Altered structural connectivity and functional brain dynamics in individuals with heavy alcohol use S. Parker Singleton¹, Puneet Velidi², Louisa Schilling³, Andrea I. Luppi¹, Keith Jamison¹, Linden Parkes⁴, and Amy Kuceyeski¹ ¹Department of Radiology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York, U.S.A. ²Department of Statistics and Data Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, U.S.A. ³Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, CA ⁴Department of Psychiatry, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA S.P.S. and P.V. contributed equally to this manuscript. Corresponding author: S.P.S., sps253@cornell.edu

11

Abstract

Heavy alcohol use and its associated conditions, such as alcohol use disorder (AUD), impact millions of individuals 12 worldwide. While our understanding of the neurobiological correlates of AUD has evolved substantially, we still lack 13 models incorporating whole-brain neuroanatomical, functional, and pharmacological information under one framework. 14 Here, we utilize diffusion and functional magnetic resonance imaging to investigate alterations to brain dynamics in N15 = 130 individuals with a high amount of current alcohol use. We compared these alcohol using individuals to N =16 308 individuals with minimal use of any substances. We find that individuals with heavy alcohol use had less dynamic 17 and complex brain activity, and through leveraging network control theory, had increased control energy to complete 18 transitions between activation states. Further, using separately acquired positron emission tomography (PET) data, we 19 deploy an *in silico* evaluation demonstrating that decreased D2 receptor levels, as found previously in individuals with 20 AUD, may relate to our observed findings. This work demonstrates that whole-brain, multimodal imaging information 21 can be combined under a network control framework to identify and evaluate neurobiological correlates and mechanisms 22 of AUD. 23

²⁴ 1 Introduction

Alcohol use disorder (AUD) is a long-term and recurring neurological condition that can continue unabated despite significant adverse effects on the person, their family, and the broader community. However, the root neurobiological causes of AUD remain undefined, there are limited effective treatment methods available, and relapse rates are around 60% [1]. Significantly, it's been observed that only a fraction of individuals who regularly consume addictive substances eventually develop a substance use disorder (SUD). This emphasizes the urgent need to uncover biological elements that predispose a person to develop SUDs, and to improve prevention and treatment paradigms.

Individuals with an SUD may be vulnerable because of genetics, developmental differences, hormones, life experiences, 31 environmental and/or adverse social exposures [2]. The brain's reward circuitry, stimulated by most addictive drugs, 32 depends greatly on dopamine signaling, particularly in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and dorsal striatum, including the 33 nucleus accumbens (NAc). Chronic exposure to dopamine-stimulating drugs, such as alcohol, can trigger glutamatergic-34 mediated changes in the striato-thalamo-cortical (specifically orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortex - ACC) and 35 limbic pathways (amygdala and hippocampus) that in certain individuals can lead to transition from goal directed to 36 habitual control over drug-seeking behaviors [3]. Several positron emission tomography (PET) studies have revealed that 37 people with SUD of alcohol [4], cocaine [5], heroin [6] and methamphetamine [7] have reduced concentrations of dopamine 38 receptors. One hypothesis is that individuals with lower dopamine receptor levels, due to genetics and/or because of their 39 environment or life experiences, have less than usual dopamine-mediated pleasure from everyday life and therefore may 40 be susceptible to habitual seeking of drug-induced increases in dopamine. 41

Neuroimaging studies have begun to reveal differences in brain structure and function in individuals with SUDs. A 42 recent meta-analysis revealed brain structures involved across levels of use (SUD vs occasional vs long-term) and substance 43 type, including the thalamus, insula, inferior frontal gyrus, and superior temporal gyrus [8]. Further neuroimaging evidence 44 points to possible reduction in top-down inhibitory control of bottom-up signaling [9], which may support the proposed 45 hypothesis of SUD as a disease of control dynamics [10]. In susceptible individuals, certain stimuli (bottom-up signals) 46 may activate strong urges that in others would be suppressed by top-down inhibition, but in susceptible individuals result 47 in compulsive behavior [11]. Together, the current evidence points toward neurobiological mechanisms of SUDs, which 48 likely involve differences in receptor concentration/function, brain activity patterns and anatomy (gray and white matter 49 [12]). However, a unifying computational model integrating multi-modal observations into a single framework has not 50 been proposed, no doubt hampering our ability to understand the neurobiological mechanisms of SUDs, which in turn is 51 dampening our ability to develop effective therapies to reduce their burden. 52

Here, we turn our attention towards heavy alcohol use and AUD, combining whole-brain structural, functional, and pharmacological information from diffusion MRI (dMRI), functional MRI (fMRI), and PET to investigate brain dynamics in individuals from the Human Connectome Project's Young Adult dataset [13]. Using the brain's structural (white matter) network as a guide, network control theory (NCT) [14] enables mapping of the brain's dynamic state space by quantifying the energy required to transition between functional states. This type of energy can be referred to as *control* or *transition* energy. Recent work has utilized these tools to demonstrate that although the resting human brain has a

spontaneous tendency to prefer certain brain state transitions over others, cognitive demands can overcome this tendency 59 in a way that is associated with age and cognitive performance [15-17]. NCT has proven useful in describing brain 60 dynamics in various cognitive states [15, 18], neuropsychiatric/degenerative conditions [16, 17, 19–21], and development 61 [22, 23]. Importantly, NCT has also captured changes in brain dynamics due to neuromodulation [17, 24–26]. One such 62 fMRI study showed increased transition energy under the D2 antagonist amulsipride compared to placebo [17]. They also 63 showed that transition energy was negatively correlated with genetically predicted D2 receptor concentration, indicating those likely to have lower concentration of D2 receptors also had higher transition energy. This evidence supports the 65 use of NCT to reveal shifts in the brain's energetic landscape in response to receptor modulation/concentration, and, 66 importantly, the hypothesis that decreased dopamine receptor function/concentration, as is known to occur in AUD, 67 results in increased energetic demand to travel through the brain's state space (i.e. increased transition energy). We thus 68 propose using NCT as a unifying computational modeling approach that incorporates the effect of white matter and/or 69 dopamine receptor differences in individuals with heavy alcohol use on their brain activity dynamics, with the goal of 70 understanding neurobiological mechanisms of AUD at the whole brain level. 71

We utilize a network control framework to better our understanding of how brain structure and function is altered 72 in heavy alcohol use. Using functional brain states from resting-state fMRI and the brain's structural connectivity (SC) 73 from dMRI, we compare transition energy in individuals with AUD and current heavy use of alcohol to that of individuals 74 with minimal use of substances. We further relate these shifts in energetic demands to the complexity of brain activity, 75 well-known biomarker of information processing and brain health [27]. Then, to investigate changes in top-down and 76 bottom-up signaling, we investigate how white matter differences in heavy alcohol use might alter signal propagation 77 between subcortical structures and the frontoparietal network (FPN). Finally, we incorporate D2 receptor densities from 78 PET to build a modeling framework that simulates dysfunction of the dopamine system and provides evidence for a 79 mechanistic explanation for our observed findings. 80

⁸¹ 2 Methods and Materials

82 2.1 Participants

We used data from (n = 958, 516 female, age = 28.73 (3.74 s.d.) years) participants of the Human Connectome Project - Young Adult S1200 [13] release. Individuals were assigned to the AUD group (n = 130, 30 female, age = 28 (3.7 s.d.) years) if they had a diagnosis of alcohol dependence/abuse, or were binge drinkers (>5 drinks per day at least weekly for the past year) and also reported having >3 drinks per day on average over the last year. Non-SUD individuals (n = 308,213 female, age = 29 (3.8 s.d.) years) were individuals who did not have a diagnosis of any substance use disorder, and were not binge drinkers, and reported having <2 drinks per day on average for the past year. s.d. = standard deviation.

⁸⁹ 2.2 MRI data and preprocessing

We used publicly available, high resolution, preprocessed MRI data from the Human Connectome Project – Young Adult 90 S1200 [13] in this study. HCP MRI data were acquired on a Siemens Skyra 3T scanner at Washington University in St. 91 Louis. We examined resting-state functional MRI (2.0 mm isotropic, TR/TE = 720/33.1 ms, 8x multiband acceleration) 92 from four 15 minute sessions and diffusion MRI (1.25 mm isotropic, TR/TE = 5520/89.5 ms, 3x multiband acceleration, 93 b=1000, 2000, 3000 with 90 directions/shell)- both were collected with left-right and right-left phase encoding. Full 94 preprocessing details were previously described in Gu et al. [28] in detail, and we summarize briefly here. Time series were denoised to remove signals from white-matter, CSF, and global gray-matter signal, and a high-pass filter removed 96 signal < 0.008 Hz. The first ten frames of each scan were discarded to remove artifacts from scanner start up. For 97 rsfMRI, outlier TRs identified based on head motion and global signal were replaced with linearly interpolated time-98 points. Preprocessed dMRI was further processed by multi-shell, multi-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution (CSD, 99 [29]) and deterministic tractography (SD_STREAM [30]) using MRtrix3, with SIFT2 global streamline weighting [31] and 100 regional volume normalization. Regional time-series and structural connectomes for 958 HCP subjects were extracted 101 using the 268-region Shen atlas [32]. 102

¹⁰³ 2.3 Identification of brain-states

We concatenated the regional BOLD fMRI time-series from all 958 HCP-YA participants and performed k-means clustering with 20 repetitions and a maximum of 500 iterizations per repetition. Pearson's correlation was used as the distance metric. k = 4 was chosen as the number of clusters based on prior work [24] and to allow calculation of MSC (Section 3.6). For each of the 438 individuals (130 AUD, 308 non-SUD) in the present analysis, brain-states were identified as the cluster centroids taken from all four of their fMRI scans.

¹⁰⁹ 2.4 Transition probability and state transitions

Using the partition of brain-states from k-means clustering, we calculated transition probabilities for each individual as the probability that any given state i was followed by state j. The number of state transitions for each individual was calculated as the number of times that any given state i was followed in the next volume by any state j where $j \neq i$. These metrics were calculated separately for each fMRI scan and then averaged across scans prior to comparison.

¹¹⁴ 2.5 Transition energy

We utilized each individual's cluster centroids from Section 2.3 as brain-states to quantify state transition energies using NCT. Transition energy here is defined as the minimum energy input into a network—here, the structural connectome required to move from one state to another [14, 33, 34]. To model neural dynamics, we used a linear time-invariant model:

¹¹⁹ $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t),$

where A is an individual's NxN structural connectivity matrix (normalized by its maximum eigenvalue plus 1 and 120 subtracted by the identity matrix to create a continuous system) [34], x(t) is the regional activation at time t, B is 121 the NxN matrix of control points, and u(t) is the external input into the system. Here, N is the number of regions in 122 our parcellation. We selected T = 1 for the time-horizon, as in previous studies [15, 20, 22, 24, 25, 33]. Integrating 123 u(t) over the time-horizon for a given transition yields the total amount of input that was injected into each region to 124 complete the transition between states, and summing that value over all regions then gives the total amount of energy 125 necessary to be injected over the whole brain. This summation represents *transition energy*. We calculated the pairwise 126 transition energies between each of the four brain-states for each individual using this framework, using the identity matrix 127 as the control strategy, B. In cases where there initial and target state were the same (Figure 4c, diagonal), transition 128 energy was the energy required to maintain that state (i.e. resist the natural diffusion of activity through the SC). Average 129 transition energy for each individual was calculated as the mean over all transitions. We also calculated transition energies 130 between the subcortex and FPN using this framework, again using the identity matrix as the control strategy, B. For 131 these calculations we constructed binary states where 1's were assigned to brain regions belonging to the subcortex for 132 the subcortical state, and the FPN [35] for the FPN state, and 0 elsewhere. For the transition energy calculations in the 133 simulated dopamine dysfunction model, see Section 2.7. 134

135 2.6 Meta-state complexity

We calculated the meta-state complexity (MSC) of each individual's k-means partition as previously described [24, 36]. In short, each individual's partition was binarized based on assignment to either of the pairs of anticorrelated states (VIS-/+ or DMN-/+) to construct the meta-state time-series (Figure 3a). We then used the Lempel-Ziv algorithm (LZ76) [37] to quantify the compressability of, or information contained in, each binary meta-state time-series. This metric was calculated individually for each fMRI scan and then averaged across scans prior to comparison.

¹⁴¹ 2.7 Simulated dopamine dysfunction

To simulate the impacts of decreased D2 receptor functioning on control energy, we began with all non-SUD individuals' 142 SCs and brain-states and recalculated average transition energies in a series of receptor-informed [24, 25, 38] scenarios by 143 modifying the control strategy represented in the matrix B. First, to simulate the D2 receptor's influence over average 144 transition energy, we added rank-normalized D2 receptor densities (measured via PET-derived receptor binding potential) 145 along the diagonal of B. The D2 receptor densities were obtained from a weighted average of 92 subjects from two D2 146 PET studies using the same tracer (FLB457) [39, 40] and compiled by Hansen et al [41]. We then compared these 147 average transition energies against those obtained from increasing amounts of perturbation to the original receptor map. 148 Specifically, we recalculated average transition energy using rank-normalized maps obtained after reducing the amount 149 of D2 receptor density in the most D2-abundant regions (>95th percentile) by 20, 30, 40, and 50%. Each map was 150 rank-normalized prior to addition to the B matrix in order to maintain the same overall amount of control given to the 151 system and isolate only the effect of changes to the spatial allotment of control [33]. 152

153 2.8 Statistical Comparisons

All between-group comparisons involving fMRI data (Figure 2b,d,e,f; Figure 3b) were made using ANOVAs controlling 154 for age, sex, age:sex interaction, and fMRI in-scanner motion (average frame-wise displacement (FD)). Between group-155 comparisons investigating SC differences alone (Figure 4c,d) were made using the same ANOVA design as above sans fMRI 156 in-scanner motion. Full tables for ANOVA results are located in the Supplementary Information. Correlations between 157 average TE and the number of state-transitions (Figure 2g) and MSC (Figure 3c) were calculated using Spearman's 158 rank-correlation and p-values were obtained from permutation testing. The comparison between FPN to subcortex TE 159 and subcortex to FPN TE (Figure 4b) was performed using both groups of participants and a paired t-test. Finally, the 160 comparison of average TE obtained using the true D2 receptor map as a control strategy versus deplete maps were made 161 using paired t-tests. All p-values were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg method where 162 indicated (pFDR). 163

164 **3** Results

¹⁶⁵ 3.1 Group Definitions

Non-SUD individuals were defined as persons without any substance use disorder, that were not binge drinkers (>5 drinks per day at least once weekly for the past year) and reported having <2 drinks per day on average for the past year. Subjects were collected into the AUD group if they had a diagnosis of alcohol dependence/abuse, or were binge drinkers, and also reported having >3 drinks per day on average over the past year. This last inclusion criteria allowed us to isolate the AUD group to those with current heavy use of alcohol. Between group comparisons were made using ANOVAs controlling for age, sex, age:sex interaction, and in-scanner motion (average frame-wise displacement) (see Section 2.8).

| Group | Females | Males | Total |
|--------|---------|-------|-------|
| AUD | 30 | 100 | 130 |
| nonSUD | 213 | 95 | 308 |

Table 1: Group classification table

¹⁷² 3.2 Commonly recurring patterns of brain activity

Data-driven clustering of all subjects' regional BOLD fMRI time-series revealed four commonly recurring patterns of brain activity (Figure 1) that we operationalize as brain-states herein. The identified brain-states consisted of two pairs of anticorrelated activity patterns (i.e. meta-states), the first dominated by low and high-amplitude activity in the visual network (VIS-/+), and the second by low and high-amplitude activity in the default mode network (DMN-/+).



Figure 1: Four commonly recurring patterns of brain activity (brain-states) were identified using k-means clustering. Displayed are the groupaverage centroids. (a) Cosine similarity with canonical resting-state networks [35] was calculated for the positive (high-amplitude) and negative (low-amplitude) components separately for each brain-state. Each brain-state is labeled by its maximal cosine similarity value. (b) Mean BOLD activation of each brain-state plotted on the cortical surface. a.u. = arbitrary units. SUB - subcortical structures, VIS - visual network, SOM somatomotor network, DAT - dorsal attention network, VAT - ventral attention network, LIM - limbic network, FPN - frontoparietal network, DMN - default mode network.

¹⁷⁷ 3.3 Less dynamic brain activity paired with larger transition energies in AUD

We calculated pairwise transition probabilities between each of the four brain-states (Figure 2a). Individuals with AUD 178 showed a trend for lower likelihood of transitioning out of the DMN- state into the VIS- (F = 4.92, uncorrected p = 179 0.0389, pFDR = 0.210) and VIS+ (F = 4.27, uncorrected p = 0.0384, pFDR = 0.210) states, and a higher likelihood of 180 staying in the DMN- state (F = 7.3, uncorrected p = 0.007, pFDR = 0.116) (Figure 2b), although none of these effects 181 were significant after multiple comparisons correction. In general, individuals with AUD had fewer state transitions on 182 average compared with non-SUD individuals (F = 7.24, pFDR = 0.0111) (Figure 2e). Applying network control theory 183 to participants' structural connectomes, we also calculated the minimum control energy, or *transition energy*, between 184 each of the four brain-states for each individual (Figure 2c). Individuals with AUD showed higher transition energies for 185 nearly every transition except for those into the DMN+ state (Figure 2d). Averaging across all pairwise transitions, AUD 186 individuals also had larger average transition energy compared to non-SUD individuals (Figure 2f). Finally, across the 187 entire group, individuals with larger average transition energy had fewer observed state transitions (rho = -0.77, pFDR 188 < 0.0001) (Figure 2g). 189





Figure 2: (a) Group-averaged pairwise transition probabilities observed between the four brain-states. (b) A trending group-effect for AUD on pairwise transition probabilities was observed for transitions out of DMN- and into VIS+/- and for maintaining the DMN- state. (c) Group-averaged pairwise transition energies. (d) Individuals with AUD had larger transition energies for the majority of potential state transitions. (e) There were overall fewer state transition observed in individuals with AUD. (f) The average transition energy across all transitions was larger in individuals with AUD. (g) Average transition energy was negatively correlated with the number of empirically observed state transitions on an individual level. In (b) and (d), t-statistics are visualized to illustrate the direction, however asterisks still represent p-values obtained from ANOVAs. * uncorrected p < 0.05; ** pFDR < 0.05. TE = transition energy. a.u. = arbitrary units.

While brain activity in AUD patients was less dynamic in terms of having fewer observed state-transitions, this is not a measure of brain activity complexity or information content. To this end, we next computed the meta-state complexity (MSC) of individuals' brain-state time-series (Figure 3a). Individuals with AUD showed lower MSC compared to individuals without SUD (F = 10.92, pFDR = 0.0031) (Figure 3b), and average transition energy was negatively correlated with MSC across individuals (rho = -0.63, pFDR < 0.0001) (Figure 3c).

¹⁹⁵ 3.4 Higher subcortex to FPN transition energies in AUD

We next turned our attention towards transition energies between canonical subcortical and FPN states (Figure 4a) in 196 order to test for asymmetrical communication patterns between these two parts of the brain in individuals with and without 197 AUD. Due to homogeneous state definition across individuals (Section 2.5), this analysis is only revealing differences driven 198 by changes in the white matter SC network. For all individuals, it required less energy to transition from the FPN to the 199 subcortex than it did to transition in the reverse direction (t = -112, pFDR ; 0.0001) (Figure 4b). There was no group 200 difference in transition energy between individuals with AUD and non-SUD individuals for the transition for the transition 201 from the FPN to the subcortex (F = 1.63, pFDR = 0.2027) (Figure 4c). However, individuals with AUD did have larger 202 TE for the transition from subcortex to FPN (F = 6.04, pFDR = 0.0216) (Figure 4d). Considering the direction of both 203 trends, we performed a post-hoc evaluation of AUD's effect on the TE asymmetry of these two transitions-that is-do 204 individuals with AUD have a larger delta for transitioning one direction (FPN to subcortex) versus the other direction 205

206 (subcortex to FPN)? Here, there was a slight trend suggesting that individuals with AUD have a larger TE asymmetry

^{207 (}F = 2.83, uncorrect p = 0.0934).



Figure 3: (a) Each participant's partition of brain-states obtained from k-means clustering was binarized based on assignment to either VISdominated or DMN-dominated states. (b) Lempel-Ziv compressibility was run on the binarized sequences to characterize the complexity of the brain-state sequences (meta-state complexity; MSC). Individuals with AUD had significantly lower meta-state complexity compared to non-AUD individuals. (c) On an individual level, MSC and average transition energy were negatively correlated.

²⁰⁸ 3.5 Simulated dopamine dysfunction results in increased average transition energy

We deployed an *in silico* paradigm for studying the impacts of depleted dopamine receptor availability on transition energy 209 (Figure 5). We simulated energies associated with *typical* dopaminergic functioning by calculating the average transition 210 energy for non-SUD individuals using control weights derived from regional D2 receptor density maps (derived from PET 211 scans in a separate population). We then assessed the impacts of D2 receptor depletion by recalculating average transition 212 energy with a series of perturbed receptor maps and comparing the average transition energy from the perturbed D2 213 receptor maps to that of the true D2 receptor map (Figure 5a). We found that depleting the regions with the highest 214 density of D2 receptors (>95th percentile which are mostly regions in the dorsal striatum), by 20 (t = 10.4, pFDR < 215 (0.0001), 30 (t = 20.4, pFDR < 0.0001), 40 (t = 21.5, pFDR < 0.0001), and 50% (t = 8.5, pFDR < 0.0001) resulted in 216 significant transition energy increases compared to the original, unperturbed map (Figure 5b). 217



Figure 4: (a) Transition energies between canonical states of the frontoparietal network (FPN) and subcortical regions. (b) Across all subjects, it was more difficult to transition from the subcortex to the FPN (up the hierarchy) than it was to transition in the reverse direction (down the hierarchy). (c) There was no group effect on transitioning from the FPN to the subcortical network. (d) Individuals with AUD required more energy to transition from the subcortex to the FPN than those without an SUD.



Figure 5: D2 receptor depletion simulation paradigm. (a) Top: the original PET-derived D2 receptor map ordered by the average density of D2 receptor availability per region (20 randomly selected regions shown for illustration purposes). To simulate dopamine receptor depletion or dysfunction, regions above the 95th percentile of D2 receptor density - mostly in the dorsal striatum, are depleted from their original values by 20, 30, 40, and 50%. Each of these maps were then used as control weights for calculating average TE for non-SUD individuals and the results of each depleted map was compared against those from the original map. (b) Each depleted map resulted in an increase in average transition energy compared to the original map. D2R = D2 receptor. ** pFDR < 0.0001

218 4 Discussion

We applied network control theory to understand how heavy current alcohol use alters both structure and function of 219 the human brain in 438 individuals. Using individuals' structural connectivity networks from dMRI and functional states 220 from fMRI data, we found that transition energy in the brain was higher in individuals who have heavy current alcohol 221 use (AUD) compared to those with minimal use of substances (non-SUD) (Figure 2d,f). Higher transition energy in AUD 222 occurred alongside a concomitant decrease in the number of state transitions (Figure 2e) and MSC measured with resting-223 state fMRI (Figure 3b). Additionally, both the number of state transitions and MSC were strongly anti-correlated with 224 average transition energy across all subjects (Figure 2g; Figure 3c). Using canonical states implicated in substance use, 225 we found that AUD individuals required more energy to transition from the subcortex to the FPN (Figure 4d). Finally, 226 we found that increasing the amount of dopamine dysfunction (by shifting control away from dorsal striatum regions with 227 high D2 receptor expression), increased transition energies (Figure 5), mirroring the empirical results observed in AUD. 228

Network control theory is a computational framework that enables the quantification of state transition energies in the brain [14]. Transitions are modeled as a diffusion of initial states through the brain's structural connectome, with energy being injected at each node (brain region) to control the trajectory toward the desired final state. The integration of these inputs over the length of the trajectory comprise the *control energy*, which we refer to simply as *transition energy*. Here, we calculated the transition energy between four commonly recurring patterns of brain activity in the resting-

state fMRI time-series of each individual (Figure 1). Consistent with our hypothesis, individuals in the AUD group had larger transition energies compared to non-SUD individuals (Figure 2d,f). In addition, state-transitions and MSC were decreased in individuals with AUD compared to non-SUD. These findings suggest that brain dynamics under substance use, specifically alcohol, reflect a system entrenched in a state of low complexity and decreased information processing [27].

Brain entropy, here assessed via MSC, has been shown to index different states of consciousness as well as various 239 brain disorders [24, 27, 36]. Brain entropy is impacted by the acute and/or chronic administration of various substances 240 including alcohol [42], caffeine [43], nicotine [44], cocaine [45], as well as the psychedelics LSD, psilocybin, and DMT 241 [36, 46–48]. Sevel et al [42] found that the acute administration of alcohol in healthy drinkers decreases brain entropy, 242 a result that matches the sub-acute effects observed here in chronic heavy users of alcohol. Given that our energy and 243 entropy results mirrored one another, we formally tested their association by correlating average transition energy and 244 MSC across individuals (Figure 3c), and found a significant negative correlation. This relationship is consistent with 245 previous studies showing an inverse relationship between transition energy and entropy that is modulated by disease [20] 246 and pharmacological intervention [24, 25]. 247

Previous work suggests that network control theory can capture structural differences relevant for executive functioning 248 and development [22, 49]. Cui et al [49] demonstrated that the amount of energy required to activate the FPN decreases 249 throughout development, and additionally, that individuals who required less energy to activate the FPN had higher 250 executive functioning. Here, we studied the bi-directional transitions between the subcortex and the FPN (Figure 4) 251 due to the known involvement of dopaminergic mesocortical imbic signaling pathways and fronto-subcortical circuits in 252 addiction [10, 50–52]. We found that AUD individuals required more energy to transition from the subcortex to the FPN 253 than non-SUD individuals (Figure 4d). This finding suggests that the coarse-grained structural connectome topology of 254 individuals with AUD is organized in a way that limits the natural diffusion of information from subcortical structures 255 to the FPN. This possibly relates to atrophy of regions belonging to corticostriatal-limbic circuits observed in AUD [53, 256 54] or increased difficulty in activating the FPN which could be associated with decreased executive functioning found in 257 AUD [55]. 258

Individuals with AUD show reduced levels of D2 receptors in subcortical limbic and striatal areas, which is also where 259 D2 receptors are most dominantly expressed [4, 56, 57]. We developed an *in silico* D2 receptor depletion model in order 260 to test the correspondence between spatial patterns of aberrant dopaminergic signaling and our observation of increased 261 transition energies in the AUD group. We recalculated average transition energy in non-SUD individuals using five 262 different sets of control weights corresponding to increasing amounts of disruption to typical D2 receptor signaling. We 263 found that reducing the amount of control given to the regions most richly expressed in D2 receptors increased transition 264 energies (Figure 5). This suggests a potential link between decreased D2 receptor functioning and larger transition energy 265 in AUD. Indeed, prior work has demonstrated increased transition energies in individuals administered a D2 antagonist 266 and negative correlations between genetically estimated D2 receptor densities and global transition energies [17]. 267

Due to the limitations of the available data, we were not able to take into account important factors for substance use

such as the amount of time since the most recent drink or the duration of alcohol use. It is possible that heterogeneity in influential factors such as the severity of dependence and active states of substance use (withdrawal or intoxication) could impact an individual's cognitive state and thus the amount of vigilance/cortical arousal during fMRI scanning, which is known to influence properties such as signal amplitude [58]. While we controlled for sex, age, and sex:age interactions in our results, we did not seek to formally evaluate these relationships here; we will do so in future work.

We combined dMRI, fMRI, and PET to perform a whole-brain evaluation of alcohol use disorder's impacts on human 274 brain structure and function. We found functional landscapes in AUD were reflective of less dynamic and complex activity, 275 with greater barriers to transition between brain-states compared to individuals without an SUD. We also found higher 276 energetic demands to propagate signals through the structural connectome from the subcortex to the FPN in AUD, and, 277 finally, evidence that dopamine receptor dysfunction could be a contributing mechanism to this increased energetic demand 278 for state transitions in AUD. This study demonstrates the ability of this multi-modal NCT framework for uncovering shifts 279 in brain dynamics and potentially for uncovering neurobiological mechanisms of these shifts. The latter understanding is 280 key if we are to better diagnose, prevent, track and treat AUD so we can help reduce the individual and societal burden 281 of this debilitating disorder. 282

Acknowledgements

LS was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32 DA03980. AIL acknowledges the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), [funding reference number 202209BPF-489453-401636, Banting Postdoctoral Fellowship] and FRQNT Strategic Clusters Program (2020-RS4-265502 - Centre UNIQUE - Union Neuroscience & Artificial Intelligence - Quebec) via the UNIQUE Neuro-AI Excellence Award. LP was supported by the National Institute Of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R00MH127296. AK was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number RF1 MH123232.

291 Disclosures

²⁹² The authors have no competing interests to declare. This article has been posted on the preprint server bioRxiv.

²⁹³ References

[1] Linh-Chi Nguyen et al. "Predicting relapse after alcohol use disorder treatment in a high-risk cohort: The roles
 of anhedonia and smoking". In: *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 126 (July 2020), pp. 1–7. ISSN: 0022-3956. DOI:
 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.04.003. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
 S0022395619313111.

- [2] Nora D. Volkow, Michael Michaelides, and Ruben Baler. "The Neuroscience of Drug Reward and Addiction". en. In:
 Physiological Reviews 99.4 (Oct. 2019), pp. 2115–2140. ISSN: 0031-9333, 1522-1210. DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00014.
 2018. URL: https://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/physrev.00014.2018 (visited on 05/17/2023).
- [3] Barry J Everitt and Trevor W Robbins. "Neural systems of reinforcement for drug addiction: from actions to habits
 to compulsion". en. In: *Nature Neuroscience* 8.11 (Nov. 2005), pp. 1481–1489. ISSN: 1097-6256, 1546-1726. DOI:
 10.1038/nn1579. URL: http://www.nature.com/articles/nn1579 (visited on 05/17/2023).
- [4] Nora D. Volkow et al. "Decreases in Dopamine Receptors but not in Dopamine Transporters in Alcoholics". en.
 In: Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research 20.9 (Dec. 1996), pp. 1594–1598. ISSN: 01456008. DOI: 10.
 1111/j.1530-0277.1996.tb05936.x. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1530 0277.1996.tb05936.x (visited on 05/17/2023).
- ³⁰⁸ [5] Nora D. Volkow et al. "Decreased dopamine D2 receptor availability is associated with reduced frontal metabolism
 ³⁰⁹ in cocaine abusers". en. In: Synapse 14.2 (June 1993), pp. 169–177. ISSN: 0887-4476, 1098-2396. DOI: 10.1002/syn.
 ³¹⁰ 890140210. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/syn.890140210 (visited on 05/18/2023).
- [6] G Wang. "Dopamine D2 Receptor Availability in Opiate-Dependent Subjects before and after Naloxone-Precipitated
 Withdrawal". In: *Neuropsychopharmacology* 16.2 (Feb. 1997), pp. 174–182. ISSN: 0893133X. DOI: 10.1016/S0893 133X(96)00184-4. URL: http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1016/S0893-133X(96)00184-4 (visited on
 05/18/2023).
- [7] Nora D. Volkow et al. "Association of Dopamine Transporter Reduction With Psychomotor Impairment in Metham phetamine Abusers". en. In: American Journal of Psychiatry 158.3 (Mar. 2001), pp. 377–382. ISSN: 0002-953X,
 1535-7228. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.158.3.377. URL: http://psychiatryonline.org/doi/abs/10.1176/appi.
 ajp.158.3.377 (visited on 05/18/2023).
- [8] Victor Pando-Naude et al. "Gray and white matter morphology in substance use disorders: a neuroimaging systematic
 review and meta-analysis". en. In: *Translational Psychiatry* 11.1 (Jan. 2021), p. 29. ISSN: 2158-3188. DOI: 10.1038/
 s41398-020-01128-2. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41398-020-01128-2 (visited on 05/18/2023).
- [9] Nora D. Volkow, Joanna S. Fowler, and Gene-Jack Wang. "The addicted human brain viewed in the light of imag ing studies: brain circuits and treatment strategies". en. In: *Neuropharmacology* 47 (Jan. 2004), pp. 3–13. ISSN:
 00283908. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2004.07.019. URL: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/
 S0028390804002163 (visited on 05/18/2023).
- Rita Z. Goldstein and Nora D. Volkow. "Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: neuroimaging findings
 and clinical implications". en. In: *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 12.11 (Nov. 2011), pp. 652–669. ISSN: 1471-003X,
 1471-0048. DOI: 10.1038/nrn3119. URL: http://www.nature.com/articles/nrn3119 (visited on 05/18/2023).
- [11] Jeffrey W. Dalley, Barry J. Everitt, and Trevor W. Robbins. "Impulsivity, Compulsivity, and Top-Down Cognitive
 Control". en. In: Neuron 69.4 (Feb. 2011), pp. 680–694. ISSN: 08966273. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.01.020.
 URL: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0896627311000687 (visited on 05/18/2023).

- [12] Amy Kuceyeski et al. "Loss in connectivity among regions of the brain reward system in alcohol dependence: LoCo
 Among Regions of BRS in Alcohol Dependence". en. In: *Human Brain Mapping* 34.12 (Dec. 2013), pp. 3129–3142.
 ISSN: 10659471. DOI: 10.1002/hbm.22132. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hbm.22132
 (visited on 05/18/2023).
- ³³⁶ [13] David C. Van Essen et al. "The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: an overview". eng. In: *NeuroImage* 80 (Oct.
 ³³⁷ 2013), pp. 62–79. ISSN: 1095-9572. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.041.
- Shi Gu et al. "Controllability of structural brain networks". en. In: *Nature Communications* 6.1 (Oct. 2015), p. 8414.
 ISSN: 2041-1723. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms9414. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms9414 (visited on 04/26/2023).
- [15] Eli J. Cornblath et al. "Temporal sequences of brain activity at rest are constrained by white matter structure and modulated by cognitive demands". en. In: *Communications Biology* 3.1 (May 2020), p. 261. ISSN: 2399-3642. DOI:
 10.1038/s42003-020-0961-x. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/s42003-020-0961-x (visited on 04/26/2023).
- Inden Parkes et al. "Network Controllability in Transmodal Cortex Predicts Positive Psychosis Spectrum Symptoms". eng. In: *Biological Psychiatry* 90.6 (Sept. 2021), pp. 409–418. ISSN: 1873-2402. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.
 2021.03.016.
- [17] Urs Braun et al. "Brain network dynamics during working memory are modulated by dopamine and diminished in
 schizophrenia". en. In: *Nature Communications* 12.1 (June 2021), p. 3478. ISSN: 2041-1723. DOI: 10.1038/s41467 021-23694-9. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-23694-9 (visited on 05/20/2023).
- [18] Dale Zhou et al. "Mindful attention promotes control of brain network dynamics for self-regulation and discontinues
 the past from the present". eng. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 120.2 (Jan. 2023), e2201074119. ISSN: 1091-6490. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2201074119.
- Xiaosong He et al. "Uncovering the biological basis of control energy: Structural and metabolic correlates of energy
 inefficiency in temporal lobe epilepsy". en. In: Science Advances 8.45 (Nov. 2022), eabn2293. ISSN: 2375-2548. DOI:
 10.1126/sciadv.abn2293. URL: https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abn2293 (visited on
 04/26/2023).
- Ceren Tozlu et al. "Larger lesion volume in people with multiple sclerosis is associated with increased transition
 energies between brain states and decreased entropy of brain activity". In: Network Neuroscience (Mar. 2023), pp. 1–
 18. ISSN: 2472-1751. DOI: 10.1162/netn_a_00292. eprint: https://direct.mit.edu/netn/article-pdf/doi/10.
 1162/netn_a_00292/2074397/netn_a_00292.pdf. URL: https://doi.org/10.1162/netn%5C_a%5C_00292.
- A. Luppi et al. "P-37 Modelling the network origins of the brain's synergistic dynamics and their disruption in chronically unconscious patients". en. In: *Clinical Neurophysiology* 148 (Apr. 2023), e25-e26. ISSN: 1388-2457.
 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinph.2023.02.054. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
 S1388245723000810 (visited on 05/22/2023).

- ³⁶⁶ [22] Linden Parkes et al. "Asymmetric signaling across the hierarchy of cytoarchitecture within the human connectome".
- en. In: Science Advances 8.50 (Dec. 2022), eadd2185. ISSN: 2375-2548. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.add2185. URL: https: //www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.add2185 (visited on 04/26/2023).
- ³⁶⁹ [23] Eli J. Cornblath et al. "Sex differences in network controllability as a predictor of executive function in youth". en.
- In: NeuroImage 188 (Mar. 2019), pp. 122–134. ISSN: 10538119. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.11.048. URL: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1053811918321293 (visited on 05/20/2023).
- S. Parker Singleton et al. "Receptor-informed network control theory links LSD and psilocybin to a flattening of
 the brain's control energy landscape". en. In: *Nature Communications* 13.1 (Oct. 2022), p. 5812. ISSN: 2041-1723.
 DOI: 10.1038/s41467-022-33578-1. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-022-33578-1 (visited on
 04/26/2023).
- S. Parker Singleton et al. "Time-resolved network control analysis links reduced control energy under DMT with the serotonin 2a receptor, signal diversity, and subjective experience". In: *bioRxiv* (2023). DOI: 10.1101/2023.05.11.
 540409. eprint: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2023/05/12/2023.05.11.540409.full.pdf. URL: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2023/05/12/2023.05.11.540409.
- Jennifer Stiso et al. "White Matter Network Architecture Guides Direct Electrical Stimulation through Optimal
 State Transitions". en. In: *Cell Reports* 28.10 (Sept. 2019), 2554–2566.e7. ISSN: 2211-1247. DOI: 10.1016/j.celrep.
 2019.08.008. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2211124719310411 (visited on
 05/20/2023).
- Soheil Keshmiri. "Entropy and the Brain: An Overview". In: *Entropy* 22.9 (2020). ISSN: 1099-4300. DOI: 10.3390/
 e22090917. URL: https://www.mdpi.com/1099-4300/22/9/917.
- ³⁸⁶ [28] Zijin Gu et al. "Heritability and interindividual variability of regional structure-function coupling". en. In: *Nature Communications* 12.1 (Aug. 2021), p. 4894. ISSN: 2041-1723. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-25184-4. URL: https:
 //www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-25184-4 (visited on 05/04/2023).
- Ben Jeurissen et al. "Multi-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution for improved analysis of multi-shell diffusion
 MRI data". eng. In: *NeuroImage* 103 (Dec. 2014), pp. 411–426. ISSN: 1095-9572. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.
 2014.07.061.
- J-Donald Tournier, Fernando Calamante, and Alan Connelly. "MRtrix: Diffusion tractography in crossing fiber
 regions". en. In: International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology 22.1 (Mar. 2012), pp. 53-66. ISSN:
 08999457. DOI: 10.1002/ima.22005. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ima.22005 (visited
 on 05/04/2023).
- [31] Robert E. Smith et al. "SIFT2: Enabling dense quantitative assessment of brain white matter connectivity using
 streamlines tractography". eng. In: *NeuroImage* 119 (Oct. 2015), pp. 338–351. ISSN: 1095-9572. DOI: 10.1016/j.
 neuroimage.2015.06.092.

- X. Shen et al. "Groupwise whole-brain parcellation from resting-state fMRI data for network node identification".
 eng. In: NeuroImage 82 (Nov. 2013), pp. 403–415. ISSN: 1095-9572. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.081.
- [33] Linden Parkes et al. "Using network control theory to study the dynamics of the structural connectome". In: *bioRxiv*
- 402 (2023). DOI: 10.1101/2023.08.23.554519. eprint: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2023/08/24/
- 2023.08.23.554519.full.pdf. URL: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2023/08/24/2023.08.23.
 554519.
- [34] Teresa M Karrer et al. "A practical guide to methodological considerations in the controllability of structural brain
 networks". In: Journal of Neural Engineering 17.2 (Apr. 2020), p. 026031. DOI: 10.1088/1741-2552/ab6e8b. URL:
 https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/ab6e8b.
- [35] B. T. Thomas Yeo et al. "The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity". eng. In: *Journal of Neurophysiology* 106.3 (Sept. 2011), pp. 1125–1165. ISSN: 1522-1598. DOI: 10.1152/jn.
 00338.2011.
- [36] Drummond E-Wen McCulloch et al. "Navigating the chaos of psychedelic neuroimaging: A multi-metric evaluation
 of acute psilocybin effects on brain entropy". In: medRxiv (2023). DOI: 10.1101/2023.07.03.23292164. eprint:
 https://www.medrxiv.org/content/early/2023/07/03/2023.07.03.23292164. full.pdf. URL: https:
 //www.medrxiv.org/content/early/2023/07/03/2023.07.03.23292164.
- [37] A. Lempel and J. Ziv. "On the Complexity of Finite Sequences". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*22.1 (1976), pp. 75–81. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.1976.1055501.
- [38] Andrea I. Luppi et al. "Transitions between cognitive topographies: contributions of network structure, neuromodulation, and disease". In: *bioRxiv* (2023). DOI: 10.1101/2023.03.16.532981. eprint: https://www.biorxiv.org/
 content/early/2023/03/17/2023.03.16.532981.full.pdf. URL: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/
 2023/03/17/2023.03.16.532981.
- [39] Christine M. Sandiego et al. "Reference region modeling approaches for amphetamine challenge studies with [11C]FLB
 457 and PET". eng. In: Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism: Official Journal of the International Society
 of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 35.4 (Mar. 2015), pp. 623–629. ISSN: 1559-7016. DOI: 10.1038/jcbfm.2014.
 237.
- [40] Christopher T. Smith et al. "Partial-volume correction increases estimated dopamine D2-like receptor binding potential and reduces adult age differences". eng. In: Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism: Official Journal of the International Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 39.5 (May 2019), pp. 822–833. ISSN: 1559-7016.
 DOI: 10.1177/0271678X17737693.
- [41] Justine Y. Hansen et al. "Mapping neurotransmitter systems to the structural and functional organization of the
 human neocortex". en. In: *Nature Neuroscience* 25.11 (Nov. 2022), pp. 1569–1581. ISSN: 1546-1726. DOI: 10.1038/
 s41593-022-01186-3. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41593-022-01186-3 (visited on 04/26/2023).

- Landrew Sevel et al. "Acute Alcohol Intake Produces Widespread Decreases in Cortical Resting Signal Variability [42]432 in Healthy Social Drinkers". In: Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research 44.7 (2020), pp. 1410–1419. DOI: 433 https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.14381. eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/acer. 434 14381. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/acer.14381. 435
- Da Chang et al. "Caffeine Caused a Widespread Increase of Resting Brain Entropy". In: Scientific Reports 8.1 (Feb. [43]436 2018), p. 2700. ISSN: 2045-2322. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-21008-6. URL: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-437 018-21008-6. 438
- Zhengjun Li et al. "Hyper-resting brain entropy within chronic smokers and its moderation by Sex". In: Scientific [44]439 Reports 6.1 (July 2016), p. 29435. ISSN: 2045-2322. DOI: 10.1038/srep29435. URL: https://doi.org/10.1038/ 440 srep29435. 441
- Ze Wang et al. "A hypo-status in drug-dependent brain revealed by multi-modal MRI". In: Addiction Biology 22.6 442 [45](2017), pp. 1622-1631. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/adb.12459. eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ 443 doi/pdf/10.1111/adb.12459. URL: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/adb.12459.

444

- R. L. Carhart-Harris et al. "The entropic brain: A theory of conscious states informed by neuroimaging research [46]445 with psychedelic drugs". In: Frontiers in Human Neuroscience 8.1 FEB (Feb. 2014). Publisher: Frontiers Media S. 446 A. ISSN: 16625161. DOI: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00020. 447
- R. L. Carhart-Harris. "The entropic brain revisited". en. In: *Neuropharmacology* 142 (Nov. 2018), pp. 167–178. [47]448 ISSN: 00283908. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2018.03.010. URL: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/ 449 pii/S0028390818301175 (visited on 02/22/2021). 450
- Christopher Timmermann et al. "Human brain effects of DMT assessed via EEG-fMRI". In: Proceedings of the [48]451 National Academy of Sciences 120.13 (Mar. 2023). Publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 452 e2218949120. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2218949120. URL: https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2218949120 453 (visited on 03/28/2023). 454
- [49]Zaixu Cui et al. "Optimization of energy state transition trajectory supports the development of executive function 455 during youth". In: eLife 9 (Mar. 2020). Ed. by Thomas Yeo and Timothy E Behrens, e53060. ISSN: 2050-084X. DOI: 456 10.7554/eLife.53060. URL: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53060. 457
- [50]Rita Z. Goldstein and Nora D. Volkow. "Drug Addiction and Its Underlying Neurobiological Basis: Neuroimaging 458 Evidence for the Involvement of the Frontal Cortex". In: American Journal of Psychiatry 159.10 (2002). PMID: 459 12359667, pp. 1642-1652. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.159.10.1642. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp. 460 159.10.1642. URL: https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.159.10.1642. 461
- Sibel Tekin and Jeffrey L Cummings. "Frontal-subcortical neuronal circuits and clinical neuropsychiatry: An up-[51]462 date". In: Journal of Psychosomatic Research 53.2 (2002), pp. 647-654. ISSN: 0022-3999. DOI: https://doi. 463 org/10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00428-2. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ 464 S0022399902004282. 465

- ⁴⁶⁶ [52] Milky Kohno et al. "Executive Control and Striatal Resting-State Network Interact with Risk Factors to Influence
 ⁴⁶⁷ Treatment Outcomes in Alcohol-Use Disorder". In: *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 8 (2017). ISSN: 1664-0640. DOI: 10.3389/
 ⁴⁶⁸ fpsyt.2017.00182. URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2017.00182.
- 469 [53] Xun Yang et al. "Cortical and subcortical gray matter shrinkage in alcohol-use disorders: a voxel-based meta-
- analysis". In: Neuroscience Biobehavioral Reviews 66 (2016), pp. 92–103. ISSN: 0149-7634. DOI: https://doi.
- org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.03.034. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
 S0149763415302451.
- ⁴⁷³ [54] Junkai Wang et al. "Alterations in Brain Structure and Functional Connectivity in Alcohol Dependent Patients and
 ⁴⁷⁴ Possible Association with Impulsivity". In: *PLOS ONE* 11.8 (Aug. 2016), pp. 1–19. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.
 ⁴⁷⁵ 0161956. URL: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161956.
- ⁴⁷⁶ [55] Rick A. Stephan et al. "Meta-analyses of clinical neuropsychological tests of executive dysfunction and impulsivity
 ⁴⁷⁷ in alcohol use disorder". In: *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse* 43.1 (Jan. 2017). Publisher: Taylor
 ⁴⁷⁸ & Francis, pp. 24–43. ISSN: 0095-2990. DOI: 10.1080/00952990.2016.1206113. URL: https://doi.org/10.1080/
 ⁴⁷⁹ 00952990.2016.1206113.
- Image: Jarmo Hietala et al. "Striatal D2 dopamine receptor binding characteristics in vivo in patients with alcohol dependence". In: *Psychopharmacology* 116.3 (Nov. 1994), pp. 285–290. ISSN: 1432-2072. DOI: 10.1007/BF02245330. URL:
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02245330.
- ⁴⁸³ [57] Nora D Volkow et al. "Effects of alcohol detoxification on dopamine D2 receptors in alcoholics: a preliminary study".
 ⁴⁸⁴ In: *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging* 116.3 (2002), pp. 163–172. ISSN: 0925-4927. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/
 ⁴⁸⁵ S0925-4927 (02)00087-2. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925492702000872.
- ⁴⁸⁶ [58] Thomas T. Liu and Maryam Falahpour. "Vigilance Effects in Resting-State fMRI". In: Frontiers in Neuroscience
 ⁴⁸⁷ 14 (2020). ISSN: 1662-453X. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2020.00321. URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/
 ⁴⁸⁸ 10.3389/fnins.2020.00321.