A., V. Hietakangas, A. C. Sayadian, and S. M. Cohen, 2008 Nutritional control of protein biosynthetic capacity by insulin via Myc in *Drosophila*. Cell Metab. 7: 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cmet.2007.11.010
- Tiebe, M., M. Lutz, A. De La Garza, T. Buechling, M. Boutros et al., 2015 REPTOR and REPTOR-BP regulate organismal metabolism and transcription downstream of TORC1. Dev. Cell 33: 272–284. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.03.013
- Tsukiyama, T., and C. Wu, 1995 Purification and properties of an ATPdependent nucleosome remodeling factor. Cell 83: 1011–1020. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(95)90216-3
- Vinayagam, A., M. M. Kulkarni, R. Sopko, X. Sun, Y. Hu *et al.*, 2016 An integrative analysis of the InR/PI3K/Akt network identifies the dynamic response to insulin signaling. Cell Rep. 16: 3062–3074. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.029
- Wang, B., J. Goode, J. Best, J. Meltzer, P. E. Schilman et al., 2008 The insulinregulated CREB coactivator TORC promotes stress resistance in *Dro*sophila. Cell Metab. 7: 434–444. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cmet.2008.02.010
- Wang, B., N. Moya, S. Niessen, H. Hoover, M. M. Mihaylova et al., 2011 A hormone-dependent module regulating energy balance. Cell 145: 596–606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.04.013
- Wen, P., Z. Quan, and R. Xi, 2012 The biological function of the WD40 repeat-containing protein p55/Caf1 in *Drosophila*. Dev. Dyn. 241: 455– 464. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.23730
- Wullschleger, S., R. Loewith, and M. N. Hall, 2006 TOR signaling in growth and metabolism. Cell 124: 471–484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.016
- Yao, Y., X. Li, W. Wang, Z. Liu, J. Chen *et al.*, 2018 MRT, Functioning with NURF Complex, Regulates Lipid Droplet Size. Cell Rep. 24: 2972–2984. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.08.026

Communicating editor: J. Tennessen