www.bjcancer.com

# Endometrial stromal sarcoma: a population-based analysis

# JK Chan<sup>\*,1</sup>, NM Kawar<sup>1</sup>, JY Shin<sup>1</sup>, K Osann<sup>2</sup>, L-m Chen<sup>1</sup>, CB Powell<sup>1</sup> and DS Kapp<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, San Francisco School of Medicine, UCSF Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, 1600 Divisadero Street, Box 1702, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA; <sup>2</sup>Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine – Medical Center, 101 The City Drive, Orange, CA 92868, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Stanford Cancer Center, Stanford University School of Medicine, 875 Blake Wilbur Drive, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

To determine independent prognostic factors for the survival of patients with endometrial stromal sarcoma (ESS), data were abstracted from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database of the National Cancer Institute from 1988 to 2003. Kaplan–Meier and Cox proportional hazards models were used for analyses. Of 831 women diagnosed with ESS, the median age was 52 years (range: 17-96 years). In total, 59.9% had stage I, 5.1% stage II, 14.9% stage III, and 20.1% had stage IV disease. Overall, 13.0, 36.1, and 34.7% presented with grades I, 2, and 3, respectively. Patients with stage I–II vs III–IV disease had 5 years DSS of 89.3% vs 50.3% (P < 0.001) and those with grades I, 2, and 3 cancers had survivals of 91.4, 95.4, and 42.1% (P < 0.001). In multivariate analysis, older patients, black race, advanced stage, higher grade, lack of primary surgery, and nodal metastasis were independent prognostic factors for poorer survival. In younger women (<50 years) with stage I–II disease, ovarian-sparing procedures did not adversely impact survival (91.9 vs 96.2%; P = 0.1). Age, race, primary surgery, stage, and grade are important prognostic factors for ESS. Excellent survival in patients with grade I and 2 disease of all stages supports the concept that these tumors are significantly different from grade 3 tumors. Ovarian-sparing surgeries may be considered in younger patients with early-stage disease. *British Journal of Cancer* (2008) **99**, 1210–1215. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604527 www.bjcancer.com

© 2008 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: endometrial stromal sarcoma; prognostic factors; survival

Endometrial stromal sarcomas (ESSs) are rare tumors of the uterus accounting for 0.2-1% of all uterine malignancies and approximately 6-20% of all uterine sarcomas (Koss *et al*, 1965; Harlow *et al*, 1986; Echt *et al*, 1990; Larson *et al*, 1990). Given the rarity of ESS, there are limited reports in the literature that have evaluated the prognostic factors of patients with this tumor. On account of significant differences in the clinical behaviour of ESS patients, Norris and Taylor first described the prognostic significance of histologic grade in ESS, and their findings have been subsequently confirmed by others (Norris and Taylor, 1966; Pautier *et al*, 2000; Leath *et al*, 2007). Furthermore, as high-grade ESS lack specific differentiation, recent modifications to pathologic classifications of uterine sarcoma have been recommended to rename high-grade ESS as undifferentiated endometrial sarcoma (Jaffe *et al*, 2001; Moinfar *et al*, 2007).

Optimal therapy of ESS is not well established. The standard surgical procedure has included a total abdominal hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, and radical cytoreductive surgery of extra-uterine disease (Gadducci *et al*, 1996). Moreover, despite traditional recommendations to include bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy in the primary surgical management of ESS, some investigators have advocated preserving ovarian function, particularly in younger women (Gadducci *et al*, 1996; Chu *et al*, 2003; Li *et al*, 2005; Amant *et al*, 2007). The role of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy, and adjuvant therapy with radiation therapy,

chemotherapy, or hormonal treatment remains controversial. Most of the earlier studies have been limited by a small number of patients from academic institutions with associated biases (Echt *et al*, 1990; Haberal *et al*, 2003; Denschlag *et al*, 2007; Leath *et al*, 2007). In addition, there are no large studies that have investigated the potential risk of ovarian preservation in young patients with early-stage disease.

In this large population-based study of 831 patients, we examined the demographic and clinico-pathologic prognostic factors associated with disease-specific survival (DSS) in ESS. In addition, the role of lymphadenectomy, adjuvant radiation therapy, and oophorectomy was analyzed.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Demographic, clinico-pathologic variables, treatment data, and survival information of women diagnosed with ESS were extracted from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database of the United States National Cancer Institute for the 15-year period from 1 January 1988 to 31 December 2003. Data are reported from population-based registries that represent approximately 26% of the U.S. population including the states of Utah, Hawaii, Iowa, New Mexico, Connecticut, Alaska Native, and the metropolitan regions of Detroit, San Francisco-Oakland, Seattle-Puget Sound, Atlanta, San Jose-Monterey, Los Angeles, and rural Georgia. The histology code used was 8930 for ESS. Although the database coded grade of disease as 1, 2, 3 and 4, we elected to combine grades 3 and 4 as grade 3 disease given the similarity of the two groups.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Dr JK Chan; E-mail: chanjohn@obgyn.ucsf.edu Received 9 April 2008; revised 17 June 2008; accepted 30 June 2008; published online 23 September 2008

**Table I** Demographic and clinico-pathologic characteristics (n = 831)

Characteristics	n	%
Age at diagnosis (years)		
Median age (range)	52 (17-96)	
≤52 years	432	52.0
>52 years	399	48.0
Year of diagnosis		
1988-1992	150	18.0
1993–1997	284	34.2
1998-2003	397	47.8
Race		
White	553	66.5
Black	4	13.7
Hispanic	82	9.9
Asian	70	8.4
Other	12	1.4
Stage		
	498	59.9
11	42	5.1
III	124	14.9
IV	167	20.1
Grade		
I	108	13.0
2	300	36.1
3	288	34.7
Unknown	135	16.2



Surgery (n=775)

No surgery (n=56)

100

178

37





JK Chan et al

Characteristics

Lymphadenectomy Yes Positive Negative Unknown No

Surgery Yes No

#### racteristics (n - 831)Table 2 Treatment

The characteristics $(n = 0.51)$				
	n	%		
	//5	93.3		
	56	6.7		
	282	33.9		
	28	9.9 <sup>b</sup>		
	245	86.9 <sup>b</sup>		
	9	3.2 <sup>b</sup>		
	543	65.3		
	6	07		
	0	0.7		
	483	58.1		
	200	240		

Unknown Oophorectomy Yes No 290 34.9 Unknown 58 7.0 Age <50 years, stage I-II 155 64.6<sup>c</sup> Yes 35.4 No 85 Radiation Yes 205 24.7 611 73.5 No Unknown 15 1.8

<sup>a</sup>Primary hysterectomy. <sup>b</sup>Percent of those undergoing lymphadenectomy. <sup>c</sup>Percent of those <50 years, stage I–II.



Figure I Kaplan-Meier disease-specific survival based on (A) age (P < 0.001), (B) race (P = 0.001), (C) surgery (P < 0.001), (D) stage (P < 0.001), (E) grade (P < 0.001), (F) nodal metastasis (P < 0.001), and (G) oophorectomy in younger women (< 50 years) with stage I-II disease (P = 0.1).

100

80

60

40

20

0

Numbers at risk

Surgery\* 77 No surgery 50

ò

\* Primary hysterectomy

50

Time (months)

371

Percent survival

**Clinical Studies** 



50

65

Time (months)

0

85

Numbers at risk No

100

36 50

150

Figure I Continued.

Kaplan-Meier models were used to estimate 5-year DSS. Variables examined included age, race, stage, grade, primary surgery, and presence of nodal metastasis, oophorectomy, and adjuvant radiation treatment. All factors that were significant in univariate analyses were tested in the multivariable model. The Cox proportional hazards model was used to identify independent predictors of survival. All P-values reported are two-tailed, and a P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

Of 831 women with ESS, the median age at diagnosis was 52 years (range: 17-96 years). Demographic characteristics of these patients are presented in Table 1. The majority (59.9%) had stage I disease, 5.1% stage II, 14.9% stage III, and 20.1% had stage IV disease. Grades 1, 2, and 3 comprised 13.0, 36.1, and 34.7% of cases, respectively.

Analysis of surgical treatment found that 775 (93.3%) women underwent a hysterectomy; 282 (33.9%) also had a lymphadenectomy, and 28 (9.9%) women were found to have nodal metastases. Moreover, the rate of lymphadenectomy in those women 50 years and younger vs older than 50 years was 32.2 vs 35.9%, respectively. Oophorectomy was performed in 483 (58.1%) cases. In addition, 24.7% of patients underwent adjuvant radiotherapy. Table 1 provides further details of treatment characteristics. Of patients with grades 1, 2, and 3 disease, 33 (11.7%), 79 (28.0%), and 127 (45.0%) underwent lymph node dissections, of which 2 (6.1%), 7

(8.9%), and 16 (12.6%) had nodal metastases. Lastly, approximately 6.7% of the patients did not undergo primary surgery. Of these 56 patients, the median age was 68.8 years of age compared with only 51 years in those who underwent surgery. In addition, the proportion of advanced stage disease was higher in those without surgery, 82.1 vs 31.6%.

The 5-year DSS for the entire cohort was 76.2%. The 5-year DSS of younger patients (<52 years) was significantly higher compared with older women (85.9 vs 64.7%, P < 0.001) (Table 2). Blacks had a worse DSS compared with other races (62.5 vs 78.1%; P = 0.001). Those who underwent primary hysterectomy had a significant benefit over those who did not (78.5 vs 42.4%; P < 0.001). Moreover, the 5-year DSS of those with stage I-II disease was 89 vs 50.3% in those with stages III-IV disease (P < 0.001). Those with grades 1, 2, and 3 disease had 5-year DSSs of 91.4, 95.4, and 42.1%, respectively (P<0.001) (Figure 1).

In the overall study group, there was no difference in 5-year DSS in those who underwent oophorectomy vs ovarian-sparing procedures (P = 0.06) (Table 2). Similarly, in the subset of younger women (<50 years) with stage I-II disease, those who underwent ovarian-sparing procedures had a similar survival compared with those who underwent oophorectomies (96.2 vs 91.1%; P = 0.1). However, the exact number of patients who may have undergone adnexal surgery before their cancer diagnosis is not reported. Furthermore, lymphadenectomy and adjuvant treatment with radiation therapy had no demonstrable impact on overall survival.

Factors found to be significant in univariate analysis were then analyzed using a Cox proportional hazards model to determine independent predictors of DSS. On multivariate analysis, older age

Table 3	Five-year	disease-specific	survival	based	on	demographic	and
clinico-path	ologic pro	gnostic factors (	(n = 831)	)			

Characteristic	%	P-value
Overall	76.2 (±1.6)	
Age at diagnosis (years)		P<0.001
≤52	85.9 (±1.8)	
> 52	64.7 (±2.6)	
Year of diagnosis		P = 0.008
1988-1992	$72.2 (\pm 3.7)^{a}$	
1993-1997	$83.9(+2.2)^{a}$	
1998-2003	74.4 $(\pm 2.4)^{a}$	
Race		P = 0.005
White	$77 \mid (+ \mid 9)$	1 - 0.005
Black	625 (+50)	
Hispanic	$79.6(\pm 3.0)$	
Asian	838 (+51)	
, our	05.0 (= 5.1)	
Surgery		P<0.001
Yes <sup>b</sup>	78.5 (±1.6)	
No	42.4 (±7.4)	
Oophorectomy		P = 0.06
Yes	78.1 (±2.0)	
No	$72.9(\pm 2.7)$	
Age <50 vears, stage I—II		P = 0.1
Yes	$91.1(\pm 2.4)$	
No	96.2 (±2.1)	
Ct		D =0.001
Stage	017(112)	P<0.001
	$91.7 (\pm 1.3)$	
II	$52.8(\pm 9.9)$	
	$61.5(\pm 4.8)$	
IV	$41.0(\pm 4.4)$	
	89.3 (±1.4)	P<0.001
III-IV	$50.3 (\pm 3.3)$	
Grade		P<0.001
l I	91.4 (±3.0)	
2	$95.4(\pm 1.3)$	
3	42.1 (±3.8)	
lymphadenectomy		P = 0.351
Yes	738 (+29)	, = 0.551
No	776 (+ 19)	
	///o (± ///)	
Nodal metastasis		P<0.001
Positive	35.3 (±9.6)	
Negative	80.1 (±2.8)	

<sup>a</sup>3-year disease-specific survival. <sup>b</sup>Primary hysterectomy.

at diagnosis, black race, no surgery, advanced stage, and higher grade of disease were all independent predictors for poorer survival (Tables 3 and 4).

# DISCUSSION

As endometrial stromal sarcomas are rare uterine malignancies, there are limited reports on their clinical behaviour and optimal treatment strategies. Most of these studies involve case reports, and retrospective case series by academic institutions with a small number of patients accrued over long time periods. In addition, others series have included additional histological subtypes of uterine sarcomas. To our knowledge, this analysis is one of the largest studies, including over 800 patients evaluated for prognostic factors important in ESS patients. IK Chan et al

Endometrial stromal sarcoma

Prognostic factor	Hazard ratio	95% Confidence interval	P-value
Age at diagnosis <sup>a</sup>	1.02	1.01 – 1.03	P<0.007
Race <sup>b</sup>	1.70	1.18-2.45	P = 0.013
Surgery <sup>c</sup>	0.36	0.23-0.57	P<0.001
Stage <sup>d</sup>	1.99	1.73-2.28	P<0.001
Grade <sup>e</sup>	9.04	5.77-14.17	P<0.001

<sup>a</sup>Continuous. <sup>b</sup>Non-black vs black. <sup>c</sup>No primary hysterectomy vs primary hysterectomy. <sup>d</sup>I vs II vs III vs IV. <sup>e</sup>I vs 2 vs 3.

Earlier studies on the importance of age in ESS have been inconclusive. Denschlag *et al* (2007) reported on 28 patients with ESS, and found overall better survival was significantly associated with younger patient age. Similarly, Kokawa *et al* (2006) showed that younger age was an independent predictor of improved survival in multivariate analysis of 15 cases of ESS. In contrast, Nordal *et al* (1996) studied 48 patients with ESS and found that age was not significantly correlated to survival. In this study of over 800 patients, patients  $\leq$  52 years had more than a 20% higher 5-year DSS compared with older patients. Moreover, age, as a continuous variable remained a significant prognostic factor in multivariate analysis.

In this analysis, our data also showed that blacks had a worse DSS compared with all other racial groups after adjusting for other prognostic factors. Racial and ethnic differences in treatment and survival have been previously reported for several gynecologic malignancies, including ovary and uterine cancers (Wingo *et al*, 1996; Madison *et al*, 1998; Maxwell *et al*, 2006; Morris *et al*, 2006; Chan *et al*, 2008). In uterine sarcomas, Brooks *et al* (2004) found a survival difference among racial groups, but this was no longer present after adjusting for treatment differences. In this analysis, we demonstrated that blacks with ESS have a poorer survival after adjusting for surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy. Further studies are warranted to identify the underlying cause for racial differences in survival that cannot simply be explained by treatment disparities.

Similar to other reports, our analysis found that stage and grade were important predictors of overall improved survival (Echt et al, 1990; Kokawa et al, 2006; Denschlag et al, 2007). In our analysis, stage I-II patients had a 5-year DSS of 89.3% compared with only 50.3% in stage III-IV disease. Grade has also long been recognized as an important factor in ESS (Norris and Taylor, 1966; Pautier et al, 2000; Haberal et al, 2003; Leath et al, 2007). In over 400 patients with grades 1 and 2 tumors in our study, the 5-year DSS was more than 90% compared with only 42% in those with grade 3 tumors. These findings clearly support the hypothesis that highgrade ESSs have a distinct biologic behaviour. This has also been demonstrated by others (Gadducci et al, 1996; Leath et al, 2007). In fact, there are some investigators who have recommended a change in the classification of high-grade ESS to undifferentiated endometrial sarcoma, as these tumors have distinct pathologic and clinical properties compared with low-grade ESS. The 2003 World Health Organization Classification of tumors employs this new nomenclature, as does the current pathology literature, where the term of ESS is used to describe low-grade stromal sarcomas only (Jaffe et al, 2001; Moinfar et al, 2007).

Although standard therapy for ESS has included hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, the additional extent of surgery, especially the role of pelvic and para-aortic lymphadenectomy, remains controversial. In our study, the survival of those who underwent a lymph node dissection was not significantly different compared with those without lymph node dissection (P = 0.351). However, it was interesting to note that nearly 10% of those who underwent lymph node dissection were found to have nodal metastases. Thus, it is important to perform lymphadenectomy in ESS patients for both prognostic and treatment purposes to direct adjuvant therapy. In addition, patients with positive nodal metastasis at the time of lymphadenectomy had significantly poorer survival (35.3%) compared with those that had negative nodes (80.1%). Other reports have also suggested that the rates of lymph node involvement in ESS may be higher than expected (Goff et al, 1993; Reich et al, 2005; Riopel et al, 2005). Therefore, lymph node dissection clearly provides prognostic information and treatment guidance; however, the potential therapeutic value of lymph node dissection remains to be determined.

Similar to endometrioid uterine cancer, the standard recommendations have included bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy in the primary surgical management of ESS. This study showed that ovarian-sparing surgeries in the subset of younger women (<50 years) with otherwise early-stage disease did not adversely impact survival. Similarly, Li et al (2005) found that ovarian preservation did not affect recurrence or survival in women with stage I low-grade ESS. Similar findings were described by Amant et al (2007) in a multicenter analysis of 34 women with ESS. In stage I-II premenopausal women who underwent hysterectomy with or without bilateral saplingo oophorectomy, 3 of 12 (25%) and 1 of 6 (17%) recurred, respectively. Although the sample size was too small to draw conclusions, ovarian preservation did not seem to compromise outcomes. These results were also confirmed by others (Gadducci et al, 1996; Chu et al, 2003). In contrast, in a recent analysis of 53 patients, investigators found that ovarian preservation resulted in higher recurrence rates of 9 of 9 patients vs 10 of 44 (P < 0.001) in those who had bilateral salpingooophorectomy (Li et al, 2008). Although our data suggest that ovarian-sparing surgeries may be considered in younger patients with early-stage disease, it is important to note that the SEER data

### REFERENCES

- Amant F, De Knijf A, Van Calster B, Leunen K, Neven P, Berteloot P, Vergote I, Van Huffel S, Moerman P (2007) Clinical study investigating the role of lymphadenectomy, surgical castration, and adjuvant hormonal treatment in endometrial stromal sarcoma. Br J Cancer 97: 1194 - 1199
- Brooks SE, Zhan M, Cote T, Baquet CR (2004) Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results analysis of 2677 cases of uterine sarcoma 1989-1999. Gynecol Oncol 93: 204-208
- Chan JK, Zhang M, Hu JM, Shin JY, Osann K, Kapp DS (2008) Racial disparities in surgical treatment and survival of epithelial ovarian cancer in United States. J Surg Oncol 97: 103-107
- Chu MC, Mor G, Lim C, Zheng W, Parkash V, Schwartz PE (2003) Lowgrade endometrial stromal sarcoma: hormonal aspects. Gynecol Oncol 90: 170-176
- Denschlag D, Masoud I, Stanimir G, Gilbert L (2007) Prognostic factors and outcome in women with uterine sarcoma. Eur J Surg Oncol 33: 91 - 95
- Echt G, Jepson J, Steel J, Langholz B, Luxton G, Hernandez W, Astrahan M, Petrovich Z (1990) Treatment of uterine sarcomas. Cancer 66: 35 - 39
- Field RW, Smith BJ, Platz CE, Robinson RA, Neuberger JS, Brus CP, Lynch CF (2004) Lung cancer histologic type in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results registry versus independent review. J Natl Cancer Inst 96: 1105-1107
- Gadducci A, Sartori E, Landoni F, Zola P, Maggino T, Urgesi A, Lissoni A, Losa G, Fanucchi A (1996) Endometrial stromal sarcoma: analysis of treatment failures and survival. Gynecol Oncol 63: 247-253
- Glaser SL, Dorfman RF, Clarke CA (2001) Expert review of the diagnosis and histologic classification of Hodgkin disease in a population-based cancer registry: interobserver reliability and impact on incidence and survival rates. Cancer 92: 218-224
- Goff BA, Rice LW, Fleischhacker D, Muntz HG, Falkenberry SS, Nikrui N, Fuller Jr AF (1993) Uterine leiomyosarcoma and endometrial stromal sarcoma: lymph node metastases and sites of recurrence. Gynecol Oncol 50: 105 - 109

do not include data on adnexal surgeries before cancer diagnosis. Thus, these limitations may have influenced our results.

The strength of our study is the large number of patients, which permitted subset analyses investigating the role of lymphadenectomy and oophorectomy, as well as other prognostic factors, such as age, race, and grade of disease. Moreover, the SEER database is representative of the general patient population without associated biases of case reports and studies from single academic institutions that span over many years. Several studies have demonstrated the accuracy of pathology from the SEER database (Glaser et al, 2001; Field et al, 2004). There are, however, several limitations of this study, including a lack of information regarding surgeon specialty, residual disease or margin status after primary surgery, hormone receptor status, sites of recurrence, earlier oophorectomy, chemotherapy, hormonal treatment or combined treatments.

In summary, the results of this study of 831 women with ESSs showed that age, race, stage, and grade of disease are important independent prognostic factors for survival. The survival of more than 90% in patients with grades 1 and 2 disease compared with only 42% in those with grade 3 disease supports the concept that low-grade ESSs have a significantly different clinical behaviour from high-grade tumors. The therapeutic role of lymphadenectomy and adjuvant therapy remains unclear. However, our data suggest that ovarian-sparing surgeries may be considered in younger patients with early-stage disease.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Alexander Sherman for his work in performing additional statistical analyses.

- Haberal A, Kayikcioglu F, Boran N, Caliskan E, Ozgul N, Kose MF (2003) Endometrial stromal sarcoma of the uterus: analysis of 25 patients. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 109: 209-213
- Harlow BL, Weiss NS, Lofton S (1986) The epidemiology of sarcomas of the uterus. J Natl Cancer Inst 76: 399-402
- Jaffe ES, Harris NL, Stein H, Vardiman JW (2001) Pathology and Genetics of Tumors of the Breast and Female Genital Organs. WHO Classification of Tumours
- Kokawa K, Nishiyama K, Ikeuchi M, Ihara Y, Akamatsu N, Enomoto T, Ishiko O, Motoyama S, Fujii S, Umesaki N (2006) Clinical outcomes of uterine sarcomas: results from 14 years worth of experience in the Kinki district in Japan (1990-2003). Int J Gynecol Cancer 16: 1358-1363
- Koss LG, Spiro RH, Brunschwig A (1965) Endometrial Stromal Sarcoma. Surg Gynecol Obstet 121: 531-537
- Larson B, Silfversward C, Nilsson B, Pettersson F (1990) Endometrial stromal sarcoma of the uterus. A clinical and histopathological study. The Radiumhemmet series 1936 - 1981. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 35: 239-249
- Leath III CA, Huh WK, Hyde Jr J, Cohn DE, Resnick KE, Taylor NP, Powell MA, Mutch DG, Bradley WH, Geller MA, Argenta PA, Gold MA (2007) A multi-institutional review of outcomes of endometrial stromal sarcoma. Gynecol Oncol 105: 630-634
- Li AJ, Giuntoli II RL, Drake R, Byun SY, Rojas F, Barbuto D, Klipfel N, Edmonds P, Miller DS, Karlan BY (2005) Ovarian preservation in stage I low-grade endometrial stromal sarcomas. Obstet Gynecol 106: 1304 - 1308
- Li N, Wu LY, Zhang HT, An JS, Li XG, Ma SK (2008) Treatment options in stage I endometrial stromal sarcoma: a retrospective analysis of 53 cases. Gynecol Oncol 108: 306-311
- Madison T, Schottenfeld D, Baker V (1998) Cancer of the corpus uteri in white and black women in Michigan, 1985 - 1994: an analysis of trends in incidence and mortality and their relation to histologic subtype and stage. Cancer 83: 1546-1554
- Maxwell GL, Tian C, Risinger J, Brown CL, Rose GS, Thigpen JT, Fleming GF, Gallion HH, Brewster WR (2006) Racial disparity in survival among

patients with advanced/recurrent endometrial adenocarcinoma: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Cancer 107: 2197-2205

- Moinfar F, Azodi M, Tavassoli FA (2007) Uterine sarcomas. Pathology 39: 55-71
- Morris AM, Wei Y, Birkmeyer NJ, Birkmeyer JD (2006) Racial disparities in late survival after rectal cancer surgery. J Am Coll Surg 203: 787-794
- Nordal RR, Kristensen GB, Kaern J, Stenwig AE, Pettersen EO, Trope CG (1996) The prognostic significance of surgery, tumor size, malignancy grade, menopausal status, and DNA ploidy in endometrial stromal sarcoma. Gynecol Oncol 62: 254-259
- Norris HJ, Taylor HB (1966) Mesenchymal tumors of the uterus. I. A clinical and pathological study of 53 endometrial stromal tumors. Cancer 19: 755-766
- Pautier P, Genestie C, Rey A, Morice P, Roche B, Lhomme C, Haie-Meder C, Duvillard P (2000) Analysis of clinicopathologic prognostic factors for

157 uterine sarcomas and evaluation of a grading score validated for soft tissue sarcoma. Cancer 88: 1425-1431

- Reich O, Winter R, Regauer S (2005) Should lymphadenectomy be performed in patients with endometrial stromal sarcoma? Gynecol Oncol 97: 982; author reply 982-983
- Riopel J, Plante M, Renaud MC, Roy M, Tetu B (2005) Lymph node metastases in low-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. Gynecol Oncol 96: 402 - 406
- Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program ( www.seer. cancer.gov SEER\*Stat Database: Incidence - SEER 12 Regs Public-Use, November 2004 Sub (1988-2001), National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Cancer Statistics Branch. Available at: http://seer.cancer.gov/ Released on April 2005
- Wingo PA, Bolden S, Tong T, Parker SL, Martin LM, Heath Jr CW (1996) Cancer statistics for African Americans, 1996. CA Cancer J Clin 46: 113-125