Europe PMC Funders Group Author Manuscript *Nature*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 March 08.

Published in final edited form as: *Nature*. 2020 June 01; 582(7812): 384–388. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2378-6.

A dynastic elite in monumental Neolithic society

Lara M. Cassidy¹, Ros Ó Maoldüin^{1,2,3}, Thomas Kador⁴, Ann Lynch⁵, Carleton Jones⁶, Peter C. Woodman^{†,7}, Eileen Murphy⁸, Greer Ramsey⁹, Marion Dowd¹⁰, Alice Noonan¹, Ciaran Campbell¹, Eppie R. Jones^{1,11}, Valeria Mattiangeli¹, Daniel G. Bradley¹

¹Smurfit Institute of Genetics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland ²The Irish Fieldschool of Prehistoric Archaeology, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland ³Archaeological Management Solutions, Consultancy, Kilrush, Clare, Ireland ⁴UCL Arts and Sciences, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK ⁵Former Chief Archaeologist, National Monuments Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Custom House, Dublin ⁶School of Geography and Archaeology, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland ⁷Department of Archaeology, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ⁸Archaeology & Palaeoecology, School of Natural & Built Environment, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland ⁹National Museums NI, Cultra, Holywood BT18 0EU, Northern Ireland ¹⁰CERIS, School of Science, Institute of Technology Sligo, Sligo, Ireland ¹¹Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK

Abstract

The nature and distribution of political power in Europe during the Neolithic era remains poorly understood¹. During this period, many societies began to invest heavily in building monuments, which suggests an increase in social organization. The scale and sophistication of megalithic architecture along the Atlantic seaboard, culminating in the great passage tomb complexes, is particularly impressive². Although co-operative ideology has often been emphasised as a driver of megalith construction1, the human expenditure required to erect the largest monuments has led some researchers to emphasize hierarchy³—of which the most extreme case is a small elite marshalling the labour of the masses. Here we present evidence that a social stratum of this type was established during the Neolithic period in Ireland. We sampled 44 whole genomes, among which we identify the adult son of a first-degree incestuous union from remains that were discovered within the most elaborate recess of the Newgrange passage tomb. Socially sanctioned matings of this nature are very rare, and are documented almost exclusively among politico-religious elites⁴—specifically within polygynous and patrilineal royal families that are headed by god-kings^{5,6}. We identify relatives of this individual within two other major complexes of passage

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms Correspondence to: Lara M. Cassidy.

Materials & Correspondence Requests: Please direct to Lara M. Cassidy or Daniel G. Bradley.

Contributions: D.G.B and L.M.C designed this study. L.M.C, V.M, A.N and C.C performed laboratory work. L.M.C processed and analysed data with contribution from E.R.J. R.O'M, T.K, A.L, C.J, P.W, E.M, G.R and M.D provided access to samples and supplied archaeological information and interpretation. L.M.C and D.G.B co-wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

tombs 150 km to the west of Newgrange, as well as dietary differences and fine-scale haplotypic structure (which is unprecedented in resolution for a prehistoric population) between passage tomb samples and the larger dataset, which together imply hierarchy. This elite emerged against a backdrop of rapid maritime colonization that displaced a unique Mesolithic isolate population, although we also detected rare Irish hunter-gatherer introgression within the Neolithic population.

Previous analyses of ancient genomes have demonstrated common ancestry between the societies of the Atlantic seaboard during the Neolithic^{7,8,9}, while recent modelling of radiocarbon determinations has defined repeat expansions of megalithic architecture from northwest France at a pace that implies more advanced maritime technology than was previously assumed for these regions¹⁰. This includes the spread of passage tombs along the Atlantic façade during the fourth millennium BC—a period that also saw the arrivai of agriculture in Ireland, alongside other distinct megalithic traditions. These structures reached some of the highest concentrations and diversities known for Europe on the island of Ireland. However, the political Systems that underlay these societies remain obscure, as does the genetic input from indigenous Mesolithic hunter-gatherers.

To investigate these issues, we shotgun-sequenced individuals dating to the Irish Mesolithic (n = 2) and Neolithic (n = 42) periods to a median $1.14 \times$ coverage (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Tables 1, 2). We imputed 43 of these individuals alongside relevant ancient genomes (Supplementary Table 3), including an additional 20 British and Irish individuals^{7,9,11}. We then merged these individuals with a published dataset of imputed ancient genotypes¹² to allow for fine-scale haplotypic inference of population structure¹³ and estimations of inbreeding. Four key individuals were subsequently sequenced to higher (13-20×) coverage.

We sampled remains from all of the major Irish Neolithic funerary traditions: court tombs, portal tombs, passage tombs, Linkardstown-type burials and natural sites (Fig. 1a, c, Supplementary Information section 1). Within this dataset, the earliest Neolithic human remains from the island—interred at Poulnabrone portal tomb¹⁴—are of majority 'Early Farmer' ancestry (as defined by ADMIXTURE modelling¹⁵), and show no evidence of inbreeding (Fig. 1a, Extended Data Fig. 1), which implies that, from the very onset, agriculture was accompanied by large-scale maritime colonization. Our ADMIXTURE and ChromoPainter¹³ analyses do not distinguish between the Irish and British Neolithic populations (Fig. 1d, Extended Data Figs. 1, 2). They also confirm previous reports^{7,8} that samples from the Early Neolithic of Spain are the best proxy source of their Early_Farmer ancestry (Extended Data Fig. 1), which emphasizes the importance of Atlantic and Mediterranean waterways in their forebearers' expansions.

Overall, no increase in inbreeding is seen through time in Neolithic Ireland, which indicates that communities maintained sufficient size and communication to avoid matings between relatives of the fifth degree or closer (Fig. 1a). However, we report a single extreme outlier interred within the Newgrange passage tomb—a focal point of the monumental landscape of Bru na Bôinne, a United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization world heritage site (Fig. 2a). Incorporating over 200,000 tonnes of earth and stone, this megalithic mound is one of the most spectacular of its kind known from Europe¹⁶. Although extemally designed for public consumption, the interior of the tomb consists of a single narrow passage

with a specialized ritual inventory, the winter-solstice solar alignment of which would have been viewed by only a select few. Unbumt, disarticulated human bone was found¹⁶ concentrated within the most elaborately decorated recess of the terminal cruciform chamber, including the cranial remains of an adult male (NG10) (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Information section 1.4.1). The exceptional location of these remains is matched by a genomic heritage that—to our knowledge—is unprecedented in ancient genomics. He possessed multiple long runs of homozygosity, each comprising large fractions of individual chromosomes (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 3a), and totalling to a quarter of the genome (inbreeding coefficient = 0.25). This marks him as the offspring of a first-order incestuous union, which is a near-universal taboo for entwined biological and cultural reasons⁴. However, given the nature of the interment, his parentage was very likely to have been socially sanctioned.

Although simulations cannot distinguish whether his parents were full siblings or parent and offspring (Extended Data Fig. 3), the only known definitive acceptances of such mating occur among siblings—specifically within polygynous dites—as part of a rarely observed phenomenon known as 'royal' or 'dynastie' incest^{4,6,17}. In all of these documented cases (for example, in pre-contact Hawaii, the Inca empire and ancient Egypt)^{5,6}, this behaviour co-occurs with the deification of political leaders and is typically limited to ruling families, whose perceived divinity exempts them from social convention. Both full sibling and halfsibling marriages are found most commonly in complex chiefdoms and early States; researchers have generally viewed them as a means of intensifying hierarchy and legitimizing power in the absence of more advanced bureaucratic Systems, alongside tactics such as extravagant monumental architecture and public ritual 18,19,20 . We propose that a comparable set of social dynamics was in operation in Ireland by the Middle Neolithic, and -given that solstice-aligned passage tombs similar to Newgrange were constructed in Wales, Orkney and Brittany²¹—may have occurred outside the island as well. Notably, levels of consanguinity are consistently low and decrease through time across our wider dataset of imputed ancient genomes (Extended Data Fig. 4); we detected only one other incidence of close inbreeding, the son of second- or third-degree relatives from a Swedish megalith⁹.

The Brú na Bôinne passage tombs appear in Medieval mythology that relates their construction to magical manipulations of the solar cycle by a tribe of gods, which has led to unresolved speculation about the durability of oral traditions across millennia²². Although such longevity seems unlikely, our results strongly resonate with mythology that was first recorded in the eleventh century AD, in which a builder-king restarts the daily solar cycle by copulating with his sister²³. Fertae Chuile, a Middle Irish placename for the Dowth passage tomb (which neighbours Newgrange), is based on this lore, and can be translated as 'Hill of Sin' or 'Hill of Inccst'^{23,24}.

A second centre of the passage tomb tradition is found 150 km to the west of Newgrange, near the Atlantic coast. This centre comprises the mega-cemeteries of Carrowmore and Carrowkeel, which have origins that pre-date the construction of Newgrange by several centuries; depositions at Carrowkeel continued until at least the end of the Neolithic²⁵. Using analyses based on both single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and haplotypes, we

uncover a web of relatedness that connects these sites to both Newgrange and the atypical Millin Bay megalith on the northeast coast, which has previously been recognized as part of the broader passage tomb tradition on the basis of its artwork and morphological features (Supplementary Information section 1.4.3).

First, using lcMLkin²⁶ (Fig. 2c), we find that the earliest passage tomb genome in the dataset (car004 (ref.⁹))—interred within the focal monument at Carrowmore— has detectable distant kinship with NG10, as well as with other later individuals from Carrowkeel and Millin Bay (CAK533 and MB6, respectively). A similar kinship coefficient (6th degree) is also seen between NG10 and another individual from Carrowkeel (CAK532) (Extended Data Fig. 5a), demonstrating familial ties between several of the largest hubs of the tradition.

Second, in our fineSTRUCTURE¹³ analysis of genomes from the Atlantic seaboard of majority Early_Farmer ancestry, samples from Newgrange, Carrowkeel and Millin Bay form a distinct cluster that is split from a larger British and Irish grouping (Fig. 1d, e). We confirmed the robustness of this cluster using a larger dataset of ancient genomes (Extended Data Fig. 2). Our ChromoPainter¹³analysis also identifies excessive reciprocal haplotype donation specifically between NG10 and CAK532, which confirms their kinship (Extended Data Fig. 5b). We found evidence of more-distant relatedness between the inferred relatives of car004 (ref. ⁹), who share elongated haplotypic chunks with one another; this signature of recent shared ancestry also links a third individual from Carrowkeel (designated CAK530) to CAK533 andNGIO (Fig. 2d, labelled on Fig. 1d).

The earlier car004 (ref. ⁹) genome is of low coverage $(0.04\times)$. and thus was excluded from our ChromoPainter analysis. However, *D*-statistics demonstrate that this sample preferentially forms a clade with the passage tomb cluster (Z > 3.4) (Supplementary Table 10), despite being closer in time to the majority of samples from the larger British and Irish cluster. Moreover, this attraction is only partially driven by the aforementioned kin connections, which we further corroborate. Downsampling tests on the larger dataset demonstrate that the *D*-statistic results for car004 are highly significant (Supplementary Table 11).

Taken together, we favour the interpretation that the haplotypic structure within our dataset is driven by excessive identity-by-descent sharing between passage tomb samples, which implies non-random mating across large territories of the island. A high degree of social complexity would be required to achieve this, as is predicted by the parentage of NG10. However, our genomes from non-passage-tomb interments are largely earlier in date and a denser sampling of diverse sites from the Late Neolithic will be required to evaluate the contribution of temporal drift to the fineSTRUCTURE clustering. Stable isotope values also differentiate passage tomb interments from other Irish and British Neolithic samples (Fig. 1b). The combination of high δ^{15} N and depleted δ^{13} C values in passage tomb remains is best explained by a diet of meat and animal products (associated with privilège), although it remains to be seen how this relates to broader dietary change during the period.

The simpler court and portal tombs lack the artwork and prestigious grave goods of the passage tomb tradition, and are arguably a manifestation of smaller-scale, lineage-based societies³. These architectures do not typically occur within cemeteries of passage tombs although exceptions do exist, including a court tomb constructed beside Carrowmore with a reported instance of inter-site kinship⁹. We find evidence of both distant kinship (Supplementary Information section 6.5) and societal structure between another pair of distinct, but neighbouring, megaliths (10 km apart)-the Poulnabrone portal tomb¹⁴ and the Parknabinnia court tomb²⁷. Their sampled cohorts (the majority of which are males) show a significant difference in the frequency of two Y chromosome haplogroups (P = 0.035, Fisher's exact test), as well as a dietary difference (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 6). Given that there is a lack of close kin within either tomb, we exclude small family groups as their sole proprietors and interpret our findings as the result of broader social differentiation with an emphasis on patrilineal descent. The double occurrence of a rare Y haplogroup (H2a) among the individualized male Linkardstown burials of the southeast of the island provides fürther evidence of the importance of patrilineal ancestry in these societies⁹, as does the predominance of a single Y haplogroup (I-M284) across the Irish and British Neolithic population (Extended Data Fig. 7).

It has previously been hypothesized that the spread of agriculture into Britain and Ireland was assisted by pre-existing maritime connections that developed in the Mesolithic²⁸. However, our results suggest that, before the Neolithic, the Irish Sea posed a formidable barrier to gene flow. The genomes of Irish hunter-gatherers form a distinct cluster within a wider grouping of Mesolithic hunter-gatherers from northwest Europe^{11,29,30}, sharing excessive levels of drift with each other despite a separation of over half a millennium (Fig. 3a, Extended Data Fig. 2, Supplementary Information section 4.1). By contrast, British hunter-gatherers show no differentiation from their continental contemporaries¹¹. This is consistent with palaeogeographic models that posit a Doggerland bridge between Britain and the continent for most of the Mesolithic, but a separation of Ireland that pre-dates the Holocene epoch³¹.

To our knowledge, Irish hunter-gatherers also exhibit the largest degree of short runs of homozygosity (Fig. 3b) described for any ancient—or indeed modem—genome, a signature of ancestral constriction that supports a prolonged period of island isolation. This implies that continental and British hunter-gatherers lacked the technology or impetus required to maintain frequent contact with Ireland, and reflects the relatively late seaborne colonization of the island in the Mesolithic (approximately 8,000 BC), followed by a sharp divergence in lithic assemblages³². Nonetheless, as there were no signatures of recent inbreeding (Fig. 1a), it appears Irish hunter-gatherers were capable of sustaining outbreeding networks within the island itself despite the estimated carrying capacity of only 3,000-10,000 individuals³².

Ultimately, Irish hunter-gatherers originate from sources who are related to individuals of the Upper Palaeolithic period from Italy²⁹ (Fig. 3a), and show no evidence of contribution from an earlier western lineage that persisted in Spain³³. However, we detect a significant excess of this ancestry in a hunter-gatherer of the Mesolithic from Luxembourg³⁰ relative to Irish and British hunter-gatherers (Supplementary Table 9), demonstrating the survival of this ancestry outside Iberia. We also explore the genetic legacy of Irish hunter-gatherers in

the Neolithic population of the island, and discover an incidence of direct ancestral contribution. Within a broader pattern of high haplotypic affmities among European farmers to local hunter-gatherer groups (Fig. 3c), we uncover an outlier from Parknabinnia court tomb (PB675) who shows a disproportionate and specifically Irish hunter-gatherer contribution. High variance in hunter-gatherer ancestry across the genome and an excess of elongated Irish hunter-gatherer haplotypes in this individual support a recent introgression (Extended Data Fig. 8) that we estimate to have been within four generations (Supplementary Information section 3).

This finding, combined with evidence of local hunter-gatherer input into Neolithic populations in Scotland¹¹, implies recurring interactions between incoming farmers and the indigenous populations of Britain and Ireland. Notably, an approximately fourth-degree relative of PB675 was interred within the same tomb (Extended Data Fig. 5a), which implies that this genetic outlier was integrated within the community. An alternate instance of diversity in those selected for megalithic interment is seen in a male infant from Poulnabrone (designated PN07) with a dietary signature of breastfeeding (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 6). This individual has a clear trisomy of chromosome 21, which—to our knowledge—constitutes the earliest definitive discovery of a case of Down syndrome (predating previous evidence from the fifth to sixth centuries AD³⁴).

Overall, our results demonstrate the capacity of ancient genomes to shed light not only on population movements, but also on political Systems and social values where no written records exist. This is particularly true when imputation and haplotypic analyses are used, which we here affirm outperform popular methods based on SNPs in the resolution of ancient population structure (Extended Data Fig. 9). Together with estimations of inbreeding and kinship, these methods broaden the scope within which we can study the development of agricultural societies from small chiefdoms to civilizations. Specifically, our findings support a re-evaluation of social stratification and political integration in the megalithic cultures of the Atlantic seaboard¹⁰, and suggest that the passage-tomb-building societies of Ireland possessed several attributes found within early States and their precursors.

Methods

Sampling and sequencing

We sampled 54 petrous temporal bones and 12 teeth (Supplementary Table 1) sourced from 20 archaeological sites (Supplementary Information section 1). Two of these, PN10 and PN113, were later found to belong to the same individual. Processing was carried out in clean-room facilities dedicated to ancient DNA research at Trinity College Dublin. Photographs were taken before sample alteration, and these are available upon request to the corresponding authors. The dense otic capsule region of petrous bones and the root cementum of teeth were targeted for sampling. Bone or tooth powder (130-150 mg) was subjected to a previously described silica-column method³⁵ of DNA extraction with modifications³⁶. Three successive extractions were performed on samples (incubation times of 24 h at 37 °C). Five samples were subjected to a modified protocol, in which the powder was first washed twice with EDTA (0.5 M) and then subjected to a single extraction (incubation time of 48 h at 37 °C).

Select sample extracts (typically the third) were purified at a volume of 55 µl and Illumina next-generation-sequencing double-stranded libraries were created from 16.50-µl aliquots, following previously described methods^{7,37} that are based on an established protocol³⁸. Library amplification reactions were carried out using Accuprime Pfx Supermix (Life Technology), primer IS4 (0.2 μ M), a specifie indexing primer (0.2 μ M) and 3 μ l of library as previously described⁷, and DNA concentrations assessed on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer or 2200 Tapestation. Amplified libraries were first screened for endogenous human content on an Illumina MiSeq platform (TrinSeq, Trinity College Dublin) using 65- or 70-bp single-end sequencing. Extracts with sufficient human endogenous content (>5%) and concentration (>0.5 ng/µl at 12 PCR cycles) were incubated with USER enzyme (volume of 5 µl to 16.50 µl of extract) for 3 h at 37 °C to repair post-mortem molecular damage. Following this, library creation and amplification was carried out as described above. USER-treated libraries from a total of 45 individuals were sent for higher coverage sequencing at Macrogen (100bp single-end with the exception of JP 14, for which 100-bp paired-end data were also obtained). Detailed experimental and sequencing results can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

Demultiplexee! data returned in FASTQ format were subjected to quality control using the FastQC suite³⁹. Residual adaptor sequences were trimmed using cutadapt v.1.2.1⁴⁰, with non-default parameters -m 34 and -O 1. Quality trimming was performed on read ends where necessary. Paired-end reads from JP14 were collapsed and trimmed for adapters using the leeHom software⁴¹. Trimmed reads were mapped to hgl9/GRCh37 with the mitochondrial genome replaced with the revised Cambridge reference sequence (NC_012920.1). BWA version 0.7.5⁴² was used for alignment with non-default parameters -1 16500, -n 0.02 and -o 2. Reads were sorted, filtered for a mapping quality of 20 or above and PCR duplicates removed using Samtools v.0.1.19⁴³. Read groups were added and BAM files merged to sample level using Picard Tools v. 1.101 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). GenomeAnalysisTK v.2.4-7⁴⁴ was used to locally realign reads. Two base pairs at both the 5' and 3' ends of reads had their base qualities reduced to a PHRED score of 2. Where necessary, published ancient genomic

data^{7,8,11,12,29,37,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57,58,59,60,61,62,63,64,65,66,67,68,69,70,71} were realigned for use in downstream analyses from either unaligned FASTQ (when available) or aligned BAM files following the parameters described here, and filtered in an identical manner.

Radiocarbon dating and isotope analysis

Direct radiocarbon dates were obtained for 27 samples from accelerator mass spectrometry facilities at Queen's University Belfast and the University of Oxford. All calibrated dates are taken from CALIB 7.1 after ref. ⁷² using the IntCal13 curve, and reported at two standard deviations (95.4% confidence). The median probabilities (calibrated BC) have been used for plotting samples chronologically. Stable isotope ratios (S¹³C and S¹⁵N) are also reported for the 27 samples and compared with published stable isotope data from 85 Irish and 81 British samples^{8,9,11,14,25,69,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81,82,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90} (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 4). The timeline in Fig. 1 is phased following ref.⁹¹.

Molecular sexing and aneuploidy detection with read coverage

Molecular sexing was done following two methods, one previously published⁹² and one described asfollows. The total number of X chromosome reads was divided by the length of the X chromosome. This value was then divided by the median seen for the same calculation across the autosomal chromosomes. We call this value Rx: a value above 0.9 was designated female, and a value below 0.6 was designated as male (Supplementary Table 5). Chromosomal deletions or duplications of sufficient length can be detected by aberrations in read coverage for shotgun data. We estimated the chromosomal coverages for 145 shotgun-sequenced ancient individuals and 43 samples from the current study (>0.3× mean genome coverage) using Qualimap⁹³. To compare chromosomal coverage between samples, we normalized values by the mean autosomal coverage for each genome. An extreme outlier was observed for chromosome 21. To estimate the aberration in read coverage for this sample, we divided its normalized chromosome 21 coverage by the median for this value seen across all samples (Extended Data Fig. 6b).

Mitochondrial analysis

To determine mitochondrial coverage and haplogroups, reads aligned (no mapping quality filter) to the human reference genome and revised Cambridge mitochondrial reference sequence were realigned to the mitochondrial reference alone and re-processed as described in 'Sampling and sequencing'. Coverages were obtained using Qualimap v.2.1.1⁹³. Consensus sequences were determined as previously described⁴⁷ with Samtools mpileup (-B, -d6 and -Q 30) and vcfutils.pl (vcf2fq)⁴³. HaploFind⁹⁴ was used to identify defining mutations and assign haplogroups (Supplementary Table 6). Mitochondrial contamination was estimated as previously described⁷,⁶³. Sequence data from the original alignment (hgl9 and the revised Cambridge reference sequence) were used for contamination estimates, to avoid the confounding effects of misaligned NUMT sequences. Contamination estimates with and without the inclusion of potential damage sites are given (Supplementary Table 6).

Genotype calling

As the majority of published ancient genomic data possess sequencing coverages too low for direct diploid genotype calling, two alternative methods were used—pseudo-haploid genotype calling and genotype imputation. To minimize the effect of reference bias previously observed inpseudo-haplodized data¹², a relaxed mapping quality filter of 20 was applied during data processing. Randomized pseudo-haploid genotypes (base quality >30) were called following previously established methods⁷. Imputation was carried out using Beagle 4.0^{95} for 43 individuals from the urrent study (>0.4×) (Supplementary Table 2), and 51 publislied^{7,9,11,29,54,56,57,58,60,64,65,96} ancient genomes (>0.66×) (Supplementary Table 3), following previously published methods^{12,37,51,59} with some modifications as indicated below.

Genotype likelihoods for biallelic autosomal SNPs in the 1000 Genomes phase 3 dataset⁹⁷ were called using the UnifiedGenotyper tool in GenomeAnalysisTK v.2.4-7⁴⁴. These genotypes were then filtered to add equal likelihoods for missing data and for genotypes that could be the result of post-mortem damage. Samples were merged by chromosome and imputed in 15,000 marker Windows using the 1000 Genomes phase 3 haplotypic reference

panel and genetic map files provided by the BEAGLE website (http:// bochet.gcc.biostat.washington.edu/beagle/). To assess accuracy, imputed genotypes for chromosome 22 of the downsampled Neolithic NE1³⁷ genome (l×), were compared to direct diploid genotypes from the high-coverage version (25×) (Extended Data Fig. 10). Optimal filters of >0.05 minor allele frequency, >0.99 genotype probability and exclusion of transition sites were subsequently chosen for all downstream analysis. Six individuals including three from the current study (ANN2, PB754 and PN16)—were excluded from downstream analysis owing to a high percentage of genotype missingness (>0.16) after the imposition of the genotype probability filter. The remaining 88 individuals were combined with published imputed genotypes (filtered identically) from 67 ancient samples¹².

Direct diploid genotype calling was also carried out for high-coverage ancient genomes $(>10\times)$ at positions in the 1000 Genomes phase 3 variant panel using the HaplotypeCaller tool in GenomeAnalysisTK v.4.0⁴⁴ with parameter -mbq 30. A minimum genotype quality of 30, a minimum depth of coverage of 10×, and a maximum depth of coverage twice that of the mean genomic coverage of the sample were required, with a more conservative minimum coverage filter of 15× used for assessment of imputation accuracy.

Pigmentation profiles

We used the hIrisPlex-S System to predict hair, skin and eye colour in high-coverage ancient samples^{98,99}. Diploid genotypes were called at the relevant variant sites and inputted into the hlrisPlex-S online tool (https://hirisplex.erasmusmc.nl). Imputed diploid genotypes (genotype probability >0.66) were also used for pigmentation prediction across the larger dataset of ancient genomes. Results are shown in Supplementary Table 12.

Population genetic analyses

Detailed descriptions for Y chromosome analysis, ADMIXTURE analysis¹⁵, *D*- and *f*statistics^{100,101}using the AdmixTools package¹⁰², ChromoPainter and fineSTRUCTURE analysis¹³, estimations of runs of homozygosity, inbreeding coefficients and kinship determination with lcMLkin²⁶ can be found in Supplementary Information sections 2-6. We used smartpca^{103,104} to construct the principal component analysis of SNP sharing in Extended Data Fig. 9a, using an identical sample and set of SNPs to that presented in Fig. 1d, e, with imputed genotypes converted randomly to homozygous to mimic pseudo-haploid data. Figures were produced in R¹⁰⁵ using the packages ggplot2¹⁰⁶, gplots¹⁰⁷, maps¹⁰⁸ and mapdata¹⁰⁹, with the reshape2¹¹⁰ and dplyr¹¹¹ packages used for data manipulation.

Cassidy et al.

Extended Data



Extended Data Fig. 1. Genomic affinities of the Irish Neolithic. a, ADMIXTURE plot (K = 14) for ancient Irish and British populations (first row), other ancient Eurasians (second and third rows) and global modem populations (fourth row). For components that reach their maximum in modem populations, the five individuals with highest values were selected for representation. If the majority of these individuals corne from a single population the block is labelled as such; otherwise, it is labelled using the general geographic region from which these individuals originate. Three components reach their maximum in ancient populations, and we label these 'European_HG' (red),

Early_Farmer (orange) and 'Steppe' (teal). **b**, Box plot (Tukey method) showing the distribution of the European_HG component among British and Irish Neolithic shotgun-sequenced individuals (n = 50). **c**, Normalized haplotypic length contributions, estimated with ChromoPainter, from Early Neolithic populations to later Neolithic and Chalcolithic individuals. The top two donors are outlined in black for each individual. Given the unsupervised nature of the analysis, regional differences in overall haplotypic donation levels should be ignored, as larger populations have more opportunity for within-group painting.

Cassidy et al.



Extended Data Fig. 2. Haplotypic structure among ancient populations

a, ChromoPainter principal component analysis of diverse ancient genomes (n = 149) generated using the output matrix of haplotypic lengths. The colour and shape key for the Irish samples follows Fig. 1. **b**, fineSTRUCTURE dendrogram derived from the same matrix as in a, with the passage tomb cluster highlighted. Dotted branches are shown at a quarter of their true length.

Cassidy et al.



Extended Data Fig. 3. Inferring the relationship between the parents of NG10.

a, Whole-genome plot of heterozygosity in NG10, revealing extreme runs of homozygosity.
b, Nine mating scenarios (coloured Unes) that can lead to an inbreeding coefficient of 25%.
c, Number and average lengths of homozygous-by-descent (HBD) segments for each of these simulated scenarios (500 iterations) and the same values observed for the NG10 genome. Box plots follow Tukey's method. Scenarios in the subpanels i and ii best fit the homozygous-by-descent distribution of NG10; ii is less parsimonious than i when anthropological and biological factors are taken into consideration.

Cassidy et al.



Extended Data Fig. 4. Levels of inbreeding through time in ancient populations.

Inbreeding coefficients for imputed ancient samples estimated by measuring the proportion of the genome that is homozygous by descent. Box plots follow Tukey's method. Individuals are binned according to archaeological period. UP-MS, Upper Palaeolithic to Mesolithic (n = 24); EN, Early Neolithic (n = 13); MN-CA, Middle Neolithic to Chalcolithic (n = 69); BA, European Bronze Age (n = 12); IA-MA, Iran Age to Medieval (n = 21); Steppe CA-BA, steppe Chalcolithic to Bronze Age (n = 14). Outliers of note are labelled. The inferred degrees of relatedness between the parents of an individual are marked.

Cassidy et al.



Extended Data Fig. 5. Detecting recent shared ancestry between pairs of British and Irish Neolithic samples.

a, lcMLkin³¹ kinship coefficients between pairs of Irish and British Neolithic samples, jittered by a height of 0.00018 and width of 0.00036 for visualization. Optimized duplicate tests are linked by dotted Unes. Several standalone values are also shown (italics), in which one duplicate did not meet the threshold of overlapping sites (>20,000). The MB6 and car004 pairing (19,850 sites) is shown as a translucent point. An inset is shown for lower values of pi-HAT. Pairs over 5er from the mean pi-HAT and K₀ for subpanel ii (marked with

a line) are highlighted using thesame colour and shape key as in Fig. 1. Combined symbols are used for inter-site pairs, **b**, Total haplotypic lengths donated between all pairs (n = 2,162) of British and Irish samples from the ChromoPainter analysis of diverse ancient samples (Extended Data Fig. 2). Outlying pairs (4α above the mean) are labelled. **c**, Outgroup f3-statistics measuring shared drift between pairs (n = 2,236) of Irish and British Neolithic samples (>25,000 informative sites). **d**, Total haplotypic lengths donated between all pairs of 'passage tomb cluster' (pink; n = 42) and ' British—Irish cluster' (grey; n = 1,190) samples from the ChromoPainter analysis of genomes from the Atlantic seaboard (Fig. 1d, e). Single members from the outlying pairs in b were removed for this analysis. Positions of passage tomb pairs are marked along the *x* axis, with two outlying pairs from Carrowkeel highlighted.



Extended Data Fig. 6. Regional-scale diversity in the Irish Neolithic.

a, Nitrogen stable-isotope values (an indicator of trophic level) plotted across time for samples from the neighbouring sites of Poulnabrone (blue) and Parknabinnia (yellow). For male samples, the Y chromosome haplogroup is given. Distant kinship connections are marked with a dotted line, and a closer (about fourth degree) relationship is highlighted with a solid line, b, Box plot (Tukey's method) of nonnalized read coverage aligning to chromosome 21 for shotgun-sequenced ancient samples (n = 188), with a single outlier from Poulnabrone (representing an infant with trisomy).



Extended Data Fig. 7. Subclade distributions of Y chromosome haplogroup I2a1 in Ireland, Britain and Europe from the Mesolithic to the Bronze Age.

a, Y haplogroups observed for Neolithic individuals (jittered) in Britain and Ireland. Shape indicates the approximate time period within the Neolithic (based on ref. ⁹¹), and colour indicates haplogroup and follows the same keys as in b-d. b-d, Approximately 94% of the British and Irish Neolithic samples belong to haplogroups I2a1b1 (45%), I2alal (14%) and I2ala2 (35%). Incidences (jittered) of these haplogroups in European individuals from the Mesolithic to the Bronze Age are shown for I2albl (**b**), I2a1a1 (**c**) and I2a1a2 (**d**).

Haplogroup colour keys are shown with respect to phylogenetic placement; thosehaplogroups observed within Britain and Ireland are shown in bold. European individuals who share an identical set of haplotypic mutations (for sites covered) to an Irish Neolithic individual are highlighted with a black outline in c (for I2a1a1) and d (for I2a1a2).





Extended Data Fig. 8. Geographic and genomic distributions of northwestern European huntergatherer ancestry among British and Irish Neolithic individuals.

a, Geographic distribution of northwestern European hunter-gatherer introgression in Britain and Ireland across 103 Neolithic samples. Box plot (Tukey's method) highlights four

outliers, three from the Early-to-Middle Neolithic of Argyll and one from Ireland (Parknabinnia675 (PB675)). The next highest value is also from Parknabinnia (individual PB754). b, The same Z)-statistic run on separate chromosomes for individuals of sufficient coverage (n = 86). Outlying individuals are marked for each chromosome. Irish outliers follow the same shape and colour key as in Fig. 1. Outliers who are also outliers in he box plot in a are marked in bold. c, Box plot (Tukey's method; n = 86) of sample standard deviations across the chromosomes for the same D-statistic. Four outliers with high variance across the chromosomes are marked, including three samples from Parknabinnia, two of whom are also top hits in a. **d-f**, Haplotypic affinities of imputed Irish and British Neolithic individuals (n = 47) to Irish hunter-gatherers, relative to other northwestem European huntergatherers (Bichon, Loschbour and Cheddar Man). Colour and shape key follows Fig. 1. The outlying individual PB675 shows a preference for Irish hunter-gatherer haplotypes in all measures. Regression Unes are shown with 95% confidence interval shaded (sample size = 47). PB675 shows a higher-than-expected number of Irish hunter-gatherer haplotypes (d), has the highest overall hunter-gatherer haplotypic length contribution, with a ratio skewed towards Irish hunter-gatherers (e) and displays the longest average length of Irish-huntergatherer haplotype chunks (f).



Extended Data Fig. 9. SNP-sharing analyses of autosomal structure in Neolithic populations of the Atlantic seaboard.

a, Principal component analysis created using an identical sample (n = 57) and set of SNPs (about 488,000 sites; pseudo-haplodized) to that presented in Fig. 1d, e. **b**, Outgroup *f3*-statistics for all combinations of samples in **a**, using a reduced set of SNPs (about 270,000 sites; pseudo-haplodized). Results are presented in a heat map and corresponding dendrogram.

Cassidy et al.



Extended Data Fig. 10. Imputation accuracies for chromosome 22 of the high-coverage NE1 genome, downsampled to 1X.

The levels of accuracy seen across all SNPs (solid line) (n=204,316 for no minor allele frequency (MAF) filter and genotype probability of 80) is compared to that seen for transversions only (dashed line) (n=62,374 for no minor allele frequency filter and genotype probability of 80). Accuracies at different genotype probability thresholds and minor allele frequency filters are shown for the three different genotype categories. Minor allele frequency filters are based on overall frequency in the 1000 Genomes phase 3 dataset.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Museum of Ireland, particularly M. Cahill, N. O'Connor, E. Ashe, E. McLoughlin, M. Sikora and M. Seaver for help in the provision of archaeological samples under licence; National Museums NI; M. Mirazôn Lahr and the Leverhulme Centre for Human Evolutionary Studies; R. Martiniano for assisting with an initial sample screening; Trinseq for sequencing support; the DJEI/DES/SFI/HEA Irish Centre for High-End Computing (ICHEC) for the provision of computational facilities; and M. Sinding, P. Maisano Delser, K. Daly, R. Hensey, P. Meehan and M. Teasdale for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was funded by the Science Foundation Ireland/Health Research Board/Wellcome Trust Biomedical Research Partnership Investigator Award no. 205072 to D.G.B., 'Ancient Genomics and the Atlantic Burden'. In the early part of the study, L.M.C. was funded by Irish Research Council Government of Ireland Scholarship Scheme (GOIPG/2013/1219). E.R.J. was supported by the Herchel Smith Postdoctoral Fellowship Fund. Several radiocarbon determinations were funded by an NERC award to T.K. (NF/2016/2/18).

Data availability

Raw FASTQ and aligned BAM files are available through the European Nucleotide Archive under accession number PRJEB36854.

References

- 1. Hinz, M, Müller, J, Wunderlich, M. Megaliths, Societies, Landscapes: Early Monumentality and Social Differentiation in Neolithic Europe. Hinz, M, , et al., editors. Vol. 1. Habelt; 2019. 21–23.
- 2. Cunliffe, B. Facing the Ocean: the Atlantic and its Peoples. Oxford Univ. Press; 2004.
- 3. Burenhult G. Megalithic symbolism in Ireland and Scandinavia in light of new evidence from Carrowmore. Arkeos. 1999; 6:49–108.
- 4. Wolf, AP. Incest Avoidance and the Incest Taboos: Two Aspects of Human Nature. Stanford Univ. Press; 2014.
- 5. Goggin, JM, Sturtevant, WP. Explorations in Cultural AnthropologyEssays in Honour of George Peter Murdock. Goodenough, WH, editor. McGraw-Hill; 1964. 179–219.
- 6. van den Berghe PL, Mesher GM. Royal incest: a reply to Sturtevant. Am Ethnol. 1981; 8:187-188.
- Cassidy LM, et al. Neolithic and Bronze Age migration to Ireland and establishment of the insular Atlantic genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113:368–373. [PubMed: 26712024]
- Olalde I, et al. The Beaker phenomenon and the genomic transformation of northwest Europe. Nature. 2018; 555:190–196. [PubMed: 29466337]
- 9. Sanchez-Quinto F, et al. Megalithic tombs in western and northem Neolithic Europe were linked to a kindred society. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019; 116:9469–9474. [PubMed: 30988179]
- Schulz Paulsson B. Radiocarbon dates and Bayesian modeling support maritime diffusion model for megaliths in Europe. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019; 116:3460–3465. [PubMed: 30808740]
- Brace S, et al. Ancient genomes indicate population replacement in Early Neolithic Britain. Nat Ecol Evol. 2019; 3:765–771. [PubMed: 30988490]
- Martiniano R, et al. The population genomics of archaeological transition in west Iberia: investigation of ancient substructure using imputation and haplotype-based methods. PLoS Genet. 2017; 13:e1006852. [PubMed: 28749934]
- Lawson DJ, Hellenthal G, Myers S, Falush D. Inference of population structure using dense haplotype data. PLoS Genet. 2012; 8:e1002453. [PubMed: 22291602]
- 14. Lynch, A. Poulnabrone: An Early Neolithic Portal Tomb in Ireland. Stationery Office; 2014.
- Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. Genome Res. 2009; 19:1655–1664. [PubMed: 19648217]
- 16. O'Kelly, M. J Newgrange. Thames & Hudson; 1983.

- 17. Huebner SR. 'Brother-sister' marriage in Roman Egypt: a curiosity of humankind or a widespread family strategy? J Roman Stud. 2007; 97:21–49.
- Kolb MJ, et al. Monumentality and the rise of religious authority in precontact Hawai'i. Curr Anthropol. 1994; 35:521–547.
- Gates, H. Inbreeding, Incest, and the Incest Taboo: The State of Knowledge at the Tum of the Century. Wolf, AP, Durham, WH, editors. Stanford Univ. Press; 2005. 139–160.
- 20. Kirch, PV. How Chiefs Became Kings: Divine Kingship and the Rise of Archaic States in Ancient Hawai'i. Univ. California Press; 2010.
- 21. Hensey, R. First Light: The Origins of Newgrange. Oxbow Books; 2015.
- 22. Hensey, R. The Oxford Handbook of Light in Archaeology. Papadopoulos, C, Moyes, H, editors. Oxford Univ. Press; 2017.
- 23. Carey J. Time, memory, and the Boyne necropolis. Proc Harv Celtic Colloq. 1990; 10:24–36.
- 24. Gwynn, E. School of Celtic Studies, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies; 1991. The Metrical Dindshenchas. 4. Text, Translation, and Commentary.
- 25. Kador T, et al. Rites of passage: mortuary practice, population dynamics, and chronology at the Carrowkeel passage tomb complex, Co. Sligo, Ireland. Proc Prehist Soc. 2018; 84:225–255.
- 26. Lipatov, M; Sanjeev, K; Patro, R; Veeramah, K. Maximum likelihood estimation of biological relatedness from low coverage sequencing data. 2015. Preprint at https://www.biorxiv.org/content/ 10.1101/023374vl
- Jones, C. Megaliths, Societies, Landscapes: Early Monumentality and Social Differentiation in Neolithic Europe. Müller, J, et al., editors. Vol. 18. Habelt; 2019. 979–1000.
- Garrow D, Sturt F. Grey waters bright with Neolithic argonauts? Maritime connections and the Mesolithic-Neolithic transition within the 'western seaways' of Britain, c. 5000-3500 BC. Antiquity. 2011; 85:59–72.
- 29. Fu Q, et al. The genetic history of Ice Age Europe. Nature. 2016; 534:200–205. [PubMed: 27135931]
- 30. Lazaridis I, et al. Ancient human genomes suggest three ancestral populations for present-day Europeans. Nature. 2014; 513:409–413. [PubMed: 25230663]
- 31. Brooks AJ, Bradley SL, Edwards RJ, Goodwyn N. The palaeogeography of Northwest Europe during the last 20,000 years. J Maps. 2011; 7:573–587.
- 32. Woodman, P. Ireland's First Settlers: Time and the Mesolithic. Oxbow Books; 2015.
- Villalba-Mouco V, et al. Survival of Late Pleistocene hunter-gatherer ancestry in the Iberian peninsula. Curr Biol. 2019; 29:1169–1177.e7. [PubMed: 30880015]
- Rivollat M, Castex D, Hauret L, Tillier A-M. Ancient Down syndrome: an osteological case from Saint-Jean-des-Vignes, northeastem France, from the 5-6th century AD. Int J Paleopathol. 2014; 7:8–14. [PubMed: 29539495]
- Yang DY, Eng B, Waye JS, Dudar JC, Saunders SR. Technical note: improved DNA extraction from ancient bones using silica-based spin columns. Am J Phys Anthropol. 1998; 105:539–543. [PubMed: 9584894]
- MacHugh DE, Edwards CJ, Bailey JF, Bancroft DR, Bradley DG. The extraction and analysis of ancient DNA from bone and teeth: a survey of current methodologies. Ane Biomol. 2000; 3:81– 103.
- 37. Gamba C, et al. Genome flux and stasis in a five millennium transect of European prehistory. Nat Commun. 2014; 5:5257. [PubMed: 25334030]
- Meyer M, Kircher M. Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture and sequencing. Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2010. 2010
- 39. Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 2010. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
- 40. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J. 2011; 17:10–12.
- 41. Renaud G, Stenzel U, Kelso J. leeHom: adaptor trimming and merging for Illumina sequencing reads. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42:e141. [PubMed: 25100869]

- Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:1754–1760. [PubMed: 19451168]
- Li H, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25:2078–2079. [PubMed: 19505943]
- 44. McKenna A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce Framework for analyzing nextgeneration DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010; 20:1297–1303. [PubMed: 20644199]
- 45. Keller A, et al. New insights into the Tyrolean Iceman's origin and phenotype as inferred by whole-genome sequencing. Nat Commun. 2012; 3:698. [PubMed: 22426219]
- 46. Olalde I, et al. Derived immune and ancestral pigmentation alleles in a 7,000-year-old Mesolithic European. Nature. 2014; 507:225–228. [PubMed: 24463515]
- 47. Skoglund P, et al. Genomic diversity and admixture differs for Stone-Age Scandinavian foragers and farmers. Science. 2014; 344:747–750. [PubMed: 24762536]
- 48. Allentoft ME, et al. Population genomics of Bronze Age Eurasia. Nature. 2015; 522:167–172. [PubMed: 26062507]
- 49. Günther T, et al. Ancient genomes link early farmers from Atapuerca in Spain to modem-day Basques. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015; 112:11917–11922. [PubMed: 26351665]
- 50. Haak W, et al. Massive migration from the steppe was a source for Indo-European languages in Europe. Nature. 2015; 522:207–211. [PubMed: 25731166]
- 51. Jones ER, et al. Upper Palaeolithic genomes reveal deep roots of modem Eurasians. Nat Commun. 2015; 6:8912. [PubMed: 26567969]
- Mathieson E, et al. Genome-wide patterns of selection in 230 ancient Eurasians. Nature. 2015; 528:499–503. [PubMed: 26595274]
- 53. Olalde E, et al. A common genetic origin for early farmers from Mediterranean Cardial and Central European LBK cultures. Mol Biol Evol. 2015; 32:3132–3142. [PubMed: 26337550]
- Broushaki F, et al. Early Neolithic genomes from the eastern Fertile Crescent. Science. 2016; 353:499–503. [PubMed: 27417496]
- 55. Hoffnanova Z, et al. Early farmers from across Europe directly descended from Neolithic Aegeans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016; 113:6886–6891. [PubMed: 27274049]
- 56. Kdinç GM, et al. The demographic development of the first farmers in Anatolia. Curr Biol. 2016; 26:2659–2666. [PubMed: 27498567]
- 57. Jones ER, et al. The Neolithic transition in the Baltic was not driven by admixture with early European farmers. Curr Biol. 2017; 27:576–582. [PubMed: 28162894]
- 58. Schiffels S, et al. Iran Age and Anglo-Saxon genomes from East England reveal British migration history. Nat Commun. 2016; 7:10408. [PubMed: 26783965]
- Martiniano R, et al. Genomic signals of migration and continuity in Britain before the Anglo-Saxons. Nat Commun. 2016; 7:10326. [PubMed: 26783717]
- 60. Gonzalez-Fortes G, et al. Paleogenomic Evidence for multi-generational mixing between Neolithic farmers and Mesolithic hunter-gatherers in the lower Danube basin. Curr Biol. 2017; 27:1801–1810.e10. [PubMed: 28552360]
- 61. Lipson M, et al. Parallel palaeogenomic transects reveal complex genetic history of early European farmers. Nature. 2017; 551:368–372. [PubMed: 29144465]
- 62. Mathieson E, et al. The genomic history of southeastem Europe. Nature. 2018; 555:197–203. [PubMed: 29466330]
- Sanchez-Quinto F, et al. Genomic affinities of two 7,000-year-old Iberian hunter-gatherers. Curr Biol. 2012; 22:1494–1499. [PubMed: 22748318]
- 64. Valdiosera C, et al. Four millennia of Iberian biomolecular prehistory illustrate the impact of prehistoric migrations at the far end of Eurasia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018; 115:3428–3433. [PubMed: 29531053]
- 65. Günther T, et al. Population genomics of Mesolithic Scandinavia: investigating early postglacial migration routes and high-latitude adaptation. PLoS Biol. 2018; 16:e2003703. [PubMed: 29315301]
- 66. Krzewi ska M, et al. Genomic and strontium isotope variation reveal immigration patterns in a Viking age town. Curr Biol. 2018; 28:2730–2738.e10. [PubMed: 30146150]

- 67. Krzewinska M, et al. Ancient genomes suggest the eastem Pontic-Caspian steppe as the source of western Iran Age nomads. Sci Adv. 2018; 4:eaat4457. [PubMed: 30417088]
- Sikora M, et al. Ancient genomes show social and reproductive behavior of early Upper Paleolithic foragers. Science. 2017; 358:659–662. [PubMed: 28982795]
- 69. Scheib CL, et al. East Anglian early Neolithic monument burial linked to contemporary megaliths. Ann Hum Biol. 2019; 46:145–149. [PubMed: 31184205]
- 70. Saag L, et al. Extensive farming in Estonia started through a sex-biased migration from the steppe. Curr Biol. 2017; 27:2185–2193.e6. [PubMed: 28712569]
- 71. Rodriguez-Varela R, et al. Genomic analyses of pre-European conquest human remains from the Canary Islands reveal close affmity to modem North Africans. Curr Biol. 2018; 28:1677–1679. [PubMed: 29787714]
- 72. Reimer PJ, et al. IntCall3 and Marinel3 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon. 2013; 55:1869–1887.
- Eogan, G. Excavations at Knowth Volume 6: The Passage Tomb Archaeology of the Great Mound at Knowth. Royal Irish Academy; 2017.
- 74. Hamilton, J, Hedges, REM. Gathering Time: Dating the Early Neolithic Enclosures of Southern Britain and Ireland. Whittle, A, , et al., editors. Oxbow Books; 2011. 670–681.
- Hutchison M, Curtis N, Kidd R. The Knowe of Rowiegar, Rousay, OrkneyProc Soc Antiquar Scotland. 2015; 145:41–89.
- Kador T, Fibiger L, Cooney G, Fullagar P. Movement and diet in early Irish prehistory: first evidence from multi-isotope analysis. J Irish Archaeol. 2015; 23:83–96.
- 77. Schulting, R. The Origins and Spread of Domestic Animais in Southwest Asia and Europe. Colledge, S, , et al., editors. 2013. 313–338.
- 78. Schulting RJ, Murphy E, Jones C, Warren G. New dates from the north and a proposed chronology for Irish court tombs. Proc R Ir Acad C Archaeol Celt Stud Hist Linguist Lit. 2012; 112C:1–60.
- 79. Wysocki M, et al. Dates, diet, and dismemberment: evidence from the Coldrum megalithic monument, Kent. Proc Prehist Soc. 2013; 79:61–90.
- Wysocki M, Bayliss A, Whittle A. serious mortality: the date of the FusselTs Lodge long barrow. Camb Archaeol J. 2007; 17:65–84.
- Whittle A, et al. Parc le Breos Cwm transepted long caim, Gower, West Glamorgan: date, contents, and context. Proc Prehist Soc. 1998; 64:139–182.
- Whittle A, Bayliss A, Wysocki M. Once in a lifetime: the date of the Wayland's Smithy long barrow. Camb Archaeol J. 2007; 17:103–121.
- Bayliss A, Whittle A, Wysocki M. Talking about my generation: the date of the West Kennet long barrow. Camb Archaeol J. 2007; 17:85–101.
- Brindley, AL, Lanting, JN, van der Plicht, J. Duma na nGial: The Mound of the Hostages, Tara. O'Sullivan, M, editor. Wordwell; 2005. 281–296.
- 85. Schulting RJ, Chapman M, Chapman EJ. AMS 14C dating and stable isotope (carbon, nitrogen) analysis of an earlier Neolithic human skeletal assemblage from hay wood cave, Mendip, Somerset. Proc Univ Bristol Spelaeol Soc. 2013; 26:9–26.
- Schulting R, et al. Mesolithic and Neolithic human remains from Foxhole Cave, Gower, South Wales. Antiq J. 2013; 93:1–23.
- Sheridan JA, Schulting R, Quinnell H, Taylor R. Revisiting a small passage tomb at Broadsands, Devon. Proc Devon Archaeol Soc. 2008; 66:1–26.
- Schulting RJ, Richards MP. Finding the Coastal Mesolithic in Southwest Britain: AMS dates and stable isotope results on human remains from Caldey Island, South Wales. Antiquity. 2002; 76:1011–1025.
- 89. Stevens RE, Lightfoot E, Allen T, Hedges REM. Palaeodiet at Eton College rowing course, Buckinghamshire: isotopic changes in human diet in the Neolithic, Bronze Age, Iran Age and Roman periods throughout the British Isles. Archaeol Anthropol Sci. 2012; 4:167–184.
- 90. Chamberlain AT, Witkin AV. A Neolithic caim at Whitwell, Derbyshire. Derbyshire Archaeol J. 2011; 131:1–131.

- 91. McLaughlin TR, Whitehouse NJ, Schulting RJ. The changing face of Neolithic and Bronze Age Ireland: a big data approach to the settlement and burial records. J World Prehist. 2016; 29:117-153.
- 92. Skoglund P, Storå J, Götherström A, Jakobsson M. Accurate sex identification of ancient human remains using DNA shotgun sequencing. J Archaeol Sci. 2013; 40:4477-4482.
- 93. Okonechnikov K, Conesa A, García-Alcalde F. Qualimap 2: advanced multi-sample quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2016; 32:292–294. [PubMed: 26428292]
- 94. Vianello D, et al. HAPLOFIND: a new method for high-throughput mtDNA haplogroup assignment. Hum Mutat. 2013; 34:1189–1194. [PubMed: 23696374]
- 95. Browning SR, Browning BL. Rapid and accurate haplotype phasing and missing-data inference for whole-genome association studies by use of localized haplotype clustering. Am J Hum Genet. 2007; 81:1084-1097. [PubMed: 17924348]
- 96. Gallego-Llorente M, et al. The genetics of an early Neolithic pastoralist from the Zagros, Iran. Sci Rep. 2016; 6:31326. [PubMed: 27502179]
- 97. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature. 2015; 526:68-74. [PubMed: 26432245]
- 98. Walsh S, et al. The HIrisPlex System for simultaneous prediction of hair and eye colour from DNA. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2013; 7:98–115. [PubMed: 22917817]
- 99. Chaitanya L, et al. The HIrisPlex-S System for eye, hair and skin colour prediction from DNA: introduction and forensic developmental validation. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2018; 35:123-135. [PubMed: 29753263]
- 100. Green RE, et al. A draft sequence of the Neandertal genome. Science. 2010; 328:710-722. [PubMed: 20448178]
- 101. Reich D, Thangaraj K, Patterson N, Price AL, Singh L. Reconstructing Indian population history. Nature. 2009; 461:489–494. [PubMed: 19779445]
- 102. Patterson N, et al. Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics. 2012; 192:1065-1093. [PubMed: 22960212]
- 103. Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. PLoS Genet. 2006; 2:e190. [PubMed: 17194218]
- 104. Price AL, et al. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2006; 38:904-909. [PubMed: 16862161]
- 105. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2015.
- 106. Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer; 2016.
- 107. Wames, GR; , et al. gplots: various R programming tools for plotting data, R package version 3.0.1. 2016. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplots
- 108. Becker RA, Wilks AR, Brownrigg R, Minka TP, Deckmyn A. maps: draw geographical maps, Rpackage version 3.1.0. 2016http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maps
- 109. Becker, RA; Brownrigg, R; Wilks, AR. mapdata: extra map databases, Rpackage version 2.2-6. 2016. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mapdata
- 110. Wickham H. Reshaping data with the reshape package. J Stat Softw. 2007; 21:1-20.
- 111. Wickham, H; François, R; Henry, L; Müller, K. dplyr: a grammar of data manipulation, Rpackage version 0.7.6. 2018. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr

Europe PMC Funders Author Manuscripts

Cassidy et al.



Fig. 1. Fine-scale haplotypic and dietary structure in the Neolithic.

a, Timeline of analysed Irish genomes with inbreeding coefficients are shown for those with sufficient coverage. All dates are direct and calibrated, excluding that for individual CAK534 (translucent). The key for the sample sites is given in c. The earliest widespread evidence of Neolithic activity (house horizon) is marked with a black line. The Irish Neolithic ends at about 2500 BC. NA, not applicable, b, Stable isotope values for samples from the Irish and British Neolithic (n = 292). The key for the Irish samples is given in c, and samples included in the ancient DNA anatysis are outlined in black. British samples are shown as hollow shapes; black, Scotland; grey, England or Wales; circles, pre-3400 BC; squares, post-3400 BC. An infant with Down syndrome (PN07) is labelled; this individual showed isotope values consistent with a high trophic level. c, Site locations for Irish individuals sampled or included in this study coloured by burial type: yellow, court tomb; blue, portal tomb; green, Linkardstown-type; magenta, passage tomb and related; light pink, natural sites; and light blue, the unclassified Baltynahatty⁷ megalith. Sites outlined in black were included in ancient DNA anatysis. d, ChromoPainter¹³ principal component (PC) anatysis of individuals from the Atlantic seaboard of majority Early_Farmer ancestry (n = 57), generated using a matrix of haplotypic length-sharing. Passage tomb outliers in Fig. 2d are labelled. e, fineSTRUCTURE dendrogram derived from the same matrix as in d with five consistent clusters.

Cassidy et al.



Fig. 2. Genomic signals of dynasty among focal passage tomb interments.

a, Front elevation and interior of the Newgrange passage tomb. Photographs by Failte Ireland; Photographic Unit, National Monuments Service, b, Plan of chamber, redrawn after ref. ¹⁶. Scale bar, 6 m. c, The coefficient of relatedness (pi-HAT) between car004 (an interment from the central monument at Carrowmore)⁹, and 38 British and Irish Neolithic samples, with the top 5 hits labelled (CAK68 and CAK530 are equal in value), d, Average length of donated haplotypic chunks between all reciprocal pairs of the 'passage tomb cluster' (pink; n = 42) and British-Irish cluster' (grey; n = 1,190) as defined by fineSTRUCTURE in Fig. 1e. The highest values for passage-tomb-cluster pairs are marked along the *x* axis, with an excess of longer chunks shared between the inferred kin of car004 (CAK533, MB6 and NG10) in c. Darker lines link reciprocal donations. Combined symbols are used for inter-site pairs, e, A sliding window of heterozygosity is plotted for transversions along selected chromosomes ofNGIO, revealing extreme runs of homozygosity. Scale bar, 50 Mb.

Cassidy et al.



Neolithic recipient

Fig. 3. Origins and Legacy of the Irish Mesolithic.

a, a, Right, the maps show the estimates of shared drift between Irish and British or continental hunter-gatherers (HG) (jittered) from the Mesolithic and Upper Palaeolithic (triangles, Magdalenian culture). Left, the top ten hits with sufficient coverage are cross-compared with one another and with Irish hunter-gatherers in a heat map using the statistic *f3*(Mbuti; HG1, HG2), in which HG1 and HG2 represent all possible pairs, b, Short and long runs of homozygosity (ROH) spectra in modem and ancient genomes. Hollow shapes indicate direct (rather than imputed) diploid calls. For four Irish samples, both imputed and direct data are presented, showing close agreement. c, Normalized haplotypic length donations from hunter-gatherer populations to Neolithic individuals, arranged by their geographic region (labelled). The top three hunter-gatherer donors are outlined for each individual. Donor hunter-gatherer population colours are as in b; British and northwestem European hunter-gatherers are merged into one donor population (blue).