



Enhanced inhibition of MHC-I expression by SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants

Miyu Moriyama^a, Carolina Lucas^a, Valter Silva Monteiro^a , Yale SARS-CoV-2 Genomic Surveillance Initiative¹, and Akiko Iwasaki^{a,b,c,2}

Contributed by Akiko Iwasaki; received December 21, 2022; accepted March 9, 2023; reviewed by Jonathan C. Kagan and Yoshihiro Kawaoka

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants of concern (VOCs) possess mutations that confer resistance to neutralizing antibodies within the Spike protein and are associated with breakthrough infection and reinfection. By contrast, less is known about the escape from CD8+ T cell-mediated immunity by VOC. Here, we demonstrated that all SARS-CoV-2 VOCs possess the ability to suppress major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) expression. We identified several viral genes that contribute to the suppression of MHC I expression. Notably, MHC-I upregulation was strongly inhibited after SARS-CoV-2 but not influenza virus infection in vivo. While earlier VOCs possess similar capacity as the ancestral strain to suppress MHC-I, the Omicron subvariants exhibited a greater ability to suppress surface MHC-I expression. We identified a common mutation in the E protein of Omicron that further suppressed MHC-I expression. Collectively, our data suggest that in addition to escaping from neutralizing antibodies, the success of Omicron subvariants to cause breakthrough infection and reinfection may in part be due to its optimized evasion from T cell recognition.

CD8 T cell | cytotoxic T lymphocytes | viral evasion | MHC | COVID-19

SARS-CoV-2 has continued to evolve since it was first detected in Wuhan, China in December 2019. Beginning in late 2020, waves of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs) with increased transmissibility and immune evasion capacity have emerged. Increasing breakthrough infection and reinfection events are associated with the emergence of VOCs (1, 2). Breakthrough infections and reinfections are likely driven by significant increases in transmissibility (3), evasion from innate immunity (4, 5), and escape from neutralization by vaccine/infection-induced antibodies (6–9). By contrast, minimal evasion of T cell epitopes has been reported for VOCs (10). In November 2021, the Omicron variant, the newest VOC declared by WHO to date, had emerged. Omicron variant then quickly outcompeted the previously dominant Delta variant and led to the largest surge in COVID-19 cases worldwide. The outstanding features of the Omicron variant are the considerably enhanced escape from the antibody neutralization (9, 11) and increased infectivity (12) than the earlier VOCs, due to its heavily mutated Spike protein. Although the Omicron variant and its subvariants harbor a far greater number of mutations in its genome compared to those in previous VOCs, T cell epitopes remain generally intact (13).

CD8⁺ cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) recognizes and kills infected cells and eliminates the source of replicating viruses. Antigen presentation by major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) is a critical step for the activation of antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells and the subsequent killing of infected cells. Viral peptides processed by the cellular proteasome complex are loaded on MHC-I molecule in the endoplasmic reticulum and translocate to the cell surface to be recognized by antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells. To successfully establish infection and replicate in the host, many viruses have acquired the ability to inhibit MHC-I processing and presentation of viral antigens (14). Likewise, SARS-CoV-2 utilizes its viral proteins to interfere with the MHC-I pathway (15-19). SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 protein induces autophagic degradation of MHC-I and confers resistance to CTL surveillance (15). Studies from the first 3 month of the pandemic showed a rapid evolution of the SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 gene including isolates with 382 nt deletion spanning the ORF7b-ORF8 gene region (20, 21), which is associated with robust T cell response and a milder clinical outcome (22, 23). These findings collectively raised a question of whether VOC and its ORF8 protein have evolved to further enhance the ability to shut down MHC-I, thereby evading from antigen-specific memory CD8⁺ T cells established by previous infection or vaccination.

Here, we performed a systematic analysis of the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 variants to down-regulate MHC-I presentation. Our data demonstrated vigorous suppression of MHC-I surface expression by the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 and minimal evolution in modulating MHC-I pathway by earlier VOCs. Remarkably, the latest Omicron subvariants have acquired an enhanced ability in modulating MHC-I pathway.

Significance

Numerous pathogenic viruses have developed strategies to evade host CD8+ T cell-mediated clearance. Here, we demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 encodes multiple viral factors that can modulate major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) expression in the host cells. We found that MHC-I upregulation was strongly suppressed during SARS-CoV-2, but not influenza virus infection, in vivo. Notably, the Omicron subvariants showed an enhanced ability to suppress MHC-I compared to the original strain and the earlier SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs). We identified a mutation in the E protein shared by the Omicron subvariants that further suppressed MHC-I expression. Our results point to the inherently strong ability of SARS-CoV-2 to hinder MHC-I expression and demonstrated that Omicron subvariants have evolved an even more optimized capacity to evade CD8 T cell recognition.

Reviewers: J.C.K., Boston Children's Hospital; and Y.K., University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Competing interest statement: A.I. served as a consultant for RIGImmune, Xanadu Bio, Paratus Bio, and Invisishield. A.I. owns equity in RIGImmune and Xanadu Bio. A.I. has patent filings for RIGImmune and Xanadu Bio.

Copyright © 2023 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

¹A complete list of the Yale SARS-CoV-2 Genomic Surveillance Initiative can be found in the SI Appendix.

²To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: akiko.iwasaki@yale.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas. 2221652120/-/DCSupplemental.

Published April 10, 2023.

Results

Pre-Omicron SARS-CoV-2 Variants Retain Similar MHC-I Evasion **Capacity.** To investigate the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on MHC-I expression, we infected Calu-3 cells, a commonly used human lung epithelial cell line, with SARS-CoV-2 variants and the ancestral strain (USA-WA1). We tested four VOC (B.1.1.7/ Alpha, B.1.351/Beta, P.1/Gamma, and B.1.617.2/Delta) and three variants of interest (B.1.427/Epsilon, B.1.429/Epsilon, and B.1.526/Iota). To assess MHC-I expression levels, the cells were pregated for single cells and live cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Infection with SARS-CoV-2 variants reduced the viability of the cells by ~30% compared to the mock-infected condition (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 A and B). Within the live cell population, SARS-CoV-2 variants and the ancestral strain similarly down-regulated MHC-I levels after infection (Fig. 1A). We next examined transcriptional levels of MHC-I genes after infection with SARS-CoV-2 variants. Transcriptional levels of MHC-I genes differed depending on the variants (Fig. 1B). The ancestral strain significantly down-regulated human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-A, B, and C genes as previously reported (16). B.1.1.7 and B.1.351 showed a similar reduction in HLA-A, B, and C mRNA expression as the ancestral strain. Other variants showed a weaker downregulation (B.1.526), no significant change (B.1.429), or upregulation (P.1) of HLA class I genes within the infected cells. To gain further mechanistic insights into differential transcriptional levels of MHC-I in SARS-CoV-2 variants infection, we determined if the master transcription factors of MHC-I gene, NLRC5 and IRF1 (24), are differentially expressed in these cells. We observed the upregulation of both NLRC5 and IRF1 by SARS-CoV-2 infection, where some VOCs had lower expression levels compared to the WA1 strain (Fig. 1*C*). These results indicated that despite induction of NLRC5 and IRF1, SARS-CoV-2 variants maintain a similar capacity to reduce HLA-I mRNA levels as the ancestral virus, except for the P.1 and B.1.429 variants. Given that P.1-infected and B.1.429-infected cells still expressed low levels of MHC-I on the surface, other posttranscriptional mechanisms involved in the MHC-I processing and presentation pathway must account for the reduced surface MHC-I expression.

Variant-Specific Mutations Are Found in the ORF8 Gene of SARS-CoV-2. Because previous studies revealed the association between 382-nt deletion spanning the ORF7b-ORF8 gene region and robust interferon (IFN)- γ and T cell responses (22, 23), we next addressed the role of ORF8 in the differential MHC-I regulation by VOCs. We performed multiple sequence alignments of ORF8 amino acid sequences from SARS-CoV-2 variants to see if there are any nonsynonymous mutations. In total, eight nonsynonymous mutations and two deletions were detected from 16 variants examined (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). Notably, a premature stop codon




Fig. 1. MHC-I evasion by SARS-CoV-2 variants. (*A*) Calu-3 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 variants at MOI 0.3 for 40 h. The cell surface expression of HLA-ABC was analyzed by flow cytometry. (*B* and *C*) Calu-3 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 variants at MOI 0.01 for 48 h. The mRNA levels of HLA-A, -B, -C (*B*), NLRC5, and IRF1 (*C*) were measured by qRT-PCR. Data are mean ± SD. Data are representative of two to three independent experiments. **P* < 0.05; ****P* < 0.01; *****P* < 0.001.

was introduced at Q27 of B.1.1.7, which truncates the ORF8 polypeptide length and likely alters the protein functionality. The downstream mutations (R52I and Y73C) probably have no further impact on B.1.1.7 ORF8 protein because of the early translation termination by Q27stop mutation. Although pre-Omicron VOC (B.1.1.7/Alpha, B.1.351/Beta, P.1/Gamma, and B.1.617.2/Delta) harbored mutations or deletions in ORF8 protein, ORF8 sequence from BA.1/Omicron variant and its descendants remained intact. Two of the former variants of interest, B.1.429/Epsilon and B.1.526/Iota harbored V100L and T11I mutation, respectively. None of the mutations and deletions were conserved among different lineages. To investigate the prevalence of mutations found in variants, we downloaded 3,059 SARS-CoV-2 genome sequence data from GISAID database (https://www.gisaid. org/). We found that the mutation in a particular amino acid is only exclusively seen in a single lineage (Fig. 2A). ORF8 L84S mutation, which was detected within the first 2 month of the pandemic (25) and corresponding to clade S, was not observed in any of the variants. We also observed the mutations found by multiple sequence alignment are generally highly prevalent, and the proportions ranged from 12.5 to 100% of the lineage (Fig. 2B). These results indicate that the variant-specific mutations were acquired independently during SARS-CoV-2 evolution.

Impaired MHC-I Downregulation by B.1.1.7 ORF8 Protein. We next tested whether variant-specific mutations alter MHC-I downregulating capability of the ORF8 protein. To this end, we generated expression plasmids encoding seven ORF8 mutants from SARS-CoV-2 variants (Fig. 2C), and subsequently transfected HEK293T cells with these plasmids for the detection of its effect on the surface MHC-I expression levels. We included SARS-CoV ORF8a/b proteins as negative controls, as they have been shown not to affect MHC-I expression levels (15). Since ORF8 induces degradation of MHC-I via autophagy by interacting with MHC-I and localizing in LC3-positive puncta (15), ORF8 presumably acts on MHC-I downregulation in a cell-intrinsic manner. Indeed, surface MHC-I levels of the cells expressing WT ORF8 protein were much lower than those of the cells without ORF8 expression (Fig. 2D). In addition to the surface MHC-I, intracellular MHC-I molecules were also decreased specifically in ORF8-expressing cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A), further supporting the direct role of ORF8 in the control of cellular MHC-I levels. The cysteine 20 residue of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 is known to form intermolecular disulfide bonds between two ORF8 molecules and stabilize the homodimer (26, 27). Disruption of the Cys20 residue, however, did not affect the regulation of cell surface MHC-I levels (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3*B*). Among seven ORF8 mutants tested, six mutants including L84S, I121L, E92K, del119-120, V100L, and T11I down-regulated surface MHC-I levels of the cells expressing those proteins to a similar extent to WT ORF8 protein (Fig. 2E), while maintaining the expression of an irrelevant cell surface molecule, CD324 (Fig. 2F). On the other hand, Q27Stop ORF8 mutant had a completely abrogated MHC-I downregulation capability compared to the WT ORF8 protein (Fig. 2E). These results indicated that none of the variant-specific mutations enhanced the ability of ORF8 protein to down-regulate MHC-I, and the ORF8 encoded by the B.1.1.7 lineage lost its ability to reduce surface MHC-I expression.

Multiple SARS-CoV-2 Viral Proteins Play Redundant Roles in the **Downregulation of MHC-I.** Given that B.1.1.7 and P.1 variants were able to reduce MHC-I expression levels even though these lineages retain functionally defective ORF8 mutant or are less effective in reducing HLA-I mRNA levels, we investigated the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 encodes multiple viral genes that redundantly act

to suppress MHC-I expression. Since nascent MHC-I molecule assembly and peptide loading take place in the endoplasmic reticulum, and peptide-loaded MHC-I molecules are subsequently transported through the secretory pathway, we chose to test whether SARSCoV-2-encoded proteins which localize to these subcellular compartments modulate MHC-I expression (28, 29). We generated expression plasmids encoding the original Wuhan strain SARS-CoV-2 E, M, ORF7a, and ORF7b, and assessed the effect on the surface MHC-I and MHC-II expression levels of HEK293T cells following transfection with these plasmids. We also included HIV Nef as a positive control for downregulating both MHC-I and MHC-II (30, 31), and SARS-CoV ORF8a/b proteins as a negative control. As expected, HIV Nef protein down-regulated both MHC-I and MHC-II levels, whereas SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 specifically targeted MHC-I (Fig. 3 A and B). We found that in addition to ORF8, SARS-CoV-2 E, M, and ORF7a substantially down-regulated MHC-I within the cells expressing these viral proteins (Fig. 3C). Significant reduction of surface MHC-II levels was also observed by expression of these viral proteins (Fig. 3C), albeit to a lesser extent (~20%). These results suggested that SARS-CoV-2 encodes multiple viral genes that are redundantly down-regulating MHC-I likely to ensure viral evasion from MHC-I-mediated CTL recognition.

Superior MHC-I Evasion by SARS-CoV-2 Compared to Influenza A Virus In Vivo. In the experiments above, we have shown that SARS-CoV-2 encodes multiple viral proteins that are targeting MHC-I expression, which can synergistically strengthen the capability of the virus to avoid MHC-I presentation. Moreover, we confirmed the previous finding that the MHC-I downregulation is a newly acquired function of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 protein, which was not seen in SARS-CoV ORF8a/b proteins. Considering these results, we hypothesized that even the ancestral strain of SARS-CoV-2 possesses a superior MHC-I evasion strategy than other respiratory viruses. To assess this hypothesis, we infected C57BL/6J mice intranasally with a mouse-adapted strain of SARS-CoV-2 (SARS-CoV-2 MA10) or influenza A/PR8 virus and analyzed the MHC-I expression levels of lung epithelial cells at 2 d after infection. SARS-CoV-2 MA10 virus harbors two mutations in the Spike protein, three mutations in the ORF1ab, and an F7S mutation in ORF6 compared to the ancestral virus (32). Strikingly, influenza A virus induced robust upregulation of MHC-I in both infected (NP+) and uninfected (NP-) lung epithelial cells, whereas SARS-CoV-2 MA10 up-regulated MHC-I only in uninfected cells (S-), and to a lesser extent than the influenza virus (Fig. 4 A–C). Importantly, MHC-I upregulation was completely abrogated in SARS-CoV-2 MA10-infected (S+) lung epithelial cells, suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins are strongly inhibiting MHC-I upregulation in a cell-intrinsic manner. To test if the superior MHC-I inhibition by SARS-CoV-2 is also seen in cultured human cells, we infected Calu-3 cells with influenza A/PR8 virus or USA-WA1 strain of SARS-CoV-2 and compared the cell surface MHC-I expression at 48 h after infection. Consistent with the in vivo results, we found that influenza A/PR8 virus infection significantly up-regulated MHC-I expression in vitro (Fig. 4D). We observed that SARS-CoV-2 infection downregulated MHC-I in both infected (S+) and uninfected cells (S-), but more profound effect on infected cells as seen in the infected human cell line (Fig. 4E). These results indicated that SARS-CoV-2 possesses a near complete ability to shut down MHC-I induction within infected cells in vivo, a feature not found in influenza A virus.

Omicron Subvariants Down-Regulate MHC-I More Efficiently Than Earlier Isolates. Finally, we tested the ability of the more recent Omicron subvariants to counteract the MHC-I expression. We




Fig. 2. Unique mutations are found in ORF8 gene of SARS-CoV-2 variants. (*A*) Mutant proportion in the ORF8 genes of the indicated SARS-CoV-2 variants. The amino acid positions shown are selected based on the results of multiple sequence alignment performed in *SI Appendix*, Fig.S2. The number of sequences analyzed for each lineage is shown above each graph. (*B*) Frequency of amino acids at the positions enriched for mutants in each variant. The amino acids shown in gray color correspond to WT. (*C*) Schematic diagram of ORF8 proteins from SARS-CoV-2 variants. (*D*–*F*) HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding C-terminally Flag-tagged SARS-CoV ORF8a/b, HIV Nef, SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 WT, or SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 variants. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were collected and analyzed for the cell surface HLA-ABC expression (*D* and *E*) and CD324 (*F*). Data are shown in raw median fluorescence intensity (MFI) (*D*) or as the ratio of MFI in Flag+ cells to Flag- cells (*E*) (n = 3). Data are mean ± SD. Data are representative of two to three independent experiments. *****P* < 0.001.

generated an A549 cell line, a human adenocarcinoma cell line that stably expresses ACE2 (A549-hACE2 cells). A549-hACE2 cells were infected with five Omicron subvariants (BA.1, BA.2.12.1, XAF, BA.4, and BA.5) along with earlier isolates (WA1 and B.1.429) for comparison. We further distinguished virally infected (S+) cells from bystander cells (S-) to assess the direct impact of infection on the

cell surface MHC-I expression (Fig. 5*A*), by looking at cell surface HLA-ABC raw MFI (Fig. 5 *B* and *C*) or normalized MFI in S+infected cells (Fig. 5 *D* and *E*). Consistent with the observation in Calu-3 cells (Fig. 1*A*), SARS-CoV-2 infection suppressed MHC-I expression in A549-hACE2 cells (Fig. 5 *B*–*D*). Furthermore, MHC-I reduction was specifically seen in S+-infected cells and varied




Fig. 3. SARS-CoV-2 viral genes redundantly down-regulate MHC-I. (A-C) HEK293T cells were transfected with expression plasmids encoding C-terminal Flagtagged viral proteins as indicated. After 48 h, surface expressions of HLA-ABC and HLA-DR were analyzed by flow cytometry. The representative histogram of HLA-ABC (A) and HLA-DR (B) of SARS-CoV ORF8a-Flag, HIV-Nef-Flag, or SARS-CoV-2 ORF8-Flag +/- cells are shown. (C) The normalized ratio of HLA surface expression in Flag+ cells to Flag- cells are shown (n = 3). All SARS-CoV-2 proteins tested here are derived from the ancestral Wuhan strain. Data are mean ± SD. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Statistical significance is calculated versus SARS-CoV ORF8a *P < 0.05; ***P < 0.001.

between different viral strains (Fig. 5B). In contrast, an irrelevant cell surface marker CD324 (E-cadherin) remained unchanged upon infection with SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 5 C-E). Remarkably, many of the omicron subvariants, such as BA.1, BA.2.12.1, XAF, and BA.4, had a superior capacity to reduce surface MHC-I levels compared to the older isolates (Fig. 5D). To understand the differential ability of the Omicron subvariants to suppress MHC-I expression, we plotted SARS-CoV-2 S protein expression levels against the surface MHC-I levels (Fig. 5 F and G). We observed a negative correlation between S protein expression and MHC-I expression (Fig. 5F). This may explain the differential efficiency of MHC-I evasion among Omicron subvariants. Notably, although both WA1 and BA.1-infected cells expressed similar levels of S protein, the surface MHC-I expression was \sim 36% lower in BA.1 infection than WA1 (Fig. 5*G*). Similarly, WA1- and BA.5-infected cells expressed similar levels of MHC-I, even though BA.5 infection expressed ~42% lower viral S protein than WA1. Collectively, these data suggest an exaggerated rate of MHC-I evasion by Omicron subvariants per viral translational unit measured by the S protein expression.

To explore the molecular mechanism of the enhanced MHC-I inhibition by Omicron subvariants, we searched for common mutations shared among Omicron subvariants that were used in this study (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). We identified common mutations in the E protein (T9I) and the M protein (Q19E/A63T), which are shared among all Omicron subvariants used in this study. To examine whether these common mutations can account for the superior ability of Omicron subvariants to suppress MHC-I, we generated expression plasmids encoding mutant E and M and assessed the impact of these mutations on the surface MHC-I expression levels in HEK293T cells. We found that T9I mutation within the E protein significantly enhanced the degree of MHC-I downregulation, whereas the M mutations had no impact (Fig. 5H). Collectively, these results underscore the universal capacity of all SARS-CoV-2 strains to mediate the cell-intrinsic reduction of MHC-I expression within the infected cells and highlight the superior ability of the Omicron subvariants in acquiring MHC-I evasion capacity.




Fig. 4. Robust suppression of MHC-I upregulation by SARS-CoV-2 in vivo. (A–C) C57BL/6J mice were infected intranasally with 10^5 PFU of SARS-CoV-2 MA10 or Influenza virus A/PR8. Two days later, lungs were collected and analyzed for surface MHC-I expressions on epithelial cells of viral protein [SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S) or influenza A virus nucleoprotein (NP)]-positive and -negative populations. (n = 3 to 4). The representative histograms (A) and MFI (B and C) are shown. (D and E) Calu-3 cells were infected with influenza virus A/PR8 (D) or SARS-CoV-2 WA1 (E) at MOI 0.3 for 48 h. The cell surface expression of HLA-ABC was analyzed by flow cytometry. Data are mean \pm SD. Data are representative of two independent experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; N.S., not significant.

Discussion

CD8⁺T cell-mediated elimination of infected cells plays an important role in the antiviral adaptive immune response. Thus, many viruses have developed ways to avoid the efficient MHC-I mediated antigen presentation to CD8⁺T cells. In the current study, we uncovered the intrinsically potent ability of SARS-CoV-2 to shut

down the host MHC-I system by using live, authentic SARS-CoV-2 variants as well as the functional analysis of variant-specific mutations in ORF8 gene, a key viral protein for both MHC-I evasion and adaptation to the host. We further identified multiple other viral genes that confer redundant function in MHC-I suppression. We show that respiratory epithelial cells infected in vivo with SARS-CoV-2 failed to up-regulate MHC-I, whereas those infected with influenza virus robustly elevated MHC-I expression. Finally, our data revealed that the most recent Omicron subvariants have superior capacity to suppress MHC-I compared to the earlier isolates, and identified a mutation within the E protein common to these Omicron subvariants that possess superior MHC-I suppression capacity.

We demonstrated that most variants of concern/interest possess unique mutations within ORF8 gene. However, none of these ORF8 mutations led to further reduction of MHC-I levels in cells expressing these molecules. Notably, the Omicron variant and its descendants lacked nonsynonymous mutations in their ORF8 gene, indicating that the mechanisms for superior MHC-I suppression by the Omicron sublineages must be located outside the ORF8 protein. Indeed, we identified a T9I mutation within the E protein common to the Omicron sublineages that confers superior inhibition of MHC-I expression.

Our data showed that the ORF8 mutation in the B.1.1.7/Alpha lineage abrogated its function in MHC-I modulation. Given the truncation mutation likely rendering ORF8 in the Alpha variant nonfunctional, this raises a question as to how such mutations might be tolerated. Multiple functions beyond MHC-I downregulation are documented for SARS-CoV-2 ORF8, which include inhibition of type I IFN, interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), or nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-kB) signaling (33-35), epigenetic modulation through histone mimicry (36) and induction of proinflammatory cytokines from macrophages and monocytes via IL-17RA (37-40). Interestingly, several studies showed that SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 is actively secreted into the cell culture media in a signal peptide-dependent manner when it is overexpressed in vitro (27, 37, 41). Furthermore, ORF8 peptides and anti-ORF8 antibodies can be detected abundantly in serum of patients, suggesting the relevance of the active secretion of ORF8 to actual infection in humans (41). The Alpha variant likely acquired compensatory mechanisms that enabled its successful transmission until the next variant came along.

ORF8 is implicated in adaptation to the human host during the SARS-CoV outbreak (42, 43), and it is known that ORF8 is the hypervariable genomic region among the SARS-CoV and bat SARS-related CoVs (44, 45). Likewise, studies from early in the COVID-19 pandemic observed the variability and rapid evolution of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 gene (20, 46). Notably, SARS-CoV-2 isolates with 382-nt deletion spanning the ORF7b-ORF8 gene region were observed in Singapore (21), which correlated with robust T cell response and a mild clinical outcome (22, 23). Mutations in ORF8 gene thus may play a key role in modulating viral pathogenesis and adaptation to the host by regulating MHC-I levels and ISGs.

The enhanced immune evasion by VOCs has been well documented for escape from neutralizing antibodies (6–8) and from innate immune responses (4, 5). Here, we demonstrated that the ability to reduce MHC-I expression remained unchanged throughout the pre-Omicron VOC evolution. These findings suggested three important perspectives on the MHC-I evasion strategy of SARS-CoV-2. First, SARS-CoV-2 utilizes multiple redundant strategies to suppress MHC-I expression. For example, considering B.1.1.7 retained an intact ability to shut down MHC-I, the impaired MHC-I evasion by B.1.1.7 ORF8 is likely compensated




Fig. 5. Increased suppression of MHC-I by Omicron sublineages. (A-G) A549-hACE2 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 variants at MOI 0.3 for 44 h. Cells were collected and analyzed for surface MHC-I expressions on single live cells of SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S)-positive and -negative populations by flow cytometry. (A) Representative flow cytometry plot. Data are shown as the raw MFI (B and C) or the normalized ratio of MFI in SARS-CoV-2 S+ cells to MFI in mock cells (D and E). SARS-CoV-2 S MFI were plotted against HLA-ABC MFI (F and G). (H) HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding C-terminally Flag-tagged SARS-CoV ORF8a, HIV Nef, SARS-CoV-2 E WT or Omicron mutant (T9I), or SARS-CoV-2 M WT or Omicron mutant (D3G/Q19E/A63T). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were collected and analyzed for the cell surface HLA-ABC expression. Data are shown as the ratio of MFI in Flag+ cells to Flag- cells (n = 3). Data are mean ± SD. Data are representative of two independent experiments. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; N.S., not significant.

by the redundant and/or compensatory functions of other viral proteins including E, M, and ORF7a. In addition, B.1.1.7 lineage has been shown to express an increased subgenomic RNA and protein abundance of ORF6 (4), which suppresses MHC-I at the transcriptional level by interfering with STAT1-IRF1-NLRC5 axis (16). The multitiered MHC-I evasion mechanisms thus work redundantly to ensure escape from CTL killing.

Second, MHC-I downregulation may not only impair CTL recognition of infected cells for killing but may also impair priming of CD8 T cells. Indeed, the frequency of circulating SARS-CoV-2 specific memory CD8+T cells in SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals are ~10-fold lower than for influenza or Epstein-Barr virus-specific T cell populations (47), which indicates the suboptimal induction of memory CD8⁺T cells following SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans.

Third, given that the VOC had not further evolved to down-regulate MHC-I more strongly than the original strain except for the Omicron subvariants, SARS-CoV-2 ancestral virus was already fully equipped to escape from CD8⁺T cell-mediated immunity with respect to downregulation of MHC-I expression and is under less evolutionary pressure to further optimize the evasion strategy than those from type I IFNs or antibodies. However, mutations and evasion from particular HLA-restricted

CTL epitopes have been observed in circulating SARS-CoV-2 and VOCs (48, 49). Genome-wide screening of epitopes suggested the CD8⁺ T and CD4⁺ T cell epitopes are broadly distributed throughout SARS-CoV-2 genome (50, 51), and the estimated numbers of epitopes per individual are at least 17 for CD8⁺T and 19 for CD4⁺ T cells, respectively (51), and thus functional T cell evasion by VOCs is very limited (10). This in turn suggests that MHC-I downregulation may be a more efficient way for viruses to avoid CTL surveillance than introducing mutations in epitopes. The importance of MHC-I evasion by SARS-CoV-2 is also highlighted by the fact that no genetic mutations or variations in the MHC-I pathway have thus far been identified as a risk factor for severe COVID (52), unlike innate immune pathways involving toll-like receptors (TLRs) and type I IFNs (53).

SARS-CoV-2 infection in both human and animal models have shown to induce antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cell responses (54, 55), and the early CTL response correlated with a milder disease outcome in humans (56). Adoptive transfer of serum or IgG from convalescent animals alone, however, is enough to reduce viral load in recipients after SARS-CoV-2 challenge in mice and nonhuman primates (57, 58) and neutralizing antibody is shown to be a strong correlate of protection (57, 59, 60). The protective roles of CD8⁺ T cellmediated immunity appear to be more important in the absence of the optimal humoral responses/neutralizing antibody (57, 61). Circulating anti-ORF8 antibodies can be used as the highly sensitive clinical marker for SARS-CoV-2 infection early (~14 d) after symptom onset (41, 62), which suggests the role of ORF8 in the very early stage of the disease. ORF8-mediated MHC-I downregulation can therefore precede antigen presentation and hinder priming of viral antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cell immune responses. Robust MHC-I shutdown by SARS-CoV-2 may explain in part the less effective protection by CD8⁺ T cells and the less impact of CD8⁺ T cell absence compared with humoral immunity (57).

Our study provided evidence of inhibition of MHC-I upregulation in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells in both in vitro and in vivo settings. Whether and to what extent the reduction of MHC-I impairs the recognition of infected cells by CTL for killing or impairs the priming of CD8 T cells should be addressed in future studies. We also did not exhaustively examine all viral proteins for their ability to reduce MHC-I expression, nor did we examine the requirement for various mutations in MHC-I suppression. In this study, we demonstrated the increased ability of Omicron variants to suppress MHC-I expression. The cellular mechanisms and consequences of enhanced MHC-I inhibition by Omicron variants on infection and disease remain to be determined.

Collectively, our data shed light on the intrinsically potent ability of SARS-CoV-2 to avoid the MHC-I mediated antigen presentation to CD8⁺ T cells. Importantly, we observed a complete inhibition of MHC-I upregulation in lung epithelial cells infected with SARS-CoV-2 at the early stage of infection in a mouse model. Since the ability of ORF8 to down-regulate MHC-I is a newly acquired feature in SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 and is absent in SARS-CoV ORF8 (15), it is possible that ORF8 played a role in the efficient replication and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in humans and contributed to its pandemic potential. Our work provides insights into SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis and evolution and predicts difficulty for CD8 T cell-based therapeutic approaches to COVID-19.

Materials and Methods

Mice. Six-to-ten-week-old male C57BL6 mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. All animal experiments in this study complied with federal and institutional policies of the Yale Animal Care and Use Committee.

Cell Lines and Viruses. HEK293T cells and A549-hACE2 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). Calu-3 cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) supplemented with 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin and 10% heat-inactivated FBS. ACE2-TMPRSS2-VeroE6 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin and 10% heat-inactivated FBS at 37 °C. Influenza virus A/Puerto Rico/8/34 was kindly provided by Hideki Hasegawa (National Institute of Infectious Diseases in Japan). Virus stocks were propagated in allantoic cavities from 10-to-11-d-old fertile chicken eggs for 2 d at 35 °C. Viral titers were determined by standard plaque assay procedure. SARS-CoV-2 MA10 (32) was kindly provided by Ralph S. Baric (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill). SARS-CoV-2 lineage A (USA-WA1/2020) and B.1.351b (hCoV-19/South Africa/ KRISP-K005325/2020) were obtained from BEI resources. Pre-Omicron lineages [B.1.1.7(GenBank Accession: MZ202178), B.1.351a (GenBank Accession: MZ202314), P.1 (GenBank Accession: MZ202306), B.1.617.2 (GenBank Accession: MZ468047), B.1.427 (GenBank Accession: MZ467318), B.1.429 (GenBank Accession: MZ467319), and B.1.526 (GenBank Accession: MZ467323)] and Omicron sub-lineages [BA.1 (GenBank Accession: ON425981), BA.2.12.1 (GenBank Accession: ON411581), XAF (GenBank Accession: OP031604), BA.4 (GenBank Accession: ON773234), and BA.5.2.1 (GenBank Accession: OP031606)] were isolated and sequenced as part of the Yale Genomic Surveillance Initiative's weekly surveillance program in Connecticut, United States, as previously described (63). Virus stocks were propagated and titered as previously described

(8, 64). Briefly, TMPRSS2-VeroE6 cells were infected at multiplicity of infection of 0.01 for 3 d and the cell-free supernatant was collected and used as working stocks. All experiments using live SARS-CoV-2 were performed in a biosafety level 3 laboratory with approval from the Yale Environmental Health and Safety office.

Viral Genome Sequence Analysis. Pre-Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant genome sequences (3,067 sequences) were downloaded from GISAID database (https://www.gisaid.org/) as of February 23, 2022. Sequences of Wuhan Hu-1 (GenBank accession: NC_045512.2) and USA-WA1/2020 (GenBank accession: MW811435.1) were obtained from NCBI Virus SARS-CoV-2 Data Hub (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/virus/vssi/#/sars-cov-2). To investigate the prevalence of amino acid mutations, we downloaded up to 965 sequences of each lineage and aligned the ORF8 nucleotide sequences using Jalview software (http://www.jalview.org/) (Waterhouse et al. Bioinformatics. 2009) by MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar RC. Nucleic Acid Res. 2004). Sequences containing undetermined nucleotides within the codon of interest were removed for analysis. ORF8 amino acid sequence alignment was conducted by Jalview software using MUSCLE algorithm.

Viral Infection. Mice were fully anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of ketamine and xylazine, and intranasally inoculated with 50 μ L of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 1 \times 10 5 PFU of influenza virus A/Puerto Rico/8/34. For SARS-CoV-2 infection in the animal biosafety level 3 facility, mice were anesthetized by 30% v/v isoflurane diluted in propylene glycol, and 50 μ L of 1 \times 10 5 PFU of SARS-CoV-2 MA10 in PBS was intranasally delivered. For cell culture infection, cells were washed with PBS and infected with SARS-CoV-2 at a multiplicity of infection of 0.01 or 0.3 for 1 h at 37 °C. After 1-h incubation, cells were supplemented with complete media and cultured until sample harvest.

Plasmids. pDONR207-SARS-CoV-2 E (#141273), pDONR207-SARS-CoV-2 M (#141274), pDONR207-SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a (#141276), pDONR223-SARS-CoV-2 ORF7b (#141277), and pDONR223-SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 (#141278) were purchased from addgene (65) and used as templates for construction of plasmids expressing SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins. For HIV Nef expressing plasmid construction, NL4-3-dE-EGFP (kindly provided by Ya-Chi Ho) was used as a template. The full-length viral genes were amplified by PCR using iProof™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Bio-Rad), with templates described above and specific primers containing Xhol (Xbal for HIV Nef) and BamHI sites at the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. Following restriction enzyme digestion, PCR fragments were cloned into c-Flag pcDNA3 vector (addgene, #20011). For construction of plasmids expressing SARS-CoV viral proteins, oligonucleotides corresponding to both strands of SARS-CoV Tor2 (GenBank accession: NC_004718.3) ORF8a and ORF8b containing Xhol and BamHI sites at the 5' and 3' ends were synthesized (IDT) and cloned into Xhol-BamHI site of c-Flag pcDNA3 vector. Mutant SARS-CoV-2 E, M, and ORF8 expressing plasmids were generated by standard PCR-based mutagenesis method. Integrity of inserts was verified by sequencing (Yale Keck DNA sequencing facility).

Lung Cell Isolation. Lungs were harvested and processed as previously described (57). In brief, lungs were minced with scissors and digested in RPMI1640 media containing 1 mg/mL collagenase A, 30 μ g/mL DNase I at 37 °C for 45 min. Digested lungs were then filtered through a 70- μ m cell strainer and treated with ACK buffer for 2 min. After washing with PBS, cells were resuspended in PBS with 1% FBS.

Flow Cytometry. Cells were blocked with Human BD Fc Block (Fc1.3216, 1:100, BD Biosciences) in the presence of Live/Dead Fixable Aqua (Thermo Fisher) for 15 min at room temperature. Staining antibodies were added and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were washed with 2 mM EDTA-PBS and resuspended in 100 µL 2% PFA for 1 h at room temperature. For intracellular staining, PFA-fixed cells were washed and permeabilized with eBioscience FoxP3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer (Thermo Fisher) for 10 min at 4 °C. Cells were washed once and stained in the same permeabilization buffer containing staining antibodies. After 30-min incubation at 4 °C, cells were washed and resuspended in PBS with 1% FBS for analysis on Attune NxT (Thermo Fisher). FlowJo software (Tree Star) was used for the data analysis. Staining antibodies are as follows: Hu Fc Block Pure Fc1.3216 (BD, Cat# 564220), APC anti-HLA-BC (Thermofisher, Cat# 17-9983-42), APC/Cy7 anti-HLA-DR (BioLegend, Cat# 147319), BV421 anti-mouse/human CD324 (Biolegend, Cat# 147319).

PE anti-DYKDDDDK Tag (BioLegend, Cat# 637309), AF488 anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 Subunit (R&D Systems, Cat# FAB105403G), FITC anti-Influenza A NP (Thermofisher, Cat# MA1-7322), PE anti-mouse CD45 (BioLegend, Cat# 109808), BV421 anti-mouse CD31 (BioLegend, Cat# 102423), APC anti-mouse EpCAM (BioLegend, Cat# 118213), and PerCP/Cy5.5 anti-H-2Kb/H-2Db (BioLegend, Cat# 114620).

Quantitative PCR. SARS-CoV-2-infected cells were washed with PBS and lysed with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). RT-PCR was performed by the CFX96 Touch real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad) using iTaq SYBR premix (Bio-Rad) and the following primers (5'-3'): HLA-A (Forward: AAAAGGAGGAGTTACACTCAGG, Reverse: GCTGTGAGGGACACATCAGAG), HLA-B (Forward: CTACCCTGCGGAGATCA, Reverse: ACA GCCAGGCCAGCAACA), HLA-C (Forward: CACACCTCTCCTTTGTGACTTCAA, Reverse: CCACC TCCTCACATTATGCTAACA), NLRC5 (Forward: GTCATCCGCCTCTGGAATAAC, Reverse: CT GGTTGTCAAAGAAGGCAAAG), IRF1 (Forward: GAGGAGGTGAAAGACCAGAGCA, Reverse: TAGCATCTCGGCTGGACTTCGA), human GAPDH (Forward: CAACGGATTTGGTCGTATT, Reverse: GATGGCAACAATATCCACTT). The data analysis was performed by a standard comparative Ct method, and the results are shown as a fold change due to infection $(2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct})$ (66). These values were calculated using the following equation:

 $\Delta \Delta Ct = [(Ct \text{ value of gene of interest} - Ct \text{ value of GAPDH}) infected]$

– (Ct value of gene of interest – Ct value of GAPDH) mock].

- K. Tao et al., The biological and clinical significance of emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. Nat. Rev. Genet. 22, 757-773 (2021).
- T. Kustin et al., Evidence for increased breakthrough rates of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in BNT162b2-mRNA-vaccinated individuals. Nat. Med. 27, 1379-1384 (2021).
- E. C. Sabino et al., Resurgence of COVID-19 in Manaus, Brazil, despite high seroprevalence. Lancet 397, 452-455 (2021).
- L. G. Thorne et al., Evolution of enhanced innate immune evasion by SARS-CoV-2. Nature 602, 487-495 (2022).
- K. Guo et al., Interferon resistance of emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119, e2203760119 (2022).
- W. F. Garcia-Beltran et al., Multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants escape neutralization by vaccine-induced humoral immunity. Cell 184, 2523 (2021).
- P. Wang et al., Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. Nature 593, 130-135 (2021).
- C. Lucas et al., Impact of circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants on mRNA vaccine-induced immunity. Nature 600, 523-529 (2021).
- D. Planas et al., Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization. Nature 602, 671-675 (2022).
- A. Tarke et al., Impact of SARS-CoV-2 variants on the total CD4(+) and CD8(+) T cell reactivity in infected or vaccinated individuals. Cell Rep. Med. 2, 100355 (2021).
- 11. S. Cele et al., Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. Nature 602, 654-656 (2022).
- 12. W. F. Garcia-Beltran et al., mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. Cell 185, 457-466.e454 (2022).
- C. H. GeurtsvanKessel et al., Divergent SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-reactive T and B cell responses in COVID-19 vaccine recipients. Sci. Immunol. 7, eabo2202 (2022).
- T. H. Hansen, M. Bouvier, MHC class I antigen presentation: Learning from viral evasion strategies. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 9, 503-513 (2009).
- Y. Zhang et al., The ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2 mediates immune evasion through downregulating MHC-lota. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2024202118 (2021).
- J. S. Yoo et al., SARS-CoV-2 inhibits induction of the MHC class I pathway by targeting the STAT1-IRF1-NLRC5 axis. Nat. Commun. 12, 6602 (2021).
- F. Zhang et al., Inhibition of major histocompatibility complex-I antigen presentation by sarbecovirus ORF7a proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119, e2209042119 (2022).
- N. Arshad et al., SARS-CoV-2 accessory proteins ORF7a and ORF3a use distinct mechanisms to downregulate MHC-I surface expression. bioRxiv [Preprint] (2022). https://doi. org/10.1101/2022.05.17.492198 (Accessed 24 May 2022).
- S. Zheng et al., The SARS-CoV-2 accessory factor ORF7a downregulates MHC class I surface expression. bioRxiv [Preprint] (2022). https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.29.493850 (Accessed 5 July 2022).
- L. Velazquez-Salinas et al., Positive selection of ORF1ab, ORF3a, and ORF8 genes drives the early evolutionary trends of SARS-CoV-2 during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. Front. Microbiol. 11, 550674 (2020).
- Y. C. F. Su et al., Discovery and genomic characterization of a 382-nucleotide deletion in ORF7b and ORF8 during the early evolution of SARS-CoV-2. mBio 11, e01610-20 (2020).
- 22. B. E. Young et al., Effects of a major deletion in the SARS-CoV-2 genome on the severity of infection and the inflammatory response: an observational cohort study. Lancet 396, 603-611 (2020).
- 23 S. W. Fong et al., Robust virus-specific adaptive immunity in COVID-19 patients with SARS-CoV-2 Delta382 variant infection. J. Clin. Immunol. 42, 214-229 (2022).
- M. L. M. Jongsma, G. Guarda, R. M. Spaapen, The regulatory network behind MHC class I expression. Mol. Immunol. 113, 16-21 (2019).

Statistical Analysis. Statistical significance was tested using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Data, Materials, and Software Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Melissa Linehan and Huiping Dong for technical and logistical assistance. We thank Ralph Baric for kindly providing SARS-CoV-2 MA10. We thank Ya-Chi Ho for kindly providing NL4-3-dE-EGFP. We thank Craig Wilen for sharing his technical expertise. We thank Benjamin Israelow and Tianyang Mao for critical reading of the manuscript. We also give special recognition to the services of Ben Fontes and the Yale EH&S Department for their ongoing assistance in safely conducting biosafety level 3 research. This work was in part supported by the Fast Grant from Emergent Ventures at the Mercatus Center and 1R01AI157488. A.I. is an Investigator of the HHMI. M.M. is supported by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science Overseas fellowship.

Author affiliations: ^aDepartment of Immunobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520; ^bDepartment of Molecular Cellular and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Haven CT 06520; and ^cHHMI, Chevy Chase, MD 20815

Author contributions: M.M., C.L., V.S.M., and A.I. designed research; M.M., C.L., and V.S.M. performed research; Y.S.-C.-2.G.S.I. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; M.M., C.L., V.S.M., and A.I. analyzed data; Y.S.-C.-2.G.S.I. isolated, purified, sequenced, and validated viral RNA genomes, and provided clinical viral isolates for our experiments; and M.M. and A.I. wrote the paper.

- 25. C. Ceraolo, F. M. Giorgi, Genomic variance of the 2019-nCoV coronavirus. J. Med. Virol. 92, 522-528 (2020).
- T. G. Flower et al., Structure of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8, a rapidly evolving immune evasion protein. Proc. 26. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2021785118 (2021).
- K. Matsuoka et al., SARS-CoV-2 accessory protein ORF8 is secreted extracellularly as a glycoprotein homodimer. J. Biol. Chem. 298, 101724 (2022).
- B. Xia et al., Why is the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant milder? Innovation (Camb) 3, 100251 (2022).
- J. Zhang et al., A systemic and molecular study of subcellular localization of SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 5, 269 (2020).
- O. Schwartz, V. Marechal, S. Le Gall, F. Lemonnier, J. M. Heard, Endocytosis of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules is induced by the HIV-1 Nef protein. Nat. Med. 2,
- P. Stumptner-Cuvelette et al., HIV-1 Nef impairs MHC class II antigen presentation and surface expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 12144-12149 (2001).
- S. R. Leist et al., A mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 induces acute lung injury and mortality in standard laboratory mice. Cell 183, 1070-1085.e1012 (2020).
- 33 J. Y. Li et al., The ORF6, ORF8 and nucleocapsid proteins of SARS-CoV-2 inhibit type I interferon signaling pathway. Virus Res. 286, 198074 (2020).
- X. Lei et al., Activation and evasion of type I interferon responses by SARS-CoV-2. Nat. Commun. 11, 3810 (2020).
- 35. H. Geng et al., SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 forms intracellular aggregates and inhibits IFNgamma-induced antiviral gene expression in human lung epithelial cells. Front. Immunol. 12, 679482 (2021)
- J. Kee et al., SARS-CoV-2 disrupts host epigenetic regulation via histone mimicry. Nature 610,
- 37. N. Kriplani et al., Secreted SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 modulates the cytokine expression profile of human macrophages. bioRxiv [Preprint] (2021), https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.13.456266 (Accessed 17 March 2022)
- X. Lin et al., ORF8 contributes to cytokine storm during SARS-CoV-2 infection by activating IL-17 pathway. iScience 24, 102293 (2021).
- X. Wu et al., Viral mimicry of interleukin-17A by SARS-CoV-2 ORF8. mBio 13, e0040222 (2022).
- M. Kohyama et al., SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 is a viral cytokine regulating immune responses. Int. Immunol. 35, 43-52 (2022), 10.1093/intimm/dxac044.
- 41. X. Wang et al., Accurate diagnosis of COVID-19 by a novel immunogenic secreted SARS-CoV-2 orf8 protein. mBio 11, e02431-20 (2020).
- Y. Guan et al., Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in southern China. Science 302, 276-278 (2003).
- C. S. M. E. Consortium, Molecular evolution of the SARS coronavirus during the course of the SARS epidemic in China. Science 303, 1666-1669 (2004).
- B. Hu et al., Discovery of a rich gene pool of bat SARS-related coronaviruses provides new insights into the origin of SARS coronavirus. PLoS Pathog. 13, e1006698 (2017).
- J. Cui, F. Li, Z. L. Shi, Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 17, 46. A. Alkhansa, G. Lakkis, L. El Zein, Mutational analysis of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8 during six months of
- COVID-19 pandemic. Gene. Rep. 23, 101024 (2021). 47. J. R. Habel et al., Suboptimal SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8(+) T cell response associated with the
- prominent HLA-A*02:01 phenotype. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 117, 24384-24391 (2020). B. Agerer et al., SARS-CoV-2 mutations in MHC-I-restricted epitopes evade CD8(+)T cell responses.
- Sci. Immunol. 6, eabg6461 (2021). C. Motozono et al., SARS-CoV-2 spike L452R variant evades cellular immunity and increases infectivity. Cell Host Microbe 29, 1124-1136.e1111 (2021).

- 50. A. P. Ferretti et al., Unbiased screens show CD8(+) T cells of COVID-19 patients recognize shared epitopes in SARS-CoV-2 that largely reside outside the spike protein. Immunity 53, 1095-1107 e1093 (2020).
- A. Tarke et al., Comprehensive analysis of T cell immunodominance and immunoprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 epitopes in COVID-19 cases. Cell Rep. Med. 2, 100204 (2021).
- C.-H.G. Initiative, Mapping the human genetic architecture of COVID-19. Nature 600, 472-477 (2021).
- 53. Q. Zhang, P. Bastard, C. H. G. Effort, A. Cobat, J. L. Casanova, Human genetic and immunological determinants of critical COVID-19 pneumonia. Nature 603, 587-598
- V. Joag et al., Cutting edge: Mouse SARS-CoV-2 Epitope reveals infection and vaccine-elicited CD8 54 T cell responses. *J. Immunol.* **206**, 931–935 (2021).
- A. Grifoni et al., SARS-CoV-2 human T cell epitopes: Adaptive immune response against COVID-19. Cell Host Microbe 29, 1076-1092 (2021).
- $A.\,T.\,Tan\,\textit{et al.}, Early\,induction\,of\,functional\,SARS-CoV-2-specific\,T\,cells\,associates\,with\,rapid\,viral$ clearance and mild disease in COVID-19 patients. Cell Rep. 34, 108728 (2021).
- B. Israelow et al., Adaptive immune determinants of viral clearance and protection in mouse models of SARS-CoV-2. Sci. Immunol. 6, eabl4509 (2021).

- 58. K. McMahan et al., Correlates of protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. Nature 590, 630-634 (2021).
- 59. D. S. Khoury et al., Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat. Med. 27, 1205-1211 (2021).
- 60. K. A. Earle et al., Evidence for antibody as a protective correlate for COVID-19 vaccines. Vaccine 39, 4423-4428 (2021).
- 61. E. M. Bange et al., CD8(+)T cells contribute to survival in patients with COVID-19 and hematologic cancer. Nat. Med. 27, 1280-1289 (2021).
- 62. A. Hachim et al., ORF8 and ORF3b antibodies are accurate serological markers of early and late SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat. Immunol. 21, 1293-1301 (2020).
- 63. T. Mao et al., A stem-loop RNA RIG-I agonist protects against acute and chronic SARS-CoV-2 infection in mice. J. Exp. Med. 219, e20211818 (2022).
- ${\tt E. Perez-Then}\ \textit{et al.}, {\tt Neutralizing}\ antibodies\ against\ the\ {\tt SARS-CoV-2}\ Delta\ and\ {\tt Omicron}\ variants$ following heterologous CoronaVac plus BNT162b2 booster vaccination. Nat. Med. 28, 481-485 (2022).
- D. K. Kim et al., A comprehensive, flexible collection of SARS-CoV-2 coding regions. G3 (Bethesda) 10, 3399-3402 (2020).
- 66. T. D. Schmittgen, K. J. Livak, Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. Nat. Protoc. 3, 1101-1108 (2008).