

The Association Between Diabetes and Cognitive Function in Later Life



Yadollah A. Momtaz^{1,2}, Tengku A. Hamid^{1,*}, Mohamad F. Bagat¹ and Maryam Hazrati³

¹Malaysian Research Institute on Ageing (MyAgeing), Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia; ²Iranian Research Center on Aging, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran; ³Department of Nursing Geriatric, Nursing and Midwifery School, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract: *Introduction:* Although diabetes through several possible mechanisms such as increased microvascular pathology and inefficiency of glucose utilization during cognitive tasks can be associated with cognitive impairment, there is inconclusive evidence that shows elderly diabetic patients under therapy have higher cognitive function compared to their non-diabetics counterparts. The present study was conducted to elucidate the association between diabetes and cognitive function in later life.

ARTICLEHISTORY

Received: April 18, 2019 Revised: May 18, 2019 Accepted: May 21, 2019

DOI: 10.2174/1874609812666190614104328



Methods: Data for this study, consisting of 2202 older adults aged 60 years and above, were taken from a population-based survey entitled "Identifying Psychosocial and Identifying Economic Risk Factor of Cognitive Impairment among Elderly. Data analysis was conducted using the IBM SPSS Version 23.0.

Results: The mean of MMSE was found to be 22.67 (SD = 4.93). The overall prevalence of self-reported diabetes was found to be 23.6% (CI95%: 21.8% - 25.4%). The result of independent t-test showed diabetic subjects had a higher mean score of MMSE (M = 23.05, SD = 4 .55) than their counterparts without diabetes (M = 22.55, SD = 5.04) (t = -2.13 p<.05). The results of multiple linear regression analysis showed that diabetes was not significantly associated with cognitive function, after controlling the possible confounding factors.

Conclusions: The findings from the current study revealed that diabetes is not associated with cognitive decline. This study supports the findings that long-term treatment of diabetes may reduce the risk of cognitive decline. This finding may provide new opportunities for the prevention and management of cognitive decline.

Keywords: Aged, diabetes, cognitive function, microvascular pathology, cognitive impairment, MMSE.

1. INTRODUCTION

Current Aging Science

Diabetes and dementia are two most common medical conditions among older adults [1]. Due to effective prevention and treatment strategies for the macro and microvascular complications of diabetes, people with diabetes are living longer, which might result in the emerging new complications such as dementia [2]. Several studies have assessed the association between diabetes and dementia and most studies identified diabetes as a risk factor for dementia in old age [3-5]. There are several potential mechanisms that explain the association between diabetes and dementia [2, 6-13]. One of the potential underlying mechanisms is insulin resistance and deficiency that may interact with amyloid- β protein and tau protein phosphorylation that might lead to the onset and development of dementia [2, 13].

In addition, diabetes as a complex metabolic disorder can be associated with some identified risk factors, such as vascular disease, impaired glucose metabolism, chronic inflammation, hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, APOE- ϵ 4 allele, and oxidative stress which appears to play a role in the onset and development of dementia [6-12].

Although, as mentioned above, there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that diabetes may increase the risk of dementia [14, 15], there are some other studies that show diabetes treatment may reduce the risk of cognitive decline [16-18]. In light of this evidence, diabetes treatment that may reduce the risk of dementia has gained the interest for scientists and resulted in conflicting results. For example, the findings from an epidemiological trial showed that those diabetic patients who were long-term users of metformin, had a slightly higher risk of dementia than those who did not receive the drug [19].

The contrasting findings from the recent studies [16-19] imply that more research is needed for a consistent understanding of the impact of dementia treatment on cognitive function. Therefore, the present cross-sectional study was conducted on 2202 older adults to elucidate the association between diabetes and cognitive function in later life.

^{*}Address correspondence to this author at the Malaysian Research Institute on Ageing (MyAgeing), Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia; Tel: +603-89472750; Fax: +603-89472738; E-mail: tengkuaizan06@gmail.com

2. METHODS

The data for this study were drawn from the crosssectional national survey entitled "Identifying Psychosocial and Identifying Economic Risk Factor of Cognitive Impairment among Elderly". The survey employed a multi-stage proportional cluster random sampling technique to obtain a sample of community-dwelling older adults in Malaysia. The detailed methodology of the survey has been previously reported elsewhere [20, 21].

3. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine and Health Science of Universiti Putra Malaysia, in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, World Medical Association (WMA). After explaining the purpose of the study, informed consent was orally obtained from all participants.

4. MEASURES

4.1. Cognitive Function

The cognitive function was measured by a validated Malay version of the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) [22]. The total raw score was used in the analyses.

4.2. Diabetes

The self-reported method was used to assess previously diagnosed diabetes by asking respondents, "Have you ever been told by a doctor that you had diabetes?. The selfreported diagnosis of diabetes has been found as a valid method to assess diabetes in epidemiological studies [23-25].

4.3. Confounding Factors

Socio-demographic confounding factors contributing to cognitive function [26, 27], such as age, sex, marital status, years of education, household income, and employment status were assessed.

4.4. Data Analysis

To fulfill the study purposes both descriptive and inferential statistics were employed using IBM SPSS version 23. First, the univariate was conducted to assess variables distribution. Second, a multiple linear regression was used to assess the effect of diabetes on cognitive function. The assumption of the normal distribution for numeric variables was assessed by skewness and kurtosis indices. The results showed that skewness and kurtosis values were within the acceptable range of -2 to +2 [28]. A two-tailed α value of 0.05 was considered as the level of statistical significance.

5. RESULTS

The sample for the current study consisted of 2,202 community-dwelling Malaysian older adults, with an average age of 69.05 (SD= 6.24) years. Table 1 presents sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents. As can be seen from Table 1, 47.9% of the respondents participated in the survey were men, and 31.3% were unmarried. Most respondents had a primary education (57.8%), 21.4% obtained

| Tal | ble | 1. | Socio-demographi | c characteristics of | f the respondents. |
|-----|-----|----|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
|-----|-----|----|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|

| - | | Frequency | Percent | |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------|--|
| Say | Female | 1148 | 52.1 | |
| StA | Male | 1054 | 47.9 | |
| Employment Status | Not working | 1709 | 77.6 | |
| Employment Status | Working | 493 | 22.4 | |
| Marital Status | Unmarried | 690 | 31.3 | |
| Maritai Status | Married | 1512 | 68.7 | |
| Disbatas | No | 1682 | 76.4 | |
| Diabetes | Yes | 520 | 23.6 | |
| | No formal | 458 | 20.8 | |
| Educational Level | Primary | 1273 | 57.8 | |
| | Secondary and tertiary | 471 | 21.4 | |
| | 60-69 | 1260 | 57.2 | |
| Age | 70-79 | 810 | 36.8 | |
| | 80+ | 132 | 6.0 | |
| Household Income | Less than RM 500 | 1163 | 52.8 | |
| Housenoia Income | RM 500+ | 1039 | 47.2 | |

RM: Ringgit Malaysia.



Fig. (1). Comparing MMSE scores between diabetes and non-diabetes participants.

| Table 2. | Results of n | ultiple linear | regression | analysis. |
|----------|--------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| | | 1 | | • |

| Variable | В | SE | Beta | t | P-value | 95%CI |
|--------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|----------------|---------|
| Age | -0.07 | 0.02 | -0.08 | -3.76 | <i>P</i> <.001 | .01 .09 |
| Sex | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.04 | 1.51 | .130 | 41 .49 |
| Marital Status | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 1.84 | .065 | 43 .51 |
| Employment status | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.94 | .345 | 47 .51 |
| Years of education | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 11.74 | <i>P</i> <.001 | .21 .33 |
| Household Income | 0.76 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 3.56 | <i>P</i> <.001 | .33 .49 |
| Diabetes | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 1.26 | .209 | 42 .48 |

F(7, 2190)=51.23, P<.001, R²=.14.

a secondary and tertiary education, and around one-fifth of the respondents reported having no formal education. The mean of MMSE was found to be 22.67 (SD=4.93). The overall prevalence of self-reported diabetes was found to be 23.6% (CI95%: 21.8% - 25.4%) among older adults aged 60 years and above.

As depicted in Fig. (1), the result of an independent t-test showed a significant difference between respondents with diabetes and those without diabetes in mean score of MMSE (t=-2.13 p<.05), wherein diabetic subjects had a higher mean score of MMSE (M=23.05, SD=4.55) than their counterparts without diabetes (M=22.55, SD=5.04).

The assumptions for multiple linear regression analysis including linearity, homoscedasticity and collinearity were tested and the findings showed that the assumptions were met. The results of multiple linear regression analysis emerged as a significant model that was able to explain 14% of the variance in cognitive function, (F (9, 2176) =125.68, $R^2 = .34$, p < .001). As can be found from Table **2**, diabetes was not significantly associated with cognitive function, after adjusting for age, sex, years of education, marital status, employment status, and household income.

6. DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the present study was to elucidate the association between diabetes and cognitive function in later life.

According to the present study, about one-fourth (23.6%) of the Malaysian older adults had diabetes. The prevalence of diabetes observed in older Malaysians aged 60 years and over is lower than the finding reported by the study in Australia. The prevalence of self-reported diabetes in a sample of Indigenous Australians aged 40-92 years was 37.11% [24]. However, the prevalence of self-reported diabetes in our study was higher than what has been documented by a Brazilin study, wherein 15.4% of the sample presented self-reported diabetes [29].

Our finding is closely consistent with the results of a recent study in Malaysia which showed that the prevalence of diabetes was 22.7% among older adults 60 years and above [30].

The result of the bivariate analysis revealed that diabetic subjects had a higher mean score of cognitive function than their counterparts without diabetes. Although, there is a growing body of scientific investigations indicating that diabetes is associated with an increased risk of developing cognitive impairment and dementia [31-33], the findings from the current study revealed that diabetic respondents had a higher score of cognitive function. As the first line oral diabetes medication in Malaysia is metformin [34], it can be said that metformin may be associated with the lowest risk of cognitive impairment. This finding is consistent with previous studies [23-25, 35, 36] that have demonstrated using metformin for diabetes management is contributed to lower possibility of cognitive impairment.

Metformin as an orally active biguanide clearly reduces insulin levels, inflammation and thrombosis, and the risks of metabolic syndrome [2]. Additionally, there is evidence indicating that metformin acts as an antiaging factor that promotes health [37, 38].

CONCLUSION

The findings from the current study revealed that diabetes is not associated with cognitive decline. This study supports the findings that long-term treatment of diabetes may reduce the risk of cognitive decline [13]. These findings imply that metformin could play a role in preventing dementia [39]. This finding may provide new opportunities for the prevention and management of cognitive decline.

LIMITATIONS

Although the current study benefits from its large sample size, there are a few limitations that should be acknowledged. Firstly, the study employed a cross-sectional design which impedes causal inferences. Although there is a substantial agreement between self-reported and physician assessment for diabetes [40], the second possible limitation of this study is that the assessment of diabetes was based on the self-report measure.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The study was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine and Health Science of Universiti Putra, Malaysia.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

No animals were used in this study. The reported experiments on humans were in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008 (http://www.wma.net/).

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was orally obtained from all participants.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The data that support the finding of this study are available from the corresponding author Dr. Tengku A. Hamid (tengkuaizan06@gmail.com), upon reasonable request.

FUNDING

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support under the Long Term Research Grant Scheme (LRGS) provided by the Ministry of Education Malaysia (LRGS/BU/2012/UKM-UKM/K/01).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to all coresearchers, fieldworkers, staffs, local authorities and subjects for their willingness to cooperate with us to make our study a success.

REFERENCES

- Luchsinger JA. Diabetes, related conditions, and dementia. J Neurol Sci 2010; 299(1): 35-8.
- [2] Natan MB, Danino S, Freundlich N, Barda A, Yosef RM. Intention of nursing students to work in geriatrics. Res Gerontol Nurs 2015; 8(3): 140-7.
- [3] Peila R, Rodriguez BL, Launer LJ. Type 2 diabetes, APOE gene, and the risk for dementia and related pathologies: The Honolulu-Asia Aging Study Diabetes 2002; 51(4): 1256-62.
- [4] Akomolafe A, Beiser A, Meigs JB, Au R, Green RC, Farrer LA, et al. Diabetes mellitus and risk of developing Alzheimer disease: Results from the framingham study. Arch Neurol 2006; 63(11): 1551-5.
- [5] Cheng D, Noble J, Tang MX, Schupf N, Mayeux R, Luchsinger JA. Type 2 diabetes and late-onset alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2011; 31(6): 424-30.
- [6] Patrone C, Eriksson O, Lindholm D. Diabetes drugs and neurological disorders: New views and therapeutic possibilities. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014; 2(3): 256-62.
- [7] Markowicz-Piasecka M, Sikora J, Szydłowska A, Skupień A, Mikiciuk-Olasik E, Huttunen KM. Metformin- A future therapy for neurodegenerative diseases. Pharmaceut Res 2017; 34(12): 2614-27.
- [8] Ascher-Svanum H, Chen YF, Hake A, Kahle-Wrobleski K, Schuster D, Kendall D, *et al.* Cognitive and functional decline in patients with mild alzheimer dementia with or without comorbid diabetes. Clin Therapeut 2015; 37(6): 1195-205.
- Banks WA, Owen JB, Erickson MA. Insulin in the brain: There and back again. Pharmacol Therapeut 2012; 136(1): 82-93.
- [10] Li J, Cesari M, Liu F, Dong B, Vellas B. Effects of diabetes mellitus on cognitive decline in patients with Alzheimer disease: A systematic review. Can J Diabetes 2017; 41(1): 114-9.
- [11] Strachan MW, Reynolds RM, Frier BM, Mitchell RJ, Price JF. The relationship between type 2 diabetes and dementia. Br Med Bull 2008; 88(1): 131-46.
- [12] González-Reyes ER, Aliev G, Ávila-Rodrigues M, Barreto EG. Alterations in glucose metabolism on cognition: A possible link between diabetes and dementia. Curr Pharm Des 2016; 22(7): 812-8.
- [13] Bowling A. Research methods in health: Investigating health and health services. 4th ed. Milton Keynes: Open University Press; 2014.
- [14] Hsu CC, Wahlqvist ML, Lee MS, Tsai HN. Incidence of dementia is increased in type 2 diabetes and reduced by the use of sulfonylureas and metformin. J Alzheimers Dis 2011; 24(3): 485-93.
- [15] Bruce DG, Davis WA, Casey GP, Starkstein SE, Clarnette RM, Foster J, et al. Predictors of cognitive impairment and dementia in older people with diabetes. Diabetologia 2008; 51(2): 241-8.
- [16] Herath PM, Cherbuin N, Eramudugolla R, Anstey KJ. The effect of diabetes medication on cognitive function: evidence from the PATH Through Life study. BioMed Res Int 2016; 2016: 7208429.
- [17] Asadbegi M, Yaghmaei P, Salehi I, Ebrahim-Habibi A, Komaki A. Neuroprotective effects of metformin against Aβ-mediated inhibi-

tion of long-term potentiation in rats fed a high-fat diet. Brain Res Bull 2016; 121: 178-85.

- [18] Gupta A, Bisht B, Dey CS. Peripheral insulin-sensitizer drug metformin ameliorates neuronal insulin resistance and Alzheimer'slike changes. Neuropharmacology 2011; 60(6): 910-20.
- [19] Imfeld P, Bodmer M, Jick SS, Meier CR. Metformin, other antidiabetic drugs, and risk of Alzheimer's disease: A population-based case-control study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; 60(5): 916-21.
- [20] Momtaz YA, Hamid TA, Haron SA, Bagat MF. Flourishing in later life. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2016; 63: 85-91.
- [21] Shahar S, Omar A, Vanoh D, Hamid TA, Mukari SZMS, Din NC, et al. Approaches in methodology for population-based longitudinal study on neuroprotective model for healthy longevity (TUA) among Malaysian Older Adults. Aging Clin Exp Res 2016; 28(6): 1089-104.
- [22] Ibrahim NM, Shohaimi S, Chong HT, Rahman AH, Razali R, Esther E, et al. Validation study of the mini-mental state examination in a Malay-speaking elderly population in Malaysia. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2009; 27(3): 247-53.
- [23] Yuan X, Liu T, Wu L, Zou ZY, Li C. Validity of self-reported diabetes among middle-aged and older Chinese adults: The China health and retirement longitudinal study. BMJ Open 2015; 5(4): e006633.
- [24] Keel S, Foreman J, Xie J, van Wijngaarden P, Taylor HR, Dirani M. The prevalence of self-reported diabetes in the Australian national eye health survey. PLoS One 2017; 12(1): e0169211.
- [25] Xaverius PK, Salas J, Kiel D. Differences in pregnancy planning between women aged 18-44, with and without diabetes: Behavioral risk factor surveillance system analysis. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2013; 99(1): 63-8.
- [26] Hamid TA, Krishnaswamy S, Abdullah SS, Momtaz YA. Sociodemographic risk factors and correlates of dementia in older Malaysians. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2010; 30(6): 533-9.
- [27] Momtaz YA, Hamid TA, Yusoff S, Ibrahim R. Do depression and educational attainment mediate the association between ethnicity and dementia? Gerontology 2013; 59(3): 206-12.
- [28] Kim HY. Statistical notes for clinical researchers: Assessing normal distribution (2) using skewness and kurtosis. Restor Dent Endod 2013; 38(1): 52-4.
- [29] Francisco PM, Belon AP, Barros MB, Carandina L, Alves MC, Goldbaum M, et al. Self-reported diabetes in the elderly: Preva-

lence, associated factors, and control practices. Public Health Notebooks 2010; 26(1): 175-84.

- [30] Rampal S, Rampal L, Rahmat R, Zain AM, Yap YG, Mohamed M, et al. Variation in the prevalence, awareness, and control of diabetes in a multiethnic population: A nationwide population study in Malaysia. Asia Pac J Public Health 2010; 22(2): 194-202.
- [31] Cukierman T, Gerstein HC, Williamson JD. Cognitive decline and dementia in diabetes- Systematic overview of prospective observational studies. Diabetologia 2005; 48(12): 2460-9.
- [32] Stewart R, Liolitsa D. Type 2 diabetes mellitus, cognitive impairment and dementia. Diabetic Med 1999; 16(2): 93-112.
- [33] Cheng G, Huang C, Deng H, Wang H. Diabetes as a risk factor for dementia and mild cognitive impairment: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Internal Med J 2012; 42(5): 484-91.
- [34] Hasan SS. A comparative drug utilisation study of the treatment of diabetes in Malaysia and Australia. Australas Med J 2015; 8(6): 179-88.
- [35] Campbell JM, Stephenson MD, Courten Bd, Chapman I, Bellman SM, Aromataris E. Metformin use associated with reduced risk of dementia in patients with diabetes: A systematic review and metaanalysis. J Alzheimers Dis 2018; 65(4): 1225-36.
- [36] Ng TP, Feng L, Yap KB, Lee TS, Tan CH, Winblad B. Long-term metformin usage and cognitive function among older adults with diabetes. J Alzheimers Dis 2014; 41(1): 61-8.
- [37] Podhorecka M, Ibanez B, Dmoszyńska A. Metformin- Its potential anti-cancer and anti-aging effects. Postepy Hig Med Dosw 2017; 71: 170-5.
- [38] Chen Y, Zhou K, Wang R, Liu Y, Kwak YD, Ma T, et al. Antidiabetic drug metformin (GlucophageR) increases biogenesis of Alzheimer's amyloid peptides via up-regulating BACE1 transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106(10): 3907-12.
- [39] Campbell JM, Stephenson MD, de Courten B, Chapman I, Bellman SM, Aromataris E. Metformin and Alzheimer's disease, dementia and cognitive impairment: A systematic review protocol. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2017; 15(8): 2055-9.
- [40] Okura Y, Urban LH, Mahoney DW, Jacobsen SJ, Rodeheffer RJ. Agreement between self-report questionnaires and medical record data was substantial for diabetes, hypertension, myocardial infarction and stroke but not for heart failure. J Clinical Epidemiol 2004; 57(10): 1096-103.