



An Infection-Tolerant Mammalian Reservoir for Several Zoonotic Agents Broadly Counters the Inflammatory Effects of Endotoxin

© Gabriela Balderrama-Gutierrez,ª ◎ Ana Milovic,^b ◎ Vanessa J. Cook,^b* ◎ M. Nurul Islam,^c ◎ Youwen Zhang,^d ◎ Hippokratis Kiaris,^{e,f} ◎ John T. Belisle,^c ◎ Ali Mortazavi,ª ◎ Alan G. Barbour^{b,f,g}

^aDepartment of Developmental and Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA

^bDepartment of Microbiology & Molecular Genetics, School of Medicine, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA

Department of Microbiology, Immunology, & Pathology, College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

^dDepartment of Drug Discovery & Biomedical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, USA

^ePeromyscus Genetic Stock Center, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, USA

^fDepartment of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA

9Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA

Gabriela Balderrama-Gutierrez and Ana Milovic contributed equally. Author order was determined by coin flip.

ABSTRACT Animals that are competent reservoirs of zoonotic pathogens commonly suffer little morbidity from the infections. To investigate mechanisms of this tolerance of infection, we used single-dose lipopolysaccharide (LPS) as an experimental model of inflammation and compared the responses of two rodents: Peromyscus leucopus, the white-footed deermouse and reservoir for the agents of Lyme disease and other zoonoses, and the house mouse Mus musculus. Four hours after injection with LPS or saline, blood, spleen, and liver samples were collected and subjected to transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq), metabolomics, and specific reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Differential expression analysis was at the gene, pathway, and network levels. LPS-treated deermice showed signs of sickness similar to those of exposed mice and had similar increases in corticosterone levels and expression of interleukin 6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor, IL-1 β , and C-reactive protein. By network analysis, the M. musculus response to LPS was characterized as cytokine associated, while the P. leucopus response was dominated by neutrophil activity terms. In addition, dichotomies in the expression levels of arginase 1 and nitric oxide synthase 2 and of IL-10 and IL-12 were consistent with type M1 macrophage responses in mice and type M2 responses in deermice. Analysis of metabolites in plasma and RNA in organs revealed species differences in tryptophan metabolism. Two genes in particular signified the different phenotypes of deermice and mice: the Slpi and Ibsp genes. Key RNA-seq findings for P. leucopus were replicated in older animals, in a systemic bacterial infection, and with cultivated fibroblasts. The findings indicate that P. leucopus possesses several adaptive traits to moderate inflammation in its balancing of infection resistance and tolerance.

IMPORTANCE Animals that are natural carriers of pathogens that cause human diseases commonly manifest little or no sickness as a consequence of infection. Examples include the deermouse, *Peromyscus leucopus*, which is a reservoir for Lyme disease and several other disease agents in North America, and some types of bats, which are carriers of viruses with pathogenicity for humans. Mechanisms of this phenomenon of infection tolerance and entailed trade-off costs are poorly understood. Using a single injection of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) endotoxin as a proxy for infection, we found that deermice differed from the mouse (*Mus musculus*) in responses to LPS in several diverse pathways, including innate immunity, oxidative stress, and

Cook VJ, Islam MN, Zhang Y, Kiaris H, Belisle JT, Mortazavi A, Barbour AG. 2021. An infectiontolerant mammalian reservoir for several zoonotic agents broadly counters the inflammatory effects of endotoxin. mBio 12: e00588-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio .00588-21.

Citation Balderrama-Gutierrez G, Milovic A,

Editor Samuel I. Miller, University of Washington

Copyright © 2021 Balderrama-Gutierrez et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Ali Mortazavi,

ali.mortazavi@uci.edu, or Alan G. Barbour, abarbour@uci.edu.

* Present address: Vanessa J. Cook, Environmental Health and Safety, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA.

This article is a direct contribution from Alan G. Barbour, a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology, who arranged for and secured reviews by Linda Bockenstedt, Yale University School of Medicine; Brian Trainor, UC Davis; Peter Melby, University of Texas Medical Branch; and Janis Weis, University of Utah.

Received 2 March 2021 Accepted 4 March 2021 Published 13 April 2021



metabolism. Features distinguishing the deermice cumulatively would moderate downstream ill effects of LPS. Insights gained from the *P. leucopus* model in the laboratory have implications for studying infection tolerance in other important reservoir species, including bats and other types of wildlife.

KEYWORDS *Borrelia*, Lyme disease, *Mus musculus*, *Peromyscus leucopus*, RNA-seq, innate immunity, lipopolysaccharide, metabolomics

P eromyscus leucopus, the white-footed deermouse, is a major reservoir for several zoonotic agents (reviewed in reference 1). The infections include Lyme disease, as well as varieties of anaplasmosis, babesiosis, relapsing fever, ehrlichiosis, and viral encephalitis (2). *P. leucopus* is broadly distributed across the eastern and central United States (3, 4), adapted to a variety of habitats, and an important host for the tick vectors of disease (5). Its immune system and other defenses keep the pathogens at bay, but infections persist (6, 7), thereby increasing the likelihood that a tick acquires the microbe during its blood meal (8). Where enzootic transmission is pervasive, the majority of *P. leucopus* animals live parasitized by one or more of these pathogens (9–11). If there is a fitness cost, scrutiny has not revealed it in the field (11) or laboratory (12–14).

The term for persistent infection with minimal morbidity is infection tolerance (15–17) and distinguished from the immune system's "tolerance" of self-antigens (18). In both contexts, "tolerance" conveys a moderation of the host response and avoidance of injury. Infection tolerance has been observed mainly at the organismal level, for instance, by signs of illness, disability, and fitness measures. These presumably are explained by events at the cellular and molecular levels (19, 20), but these have not been fully explored.

P. leucopus' tolerance of infection is matched by that of another deermouse, *Peromyscus maniculatus*, a reservoir for a hantavirus (21, 22). *P. maniculatus* has also been experimentally infected with the coronavirus (CoV) disease 2019 (COVID-19) virus and observed to transmit it to cagemates. Yet infected animals displayed only moderate pathology and recovered within a few days (23, 24). Other examples of the tolerance phenomenon are found among bat species implicated as reservoirs for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV, Ebola virus, Nipah virus, and Hendra virus (25, 26). These deermice and bats exhibit a trade-off between defensive processes that check pathogen proliferation and processes that limit collateral damage from those defenses. The result is a state of persistent infection with limited disability.

The innate and adaptive host defenses that in concert resist and neutralize pathogens are well known. Less understood are mechanisms on the other side of the tradeoff (27), namely, those that curb sickness and illness due to maladaptive inflammation (28). *P. leucopus* is well suited for such investigations of infection tolerance (29–31). Colloquially called "mice," the genus *Peromyscus*, along with hamsters and voles, belong to the family Cricetidae and not the family Muridae, which includes the house mouse, *Mus musculus* (32). We have previously sequenced the genome of *P. leucopus*, annotated its transcriptome using transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq), and characterized its gastrointestinal microbiota (33–35). There was little difference by RNA-seq between the blood of *P. leucopus* experimentally infected with the Lyme disease agent *Borreliella burgdorferi* and that of uninfected controls (34), a finding consistent with the mildness of deermouse infections with this pathogen (36). Accordingly, we looked to alternatives that would more robustly elicit inflammation.

To that end, we compared the response to a single dose of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of *P. leucopus* to that of *M. musculus*. This endotoxin leads to inflammation through its binding to a pattern recognition receptor and complex ensuing cascades (37). In its higher doses, injected LPS elicits a sepsis-like state that shares manifestations, such as fever and shock, with a variety of serious infections, including those caused by some protozoa and viruses, as well as bacteria. Tolerance of LPS is a longrecognized phenomenon (38), but this third usage of "tolerance" refers to a diminution in the severity of the response through cumulative previous exposures of animals or isolated cells to LPS (39, 40), rather than an inherent disposition of a naive animal to survive a toxic dose. Some spontaneous and engineered Mendelian traits do render a mouse less susceptible to LPS through an alteration of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) for LPS or in key downstream mediators, such as MyD88, in the cascade (41, 42). In these examples, this at the cost of a compromised innate defense against bacterial infection. For this and other reasons, we thought it unlikely that a single gene or locus accounted for the more nuanced interplays between complex contending forces in *Peromyscus* and other reservoirs for a variety of disease agents.

The simple experimental system of *in vivo* LPS exposure is a proxy for acute systemic infection but without the confounding variables of changing numbers of the microbe and the inevitable appearance of acquired immunity. Our working assumption is that early events in the response are determinants of the eventual outcomes of the infection, whether assessed from the perspective of pathogen burden or host disability. In the course of the study, we identified several pathways involved with inflammation, oxidative stress, phagocytosis, and metabolism that distinguished *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* in their responses to LPS. These have implications for studies of other vertebrate reservoirs of the agents of emerging zoonoses.

RESULTS

Susceptibility of *P. leucopus* **to LPS.** We found no published reports on the systemic effects of different doses of LPS in any *Peromyscus* species, but there were several on the susceptibility of *M. musculus* to LPS (43–50). The 50% lethal dose (LD₅₀) for *M. musculus* was in the range of 5 to 20 mg of LPS per kg of body weight, with an average across inbred and outbred mice of ~15 mg/kg. Death usually occurred between 12 and 48 h after injection. We previously found that adult BALB/c mice injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 10 mg LPS per kg body weight manifested reduced activity and ruffled fur at 4 h, with concomitant elevations in serum concentrations of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), interleukin 6 (IL-6), and IL-10 by immunoassay, but all animals survived up to 12 h (51).

We began with a study of the effect of single doses of purified *Escherichia coli* LPS administered i.p. to adult *P. leucopus* animals and then monitored them for 7 days. Figure 1A includes the survival curve for animals in groups of six receiving doses of 10, 50, 100, 200, or 300 mg per kg and examined continuously for the first 8 h and then at 12-h intervals thereafter. Death or a moribund state occurred in at least one animal in all dosage groups except the 10-mg/kg group. Five of 6 in the 50-mg dose group survived. At higher doses, the fatality rate was higher, with death occurring between days 2 and 5. Remarkably, 3 of 6 of the animals receiving the highest dose of 300 mg per kg, or a total dose of 6 mg on average per animal, survived. Survivors among the *P. leucopus* animals at that and the 100- and 200-mg/kg doses appeared to have fully recovered by 7 days after the injection. The variation between individual animals in outcome at the higher doses was consistent with the genetic heterogeneity of this outbred population (34). From these data, we could not calculate a precise LD₅₀, but we estimated it to between 100 and 300 mg/kg from this experiment.

The deermice in this experiment had an exudative conjunctivitis with eyelid closure at all doses by 12 h, and that persisted for up to 6 days at the highest dose (Fig. 1A). All animals displayed reduced activity, as defined by the behavior of huddling in groups, moving only for eating and drinking. The durations of this sign, as well as for the sign of hyperpnea, or rapid breathing, in animals receiving doses of 50 mg/kg or higher, correlated with the dose amounts. Thus, even at the lowest dose, all the animals displayed ill effects of this treatment. But in comparison to reported findings in *M. musculus*, a substantial proportion of deermice receiving doses that were 10- to 20-fold higher than the consensus LD_{50} for the house mouse did not further deteriorate and thereafter recovered.

Experimental design. We compared the short-term responses to a single dose of LPS of the outbred *P. leucopus* mice and the inbred *M. musculus* BALB/c strain mice of



FIG 1 Studies of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) effects on *Peromyscus leucopus* deermice and experimental design. (A) Dose response of *P. leucopus* to LPS. Groups of 6 adult animals (3 females and 3 males) received different intraperitoneal (i.p.) doses on a milligram/kilogram of body weight basis of *Escherichia coli* LPS at time zero and then monitored for physical signs of sickness (conjunctivitis, inactivity, and hyperpnea) and survival over the succeeding 7 days (168 h). The survival curves by dose are indicated by text to the right and by colors: dark blue (10 mg/kg) LPS, red (50 mg/kg), light green (100 mg/kg), blue green (200 mg/kg), and purple (300 mg/kg). The *x* axis scale and label apply to the durations of physical signs as well as to the survival graph. (B) Experimental design of comparative study of short-term effects of LPS on *P. leucopus* and *Mus musculus*. Animals received 10 mg LPS in saline/kg body weight or saline alone. F, female; M, male.

both sexes. The comparison of animals from a heterogeneous closed colony with an inbred population provided a gauge of the diversity of responses among the deermice. Figure 1B summarizes the experimental design, and Table A1 in the appendix lists the characteristics of the animals in the experiment and selected parameters. Fecal samples obtained from animals a day before the experiment had been subjected to gut microbiome analysis (35), and alpha-diversity values of the microbiota from the earlier study are provided in the table. The animals of each species were sexually mature adults and comparable in size and age, though P. leucopus tended to be smaller and older by 1 to 2 weeks. With the exception of two siblings split between treatment and control groups (Table A1), the P. leucopus mice were the offspring of different mating pairs. We alternated the administration of the LPS by species and by sex within a species to control for diurnal effects. Animals were euthanized in the same order, and the intervals between animals were kept within a strict limit. The blood cells and tissues of spleen and liver were subjected to RNA-seq, and the plasma was used for metabolomics. This comparative study was complemented by experiments with an older set of P. leucopus mice similarly exposed to LPS, deermice with a systemic bacterial infection, and cultures of P. leucopus fibroblasts.

Comparison of deermice and mice in response to LPS. Both species displayed effects of LPS within an hour of the injection, namely, reduced activity and ruffed fur, but only among 6 LPS-treated *P. leucopus* mice, equally distributed between females and males, did we observe conjunctivitis (P = 0.025) (Table A1). For corticosterone concentrations, the mean for *M. musculus* controls (n = 7) and LPS-treated mice (n = 12) were 77 (95% confidence interval [CI], 34 to 120) and 624 (95% CI, 580 to 667), respectively ($P < 10^{-11}$). Corresponding values for *P. leucopus* control (n = 7) and LPS-treated (n = 12) mice were 186 (95% CI, 79 to 293) and 699 (95% CI, 670 to 727), respectively ($P < 10^{-8}$). There were marginally higher baseline levels of corticosterone in *P. leucopus* than in *M. musculus* mice (P = 0.10), but they had similar levels after LPS. The assay for nitric oxide demonstrated higher levels in 11 *M. musculus* mice treated with LPS

(mean, 29 [95% Cl, 20 to 37]) than in 7 controls (mean, 7 [95% Cl, 3 to 12]) (P = 0.008). There was not an elevation in nine LPS-treated *P. leucopus* mice compared with values for six controls: 7 (95% Cl, 3 to 11) versus 7 (95% Cl, 3 to 12), respectively (P = 0.9).

To estimate the combined contributions of abundance and transcriptional activity of white blood cells in the blood samples at the time of euthanasia, we used the numbers of RNA-seq reads matching the whole mitochondrial genomes of each species in the same analysis (Table A1). In both species, there were lower total transcriptional activities of mitochondria in LPS-treated animals than in controls at 4 h (lower by an average of 31% in mice and 23% in deermice). Distributions were similar between species for both controls and LPS-treated animals. The mean log_{10} -transformed normalized values were 5.6 (95% CI, 5.5 to 5.7) for *M. musculus* and 5.7 (95% CI, 5.6 to 5.8) for *P. leucopus* controls (Mann-Whitney P = 0.96) and 5.4 (95% CI, 5.4 to 5.5) for *M. musculus* and 5.6 (95% CI, 5.5 to 5.7) for *P. leucopus* LPS-treated animals (P = 0.97).

Metabolomics of plasma. Untargeted metabolomics identified in *M. musculus* plasma 8,125 molecular features (MF), of which 123 (1.5%) differed between LPS-treated and control animals, with a false-discovery rate (FDR) of <0.05 and an absolute fold change of >2.0. In *P. leucopus* plasma, 7,714 MF were identified, of which 215 (2.8%) correspondingly differed between treated and control animals. Pathway enrichment analysis allowed cross-species comparison of identifiable metabolites in the animals (Dryad repository, https://doi.org/10.7280/D1R70J). For *M. musculus* and *P. leucopus*, the numbers of identified pathways with one or more KEGG-defined compounds were 76 and 73, respectively, with 73 in common (Fig. 2A). In both species, there was enrichment of the steroid hormone biosynthesis pathway 4 h after LPS injection, which was consistent with the results of plasma corticosterone assays.

There was overall enrichment of tryptophan metabolism in LPS-treated animals of both species. The magnitude was greatest for *P. leucopus*, which had 30 significant hits out of a possible 41 compounds in the pathway (Fig. 2A). Tryptophan itself was significantly lower in abundance in plasma of LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals than in untreated animals, while in *M. musculus*, it was marginally higher after LPS treatment than in controls (Fig. 2B; Table S1). Tryptophan depletion activates the amino acid sensor GCN2, which leads to increased production of IL-10 and transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) by macrophages and dendritic cells (52). Kynurenine, the product of indole 2,3-dioxygenase (Ido1), was elevated in the LPS-treated animals over controls in both species, but the kynurenine/tryptophan ratio was higher, at 1.75, in the LPS-treated deermice than in controls but lower, at 0.79, in the LPS-treated mice (*P* = 0.004) (Fig. S2; Table S1). Higher kynurenine/tryptophan ratios are associated with anti-inflammatory effects through kynurenine's binding to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor that drives regulatory T cell differentiation (53).

DEGs in each species. We performed RNA-seq on blood, spleen, and liver extracts and then differential gene expression using 24,295 and 35,805 annotated transcripts for *P. leucopus* or *M. musculus*, respectively, as separate reference sets (Dryad repository, https://doi.org/10.7280/D1VX0C). Figure 3 reveals hundreds of genes that were either up- or downregulated in the blood and two organs of each species by the criteria of a \geq 4-fold change and an FDR of <0.05. With the exception of the spleens of *P. leucopus* animals, there were more upregulated than there were downregulated genes in each of the comparisons. The highest numbers of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were in the livers: 1,553 for *P. leucopus* and 3,250 for *M. musculus* animals.

A notable difference in the responses of *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* was consistent with the results of the nitric oxide assays of the plasma of these animals. Inducible nitric oxide synthase or nitric oxide synthase 2 (Nos2) transcript levels were a mean of 493 times higher in the blood of LPS-treated mice than in the controls ($P = 10^{-78}$). But in the blood of deermice, Nos2 expression was barely detectable, and expression of Nos2 was indistinguishable between the two conditions (P = 0.34). In contrast, arginase 1 (Arg1), which by its action reduces the amount of arginine available for Nos2 to produce nitric oxide, was 21 times higher in expression in the blood of LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals than in controls ($P = 10^{-47}$), while in *M. musculus* blood, Arg1 expression



FIG 2 Untargeted metabolomics of plasma of *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* animals with or without LPS treatment 4 h prior. (Top) Scatterplot of pathway enrichments in LPS-treated *P. leucopus* (*y* axis) versus those in LPS-treated *M. musculus* (*x* axis). An enrichment value of 1.0 means that there is no difference in number of compounds in a given pathway between treated and untreated conditions for a species. Data, including identified KEGG terms, are provided in the Dryad repository (https://doi.org/10.7280/D1R70J). Selected pathways are labeled. The color of the symbols indicate the following findings for false discovery rate (FDR) *P* values of <0.05: green, both species; red, *P. leucopus*; and orange, *M. musculus*. The coefficient of determination (*R*²) shown is for an unspecified intercept. For consistency with the dashed lines indicating enrichment values of 1.0, the regression line for an intercept of 0.0 is shown. (Bottom) Box-plots of log-transformed plasma tryptophan levels estimated as peak areas in LPS-treated and untreated animals of each species. Two-tailed *t* test *P* values between the two conditions for each species are shown. Data for tryptophan and several of its metabolites are given in Table S1.

4 h after LPS injection was 6 times lower than baseline expression (P = 0.04). This reciprocal expression profile for Nos2 and Arg1 between the two species was also observed in the spleen. The products of Nos2 and Arg1 are informative biomarkers for categorizing polarized macrophage responses (54).

Two other genes whose expression profiles distinguished the species in LPS responses were the genes for integrin-binding sialoprotein (lbsp) and secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor (Slpi). These were first and fourth ranked of the upregulated DEGs in the blood for *P. leucopus*, but they ranked numbers 6,232 and 33,541, respectively, among measured transcripts in the blood for *M. musculus* (Dryad repository https://doi.org/10.7280/D1VX0C). The fold differences between lbsp and Slpi in the LPS-treated deermice and control animals were 2,903 times ($P = 10^{-174}$) and 1,280 times ($P = 10^{-57}$) higher, respectively. In contrast, lbsp was slightly expressed in mice under both conditions (the number of transcripts per million [TPM] was 0.001), and Slpi in expression was lower by $3 \times$ in the treated mice (FDR P = 0.04).



FIG 3 Species- and tissue-specific responses to LPS. Independent differential gene expression analysis of RNA-seq data were performed for blood, spleen, and liver tissues of *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* collected 4 h after injection with LPS or buffer alone as a control. These are represented as volcano plots with range-adjusted scales for the log₂-transformed fold changes on *x* axes and log₁₀-transformed FDR *P* values on *y* axes. Colors of symbols denote the following: red, upregulated gene with an absolute fold change of >4.0 and a *P* value of <0.05; purple, downregulated gene with an absolute fold change of >4.0 and a *P* value of <0.05; and gray, all others. Numbers at the top left and right corners in each plot represent numbers of down- and upregulated genes, respectively. Numerical values for each gene in the 6 data sets are provided at the Dryad repository (https://doi.org/10.7280/D1VX0C).

Sex-specific responses to LPS in P. leucopus. To identify sex-specific responses, the DEG analysis was applied to the RNA-seq of the blood and spleens of P. leucopus animals treated with LPS or saline alone (Table S2). A noncoding RNA (GenBank accession no. XR_003736827) was expressed at orders-of-magnitude-higher levels in females than in males in all tissues. This noncoding RNA (ncRNA) was revealed as the inactive X-specific transcript (Xist), which functions to inactivate genes of one X chromosome of females (55). D1Pas1, an autosomal DEAD box RNA helicase, which is expressed in the testis in mice (56), was markedly lower in expression in all females than in males. Two genes that were expressed by a thousandfold more abundantly in the blood of LPS-treated females than in both control females and the males were those encoding adiponectin receptor 2 (Adipor2) and the X-linked lysine-specific demethylase 6A (Kdm6a). Kdm6a has been implicated in the risk of acquiring autoimmunity and reported to regulate multiple immune response genes (57). Adiponectin, an adipokine, has an anti-inflammatory effect (58), and expression of its receptor is reportedly affected by the macrophage polarization phenotype (59). Adipor2 was also highly expressed in the spleens of LPS-treated females.

Functional processes distinguishing and shared between species. To further define similarities and difference between the responses of the two species to LPS, we identified gene groups categorized by gene ontology (GO) terms as *P. leucopus* specific, *M. musculus* specific, or shared, meaning, within each pairing, the enrichment groups that were up- or downregulated. For this analysis, 14,685 one-to-one orthologues were used for the comparisons. Figure 4 summarizes this analysis for blood,



- Log₁₀ p value

FIG 4 Comparison of *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* animals in their responses to LPS by RNA-seq and categorization of DEGs by gene ontology (GO) term enrichment. In the three scatterplots for blood, spleen, and liver tissues at the top of the figure, \log_2 values for the fold change of *P. leucopus* are plotted against corresponding values for *M. musculus* for each gene in the data set. DEGs specific for *P. leucopus* are indicated by gold symbols, while DEGs specific for *M. musculus* are green. Genes shared between species among the DEGs are in blue. Gray is for all others. *P. leucopus*-specific, *M. musculus*-specific, and shared upregulated genes are in the upper half, right half, or upper right quadrant, respectively, of the plot. GO term enrichment was performed for each group of genes, separating upregulated and downregulated genes for each one of the tissues, accordingly. The colors in the horizontal bar graphs correspond with the colors indicated above. The genes that constitute each of the listed GO terms in the bottom part of the figure are given in Table S3. Pos., positive; reg., regulation; Neg., negative; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; cAMP, cyclic AMP; rec., receptor.

spleen, and liver. The genes associated with each GO term for which there was significant enrichment are listed in Table S3.

In the blood, both species showed upregulation of some components of cytokinemediated signaling and cellular responses to cytokines. For cytokine-associated genes, these included the aconitate decarboxylase 1 (Acod1), chemokine C-X-C motif ligand 10 (Cxcl10), Cxcl11, Il1a, Il10, interleukin 1 receptor (Il1r), interleukin 1 receptor antagonist (II1rn), superoxide dismutase 2 (Sod2), and TNF genes. Neutrophil-associated genes upregulated in the blood of both species included those for CD14 antigen (Cd14), Cd177, formyl peptide receptor 1 (Fpr1), Fpr2, lipocalin 2 (Lcn2), matrix metallopeptidase 8 (Mmp8), Ptx3, and Toll-like receptor 2 (Tlr2). The Peromyscus-specific upregulated profile in the blood featured an expanded set of genes constituting GO terms for neutrophil activities, including arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase (Alox5), Cd33, C-X-C motif receptor 2 (Cxcr2), leucine-rich alpha-2 glycoprotein (Lrg), Mmp9, resistin (Retn), and Slpi. The blood samples of *M. musculus* uniquely featured GO terms related to (i) blood coagulation and fibrinolysis, including apolipoprotein H (Apoh), coagulation factor II (F2), coagulation factor XII (F12), plasminogen (Plg), and protein C (Proc), and (ii) type 1 interferon signaling and cellular responses, including signal transducer and activator of transcription 2 (Stat2) and XIAP-associated factor 1 (Xaf1).

There were 297 DEGs for the spleen that were shared between *Peromyscus* and *Mus* (Table S3), but there were distinguishing features as well. Up-regulated GO terms for the *P. leucopus* spleen were tryptophan metabolic and catabolic processes, specifically Ido1. For *M. musculus*, uniquely associated GO terms that were upregulated in the spleen dealt with production and differentiation of myeloid cells, macrophages, and osteoclasts and included the cytokines IL-12 (II12b) and interleukin 17 members IL-17A (II17a), II17f, and IL-23 (II23a).

In the liver samples, four upregulated GO terms that distinguished the deermice from the mice were phosphatidylserine metabolic process and phosphatidylserine acyl-chain remodeling, which included phospholipase A2, group IIA (Pla2g2a), Pla2g5, and Pla1a; regulation of IL-5 and IL-13 secretion, both of which included the transcription factor GATA binding protein 3 (Gata3) (60); and tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 21 (Tnfrsf21), which reportedly is a determinant of influenza A virus susceptibility in mice (61). Two distinguishing GO terms for downregulated genes in the livers of LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals were response to retinoic acid and cellular response to retinoic acid.

A distinguishing GO term for upregulated genes in *M. musculus* liver was positive regulation of I-kB kinase/NF- κ B signaling, which included the NLR family, apoptosis inhibitory protein 5 or Naip5 (Birc), Ccl19, inhibitor of kappaB kinase epsilon (Ikbke), Il12, Il1 α , LPS-induced TN factor (Litaf), Myd88, receptor tyrosine-kinase orphan receptor 1 (Ror1), Tlr2, and tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily member 10 (Tnfsf10). Distinguishing GO terms for downregulated genes in LPS-treated mouse liver concerned the androgen receptor signaling pathway and included the transcription factor forkhead box H1 (Foxh1) and hairy/enhancer-of-split related with YRPW motif-like (Heyl), which is associated with repression of TGF- β signaling (62).

In summary, this layering of established GO terms over the DEG analysis provided consolidation and further evidence that *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* have much in common in how they respond to LPS in the blood, spleen, and liver in the first few hours. But there were also several distinguishing functional processes. One feature of the *P. leucopus* response that particularly stood out in this analysis was the activation of neutrophils and other phagocytes. Included in the lists of specific genes under all the neutrophil-associated GO terms was the gene for Slpi, which was first identified as an inhibitor of serine proteases, such as neutrophil elastase (63). In *M. musculus*, notable distinguishing GO terms concerned blood coagulation and fibrinolysis, processes that figure in the pathophysiology of sepsis (64).

Gene network patterns across species. To further delineate the gene expression networks without a prior categorization, we performed weighted gene correlation



FIG 5 Four selected Eigengene modules by network analysis of differential responses of *P. leucopus* or *M. musculus* animals to LPS. The different modules are distinguished by the color of the hexadecimal scheme: dark orange 2 for upregulated in LPS-treated *P. leucopus*, dark sea green 4 for comparatively higher expression in untreated *P. leucopus* than in the other three groups, brown 4 for downregulated in both species after LPS treatment, and light blue for upregulated in LPS-treated *M. musculus*. The top 5 GO terms by adjusted *P* value are shown for each module. The DEGs constituting each of the GO term sets from this analysis are listed in Table S4 along with *P* values and odds ratios. The other 20 modules from this analysis are available at the Dryad repository (https://doi.org/10.7280/D1B38G).

network analysis (WGCNA) to empirically identify groups of genes (modules) that distinguished specific species and/or treatments in response to LPS. Gene network analysis allowed identification of patterns across the three tissues. Since blood and the two organs inherently differed in their expression profiles across the genome and one tissue may overshadow the patterns in other tissues, we made a matrix in which each column represented a sample and each row represented a gene per tissue. Each gene had a suffix to identify the tissue of origin. Twenty-four modules were identified, and GO term enrichment was assessed for unique genes for each module. Figure 5 summarizes the results for four modules: darkorange2, darkseagreen3, brown4, and lightblue. The associated GO terms and constituent genes of the four modules are listed in Table S4.

The darkorange module comprised genes that were upregulated in LPS-treated *P. leucopus* and not in either control *P. leucopus* or LPS-treated or control *M. musculus*. The blood made the largest contribution, with 1,472 genes to this module, followed by liver and spleen, with 933 and 776, respectively, each. The highest three ranked GO terms related to neutrophils: neutrophil-mediated immunity, neutrophil activation involved in immune response, and neutrophil degranulation. The three GO terms share several neutrophil-associated genes that were upregulated, including those for lysosomal cysteine proteases cathepsin S (Ctss) and cathepsin B (Ctsb) and lysosomal membrane protein 2 (Lamp2). The Slpi gene was also among the contributing genes for each of the three neutrophil GO terms.

The lightblue module comprised genes that were upregulated in LPS-treated *M. musculus* but not in either control mice or LPS-treated or control *P. leucopus* animals. In

	Control ($n = 8$) mean no.	LPS ($n = 12$) mean no.	
mRNA	of copies (95% Cl)	of copies (95% Cl)	t test P value
Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh)	55,888 (32,512–96,070)	135,970 (75,934–243,471)	>0.05
Nitric oxide synthase 2 (Nos2)	0 (0–0)	5 (1–9)	>0.05
Arginase 1 (Arg1)	310 (199–481)	8,779 (5,185–14,864)	$4 imes 10^{-8}$
Secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor (Slpi)	5 (3–9)	43,524 (29,039–65,235)	$3 imes 10^{-16}$

TABLE 1 RT-gPCR of selected transcripts in blood of P. leucopus animals with and without LPS treatment

contrast to the darkorange module, the liver made the largest contribution to the lightblue module, with 1,170 genes, followed by spleen, with 566 genes, and blood, with 33 genes. The three highest-ranked GO terms for this module were cytokine-associated: cytokine-mediated signaling pathway, cellular response to cytokine stimulus, and response to cytokine. While the darkorange module also included two cytokine-associated GO terms at a lower rank than the three neutrophil-associated GO terms, only a minority of genes (41 of 170) in the combined list overlapped between the two species for the cytokine-mediated signaling pathway GO term. Selected upregulated genes unique to LPS-treated *M. musculus* under this GO term included those for the following: cytokines II1a, II12, II17, II22, II27, II33, and Ifng; the chemokines Ccl5 (RANTES), Cxcl9, and Cxcl13; macrophage markers Cd86 and Cd80; and transcription factors Jak3 and Stat4. Selected DEGs associated with LPS-treated *P. leucopus* for this GO term included those for the following: Alox5, annexin 1 (Anxa1), Casp3, leptin (Lep), Sod2, Tgfb1, and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (Timp1); the cytokines II1b, II10, II19, and interferon beta (Ifn1b); and the transcription factors Gata3, Irf8, Stat1, and Stat3.

The two other modules highlighted in Fig. 5 and Table S4 are the darkseagreen module, which distinguished control *P. leucopus* from the other 3 groups, and the brown module, which featured GO terms that were downregulated in comparison to controls in both sets of LPS-treated animals. For the dark sea green module, the spleen was the major contributor, with 4,791 genes, followed by liver, with 3,189 genes, and blood, with 73 genes. Two GO terms with many genes in common were plasma membrane-bounded cell projection assembly and cilium assembly. For the brown module, the liver RNA-seq with a contribution of 876 genes far out-numbered the contributions of spleen, with 6 genes, or blood, with 3 genes. Genes common to the top three GO terms in this module were all genes for kinases: tyrosine-protein kinase ABL (Abl1), tyrosine-protein kinase CSK (Csk), mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 (Mapk3), and megakaryocyte-associated tyrosine kinase (Matk).

RT-qPCR of Nos2, Arg1, and Slpi. In analyses of RNA-seq data at the gene, pathway, or network level, the Slpi, Nos2, and Arg1 genes were three of the genes that distinguished the species. We confirmed the markedly higher expression of Slpi in *P. leucopus* blood after LPS by reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) of replicate cDNA libraries from the RNA extracts (Table 1). The assays also confirmed the absent-to-low expression of Nos2 in *P. leucopus* blood in both LPS-treated and control animals, as well as the high baseline expression of Arg1 in the deermice and even higher in the LPS-exposed animals (Table 1).

Comparative expression of other genes. We examined other selected coding sequences in a cross-species analysis. Those chosen either typified one of the species' responses to LPS or were shared between species. Some genes were identified through gene-level DEG analyses (Fig. 3). Others were highlighted by GO term analysis (Fig. 4) or module-based analysis (Fig. 5). There was a single reference set that contained the pairs of orthologous protein coding sequences (CDS) of the mRNAs for both *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus*. For each of the 40 animals, there were 15 genes for blood, 40 genes for spleen, and 12 genes for liver samples (Table S5). Density distributions of the individual values in the each of the four experimental groups were plotted for 11 genes in blood, 12 genes in spleen, and 9 genes in liver (Fig. S2). Figure 6 consolidates subsets of these as plots of 9 pairs of genes and presents results for blood, spleen, and liver.

Up to a certain point, events after exposure to LPS appear similar in both species.



FIG 6 Correlations of pairs of selected genes of *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* from the RNA-seq analysis of Table S3 and Fig. S2. The 9 scatterplots are log₁₀ values of normalized unique reads of one coding sequence against another for each of the four groups: control *M. musculus* (MC), LPS-treated *M. musculus* (ML), control *P. leucopus* (PC), and LPS-treated *P. leucopus* (PL). Each group is represented by a different symbol.

Responses for both deermice and mice were highly correlated between the genes for TNF and IL-1 β in the spleen and between the genes for the acute-phase reactants C-reactive protein, haptoglobin, and serum amyloid A (65) (Fig. 6; Fig. S2; Table S5). A couple of representative genes that were upregulated in both species but to a much greater extent in deermice were the Ptx3 and Ido1 genes. Pentraxin 3 is a member of a pattern recognition family involved in innate responses, and IDO1 is the rate-limiting enzyme of tryptophan catabolism by the kynurenine pathway. Untreated *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* displayed similar expression levels for the genes for the mitochondrial iron/manganese superoxide dismutase 2 (Sod2), an antioxidant, and arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase 5 (Alox5), which transforms essential fatty acids into leukotrienes. Both Alox5 and Sod2 were 10-fold higher in expression in the blood of LPS-treated deermice but about the same in all mice (Fig. 6; Fig. S2; Table S5). The difference between untreated animals and LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals was even more striking for Slpi and lbsp in the blood.

The previously noted discordance between the species in Nos2 and Arg1 expression was observed in this analysis as well. The profile of high Nos2-low Arg1 expression in response to LPS indicated a type M1 macrophage response in the mouse, while the low-Nos2-high-Arg1 profile in *P. leucopus* was more typical of the type M2 macrophage response. Further evidence of a dichotomy corresponding to polarized macrophage categories was the II10 to II12 ratio in the spleen (66) (Fig. 6 and Table S5). In control *M. musculus* animals, the mean ratio was 0.036 (95% CI, 0.026 to 0.046), rising 10-fold to 0.361 (95% CI, 0.251 to 0.471) in LPS-treated animals. The baseline II10 to II12 ratio of 3.47 (95% CI, 2.32 to 4.63) was a hundredfold higher in control deermice than in their mouse counterparts. For LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals, the ratio was 191 (95% CI, 123 to 259), many hundredfold higher than in LPS-treated *M. musculus* mice.

Gauges of neutrophil or other white blood cell activity in the blood were Itgam

(also known as CD11b), Fcgr1 (CD64), Fcgr2b (CD32), Cd177, and Mmp8 (Table S5; Fig. 6; Fig. S2). In mice treated with LPS, Itgam and Fcgr2b expression was either marginally lower than in controls or unchanged, while both genes were upregulated by severalfold in the LPS-treated deermice (Table S5). Fcgr1 expression was higher in treated mice than in controls but in deermice was undetectable under both conditions (Table S5). The pair Cd177 and Mmp8 provided the best discrimination between the two species (Fig. 6). Values for Cd177 and Mmp8 were tightly associated ($R^2 = 0.87$) over the entire range for both species.

One of the genes associated with responses to LPS was growth differentiation factor 15 (Gdf15), which, among its ascribed functions, mediates tissue tolerance through triglyceride metabolism (67), but this gene was not annotated in the current genome assembly for *P. leucopus*; consequently, a corresponding transcript was absent from the reference set. Using the liver RNA-seq data, we performed a targeted analysis with the *M. musculus* coding sequence for Gdf15 and, as a substitute for *P. leucopus*, the orthologue in *P. maniculatus* (Fig. S2; Table S5). The log₁₀-transformed normalized reads were higher in LPS-treated mice than in controls: 2.7 (95% CI, 2.5 to 2.9) versus 1.9 (95% CI, 1.8 to 2.1) (*P* = 0.0004). This is an average fold change of 5.2. For the deermice, the reads in log₁₀ values for controls were nearly identical to those for control mice: 1.9 (95% CI, 1.8 to 2.1). In contrast to mice, numbers were marginally lower in LPS-treated deermice: 1.8 (95% CI, 1.7 to 1.8). The difference between the species in the Gdf15 response was largely attributable to higher values among treated male mice (Fig. S2).

Diversity of responses within the populations. We investigated whether the outbred deermice were more heterogeneous in their responses than the inbred BALB/c mice with a pairwise analysis of coefficients of determination (R^2) among the 24 animals that received LPS. We used spleen because of 150-nucleotide (nt) reads for this tissue and similar numbers of up- and downregulated DEGs in both species (Fig. 3). With self-pairings excluded, there were 66 pairs each for P. leucopus or M. musculus and 144 cross-species pairs. The genes were those for Alox5, Ccl2, Csf2, Csf3, Cxcl11, Gapdh (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase), Hmox1, II1b, II1rn, II6, Lcn2, MT-Co1, Nfe2l2, Slpi, Sod2, Tgfb1, and Tnf, and the data were drawn from Table S5. The median fold difference between P. leucopus and M. musculus for these genes was 1.2. Figure 7 shows the distributions of R^2 values of the sets of pairs. As expected, correlations were lower between mixed-species pairs than between intraspecies pairs (upper panel); the median R^2 was 0.701. The seven highest intraspecies pairwise R^2 values (0.987 to 0.994) were observed among the M. musculus mice, and the median of the 66 R^2 values was marginally higher, at 0.954, for the mice than the 0.948 for the deermice, but, overall, P. leucopus animals in this experiment were not notably more diverse in their responses than were the inbred mice under the same conditions (P = 0.68; Mann-Whitney P = 0.19), an indication that the discriminating DEGs, enrichment GO terms, and modules were not wholly or partially attributable to greater variances among the deermice than the mice.

RNA-seq of older *P. leucopus* **animals in response to LPS.** We found similar profiles in blood and spleen samples of older animals in an experiment that was carried out before the deermouse-mouse comparison but under the same conditions: a 10- μ g/g dose or buffer alone as a control and samples taken after 4 h. The 16 animals (12 females) had a median age of 81 weeks, with a range of 54 to 94 weeks (Table A1). Nine animals received LPS and seven buffer alone. Figure S3 comprises box plots of log-transformed normalized unique reads for pairs of genes in either the blood or the spleen of these animals. We observed again in this second experiment low baseline and after-treatment expression of Nos2 in the blood but high baseline expression of Arg1, with a further increase in the LPS-treated animals (Table S6). Both Slpi and lbsp increased more than a hundredfold in expression in the blood after LPS. In the spleen, II1b, Tnf, II6, II10, Ccl2, Cxcl11, Csf2, and Csf3 all were increased in the LPS-treated animals, as were two genes that notably were more upregulated in the samples from



FIG 7 Assessment of diversity among individual animals by species in transcriptional responses to LPS for 17 genes. Pairwise coefficients of determination (R^2) were calculated for the 66 intraspecies pairs for LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals, the 66 intraspecies pairs for LPS-treated *M. musculus* animals, and the 144 interspecies pairs for all LPS-treated animals. Data were drawn from selective transcripts from RNA-seq for the spleen (Table S5). The top panel is a frequency distribution of R^2 values for all pairwise determinations. The bottom panel shows just the distributions of intraspecies pairwise determinations.

young *P. leucopus* deermice than in comparably aged *M. musculus* mice: the Ido1 and Ptx3 genes.

Systemic bacterial infection. The simplicity of the LPS model of inflammation was a strength, but how representative was it of a bacterial infection in terms of the findings to this point? We chose the relapsing fever agent Borrelia hermsii, because Peromyscus species are natural reservoirs for the species (68), and because it has lipoproteins, instead of LPS, that are the ligands for Toll-like receptor signaling (69, 70). For this question, five P. leucopus were infected with the relapsing fever agent Borrelia hermsii on day 0. Another 3 animals received buffer alone. Infection of the blood was directly confirmed by microscopy on day 4. The animals were euthanized on day 5, just before the appearance of neutralizing antibody was anticipated (71). The infected animals had enlarged spleens, as well as large numbers of bacteria in the spleens, as assessed by quantitative PCR (Table S7A). We used previously collected but unanalyzed PE100 reads for the blood of these animals (33). As noted in the two LPS experiments, Nos2 expression was hardly detectable in either the controls or the infected animals. On the other hand, Arg1 expression was high at baseline and further elevated by day 5 of infection. Two genes of P. leucopus that clearly distinguished this species from M. musculus in its short-term response to LPS were the Slpi and Ibsp genes; both of these were a hundredfold more highly expressed in infected animals than in controls.

RNA-seq was carried out with the same reference set and settings as for the samples in a comparative response study. Given the smaller sample size of the infection study, we focused on more highly expressed genes. Of the 46,154 transcripts in the full reference set, 1,773 had mean TPM values of \geq 50 in at least one of the two groups in each experiment. The latter set was used as the basis for determining and then comparing fold changes between the LPS condition with a duration in hours and the systemic bacterial infection condition with a duration in days (Table S7B). Figure 8 summarizes the pairwise fold changes for individual genes. Two-thirds of the DEGs in the infection experiment were also represented among the DEGs in the LPS experiment. We noted again the substantial upregulation of Slpi and Ibsp. Other coding sequences upregulated under both conditions were Acod1, Alox5, Arg1, Cxcl2, Il1rn, Il1b, Lcn2, and Mmp8. A discordant DEG was dual-specificity phosphatase (Dusp), which has been implicated in regulating lipid metabolism during sepsis (43).

LPS treatment of *P. leucopus* fibroblasts. Low-passage-number cultures of fibroblasts, a type of stromal cell isolated from ear tissues from five LL stock deermice, were



FIG 8 Comparison of DEGs of RNA-seq of *P. leucopus* deermice treated with LPS and *P. leucopus* animals systemically infected with the bacterial agent *Borrelia hermsii*. There were 12 LPS-treated animals with 8 controls and 5 infected animals with 3 controls (Table S7). (A) Venn diagram of numbers of DEGs in each experiment and the overlap between them (B) scatterplot of \log_2 -transformed fold changes between study and control conditions for the infection experiment (*y* axis) versus the short-term LPS experiment (*x* axis). Selected genes are indicated with a label adjacent to a red symbol for the data point.

split into pairs. Then one member of each pair was treated with LPS for 4 h, and the other member was treated with saline alone. Of the 46,141 transcripts in the reference set, 18,462 had a mean TPM of >1 in either the control or the LPS group, and these were used in the DEG analysis (Dryad repository, https://doi.org/10.7280/D1MD69). For protein coding sequences, the 10 highest numbers of TPM, in order, among control samples were for ferritin heavy chain (Fth), Slpi, secreted protein acidic and cysteine rich (Sparc), eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha (Eef1a1), ferritin light chain (Ftl), vimentin (Vim), serpin family H member 1 (Serpinh1), ribosomal protein lateral stalk subunit P1 (Rplp1), collagen type I alpha 1 chain (Col1a1), and Gapdh. There were 324 genes that were upregulated by the criterion of a fold change of \geq 4 and an FDR of <0.05, and 17 genes that were downregulated, with an additional 80 downregulated by the criterion of a fold change of ≥ 2 and an FDR of < 0.5 (Fig. 9). Among those displaying the marked increases in expression between the control and LPS conditions were two subunits of nuclear factor kappa B (Nfkb), two forms of Saa, Nos2, Csf3, Sod2, and Pla2g2a, which is associated with inflammation during systemic bacterial infection (72). Slpi transcripts were not only surprisingly abundant in the fibroblast cultures under usual cultivation conditions, but expression further increased by 1.5-fold (95%



FIG 9 Volcano plot of RNA-seq results for pairs of *P. leucopus* fibroblast cultures with or without exposure to LPS. Fold changes for 543 genes are given on the *x* axis, and false discovery rate *P* values are given on the *y* axis. For conciseness, the upper limit for the $-\log_{10}$ values for this graph was 13.5. The exact or approximate locations of selected differentially expressed genes are shown. Numerical values for each gene in the data set are provided in the Dryad repository (https://doi.org/10.7280/D1MD69).

Cl, 1.2- to 1.9-fold) in those pair members exposed to LPS (P = 0.02). Expression of Nos2 increased 792-fold (95% Cl, 124- to 5,073-fold) in the LPS-treated fibroblasts between paired specimens ($P = 10^{-5}$). This was evidence that the absent or scant expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase that we observed in both control and LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals was not attributable to a genotypic incapacity to transcribe the Nos2 gene.

Conjunctivitis. After identification of a variety of DEGs under conditions of LPS treatment of whole animals and isolated cells or of systemic infection, we returned to the disease sign that we observed in *P. leucopus* but not in *M. musculus*, namely, conjunctivitis severe enough to cause eyelid closure within 2 to 4 h of the LPS injection. Six of 12 animals receiving the LPS overtly manifested conjunctivitis. Table 2 lists DEGs in the blood or spleen from RNA-seq with absolute fold changes between animals with conjunctivitis and those without of >2 and FDR values of ≤0.05. Of note, in the blood of deermice with conjunctivitis, we found 21-fold-higher expression of Cxcl13, 12-fold-higher expression of Ccl6, but 46-fold-lower expression of lysozyme (with an FDR of <10⁻⁴), an antimicrobial enzyme and constituent of tears that coat and protect the conjunctiva (73). In spleen samples, the conjunctivitis animals was distinguished by 6-to 7-fold-higher expression (FDR, <0.005) of two forms of carbonic anhydrase, an enzyme of relevance for the eye disorder glaucoma, because of its role in producing the aqueous humor in the eye (74).

DISCUSSION

The study yielded abundant information about a small mammal that is emerging as an informative model organism, not only for the study of pathogenesis and immunology of infectious diseases but also for the fields of aging, behavior, ecology, and reproductive biology. The question driving this investigation was how do deermice largely avoid morbidity and mortality when infected with pathogens that otherwise are disabling if not fatal for humans? "Sickness" has various definitions, including one operationally based on behavior for animal studies (75). *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* animals became equivalently sick by the behavioral criteria of reduced activity, mutual huddling, and lower food intake. Nevertheless, under conditions that frequently led to death or the moribund state in various strains of *M. musculus* in several studies, *P.*

Specimen	Protein designation	Fold change	FDR <i>P</i> value	Product
Blood	Cxcl13	20.7	4.0E-02	C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 13
	Serpine1	17.2	4.0E-02	Serpin family E member 1
	Fn1	13.0	5.0E-02	Fibronectin 1
	Cd5l	12.9	5.0E-02	CD5 molecule like
	Ccl6	11.7	2.0E-02	C-C motif chemokine 6
	Serpinb2	9.4	4.0E-02	Serine peptidase inhibitor, clade B, member 2
	Ecm1	7.5	4.8E-03	Extracellular matrix protein 1
	Cyb5r3	5.5	1.3E-05	NADH-cytochrome b5 reductase 3
	Ecm1	5.2	2.0E-02	Extracellular matrix protein 1
	Lyz2	-45.8	5.6E-05	Lysozyme C-2
Spleen	Ahsp	14.0	4.4E-04	Alpha hemoglobin-stabilizing protein
	Slc4a1	12.5	2.0E-03	Solute carrier family 4 member 1
	Hemgn	11.6	2.0E-03	Hemogen
	Hmbs	9.0	9.5E-04	Hydroxymethylbilane synthase
	Epb42	8.8	2.7E-03	Erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.2
	Tmcc2	8.3	2.6E-03	Transmembrane and coiled-coil domain family 2
	Ca2	6.7	9.0E-04	Carbonic anhydrase 2
	Gypa	6.0	5.9E-03	Glycophorin A
	Rrm2	5.6	3.0E-04	Ribonucleotide reductase regulatory subunit M2
	Ca1	5.5	2.5E-03	Carbonic anhydrase 1
	Dhrs11	5.5	2.7E-03	Dehydrogenase/reductase 11
	Slc25a37	5.2	9.7E-03	Solute carrier family 25 member 37
	Alox15	4.9	5.0E-02	Arachidonate 15
	Fech	4.9	3.8E-03	Ferrochelatase
	Срох	4.2	3.7E-03	Coproporphyrinogen oxidase
	Prxl2a	4.0	4.0E-02	Peroxiredoxin-like 2A
	Aqp1	3.9	1.5E-03	Aquaporin 1
	Urod	3.9	5.3E-03	Uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase
	Selenbp1	3.8	2.0E-03	Selenium binding protein 1
	Ranbp10	3.7	6.0E-03	RAN binding protein 10
	Gstm3	3.6	4.0E-03	Glutathione S-transferase mu 3
	Pnpo	3.5	6.9E-03	Pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate oxidase
	Хро7	3.5	8.6E-03	Exportin 7
	Cat	3.4	6.3E-03	Catalase
	Mkrn1	3.3	5.0E-02	Makorin ring finger protein 1
	Glrx5	2.8	3.0E-02	Glutaredoxin 5
	Rad23a	2.6	1.0E-02	RAD23 homolog A, nucleotide excision repair
	Tfrc	2.4	4.0E-02	Transferrin receptor
	Hmgb2	2.4	2.0E-02	High-mobility group box 2
	Gypcc	2.2	2.0E-02	Glycophorin C
	Prdx2	2.0	4.0E-02	Peroxiredoxin 2
	Serpina3n	-2.0	7.5E-04	Serine protease inhibitor A3N
	Sirpb1b	-2.2	5.0E-02	Signal-regulatory protein beta 1
	H2–Q10	-2.3	6.2E-03	H-2 class I histocompatibility, Q10 alpha

leucopus animals receiving the same or even much higher doses of LPS pulled through and recovered.

To address this central question, we used RNA-seq of whole blood, spleen, and liver. The study did not specifically examine differences in mRNA isoforms or small noncoding RNAs. These results were complemented by analysis of a limited set of coding sequences, specific RT-qPCR assays, direct detection of the compounds in the blood, and metabolomics. The most extensive experiment was on the responses among several young adult animals of both sexes to a single dose of LPS at approximately the LD₅₀ for mice, but we obtained similar results with *P. leucopus* of considerably older age under the same conditions and with animals that had systemic bacterial infections of 5 days' duration. While we noted some differences between the sexes of *P. leucopus* animals in the experiment, for the most part, females and males responded the same to LPS, at least in the short term and at these doses. The experiment with *P. leucopus* fibroblast cells in culture exposed to LPS showed that the some of the findings, such as high expression of Slpi, are reproduced *in vitro* and, thus, feasibly exploited by transgenic and silencing technologies.

Integration of the metabolomics results for plasma with the transcriptomics results for the three tissues was necessarily limited. The sources of some of the metabolites in the blood would likely have been organs, e.g., the adrenal glands, or gut microbiota not subjected to RNA-seq. Nevertheless, metabolomics confirmed that *P. leucopus* responded similarly to *M. musculus* in many respects to the same dose of LPS, as well as in corticosteroid biosynthesis. The evidence of greater catabolism of tryptophan by the kynurenine pathway in the LPS-treated deermice than in mice was associated with comparatively higher expression of Ido1 in the deermice.

One of the striking differences between the two species in their responses to LPS was overall predominance in the GO term and *de novo* module analyses of neutrophilassociated genes for P. leucopus and cytokine-associated genes for M. musculus (Fig. 4 and 5). The blood was the greatest contributor to the set of genes that distinguished LPS-treated P. leucopus animals from treated and untreated M. musculus animals and from control deermice, while the liver provided the majority of genes that distinguished LPS-treated M. musculus mice from deermice and from control mice. A limitation of the study was that complete blood cell counts were not terminally performed; this was to ensure sufficient RNA for high-coverage sequencing and plasma for untargeted metabolomics. It is possible that the prominence of neutrophil-associated GO terms in LPS-treated deermice was accounted for by higher numbers and proportions of neutrophils in the blood of deermice than in the blood of mice. But this is not likely the sole explanation. Healthy animals of the LL stock population of P. leucopus at the Peromyscus Genetic Stock Center (PGSC) had lower absolute numbers and proportions of neutrophils than what was reported for the BALB/c population from which our animals were drawn (76, 77) (see Materials and Methods). A study of a separate breeding colony of LL stock P. leucopus animals also reported comparatively low absolute numbers and proportions of neutrophils among healthy adult deermice of both sexes (78). In addition, if there was a difference between species in overall white cell activity 4 h after injection of LPS, this was not reflected in total mitochondrion reads, which represented the number of mitochondria and transcription by individual mitochondria in the blood sample. Values declined in both species from baseline by about the same proportion.

The comparatively heightened neutrophil transcriptional activity in *P. leucopus* paralleled the appearance of conjunctivitis with purulent exudates in the eyes of deermice but not mice. While increased activity of neutrophils may lead to tissue damage from elastase and other proteases and from reactive oxygen species, this may be ameliorated in the deermice by such factors as the leukocyte protease inhibitor Slpi and Sod2, a defense against oxygen radicals.

Slpi is a nonglycosylated 12-kDa cationic, cysteine-rich protein, which is known to be increased in expression in response to LPS and lipoteichoic acids and under the stimulus of TNF and IL-1 β (79). It has an inhibitory effect on the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (80). Slpi inhibits neutrophil proteases like cathepsins and elastase but also prevents degradation of the NF- κ B inhibitory proteins I κ B α and I κ B β through its antiprotease activity (81). Slpi knockout or deficient animals had impaired wound healing and increased inflammation (82), elevated Nos2 activity in macrophages (83), and increased susceptibility to LPS-induced shock (84).

While LPS-treated *P. leucopus* animals exhibited increased expression of the TLR signaling adapter MyD88 and downstream inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, TNF, and IL-1 β , to approximately the same degree as in *M. musculus*, this was countered by greater expression of cytokines associated with M2 polarization, such as IL-10 and TGF β , as well as other anti-inflammatory mediators, like annex A1 (85), in the context of lesser expression of IL-12 and IFN- γ . The GO term cytokine-mediated signaling pathway served to distinguish both LPS-treated *P. leucopus* and LPS-treated *M. musculus*

animals from their untreated counterparts (Fig. 5), but the sets of constituent genes that populated the GO term for each species overlapped for only a minority (Table S4).

Another notable difference was the contrasting expression of arginase 1 and inducible nitric oxide synthase, or Nos2, in the two species. In this phenotype, which distinguishes deermice from mice under the same conditions, P. leucopus resembled another cricetine rodent, the golden hamster (Mesocricetus auratus), during infection with Leishmania donovani (86, 87). This phenotype can also be mapped to macrophage polarization, where type M1 macrophages generally feature high Nos2 and low Arg1 expression and where most varieties of type M2, or alternatively activated macrophages, are characterized by low Nos2 and high Arg1 (54). The differences between species in their IL-10/IL-12 ratios before and after LPS were also consistent with a greater disposition of P. leucopus to a type M2 response (Fig. 6) (66). Further categorization into the M2 subtypes recognized in mice was inconclusive at this point. Nos2 gene knockout mice are less likely to succumb to the toxicity of LPS, but at the cost of greater susceptibility to Listeria monocytogenes or to Listeria major infections (50, 88). While experimental infections with these particular intracellular pathogens have not been reported for P. leucopus, this species has not been notably at risk of morbidity or mortality from the obligate intracellular bacterial pathogen Anaplasma granulocytophilia (89) or the Powassan encephalitis virus (90), which utilizes P. leucopus as a reservoir.

Integrin-binding sialoprotein (Ibsp), also known as bone sialoprotein 2 (BSP2), is a revelatory case in this study. There were marked increases in the expression of its gene in *P. leucopus* but not in *M. musculus* upon exposure to LPS. The lbsp protein has predominantly been associated with bone and tooth morphogenesis and not with inflammation or innate immunity. Consequently, it is not expected to be included in innate immunity and inflammation pathways or GO terms that distinguish LPS-treated from untreated animals. However, there is justification for viewing Ibsp as *P. leucopus'* functional substitute for osteopontin or bone sialoprotein 1 (BSP1), another small integrinbinding glycoprotein that is heavily modified posttranslationally (91). Both BSP1 and BSP2 bind to alpha v beta 3 integrins, and their genes are within 100 kb of each other on chromosome 4 of humans and chromosome 22 of *P. leucopus*. Osteopontin is a biomarker of sepsis in humans (92) and reportedly acts through Stat1 degradation to inhibit Nos2 transcription (93).

Could observed differences between the two species in these experiments be attributable in part to differences in their microbiomes? The gut metagenomes were determined from preexperiment fecal pellets from the animals in this study (35). In general, the deermice and mice had similar distributions and frequencies of bacteria at the taxonomic level of the family and in the representation and proportions of different biosynthetic, metabolic, catabolic, and regulatory functions, but there were also substantive differences in the gut microbiotas between the species that plausibly account for some distinguishing responses to LPS. The first was the presence in the intestine in P. leucopus, but not in M. musculus, of a protozoan, provisionally a new species of the parabasalid genus Tritrichomonas. The presence of Tritrichomonas muris in some populations of the same inbred strains of *M. musculus* mice altered their cellular immune responses to other microorganisms and antigens (94). Further distinguishing the gut microbiota was the greater abundance and diversity in P. leucopus of Lactobacillus spp. (35). In two studies on Lactobacillus spp. as probiotics, feeding of mice with Lactobacillus paracasei or Lactobacillus plantarum resulted in less inflammation in controls when they were challenged with an influenza virus or Klebsiella pneumoniae (95, 96). In the present study, a tryptophan metabolite in the plasma that distinguished the two species was indolepropionic acid (Table S1 and Fig. S1), which in controls was \sim 4-fold-greater in abundance in deermice than in mice (*P*=0.003). This compound is the metabolic product of certain intestinal bacteria (97) and reportedly has anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties (98).

In summary, P. leucopus differed in many respects from M. musculus in these

experiments in its response to LPS. Under conditions in which about half the mice were expected to die within 48 h, the deermice survived the acute insult and recovered, a phenomenon that modeled the tolerance of these animals to infection. Simple pairwise variables characterizing the two species' different responses to LPS were the ratios of Arg1 to Nos2 transcripts, IL-10 to IL-12 transcripts, and kynurenine to tryptophan metabolites. In their outcomes after LPS exposure, the naive *P. leucopus* animals resembled *M. musculus* animals that had become accustomed to LPS by prior exposure to a low dose of LPS (99). A characteristic profile of these pretreated mice is comparatively lesser expression of proinflammatory mediators and greater expression of genes of phagocytes (100), similar to what we observed in naive *P. leucopus*.

Whether this points to a single pathway or even a single gene remains to be determined, but we doubt that the phenomenon of infection tolerance can be reduced to a simple explanation. The evidence rather is of multiple adaptive traits representing different aspects of innate immunity, metabolism, oxidative stress management, and perhaps the microbiome that serve to sustain *P. leucopus* populations amid the varied infectious agents that they face. This is also to the benefit of these agents in this trade-off, because it renders *P. leucopus* a competent vertebrate reservoir for them and one of impact for human populations in areas of endemicity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Adult outbred *P. leucopus* of the LL stock were obtained from the Peromyscus Genetic Stock Center (PGSC) of the University of South Carolina (http://stkctr.biol.sc.edu, accessed 10 February 2021). The LL stock colony was founded with 38 animals captured near Linville, NC, between 1982 and 1985 and has been closed since 1985. Sib-matings are avoided, and complete pedigree records are kept. Animals of the LL stock have mitochondria with the same genome sequence with little or no heteroplasmy (33). Adult BALB/CANNCrl (BALB/c) and C.B.17 strain severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) *M. musculus* mice were purchased from Charles River. The SCID mice were used for propagation of bacteria for infections (see below). The *P. leucopus* deermice in the study were drawn from an LL stock population at the PGSC with a mean total number of white blood cells per microliter of blood of 8,600 and absolute numbers (percentages of total white blood cells) of neutrophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes of 510 (5.9%), 8,000 (93%), and 90 (1.0%), respectively, per μ l (76). The BALB/c mice were obtained from a population with a reported mean of 9,250 white blood cells per μ l of blood and corresponding values for neutrophils, lymphocytes, and monocytes of 1,880 (20%), 6,600 (71%), and 640 (7%), respectively, for 8- to 10-week-old animals (77). All animals in the LPS experiments spent at least 2 weeks at the UCI facilities before the experiment.

Animals were maintained in the AAALAC-accredited UC Irvine vivarium, with 2 to 5 animals per cage according to sex and on a 12-h-light/1-h-darkness lighting schedule, at a temperature of 21 to 23°C and a humidity of 30 to 70%, with water *ad libitum*, and with a diet of 8604 Teklad Rodent (Harlan Laboratories). Prior to injections, animals were lightly anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane in the presence of 2 liters/min oxygen. The rodents were euthanized by carbon dioxide overdose and intracardiac exsanguination at the termination of the experiment or if they were moribund, unable to eat or drink, or otherwise distressed. Dissection was carried out immediately. Instruments were cleaned first and then sterilized between dissections.

The study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations in the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* of the National Institutes of Health (101). University of California Irvine protocol AUP-18-020 was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). The protocol for the comparative study of *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* animals for responses to LPS after 4 h was in addition approved by the Animal Care and Use Review Office of the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command. *P. leucopus* animals studied at the PGSC were under IACUC-approved protocol 2349-101211-041917 of the University of South Carolina.

Tables A1 and A2 of the Appendix, respectively, provide information on each of the animals in the LPS experiments and corresponding National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) BioProject and BioSample identifying numbers and descriptions for these samples. The gut metagenomes from feces collected from the 20 *P. leucopus* and 20 *M. musculus* animals 1 to 2 days before the comparative experiment have previously been described (35) and are available from the MG-RAST database (https://www.mg-rast.org) under accession numbers mgm4832531 to mgm4832578.

LPS susceptibility and dose responses. Thirty adult *P. leucopus* deermice, divided into five groups of three females and three males, were each injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) on day 0 with a 50- μ l volume of *Escherichia coli* O111:B4 LPS purified by ion exchange and with <1% protein and <1% RNA (Sigma-Aldrich; catalog L3024), which was diluted in sterile, endotoxin-free 0.9% saline (Sigma-Aldrich) to achieve the following doses in milligrams per kilogram of body weight: 10, 50, 100, 200, and 300. The doses were administered in randomized order over the period from 1400 to 1700 h of a single day. Animals were returned to their cages with *ad libitum* food and water and then monitored every 12 h for the following signs: reduced activity by criterion of huddling with little or no movement for >5 min, ruffled fur (piloerection), hyperpnea or rapid respiration rate, and conjunctivitis by the criterion of closed

eyes with crusting observable on the eyelids. The primary endpoint was death during the period between observations or the moribund state (immobility, rapid respiration, and inability to feed or drink) at the scheduled monitoring time or when notified in the interim by vivarium attendants.

Single-dose LPS comparison. Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and injected i.p. with a single dose of *E. coli* O111:B4 LPS at a concentration of 10 mg/kg body weight in a 50- μ l volume as described above. The control group was anesthetized and then injected with the 0.9% saline alone. The experiment started at 0800 h, with 10-min intervals between animals and with alteration of LPS and control injections. At 4.0 h after their injection, the animals were euthanized. After the chest was opened, exsanguination was performed by cardiac puncture and blood was transferred to a heparin sulfate-coated tube (Becton, Dickinson Microtainer). Anticoagulated blood was centrifuged to pellet blood cells for 3 min at 4,600 × *g* at 4°C. Plasma and blood pellets were kept separately at -80° C until further analysis. Liver and spleen were extracted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C until RNA extraction.

Experimental infection. Infection of a group of adult *P. leucopus* deermice of the LL stock with the relapsing fever agent *Borrelia hermsii* strain MTW of genomic group II was described by Barbour et al. (33). *Peromyscus* species are natural hosts of genomic group II strains of *B. hermsii* (68). In brief, animals were anesthetized and then injected on day 0 with 10^3 bacteria divided between the i.p. and subcutaneous routes in $50-\mu$ I volumes of PBS and diluted plasma from infected SCID mice, as described previously (102). On day 4, a drop of tail vein blood was mixed with PBS and examined as a wet mount by phase-contrast microscopy to confirm infection. On day 5, animals were euthanized with carbon dioxide and terminal exsanguination. Whole blood was dispensed into heparin-coated tubes, and the spleens were removed by dissection, weighed, and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Confirmation of infection and quantitation of bacterial burdens in the spleens were carried by quantitative PCR, as described previously (103).

Fibroblast culture and LPS treatment. Fresh ear punches were collected from five LL stock *P. leucopus* animals (2 females and 3 males) during routine marking procedures at the time of weaning at ~3 weeks of age. The PGSC identification numbers (and mating pair for each animal) were 22608 (H-1075), 22609 (H-1075), 22610 (H-1121), 22611 (H-1121), and 22614 (H-1127). After the ear punch tissue was bathed in 70% ethanol for 2 min, it was placed in RPMI 1640 medium (HyClone FetalClone II; Thermo Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, and 0.292 μ g/ml L-glutamine (HyClone) as previously described (104). Ear punches were minced and then treated with 2 mg/ml collagenase type I (Millipore) for 1 h. Undigested debris was removed once cells were visible. The disassociated cells were cultivated in the same medium at 37°C and in 5% CO₂. Cells were passed when adherent layers reached 90% confluence and for no more than 7 passages. For the experiment, individual cultures were split into pairs, and they were incubated at initial concentrations of 3 × 10⁵ cells per well for 24 h. *E. coli* O111:B4 LPS or saline alone was added to the medium for a final LPS concentration of 1 μ g/ml, and then the incubation was continued for 4 h. After disassociation of the fibroblast layer with trypsin and then addition of RNAlater (Thermo Scientific), the cells were harvested and stored at a concentration of ~10⁶/ml in -80° C until RNA extraction.

Analyses of blood. Nitric oxide was analyzed from frozen plasma samples using a nitric oxide assay kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions; the nitrite concentration was calculated based on standard curve measuring optical density at 540 nm on a plate reader (BioTek Synergy2). Corticosterone was measured colorimetrically in plasma using DetectX corticosterone enzyme immunoassay kit K014-H1 (Arbor Assays). After incubation, the reaction was read at 450 nm on a BioTek Synergy2 plate reader.

Metabolomics. Untargeted detection and analysis of metabolites in plasma of P. leucopus and M. musculus were carried out essentially as described previously (105). In brief, 40-µl volumes of plasma, which had been stored frozen at -80° C, were extracted with $120 \,\mu$ l methanol containing as internal standards phenylalanine d5 (175 ng/ml), 1-methyl tryptophan (37.5 ng/ml), and arachidonoyl amide (30 ng/ml). After precipitated proteins were removed by centrifugation, the supernatant was dried under vacuum and then suspended in 50% methanol. Aliquots of $10 \,\mu$ l were subjected to high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) and quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Q-TOF-MS) with periodic inclusion of pooled samples for quality control. Metabolites were separated on an Agilent Technologies Poroshell C_e column (100 by 2.1 mm, 2.7 μ m) with a gradient of acetonitrile and water, both containing 0.1% formic acid, with an Agilent 1260 HPLC pump under conditions previously described (105). The eluent was introduced into an Agilent Technologies 6520 Q-TOF-MS instrument equipped with an electrospray ionization source. The parameters for the analysis were a capillary voltage of 4,000 V, a fragmentor voltage of 120 V, gas at 310°C, a gas flow of 10 liters/min, and a nebulizer pressure of 45 lb/in² (gauge). Data were acquired in the positive ion mode at a scan range of 75 to 1,700 for the mass-tocharge ratio (m/z) and at a rate of 1.67 spectra per second. The raw data were deposited at the Metabolomics Workbench repository (https://www.metabolomicsworkbench.org) (https://doi.org/10 .21228/M8PH55).

The raw data files were converted to the XML-based mzML format with ProteoWizard (106). The files were then processed for peak picking, grouping, and retention time correction with the XCMS suite of software (107). The centWave algorithm was applied to detect chromatographic peaks with the following parameter settings: \leq 30 ppm for *m*/*z* deviation in consecutive peaks, a signal-to-noise ratio of 10, a prefilter setting of 3 scans with peak intensities of \geq 750, and 10 to 45 s for peak width. Molecular features (MF), defined by *m*/*z* and retention time, were grouped across samples using a bandwidth of 15 and an overlapping *m*/*z* slice of 0.02. Retention time correction was performed with the retcor algorithm of XCMS. Finally, peak area was normalized by the median fold normalization method as previously described (108).

Pathway enrichment analysis was performed with Mummichog network analysis software v. 2 (109), which predicts functional activity from spectral feature tables without *a priori* identification of

metabolites. This was implemented online at MetaboAnalyst (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca/). The parameter settings were a mass accuracy of 20 ppm, a positive ion mode, and a cutoff *P* value of 0.05 for the Fisher's exact test of observed and expected hits of a given pathway. Pathway enrichment in Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; https://www.genome.jp/kegg/) terms was determined separately for the *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* sets of LPS-treated and control animals. A cross-species comparison of enrichments for pathways in common was plotted. Identifiable metabolites constituting the pathways that distinguished between LPS-treated and control animals were listed for each species. As a measure of abundance, the peak areas of selected metabolites were extracted from raw data set using Skyline software (110). Molecular features data for the 40 individual plasma samples was deposited within the Dryad data repository (https://doi.org/10.7280/D12M4N).

RNA extractions. Cells of freshly obtained heparinized blood were pelleted by centrifugation for 3 min at 7,000 × *g*. The plasma supernatant and cell pellet were rapidly frozen on dry ice and stored at -80° C. For extraction of RNA from blood cell pellets in LPS experiments, we used a NucleoSpin RNA blood minikit (Macherey-Nagel). Lysis buffer and proteinase K were added to the frozen pellet and shaken while the pellet thawed. RNA from liver and spleen was extracted from 30 mg of frozen tissues, mechanically homogenized, and further lysed in Buffer RLT (Qiagen) with 2-mercaptoethanol in a TissueLyser (Qiagen) instrument with 3-mm stainless steel beads. Extraction was carried out using an RNeasy minikit (Qiagen). Extraction of total RNA from blood of *P. leucopus* animals infected with *B. herm-sii* was as described by Long et al. (34). Frozen suspensions of dissociated, cultured fibroblasts in RNAlater (ThermoFisher Scientific) were extracted with an RNeasy minikit (Qiagen). Nucleic acid concentrations were determined with a Qubit fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). The quality of the extracted RNA assessed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the Nano RNA chip. The RNA was stored in RNase-free distilled water at -80° C.

RT-qPCR. Reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) assays were developed and implemented for measurement of transcripts of genes for the following proteins of P. leucopus: nitric oxide synthase 2 (Nos2), arginase 1 (Arg1), secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor (Slpi), and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh). cDNA was synthesized from extracted RNA using an iScript reverse transcription kit and iScript Supermix (Bio-Rad) for qPCR, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The reaction mix was incubated in a thermal cycler for 5 min at 25°C, 20 min at 46°C, and 1 min at 95°C. PCR of cDNA was carried out with qPCR PowerUp SYBR green master mix (Applied Biosystems) and performed in 96-well plates in a StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) instrument. The forward and reverse primers, synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (San Diego, CA), were, respectively, the following: for Arg1, 5'-TCCGCTGACAACCAACTCTG and 5'-GACAGGTGTGCCAGTAGATG; for Nos2, 5'-GACTGGATTTGGCTGGTCCC and 5'-GAACACCACTTTCACCAAGAC; for Slpi, 5'-TCCCATCAGCAGACCAGTG and 5'-TTGGGAGGATTCAGCATCATACA; and for Gapdh, 5'-TCACCACCATGGAGAAGGC and 5'-GCTAAGC AGTTGGTGGTGCA. The product sizes were 352, 192, 81, and 169 bp, respectively. For all assays, the initial step was 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles. The cycle conditions for Arg1 and Nos2 were 95°C for 15 s, 52°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 60 s. For Slpi and Gapdh, they were 95°C for 15 s and 52°C for 30 s. Standards were the corresponding PCR products cloned into the E. coli plasmid vector pUC57 and stored frozen at -80°C in single-use aliquots after plasmid purification, as described above.

RNA-seq. Library preparation with the Illumina TruSeq mRNA stranded kit was carried out as described previously (34). The libraries were normalized and then multiplexed to achieve 12 samples per flow cell on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument and 100 or 150 cycles of paired-end read chemistry at the UC Irvine Genomic High Throughput Facility. The quality of sequencing reads was analyzed using FastQC (Babraham Bioinformatics). The reads were trimmed of low-quality reads (Phred score of <15) and adapter sequence, and corrected for poor-quality bases using Trimmomatic (111). After these steps, there were overall for all the studies between 45 and 100 million reads for each of the samples. For the comparative study of 40 animals, the mean and median numbers, respectively, of reads (\times 10⁶) for the three tissues were 62.9 and 62.9 for blood, 65.2 and 63.8 for spleen, and 60.5 and 59.4 for liver. Blood and liver RNA-seq outputs were 100 paired-end reads (PE100), and spleen and fibroblast RNA-seg outputs were PE150. Paired-end reads in fastq files were quantified using kallisto v. 0.46.1 (112) with the GENCODE annotation (v. 21; https://www.gencodegenes.org) for mouse and GCF_004664715.1_Pero_0.1 _rna from the NCBI for P. leucopus (34). The mean (95% confidence interval [95% CI]) coefficient of determination (R^2) between paired replicates of 4 RNA extracts (blood of 4 LPS-treated P. leucopus deermice), but with independent cDNA libraries and sequencing lanes, was 0.994 (0.990 to 0.997) for 8,055 transcripts, with mean numbers of transcripts per million (TPM) being >1, while the mean R^2 for the 24 discordant pairs for these samples was 0.975 (0.973 to 0.977). Sequencing reads were deposited with the Sequence Read Archive (Table 3).

Differential expression. We used edgeR v. 3.28.1 for DEG analysis (113). Genes were called differentially expressed if their absolute fold change between conditions was >4.0 and the false-discovery rate (FDR) was <0.05. To compare fold changes across species, we merged the output tables from the DEG analyses and retained 14,685 orthologous genes that were synonymously annotated between both species, out of a total of 24,295 annotated genes for *P. leucopus* and 35,805 for *M. musculus* (Tables 54 to S9 in the supplemental material). To screen for DEGs that varied in magnitude by species, we required an absolute fold change of >5.0 (log₂ = 2.5) in one species and <5.0 in the other. For DEGs designated "shared" between the species, the absolute fold change was >5.0 in both. Enrichment analysis was done for each one of the gene groups, separated by up- or downregulation. Enrichment of gene ontology (GO; http://geneontology.org) terms for biological processes was computed using EnrichR (https://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr) (114) and plotted using ggplot (v. 3.3.2) of the R package (115). The GO terms were sorted by ascending *P* value.

TABLE 3 BioProject, BioS	ample, and Seque	ence Read Archive ac	cession numbers	for RNA-seq
--------------------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------------	-------------

	BioProject		Sequence read archive
Subject	accession no.	BioSample accession no.	accession no.
Blood, spleens, and livers of 2- to 3-mo-old <i>Peromyscus</i> <i>leucopus</i> LL stock deermice after injection of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or buffer alone (control)	PRJNA643534	SAMN15445639–SAMN15445698 (20 animals \times 3 tissues = 60 samples)	SRR12781556-SRR12781615
Blood, spleens, and livers of 2- to 3-mo-old <i>Mus musculus</i> BALB/c mice after treatment with LPS or buffer alone	PRJNA643535	SAMN15445905–SAMN15445964 (20 animals \times 3 tissues = 60 samples)	SRR12782328-SRR12782387
Blood and/or spleens of 1 to 2-yr-old <i>P. leucopus</i> LL stock deermice after injection of LPS or buffer alone	PRJNA644403	SAMN15469591–SAMN15469598; SAMN16439936–SAMN16439945	SRR15769470-SRR15769487
Blood of <i>P. leucopus</i> LL stock deermice infected with <i>Borrelia hermsii</i> strain MTW	PRJNA508222	SAMN10522571–SAMN10522573; SAMN10522575–SAMN10522578	SRR8283810-SRR8283816
Fibroblasts from the ears of 5 <i>P. leucopus</i> LL stock deermice cultivated with or without LPS	PRJNA672217	SAMN16563388-SAMN16563397	SRR13021354-SRR13021363

RNA-seq of a limited set of protein coding sequences (CDS) of both species in the same DEG analysis was carried out using CLC Genomics Workbench v. 20 (Qiagen). Paired-end reads were aligned with a length fraction of 0.4, similarity fraction of 0.9, and penalties of 3 for mismatch, insertion, or deletion to the CDS of sets of corresponding to orthologous mRNAs of *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus*. Accession numbers are given in Appendix Table A2 (Dryad repository, https://doi.org/10.7280/D1R70J). Counted reads were those that were species specific. For example, for Arg1, the coding sequences for both *P. leucopus* and *M. musculus* were in the reference set, but for *P. leucopus*, only the unique reads for Arg1 for that species were tabulated. The cross-hybridization of reads, e.g., number of *M. musculus* reads mapping to *P. leucopus* Arg1, was no more than 5% of that of the homologously mapped gene, e.g., *M. musculus* Arg1, and usually <1%. Expression values were unique reads normalized for total reads across all the samples without adjustment for reference length. These were log₁₀ transformed.

WGCNA. We used weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA) to identify densely interconnected genes (modules) (116). This was applied for the 6 data sets across species and tissues by building a matrix of gene expression with genes with numbers of TPM of >1 in one or more individual. We selected a power (β) of 13 for a soft threshold for the weighted network and specified a minimum of 100 genes per module. To merge modules with similar gene expression profiles, we carried out a dynamic tree cut with an Eigengene dissimilarity threshold of 0.2 that generated the final Eigengene profiles, where Eigengene is the first principal component of the module expression matrix (117). The inferred modules were distinguished by assigned hexadecimal color code (https://www.color-hex.com/ color-names.html), e.g., darkorange2. Modules, associated GO terms, and constituent genes that are not presented in this paper have been deposited with Dryad (http://datadryad.org) under the data set name "Peromyscus_WGCNA_supplement" (https://doi.org/10.7280/D1B38G).

Statistics. Means are presented with 95% confidence intervals. Parametric (*t* test) and nonparametric (Mann-Whitney) tests of significance were two-tailed. Unless otherwise stated, the *t* test *P* value is given. Adjustment of *P* values for multiple testing was by the Benjamini-Hochberg method (118), as implemented in edgeR, CLC Genomics Workbench (see above), or the False Discovery Rate Online Calculator (https://tools.carbocation.com/FDR). For categorical data, an exact likelihood ratio test was performed with StatXact v. 6 (Cytel statistical software). Other methods are given in software programs or suites cited above.

Data availability. The National Center for Biotechnology Information BioProject, BioSample, and Sequence Read Archive (SRA) identification and accession numbers for the nucleic acid sequences obtained in this study are listed in Table 3. The raw data for the metabolomics study is at https://doi.org/10.21228/ M8PH55 of the Metabolomics Workbench repository (https://www.metabolomicsworkbench.org) under project PR001060.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. FIG S1, PDF file, 0.4 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 1.4 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.4 MB. TABLE S1, XLSX file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S2, XLSX file, 0.03 MB. TABLE S4, XLSX file, 0.03 MB. TABLE S5, XLSX file, 0.1 MB. TABLE S6, XLSX file, 0.02 MB. TABLE S7, XLSX file, 0.1 MB.

TABLE A1 Line listing of	of animals by species	and other characteristic	s for two lipopolysacc	haride (LPS) experiments ^a

	J • •									A11 1	
Spacios avet	Animal	Group	Sov	Age	Mass (a)	cı	Ticcuoc	Microbiome	Cortico-sterone	Nitric oxide	Blood MT RNA
Development of the second	Anima	Group	Jex	(WKS)	(g)	0	Did live and		(pg/mL)	(µmon/L minate)	Tog ₁₀ no. or reaus
peromyscus reucopus,	22275	Control	г г	15	20	_	Bid, liv, spi	3.49	204	1/.5	5.04 5.76
expt i	222//	Control	Г С	13	14	_	Bld liv col	2.10	230		5.70
	22202	Control	Г С	14	15	_	Bld liv col	2.51	21	20	5.79
	222/9	Control	Г M	13	15	_	Bld liv spl	3.30	70 21 <i>1</i>	0.9	5.79
	22321	Control	M	12	17		Bld liv spl	3.49	214	7.8	5.45
	22333	Control	M	12	17		Bld liv spl	3.42	100	7.0	5.63
	22341	Control	171	12	20	_	Bld liv spl	3.00	100	3.7 ND	5.05
	22313			17	20		Bld liv spl	3.65	497 681	77	5.00
	22230		F	17	20	_	Bld liv spl	3.54	7/9	10.2	5.52
	22201		F	15	17	+	Bld liv spl	3.01	740	11.5	5.75
	22270		F	15	15		Bld liv spl	3.67	642		5.70
	22209		г С	15	20	-	Pld liv col	2.60	042		5.70
	22292		F	15	20	_	Bld liv spl	3.09	671	0.0	5.74
	22204		1	12	10		Pld liv col	2.76	607	0.0	5.75
	22319		111	12	10 21	_	Bld liv col	2.70	712	2.7	5.01
	22310		111	15	21	т	Bld liv col	2.74	607	14.7 ND	5.02
	22323			12	27	_	Bld liv col	3.75	659		5.05
	22332			12	25	_	Did, IIV, Spi	3.40	050	ND 4.7	5.01
	22327			12	15	+	Bid, liv, spi	3.//	004 659	4./	5.22
	22339	LPS	IVI	11	20	+	ыd, IIV, spi	3.87	800	11.5	5.34
Mus musculus, expt 1	102	Control	F	10	20	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.68	ND	ND	5.53
	120	Control	F	10	20	-	Bld, liv, spl	3.52	21	7.8	5.52
	F1_01	Control	F	10	20	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.65	159	4.5	5.45
	F3_02	Control	F	10	19	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.65	109	2.7	5.59
	106	Control	Μ	12	24	-	Bld, liv, spl	3.67	34	20.7	5.77
	115	Control	М	12	26	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.59	137	4.7	5.63
	116	Control	М	12	29	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.57	38	3.7	5.64
	M4_04	Control	М	12	31	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.62	40	7.8	5.55
	101	LPS	F	10	19	_	Bld, liv, spl	2.98	709	52.1	5.32
	103	LPS	F	10	21	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.57	699	45.6	5.40
	104	LPS	F	10	20	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.14	662	29.3	5.43
	105	LPS	F	10	19	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.47	647	42.6	5.39
	118	LPS	F	10	18	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.63	709	18.3	5.28
	F3_03	LPS	F	10	21	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.65	687	23.5	5.39
	107	LPS	М	12	29	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.69	566	8.1	5.49
	108	LPS	М	12	25	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.59	490	30.3	5.49
	109	LPS	М	12	29	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.70	634	25.5	5.38
	M2 05	LPS	М	12	27	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.66	587	12.2	5.59
	M4 06	LPS	М	12	28	_	Bld, liv, spl	3.62	518	ND	5.45
	M4_07	LPS	М	12	30	-	Bld, liv, spl	3.62	577	2.5	5.48
	20604	с . I	-		24		C 1	ND			ND
Peromyscus ieucopus,	20694	Control		83	21	_	Spi	ND	ND	ND	
expt 2	20713	Control		73	23	_	BIO	ND	ND	ND	5.88
	20/334	Control	F	/9	18	-	Spi	ND	ND	ND	ND
	20807	Control	F	69	18	-	Spi	ND	ND	ND	ND
	20949	Control		54	19	_	Spi	ND	ND	ND	
	20495	Control	IVI	93	22	_	Bid, spi	ND	ND	ND	5./1
	20613	Control		84	22	_	BIO	ND	ND	ND	0.15 ND
	20688	LPS		83	18	+	Spi	ND	ND	ND	ND
	20695	LPS	F	83	25	-	Spi	ND	ND	ND	ND
	20696	LPS	F	/4	18	-	BID	ND	ND	ND	5.91
	20702	LPS	F	83	17	-	spi				
	20704	LPS	F	74	19	-	DI-I				5./4
	20/32	LPS	F	/1	23	_	ыа, spi Sml				5./X
	20819	LPS		69	28	+	Spi				
	20483	LPS	IVI	94	23	-	ыа, spi				0.20
	20501	LYD	IVI	93	24	_	DIC	NU	NU	UND .	0.20

^aCJ, presence or absence of conjunctivitis; Bld, blood; liv, liver; spl or Spl, spleen; ND, not determined; MT, mitochondrion.

^{*b*}Microbiome α -diversity values from reference 35.

^cMating pair H-106, same litter.

^dMating pair H-1056, same litter.

^eMating pair H-1055, different litter.

TABLE A2 GenBank accession numbers for a limited set of protein coding sequences of mRNAs

Acod1 Acontate decarboxylase 1 XML 02886462.1 NML 00892.1 Alb Mul 02887640.1 NML 00965.4 Alox5 Arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase XML 02886707.1 NML 00762.3 CI2 C.C. multi figuard hemokine 2 XML 02886707.1 NML 00768.3 CI2 C.C. multi figuard hemokine 2 XML 02886707.1 NML 011333.3 CI3 COlony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophag) XML 02886726.1 NML 007664.4 CI3 Colony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophag) XML 02881923.1 NML 009697.1 Cred1 C-x-C motif figuard hemokine 11 XML 02881923.1 NML 00967.1 Cred1 C-x-C motif figuard hemokine 11 XML 02889123.1 NML 00185.5 Forg1D F-receptor. JigG. hip affinity ICO24.1/1-1 XML 02889123.1 NML 00189.1 Cred1 F-receptor. JigG. hip affinity ICO24.1/1-1 XML 02889123.1 NML 00289.2 Gald3 GATA hinding protein 3 XML 02889123.1 NML 00897.1 Gald3 GATA hinding protein 3 XML 02889123.1 NML 00897.1 Gald3 GATA hinding protein 3 XML 028897	Gene product designation	Product (alternate name[s])	P. leucopus accession no.	M. musculus accession no.
Alb Albumin XML 02887761.1 NML 009654.2 Arginse 1 XML 02887703.1 NML 007482.3 CG12 CC multiligand chemokine 2 XML 02886073.1 NML 007482.3 CG77 CD77 antigen (HMA-2a, NB-1) XML 02886073.1 NML 007663.4 CG66 CD6 antigen (Ly-2a, NB-1) XML 02886073.1 NML 009664.4 CG17 Clorby-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophage) XML 02880425.1 NML 009664.4 CS12 Colory-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XML 02880425.1 NML 009664.4 CS12 Colory-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XML 02880425.1 NML 00168.5 Fergrab Fc receptor, IgG, Negh affinityl (CD54) XML 02880138.1 NML 01018.5 Fergrab Fc receptor, IgG, Negh affinityl (CD54) XML 02880138.1 NML 01023.2 Gaphh GYCraldelyde3-sphophate delydrogenase XML 02880138.1 NML 01030697.1 Hintor Here oxygenase 1 XML 028801457.1 NML 0103067.1 Hintori Histori Infortor 100 XML 02880457.1 NML 001306.2 Hintori Histori Infortor 150 XML 02880457.1 NML 00142.2	Acod1	Aconitate decarboxylase 1	XM_028864652.1	NM_008392.1
Alox5 Archidonate S-lipoxygenase XML 028861037.1 NML 009662.2 Cd2 C-C matif ligand chemokine 2 XML 02886079.1 NML 011333.3 Cd7 CD77 anligen (IMV-A.3.Ne 1) XML 02886079.1 NML 019386.3 Cd86 CD66 antigen (Ly-5) XML 02886269.1 NML 019386.3 Cd7 Cortony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophag) XML 02886729.1 NML 009664.4 Cd17 Cortony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophag) XML 02881923.1 NML 009671.1 Cx11 C-X C motif figand field (Cl03.1, Ly-1) XML 02880428.2 NML 00186.5 Fergr2b Fereceptor. IgGs, hip affinity (ICD3.1, Ly-1) XML 02880123.1 NML 00180.5 Cald The Areceptor. IgGs, hip affinity (ICD3.2, Ly-1) XML 02880129.1 NML 00180.5 Cald The Areceptor. IgGs, hip affinity (ICD3.2, Ly-1) XML 02880129.1 NML 00180.5 Cald The Areceptor. IgGs, hip affinity (ICD3.2, Ly-1) XML 02880129.1 NML 00180.5 Cald The Areceptor. IgGs, hip affinity (ICD3.2, Ly-1) XML 02880129.1 NML 00180.5 Cald The Areceptor. IgGs, hip affinity (ICD3.2, Ly-1) XML 02880129.1 NML 00180.5 Cald The Are	Alb	Albumin	XM_028887861.1	NM_009654.4
Argin Arginase 1 XML (28877403.1) NML (07482.3) CG12 CC mulf ligand chemokine 2 XML (2886078.2) NML (02163.3) CG77 CD77 antigen (HMA-2a, NF-1) XML (2886078.2) NML (02163.3) CG76 CD6 antigen (Ly-5) XML (2886078.2) NML (00964.4) CG17 Clony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophage) XML (28889425.1) NML (00964.4) CG17 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XML (28889425.1) NML (00964.4) CG17 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XML (28889425.1) NML (001718.9) Feregarb Fe receptor, IgG, bing affinityl (1CD41) XML (28880438.1) NML (010718.9) Fergarb Fe receptor, IgG, bing affinityl (1CD41) XML (28880438.1) NML (01023.2) Gaphh Gycraldetyle3- 3phosphate (delydrogenase XML (28880457.1) NML (0103.667.1) Fihi Here oxygenase 1 XML (2888167.1) NML (01042.2) Hoogin Harderyn bioinfing isolaprotein (Done sialoprotein 2) XML (28867455.1) NML (0051.0) Hinos Intergrin birding isolaprotein (Done sialoprotein 2) XML (2886745.1)	Alox5	Arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase	XM_028864037.1	NM_009662.2
Cd2 CC motif ligand chemokine 2 MM, 028866979.1 NM, 01133.3 Cd77 CD77 antigen (HV-5) MM, 028862065.2 NM, 008863.3 Cd86 CD86 antigen (LV-5) MM, 028865226.9.1 NM, 009864.3 Cd72 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte-macrophage) MM, 02886532.1 NM, 009971.1 Cd73 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) MM, 02886453.1 NM, 009971.1 Cx11 C-X-C motif chemokine ligand chemokine 11 MM, 02888472.5.1 NM, 009971.1 Cx11 C-X-C motif chemokine ligand chemokine 11 MM, 028864328.2 NM, 001765.5 Fcqr2b Fc receptor, Ig6, low affinity II (CD32, Ly-1) MM, 028864328.2 NM, 00129.2 Capth Gifterentiation factor 15 MM, 02889151.1 MM, 00247532.1 Gata3 GATA binding porterin 3 MM, 02889151.1 NM, 001245.2 Hp Haptoglobin MM, 02886754.5 NM, 01924.2 Hp Haptoglobin MM, 02886754.5 NM, 01924.2 Hp Haptoglobin MM, 028867455.1 NM, 01924.2 Hp Haptoglobin MM, 028867455.1 <	Arg1	Arginase 1	XM_028877403.1	NM_007482.3
Cd77 CD77 antigen (HN-2a, NB-1) XM, 02862085.2 NM, 02662.3 Cd86 CD86 antigen (Ly-5) XM, 02862529.1 NM, 007968.4 Cd72 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte-macrophage) XM, 02889725.1 NM, 009969.4 Cd72 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XM, 02889725.1 NM, 009969.4 Cd73 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XM, 02889725.1 NM, 009969.4 Cd71 Creceptor, (Jg6, high affinity ICD64) XM, 02880338.1 NM, 010077189.1 Forg/2b Fc receptor, (Jg6, high affinity ICD52, Ly-1) XM, 02880338.1 NM, 010077189.1 Sapth Glyceraldehyde3 aphosphate dehydrogenase XM, 0288038.1 NM, 01073782.1 Gard1 Ferritin havay polyperitie1 XM, 02880437.1 NM, 0107370.2 Ihmox1 Here oxygenase 1 XM, 02886487.1 NM, 0107370.2 Into Interferon barta XM, 02886785.1 NM, 008374.2 Ifbb1 Interferon barta XM, 02886785.1 NM, 008374.2 Ifb1 Interferon barta XM, 02886781.1 NM, 008374.2 Ifb1 Interferon barta <td>Ccl2</td> <td>C-C motif ligand chemokine 2</td> <td>XM_028866979.1</td> <td>NM_011333.3</td>	Ccl2	C-C motif ligand chemokine 2	XM_028866979.1	NM_011333.3
Cd86 CD86 antigen (Ly-5) XM, 028862263.1 NM, 019388.3 Crp C-reactive protein XM, 02886532.1 NM, 009694.4 Cd72 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XM, 028879893.1 NM, 009697.1 Cx13 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XM, 028884923.1 NM, 00987.1 Cx11 C-X-C motif chemokine ligand chemokine 11 XM, 028864923.1 NM, 001086.5 Fcgr2h F, for hemokine ligand chemokine 11 XM, 028864328.2 NM, 001086.5 Fcgr2h F, for hemokine ligand chemokine 11 XM, 028864328.2 NM, 001239.2 Gapdh Giyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase XM, 02889155.1 XM, 002897.3 Gata3 GATA binding protein 3 XM, 02889155.1 XM, 003247.2 Hbm Hemoxygenase 1 XM, 02889175.1 NM, 003247.2 Hbm Haptoglobin XM, 0288975.1 NM, 003814.3 Idol Indefersin barding gialprotein (no esialoprotein 2) XM, 0288975.1 NM, 008314.3 Idol Interfeoun parma XM, 0288975.1 NM, 008314.3 Idol Interefeoun bra1 XM, 0	Cd77	CD77 antigen (HNA-2a, NB-1)	XM_028862085.2	NM_026862.3
Crp Creactive protein MM. 02886532.1 NM. 00768.4 Csf2 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte-macrophage) MM. 02889725.1 NM. 00996.4 Csf3 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) MM. 02889725.1 NM. 00996.4 Csf3 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) MM. 02889725.1 NM. 00996.4 Ford Fc receptor, (gG, high affinity (CD54) MM. 02880738.2 NM. 001077189.1 Fin1 Ferritin heavy polyoperide1 MM. 02880738.2 NM. 000094.3 Gapth Girceraldehyde3-3 phosphate dehydrogenase MM. 02889150.1.1 NM. 000094.3 Gata3 GATA binding protein 1 MM. 02889153.2 NM. 001330687.1 Hintori Heme oxygenase 1 MM. 02889107.5 NM. 01330687.1 Hintori Heme oxygenase 1 MM. 0288975.5 NM. 008318.3 Ido1 indefermitation factor 15 MM. 0288975.5 NM. 008318.3 Ido1 indefermitation factor 14 MM. 0288975.5 NM. 008318.3 Ido1 indefermitation factor 15 MM.0288975.1 NM. 008318.3 Ido1 indefermitation factor 12	Cd86	CD86 antigen (Ly-5)	XM_028862269.1	NM_019388.3
C42 Colony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte) XM_02887998.1 NM_00997.1 Cd1 Cohory-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XM_0288192.5 NM_00997.1 Cx11 C.X-C motif chemokine ligand chemokine 11 XM_0288192.5 NM_00165.5 Fcgr2b Fc receptor, IgG, Iow affinity II (CD32, Ly-1) XM_0288033.8 NM_0101297.180.1 Gapda Glyceraldelpyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase XM_0288033.8 NM_001330687.1 Gata3 Garth binding protein 3 XM_02880156.1 NM_001330687.1 Hinnox1 Heme oxgenase 1 XM_02881075.1 NM_001330687.1 Hinnox1 Heme oxgenase 1 XM_02881075.1 NM_001330687.1 Hinnox1 Heme oxgenase 1 XM_0288702.1 NM_001330687.2 Ifhb1 Interforto narma XM_0288702.1 NM_008318.3 Ifho1 Interforto narma XM_0288702.1 NM_00837.4 Ifh1 Interforto narma XM_0288702.1 NM_00837.4 Ifh2 Interforto narma XM_0288702.1 NM_001397.4 Ifh3 Interforto narma XM_02887045.1 NM_001997.2	Crp	C-reactive protein	XM_028865632.1	NM_007768.4
C43 Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte) XM_02889423.1 NM_009971.1 CxCl11 C-X-C motif chemokine 1igan chemokine 11 XM_023207305.1 NM_00166.5 Forg2b Fc receptor, IgG, high affinity I(CD64) XM_023864328.2 NM_0010239.2 Gapdh Giveraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase XM_028864328.2 NM_0010239.2 Gapdh Giveraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase XM_02889123.1 NM_00289241.1 NM_001042.2 Gafd3 GATA binding protein 3 XM_02889125.1 NM_0013042.2 NM_0013042.2 Hmox1 Heme oxygenase 1 XM_02881487.1 NM_010442.2 NM_010442.2 Hp Haptoglobin XM_02881487.1 NM_00334.3 NM_00334.3 Idol Indefermine 2.3-dioxygenase 1 XM_0288755.1 NM_00337.4 Iffib1 Interfeund 10 XM_0288756.1 NM_003837.4 II12 Interleukin 13 XM_028876480.1 NM_001654.2 II13 Interleukin 1434 XM_028876480.1 NM_010554.4 II14 Interleukin 1204 XM_02886757.1 NM_0010553.4 II15	Csf2	Colony-stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophage)	XM_028879989.1	NM_009969.4
Cxcl11 C-X-C motif chemokine ligand chemokine 11 XM_02881923.1 NR_038116.1 Fcgr1 Fc receptor, IgG, Iow affinity II (CDS4, Ly-1) XM_022864328.2 NM_010166.5 Fcgr2b Fc receptor, IgG, Iow affinity II (CDS4, Ly-1) XM_02880338.1 NM_010292.2 Gapdh Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase XM_02880238.1 NM_00804.3 Gaft3 GATA binding protein 3 XM_02889156.11 NM_030864.3 Gaft3 Growth differentiation factor 15 XM_02889156.11 NM_001330687.1 Hmox1 Haptoglobin XM_02889185.11 NM_001330687.1 Hindelmine 2.3-dioxygenase 1 XM_028891487.1 NM_001330.8 Idol Indegrame XM_028891487.1 NM_000331.4 Ifind Interforon gamma XM_02886726.1 NM_000337.4 Ifind Interfewin 12 XM_02886724.31 NM_001542.2 Ifind Interlevin 12 XM_02886724.31 NM_001542.2 Ifind Interlevin 17A XM_02886707.1 NM_001554.4 Ifina Interlevin 17A XM_02886707.1 NM_001654.2 Ifina </td <td>Csf3</td> <td>Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte)</td> <td>XM_028889425.1</td> <td>NM_009971.1</td>	Csf3	Colony-stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte)	XM_028889425.1	NM_009971.1
Fcgr1 Fc receptor, IgG, high affinity I(CD64) XM_037207305.1 NM_010186.5 Fcgr2b Fc receptor, IgG, how affinity I(CD32, Ly-1) XM_028804328.2 NM_00107198.1 Fh1 Ferritin heavy polyopetide 1 XM_028804238.2 NM_00107198.1 Gata3 GATA binding protein 3 XM_0288042924.1 NM_000844.3 Gdt15 Growth differentiation factor 15 XM_028864887.1 NM_00142.2 Hmox1 Heme oxygenase 1 XM_028867455.1 NM_00142.2 Ibsp Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2) XM_028867455.1 NM_008318.3 Ido1 Indeleamine 2.3-dioxygenase 1 XM_028867455.1 NM_006337.4 Ifnb1 Interferon gamma XM_028867480.1 NM_006337.4 II10 Interfeukin 10 XM_028867480.1 NM_00159424.2 II13 Interleukin 13 XM_02889340.1 NM_0015952.3 II14 Interleukin 13 XM_028869451.1 NM_0016971.3 II15 Interleukin 140 XM_028869451.1 NM_00105971.3 II16 Interleukin 120 XM_028893401.1 NM_00105971.3	Cxcl11	C-X-C motif chemokine ligand chemokine 11	XM_028881923.1	NR_038116.1
Fcgr2bb Fc receptor, IgG, Iow affinity II (CD2, Ly-1) XM. 028864282.2 MM. 01077189.1 Gapdh Giveraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase XM. 028891361.1 NM. 000804.3 Gata3 GATA binding protein 3 XM. 028891561.1 XM. 0019753.1 Gd15 Growth differentiation factor 15 XM. 002889193.2 ^{an} NM. 00130687.1 Hmox1 Heme oxygenase 1 XM. 02889195.1 NM. 001370.2 Ibsp Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2) XM. 028874825.1 NM. 006374.2 Ifnb1 Interferon bata 1 XM. 028867485.1 NM. 006374.2 Ifnb1 Interferon bata 1 XM. 028867480.1 NM. 006374.2 If10 Interfeukin 12 XM. 028867480.1 NM. 010548.2 II12 Interleukin 12 XM. 028867480.1 NM. 001552.3 II13 Interleukin 13 XM. 028865780.1 NM. 0010554.4 II14 Interleukin 122 XM. 028865780.1 NM. 001039701.3 II17 Interleukin 124 XM. 028865780.1 NM. 00103970.3 II18 Interleukin 1262 XM. 028865781.1 NM. 00103970.3<	Fcgr1	Fc receptor, IgG, high affinity I (CD64)	XM_037207305.1	NM_010186.5
Fh1 Ferritin heavy polypeptide 1 XM_028860338.1 NM_010239.2 Gapdh Gyceraldebyde-a-phosphate debydrogenase XM_028892294.1 XM_030247532.1 Gdf15 Growth differentiation factor 15 XM_0028891651.1 XM_0030247532.1 Hmx01 Heme oxygenase 1 XM_028854887.1 NM_010442.2 Hp Haptoglobin XM_028867455.1 NM_003318.3 Idol Indeferon the 1 XM_028867455.1 NM_008318.3 Idol Interferon parma XM_028867455.1 NM_008317.4 Ifnb1 Interferon gamma XM_028867420.1 NM_008337.4 II10 Interleukin 12 XM_02886743.1 NM_008452.3 II112 Interleukin 17A XM_02886740.1 NM_00852.3 II13 Interleukin 17A XM_02886740.1 NM_00852.3 II14 Interleukin 17A XM_02886765.1 NM_001657.3 II17 Interleukin 17A XM_02886767.1 NM_006931.4 II18 Interleukin 122 XM_02886685.1 NM_01057.4 II17 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_0288	Fcgr2b	Fc receptor, IgG, low affinity II (CD32, Ly-1)	XM_028864328.2	NM_001077189.1
Gapdh Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase XM_0282924.1 NM_008084.3 Gata Garta binding protein 3 XM_028891561.1 XM_0028047532.1 Gdr15 Growth differentiation factor 15 XM_0028891871.1 NM_001330687.1 Hmox1 Herne oxygenase 1 XM_028891975.1 NM_001330687.1 Hip Haptoglobin XM_028891872.1 NM_008317.4 Idol Indoleamire 2.3-dioxygenase 1 XM_02889785.01 NM_008317.4 Ifnb1 Interferon parma XM_02889756.1 NM_000510.1 Ifng Interferon parma XM_02889756.1 NM_00159424.2 Ill12 Interleukin 12 XM_02889756.1 NM_00159424.2 Ill13 Interleukin 13 XM_0028897904.1 NM_0015952.3 Ill14 Interleukin 14pha XM_0028897904.1 NM_0016954.4 Ill15 Interleukin 14pha XM_002889790.1 NM_00103970.3 Ill16 Interleukin 1 XM_00288677.1 NM_00103970.3 Ill17 Interleukin 1 XM_002886677.1 NM_00103970.3 Ill2 Interleukin 1	Fth1	Ferritin heavy polypeptide 1	XM_028860338.1	NM_010239.2
Gata3 GATA binding protein 3 XM_02889191.1 XM_030247352.1 Gdf15 Growth differentiation factor 15 XM_00688491.3 NM_010130667.1 Himox1 Heme oxygenase 1 XM_028891075.1 NM_0107370.2 Ibsp Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2) XM_028867455.1 NM_008318.3 Ido1 Indelemine 2.3-dioxygenase 1 XM_02885756.1 NM_008317.4 Ifrb1 Interferon pamma XM_02885765.1 NM_00837.4 Ill10 Interleukin 10 XM_02886753.1 NM_00837.4 Ill13 Interleukin 12 XM_02886756.1 NM_0015942.2 Ill13 Interleukin 13 XM_02889590.1 NM_008355.3 Ill17 Interleukin 17A XM_028869756.1 NM_000552.4 Ill17 Interleukin 17A XM_028869756.1 NM_000552.3 Ill17 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_02886677.1 NM_00015971.3 Ill2 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_02886677.1 NM_000139701.3 Ill3 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_02886677.1 NM_000139701.3	Gapdh	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	XM_028892294.1	NM_008084.3
Gdf15 Growth differentiation factor 15 XM_00089193.2* NM_00133067.1 Hmox1 Heme oxygenase 1 XM_028854887.1 NM_017370.2 Ibsp Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2) XM_02880755.1 NM_008318.3 Ido1 Indoleamine 2.3-dioxygenase 1 XM_02880755.1 NM_008317.4 Ifnb1 Interferon gamma XM_02880756.1 NM_000837.4 Ifn10 Interferon gamma XM_02880756.1 NM_000837.4 II10 Interleukin 10 XM_02886776.41 NM_0015942.2 II13 Interleukin 13 XM_028897408.1 NM_001559.2 II14 Interleukin 13 XM_028893405.1 NM_000835.3 II17 Interleukin 140 XM_028893401.1 NM_000835.4 II16 Interleukin 164 XM_02886579.61 NM_001055.4 II17 Interleukin 17.4 XM_02886579.61 NM_001055.4 II18 Interleukin 17.4 XM_02886579.61 NM_0010551.4 II17 Interleukin 17.4 XM_02886579.61 NM_0010551.4 II18 Interleukin 1 XM_02	Gata3	GATA binding protein 3	XM_028891561.1	XM_030247532.1
Hmox1 Henc oxygenase 1 XM_02881487.1 NM_01042.2 Hp Haptoglobin XM_02881075.1 NM_008314.3 Idsp Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2) XM_02887455.1 NM_008324.2 IfinD1 Interferon beta 1 XM_0288765.1 NM_008374.4 IfinD1 Interferon beta 1 XM_0288768.0.1 NM_0010510.1 IfinD1 Interferon gamma XM_02887648.0.1 NM_0010542.2 II10 Interleukin 10 XM_02887648.0.1 NM_0010542.2 II13 Interleukin 12 XM_02887648.0.1 NM_0010552.3 II14 Interleukin 13 XM_02885796.1 NM_0010554.4 II15 Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028856796.1 XM_00689571.3 II16 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028856695.1 NM_01016971.2 II22 Interleukin 22 XM_028856695.1 NM_010285.2 II4 Interleukin 4 XM_028856695.1 NM_010285.1 II5 Interleukin 6 XM_028856695.1 NM_016971.2 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028856678.1 <td>Gdf15</td> <td>Growth differentiation factor 15</td> <td>XM_006989193.2^a</td> <td>NM_001330687.1</td>	Gdf15	Growth differentiation factor 15	XM_006989193.2 ^a	NM_001330687.1
Hp Hatoglobin XM_02881075.1 NM_07370.2 Ibsp Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2) XM_02886782.0.1 NM_008314.3 Ido1 Interferon beta 1 XM_02886782.0.1 NM_00837.4 Ifinfi Interferon beta 1 XM_02886782.0.1 NM_00837.4 Ifinfi Interfeuxin 10 XM_02886786.0.1 NM_0015942.2 Ifinfi Interleuxin 12 XM_02886786.0.1 NM_0016952.3 Ifi12 Interleuxin 13 XM_0288671.1 NM_0016552.3 Ifi13 Interleuxin 14Pha XM_02886305.1 NM_0016552.4 Ifi16 Interleuxin 1apha XM_02886375.1 NM_0010552.4 Ifi17 Interleuxin 1apha XM_02886677.1 NM_0010571.4 Ifi18 Interleuxin 1 receptor XM_02886677.1 NM_00103701.3 Ifi22 Interleuxin 5 XM_02886677.1 NM_00103701.3 Ifi24 Interleuxin 6 XM_02886677.1 NM_00103701.3 Ifi25 Interleuxin 6 XM_02886677.1 NM_001052.1 Ifi36 Interleuxin 6 XM_02886677.1	Hmox1	Heme oxygenase 1	XM_028854887.1	NM_010442.2
Ibsp Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2) XM_028887455.1 NM_008318.3 Idol Indeleamie 2,3-dioxygenase 1 XM_028887455.1 NM_008374.2 Ifnb1 Interferon gamma XM_028887656.1 NM_008374.4 Ifng Interfeukin 10 XM_02887648.0 NM_008374.4 Il12 Interleukin 12 XM_02887648.0 NM_001548.2 Il13 Interleukin 13 XM_028867243.1 NM_001552.3 Il17a Interleukin 13pha XM_02888705.1 NM_001552.3 Il17a Interleukin 1eceptor XM_02885796.1 NM_001552.3 Il17a Interleukin 1eceptor XM_028865796.1 XM_002886473.1 Il17a Interleukin 1eceptor antagonist XM_028866796.1 NM_001039701.3 Il122 Interleukin 5 XM_02886665.1 NM_016971.2 Il4 Interleukin 6 XM_02886665.1 NM_0116971.2 Il5 Interleukin 6 XM_02886665.1 NM_0116971.2 Il6 Interleukin 6 XM_02886605.1 NM_021627.4 Iffs Interleukin 6 XM_0288662	Нр	Haptoglobin	XM_028891075.1	NM_017370.2
Ido1 Inder@rine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 XM_28887820.1 NM_008324.2 Ifmb1 Inter@ron gamma XM_028869756.1 NM_008337.4 Ifing Interleukin 10 XM_028869658.1 NM_0010548.2 II10 Interleukin 12 XM_028867424.1 NM_0010548.2 II13 Interleukin 13 XM_028867243.1 NM_0010552.3 II14 Interleukin 17A XM_028893405.1 NM_0010554.4 II15 Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028869796.1 XM_00089701.3 II17 Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028869796.1 XM_001039701.3 II17 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028865696.1 NM_001039701.3 II22 Interleukin 22 XM_02886569.1 NM_0010554.4 II1rn Interleukin 6 XM_02886569.1 NM_021283.2 II22 Interleukin 6 XM_02886569.1 NM_021283.2 II4 Interleukin 6 XM_02886569.1 NM_021283.2 II5 Interleukin 6 XM_028864183.1 NM_021283.2 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028864183.1 NM_0286	lbsp	Integrin binding sialoprotein (bone sialoprotein 2)	XM_028867455.1	NM_008318.3
Ifnb1 Interferon beta 1 XM_28859756.1 NM_010510.1 Ifing Interferon gamma XM_28859756.1 NM_00837.4 II10 Interleukin 10 XM_028876480.1 NM_00015942.2 II13 Interleukin 12 XM_02886713.1 NM_00015942.2 II17 Interleukin 13 XM_0089559.41 NM_0001555.3 II17 Interleukin 1alpha XM_028863190.1 NM_010554.4 II1b Interleukin 1eceptor XM_02885796.1 XM_0009370.13 II1r Interleukin 1eceptor antagonist XM_02885695.1 NM_001597.13.4 II1r Interleukin 1eceptor antagonist XM_02885689.1 NM_011597.13.4 II1r Interleukin 1eceptor XM_02885689.1 NM_021283.2 II22 Interleukin 5 XM_02885689.1 NM_021283.2 II5 Interleukin 6 XM_02886508.1 NM_021283.2 II6 Interleukin 7 XM_02886508.1 NM_021283.2 II7 Interleukin 6 XM_02886508.1 NM_020875.4 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028885689.1 NM_020875.4 </td <td>ldo1</td> <td>Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1</td> <td>XM_028887820.1</td> <td>NM_008324.2</td>	ldo1	Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1	XM_028887820.1	NM_008324.2
Ifing Interferon gamma XM_02885958.1 NM_00837.4 III0 Interleukin 10 XM_028876480.1 NM_0010548.2 II12 Interleukin 12 XM_0028867243.1 NM_0010548.2 II13 Interleukin 13 XM_002880511. NM_0010552.3 II1a Interleukin 14 XM_002880511. NM_010552.3 II1a Interleukin 14 XM_002880579.1 XM_00695713.4 II1r Interleukin 1 teceptor XM_02880579.1 XM_00103701.3 II22 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028805659.1 NM_01013701.3 II24 Interleukin 22 XM_02885689.1 NM_010558.1 II6 Interleukin 4 XM_02885689.1 NM_012128.2 II75 Interleukin 6 XM_02885689.1 NM_012057.4 Iff5 Interleukin 6 XM_02885647.2 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02880561.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02880561.1 NM_00861.4 Mrc1 Manose receptor C-type 1 XM_02880561.1 NM_00862.2	lfnb1	Interferon beta 1	XM_028859756.1	NM_010510.1
III0 Interleukin 10 XM_028876480.1 NM_010548.2 III2 Interleukin 12 XM_028867243.1 NM_001159424.2 III3 Interleukin 13 XM_002895794.1 NM_001055.3 II17a Interleukin 14.0ha XM_028868119.1 NM_01055.3 III1b Interleukin 14.0ha XM_028893405.1 NM_001055.4 III1b Interleukin 1 beta XM_028865796.1 XM_00495713.4 III1rn Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028865796.1 NM_00103701.3 II22 Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_02886677.1 NM_00103701.3 II22 Interleukin 2 XM_02885689.1 NM_021283.2 II5 Interleukin 5 XM_02885689.1 NM_021283.2 II5 Interleukin 6 XM_02885689.1 NM_021283.2 II5 Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_02885648.1 NM_00401.2 II5 Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_02889051.1 NM_00401.2 II5 Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_02880755.2 NM_008491.1 Mmp8 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 <td< td=""><td>lfng</td><td>Interferon gamma</td><td>XM_028869658.1</td><td>NM_008337.4</td></td<>	lfng	Interferon gamma	XM_028869658.1	NM_008337.4
II12 Interleukin 12 XM_028867243.1 NM_0201159424.2 III3 Interleukin 13 XM_0028867243.1 NM_008355.3 III7a Interleukin 17A XM_028893401.1 NM_010552.3 IIIa Interleukin 1 apha XM_028893405.1 NM_010552.4 IIIb Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028865796.1 XM_008351.4 IIIrn Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028865697.1 NM_01039701.3 III2 Interleukin 22 XM_028865697.1 NM_0169712.2 II4 Interleukin 5 XM_028865697.1 NM_01039701.3 II22 Interleukin 6 XM_028865677.1 NM_010558.1 II6 Interleukin 5 XM_028865672.1 NM_011555.1 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028865647.2 NM_031168.2 If75 Intergrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4) XM_02885647.2 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_028807508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 AP014886 Nfe2U Nuclear factor, exptroid 2 like 2 XM_028880611.	1110	Interleukin 10	XM_028876480.1	NM_010548.2
II13 Interleukin 13 XM_006995904.1 NM_008355.3 II17a Interleukin 17A XM_0288868119.1 NM_010552.3 II1a Interleukin 1 alpha XM_028893405.1 NM_010552.3 II1b Interleukin 1 beta XM_028893405.1 NM_008361.4 II1r Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028865796.1 XM_006495713.4 II1r Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028855699.1 NM_0106971.3 II22 Interleukin 2 XM_02885569.1 NM_0102183.2 II4 Interleukin 4 XM_02885569.1 NM_010258.1 II4 Interleukin 5 XM_028866183.1 NM_012057.4 II5 Interleukin 6 XM_028866208.1 NM_012057.4 Igam Integrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4) XM_028866208.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_028890975.2 NM_008611.4 Mmp8 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 XM_028870508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 AP014886 Nf2L2 Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_	112	Interleukin 12	XM_028867243.1	NM_001159424.2
II17a Interleukin 12A XM_028868119.1 NM_010552.3 IIIa Interleukin 1 apha XM_028893405.1 NM_010554.4 IIIb Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028893405.1 NM_006495713.4 IIIrn Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028868677.1 NM_001039701.3 II22 Interleukin 22 XM_028868679.1 NM_010558.1 IIA Interleukin 4 XM_028866859.1 NM_010558.1 IIA Interleukin 5 XM_02886608.1 NM_010558.1 IIA Interleukin 6 XM_02886608.1 NM_010558.1 IIA Interleukin 5 XM_02886608.1 NM_010207.4 IIA Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_02886418.3.1 NM_008401.2 LEn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02888647.2 NM_008401.2 LCn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_028890975.2 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Manose receptor C-type 1 XM_02886631.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647	1113	Interleukin 13	XM_006995904.1	NM_008355.3
IIIa Interleukin 1 alpha XM_028893405.1 NM_010554.4 IIIb Interleukin 1 peta XM_028893405.1 NM_010554.4 IIIr Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028865796.1 XM_0006495713.4 IIIrn Interleukin 22 XM_02886577.1 NM_01039701.3 II22 Interleukin 22 XM_028865689.1 NM_010571.2 II4 Interleukin 5 XM_02886618.1 NM_010558.1 II5 Interleukin 5 XM_02886618.1 NM_0112057.4 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_02886472.2 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02889675.2 NM_008401.1 Mmp8 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 XM_02889647.2 NM_008401.1 Mmp6 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 XM_02889675.2 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Manose receptor C-type 1 XM_02886631.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 AP014886 Nfe212 Nuclear factor kapapa B subunit 2 XM_02886631.1	ll17a	Interleukin 17A	XM_028868119.1	NM_010552.3
IIIb Interleukin 1 beta XM_028893401.1 NM_008351.4 IIIr Interleukin 1 receptor XM_02886796.1 XM_0001039701.3 IIIr Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028869659.1 NM_016971.2 IIA Interleukin 22 XM_02885689.1 NM_010571.2 II4 Interleukin 4 XM_02885689.1 NM_010558.1 II5 Interleukin 6 XM_028864183.1 NM_010558.1 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028866208.1 NM_012057.4 Itgam Integrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4) XM_028886647.2 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_0288890975.2 NM_008401.4 Mmp8 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 XM_028890975.2 NM_008611.4 Mrc1 Manose receptor C-type 1 XM_028870508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Manose receptor C-type 1 XM_02886601.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NP014886 Nfe212 Nuclear factor kappa B subunit 2 <td>ll1a</td> <td>Interleukin 1 alpha</td> <td>XM_028893405.1</td> <td>NM_010554.4</td>	ll1a	Interleukin 1 alpha	XM_028893405.1	NM_010554.4
IIIr Interleukin 1 receptor XM_028865796.1 XM_006495713.4 IIIrm Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_02886677.1 NM_001039701.3 III22 Interleukin 22 XM_02885659.1 NM_016971.2 II4 Interleukin 4 XM_028855689.1 NM_01258.1 II5 Interleukin 5 XM_028866273.1 NM_010558.1 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028866208.1 NM_010257.4 Itrs Intergrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4) XM_02888408.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02888408.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn3 Mannose receptor C-type 1 XM_0288870508.1 NM_008611.4 Mrc1 Mannose receptor C-type 1 XM_028880611.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_05089 MT_Co1 Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 MG674647 NC_05089 MT_Co1 Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_02888663.1 NM_006927.3.4 Nfbb Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_02888663.1 NM_001902.4 Nfkb <td< td=""><td>ll1b</td><td>Interleukin 1 beta</td><td>XM_028893401.1</td><td>NM_008361.4</td></td<>	ll1b	Interleukin 1 beta	XM_028893401.1	NM_008361.4
IIIm Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist XM_028868677.1 NM_001039701.3 II22 Interleukin 22 XM_028869659.1 NM_016971.2 II4 Interleukin 5 XM_028869659.1 NM_011283.2 II5 Interleukin 6 XM_0288666208.1 NM_0110558.1 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028866208.1 NM_012057.4 Itgam Integrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4) XM_0288866208.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_0288850508.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_0288870508.1 NM_008611.4 Mrc1 Mannose receptor C-type 1 XM_028870508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Manose receptor C-type 1 XM_028880611.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Manose receptor c-type 1 XM_028880611.1 NM_008987.3 Saa3 Serum amyloid A 3 XM_028880611.1 NM_008625.2 Spi Soc2 Nutric oxide synth	ll1r	Interleukin 1 receptor	XM_028865796.1	XM_006495713.4
II22 Interleukin 22 XM_028869659.1 NM_016971.2 II4 Interleukin 4 XM_028855689.1 NM_021283.2 II5 Interleukin 5 XM_015989306.1 NM_010558.1 II6 Interleukin 6 XM_028864183.1 NM_012057.4 Irf5 Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_02886408.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02888408.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02888408.1 NM_008611.4 Mrc1 Mannose receptor C-type 1 XM_0288870508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 MG674647 AP014886 Nfe212 Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_028886630.1 AP014886 Nfe212 Nuclear factor, kappa 8 subunit 2 XM_02888663.1 NM_008625.7 Nfbb Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_02886504.1 AP014886 Nes2 Nitric oxide synthase 2 XM_028886503.1 NM_008987.3 Saa3 Serretory leukocyte peptidase	ll1rn	Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist	XM_028868677.1	NM_001039701.3
IIA Interleukin 4 XM_02885689.1 NM_021283.2 IIS Interleukin 5 XM_015989306.1 NM_010558.1 IIG Interleukin 6 XM_028864183.1 NM_010557.4 Irfs Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_028864183.1 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_028890975.2 NM_008491.4 Mmp8 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 XM_028870508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Mannose receptor C-type 1 XM_02888061.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 AP014886 Nfe212 Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_02888061.1 NM_008625.7 Nfkb Nuclear factor, kappa 8 subunit 2 XM_0288074.1 XM_008625.7 Nfkb Nuclear factor, kappa 8 subunit 2 XM_02887470.4.1 XM_008625.7 Nfkb Nuclear factor, kappa 8 subunit 2 XM_02888663.1 NM_008987.3 Saa3 Serum amyloid A 3 XM_02888663.1 NM_011315.3 Sipi Sececetor	1122	Interleukin 22	XM_028869659.1	NM_016971.2
Insterieukin S XM_015989306.1 NM_010558.1 Il6 Interleukin 6 XM_028864183.1 NM_031168.2 Il75 Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_028866208.1 NM_010557.4 Itgam Integrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4) XM_028885647.2 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_028890975.2 NM_008611.4 Mmp8 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 XM_02880508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 NC_005089 MT_Co1 Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 MG674647 AP014886 Nfeb Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_028874704.1 XM_000902.4 Nfkb Nuclear factor, kappa B subunit 2 XM_0288663.1 NM_008987.3 Saa3 Serum amyloid A 3 XM_02888663.1 NM_008987.3 Saa3 Serum anyloid A 3 XM_02886662.2 XM_011315.3 Slpi Secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor XM_02886667.1 NM_011315.3 Slpi Serum anyloid A 3 XM_02886666.2 XM_017319463.3 Stat1 Signal transdu	114	Interleukin 4	XM_028855689.1	NM_021283.2
IIIo Interleukin 6 XM_028864183.1 NM_031168.2 Irf5 Interferon regulatory factor 5 XM_028866208.1 NM_012057.4 Itgam Integrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4) XM_02888647.2 NM_008401.2 Lcn2 Lipocalin 2 XM_02888647.2 NM_008491.1 Mmp8 Matrix metallopeptidase 8 XM_028890975.2 NM_008611.4 Mr1 Mannose receptor C-type 1 XM_028870508.1 NM_008625.2 MT Complete mitochondrial genome MG674647 AP014886 Nfc212 Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_028870508.11. NM_00902.4 Nfkb Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2 XM_028874704.1 XM_006526743.4 Nos2 Nitric oxide synthase 2 XM_02888663.1 NM_008987.3 Saa3 Serum amyloid A 3 XM_028886622.1 NM_011315.3 Slpi Secretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitor XM_028866678.1 NM_013671.3 Stat1 Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 XM_028866662.2 XM_017319463.3 Stat2 Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2 XM_0288666	115	Interleukin 5	XM_015989306.1	NM_010558.1
IntInterferon regulatory factor 5XM_028866208.1NM_01/2057.4ItgamIntegrin alpha-M (CD11b, CD18, Ly-4)XM_028885647.2NM_008401.2Lcn2Lipocalin 2XM_028885647.2NM_008491.1Mmp8Matrix metallopeptidase 8XM_028870508.1NM_008611.4Mrc1Manose receptor C-type 1XM_028870508.1NM_008625.2MTComplete mitochondrial genomeMG674647AP014886Mr[2012]Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028860611.1NM_010902.4NfkbNuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028866904.1AF0655919.1Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028886613.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_028886627.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_028886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02885799.1NM_013671.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_02888736.1NM_011467.5Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_02888736.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02888736.1NM_011487.5Tlr4Toll-like receptor 2XM_02888793.1NM_011267.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02888793.1NM_013693.3	116	Interleukin 6	XM_028864183.1	NM_031168.2
Integrin alpna-M (CDTR), CDTR, L9-4)XM_02885647.2NM_008401.2Lcn2Lipocalin 2XM_028889075.2NM_008491.1Mmp8Matrix metallopeptidase 8XM_028890975.2NM_008611.4Mrc1Mannose receptor C-type 1XM_028870508.1NM_008625.2MTComplete mitochondrial genomeMG674647AP014886Mf201Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1MG674647AP014886Nfe2l2Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028880611.1NM_008526743.4Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028866094.1AF065919.1Ntric oxide synthase 2XM_02888663.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_02888663.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_02886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02885643.1NM_0119063.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856679.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02887980.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_0288764.1NM_021297.3ThfTumor necrosis factor alphaXM 02887933.1NM 013693.3		Interferon regulatory factor 5	XM_028866208.1	NM_012057.4
LCh2LIpocalin 2XM_028884808.1NM_008491.1Mmp8Matrix metallopeptidase 8XM_028890975.2NM_008611.4Mrc1Mannose receptor C-type 1XM_028870508.1NM_008625.2MTComplete mitochondrial genomeMG674647NC_005089MT_Co1Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1MG674647AP014886Nfe2l2Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028874704.1XM_006526743.4Nfs5Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028866904.1AF065919.1Ptx3Pentraxin 3XM_02888663.1NM_008887.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_028886627.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_028866678.1NM_0113671.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028866666.2XM_017319463.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_028857439.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02887336.1NM_011877.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_0113693.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887933.1NM_013693.3	Itgam	Integrin alpha-M (CDTTb, CDT8, Ly-4)	XM_028885647.2	NM_008401.2
Mmp8Matrix metallopeptidase 8Mm_028890975.2NM_008617.4Mrc1Mannose receptor C-type 1XM_028870508.1NM_008615.2MTComplete mitochondrial genomeMG674647NC_005089MT_Co1Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1MG674647AP014886Nfe2l2Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028874704.1XM_006526743.4Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028866904.1AF065919.1Ptx3Pentraxin 3XM_02888663.1NM_008887.3Saa3Secure anyloid A 3XM_028886627.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_028886678.1NM_0113671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_028886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028857336.1NM_011487.5Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_028887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02888736.1NM_011487.5Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_0288764.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_0288764.1NM_013693.3	LCn2	Lipocalin 2	XM_028884808.1	NM_008491.1
MrC1Mathose receptor C-type 1XM_028870508.1NM_008823.2MTComplete mitochondrial genomeMG674647NC_005089MT_Co1Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1MG674647AP014886Nfe2l2Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028880611.1NM_010902.4NfkbNuclear factor kappa B subunit 2XM_028886904.1AF065919.1Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_02888663.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_02888663.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_028886667.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_0288856666.2XM_017319463.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_02888736.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_02888736.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02887386.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887933.1NM_011905.3TnfTumor necrosis factor alphaXM_02887933.1NM_013693.3	Mmp8	Marris metallopeptidase 8	XM_028890975.2	NM_008611.4
M1Complete mitochondrial genomeMG6/464/NC_005089MT_Co1Mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1MG674647AP014886Nfe2l2Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028880611.1NM_010902.4NfkbNuclear factor kappa B subunit 2XM_028874704.1XM_006526743.4Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028866904.1AF065919.1Ptx3Pentraxin 3XM_02888663.1NM_0011315.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_028866678.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_0288666678.1NM_013671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02885643.1NM_013993.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_02886736.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_0288736.1NM_01147.5Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_028877864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887933.1NM_013693.3	MICI	Mannose receptor C-type 1	XM_028870508.1	NM_008625.2
M1_C01Mitocholnal cytocholne oxidase 1M6074047AP014886Nfe2l2Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2XM_028880611.1NM_010902.4NfkbNuclear factor kappa B subunit 2XM_028874704.1XM_006526743.4Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028866904.1AF065919.1Ptx3Pentraxin 3XM_02888663.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_028880204.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_028866678.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_02886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_028856439.1NM_019963.2Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_0288990.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_0288764.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887933.1NM_013693.3	MT Col	Mitashandrial sutashrama avidasa 1	MG074047	NC_005089
NilezizNuclear factor, erythrold 2 like 2XM_02880611.1NM_01902.4NfkbNuclear factor kappa B subunit 2XM_028874704.1XM_006526743.4Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028866904.1AF065919.1Ptx3Pentraxin 3XM_02888663.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_0288880204.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_02886627.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028866678.1NM_013671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028855439.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887933.1NM_013693.3	Mf_COT	Nuclear factor, and throid 2 like 2	MG074047 VM_0200061111	APU14000
NikbNuclear factor kappa B subdifit 2XM_028874704.1XM_006320743.4Nos2Nitric oxide synthase 2XM_028866904.1AF065919.1Ptx3Pentraxin 3XM_02888663.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_0288880204.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_02886627.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028866678.1NM_013671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028855439.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_02887536.1NM_011487.5Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02887386.1NM_011905.3Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_028887933.1NM_013693.3	NEZIZ	Nuclear factor, erythroid 2 like 2	XIVI_028880011.1	NM_010902.4
NoszNullic Oxtole Synthase 2XM_028805004.1Art003919.1Ptx3Pentraxin 3XM_028888663.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_0288880204.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_028868627.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028866678.1NM_013671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_028886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028855439.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_028887933.1NM_013693.3	Noc2	Nuclear factor kappa B suburnit 2	XIM_02866004.1	AF065010 1
FitsFeitraxin'sXM_028880303.1NM_008987.3Saa3Serum amyloid A 3XM_028880204.1NM_011315.3SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_02886627.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028866678.1NM_013671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_0288866678.1NM_013671.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028856662.2XM_017319463.3Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028855439.1NM_019963.2Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_028887933.1NM_013693.3		Pontravin 2	XIM_02000904.1	
SaasSerum anyola A SXM_02880204.1NM_011312.5SlpiSecretory leukocyte peptidase inhibitorXM_028868627.1NM_011414.3Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028866678.1NM_013671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028856799.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_011875.5Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_028879890.1NM_011877.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_028887933.1NM_013693.3	F (A.S.	Sorum amyloid A 2	XIM_0288880003.1	NM_011215.2
SpirSuperoxide dismutase 2XM_0288660227.1NM_011474.5Sod2Superoxide dismutase 2XM_028866678.1NM_013671.3Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02886666.2XM_017319463.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028856439.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_028859890.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_028887864.1NM_021297.3TnfTumor necrosis factor alphaXM_028887933.1NM_013693.3	Slai	Secretory leukocyte pentidase inhibitor	XM_028868627 1	NM_011414.3
Stat1Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_02888666.2XM_017319463.3Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028855439.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_028859890.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887933.1NM_013693.3	Sod2	Superovide dismutase 2	XM_028866678 1	NM 012671 3
Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1XM_0288500002XM_019403.2Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028855439.1NM_019963.2Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_028859890.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_02887864.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887933.1NM_013693.3	Stat1	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1	XM_028886666 2	XM_017310463.3
Stat2Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2XM_028856799.1NM_213659.3Stat3Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3XM_028856799.1NM_011487.5Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_02887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_028859890.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_028894284.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887864.1NM_021297.3TnfTumor necrosis factor alphaXM_02888793.1NM_013693.3	Stat7	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 7	XM_0288554391	NM_019963.2
StateSignal transducer and activator of transcription 5XM_028887336.1NM_011487.5Stat4Signal transducer and activator of transcription 4XM_028887336.1NM_011487.5Tgfb1Transforming growth factor beta 1XM_028859890.1NM_011577.2Tlr2Toll-like receptor 2XM_028894284.1NM_011905.3Tlr4Toll-like receptor 4XM_02887864.1NM_021297.3TnfTumor necrosis factor alphaXM_028887933.1NM_013693.3	Stat2	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 2	XM_028856799 1	NM 2136593
Tight Transforming growth factor beta 1 XM_028859890.1 NM_011577.2 Tlr2 Toll-like receptor 2 XM_028894284.1 NM_011905.3 Tlr4 Toll-like receptor 4 XM_02887864.1 NM_021297.3 Tnf Tumor necrosis factor alpha XM_02887933.1 NM_013693.3	Stat4	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3	XM_0288873361	NM 011487 5
Tir2 Toll-like receptor 2 XM_028894284.1 NM_011905.3 TIr4 Toll-like receptor 4 XM_02887864.1 NM_021297.3 Tnf Tumor necrosis factor alpha XM_028887933.1 NM_013693.3	Tafb1	Transforming growth factor beta 1	XM_028859890 1	NM 011577.2
Tire Toll-like receptor 4 XM_028887864.1 NM_021297.3 Tnf Tumor necrosis factor alpha XM_028887933.1 NM_013693.3	Tlr2	Toll-like receptor 2	XM 028894284 1	NM 011905.3
The Tumor necrosis factor alpha XM 028887933.1 NM 013693.3	Tlr4	Toll-like receptor 4	XM 028887864.1	NM 021297.3
	Tnf	Tumor necrosis factor alpha	XM 028887933.1	NM 013693.3

^aThe coding sequence used for Gdf15 of *Peromyscus* is that of *P. maniculatus*.

APPENDIX

The species and other characteristics of animals in two LPS experiments are shown in Table A1, and GenBank accession numbers for a limited set of protein coding sequences of mRNAs are shown in Table A2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Khalil Bassam, Angelique Cortez, and Hanjuan Shao for their assistance in these studies.

The research was supported by NIH grant Al136523 and Global Lyme Alliance grant GLA-206311 (to A.G.B.) and NSF grant OIA-1736150 (to H.K.). This research was also supported by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs through the Tick Borne Disease Research Program under award no. W81XWH-17-1-0481 (to A.G.B.). This work was made possible, in part, through access to the Genomics High Throughput Facility Shared Resource funded by a Cancer Center Support Grant (P30CA-062203) at the University of California, Irvine, and NIH shared instrumentation grants 1S10RR025496-01, 1S10OD010794-01, and 1S10OD021718-01. The PGSC was supported by NSF grant DBI1755670.

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the Department of Defense.

REFERENCES

- Barbour AG. 2017. Infection resistance and tolerance in Peromyscus spp., natural reservoirs of microbes that are virulent for humans. Semin Cell Dev Biol 61:115–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.07.002.
- Paules CI, Marston HD, Bloom ME, Fauci AS. 2018. Tickborne diseases confronting a growing threat. N Engl J Med 379:701–703. https://doi .org/10.1056/NEJMp1807870.
- 3. Hall ER. 1981. The mammals of North America, 2nd ed, vol 2. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.
- Lackey JA, Huckaby DG, Ormiston BG. 1985. Peromyscus leucopus. Mamm Species 1985:1–10. https://doi.org/10.2307/3503904.
- Mather TN, Wilson ML, Moore SI, Ribeiro JM, Spielman A. 1989. Comparing the relative potential of rodents as reservoirs of the Lyme disease spirochete (Borrelia burgdorferi). Am J Epidemiol 130:143–150. https://doi .org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115306.
- Lindsay LR, Barker IK, Surgeoner GA, McEwen SA, Campbell GD. 1997. Duration of Borrelia burgdorferi infectivity in white-footed mice for the tick vector lxodes scapularis under laboratory and field conditions in Ontario. J Wildl Dis 33:766–775. https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-33.4 .766.
- Schwan TG, Kime KK, Schrumpf ME, Coe JE, Simpson WJ. 1989. Antibody response in white-footed mice (Peromyscus leucopus) experimentally infected with the Lyme disease spirochete (Borrelia burgdorferi). Infect Immun 57:3445–3451. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.57.11.3445-3451.1989.
- Barbour AG, Restrepo BI. 2000. Antigenic variation in vector-borne pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis 6:449–457. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0605 .000502.
- Bunikis J, Tsao J, Luke CJ, Luna MG, Fish D, Barbour AG. 2004. Borrelia burgdorferi infection in a natural population of Peromyscus leucopus mice: a longitudinal study in an area where Lyme Borreliosis is highly endemic. J Infect Dis 189:1515–1523. https://doi.org/10.1086/382594.
- Hofmeister EK, Ellis BA, Glass GE, Childs JE. 1999. Longitudinal study of infection with Borrelia burgdorferi in a population of Peromyscus leucopus at a Lyme disease-enzootic site in Maryland. Am J Trop Med Hyg 60:598–609. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1999.60.598.
- Voordouw MJ, Lachish S, Dolan MC. 2015. The Lyme disease pathogen has no effect on the survival of its rodent reservoir host. PLoS One 10: e0118265. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118265.
- Moody KD, Terwilliger GA, Hansen GM, Barthold SW. 1994. Experimental Borrelia burgdorferi infection in Peromyscus leucopus. J Wildl Dis 30:155–161. https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-30.2.155.
- Schwanz LE, Voordouw MJ, Brisson D, Ostfeld RS. 2011. Borrelia burgdorferi has minimal impact on the Lyme disease reservoir host Peromyscus leucopus. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 11:117–124. https://doi.org/10 .1089/vbz.2009.0215.

- Wright SD, Nielsen SW. 1990. Experimental infection of the white-footed mouse with Borrelia burgdorferi. Am J Vet Res 51:1980–1987.
- Ayres JS, Schneider DS. 2012. Tolerance of infections. Annu Rev Immunol 30:271–294. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-075030.
- Råberg L, Sim D, Read AF. 2007. Disentangling genetic variation for resistance and tolerance to infectious diseases in animals. Science 318:812–814. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1148526.
- Schneider DS, Ayres JS. 2008. Two ways to survive infection: what resistance and tolerance can teach us about treating infectious diseases. Nat Rev Immunol 8:889–895. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2432.
- NIAID. 2014. Immune tolerance. National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, MD. https://www.niaid.nih.gov/research/immune -tolerance. Accessed 7 January 2021.
- Jackson JA, Hall AJ, Friberg IM, Ralli C, Lowe A, Zawadzka M, Turner AK, Stewart A, Birtles RJ, Paterson S, Bradley JE, Begon M. 2014. An immunological marker of tolerance to infection in wild rodents. PLoS Biol 12: e1001901. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001901.
- McCarville JL, Ayres JS. 2018. Disease tolerance: concept and mechanisms. Curr Opin Immunol 50:88–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2017 .12.003.
- Botten J, Mirowsky K, Kusewitt D, Bharadwaj M, Yee J, Ricci R, Feddersen RM, Hjelle B. 2000. Experimental infection model for Sin Nombre hantavirus in the deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:10578–10583. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.180197197.
- Schountz T, Prescott J, Cogswell AC, Oko L, Mirowsky-Garcia K, Galvez AP, Hjelle B. 2007. Regulatory T cell-like responses in deer mice persistently infected with Sin Nombre virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:15496–15501. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707454104.
- Fagre A, Lewis J, Eckley M, Zhan S, Rocha SM, Sexton NR, Burke B, Geiss B, Peersen O, Kading R. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 infection, neuropathogenesis and transmission among deer mice: implications for reverse zoonosis to New World rodents. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.07.241810.
- 24. Griffin BD, Chan M, Tailor N, Mendoza EJ, Leung A, Warner BM, Duggan AT, Moffat E, He S, Garnett L. 2020. North American deer mice are susceptible to SARS-CoV-2. bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.25 .221291.
- Mandl JN, Schneider C, Schneider DS, Baker ML. 2018. Going to bat(s) for studies of disease tolerance. Front Immunol 9:2112. https://doi.org/10 .3389/fimmu.2018.02112.
- Wang LF, Anderson DE. 2019. Viruses in bats and potential spillover to animals and humans. Curr Opin Virol 34:79–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .coviro.2018.12.007.
- Klemme I, Karvonen A. 2017. Vertebrate defense against parasites: interactions between avoidance, resistance, and tolerance. Ecol Evol 7:561–571. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2645.

- Mandl JN, Ahmed R, Barreiro LB, Daszak P, Epstein JH, Virgin HW, Feinberg MB. 2015. Reservoir host immune responses to emerging zoonotic viruses. Cell 160:20–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.003.
- Bedford NL, Hoekstra HE. 2015. Peromyscus mice as a model for studying natural variation. Elife 4:e06813. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.06813.
- Havighorst A, Crossland J, Kiaris H. 2016. Peromyscus as a model of human disease. Semin Cell Dev Biol 61:150–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .semcdb.2016.06.020.
- Joyner CP, Myrick LC, Crossland JP, Dawson WD. 1998. Deer mice as laboratory animals. ILAR J 39:322–330. https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar.39.4.322.
- Steppan SJ, Schenk JJ. 2017. Muroid rodent phylogenetics: 900-species tree reveals increasing diversification rates. PLoS One 12:e0183070. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183070.
- Barbour AG, Shao H, Cook VJ, Baldwin-Brown J, Tsao JI, Long AD. 2019. Genomes, expression profiles, and diversity of mitochondria of the white-footed deermouse Peromyscus leucopus, reservoir of Lyme disease and other zoonoses. Sci Rep 9:17618. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-54389-3.
- Long A, Baldwin-Brown J, Tao Y, Cook V, Balderrama-Gutierrez G, Corbett-Detig R, Mortazavi A, Barbour A. 2019. The genome of Peromyscus leucopus, natural host for Lyme disease and other emerging infections. Sci Adv 5:eaaw6441. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw6441.
- Milovic A, Bassam K, Shao H, Chatzistamou I, Tufts DM, Diuk-Wasser M, Barbour AG. 2020. Lactobacilli and other gastrointestinal microbiota of Peromyscus leucopus, reservoir host for agents of Lyme disease and other zoonoses in North America. PLoS One 15:e0231801. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231801.
- Barthold SW, Cadavid D, Phillip MT. 2010. Animal models of borreliosis, p 359–412. *In* Radolf JD, Samuels DS (ed), Borrelia: molecular biology, host interaction, and pathogenesis. Caister Academic Press, Norfolk, United Kingdom.
- Galanos C. 1998. Endotoxin-lipopolysaccharide (LPS), p 806–809. *In* Delves PJ (ed), Encyclopedia of immunology, 2nd ed. Elsevier, Oxford, United Kingdom. https://doi.org/10.1006/rwei.1999.0213.
- Beeson PB, Roberts E. 1947. Tolerance to bacterial pyrogens: I. Factors influencing its development. J Exp Med 86:29–38. https://doi.org/10 .1084/jem.86.1.29.
- Foster SL, Hargreaves DC, Medzhitov R. 2007. Gene-specific control of inflammation by TLR-induced chromatin modifications. Nature 447:972–978. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05836.
- Medvedev AE, Kopydlowski KM, Vogel SN. 2000. Inhibition of lipopolysaccharide-induced signal transduction in endotoxin-tolerized mouse macrophages: dysregulation of cytokine, chemokine, and toll-like receptor 2 and 4 gene expression. J Immunol 164:5564–5574. https://doi.org/ 10.4049/jimmunol.164.11.5564.
- Fitzgerald KA, Palsson-McDermott EM, Bowie AG, Jefferies CA, Mansell AS, Brady G, Brint E, Dunne A, Gray P, Harte MT, McMurray D, Smith DE, Sims JE, Bird TA, O'Neill LAJ. 2001. Mal (MyD88-adapter-like) is required for Toll-like receptor-4 signal transduction. Nature 413:78–83. https://doi .org/10.1038/35092578.
- Poltorak A, He X, Smirnova I, Liu M-Y, Huffel CV, Du X, Birdwell D, Alejos E, Silva M, Galanos C, Freudenberg M, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P, Layton B, Beutler B. 1998. Defective LPS signaling in C3H/HeJ and C57BL/10ScCr mice: mutations in Tlr4 gene. Science 282:2085–2088. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5396.2085.
- Li Q, Li L, Fei X, Zhang Y, Qi C, Hua S, Gong F, Fang M. 2018. Inhibition of autophagy with 3-methyladenine is protective in a lethal model of murine endotoxemia and polymicrobial sepsis. Innate Immun 24:231–239. https://doi.org/10.1177/1753425918771170.
- Mahieu T, Park JM, Revets H, Pasche B, Lengeling A, Staelens J, Wullaert A, Vanlaere I, Hochepied T, van Roy F, Karin M, Libert C. 2006. The wildderived inbred mouse strain SPRET/Ei is resistant to LPS and defective in IFN-beta production. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:2292–2297. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510874103.
- McCuskey RS, McCuskey PA, Urbaschek R, Urbaschek B. 1984. Species differences in Kupffer cells and endotoxin sensitivity. Infect Immun 45:278–280. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.45.1.278-280.1984.
- Raduolovic K, Mak'Anyengo R, Kaya B, Steinert A, Niess JH. 2018. Injections of lipopolysaccharide into mice to mimic entrance of microbialderived products after intestinal barrier breach. J Vis Exp 2018:57610. https://doi.org/10.3791/57610.
- Reynolds K, Novosad B, Hoffhines A, Gipson J, Johnson J, Peters J, Gonzalez F, Gimble J, Hill M. 2002. Pretreatment with troglitazone decreases lethality

during endotoxemia in mice. J Endotoxin Res 8:307–314. https://doi.org/10 .1179/096805102125000515.

- Schaedler RW, Dubos RJ. 1961. The susceptibility of mice to bacterial endotoxins. J Exp Med 113:559–570. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.113.3 .559.
- Tateda K, Matsumoto T, Miyazaki S, Yamaguchi K. 1996. Lipopolysaccharide-induced lethality and cytokine production in aged mice. Infect Immun 64:769–774. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.64.3.769-774.1996.
- Wei XQ, Charles IG, Smith A, Ure J, Feng GJ, Huang FP, Xu D, Muller W, Moncada S, Liew FY. 1995. Altered immune responses in mice lacking inducible nitric oxide synthase. Nature 375:408–411. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/375408a0.
- 51. Langeroudi AG, Hirsch CM, Estabragh AS, Meinardi S, Blake DR, Barbour AG. 2014. Elevated carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide ratio in the exhaled breath of mice treated with a single dose of lipopolysaccharide. Open Forum Infect Dis 1:ofu085. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofu085.
- 52. Ravishankar B, Liu H, Shinde R, Chaudhary K, Xiao W, Bradley J, Koritzinsky M, Madaio MP, McGaha TL. 2015. The amino acid sensor GCN2 inhibits inflammatory responses to apoptotic cells promoting tolerance and suppressing systemic autoimmunity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:10774–10779. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504276112.
- Sorgdrager FJH, Naudé PJW, Kema IP, Nollen EA, Deyn PP. 2019. Tryptophan metabolism in inflammaging: from biomarker to therapeutic target. Front Immunol 10:2565. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02565.
- Murray PJ, Allen JE, Biswas SK, Fisher EA, Gilroy DW, Goerdt S, Gordon S, Hamilton JA, Ivashkiv LB, Lawrence T, Locati M, Mantovani A, Martinez FO, Mege JL, Mosser DM, Natoli G, Saeij JP, Schultze JL, Shirey KA, Sica A, Suttles J, Udalova I, van Ginderachter JA, Vogel SN, Wynn TA. 2014. Macrophage activation and polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. Immunity 41:14–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014 .06.008.
- Lee JT, Bartolomei MS. 2013. X-inactivation, imprinting, and long noncoding RNAs in health and disease. Cell 152:1308–1323. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.016.
- 56. Inoue H, Ogonuki N, Hirose M, Hatanaka Y, Matoba S, Chuma S, Kobayashi K, Wakana S, Noguchi J, Inoue K, Tanemura K, Ogura A. 2016. Mouse D1Pas1, a DEAD-box RNA helicase, is required for the completion of first meiotic prophase in male germ cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 478:592–598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.07.109.
- Itoh Y, Golden LC, Itoh N, Matsukawa MA, Ren E, Tse V, Arnold AP, Voskuhl RR. 2019. The X-linked histone demethylase Kdm6a in CD4+ T lymphocytes modulates autoimmunity. J Clin Invest 129:3852–3863. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl126250.
- Ouchi N, Parker JL, Lugus JJ, Walsh K. 2011. Adipokines in inflammation and metabolic disease. Nat Rev Immunol 11:85–97. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nri2921.
- van Stijn CM, Kim J, Lusis AJ, Barish GD, Tangirala RK. 2015. Macrophage polarization phenotype regulates adiponectin receptor expression and adiponectin anti-inflammatory response. FASEB J 29:636–649. https:// doi.org/10.1096/fj.14-253831.
- Zhong C, Zheng M, Cui K, Martins AJ, Hu G, Li D, Tessarollo L, Kozlov S, Keller JR, Tsang JS, Zhao K, Zhu J. 2020. Differential expression of the transcription factor GATA3 specifies lineage and functions of innate lymphoid cells. Immunity 52:83–95.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni .2019.12.001.
- Boivin GA, Pothlichet J, Skamene E, Brown EG, Loredo-Osti JC, Sladek R, Vidal SM. 2012. Mapping of clinical and expression quantitative trait loci in a sex-dependent effect of host susceptibility to mouse-adapted influenza H3N2/HK/1/68. J Immunol 188:3949–3960. https://doi.org/10.4049/ jimmunol.1103320.
- 62. Han L, Diehl A, Nguyen NK, Korangath P, Teo W, Cho S, Kominsky S, Huso DL, Feigenbaum L, Rein A, Argani P, Landberg G, Gessler M, Sukumar S. 2014. The Notch pathway inhibits TGFβ signaling in breast cancer through HEYL-mediated crosstalk. Cancer Res 74:6509–6518. https://doi .org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0816.
- Fritz H. 1988. Human mucus proteinase inhibitor (human MPI). Human seminal inhibitor I (HUSI-I), antileukoprotease (ALP), secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI). Biol Chem Hoppe Seyler 369(Suppl):79–82.
- 64. Levi M, van der Poll T. 2017. Coagulation and sepsis. Thromb Res 149:38–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2016.11.007.
- 65. Zhang Y, Zhang J, Sheng H, Li H, Wang R. 2019. Acute phase reactant serum amyloid A in inflammation and other diseases. Adv Clin Chem 90:25–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acc.2019.01.002.

- Mosser DM, Edwards JP. 2008. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol 8:958–969. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nri2448.
- Luan HH, Wang A, Hilliard BK, Carvalho F, Rosen CE, Ahasic AM, Herzog EL, Kang I, Pisani MA, Yu S, Zhang C, Ring AM, Young LH, Medzhitov R. 2019. GDF15 is an inflammation-induced central mediator of tissue tolerance. Cell 178:1231–1244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.07.033.
- Johnson TL, Fischer RJ, Raffel SJ, Schwan TG. 2016. Host associations and genomic diversity of Borrelia hermsii in an endemic focus of tick-borne relapsing fever in western North America. Parasit Vectors 9:575. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1863-0.
- Takayama K, Rothenberg RJ, Barbour AG. 1987. Absence of lipopolysaccharide in the Lyme disease spirochete, Borrelia burgdorferi. Infect Immun 55:2311–2313. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.55.9.2311-2313.1987.
- Bergström S, Zückert WR. 2010. Structure, function and biogenesis of the Borrelia cell envelope, p 139–166. *In* Radolf JD, Samuels DS (ed), Borrelia: molecular biology, host interaction and pathogenesis. Caister Academic Press, Norwich, United Kingdom.
- Crowder CD, Ghalyanchi Langeroudi A, Shojaee Estabragh A, Lewis ER, Marcsisin RA, Barbour AG. 2016. Pathogen and host response dynamics in a mouse model of Borrelia hermsii relapsing fever. Vet Sci 3:19. https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci3030019.
- Bhowmick R, Clark S, Bonventre JV, Leong JM, McCormick BA. 2017. Cytosolic phospholipase A(2)α promotes pulmonary inflammation and systemic disease during Streptococcus pneumoniae infection. Infect Immun 85:e00280-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00280-17.
- McDermott AM. 2013. Antimicrobial compounds in tears. Exp Eye Res 117:53–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2013.07.014.
- Aslam S, Gupta V. 2021. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. In StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island, FL. https://www.ncbi .nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK557736/.
- Hart BL. 1988. Biological basis of the behavior of sick animals. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 12:123–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0149-7634(88)80004-6.
- Wiedmeyer CE, Crossland JP, Veres M, Dewey MJ, Felder MR, Barlow SC, Vrana PB, Szalai G. 2014. Hematologic and serum biochemical values of 4 species of Peromyscus mice and their hybrids. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci 53:336–343.
- Charles River. 2012. BALB/C mouse hematology. North American colonies. Charles River Laboratories International, Cambridge, MA. https://www .criver.com/sites/default/files/resources/BALBcMouseClinicalPathologyData .pdf. Accessed 6 February 2021.
- Wu PJ, Greeley EH, Hansen LG, Segre M. 1999. Hematology values from clinically healthy Peromyscus leucopus. J Zoo Wildl Med 30:589–590.
- Majchrzak-Gorecka M, Majewski P, Grygier B, Murzyn K, Cichy J. 2016. Secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI), a multifunctional protein in the host defense response. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 28:79–93. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2015.12.001.
- Zabieglo K, Majewski P, Majchrzak-Gorecka M, Wlodarczyk A, Grygier B, Zegar A, Kapinska-Mrowiecka M, Naskalska A, Pyrc K, Dubin A, Wahl SM, Cichy J. 2015. The inhibitory effect of secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI) on formation of neutrophil extracellular traps. J Leukoc Biol 98:99–106. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.4AB1114-543R.
- Taggart CC, Greene CM, McElvaney NG, O'Neill S. 2002. Secretory leucoprotease inhibitor prevents lipopolysaccharide-induced lκBα degradation without affecting phosphorylation or ubiquitination. J Biol Chem 277:33648–33653. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M203710200.
- Ashcroft GS, Lei K, Jin W, Longenecker G, Kulkarni AB, Greenwell-Wild T, Hale-Donze H, McGrady G, Song XY, Wahl SM. 2000. Secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor mediates non-redundant functions necessary for normal wound healing. Nat Med 6:1147–1153. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 80489.
- McCartney-Francis N, Jin W, Belkaid Y, McGrady G, Wahl SM. 2014. Aberrant host defense against Leishmania major in the absence of SLPI. J Leukoc Biol 96:917–929. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.4A0612-295RR.
- Nakamura A, Mori Y, Hagiwara K, Suzuki T, Sakakibara T, Kikuchi T, Igarashi T, Ebina M, Abe T, Miyazaki J, Takai T, Nukiwa T. 2003. Increased susceptibility to LPS-induced endotoxin shock in secretory leukoprotease inhibitor (SLPI)-deficient mice. J Exp Med 197:669–674. https://doi .org/10.1084/jem.20021824.
- Sugimoto MA, Vago JP, Teixeira MM, Sousa LP. 2016. Annexin A1 and the resolution of inflammation: modulation of neutrophil recruitment, apoptosis, and clearance. J Immunol Res 2016:8239258. https://doi.org/10 .1155/2016/8239258.

- Kong F, Saldarriaga OA, Spratt H, Osorio EY, Travi BL, Luxon BA, Melby PC. 2017. Transcriptional profiling in experimental visceral leishmaniasis reveals a broad splenic inflammatory environment that conditions macrophages toward a disease-promoting phenotype. PLoS Pathog 13: e1006165. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006165.
- Osorio EY, Zhao W, Espitia C, Saldarriaga O, Hawel L, Byus CV, Travi BL, Melby PC. 2012. Progressive visceral leishmaniasis is driven by dominant parasite-induced STAT6 activation and STAT6-dependent host arginase 1 expression. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002417. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal .ppat.1002417.
- MacMicking JD, Nathan C, Hom G, Chartrain N, Fletcher DS, Trumbauer M, Stevens K, Xie Q-w, Sokol K, Hutchinson N, Chen H, Mudget JS. 1995. Altered responses to bacterial infection and endotoxic shock in mice lacking inducible nitric oxide synthase. Cell 81:641–650. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0092-8674(95)90085-3.
- Massung RF, Priestley RA, Levin ML. 2004. Transmission route efficacy and kinetics of Anaplasma phagocytophilum infection in white-footed mouse, Peromyscus leucopus. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 4:310–318. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2004.4.310.
- Mlera L, Meade-White K, Saturday G, Scott D, Bloom ME. 2017. Modeling Powassan virus infection in Peromyscus leucopus, a natural host. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11:e0005346. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005346.
- Kruger TE, Miller AH, Godwin AK, Wang J. 2014. Bone sialoprotein and osteopontin in bone metastasis of osteotropic cancers. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 89:330–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2013.08.013.
- Castello LM, Baldrighi M, Molinari L, Salmi L, Cantaluppi V, Vaschetto R, Zunino G, Quaglia M, Bellan M, Gavelli F, Navalesi P, Avanzi GC, Chiocchetti A. 2019. The role of osteopontin as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in sepsis and septic shock. Cells 8:174. https://doi .org/10.3390/cells8020174.
- Guo H, Wai PY, Mi Z, Gao C, Zhang J, Kuo PC. 2008. Osteopontin mediates Stat1 degradation to inhibit iNOS transcription in a cecal ligation and puncture model of sepsis. Surgery 144:182–188. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.surg.2008.03.007.
- 94. Chudnovskiy A, Mortha A, Kana V, Kennard A, Ramirez JD, Rahman A, Remark R, Mogno I, Ng R, Gnjatic S, Amir ED, Solovyov A, Greenbaum B, Clemente J, Faith J, Belkaid Y, Grigg ME, Merad M. 2016. Host-protozoan interactions protect from mucosal infections through activation of the inflammasome. Cell 167:444–456.e14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016 .08.076.
- Belkacem N, Serafini N, Wheeler R, Derrien M, Boucinha L, Couesnon A, Cerf-Bensussan N, Gomperts Boneca I, Di Santo JP, Taha MK, Bourdet-Sicard R. 2017. Lactobacillus paracasei feeding improves immune control of influenza infection in mice. PLoS One 12:e0184976. https://doi.org/10 .1371/journal.pone.0184976.
- Vareille-Delarbre M, Miquel S, Garcin S, Bertran T, Balestrino D, Evrard B, Forestier C. 2019. Immunomodulatory effects of Lactobacillus plantarum on inflammatory response induced by Klebsiella pneumoniae. Infect Immun 87:e00570-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00570-19.
- Dodd D, Spitzer MH, Van Treuren W, Merrill BD, Hryckowian AJ, Higginbottom SK, Le A, Cowan TM, Nolan GP, Fischbach MA, Sonnenburg JL. 2017. A gut bacterial pathway metabolizes aromatic amino acids into nine circulating metabolites. Nature 551:648–652. https://doi.org/10 .1038/nature24661.
- Negatu DA, Gengenbacher M, Dartois V, Dick T. 2020. Indole propionic acid, an unusual antibiotic produced by the gut microbiota, with antiinflammatory and antioxidant properties. Front Microbiol 11:575586. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.575586.
- 99. Beeson PB, Roberts E. 1947. Tolerance to bacterial pyrogens: II. Role of the reticuloendothelial system. J Exp Med 86:39–44. https://doi.org/10 .1084/jem.86.1.39.
- Pena OM, Pistolic J, Raj D, Fjell CD, Hancock RE. 2011. Endotoxin tolerance represents a distinctive state of alternative polarization (M2) in human mononuclear cells. J Immunol 186:7243–7254. https://doi.org/10 .4049/jimmunol.1001952.
- 101. Committee for the Update of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Institute for Laboratory Animal Research, National Research Council. 2011. Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals, 8th ed. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.
- 102. Cook V, Barbour AG. 2015. Broad diversity of host responses of the white-footed mouse Peromyscus leucopus to Borrelia infection and antigens. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 6:549–558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis .2015.04.009.

- 103. Barbour AG, Bunikis J, Travinsky B, Hoen AG, Diuk-Wasser MA, Fish D, Tsao JI. 2009. Niche partitioning of Borrelia burgdorferi and Borrelia miyamotoi in the same tick vector and mammalian reservoir species. Am J Trop Med Hyg 81:1120–1131. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2009.09-0208.
- 104. Zhang Y, Lucius MD, Altomare D, Havighorst A, Farmaki E, Chatzistamou I, Shtutman M, Kiaris H. 2019. Coordination analysis of gene expression point to the relative impact of different regulators during endoplasmic reticulum stress. DNA Cell Biol 38:969–981. https://doi.org/10.1089/dna .2019.4910.
- 105. Fitzgerald BL, Graham B, Delorey MJ, Pegalajar-Jurado A, Islam MN, Wormser GP, Aucott JN, Rebman AW, Soloski MJ, Belisle JT, Molins CR. 2020. Metabolic response in patients with post-treatment Lyme disease symptoms/syndrome. Clin Infect Dis 2020:ciaa1455. https://doi.org/10 .1093/cid/ciaa1455.
- 106. Chambers MC, Maclean B, Burke R, Amodei D, Ruderman DL, Neumann S, Gatto L, Fischer B, Pratt B, Egertson J, Hoff K, Kessner D, Tasman N, Shulman N, Frewen B, Baker TA, Brusniak MY, Paulse C, Creasy D, Flashner L, Kani K, Moulding C, Seymour SL, Nuwaysir LM, Lefebvre B, Kuhlmann F, Roark J, Rainer P, Detlev S, Hemenway T, Huhmer A, Langridge J, Connolly B, Chadick T, Holly K, Eckels J, Deutsch EW, Moritz RL, Katz JE, Agus DB, MacCoss M, Tabb DL, Mallick P. 2012. A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. Nat Biotechnol 30:918–920. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2377.
- 107. Smith CA, Want EJ, O'Maille G, Abagyan R, Siuzdak G. 2006. XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. Anal Chem 78:779–787. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac051437y.
- 108. Wang W, Zhou H, Lin H, Roy S, Shaler TA, Hill LR, Norton S, Kumar P, Anderle M, Becker CH. 2003. Quantification of proteins and metabolites by mass spectrometry without isotopic labeling or spiked standards. Anal Chem 75:4818–4826. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac026468x.
- 109. Li S, Park Y, Duraisingham S, Strobel FH, Khan N, Soltow QA, Jones DP, Pulendran B. 2013. Predicting network activity from high throughput metabolomics. PLoS Comput Biol 9:e1003123. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pcbi.1003123.

- 110. MacLean B, Tomazela DM, Shulman N, Chambers M, Finney GL, Frewen B, Kern R, Tabb DL, Liebler DC, MacCoss MJ. 2010. Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. Bioinformatics 26:966–968. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg054.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34:525–527. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nbt.3519.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26:139–140. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616.
- 114. Chen EY, Tan CM, Kou Y, Duan Q, Wang Z, Meirelles GV, Clark NR, Ma'ayan A. 2013. Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool. BMC Bioinformatics 14:128. https://doi.org/10 .1186/1471-2105-14-128.
- 115. R Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https:// www.r-project.org.
- Langfelder P, Horvath S. 2008. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 9:559. https://doi.org/10 .1186/1471-2105-9-559.
- 117. Foroushani A, Agrahari R, Docking R, Chang L, Duns G, Hudoba M, Karsan A, Zare H. 2017. Large-scale gene network analysis reveals the significance of extracellular matrix pathway and homeobox genes in acute myeloid leukemia: an introduction to the Pigengene package and its applications. BMC Med Genomics 10:16. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12920-017-0253-6.
- 118. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol 57:289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x.