



Investigation of Nucleation and Growth at a Liquid–Liquid Interface by Solvent Exchange and Synchrotron Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering

Elyse A. Schriber¹, Daniel J. Rosenberg^{2,3}, Ryan P. Kelly¹, Anita Ghodsi¹ and J. Nathan Hohman^{1*}

¹Institute of Materials Science and Department of Chemistry, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, United States, ²Molecular Biophysics and Integrated Bioimaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States, ³Biophysics Group, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Cristina Leonelli, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

Reviewed by:

Dennis Douroumis, University of Greenwich, United Kingdom Stephan E. Wolf, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

> *Correspondence: J. Nathan Hohman james.hohman@uconn.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Solid State Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 12 August 2020 Accepted: 27 May 2021 Published: 20 July 2021

Citation:

Schriber EA, Rosenberg DJ, Kelly RP, Ghodsi A and Hohman J N (2021) Investigation of Nucleation and Growth at a Liquid–Liquid Interface by Solvent Exchange and Synchrotron Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering. Front. Chem. 9:593637. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2021.593637 Hybrid nanomaterials possess complex architectures that are driven by a self-assembly process between an inorganic element and an organic ligand. The properties of these materials can often be tuned by organic ligand variation, or by swapping the inorganic element. This enables the flexible fabrication of tailored hybrid materials with a rich variety of properties for technological applications. Liquid-liquid interfaces are useful for synthesizing these compounds as precursors can be segregated and allowed to interact only at the interface. Although procedurally straightforward, this is a complex reaction in an environment that is not easy to probe. Here, we explore the interfacial crystallization of mithrene, a supramolecular multi-quantum well. This material sandwiches a well-defined silver-chalcogenide layer between layers of organic ligands. Controlling mithrene crystal size and morphology to be useful for applications requires understanding details of its crystal growth, but the specific mechanism for this reaction remain only lightly investigated. We performed a study of mithrene crystallization at an oil-water interfaces to elucidate how the interfacial free energy affects nucleation and growth. We exchanged the oil solvent on the basis of solvent viscosity and surface tension, modifying the dynamic contact angle and interfacial free energy. We isolated and characterized the reaction byproducts via scanning electron microscopy (SEM). We also developed a high-throughput small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) technique to measure crystallization at short reaction timescales (minutes). Our results showed that modifying interfacial surface energy affects both the reaction kinetics and product size homogeneity and yield. Our SAXS measurements reveal the onset of crystallinity after only 15 min. These results provide a template for exploring directed synthesis of complex materials via experimental methods.

Keywords: mocha, metal-organic chalcogenolate, self-asssembly, hybrid material, mithrene

INTRODUCTION

The metal-organic chalcogenolates (MOCHas) of coinage metals (Cu, Ag, Au) and simple organic ligands have attracted interest as a class of hybrid nanostructured materials (Dance et al., 1984; Dance and Fisher, 1994; Yeung et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2009; Laibinis et al., 1991; Lavenn et al., 2016) with interesting optoelectronic properties (Veselska et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2017; Mak et al., 2010), mechanochemistry (Jodlowski et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2018), and intrinsic chemical tunability (Cheng

1

et al., 2014; Kumar et al., 2013). These compounds have properties reminiscent of monolayer materials but express them in their bulk state. Mithrene, silver (I) benzeneselenolate, is a well understood example of this material class. It is a direct gap semiconductor with a large exciton binding energy, that exhibits optical properties similar to transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers like MoS_2 (Chen et al., 2017; Trang et al., 2018; Maserati et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020). A classic synthetic method for mithrene is nucleation and growth occurring at an oil-water interface, in **Figure 1A** an image of the toluene-water interface and a schematic in **Figure 1B** help illustrate this synthetic environment.

The liquid-liquid interface offers a unique phase boundary for the formation of solid materials, including crystalline materials (Gautam et al., 2004) and low-dimensional organic materials like graphene (Biswas and Drzal, 2009). Biphasic synthesis can be used to grow large (>3 μ m) rhombic single crystals, providing a useful way to access complex materials via a straightforward synthetic approach. In our earlier studies, we examined the role of reagent concentration and temperature on its interfacial crystallization (Schriber et al., 2018; Forster et al., 2002) and their role in the assembly of both the target crystals and an amorphous byproduct (Schriber et al., 2018; Popple et al., 2018). These studies focused on modifying external components to the interfacial synthesis, as opposed to affecting the interface itself.

Here, we took inspiration from heterogeneous nucleation studies of aerosol and cloud drop formation at fluid interfaces in the atmosphere (Hienola et al., 2007) and focused our studies on modifying the liquid-liquid interface of this synthesis through solvent exchange. By switching out the organic solvent based on solvent viscosity and surface tension, we were able to establish that changes to the interfacial free energy and even inversion of the organic and aqueous component changes the reaction kinetics and the nucleation and growth process of mithrene. Using temperature reduction, we were able to isolate and identify the amorphous biproduct as the kinetic product, and mithrene as the thermodynamic product of this synthesis. In Figure 1C, the trend between temperature increase and decrease can be seen in a graphical image, with temperature on the *y*-axis and incubation time no the x-axis. Furthermore, using synchrotron small-angle X-ray scattering, we were able to observe the presence of crystalline mithrene 15 min into the synthesis, which far surpasses the time-resolution of methods like SEM.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Materials

Silver nitrate (>99%) and diphenyl diselenide (98%) were used as received by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and TCI America (Portland, OR). Toluene was used as received by EMD Millipore (Hayward, CA). 18.2 M Ω deionized water was supplied by Millipore (Billerica, MA). Glass scintillation vials and Thermo-FastTM 96-Well Full-Skirted Plates were purchased from Thomas





2

Scientific (24-400). Plastic conical 1.5 ml tubes were purchased from Thomas Scientific. Dichloromethane (99.6%) was used as received by Acros Organics. DMSO (99.7%) was used as received by Cambridge Isotope Labs Incorporated. Ethyl acetate (<99.5%) was used as received by Fisher Scientific. Cyclohexane (\geq 99%) was used as received by Avantor.

Preparation of Silver Benzeneselenolate (Mithrene)

Mithrene is prepared via crystallization at an immiscible liquid-liquid interface, as previously reported (Schriber et al., 2018). Volumetric 3.0 mM solutions of diphenyl diselenide (DPSe) and silver nitrate were prepared in toluene and water, respectively. Immiscible interfaces were obtained by first pipetting 3.0 ml of the aqueous silver nitrate into a 20 ml glass vial. 3 ml of the DPSe solution is gently pipetted onto the aqueous solution. Solutions were capped and allowed to rest on the benchtop for timed intervals. Samples were exposed to normal room lighting.

For the solvent exchange experiments, diphenyl diselenide was suspended in dichloromethane, DMSO, cyclohexane, ethyl acetate, and methyl ethyl ketone to make 3 mM stock solutions. These were pipetted into a 4-dram vial, along with 3 mM aqueous silver nitrate, with the less dense solvent layered on top. Each sample was allowed to incubate at room temperature for 3 days prior to imaging of the interface and harvesting of the product. Harvest was completed using two methods. The interface scooping method, angling the vial and scooping the interface with glass substrate that was rinsed in ethanol and allowed to dry and the dropcast method as detailed below for the time-interval SAXS.

To study crystallization at various time intervals, interfaces were prepared in triplicate and the reaction was quenched at each time interval. Reaction quenching was performed by removal of the aqueous silver nitrate layer via careful pipetting using 10 µL pipette and a 1 ml pipette to prevent breakage of the interface into the silver nitrate layer and product loss. The remaining DPSe solutions were swirled in the glass vial. The crystalline product adheres strongly to the glass, and the remaining DPSe solution was removed by decanting. Glass vials were then placed in a vacuum desiccator for 12 h to ensure that all toluene was removed from the reaction products. The reaction products were then suspended by sonicating for 15 s in 50/50 (v/v) D.I. water/ ethanol solvent for SAXS measurements. This suspension was then deposited in a plastic 1.5 ml microtubes, selected as the product has poor adhesion to the plastic. Additional brief sonication was sufficient to keep particles in suspension. For growths under 1 h, suspensions were combined from the products of three reactions (Schriber et al., 2018). While we cannot quantify if there is additional reaction between any residual precursors in the samples after preparation for SAXS measurements, SAXS is a contrast technique and each time point profile will overwhelmingly represent scattering contributions from the most prevalent species. Only in the case of ordered crystal growth will Bragg peaks be observed,

allowing us to qualitatively analyze the profiles for presence of crystalline mithrene.

For the cold synthesis, 3 mM of aqueous silver nitrate was added to a 4-dram vial prior to the gentle layering of 3 mM diphenyl diselenide in toluene. The sample was place in a refrigerator at 5°C and allowed to incubate for 3 days. After the allotted time, the product was harvested using the scooping method (Schriber et al., 2018) using silicon substrate.

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Samples were imaged on a Nanoscience instruments Phenom ProX scanning electron microscope at accelerating voltages between 5 and 15 kV. Samples were prepared on a glass substrate unless otherwise indicated.

Transmission Electron Microscopy

Transmission electron microscopy was performed on a ZEISS ULTRA-55 field emission scanning electron microscope in scanning transmission electron microscopy mode at accelerating voltages ranging between 15 and 30 kV. Suspended samples of mithrene prepared via the interfacial method for three days were deposited on a Ted Pella lacey carbon grid.

SAXS Sample Preparation and Data Collection

SAXS data was collected at the SIBYLS beamline (BL12.3.1), at the Advanced Light Source at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California (Classen et al., 2010). X-ray wavelength was set at $\lambda = 0.127 \text{ nm}$ and the sample-todetector distance was 2010 mm, resulting in scattering vector q, ranging from 0.1 nm^{-1} to 5 nm^{-1} . The scattering vector is defined as $q = 4\pi \sin\theta/\lambda$, where 2θ is the scattering angle. Data was collected using a Dectris PILATUS3X 2M detector at 20°C and processed as previously described (Dyer et al., 2014). Immediately prior to data collection, samples were deposited into 96-well plates, where three 30 µL samples at each time measurement was bracketed with two 30 µL blank 1:1 v/v D.I. water/ethanol samples. Samples are transferred from the 96-well plate to the X-ray beam by a TECAN liquid handling robot as previously reported(Hura et al., 2009; Dyer et al., 2014). Samples were exposed to the X-ray beam for a total of 30 s and scattering images were collected at a frame rate of 0.5 s for a total of 60 images. Two background samples were collected for each sample to reduce error in subtraction and to ensure that the process was not subject to instrument variations. Each collected image was circularly integrated and normalized for beam intensity to generate a 1-dimensional scattering profile by beamline specific software.

SAXS Data Analysis

The 1-dimensional scattering profile of each sample was background subtracted by each of the two corresponding solvents, producing two sets of background subtracted sample profiles and a third which averaged the two. Profiles were examined for radiation damage. Scattering profiles over the

A Methyl Ethyl Ketone D DMSO	B Ethyl Acetate Image: state	Cyclohexane F Dichloromethane
Solvent	Viscosity (cP)	Surface Tension (mN/m)
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	0.40	24.60
Cyclohexane	0.997	24.95
Ethyl Acetate	0.426	23.9
Toluene	0.56	28.53
Dichloromethane	0.43	28.12
DMSO	2.0	43.53

FIGURE 2 | (A)–(F) Images of each interface using different organic solvents. The dichloromethane interface inverted (F) and the DMSO interface broke, with product sinking to the bottom of the vial. The toluene interface is also imaged as a frame of reference. Table 1. Each solvent is listed with its literature viscosity in centipoise (cP), and its literature air-liquid surface tension in millinewtons-per-meter (mN/m).

30 s exposure were sequentially averaged together until radiation damage affects were seen to begin changing the scattering curve. Averaging was performed with web-based software FrameSlice (sibyls.als.lbl.gov/ran). Experimental SAXS profiles were analyzed from averaged 1-D data using SCÅTTER (Hura et al., 2009; Rambo and Tainer, 2013) and the Irena (Ilavsky and Jemian, 2009) SAS macros for Igor Pro (Wavemetrics Inc.).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Solvent Exchange and Cold Synthesis

Under standard interfacial environment conditions, 3 days of incubation yields ~1 mg of product total, including both the crystalline mithrene product and the amorphous polymer

byproduct. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging of harvested crystals show significant presence of mithrene well-defined edges and crystallites with standard rhomboidal morphology with the presence of the filamentous polymer. In Figure 1C, a high-resolution TEM image shows the polymer coating the surface of a ${\sim}2\,\mu m$ mithrene crystallite, where the step edges are well resolved, and the individual strands of the byproduct can be distinguished. Exchanging out the organic solvent resulted in shifting reaction kinetics, mithrene edge morphology, and overall product yield and size as compared to the toluene synthesis.

The density of dichloromethane is higher than that of water. Using this organic solvent required adding the organic solvent first and then layering the aqueous phase. After three days of



FIGURE 3 | (A)-(E) Scanning electron micrographs of each product from the solvent exchange syntheses, with products imaged from both dropcasted sample and interface harvested sample using the scooping method.

incubation, some of the aqueous phase had become sandwiched in between a thin layer of organic phase on the surface and the majority of the organic phase still on the bottom. In Figure 2F, this inversion of the interface can be seen after 3 days of incubation. The resultant product was neither rich in the amorphous byproduct or mithrene crystallites, a "pocket" of byproduct and very thin crystallites was observed under the SEM. Figure 3A depicts this pocket of mithrene crystallites with the standard rhomboidal morphology, but very little presence of the polymer byproduct. We attribute this significant loss of product to the fact that only a thin organic phase was present above the aqueous phase, so the lack of diphenyl diselenide precursor to silver nitrate became the limiting factor when harvesting product using the interface scooping method. Using the drop-casting method, where product from the inverted interface was also harvested, also showed minimal presence of crystallites as well, suggesting that inverting the interface changes the interfacial environment enough to reduce product yield and there is synthetic reliance on the organic solvent and precursor being layered on the aqueous phase. DMSO is somewhat miscible in water, therefore, after three days of incubation, diffusion into the aqueous solvent had produced no visible interface, and the majority of the product had aggregated at the bottom of the glass vial. In Figure 2D, the broken interface and aggregation at the bottom can be seen. Even with the broken interface, the DMSO synthesis had the highest yield of mithrene crystallites with the classic rhomboidal shape and sharp edges. These crystals were smaller and more homogenous in size, (~1 µm) see Figure 3B. This is reminiscent of the mithrene crystals produced using a different solvothermal synthesis with benzeneselenol as the selenium precursor. There was very little presence of the amorphous polymer byproduct. We believe that the

slow diffusion of the DMSO into the aqueous layer overtime still allowed for mithrene crystals to form at an interface, but the reducing interfacial surface tension caused the polymer raft to break and the products then sunk to the bottom of the vial.

Methyl ethyl ketone produced an interface with the aqueous layer that had the flattest meniscus, comparative to the other solvents that produced an interface, see Figure 2A. This synthesis yielded very small mithrene crystallites (>500 nm), compared to the toluene synthesis, embedded in the amorphous polymer product. The interface between the ethyl acetate and the aqueous layer had a more curved meniscus, and an exceptionally small quantity of mithrene was recovered, see Figures 2B, 3D for reference. The amorphous polymer was favored, and its diameter was thicker and more rod-like than prior syntheses. Some exceptionally thin mithrene crystals were observed after dropcasting, shown in figure Figure 3D (bottom). The meniscus between cyclohexane and the aqueous layer has the most curvature, shown in Figure 2C. At the interface, there was less mithrene present than DMSO, and these crystals, while stoichiometrically the same as mithrene, had different morphologies. In Figure 3E, there are some thick classic mithrene crystals, surrounded by small immature or misshapen mithrene crystals, the smaller crystals do not have sharp edges and have heterogenous morphologies with both thin and thick areas on individual crystals.

The cold synthesis was performed to identify whether the amorphous polymer or the mithrene crystallites were the thermodynamic or kinetic product of the reaction. Under room temperature conditions, after 3 days, there is presence of both mithrene and the amorphous polymer, so differentiating between the two requires removal of energy. After three days of incubation in a 4°C environment, there is no presence of mithrene crystallites, and instead a heavy coating of the amorphous polymer, that adopts a more rigid rod-like morphology. In



Figures 4A–D comparison of 12 h of incubation time at room temperature vs. 3 days in 4°C shows that mithrene crystals do not grow in cold temperatures, but the polymer product grows in abundance. This is significant evidence that points toward the mithrene product being the thermodynamic product and the

Small-Angle X-Ray Scattering of Mithrene

polymer, the kinetic product. It also provides a method for

In order to detect the presence of early time-stage synthetic products, a synchrotron-based SAXS source was used (Classen et al., 2013) which minimizes background and produces high intensity scattering. This instrument provided the resolution to detect the presence of the highest intensity (002) diffraction peak of mithrene in the SAXS profiles at extremely low concentrations and early synthetic time points. Unlike diffraction techniques, where interatomic distances between atoms in a crystal lattice are derived from light scattering by using the Bragg equation, scattering from amorphous or semicrystalline polymers is described in terms of electron density at a point in reciprocal space. The information in a SAXS profile can include d-spacing from larger spaces between lattices, but also includes scattering from any electron density seen by the X-ray beam and is described by the magnitude of the scattering wavevector.

Mithrene has a diffraction peak corresponding to the (002) crystallographic plane that appears at 4.4 nm^{-1} or at a d-spacing of 1.46 nm. This lattice spacing is observed in the SAXS regime of reciprocal space. Despite the random orientation of suspended crystallites, they will diffract to high intensity. Solution methods allow for concentrating of the early phase products, therefore the possibility of crystallites being seen via solution SAXS is much higher than that of GIWAXS, where only one reaction can be probed per thin film.

Using the quenching method as described in the experimental section, the reaction was stopped at different time points starting with 5 min, 15 min, 1 h, and then hourly until 24 h into the synthesis. Taking advantage of the high-throughput SAXS endstation (Hura et al., 2009), that allowed for rapid data collection from a large number of samples, we were able to collect data on all time points within an hour. After 15 min, a broad diffraction peak was present at 4.4 nm^{-1} in all sample SAXS profiles with sufficient sample concentration to produce adequate scattering profiles.

isolating the polymer byproduct.



FIGURE 5 | Solution SAXS profiles of early stage mithrene samples from 5 min to 24 h. Each profile has been normalized to intensity. Qualitatively, the profiles in the lower q regime differ significantly. This could be attributed to aggregation of sample in solution, as non-ideal solution was used and samples from early time scales will have less product presence. This difference could also be attributed to the size heterogeneity of the polymer product in solution.



In the case of our experiment, we were able to trace the emergence of crystalline order to <15 min using this method, where a broad peak at 4.4 nm^{-1} corresponding with the (002) crystallographic plane of the mithrene unit cell (Cuthbert et al., 2002; Schriber et al., 2018) appears in solutions from reactions quenched after 15 min of growth, but is not present in profiles from 5 min of growth, see **Figures 5**, **6**. In each SAXS profile, we have contributions from both the amorphous polymer and the crystalline mithrene phase, thus producing a distribution of experimental intensities throughout each scattering profile. In earlier time points (**Figure 5**), where the mithrene (002) peak is either not present or is very broad and low intensity, the scattering profile is dominated by the amorphous polymer that

can form clusters with significant size polydispersity and different spatial configurations. In order to obtain structural information from these SAXS profiles, determination of the scattering origins and developing a method for interpreting the scattering is essential. While past efforts by Vonk and Kortleve (1967), Vonk (1973) and recently, Li et al. (2019) have been successful at developing SAXS models for similar polymer systems, deconvoluting and modeling scattering contributions from both the mithrene system and the amorphous polymer is challenging and beyond the scope of this manuscript. Otherwise, overall intensity is dependent on concentration, which was not quantified during this experiment, but does not alter the location of a diffraction peak.

CONCLUSION

Biphasic conditions present a difficult environment for performing *in-situ* experiments, where recreating conditions can often be plagued with environmental variables that are incompatible with standard methods. The reaction involves the organization of components into structurally well-defined aggregates (Huie, 2003). In many systems, it is both impractical and impossible to change the parameters that determine the behavior of the system and this makes studying each component's role in the formation of the aggregates difficult (Whitesides and Grzybowski, 2002). While modeling provides the best analysis at the interface, it is also time-consuming and computationally expensive, especially when multiple phases are present. Altering solvent viscosity and the interfacial surface tension provides a tool for studying some aspects of the interfacial environment without having to resort to such methods. We determined that mithrene interfacial synthesis itself is robust but that changes to the interfacial environment will change the product yield, the reaction kinetics and the ratio between the polymer product and mithrene crystallites. The cold synthesis allowed us to identify the kinetic and thermodynamic products in the reaction and provided a useful synthetic method for isolating the two products of this reaction for individual analysis, something that had not been possible prior. The SAXS analysis provided a useful tool for observing the early-stage crystal product of the mithrene synthesis using the standard toluene-water interface. While it is difficult to quantify whether or not additional reorganization of the samples occurred between reaction quenching and placement in the X-ray beam, this was deemed unnecessary as a contrast technique like SAXS produces scattering from the most prevalent phase in the sample, and the location of the Bragg peak observed matches literature values for mithrene. The ex-situ approach presented that utilizes the high-throughput SAXS pipeline allows for analysis of large numbers of samples at multiple time points to be characterized. In-situ observation of crystal growth at the liquid-liquid interface is feasible using synchrotron sources and will be a future area of study for these systems.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre 186570.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The experiments were conceived and designed by ES and JH and were executed and analyzed by ES., DR., RK., AG, and JH. Experiments at the synchrotron were performed by DR and ES and the data analyzed by ES. Imaging was performed by JH, RK, and AG. The manuscript was written through contributions from all authors.

FUNDING

Work at Beamline 12.3.1 of the ALS was supported through the Integrated Diffraction Analysis Technologies (IDAT) program, supported by DOE Office of Biological

REFERENCES

- Biswas, S., and Drzal, L. T. (2009). A Novel Approach to Create a Highly Ordered Monolayer Film of Graphene Nanosheets at the Liquid–Liquid Interface. *Nano Lett.* 9, 167–172. doi:10.1021/nl802724f
- Chen, Y.-C., Lu, A.-Y., Lu, P., Yang, X., Jiang, C.-M., and Mariano, M. (2017). Structurally Deformed MoS2 for Electrochemically Stable, Thermally Resistant, and Highly Efficient Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Adv. Mater.* 29, 1703863. doi:10.1002/adma.201703863
- Cheng, R., Jiang, S., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., Weiss, N., and Cheng, H.-C. (2014). Fewlayer Molybdenum Disulfide Transistors and Circuits for High-Speed Flexible Electronics. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 5143. doi:10.1038/ncomms6143
- Classen, S., Hura, G. L., Holton, J. M., Rambo, R. P., Rodic, I., and McGuire, P. J. (2013). Implementation and Performance of SIBYLS: a Dual Endstation Small-Angle X-ray Scattering and Macromolecular Crystallography Beamline at the Advanced Light Source. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 46, 1–13. doi:10.1107/ S0021889812048698
- Classen, S., Rodic, I., Holton, J., Hura, G. L., Hammel, M., and Tainer, J. A. (2010). Software for the High-Throughput Collection of SAXS Data Using an Enhanced Blu-Ice/DCS Control System. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 17, 774–781. doi:10.1107/S0909049510028566
- Cuthbert, H. L., Wallbank, A. I., Taylor, N. J., and Corrigan, J. F. (2002). Synthesis and Structural Characterization of $[Cu_{20}Se_4(\mu_3-SePh)(PPh_3)_6]$ and $[Ag(SePh)]\infty$. Z. Für Anorg. Allg. Chem. 628, 2483–2488. doi:10.1002/1521-3749(200211)628:11<2483::AID-ZAAC2483>3.0.CO;2-U
- Dance, I., and Fisher, K. (1994). Metal Chalcogenide Cluster Chemistry. Met. Chalcogenide Clust. Chem. 41, 637–803.
- Dance, I. G., Choy, A., and Scudder, M. L. (1984). Syntheses, Properties, and Molecular and crystal Structures of (Me₄N)₄₄[E₄M₁₀(SPh)₁₆] (E=S, Se; M=Zn, Cd): Molecular Supertetrahedral Fragments of the Cubic Metal Chalcogenide Lattice. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 6285–6295. doi:10.1021/ja00333a030
- Dyer, K. N., Hammel, M., Rambo, R. P., Tsutakawa, S. E., Rodic, I., and Classen, S. (2014). "High-Throughput SAXS for the Characterization of Biomolecules in Solution: A Practical Approach," in *In* Structural Genomics: General Applications *Methods in Molecular Biology*. Editor Y. W. Chen (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press), 245–258. doi:10.1007/978-1-62703-691-7_18
- Forster, P. M., Thomas, P. M., and Cheetham, A. K. (2002). Biphasic Solvothermal Synthesis: A New Approach for Hybrid Inorganic–Organic Materials. *Chem. Mater.* 14, 17–20. doi:10.1021/cm010820q

and Environmental Research. Additional support comes from a High-End Instrumentation Grant \$100D018483.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work at the Molecular Foundry was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. Work at Beamline 12.3.1 of the Advanced Light Source, a U.S. DOE Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 is supported in part by the ALS-ENABLE program funded by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, grant P30 GM124169-01. The authors would like to thank Derek Popple for experimental support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2021.593637/full#supplementary-material.

- Gautam, U. K., Ghosh, M., and Rao, C. N. R. (2004). Template-Free Chemical Route to Ultrathin Single-Crystalline Films of CuS and CuO Employing the Liquid–Liquid Interface. *Langmuir* 20, 10775–10778. doi:10.1021/ la048040u
- Hienola, A. I., Winkler, P. M., Wagner, P. E., Vehkamäki, H., Lauri, A., and Napari, I. (2007). Estimation of Line Tension and Contact Angle from Heterogeneous Nucleation Experimental Data. J. Chem. Phys. 126, 094705. doi:10.1063/1. 2565769
- Hu, L., Zhang, Z., Zhang, M., Efremov, M. Y., Olson, E. A., and de la Rama, L. P. (2009). Self-Assembly and Ripening of Polymeric Silver–Alkanethiolate Crystals on Inert Surfaces. *Langmuir* 25, 9585–9595. doi:10.1021/la900898r
- Huie, J. C. (2003). Guided Molecular Self-Assembly: a Review of Recent Efforts. Smart Mater. Struct. 12, 264–271. doi:10.1088/0964-1726/12/2/315
- Hura, G. L., Menon, A. L., Hammel, M., Rambo, R. P., Poole, F. L., II, and Tsutakawa, S. E. (2009). Robust, High-Throughput Solution Structural Analyses by Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS). *Nat. Methods* 6, 606–612. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1353
- Ilavsky, J., and Jemian, P. R. (2009). Irena: Tool Suite for Modeling and Analysis of Small-Angle Scattering. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 42, 347–353. doi:10.1107/ S0021889809002222
- Jodlowski, A. D., Yépez, A., Luque, R., Camacho, L., and de Miguel, G. (2016). Benign-by-Design Solventless Mechanochemical Synthesis of Three-, Two-, and One-Dimensional Hybrid Perovskites. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 55, 14972–14977. doi:10.1002/anie.201607397
- Kumar, R., Jayaramulu, K., Kumar Maji, T., and Rao, C. N. R. (2013). Hybrid Nanocomposites of ZIF-8 with Graphene Oxide Exhibiting Tunable Morphology, Significant CO 2 Uptake and Other Novel Properties. *Chem. Commun.* 49, 4947–4949. doi:10.1039/C3CC00136A
- Laibinis, P. E., Whitesides, G. M., Allara, D. L., Tao, Y. T., Parikh, A. N., and Nuzzo, R. G. (1991). Comparison of the Structures and Wetting Properties of Self-Assembled Monolayers of N-Alkanethiols on the Coinage Metal Surfaces, Copper, Silver, and Gold. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 7152–7167. doi:10.1021/ ja00019a011
- Lavenn, C., Guillou, N., Monge, M., Podbevšek, D., Ledoux, G., and Fateeva, A. (2016). Shedding Light on an Ultra-bright Photoluminescent Lamellar Gold Thiolate Coordination Polymer [Au(p-SPhCO2Me)]n. Chem. Commun. 52, 9063–9066. doi:10.1039/C5CC10448C
- Li, X.-Y., Ding, J.-J., Liu, Y.-P., and Tian, X.-Y. (2019). A New Small-Angle X-ray Scattering Model for Polymer Spherulites with a Limited Lateral Size of the Lamellar Crystals. *IUCrJ* 6, 968–983. doi:10.1107/S2052252519011035

- Mak, K. F., Lee, C., Hone, J., Shan, J., and Heinz, T. F. (2010). Atomically Thin MoS₂: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 136805. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevLett.105.136805
- Maserati, L., Refaely-Abramson, S., Kastl, C., Chen, T., Borys, C., J., and Eisler, C. N. (2020). Anisotropic 2D Excitons Unveiled in Organic–Inorganic Quantum wells. *Mater. Horiz.* 8 (1), 197–208. doi:10.1039/C9MH01917K
- Popple, D. C., Schriber, E. A., Yeung, M., and Hohman, J. N. (2018). Competing Roles of Crystallization and Degradation of a Metal-Organic Chalcogenolate Assembly under Biphasic Solvothermal Conditions. *Langmuir* 34 (47), 14265–14273. doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b03282
- Rambo, R. P., and Tainer, J. A. (2013). Accurate Assessment of Mass, Models and Resolution by Small-Angle Scattering. *Nature* 496, 477–481. doi:10.1038/ nature12070
- Schriber, E. A., Popple, D. C., Yeung, M., Brady, M. A., Corlett, S. A., and Hohman, J. N. (2018). Mithrene Is a Self-Assembling Robustly Blue Luminescent Metal–Organic Chalcogenolate Assembly for 2D Optoelectronic Applications. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 1, 3498–3508. doi:10.1021/acsanm. 8b00662
- Trang, B., Yeung, M., Popple, D. C., Schriber, E. A., Brady, M. A., and Kuykendall, T. R. (2018). Tarnishing Silver Metal into Mithrene. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (42), 13892–13903. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b08878
- Veselska, O., Dessal, C., Melizi, S., Guillou, N., Podbevšek, D., and Ledoux, G. (2019). New Lamellar Silver Thiolate Coordination Polymers with Tunable Photoluminescence Energies by Metal Substitution. *Inorg. Chem.* 58, 99–105. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b01257
- Vonk, C. G. (1973). Investigation of Non-ideal Two-phase Polymer Structures by Small-Angle X-ray Scattering. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 6, 81–86. doi:10.1107/ S0021889873008204
- Vonk, C. G., and Kortleve, G. (1967). X-ray Small-Angle Scattering of Bulk Polyethylene. *Kolloid-z. Z. Für Polym.* 220, 19–24. doi:10.1007/BF02086052

- Whitesides, G. M., and Grzybowski, B. (2002). Self-Assembly at All Scales. *Science* 295, 2418–2421. doi:10.1126/science.1070821
- Yan, H., Hohman, J. N., Li, F. H., Jia, C., Solis-Ibarra, D., and Wu, B. (2017). Hybrid Metal–Organic Chalcogenide Nanowires with Electrically Conductive Inorganic Core through Diamondoid-Directed Assembly. *Nat. Mater.* 16, 349–355. doi:10.1038/nmat4823
- Yan, H., Yang, F., Pan, D., Lin, Y., Hohman, J. N., and Solis-Ibarra, D. (2018). Sterically Controlled Mechanochemistry under Hydrostatic Pressure. *Nature* 554, 505–510. doi:10.1038/nature25765
- Yao, K., Collins, M. S., Nell, K. M., Barnard, E. S., Borys, N. J., and Kuykendall, T. (2020). Strongly Quantum-Confined Blue-Emitting Excitons in Chemically Configurable Multiquantum Wells. ACS Nano 15 (3), 4085–4092. doi:10.1021/ acsnano.0c08096
- Yeung, M., Popple, D. C., Schriber, E. A., Teat, S. J., Beavers, C. M., and Demessence, A. (2020). Corrosion of Late- and Post-Transition Metals into Metal–Organic Chalcogenolates and Implications for Nanodevice Architectures. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 3, 3568–3577. doi:10.1021/acsanm. 0c00057

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Schriber, Rosenberg, Kelly, Ghodsi and Hohman. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.