Genome-wide association meta-analysis of human longevity identifies a novel locus conferring survival beyond 90 years of age

Joris Deelen^{1,2}, Marian Beekman^{1,2,†}, Hae-Won Uh³, Linda Broer^{2,6}, Kristin L. Ayers⁸, Qihua Tan^{9,11}, Yoichiro Kamatani¹³, Anna M. Bennet¹⁴, Riin Tamm^{15,16}, Stella Trompet^{4,5}, Daníel F. Guðbjartsson¹⁷, Friederike Flachsbart¹⁸, Giuseppina Rose²⁰, Alexander Viktorin¹⁴, Krista Fischer¹⁵, Marianne Nygaard^{9,11}, Heather J. Cordell⁸, Paolina Crocco²⁰, Erik B. van den Akker^{1,21}, Stefan Böhringer³, Quinta Helmer³, Christopher P. Nelson^{22,23}, Gary I. Saunders²⁴, Maris Alver^{15,16}, Karen Andersen-Ranberg⁹, Marie E. Breen^{25,26}, Ruud van der Breggen^{1,†}, Amke Caliebe¹⁹, Miriam Capri²⁷, Elisa Cevenini^{27,†}, Joanna C. Collerton^{29,†}, Serena Dato^{20,†}, Karen Davies^{29,†}, Ian Ford³⁰, Jutta Gampe^{32,†}, Paolo Garagnani²⁷, Eco J.C. de Geus^{33,34}, Jennifer Harrow²⁴, Diana van Heemst⁵, Bastiaan T. Heijmans^{1,2}, Femke-Anouska Heinsen¹⁸, Jouke-Jan Hottenga³³, Albert Hofman^{2,6}, Bernard Jeune^{9,†}, Palmi V. Jonsson^{35,36}, Mark Lathrop^{13,34,38,†}, Doris Lechner³⁷, Carmen Martin-Ruiz²⁹, Susan E. Mcnerlan^{25,39,†}, Evelin Mihailov^{15,40}, Alberto Montesanto²⁰, Simon P. Mooijaart^{2,5}, Anne Murphy^{25,†}, Ellen A. Nohr^{41,10}, Lavinia Paternoster⁴², Iris Postmus^{2,5}, Fernando Rivadeneira^{2,6,7}, Owen A. Ross^{25,43}, Stefano Salvioli²⁷, Naveed Sattar⁴⁴, Stefan Schreiber^{18,45,†}, Hreinn Stefánsson¹⁷, David J. Stott³¹, Henning Tiemeier^{2,6,46}, André G. Uitterlinden^{2,6,7}, Rudi G.J. Westendorp^{2,5,†}, Gonneke Willemsen³³, Nilesh J. Samani^{22,23}, Pilar Galan⁴⁷, Thorkild I.A. Sørensen^{48,49}, Dorret I. Boomsma³³, J. Wouter Jukema^{4,50}, Irene Maeve Rea^{25,†}, Giuseppe Passarino^{20,†}, Anton J.M. de Craen^{5,†}, Kaare Christensen^{9,11,12,†}, Almut Nebel^{18,†}, Kári Stefánsson¹⁷, Andres Metspalu^{15,16,40}, Patrik Magnusson¹⁴, Hélène Blanché^{13,†}, Lene Christiansen^{9,11}, Thomas B.L. Kirkwood^{29,†}, Cornelia M. van Duijn^{2,6}, Claudio Franceschi^{27,28,51,52,†}, Jeanine J. Houwing-Duistermaat³ and P. Eline Slagboom^{1,2,†,*}

¹Department of Molecular Epidemiology, ²Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Ageing, ³Department of Medical Statistics and Bioinformatics, ⁴Department of Cardiology and ⁵Department of Gerontology and Geriatrics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden 2300 RC, The Netherlands, ⁶Department of Epidemiology and ⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam 3000 CA, The Netherlands ⁸Institute of Genetic Medicine, International Centre for Life, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 3BZ, UK, ⁹Epidemiology, Institute of Public Health and ¹⁰Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Institute of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense C DK-5000, Denmark, ¹¹Department of Clinical Genetics and ¹²Clinical Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Odense University Hospital, Odense C DK-5000, Denmark, ¹³Fondation Jean Dausset-CEPH, Paris 75010, France, ¹⁴Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm SE-171 77, Sweden, ¹⁵Estonian Genome Center and ¹⁶Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Tartu, Tartu 51010, Estonia, ¹⁷Population Genomics,

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Molecular Epidemiology Section, Leiden University Medical Center, Zone S5-P, PO Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands. Tel: +31 715269731/69730; Fax: +31 715268280; Email: p.slagboom@lumc.nl [†]On behalf of the GEHA consortium. A full list of consortium members is provided in Supplementary Material.

deCODE Genetics, Reykjavík 101, Iceland, ¹⁸Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology and ¹⁹Institute of Medical Informatics and Statistics, Christian-Albrechts-University, Kiel 24105, Germany, ²⁰Department of Biology, Ecology and Earth Science, University of Calabria, Rende 87036, Italy, ²¹Delft Bioinformatics Lab, Delft University of Technology, Delft 2600 GA, The Netherlands, ²²Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester LE3 9QP, UK, ²³National Institute for Health Research Leicester Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester LE3 9QP, UK, ²⁴Human and Vertebrate Analysis and Annotation, The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, The Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK, ²⁵School of Medicine, Dentistry and Biomedical Science, Queens University Belfast, Belfast BT9 7BL, UK, ²⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA, ²⁷Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine and ²⁸Interdepartmental Centre 'L. Galvani', University of Bologna, Bologna 40126, Italy, ²⁹Institute for Ageing and Health, Newcastle University, Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle upon Tyne NE4 5PL, UK, ³⁰Robertson Center for Biostatistics and ³¹Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK, ³²Laboratory of Statistical Demography, Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, Rostock 18057, Germany, ³³Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam 1081 BT, The Netherlands, ³⁴EMGO Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam 1081 BT, The Netherlands, ³⁵Geriatrics, Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavik 101, Iceland, ³⁶Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik 101, Iceland, ³⁷Institut de Génomique, CEA, Évry 91057, France, ³⁸McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3A 1A4, ³⁹Cytogenetics Laboratory, Belfast Health and Social Care Trust, Belfast BT8 8BH, UK, ⁴⁰Estonian Biocentre, Tartu 51010, Estonia, ⁴¹Section for Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus C DK-8000, Denmark, ⁴²MRC Centre for Causal Analyses in Translational Epidemiology, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2BN, UK, ⁴³Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA, ⁴⁴BHF Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, Faculty of Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8TA, UK, ⁴⁵PopGen Biobank, Christian-Albrechts-University and University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel 24105, Germany, ⁴⁶Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus Medical Center-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam 3000 CA, The Netherlands, ⁴⁷Université Sorbonne Paris Cité-UREN (Unité de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle), U557 Inserm; U1125 Inra; Cnam; Université Paris 13, CRNH IdF, Bobigny 93017, France, ⁴⁸Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Section on Metabolic Genetics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen N DK-2200, Denmark, ⁴⁹Institute of Preventive Medicine, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg University Hospitals, Frederiksberg DK-2000, Denmark, ⁵⁰Interuniversity Cardiology Institute of the Netherlands, Utrecht 3501 DG, The Netherlands, ⁵¹IRCCS Institute of Neurological Science, Bellaria Hospital, Bologna 40139, Italy and ⁵²CNR-ISOF, Bologna 40129, Italy

Received December 11, 2013; Revised March 6, 2014; Accepted March 25, 2014

The genetic contribution to the variation in human lifespan is \sim 25%. Despite the large number of identified disease-susceptibility loci, it is not known which loci influence population mortality. We performed a genome-wide association meta-analysis of 7729 long-lived individuals of European descent (>85 years) and 16 121 younger controls (<65 years) followed by replication in an additional set of 13 060 long-lived individuals and 61 156 controls. In addition, we performed a subset analysis in cases aged \geq 90 years. We observed genomewide significant association with longevity, as reflected by survival to ages beyond 90 years, at a novel locus, rs2149954, on chromosome 5q33.3 (OR = 1.10, $P = 1.74 \times 10^{-8}$). We also confirmed association of rs4420638 on chromosome 19q13.32 (OR = 0.72, $P = 3.40 \times 10^{-36}$), representing the TOMM40/APOE/APOC1 locus. In a prospective meta-analysis (n = 34103), the minor allele of rs2149954 (T) on chromosome 5q33.3 associates with increased survival (HR = 0.95, P = 0.003). This allele has previously been reported to associate with low blood pressure in middle age. Interestingly, the minor allele (T) associates with decreased cardiovascular mortality risk, independent of blood pressure. We report on the first GWAS-identified longevity locus on chromosome 5g33.3 influencing survival in the general European population. The minor allele of this locus associates with low blood pressure in middle age, although the contribution of this allele to survival may be less dependent on blood pressure. Hence, the pleiotropic mechanisms by which this intragenic variation contributes to lifespan regulation have to be elucidated.

INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, human life expectancy has increased remarkably over the last two centuries (1), although the healthy life expectancy lags behind. Citizens of the European Union, for example, spend only 75-80% of their lifespan in good health (2). Families in which longevity clusters form an exception in this sense, by showing beneficial or 'youthful' profiles for many metabolic and immune-related parameters (3-7) and a low prevalence of common diseases from middle age onwards (5,8,9). Therefore, the genome of long-lived individuals is investigated to identify variants that promote healthy aging and protect against age-related disease. This is a major challenge because the genetic component of lifespan variation in the population at large has been estimated to be only $\sim 25\%$ (10,11) and is assumed to be determined by many, still uncharacterized, genes (12,13). Genetic influences on human longevity are expected to reflect longevity assurance mechanisms acting across species (14), as well as more heterogeneous populationspecific effects. Although numerous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have successfully identified loci involved in common, age-related diseases (15), the corresponding susceptibility loci do not explain the genetic component of human longevity (16). GWAS for human longevity have thus far failed to identify genome-wide significant loci, besides the well-known TOMM40/APOE/APOC1 locus (17-19).

In this paper, we conducted a large genome-wide association meta-analysis of human longevity in 14 studies with long-lived cases (\geq 85 years) and younger controls (<65 years) from European descent. In addition, we performed a subset analysis in cases aged \geq 90 years. The novel longevity locus we identified was tested for association with prospective (cause-specific) mortality in a meta-analysis of 11 European cohorts and examined for association with various metabolic traits that may explain the mechanism by which the locus contributes to survival to high ages.

RESULTS

Genome-wide association analysis

In order to identify novel loci involved in lifespan regulation, we conducted a meta-analysis on GWAS data of 7729 long-lived cases (\geq 85 years) and 16121 younger controls (<65 years) from 14 studies originating from 7 European countries (Supplementary Material, Table S1). For each study, cases and controls originated from the same country. Given the higher heritability of longevity at older ages (11,20), we performed a subset analysis in which we compared cases aged ≥ 90 years (n = 5406) with 15112 controls (<65 years) from the corresponding control cohorts. Replication was performed in 13 060 cases aged \geq 85 years (of which 7330 were \geq 90 years) and 61 156 controls from 6 additional studies, of which 3 originated from European countries not represented in the discovery phase meta-analysis (Supplementary Material, Table S1). Analysis of each study was performed using a logistic regression-based method, and results were adjusted for study-specific genomic inflation factors (λ) (Supplementary Material, Table S2). Meta-analysis was performed on 2 480 356 (\geq 85 years) and 2 470 825 (\geq 90 years) imputed SNPs using a fixed-effect approach, and results

were further adjusted for the overall genomic inflation factor ($\lambda = 1.019$) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). A flow chart of the consecutive analysis steps is depicted in Figure 1.

The discovery phase meta-analyses of the cases aged >85years (n = 7729) showed genome-wide significant association with survival into old age at one locus, the previously identified *TOMM40/APOE/APOC1* locus (17,21) (rs4420638 (G); odds ratio (OR) = 0.71, $P = 6.14 \times 10^{-19}$; Table 1). No genderdependent effects were observed in the sex-stratified analysis of the cases aged > 85 years (Supplementary Material, Table S4). The discovery-phase meta-analysis of the cases aged ≥ 90 years (n = 5406) showed a similar result, i.e. the TOMM40/ *APOE/APOC1* locus was the only genome-wide significant locus (OR = 0.64, $P = 4.09 \times 10^{-21}$; Fig. 2 and Table 2). The regional association plot and forest plot for the TOMM40/ APOE/APOC1 locus are depicted in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Although several SNPs on chromosome 19q13.32, which are in moderate linkage disequilibrium (LD) with rs4420638, show additional association with survival into old age, meta-analysis conditional on rs4420638 showed no independent associations among these SNPs (Supplementary Material, Fig S2 and Table S3).

Replication

In addition to the TOMM40/APOE/APOC1 locus, we found eight loci that showed suggestive evidence for association in the discovery-phase meta-analysis of cases aged ≥ 85 years $(P \le 1 \times 10^{-5}; \text{Table 1})$, whereas six additional SNPs met this criterion in the meta-analysis of cases aged >90 years (Table 2). The most or (when not successfully measured) second most significant SNPs from these 14 loci and the TOMM40/APOE/APOC1 locus were taken forward for replication in 13 060 cases aged \geq 85 years (of which 7330 were also >90 years) and 61 156 controls from 6 additional studies. In the joint analysis of the discovery and replication phase of the cases aged \geq 85 years (9 loci), the TOMM40/APOE/APOC1 locus remained the only genome-wide significant locus (Table 1). The joint analysis of the discovery and replication phase of the cases aged \geq 90 years (12 loci), however, showed an additional genome-wide significant locus, rs2149954 (T), on chromosome 5q33.3 (OR = 1.10, $P = 1.74 \times 10^{-1}$ Table 2). Although the association of this SNP with survival up to 85 years is not genome-wide significant (OR = 1.07, $P = 4.34 \times 10^{-6}$; Table 1), the locus likely affects survival from middle age onwards. The regional association plot (based on the discovery phase only) and forest plot of this locus are depicted in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Conditional analysis of rs4420638 in the discovery phase studies showed that the association of rs2149954 (T) with survival is independent of the TOMM40/APOE/APOC1 locus ($P = 7.20 \times 10^{-6}$ instead of $P = 5.98 \times 10^{-6}$ in the analysis of survival up to 85 years).

Prospective analysis

To determine the association of rs4420638 (*TOMM40/APOE/APOC1* locus) and rs2149954 (chromosome 5q33.3 locus) with longitudinal survival, we performed a prospective meta-analysis of the 2 SNPs in 34 103 individuals aged 30–105 years from 11 different cohorts, of which 8582 had died after a mean follow-up time ranging from 2.2 to 17.4 years



Figure 1. Flow chart of experimental work. The analysis in the cases aged \geq 90 years is a subset analysis of the analysis in the cases aged \geq 85 years. Twelve out of 14 studies used for the discovery phase analysis of cases aged \geq 85 years contained at least 100 cases over 90 years of age and were thus analyzed in the subset analysis of cases aged \geq 90 years.

(Supplementary Material, Table S5). Carriers of the minor allele of rs4420638 (G) showed significantly higher all-cause mortality (hazard ratio (HR) = 1.07, P = 0.019), whereas carriers of the minor allele of rs2149954 (T) demonstrated significantly lower all-cause mortality (HR = 0.95, P = 0.003; Supplementary Material, Table S6).

Association with cardiovascular disease and blood pressure

To gain insight into the mechanism by which the chromosome 5q33.3 locus might promote human longevity, we analyzed the cause-specific mortality of rs2149954. Carriers of the minor allele of rs2149954 have a lower mortality risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) (HR = 0.86, P = 0.004), which mainly appeared to be caused by protection from stroke (HR = 0.60,

 $P = 2.27 \times 10^{-7}$). In addition, we observed an effect of this SNP on non-CVD mortality (HR = 0.86, P = 0.002) (Supplementary Material, Table S7). We also examined the Coronary ARtery DIsease Genome-Wide Replication And Meta-Analysis (CARDIoGRAM) GWAS (23), which showed a significant association of rs2149954 with a decreased risk for coronary artery disease (CAD) (OR = 0.96, P = 0.011) (Supplementary Material, Table S8). In addition, two SNPs on chromosome 5q33.3 in high LD with rs2149954, rs9313772 ($r^2 = 0.928$) and rs11953630 ($r^2 = 0.854$) have previously been reported to associate with blood pressure and hypertension (24,25). As expected, examining rs2149954 in the International Consortium for Blood Pressure GWAS (24) showed a significant association of the minor allele with lower diastolic ($P = 3.46 \times 10^{-5}$) and systolic ($P = 6.55 \times 10^{-6}$) blood pressure (Supplementary

Locus	Lead SNP	Chromosome	Position	Candidate/closest gene	EA	Analysis	n		Η	EAF		95% CI	Р	$I^{2}(\%)$	P _{het}
							Cases	Controls	Cases	Controls					
1q43	rs1625040	1	235 213 002	MTR, RYR2	А	Discovery	7729	16 121	0.170	0.150	1.16	1.09-1.23	3.36×10^{-6}		
•						Replication	13 027	60 914	0.178	0.182	1.02	0.98 - 1.07	0.216		
						Joint	20 7 56	77 035			1.07	1.03 - 1.10	3.50×10^{-4}	31.0	0.093
2q24.3	rs6432832	2	166 079 072	CSRNP3	А	Discovery	7729	16121	0.344	0.321	1.12	1.07 - 1.17	2.79×10^{-6}		
						Replication	13 019	60 824	0.346	0.339	1.03	1.00 - 1.07	0.029		
						Joint	20 748	76 945			1.06	1.03 - 1.09	8.73×10^{-6}	0.0	0.467
4q27	rs13114426	4	120 942 533	PDE5A, MAD2L1	Т	Discovery	7729	16 121	0.387	0.405	0.90	0.87 - 0.95	2.20×10^{-5}		
						Replication	13 024	60 932	0.364	0.351	1.00	0.97 - 1.04	0.711		
						Joint	20 7 5 3	77 053			0.97	0.94 - 0.99	0.033	46.5	0.012
5q33.3	rs2149954	5	157 753 180	EBF1	Т	Discovery	7729	16 121	0.388	0.360	1.12	1.07 - 1.17	5.98×10^{-6}		
						Replication	12 973	60 262	0.365	0.352	1.04	1.01 - 1.07	0.013		
	100555508	0				Joint	20 702	76 383	0.000		1.07	1.04-1.09	4.34×10^{-6}	28.2	0.118
8q13.3	rs10957550°	8	72 457 142	EYAI	A	Discovery	10.056	16 093	0.268	0.285	0.88	0.84 - 0.93	3.61×10^{-6}		
						Replication	10 056	56 262	0.236	0.244	0.95	0.92-0.99	0.012	20.4	0.120
10 02 22		10	06 (22 242	CVP2CIA CVP2CA	т	Joint	1//83	12 355	0.454	0.442	0.92	0.90 - 0.95	1.41×10^{-6}	29.4	0.130
10q23.33	r\$4400/55	10	96 622 243	<i>CIP2C19, CIP2C9</i>	I	Discovery	12.051	10121	0.454	0.443	1.12	1.0/-1.16	2.72×10^{-1}		
						Laint	13 051	01 105	0.488	0.508	0.98	0.95 - 1.01	0.129	65 6	2.15×10^{-5}
17-22.2	ra17760262	17	58 772 200	TANCO	٨	Discovery	20 / 80	16 121	0.252	0.222	1.05	1.00 - 1.03	5.28×10^{-6}	03.0	2.13 × 10
1/q25.5	1817700302	17	38 / 12 399	TANC2	A	Discovery	12 007	60.670	0.252	0.233	1.15	1.07 - 1.19	0.022		
						Ioint	20.736	76 800	0.232	0.249	1.04	1.00 - 1.07	1.56×10^{-5}	0.0	0.473
10a13 32	rs4420638 ^a	10	50 114 786	APOF	G	Discovery	20730	16 111	0.157	0 195	0.71	1.04 - 1.10 0.67 - 0.77	6.14×10^{-19}	0.0	0.475
19415.52	134420030	19	50114780	AI OL	U	Replication	10 165	57 126	0.137	0.195	0.71	0.07 - 0.77 0.83 - 0.91	2.12×10^{-12}		
						Ioint	17 803	73 237	0.100	0.202	0.87	0.03 - 0.91 0.79 - 0.85	2.12×10 2.33×10^{-26}	80.2	4.35×10^{-10}
20a13-2	rs8126377	20	51 590 254	TSH72 ZNF217	G	Discovery	7532	15 902	0.059	0.069	0.02	$0.79 \ 0.03$ 0.71 - 0.87	1.35×10^{-5}	00.2	4.55 × 10
20413.2	130120377	20	51 590 254	151122, 211 217	U	Replication	12 974	60 647	0.059	0.054	1.01	0.94 - 1.08	0.901		
						Ioint	20 506	76 549	5.050	0.004	0.93	0.88 - 0.99	0.020	51.1	0.006
						30111	20 500	10049			0.75	0.00 0.99	0.020	51.1	0.000

Table 1. Results of the discovery phase, replication phase and joint analysis of cases aged \geq 85 years

EA, effect allele; EAF, effect allele frequency after pooling the data of all analyzed individuals; OR, odds ratio for the effect allele; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; I^2 , heterogeneity statistic; P_{het} , P-value for heterogeneity.

^aGenotyping of these SNPs with the Sequenom MassARRAY system for the replication phase was unsuccessful. The SNPs in bold overlap with Table 2.



Figure 2. Results of the discovery phase analysis. Manhattan plot presenting the $-\log_{10} P$ -values from the discovery phase analysis of cases aged ≥ 85 years (**A**) and ≥ 90 years (**B**). The loci that showed a genome-wide significant association after the joint analysis of the discovery and replication phase (chromosome 19q13.32 and 5q33.3) are shown in red.

Material, Table S9). Despite the highly interesting association of the minor allele of rs2149954 with low blood pressure and a decreased risk for CAD, stroke and mortality, its association with decreased all-cause mortality was not influenced by blood pressure in two studies of participants aged \geq 75 years (PROSPER and Leiden 85-plus study Cohort II; Supplementary Material, Table S10). This may indicate that at higher ages, this locus influences longevity via pathways additional to those involved in blood pressure regulation.

Phenotypic characterization and pathway analysis

In an attempt to identify the underlying mechanism by which this novel longevity locus at chromosome 5q33.3 could influence human longevity, we examined rs2149954 in the published data of several large GWAS consortia for association with metabolic traits in generally middle-aged individuals. None of the investigated traits, i.e. 2 h glucose (OGTT), Hb₁Ac, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), β -cell activity (HOMA-B), total/HDL/LDL cholesterol, triglycerides and type 2 diabetes (26–32), demonstrated evidence for association (all P > 0.05) with rs2149954 (Supplementary Material, Tables S8 and S9). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of the meta-analysis results of the discovery-phase analysis of survival aged \geq 90 years using Meta-Analysis Gene-set Enrichment of variaNT Associations (MAGENTA) (33), as well as examination of interconnectivity of implicated genes using Gene Relationships Across Implicated Loci (GRAIL) (34) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3 and Table S11), provided no firm clues for potential pathways involved in human longevity.

Fine mapping and functional characterization

The newly identified longevity locus on chromosome 5q33.3 is located in an intergenic region on chromosome 5q33.3, 302 kb downstream of the EBF1 gene. To determine the functional impact of this locus, we first identified the SNPs in LD with rs2149954 ($r^2 \ge 0.8$) using the 1000 Genomes CEU Phase 1 data implemented in HaploReg v2 (http://www.broadinstitute. org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php) (35). In total, we identified 25 SNPs, spanning a region of \sim 22.3 kb (Supplementary Material, Table S12). Subsequently, we examined the potential effects of these SNPs on gene expression using several eQTL databases. None of the SNPs showed an association with gene expression in the various examined tissues, so it is still unclear in which tissue(s) the locus exert its longevity-promoting effect. We did, however, find some promising functional implication of this locus, i.e. the presence of multiple DNase I hypersensitivity sites, transcription factor binding sites and enhancer histone marks, by exploring ENCODE data using HaploReg v2 (35) and RegulomeDB (http://www.regulomedb.org/) (36) (Supplementary Material, Table S12). Very recently, a large intergenic non-coding RNA (lincRNA), RP11-524N5.1, has been annotated right on top of our locus. The poly(A) features of this lincRNA are supported by PolyA-seq reads from liver, muscle and testis. PhastCons 44-way alignment supports conservation of the transcription start site (TSS), 3' UTR and the third, fifth and last exon of the lincRNA transcript (Fig. 5). The transcript does not align to the mouse genome, but orthologous transcripts are found in other primate genome sequences, suggesting that this is a primate-specific lincRNA.

DISCUSSION

We have performed the largest genome-wide association meta-analysis for human longevity, in which a novel locus on chromosome 5q33.3 associating with survival beyond 90 years was identified.

The minor allele of rs2149954 (T) promotes human longevity by reducing the risk of mortality owing to stroke and noncardiovascular causes. In addition, this allele has previously been associated with low blood pressure, which may explain the protection from CVD mortality risk in middle age. At ages above 80 years, however, low SBP associates with increased mortality (37,38). Hence, the observed blood pressure-independent association of the minor allele with mortality \geq 75 years may be due to pleiotropic effects on other mortality-related clinical parameters. Examination of publically available data of several large GWAS consortia for association of the locus with parameters related to glucose and fat metabolism provided as yet no clues for other potentially involved mechanisms.

Locus	Lead SNP	Chromosome	Position	Candidate/closest gene	EA	Analysis	Cases	n Controls	l Cases	EAF Controls	OR	95% CI	Р	$I^{2}(\%)$	P _{het}
1q43	rs1625040	1	235 213 002	MTR, RYR2	А	Discovery	5406	15 112	0.176	0.150	1.18	1.10-1.26	6.53×10^{-6}		
						Replication	7310	60 914	0.175	0.182	1.05	0.99-1.10	0.065	0.2	0.040
4 22 2	4(02221	4	047(0(00)	CRIDA	C	Joint	12/16	76 026	0.416	0.444	1.10	1.05-1.14	2.60×10^{-6}	9.3	0.343
4q22.2	rs4693331	4	94 /60 609	GRID2	C	Discovery	5406	15112	0.416	0.444	0.89	0.84 - 0.93	6.63×10^{-10}		
						Replication	12 (72	60 324 75 426	0.449	0.440	1.03	0.99 - 1.07	0.095	(1.2	$2.51 \dots 10^{-4}$
4-07		4	100 040 522	DDEEA MADOLI	т	Joint	126/3	/5 436	0 201	0.405	0.97	0.94 - 1.00	0.139 2.11 $\times 10^{-6}$	61.3	3.51×10
4q27	r\$13114420	4	120 942 533	PDE5A, MAD2LI	1	Discovery	5406	15 112	0.381	0.405	0.88	0.84 - 0.92	2.11×10^{-1}		
						Replication	/305	00932	0.309	0.351	0.98	0.94 - 1.02	0.330 1.05 · · 10 ⁻⁴	22.5	0.000
5-22.2		5	157 752 190	EDEI	т	Joint Discourse	12/11	/6 044	0.200	0.260	0.94	0.91 - 0.97	1.95×10^{-6}	32.5	0.090
5955.5	rs2149954	3	157 / 55 180	EBF1	1	Discovery	5400 7208	15112	0.390	0.360	1.14	1.09 - 1.21	1.85×10 5.08 $\times 10^{-4}$		
						Replication	12 704	00 202	0.374	0.352	1.07	1.03 - 1.12	5.98×10^{-8}	20.5	0.125
7-14.2		7	26761.040		C	Joint Discourse	12/04	/55/4	0.0(2	0.076	1.10	1.06 - 1.14	$1./4 \times 10$ 7.21 · · 10 ⁻⁶	28.5	0.125
/p14.2	rs1197/041	/	30 /01 949	AOAH, ELMOI	C	Discovery	2040	15 112	0.062	0.070	0.78	0.70 - 0.87	7.31 × 10		
						Loint	5049 0455	4803	0.071	0.075	0.95	0.82 - 1.00	0.220 1.57 × 10 ⁻⁵	50.2	0.010
10-22 22	ma1166755	10	06 622 242	CVD2C10 CVD2C0	т	Discourse	6433 5406	19917	0 455	0.445	0.84	0.77 - 0.91	1.37×10^{-5}	50.2	0.010
10q25.55	rs4400/55	10	90 022 243	CIF2CI9, CIF2C9	1	Discovery	3400 7226	61 105	0.433	0.445	1.15	1.07 - 1.18	1.30×10		
						Loint	12 722	76 217	0.477	0.308	0.98	0.94 - 1.02	0.208	55 1	0.002
12-15	ma11024614	12	67 107 244	MDM1 BAD1B	C	Discourse	5406	15 112	0.120	0.155	1.05	1.00 - 1.07	0.087	55.4	0.002
12415	1811654014	12	0/19/344	MDM1, KAF ID	C	Discovery	7272	60 210	0.156	0.133	1.01	0.79 - 0.91	9.94 × 10		
						Loint	12/2	75 222	0.105	0.175	0.05	0.96 - 1.07	0.003	12.0	0.024
14023.2	ra2784505	14	61 501 766	SVT16	G	Discovery	5406	15 112	0.080	0.067	1.22	0.91 - 0.99	0.023 8.87 × 10 ⁻⁵	43.9	0.024
14q23.2	182784505	14	01 301 700	31110	U	Discovery	7222	60.070	0.080	0.007	1.23	1.11 - 1.33	0.07 × 10		
						Loint	12 720	76 001	0.070	0.000	1.10	1.02 - 1.19	0.012 0.47 × 10 ⁻⁶	20.2	0.127
17n13 1	ra040850	17	8 870 805	N/TNI	т	Discovery	5405	15 112	0.072	0.003	0.78	1.08 - 1.22	9.47×10^{-6}	28.3	0.127
1/p15.1	18940650	17	8870803	101101	1	Discovery	7776	60 1 46	0.072	0.093	1.02	0.70 - 0.87 0.07 1.10	4.93 × 10		
						Loint	12 6 9 1	75 258	0.109	0.116	0.05	0.97 - 1.10	0.518	62 7	1.22×10^{-4}
17023.2	rs2100265	17	58 307 001	MARCHIO TANCO	۸	Discovery	5406	15 112	0.443	0.420	1 13	$1.08 \ 1.10$	3.34×10^{-6}	05.7	1.52 × 10
1/425.2	182109205	17	58 507 001	MARCHIO, TANC2	А	Penlication	7307	60.672	0.443	0.420	1.15	1.03 - 1.19	0.671		
						Ioint	12 713	75 784	0.455	0.405	1.01	1.02 1.03	0.071	347	0.074
19a13 32	rs4420638 ^a	10	50 114 786	APOF	G	Discovery	5405	15 102	0 145	0.195	0.64	0.59 - 0.70	4.09×10^{-21}	54.7	0.074
1)415.52	134420030	1)	50 114 780	AI OL	U	Replication	4861	57 126	0.145	0.175	0.04	0.39 = 0.70 0.72 = 0.82	2.05×10^{-18}		
						Ioint	10 266	72 228	0.105	0.202	0.72	$0.72 \ 0.02$ 0.68 - 0.76	3.40×10^{-36}	70.1	3.69×10^{-5}
20a13 2	rs8126377	20	51 590 254	TSHZ2 ZNF217	G	Discovery	5209	14 893	0.057	0.068	0.75	0.66 - 0.85	3.38×10^{-5}	/0.1	5.07 × 10
20410.2	130120377	20	51 570 234	1,511122, 1211 217	U	Renlication	7278	60 647	0.063	0.054	1.04	$0.00 \ 0.03$	0 309		
						Ioint	12 487	75 540	0.005	0.004	0.94	0.93 - 1.00	0.117	58 1	0.001
						30m	12 407	, 5 540			0.74	0.07 1.00	0.11/	50.1	0.001

Table 2. Results of the discovery phase, replication phase and joint analysis of cases aged \geq 90 years

EA, effect allele; EAF, effect allele frequency after pooling the data of all analyzed individuals; OR, odds ratio for the effect allele; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; I^2 , heterogeneity statistic; P_{het} , P-value for heterogeneity.

^aGenotyping of this SNP with the Sequenom MassARRAY system for the replication phase was unsuccessful. The SNPs in bold overlap with Table 1.



Figure 3. Regional association plots for the chromosome 19q13.32 and 5q33.3 loci. Results of the discovery-phase analysis of chromosome 19q13.32 (A) and 5q33.3 (B) in cases aged \geq 90 years, generated using LocusZoom (http://csg.sph.umich.edu/locusZoom/) (22). For the two SNPs taken forward to the replication phase (rs4420638 and rs2149954), the results of the joint analysis are plotted. The color of the SNPs is based on the LD with the lead SNP (shown in purple). The blue peaks represent the recombination rates based on HapMap Phase I+II CEU release 22 (hg18/build36), and the RefSeq genes in the region are shown in the lower panel.

Α	Study					Odds ratio	95% CI	В	Study			Odds ratio	95% CI
	Discoverv		: [Discovery				
	CEPH centenarian cohort					0.45	[0.35: 0.58]		CEPH centenarian coho	ort		1.06	[0.93; 1.20]
	Danish longevity study I		<u> </u>			0.53	[0.38:0.74]		Danish longevity study I			1.10	[0.91; 1.32]
	GEHA Danish					0.51	[0.37:0.69]		GEHA Danish			1.02	[0.86; 1.20]
	GEHA Dutch					0.56	[0.32:1.00]		GEHA Eranch			1.10	[0.83, 1.45]
	GEHA French					0.56	[0.34:0.90]		GEHA Italy			1.07	[0.84, 1.30]
	GEHA Halv					0.50	[0.54, 0.50]		GEHA UK			0.93	[0.66: 1.31]
						0.04	[0.31, 1.37]		Leiden 85-plus study I			- 1.30	[1.03; 1.65]
	GERA UK		1			0.56	[0.51, 1.00]		LLS			1.28	[1.13; 1.45]
	Leiden 85-plus study i					0.69	[0.50; 0.94]		Newcastle 85+ Study			1.17	[1.00; 1.38]
	LLS					0.60	[0.48; 0.74]		Rotterdam Study I			1.17	[1.03; 1.33]
	Newcastle 85+ Study		7			0.77	[0.63; 0.94]		TwinGene		+	- 1.41	[1.06; 1.87]
	Rotterdam Study I		1			0.86	[0.69; 1.06]		Combined			1.14	[1.09; 1.21]
	TwinGene					0.64	[0.44; 0.94]		Devillentlere				
	Combined		\$			0.64	[0.59; 0.70]		Replication			- 1 22	[1 02: 1 72]
			1						Calabria cobort			1.33	[1.03, 1.72]
	Replication								Danish longevity study II			1 14	[0.97:1.33]
	Danish longevity study II					0.51	[0.38; 0.67]		deCODE		-	1.06	[1.01: 1.12]
	deCODE		+			0.79	[0.74: 0.84]		German longevity study			1.06	[0.95: 1.17]
	Combined		0			0.77	[0.72: 0.82]		Leiden 85-plus study II		- <u>ia</u>	1.13	[0.99; 1.30]
	e enneme e					0111	[en a, erea]		Combined		\$	1.07	[1.03; 1.12]
	Combined		8			0.72	10 68 0 761						
	Combined		1			0.72	[0.00, 0.70]		Combined		•	1.10	[1.06; 1.14]
		L		1									
		0.2	0.5 1	2	5					0.5	1	2	

Figure 4. Forest plots for rs4420638 and rs2149954. Forest plots representing the odds ratios with 95% CI of rs4420638 (A) and rs2149954 (B) for the cohorts analyzed in the discovery and replication phase (\geq 90 years). The size of the boxes represents the sample size of the cohort.

Rs2149954 is located in an intergenic region on chromosome 5q33.3 between *CLINT1* and *EBF1*. The presence of several regulatory elements in this region implies that transcription factor binding and/or expression of (nearby) genes could be influenced. The currently available eQTL databases did not provide evidence for such effects, which might be due to the limited tissue diversity of the databases. The effects of the chromosome 5q33.3 locus on human longevity might be exerted through the lincRNA, which has recently been annotated

right on top of our locus (RP11-524N5.1) and shows evidence for expression in liver, muscle and testis. LincRNAs are involved in chromatin modification and transcriptional regulation (39) and seem to play a role in human disease (40). However, the newly annotated lincRNA is not yet available in the large eQTL databases, and the effect of SNPs in the chromosome 5q33.3 locus on expression of this transcript still needs to be determined. Hence, further functional studies are required to illuminate the mechanism by which this locus influences human longevity.



Figure 5. Chromosomal region around rs2149954. The region contains a lincRNA (RP11-524N5.1) for which the poly(A) features are supported by PolyA-seq reads from liver, muscle and testis. RP11-524N5.1 is transcribed from the negative strand, and the phastCons 44-way alignment supports conservation of the TSS, 3' UTR and the third, fifth and last exon of the transcript. Rs2149954 and the 25 SNPs in high LD ($r^2 \ge 0.8$, according to HaploReg v2 (35)) are located in the first intron of RP11-524N5.1.

GWAS has thus far not been a successful approach to identify genome-wide significant hits for human longevity or mortality besides the well-known TOMM40/APOE/APOC1 locus (17-19). The FOXO3A locus, for which the longevity effect is most prominent in individuals aged >100 years (41), showed only moderate evidence for association with survival >90 years in the discovery phase of our GWAS (lowest $P = 1.35 \times 10^{-4}$ (rs1268161)). Sebastiani and colleagues suggested that human longevity might be explained by a signature consisting of 281 SNPs (42). However, none of the SNPs (except the already known SNP rs2075650 in *TOMM40*) was significant after adjustment for multiple testing ($P < 1.78 \times 10^{-4}$ (0.05/281)). In addition, we did not observe an enrichment of significant SNPs from their signature in our data ($\lambda = 1.004$, Supplementary Material, Fig. S4). Because the association of SNPs other than the TOMM40/ APOE/APOC1 locus could not be replicated in this, much larger, GWAS, we have doubts that these signature SNPs are indeed candidate SNPs influencing human longevity. Although we detected merely one novel genome-wide significant locus, the current GWAS had sufficient power, based on our results, to detect lifespan-regulating loci with relatively small effects (OR < 0.9 and > 1.1).

The genetic component of human longevity is small ($\sim 25\%$) (10,11) and is assumed to be determined by many genes (12,13). Furthermore, the genetic heterogeneity in ageing and lifespan regulation is expected to be high, because individual genes may contribute by a diversity of late acting deleterious stochastic (germline) variation resulting in a genetic component that is hard to disentangle (13). GWAS of complex late-onset diseases, such as osteoarthritis and Alzheimer's disease, with sample sizes comparable to our current study (43-45), have identified more loci compared with GWAS of longevity. This most likely reflects the greater inherent complexity of the longevity trait, with its diverse spectrum of biological pathways subject to intrinsic and extrinsic (environmental) interactions. Hence, even larger GWAS (>50 000 long-lived individuals) may be required to identify additional longevity loci, preferably in the most stringent phenotype, i.e. the oldest old.

As survival to ages ≥ 85 or 90 years is relatively common in Western populations, the human longevity trait suffers from etiological heterogeneity. Lifespan extension in the past generations owing to non-genetic factors likely created phenocopies diluting the genetic component of survival to ages ≥ 85 years. The genetic contribution to survival to ages ≥ 100 years is higher but will render smaller sample sizes for GWAS. This may explain

why the novel locus on chromosome 5q33.3 was only genomewide significant in the subset analysis of cases aged ≥ 90 years. For the same reason, a large number of individuals from the control groups (up to 50%, depending on the gender and year of birth of the individuals and demography of the cohort) will live to ages \geq 85 years. In 2011, the mean life expectancy at age 65 in Europe was 21.3 years for women and 17.8 years for men (http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/product_deta ils/dataset?P_product_code=TSDDE210), which makes selection of proper controls a challenging issue. The most ideal controls would be individuals from the same birth cohort as the long-lived cases that survived to the mean age of death of that birth cohort. However, for most of these individuals there is no DNA available. Alternatively, we selected controls that have not yet reached the age of 65 years at inclusion to represent the frequency of variants in the general population and minimize selection owing to mortality. Hence, the low contrast between cases and controls likely has reduced our probability of identifying longevity loci.

In addition, there will be differences between case and control cohorts that may have had an impact on our results. An example of a potential confounder is smoking behavior, which was not adequately measured in most elderly cohorts. However, none of the SNPs that were previously associated with smoking behavior in cohorts from European descent (according to the NHGRI GWAS Catalog (http://www.genome.gov/gwastudies/)), namely rs1051730, rs1329650 and rs4105144, show differences between cases (\geq 85 years) and controls in the joint analysis of the discovery and replication phase (all *P* > 0.05). We have to note that these SNPs only explain a small proportion of the variance observed in smoking behavior. However, as the frequency of these proxy SNPs for smoking behavior is similar between cases and controls, we expect no obvious differences in smoking behavior between the groups.

In conclusion, besides the previously implicated *TOMM40/APOE/APOC1* locus, we identified a novel locus on chromosome 5q33.3 that associates with survival beyond 90 years. Although rs2149954 is associated with survival beyond 90 years at a genome-wide significant level in our study, replication in additional cohorts from European as well as non-European descent is warranted. The minor allele of the lead SNP at this locus, rs2149954, promotes human longevity in a prospective meta-analysis by lowering the risk of mortality owing to stroke and non-cardiovascular causes. The locus harbors a lincRNA and is implicated in blood pressure regulation, but the mechanism by which it influences longevity likely also involves other traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study populations

The discovery analysis was performed in 7729 cases that survived to ages > 85 years (of which 5406 also survived to ages > 90 years) and 16 121 controls below 65 years at baseline, from 14 studies. Replication was performed in 13 060 cases that survived to ages \geq 85 years (of which 7330 also survived to ages \geq 90 years) and 61 156 controls below 65 years at baseline, from 6 additional studies. All individuals were of European descent. The details of the discovery and replication studies can be found in Supplementary Material, Tables S1 and S2. Some cohorts only provided controls (GOYA, NTR, SU.VI.MAX, TwinsUK and WTCCC2) or only cases (BELFAST, CEPH centenarian cohort, Danish longevity study I/II, Leiden 85-plus Study I/II and Newcastle 85+ Study), whereas others contained both (Calabria cohort, deCODE, EGCUT, GEHA Study, German longevity study, Leiden Longevity Study, Rotterdam Study I/II and TwinGene). The names of the studies in the tables and figures are based on the names of the cohorts containing the cases. The cases and controls used for each study originated from the same country (Supplementary Material, Table S1). The only exception is BELFAST (Northern Ireland), for which we used controls from the NTR (Netherlands). A check in the PROSPER study, which includes individuals from Northern Ireland and the Netherlands, showed that the allele frequencies in control individuals from both countries are similar for our SNPs (data not shown). All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the relevant institutional review boards.

Genotyping, imputation and genome-wide association analysis

All discovery studies were genotyped using Illumina genotyping arrays, and pre-imputation quality control was performed for each study separately. Imputation was performed using IMPUTE or MACH with reference HapMap Phase I+II CEU release 22 (hg18/build36). Further details about the genotyping, quality control and imputation of each study are summarized in Supplementary Material, Table S2.

Two replication studies (deCODE and the Danish longevity study II) were also genotyped using Illumina genotyping arrays and imputed using IMPUTE with reference HapMap Phase I+II CEU release 22 (hg18/build36) (Danish longevity study II) or deCODE software (deCODE). The other replication studies were genotyped with the Sequenom MassARRAY system using iPLEX Gold genotyping assays (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA). More information about the studies used in the replication phase can be found in Supplementary Material, Tables S1 and S2. Of the 15 SNPs measured with the Sequenom MassARRAY system, 13 were successfully genotyped in at least 95% of the samples and the average genotyping call rate was 99.80%. We also checked the concordance between the SNPs measured with the Sequenom MassARRAY system and (imputed) GWAS data of the Leiden 85-plus study I cases, and the average concordance rate was 99.07%. The two SNPs that were not successfully genotyped with the Sequenom MassAR-RAY system (rs10957550 and rs4420368) were only analyzed in the replication studies, which had imputed GWAS data available (deCODE and the Danish longevity study II).

All studies were analyzed separately using CC-assoc (https:// www.msbi.nl/dnn/Research/Genetics/Software/TestsforGWAS inrelated individuals (cc_assoc).aspx), which is based on a modified version of the score test that takes into account imputation uncertainty and familial relatedness (46). SNPs with a low imputation quality ($R_T^2 \le 40$) and a MAF of ≤ 1 or $\le 5\%$ (if $n_{cases} < 200$) were excluded from analysis in the discovery phase. Adjustment for population stratification of the discovery studies was performed by multiplying the R_T^2 -adjusted variances of the score statistic with the genomic inflation factor ($\lambda_{range} =$ 0.97 - 1.08, Supplementary Material, Table S2) of the study.

Meta-analyses

For the meta-analyses, a fixed-effect approach was used. Scores and variances of the studies were combined to obtain a single meta-statistic, which was adjusted using the genomic inflation factor ($\lambda = 1.019$, discovery phase only) (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). For each analysis, we only used studies with at least 100 cases (Supplementary Material, Table S1). *P*-values $<5 \times 10^{-8}$ were considered genome-wide significant (47). To determine heterogeneity across the studies, the between-study variance was calculated.

Conditional analysis

To ascertain independent signals at the chromosome 19q13.32 locus, we performed a meta-analysis conditional on rs4420638 in all studies used for the discovery phase analysis in cases aged ≥ 85 years. The results are depicted in Supplementary Material, Figure S2 and Table S3.

Sex-stratified analysis

Sex-stratified analysis of the cases aged ≥ 85 years ($n_{\text{women}} = 5400$ and $n_{\text{men}} = 1865$) was performed to investigate the presence of gender-dependent associations. In addition, the 15 loci that showed (suggestive) evidence for association with survival ≥ 85 and/or ≥ 90 years were tested for differences between sexes using the formula: $(\beta_{\text{women}} - \beta_{\text{men}})/\sqrt{(\text{SE}_{\text{women}}^2 + \text{SE}_{\text{men}}^2)}$. The results of this analysis are depicted in Supplementary Material, Table S4.

Prospective analysis

Prospective analysis of rs2149954 and rs4420638 was performed using a Cox proportional hazards model adjusted for age at baseline, sex and study-specific covariates. The details about each of the analyzed cohorts are summarized in Supplementary Material, Table S5.

Pathway analysis

For the pathway analysis, we used GSEA implemented in MAGENTA (http://www.broadinstitute.org/mpg/magenta/) (33). In short, each SNP is mapped to a gene considering a window of 110 kb upstream and 40 kb downstream around the genes. Subsequently, each gene is assigned a gene association score based on the SNP with the lowest *P*-value, which is mapped to that gene and this score is adjusted for confounding factors like gene size and the amount of SNPs per kb. Genes within the HLA region were removed from analysis owing to high LD and high gene density in that region. The GSEA algorithm tests for over-representation of adjusted gene scores in a given pathway using a pre-defined score rank cutoff (in our case, the 95th and 75th percentile). The generated statistic is then compared with 10 000–1 000 000 gene sets of identical size randomly sampled from the genome to generate an empirical *P*-value for each pathway. In total, 3216 pathways from Gene Ontology, PANTHER, Ingenuity, KEGG, REACTOME and BIOCARTA were tested. Pathways were considered significant if the FDR-adjusted *P*-value (the 95th or 75th percentile) was ≤ 0.05 .

To determine the relationship between loci associated with survival \geq 90 years, we used GRAIL (http://www.broadinstitute. org/mpg/grail/) (34). In short, this program maps SNPs to genes and subsequently uses a text-mining algorithm on PubMed abstracts to determine connections between these genes. Genes from independent loci, which share informative words, receive a high GRAIL similarity score and are more likely to be functionally related. As we only had a limited number of loci with at least one SNP with a *P*-value $\leq 1 \times 10^{-5}$ (n = 12, Table 2), we decided to perform GRAIL analysis on all loci with at least one SNP with a *P*-value $\leq 1 \times 10^{-4}$ (n = 65).

eQTL analysis

To determine whether rs2149954 or SNPs in LD ($r^2 \ge 0.8$ based on 1000 Genomes CEU Phase 1 data) influenced gene expression, we searched several eQTL databases, namely (1) the Gutenberg Heart Study database (GHS_Express) (48), which is based on expression data of monocytes; (2) the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) eQTL database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gtex/GTEX2/gtex. cgi), which is based on expression data of brain (cerebellum, frontal cortex, temporal cortex and pons), liver and lymphoblastoid cell lines; (3) the GENe Expression VARiation (Genevar) database (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/software/genevar/), which is based on expression data of adipose tissue, fibroblasts, T cells, skin and lymphoblastoid cell lines (49) and (4) the Blood eQTL browser (http://genenetwork.nl/bloodeqtlbrowser/) (50).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at HMG online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Laurens Wilming from the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute for the annotation of the lincRNA RP11-524N5.1. This study was undertaken within the framework of European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2011) under grant agreement n^o 259679 (IDEAL). A full list of acknowledgments, including support for each study, is provided in Supplementary Material.

Conflict of Interest statement. None declared.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Augustinus Foundation; Avera Institute for Human Genetics (AIHG); AXA Research Fund; Belfast City Hospital Trust Fund, Research and Education into Ageing-0153; Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI -NL, NWO 184.021.007); Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC); Bristol-Myers Squibb; Center for Inherited Disease Research (CIDR); Centre for Medical Systems Biology (CMSB); CERA Foundation; Commissariat à L'Energie Atomique (CEA)-Centre National de Génotypage (CNG); Danish Agency for Science, Technology and Innovation (DASTI)/The Danish Council for Independent Research (DCIR, grant 11-107308); Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF); Department of Health and Social Services (Northern Ireland); DFG-Cluster of Excellence 'Inflammation at Interfaces'; Dunhill Medical Trust (grant R124/0509); Egmont Foundation; Estonian Science Foundation (grant 7859); Estonian Government (grant SF0180142s08); European Research Council (ERC, advanced grant 230374); European Science Foundation (ESF, EU/QLRT-2001-01254); European Union's Fifth/Sixth/ Seventh Framework Programmes (FP5-OLK6-CY-2001-00128, FP6-LIFESCIHEALTH-36894, FP6-LSH M-CT-2004-503270, FP7-HEALTH-2007-B-223004, FP7-HEALTH-F4-2007-201413, FP7-HEALTH-F4-2008-202047, FP7-HEALTH-2009-single-stage-242244 and FP7-HEALTH-2010-two-stage-259679); Fondation Caisse d'Epargne Rhône-Alpes Lyon CERAL (2004–2007); Genetic Association Information Network (GAIN) of the Foundation for the US National Institutes of Health (NIMH, grant MH081802); GenomEUtwin (EU/QLRT-2001-01254; QLG2-CT-2002-01254); Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust; Health Foundation; Heart and Lung foundation (grant 20070481); Innovation-Oriented Research Program on Genomics (SenterNovem, grant IGE05007); Institute for Ageing and Health; Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA); Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM); INTERREG 4A programme Syddanmark-Schleswig-K.E.R.N (with EU funds from the European Regional Development Fund); King's College London; Medical Research Council (MRC, grant G0500997 and G0601333); Ministère de l'Enseignement supérieur et de la Recherche (MESR); National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Aging (NIA, P01AG08761, R01D0042157-01A and U01DK066134); National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre; NBIC BioAssist (NWO-NBIC/BioAssist/RK/2008. 024); Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Ageing (NCHA, grant 050-060-810); Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI); Netherlands Heart Foundation (NHF, grant 2001 D 032); Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO, MagW/ZonMW grant 904-61-090, 904-61-193, 480-04-004, 400-05-717, Spinozapremie 56-464-14192, 175.010.2005.011, 911-03-012, 985-10-002, Addiction-31160008 and Middelgroot-911-09-032); Netspar – Living longer for a good health; NHS North of Tyne (Newcastle Primary Care Trust); Pharmacy Foundation; Regione Autonoma della Sardegna; Rutgers University Cell and DNA Repository (NIMH U24 MH068457-06); Swedish Research Council (grant M-2005-1112): The Competitive Research Funding of the Tampere University Hospital and Academy of Finland; The Danish Interdisciplinary Research Council; The Health Foundation (Helsefonden); The Ministry for Higher Education; The National Program for Research Infrastructure 2007 (grant 09-063256); The March of Dimes Birth Defects Foundation; The Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF); Unilever Discover Colworth; Université Paris 13; University of Calabria; University of Tartu (grant SP1GVAR-ENG); Velux Foundation; VU University's Institute for Health and Care Research (EMGO+) and Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam (NCA); Wellcome Trust (grant 084762, 085475 and 087436). Funding to pay the Open Access publication charges for this article was provided by IDEAL (FP7-HEALTH-2010-two-stage-259679).

REFERENCES

- 1. Oeppen, J. and Vaupel, J.W. (2002) Demography: broken limits to life expectancy. *Science*, **296**, 1029–1031.
- Jagger, C., Gillies, C., Moscone, F., Cambois, E., Van, O.H., Nusselder, W. and Robine, J.M. (2008) Inequalities in healthy life years in the 25 countries of the European Union in 2005: a cross-national meta-regression analysis. *Lancet*, 372, 2124–2131.
- Barzilai, N., Atzmon, G., Schechter, C., Schaefer, E.J., Cupples, A.L., Lipton, R., Cheng, S. and Shuldiner, A.R. (2003) Unique lipoprotein phenotype and genotype associated with exceptional longevity. *JAMA*, 290, 2030–2040.
- Derhovanessian, E., Maier, A.B., Beck, R., Jahn, G., Hahnel, K., Slagboom, P.E., de Craen, A.J., Westendorp, R.G. and Pawelec, G. (2010) Hallmark features of immunosenescence are absent in familial longevity. *J. Immunol.*, 185, 4618–4624.
- Newman, A.B., Glynn, N.W., Taylor, C.A., Sebastiani, P., Perls, T.T., Mayeux, R., Christensen, K., Zmuda, J.M., Barral, S., Lee, J.H. *et al.* (2011) Health and function of participants in the Long Life Family Study: a comparison with other cohorts. *Aging*, 3, 63–76.
- Slagboom, P.E., Beekman, M., Passtoors, W.M., Deelen, J., Vaarhorst, A.A., Boer, J.M., van den Akker, E.B., van, H.D., de Craen, A.J., Maier, A.B. *et al.* (2011) Genomics of human longevity. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond B Biol. Sci.*, 366, 35–42.
- Wijsman, C.A., Rozing, M.P., Streefland, T.C., Le, C.S., Mooijaart, S.P., Slagboom, P.E., Westendorp, R.G., Pijl, H. and van, H.D. (2011) Familial longevity is marked by enhanced insulin sensitivity. *Aging Cell*, **10**, 114–121.
- Atzmon, G., Schechter, C., Greiner, W., Davidson, D., Rennert, G. and Barzilai, N. (2004) Clinical phenotype of families with longevity. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.*, 52, 274–277.
- Westendorp, R.G., van Heemst, D., Rozing, M.P., Frolich, M., Mooijaart, S.P., Blauw, G.J., Beekman, M., Heijmans, B.T., de Craen, A.J. and Slagboom, P.E. (2009) Nonagenarian siblings and their offspring display lower risk of mortality and morbidity than sporadic nonagenarians: The Leiden Longevity Study. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc., 57, 1634–1637.
- Herskind, A.M., McGue, M., Holm, N.V., Sorensen, T.I., Harvald, B. and Vaupel, J.W. (1996) The heritability of human longevity: a population-based study of 2872 Danish twin pairs born 1870–1900. *Hum. Genet.*, 97, 319–323.
- Hjelmborg, J.V., Iachine, I., Skytthe, A., Vaupel, J.W., McGue, M., Koskenvuo, M., Kaprio, J., Pedersen, N.L. and Christensen, K. (2006) Genetic influence on human lifespan and longevity. *Hum. Genet.*, **119**, 312–321.
- Finch, C.E. and Tanzi, R.E. (1997) Genetics of aging. Science, 278, 407–411.
- Kirkwood, T.B., Cordell, H.J. and Finch, C.E. (2011) Speed-bumps ahead for the genetics of later-life diseases. *Trends Genet.*, 27, 387–388.
- Schachter, F., Cohen, D. and Kirkwood, T. (1993) Prospects for the genetics of human longevity. *Hum. Genet.*, 91, 519–526.
- Ganna, A., Rivadeneira, F., Hofman, A., Uitterlinden, A.G., Magnusson, P.K., Pedersen, N.L., Ingelsson, E. and Tiemeier, H. (2013) Genetic determinants of mortality. Can findings from genome-wide association studies explain variation in human mortality? *Hum. Genet.*, 132, 553–561.
- Beekman, M., Nederstigt, C., Suchiman, H.E., Kremer, D., van der Breggen, R., Lakenberg, N., Alemayehu, W.G., de Craen, A.J., Westendorp, R.G.,

Boomsma, D.I. *et al.* (2010) Genome-wide association study (GWAS)-identified disease risk alleles do not compromise human longevity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **107**, 18046–18049.

- Deelen, J., Beekman, M., Uh, H.W., Helmer, Q., Kuningas, M., Christiansen, L., Kremer, D., van der, B.R., Suchiman, H.E., Lakenberg, N. *et al.* (2011) Genome-wide association study identifies a single major locus contributing to survival into old age; the APOE locus revisited. *Aging Cell*, **10**, 686–698.
- Newman, A.B., Walter, S., Lunetta, K.L., Garcia, M.E., Slagboom, P.E., Christensen, K., Arnold, A.M., Aspelund, T., Aulchenko, Y.S., Benjamin, E.J. *et al.* (2010) A meta-analysis of four genome-wide association studies of survival to age 90 years or older: the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology Consortium. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.*, 65, 478–487.
- Deelen, J., Beekman, M., Capri, M., Franceschi, C. and Slagboom, P.E. (2013) Identifying the genomic determinants of aging and longevity in human population studies: progress and challenges. *Bioessays*, 35, 386–396.
- Gavrilova, N.S., Gavrilov, L.A., Evdokushkina, G.N., Semyonova, V.G., Gavrilova, A.L., Evdokushkina, N.N., Kushnareva, Y.E., Kroutko, V.N. and Andreyev, A.Y. (1998) Evolution, mutations, and human longevity: European royal and noble families. *Hum. Biol.*, **70**, 799–804.
- Nebel, A., Kleindorp, R., Caliebe, A., Nothnagel, M., Blanche, H., Junge, O., Wittig, M., Ellinghaus, D., Flachsbart, F., Wichmann, H.E. *et al.* (2011) A genome-wide association study confirms APOE as the major gene influencing survival in long-lived individuals. *Mech. Ageing Dev.*, **132**, 324–330.
- Pruim, R.J., Welch, R.P., Sanna, S., Teslovich, T.M., Chines, P.S., Gliedt, T.P., Boehnke, M., Abecasis, G.R. and Willer, C.J. (2010) LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 2336–2337.
- Schunkert, H., Konig, I.R., Kathiresan, S., Reilly, M.P., Assimes, T.L., Holm, H., Preuss, M., Stewart, A.F., Barbalic, M., Gieger, C. *et al.* (2011) Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat. Genet.*, 43, 333–338.
- Ehret, G.B., Munroe, P.B., Rice, K.M., Bochud, M., Johnson, A.D., Chasman, D.I., Smith, A.V., Tobin, M.D., Verwoert, G.C., Hwang, S.J. *et al.* (2011) Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure and cardiovascular disease risk. *Nature*, **478**, 103–109.
- 25. Wain, L.V., Verwoert, G.C., O'Reilly, P.F., Shi, G., Johnson, T., Johnson, A.D., Bochud, M., Rice, K.M., Henneman, P., Smith, A.V. *et al.* (2011) Genome-wide association study identifies six new loci influencing pulse pressure and mean arterial pressure. *Nat. Genet.*, **43**, 1005–1011.
- Dupuis, J., Langenberg, C., Prokopenko, I., Saxena, R., Soranzo, N., Jackson, A.U., Wheeler, E., Glazer, N.L., Bouatia-Naji, N., Gloyn, A.L. *et al.* (2010) New genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on type 2 diabetes risk. *Nat. Genet.*, **42**, 105–116.
- 27. Manning, A.K., Hivert, M.F., Scott, R.A., Grimsby, J.L., Bouatia-Naji, N., Chen, H., Rybin, D., Liu, C.T., Bielak, L.F., Prokopenko, I. *et al.* (2012) A genome-wide approach accounting for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin resistance. *Nat. Genet.*, 44, 659–669.
- Morris, A.P., Voight, B.F., Teslovich, T.M., Ferreira, T., Segre, A.V., Steinthorsdottir, V., Strawbridge, R.J., Khan, H., Grallert, H., Mahajan, A. *et al.* (2012) Large-scale association analysis provides insights into the genetic architecture and pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. *Nat. Genet.*, 44, 981–990.
- Saxena, R., Hivert, M.F., Langenberg, C., Tanaka, T., Pankow, J.S., Vollenweider, P., Lyssenko, V., Bouatia-Naji, N., Dupuis, J., Jackson, A.U. *et al.* (2010) Genetic variation in GIPR influences the glucose and insulin responses to an oral glucose challenge. *Nat. Genet.*, 42, 142–148.
- Soranzo, N., Sanna, S., Wheeler, E., Gieger, C., Radke, D., Dupuis, J., Bouatia-Naji, N., Langenberg, C., Prokopenko, I., Stolerman, E. *et al.* (2010) Common variants at 10 genomic loci influence hemoglobin A(1)(C) levels via glycemic and nonglycemic pathways. *Diabetes*, 59, 3229–3239.
- 31. Strawbridge, R.J., Dupuis, J., Prokopenko, I., Barker, A., Ahlqvist, E., Rybin, D., Petrie, J.R., Travers, M.E., Bouatia-Naji, N., Dimas, A.S. *et al.* (2011) Genome-wide association identifies nine common variants associated with fasting proinsulin levels and provides new insights into the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes*, **60**, 2624–2634.
- Teslovich, T.M., Musunuru, K., Smith, A.V., Edmondson, A.C., Stylianou, I.M., Koseki, M., Pirruccello, J.P., Ripatti, S., Chasman, D.I., Willer, C.J. *et al.* (2010) Biological, clinical and population relevance of 95 loci for blood lipids. *Nature*, 466, 707–713.

- Segre, A.V., Groop, L., Mootha, V.K., Daly, M.J. and Altshuler, D. (2010) Common inherited variation in mitochondrial genes is not enriched for associations with type 2 diabetes or related glycemic traits. *PLoS Genet.*, 6, e1001058.
- Raychaudhuri, S., Plenge, R.M., Rossin, E.J., Ng, A.C., Purcell, S.M., Sklar, P., Scolnick, E.M., Xavier, R.J., Altshuler, D. and Daly, M.J. (2009) Identifying relationships among genomic disease regions: predicting genes at pathogenic SNP associations and rare deletions. *PLoS Genet.*, 5, e1000534.
- Ward, L.D. and Kellis, M. (2012) HaploReg: a resource for exploring chromatin states, conservation, and regulatory motif alterations within sets of genetically linked variants. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 40, D930–D934.
- Boyle, A.P., Hong, E.L., Hariharan, M., Cheng, Y., Schaub, M.A., Kasowski, M., Karczewski, K.J., Park, J., Hitz, B.C., Weng, S. *et al.* (2012) Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. *Genome Res.*, 22, 1790–1797.
- Molander, L., Lovheim, H., Norman, T., Nordstrom, P. and Gustafson, Y. (2008) Lower systolic blood pressure is associated with greater mortality in people aged 85 and older. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc., 56, 1853–1859.
- Oates, D.J., Berlowitz, D.R., Glickman, M.E., Silliman, R.A. and Borzecki, A.M. (2007) Blood pressure and survival in the oldest old. *J. Am. Geriatr.* Soc., 55, 383–388.
- Mercer, T.R., Dinger, M.E. and Mattick, J.S. (2009) Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 10, 155–159.
- Esteller, M. (2011) Non-coding RNAs in human disease. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 12, 861–874.
- Flachsbart, F., Caliebe, A., Kleindorp, R., Blanche, H., von Eller-Eberstein, H., Nikolaus, S., Schreiber, S. and Nebel, A. (2009) Association of FOXO3A variation with human longevity confirmed in German centenarians. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **106**, 2700–2705.
- Sebastiani, P., Solovieff, N., Dewan, A.T., Walsh, K.M., Puca, A., Hartley, S.W., Melista, E., Andersen, S., Dworkis, D.A., Wilk, J.B. *et al.* (2012) Genetic signatures of exceptional longevity in humans. *PLoS One*, 7, e29848.

- Zeggini, E., Panoutsopoulou, K., Southam, L., Rayner, N.W., Day-Williams, A.G., Lopes, M.C., Boraska, V., Esko, T., Evangelou, E., Hoffman, A. *et al.* (2012) Identification of new susceptibility loci for osteoarthritis (arcOGEN): a genome-wide association study. *Lancet*, 380, 815–823.
- 44. Hollingworth, P., Harold, D., Sims, R., Gerrish, A., Lambert, J.C., Carrasquillo, M.M., Abraham, R., Hamshere, M.L., Pahwa, J.S., Moskvina, V. *et al.* (2011) Common variants at ABCA7, MS4A6A/MS4A4E, EPHA1, CD33 and CD2AP are associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Genet.*, 43, 429–435.
- 45. Naj, A.C., Jun, G., Beecham, G.W., Wang, L.S., Vardarajan, B.N., Buros, J., Gallins, P.J., Buxbaum, J.D., Jarvik, G.P., Crane, P.K. *et al.* (2011) Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Genet.*, **43**, 436–441.
- 46. Uh, H.W., Deelen, J., Beekman, M., Helmer, Q., Rivadeneira, F., Hottenga, J.J., Boomsma, D.I., Hofman, A., Uitterlinden, A.G., Slagboom, P.E. *et al.* (2012) How to deal with the early GWAS data when imputing and combining different arrays is necessary. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.*, **20**, 572–576.
- Pe'er, I., Yelensky, R., Altshuler, D. and Daly, M.J. (2008) Estimation of the multiple testing burden for genomewide association studies of nearly all common variants. *Genet. Epidemiol.*, **32**, 381–385.
- Zeller, T., Wild, P., Szymczak, S., Rotival, M., Schillert, A., Castagne, R., Maouche, S., Germain, M., Lackner, K., Rossmann, H. *et al.* (2010) Genetics and beyond--the transcriptome of human monocytes and disease susceptibility. *PLoS One*, 5, e10693.
- Yang, T.P., Beazley, C., Montgomery, S.B., Dimas, A.S., Gutierrez-Arcelus, M., Stranger, B.E., Deloukas, P. and Dermitzakis, E.T. (2010) Genevar: a database and Java application for the analysis and visualization of SNP-gene associations in eQTL studies. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 2474–2476.
- Westra, H.J., Peters, M.J., Esko, T., Yaghootkar, H., Schurmann, C., Kettunen, J., Christiansen, M.W., Fairfax, B.P., Schramm, K., Powell, J.E. *et al.* (2013) Systematic identification of trans eQTLs as putative drivers of known disease associations. *Nat. Genet.*, 45, 1238–1243.