

Case report

Open Access

Perigraft air is not always pathological: a case report

Elizabeth Ball, Gareth Morris-Stiff*, Mari Coxon and Michael H Lewis

Address: Department of Surgery, Royal Glamorgan Hospital, Ynysmaerdy, Llantrisant, UK

Email: Elizabeth Ball - liz_ball@yahoo.com; Gareth Morris-Stiff* - garethmorrisstiff@hotmail.com; Mari Coxon - maricoxon@hotmail.com; Michael H Lewis - mike.lewis@pr-tr.wales.nhs.uk

* Corresponding author

Published: 12 August 2007

Received: 9 March 2007

Journal of Medical Case Reports 2007, 1:63 doi:10.1186/1752-1947-1-63

Accepted: 12 August 2007

This article is available from: <http://www.jmedicalcasereports.com/content/1/1/63>

© 2007 Ball et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: The presence of perigraft air is a common finding in the immediate post-operative phase following abdominal aortic aneurysm repair whilst the later appearance of air, in association with elevated inflammatory markers, is regarded as being indicative of the serious complication of graft infection. What is not known is at what timepoint following surgery does the perigraft air become a significant finding.

Case Presentation: We report the case of a 71 year old man who underwent a computed tomography scan 15 days following repair of an abdominal aortic aneurysm because of the presence of unexplained pyrexia. The scan showed the presence of perigraft air and a small haematoma. The patient was managed conservatively and after 6 weeks the air and haematoma had resolved completely.

Conclusion: The presence of perigraft air in the early postoperative phase is probably a normal finding, is not associated with graft infection and can be managed non-operatively.

Background

Intra-abdominal free gas is a normal finding in the immediate postoperative period following a laparotomy. Studies evaluating the role of computerised tomography (CT) scanning in the early postoperative period (within 7 days of surgery) following aortic aneurysm repair have similarly shown that periprosthetic air is a not uncommon finding and simply represents air trapped in the tissue planes between the graft and the aneurysm sac [1].

However, periprosthetic gas later in the postoperative period following abdominal aortic aneurysm repair is not such a benign finding and is said to be a reliable indicator of graft infection. This complication is associated with a mortality rate of 25–75% [1,2]. Few investigators have

looked at the early postoperative period following resolution of the 'laparotomy' air.

Case presentation

A 71 year old retired driver presented to the vascular clinic with a calf claudication distance of three hundred yards. He also complained of rest pain in his toes. He had been a non-smoker for thirteen years. His risk factors for peripheral vascular disease included diet-controlled diabetes and hypertension. He had suffered a left-sided stroke fifteen years prior to admission, from which he had made a full recovery. He was taking regular aspirin, allopurinol and an oral hypoglycaemic.

Examination of his abdomen revealed a tender pulsatile epigastric mass. All his peripheral pulses were present and

there were no bruits in either his femoral arteries or adductor canals. An ultrasound scan confirmed the presence of an abdominal aortic aneurysm measuring 5.2 × 5.5 cm, with normal calibre iliac vessels. A duplex scan showed a fifty percent stenosis in the mid-portion of the left superficial femoral artery. Because of the tenderness, the patient was admitted and underwent elective repair of his aneurysm using a woven polyester graft. The procedure was uncomplicated and no haemostatic agents were used.

On the fifth post-operative day he developed pyrexia of 38°C. His white cell count at that time was $9.2 \times 10^9/l$. Clinical examination was unremarkable. Urine, blood and wound cultures were sterile and a chest radiograph was unremarkable.

On the eighth post-operative day the patient complained of pain and loss of feeling in his left leg. On examination his foot was cool, and pedal pulses were impalpable. A duplex scan showed that the left superficial femoral artery was occluded throughout its length. The patient was taken to theatre for an emergency left femoral embolectomy. The procedure was successful, he made a good recovery and regained both sensation and movement in his leg.

Over the course of the subsequent week, the patient continued to exhibit a mild pyrexia despite absence of symptoms of graft infection such as malaise or back pain, and he did not experience any gastrointestinal tract bleeding. Furthermore, inflammatory markers including full blood count, C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate were normal and all cultures were sterile. A computed tomography scan on the fifteenth post-operative day using both intravenous and oral contrast (Figure 1) showed a cuff of abnormal soft tissue, consistent with a small perigraft haematoma, with gas bubbles surrounding the lower end of the graft.

He was closely observed for a further week. Repeat blood cultures were negative, and inflammatory markers remained within normal limits. As the patient was now afebrile and continued to be asymptomatic, the decision was made to discharge the patient. A repeat CT scan performed six weeks following his aortic surgery showed that the perigraft air had completely resolved and the haematoma had organised. The patient has been followed up for two years post-operatively and remains asymptomatic.

Discussion

Graft infection is a recognised but catastrophic complication of aortic bypass surgery, with mortality between 25 and 75% [1,3]. The corrective treatment also carries a high morbidity and mortality. Graft sepsis can be difficult to identify in the early post-operative period. The clinical



Figure 1
CT scan on 15th postoperative day demonstrating a rim of air around the graft.

presentation may be straightforward. However it can also present with non-specific symptoms such as malaise, back pain and fever. With such a high mortality it is vital to diagnose this potentially life-threatening condition as quickly as possible and CT is the imaging modality of choice.

There is very little data detailing the natural history of periprosthetic air in the early post-operative period. Two prospective studies have been performed with similar results. Qvardfordt *et al.* [2] studied 29 patients who underwent reconstructive aortic surgery, performing a CT scan at 7, 48 and 102 days post-operatively. Only 4 patients had perigraft air at 7 days, and this air had completely resolved by day 28. O'Hara *et al.* looked at 26 patients, scanning them on days 3, 7 and 52. Seventeen patients had perigraft air on day 3, and seven on day 7. No patient had residual perigraft air on the final scan.

There is however no data regarding perigraft air in the period 2–4 weeks following abdominal aortic surgery such as in our case. O'Hara *et al.* found that patients with larger aneurysms (especially over 6 cm) have a higher incidence of perigraft air being detected on an early CT scan. Our patient had loculated perigraft air on a CT scan performed two weeks after surgery which had resolved by 6 weeks.

In this case, as the inflammatory markers were normal, it is likely that the air identified on the CT scan simply represented air remaining following the initial repair that had not completely resolved. Another option is that this may have been indicative of a subclinical infection although this is less likely as no infection has become evident during 2 years of follow-up and there were no other signs of graft infection such as: persisting perigraft fluid; or pseudoaneurysm [1,4,5]. There was a little perigraft soft tissue attenuation which was believed to be due to a resolving haematoma in keeping with the recent surgery. In addition there were no signs associated with the presence of an aortoenteric fistula such as focal bowel wall thickening or paraprothhetic extravasation of enteric contrast or of intravenous contrast.

Had there been systemic evidence of infection then additional radiological investigations, in particular isotope studies such as indium-111 white blood cell, gallium-67 citrate, or Tc-99m hexametazime scanning could have been performed to try and identify perigraft infection [1].

We suggest that there is a need for further studies to accurately record the natural history of perigraft air in the first month following surgery, as not all cases may represent infected grafts. The question arises as to how often you repeat a CT scan having found post-operative perigraft air, and whether the finding of perigraft air with non-specific clinical symptoms indicates early graft infection, or a normal stage in the healing process.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The original idea was that of G Morris-Stiff and MH Lewis (consultant in charge of the case). The manuscript was written by E Mall and M Coxon and the manuscript was edited by G Morris-Stiff.

The authors confirm that informed written consent was received for publication of the manuscript.

References

- Orton DF, LeVeen RF, Saigh JA, Culp WC, Fidler JL, Lynch TJ, Gertzen TC, McCowan TC: **Aortic prosthetic graft infections: radiologic manifestations and implications for management.** *Radiographics* 2000, **20**:976-993.
- Qvarfordt PG, Reilly LM, Mark AS, Goldstone J, Wall SD, Ehrenfeld WK, Stoney RJ: **Computerised tomographic assessment of graft incorporation after aortic reconstruction.** *American Journal of Surgery* 1985, **150**:227-231.
- O'Hara PJ, Borkowski GP, Hertzner NR, O'Donovan PB, Brigham SL, Beven EG: **Natural history of periprosthetic air on computerized axial tomographic examination of the abdomen following abdominal aortic aneurysm repair.** *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 1984, **1**:429-433.
- Low RN, Wall SD, Jeffrey RB Jr, Sollitto RA, Reilly LM, Tierney LM: **Aortoenteric fistula and perigraft infection: evaluation with CT.** *Radiology* 1990, **175**:157-162.
- Peirce RM, Jenkins RH, MacEaney P: **Paraprothhetic extravasation of enteric contrast: a rare and direct sign of secondary aortoenteric fistula.** *American Journal of Roentgenology* 2005, **184**:S73-S74.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

