Review Article

Radiolabeled Probes Targeting Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1-Active Tumor Microenvironments

Masashi Ueda¹ and Hideo Saji²

¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Analytical Chemistry, Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry, and Pharmaceutical Sciences,

Okayama University, 1-1-1 Tsushima-naka, Kita-ku, Okayama 700-8530, Japan

² Department of Patho-Functional Bioanalysis, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Masashi Ueda; mueda@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp

Received 27 June 2014; Accepted 6 August 2014; Published 18 August 2014

Academic Editor: Takahiro Higuchi

Copyright © 2014 M. Ueda and H. Saji. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Because tumor cells grow rapidly and randomly, hypoxic regions arise from the lack of oxygen supply in solid tumors. Hypoxic regions in tumors are known to be resistant to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) expressed in hypoxic regions regulates the expression of genes related to tumor growth, angiogenesis, metastasis, and therapy resistance. Thus, imaging of HIF-1-active regions in tumors is of great interest. HIF-1 activity is regulated by the expression and degradation of its α subunit (HIF-1 α), which is degraded in the proteasome under normoxic conditions, but escapes degradation under hypoxic conditions, allowing it to activate transcription of HIF-1-target genes. Therefore, to image HIF-1-active regions, HIF-1-dependent reporter systems and injectable probes that are degraded in a manner similar to HIF-1 α have been recently developed and used in preclinical studies. However, no probe currently used in clinical practice directly assesses HIF-1 activity. Whether the accumulation of ¹⁸F-FDG or ¹⁸F-FMISO can be utilized as an index of HIF-1 activity has been investigated in clinical studies. In this review, the current status of HIF-1 imaging in preclinical and clinical studies is discussed.

1. Introduction

Insufficient blood supply to a rapidly growing solid tumor leads to hypoxia-oxygen tension that is below physiological levels. The median value of partial oxygen pressure (pO_2) is reportedly 10 mmHg in breast cancers but is 65 mmHg in normal breast tissue [1]. Hypoxic regions are not only critically important in tumor physiology and treatment, but also strongly associated with malignant progression, therapeutic resistance, and poor prognosis [2-4]. In such regions, the transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) is overexpressed. HIF-1, one of the critical components of hypoxic responses, is a master transcriptional activator of various genes related to malignant tumor phenotypes [5-7]. Therefore, the development of techniques to noninvasively detect HIF-1-active hypoxic tumor cells has received considerable interest. Such techniques will provide useful information that can be applied to qualitative diagnosis of tumors and development of therapeutic strategies for cancer.

2. HIF-1 Biology

HIF-1 is a heterodimeric transcription factor that consists of α and β subunits (HIF-1 α and HIF-1 β , resp.) [8]. HIF-1 β is a constitutively expressed nuclear protein; however, HIF-1 α expression is regulated at both the translational and posttranslational levels. Growth signaling through receptor tyrosine kinases activates PI3K/Akt/mTOR and Ras/MEK/ERK signaling pathways and increases HIF- 1α translation (Figure 1) [9–11]. In contrast, HIF-1 α expression is maintained at low levels in most normoxic tissues via a posttranslational regulation mechanism-oxygendependent proteasomal degradation. Under normoxic conditions, Pro^{402} and Pro^{564} in the HIF-1 α oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODD) are hydroxylated by proline hydroxylases [12-14]. The von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein (pVHL) specifically recognizes these hydroxylated prolines and interacts with HIF-1 α . pVHL forms a complex with Elongin BC, Cul2, and Rbx1 [15], and this complex, which acts as a ubiquitin ligase, polyubiquitinates



FIGURE 1: Regulatory mechanism of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF-1) expression. Growth factor stimulation induces HIF-1 α subunit (HIF-1 α) protein synthesis by activating PI3K/Akt/mTOR and Ras/MEK/ERK kinase pathways. Under normoxic conditions, HIF-1 α is hydroxylated by proline hydroxylases (PHDs), triggering its interaction between von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein (pVHL), leading to its polyubiquitination and subsequent proteasomal degradation. In contrast, under hypoxic conditions, HIF-1 α remains stable, enters the nucleus, and, together with HIF-1 β , binds to hypoxia-responsive elements (HREs), upregulating the expression of target genes such as glucose transporters (GLUTs), vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs), and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Ub: ubiquitin.

HIF-1 α , leading to its degradation in the 26S proteasome [16, 17]. However, proline residue hydroxylation does not occur under hypoxic conditions, allowing HIF-1 α to escape degradation and move into the nucleus where it associates with HIF-1 β and exerts its transcriptional activity by binding to hypoxia-responsive elements (HREs) (Figure 1) [18, 19]. Because HIF-1 β is constitutively expressed in the nucleus, the transcriptional activity of HIF-1 is regulated by HIF-1 α expression and degradation.

HIF-1 α expression is reported to increase dramatically in conditions where pO₂ is less than 6% (40 mmHg) [20]. However, the pO₂ threshold values that lead to HIF-1 α stabilization vary among different organs [21]. Because pulmonary cells are consistently exposed to relatively high pO₂, the values that these cells perceive as abnormal are relatively high [11]. In contrast, the bone marrow is the only tissue that expresses HIF-1 α protein under normal physiological conditions [22]. Therefore, HIF-1 α is stably present in "biologically hypoxic regions," where the tissues and/or cells themselves sense oxygen deficiency. These regions do not coincide completely with "physically hypoxic regions," where pO₂ is less than 10 mmHg. Thus, HIF-1-dependent probes or reporter systems are required to directly visualize HIF-1active hypoxic regions.

3. Monitoring HIF-1 Activity *In Vivo* by Using HIF-1-Dependent Reporter Gene Imaging

For the first time, nuclear medical molecular imaging of HIF-1 activity *in vivo* has been performed using a HIF-1-dependent reporter system. In the field of molecular biology, reporter systems are commonly used to monitor the expression of a gene of interest. To directly monitor HIF-1 activity, cell lines that stably contain a reporter gene suitable for *in vivo* imaging downstream of HRE repeats have been established. When implanted into animals, these cells express the reporter protein in a HIF-1-dependent manner, and the amount of reporter protein expression can be measured using a molecular probe that binds to or is metabolized by these proteins.

Serganova et al. [23] and Wen et al. [24] performed positron emission tomography (PET) imaging to detect HIF-1 activity in tumors, using herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-TK) as a reporter gene; a PET reporter of in vivo gene expression has been widely used [25, 26]. Although endogenous TKs are normally present in mammalian cells, their substrate specificity differs from that of HSV-1TK. Because HSV1-TK has broader specificity than mammalian TKs, a probe that is selectively phosphorylated by viral TKs can be used to visualize HSV1-TK expression [27]. Serganova et al. [23] constructed a retroviral vector bearing the HRE-HSV1-TK reporter gene and transfected it into C6 glioma cells. The reporter system showed that dose-dependent patterns in the temporal dynamics of HIF-1 transcriptional activity were induced by decreased pO₂. PET imaging using $2' - [^{18}F]$ fluoro-2'-deoxy- 1β -Darabinofuranosyl-5-ethyl-uracil (18F-FEAU), a radioactive probe selectively metabolized and retained in HSV1-TKexpressing cells, clearly visualized tumors. The spatial heterogeneity of HIF-1 transcriptional activity as a function

of tumor size was shown in a study of reporter xenografts in mice. With increasing tumor diameter (>3 mm), HIF-1 transcriptional activity markedly increased in the tumor core regions. This marked one of the earliest successes in nuclear medical molecular imaging for HIF-1 activity in vivo. Since then, several approaches for noninvasive imaging of HIF-1 activity have been reported, including those using different combinations of reporter genes and radiolabeled probes such as sodium-iodide symporter and ^{99m}Tc-pertechnetate [28], glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored avidin and ⁶⁷Ga-DOTA-biotin [29], and HSV1-TK and 9-(4-[¹⁸F]fluoro-3-hydroxymethyl-butyl)guanine (¹⁸F-FHBG) [30]. Brader et al. [31] constructed RH7777 Morris hepatoma cells bearing a triple reporter gene (HRE-HSV1-TK/green fluorescent protein/firefly luciferase) and prepared an orthotopic liver tumor model. They performed ¹⁸F-FEAU-PET and luciferase bioluminescence imaging and succeeded in hypoxia-driven reporter imaging using both techniques.

HIF-1-dependent reporter systems are also useful for comparing HIF-1 activity and exogenous markers of tumor hypoxia. Wen et al. [24] generated rat prostate adenocarcinoma cells containing HRE-HSV1-TK fused to enhanced green fluorescent protein as a reporter. PET imaging was performed using 2'-fluoro-2'-deoxy-1 β -Darabinofuranosyl-5-[124I]iodouracil (124I-FIAU), and the distributions of ¹²⁴I-FIAU and the exogenous hypoxic cell marker [¹⁸F]fluoromisonidazole (¹⁸F-FMISO) were compared. ¹²⁴I-FIAU PET imaging of hypoxia-induced reporter gene expression was feasible, and the intratumoral distributions of ¹²⁴I-FIAU and ¹⁸F-FMISO were similar. Similar results were obtained for human colorectal HT29 cancer cells bearing the same reporter system [32]. However, no significant correlation between the ¹⁸F-FMISO PET and HIF-1-dependent reporter readouts has also been reported [33]. The reasons for the discrepancy between these findings remain unclear and warrant further investigation. Notably, these described reporter systems did not include the ODD in the expressed reporter proteins. The degradation of HIF-1 α is reported to occur within a few minutes under normoxic conditions [34]. Thus, although reporter protein expression was regulated by HIF-1, it is necessary to acknowledge that the reporter proteins may not necessarily reflect real-time HIF-1 activity once the expression was completed.

4. Molecular Imaging of HIF-1-Active Tumor Microenvironments by Using Probes with Oxygen-Dependent Degradation

HIF-1-dependent reporter imaging systems are excellent tools not only for obtaining spatiotemporal information regarding HIF-1 expression, but also for evaluating the effectiveness of hypoxia-targeting therapies *in vivo*. However, these systems require exogenous gene transfection and are difficult to apply to humans. To overcome this limitation, a number of injectable probes containing ODD that degrade in an oxygen-dependent manner have been developed [35–41].



FIGURE 2: Principle underlying the imaging of HIF-1-active tumor microenvironments, using ¹²³I -IPOS. The PTD enables ¹²³I-IPOS to be delivered to all tissues. In normoxic tissues, oxygen-dependent POS degradation occurs and ¹²³I-IBB loses its binding partner and is cleared. In contrast, in hypoxic tissues, ¹²³I-IPOS escapes degradation and is retained within cells owing to its molecular size. Thus, ¹²³I-IPOS allows for specific imaging of HIF-1-active hypoxic microenvironments.

4.1. Chimeric Fusion Protein Probes Containing Oxygen-Dependent Degradation Domains. To design a probe that degraded in a manner similar to HIF-1 α , Kudo et al. [35] selected HIF-1 $\alpha_{548-603}$, the region of ODD that is essential for oxygen-dependent degradation [42], as the core structure of the probe. An amino acid sequence that increases cell membrane permeability—the protein transduction domain (PTD) [43]—was included in the probe to facilitate its transport into cells. PTD-ODD was fused to monomeric streptavidin (SAV), generating the chimeric protein PTD-ODD-SAV (POS). POS was then labeled with the radioiodinated biotin derivative (3-[¹²³I]iodobenzoyl)norbiotinamide (¹²³I-IBB) to produce ¹²³I-IBB-POS (¹²³I-IPOS) [35]. The principle behind the imaging of HIF-1-active hypoxic microenvironments by using ¹²³I-IPOS is outlined schematically in Figure 2.

¹²⁵I-IPOS showed more than 2-fold greater accumulation in cells incubated under hypoxic conditions $(0.1\% O_2)$ than under normoxic conditions $(20\% O_2)$. Size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis revealed that more than 80% of intracellular radioactivity was derived from intact ¹²⁵I-IPOS in the hypoxic cells. Reoxygenation analysis showed that the intracellular radioactivity of the reoxygenated group decreased by approximately 40%, compared to that under hypoxic conditions, and the radioactivity excreted into the medium were mostly attributable to ¹²⁵I-IBB and other small molecules [35]. These findings suggest that ¹²⁵I-IPOS is stable under hypoxic conditions but is degraded under normoxic conditions and that ¹²⁵I-IBB is excreted from cells. Biodistribution analysis showed that radioactivity accumulation in tumors 24 h after ¹²⁵I-IPOS

administration was $1.4 \pm 0.3\%$ of the injected dose per gram of tissue, and the tumor-to-blood ratio, which serves as an index of favorable image contrast, was 5.1. Forty-eight hours after ¹²⁵I-IPOS administration, radioactivity in the tumor decreased, but the tumor-to-blood ratio increased [35]. Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)/Xray computed tomography imaging with ¹²⁵I-IPOS clearly visualized the tumors. Autoradiographic study showed that the intratumoral distribution of ¹²⁵I-IPOS is heterogeneous and corresponds to HIF- 1α -positive regions detected using immunostaining [38]. Moreover, a positive and significant correlation was observed between ¹²⁵I-IPOS accumulation and HIF-1-dependent luciferase bioluminescent signals in the identical tumor [35]. These findings indicate that ¹²³I-IPOS is a promising probe for imaging HIF-1-active hypoxic microenvironments in tumors.

4.2. Rapid Detection of HIF-1-Active Tumor Microenvironments by Using POS: A Pretargeting Approach. Although PET is used less widely than SPECT in nuclear medical molecular imaging, it allows for higher sensitivity and resolution, as well as high-quality quantitative imaging. Thus, PET imaging of HIF-1-active tumors can provide precise information for determining appropriate therapeutic strategies and predicting prognoses of patients. Fluorine-18 is one of the most widely used radionuclides for PET imaging because of its ease of production, low positron energy, and adequate half-life (110 min). However, the half-life is too short to obtain signals 24 h after administration, at which time clear images can be obtained using ¹²³I-IPOS. Therefore, development of an imaging procedure that can be used to obtain highcontrast images during the early period after administration is required for successful PET imaging using ¹⁸F.

A pretargeting approach uses a combination of tumortargeting molecules and the prompt clearance of small radioactive compounds from the blood. One advantage of this method is that it can provide a high target: nontarget organ ratios shortly after injection. Therefore, we utilized a pretargeting approach based on the high-affinity and specific interaction between SAV and biotin for the PET imaging of HIF-1-active tumor microenvironments. The underlying principle of pretargeted imaging of HIF-1active hypoxic microenvironments by using POS and (4-[¹⁸F]fluorobenzoyl)norbiotinamide (¹⁸F-FBB) is outlined schematically in Figure 3.

Conjugation of *N*-succinimidyl-4-[¹⁸F]fluorobenzoate and norbiotinamine yielded ¹⁸F-FBB, with a total synthesis time of 150 min, radiochemical yield of 23%, and radiochemical purity of >95%. An *in vitro* binding assay confirmed that ¹⁸F-FBB bound to the SAV moiety of POS. Biodistribution analysis showed that ¹⁸F-FBB was rapidly cleared from the body without POS pretargeting. The tumor-to-blood and tumor-to-muscle ratios were <1 at all investigated time points, indicating that ¹⁸F-FBB itself does not show tumor accumulation. In contrast, ¹⁸F-FBB accumulated in tumors in POS-pretargeted mice. ¹⁸F-FBB was able to enter the tumor cells by passive diffusion owing to its lipophilicity



FIGURE 3: Principle underlying the imaging of HIF-1-active tumor microenvironments, using pretargeted POS and ¹⁸F-FBB. The PTD enables the delivery of POS to all tissues. In normoxic tissues, POS degrades in a manner similar to HIF-1 α . In contrast, in hypoxic tissues, POS escapes degradation and is retained inside the cells. After allowing sufficient time for POS to degrade in normal tissues, ¹⁸F-FBB is administered. ¹⁸F-FBB enters cells by passive diffusion and binds to the SAV moiety of the POS retained in hypoxic cells; this does not occur in normoxic tissues. Therefore, pretargeting POS followed by ¹⁸F-FBB administration enables specific imaging of HIF-1-active hypoxic microenvironments.

and bind to POS retained in tumor cells. Both tumor-toblood and tumor-to-muscle radioactivity ratios increased over time [37]. Tumor-to-blood ratios comparable to those at 24 h after ¹²³I-IPOS administration can be obtained within 3h of ¹⁸F-FBB administration by using the pretargeting method. Pretreatment with excessive D-biotin significantly inhibited ¹⁸F-FBB accumulation in POS-pretargeted tumors. Size-exclusion HPLC analyses revealed that 80% of intratumoral radioactivity is attributable to macromolecules. Taken together, these findings show that ¹⁸F-FBB binds to POS in vivo, and PET imaging clearly delineated tumors within 3 h after injecting ¹⁸F-FBB into POS-pretargeted mice. Therefore, the pretargeting approach makes it possible to reduce the time taken from probe administration to image acquisition by 8fold, allowing imaging to be performed within the half-life of ¹⁸F. In mice with tumors that had been transfected with a HIF-1-dependent luciferase reporter gene, ¹⁸F-FBB accumulation positively correlated with HIF-1-dependent luciferase bioluminescent signals. The ¹⁸F-FBB-distributed areas were consistent with HIF-1 α -positive areas in tumors pretargeted with POS [37]. Thus, these findings demonstrate that ¹⁸F-FBB accumulation in the POS-pretargeted tumors reflects HIF-1activity and the pretargeting approach with POS and ¹⁸F-FBB is suitable for rapid imaging of HIF-1-active tumors.

4.3. Peptide Probes Containing Essential Amino Acids for Oxygen-Dependent Degradation. POS is a 34 kDa protein

generated in *Escherichia coli*. Although promising results for the imaging of HIF-1-active tumor microenvironments were obtained, as described above, clinical applications are limited by the possibility of immunogenicity. Peptide probes or small molecules are favorable for clinical applications because they can be easily synthesized with high purity and show no immunogenicity. Our research group succeeded in developing a peptide-based imaging probe that is degraded in a manner similar to HIF-1 α [41].

Based on the degradation mechanism of HIF-1 α , we selected HIF-1 $\alpha_{547-574}$ as the basic structure for the oxygensensitive peptide probe. For site-specific radiolabeling, a glycyl cysteine was introduced into the C-terminal of the HIF-1 $\alpha_{547-574}$ scaffold, yielding a peptide named OP30. OP30 was degraded when incubated with HeLa cell lysates but was unable to penetrate cell membranes. Thus, to increase its membrane permeability, the nine N-terminal amino acids of OP30 were replaced by L-lysine or D-lysine, producing peptides KOP30 and DKOP30, respectively. As expected, these modified peptides were also degraded in HeLa cell lysates and accumulated in the tumor cells. Degradation was inhibited by the addition of a proteasome inhibitor. Furthermore, by replacing the proline residues in KOP30 and DKOP30 that are essential for oxygen-dependent degradation with L-alanine, the resulting peptides (mKOP30 and mDKOP30, resp.,) were not degraded in HeLa cell lysates. Taken together, these results indicate that the proline residues and proteasome function are required for the degradation of KOP30 and DKOP30, similar to HIF-1a. Biodistribution analysis showed that ¹²⁵I-DKOP30 had better tumor accumulation and tumor-to-blood ratio than ¹²⁵I-KOP30. Therefore, we performed an additional study using ^{123/125}I-DKOP30. Tumors were visualized clearly by planar imaging using ¹²³I-DKOP30, and autoradiographic studies showed that the intratumoral distribution of ¹²⁵I-DKOP30 was consistent with the HIF-1 α -positive areas detected using immunohistochemical staining. Moreover, a positive and significant correlation was observed between ¹²⁵I-DKOP30 accumulation and HIF-1-dependent luciferase bioluminescent signals within the same tumor, whereas no correlation between ¹²⁵ImDKOP30 accumulation and bioluminescent signals was observed. These findings indicate that ¹²³I-DKOP30 is a useful peptide probe for imaging HIF-1-active tumors.

Because many peptide probes have already been used in PET/SPECT imaging in humans [44–46], ¹²³I-DKOP30 has the most potential probe for imaging HIF-1-active tumors in clinical settings so far. Using planar imaging, the accumulation of ¹²³I-DKOP30 in tumors was found to be higher than the background radioactivity in the cervicofacial and thoracic regions; therefore, it is possible to detect HIF-1 activity in the head and neck, breast, and lung cancers. However, the high background radioactivity in the abdominal region would hamper the imaging of HIF-1-active abdominal tumors. To overcome this issue, a probe that demonstrates greater accumulation in tumors and/or faster clearance from blood and normal abdominal organs should be developed.

5. Molecular Imaging of HIF-1-Active Tumor Microenvironments in Clinical Practice

No probes currently used in clinical practice can directly detect HIF-1 activity. As described in Section 2, pO₂ values at which HIF-1 α is stable differ between organs. Thus, in principle, probes targeting physically hypoxic regions $(pO_2 \text{ of } \le 10 \text{ mmHg})$ are not suitable for the detection of HIF-1 expression or activity because the mechanisms underlying their hypoxic accumulation are independent of HIF-1 expression. However, HIF-1 α -positive regions reportedly overlap with pimonidazole-adducted regions in tumors. Although microscopic observation has shown that unmatched regions also exist [47, 48], the spatial resolution of nuclear medical imaging is not sufficiently high to distinguish these unmatched regions in vivo. Therefore, HIF-1 activity could potentially be evaluated indirectly by using probes targeting physically hypoxic regions. In fact, a number of clinical studies have been performed recently to evaluate HIF-1 expression in areas of [¹⁸F]fluoromisonidazole (¹⁸F-FMISO) or 2-deoxy-2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-D-glucose (¹⁸F-FDG) accumulation; however, the obtained results have been discrepant. Furthermore, it should be noted that neither ¹⁸F-FMISO nor ¹⁸F-FDG can be used to evaluate directly the HIF-1 activity, even if the probe accumulation is correlated with HIF-1 activity.

5.1. ¹⁸F-FMISO and Its Derivatives. Sato et al. [49] examined the relationship between ¹⁸F-FMISO PET results and HIF- 1α expression in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). The maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) of ¹⁸F-FMISO PET was significantly higher in HIF-1*a*-positive patients than in those negative for HIF- 1α . The authors concluded that ¹⁸F-FMISO uptake into the primary site of OSCC indicates the presence of a HIF-1α-positive hypoxic environment. Kawai et al. [50] evaluated the correlations between 18 F-FMISO uptake, HIF-1 α expression, and expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a HIF-1 target gene, in newly diagnosed and recurrent malignant gliomas. In contrast to the findings reported by Sato et al. [49], HIF-1 α expression in the tumors did not correlate with ¹⁸F-FMISO uptake in either newly diagnosed or recurrent glioma patients. A significant but weak correlation between VEGF expression and ¹⁸F-FMISO uptake was observed in newly diagnosed glioma patients, but not in those with recurrent glioma. Hu et al. [51] investigated the relationship between [¹⁸F]fluoroerythronitroimidazole (¹⁸F-FETNIM) uptake and expression of HIF-1 α , VEGF, and glucose transporter 1 (GLUT-1) in nonsmall cell lung cancer. A positive correlation existed between the tumor-to-muscle ratio of ¹⁸F-FETNIM and the expression of each of these HIF- 1α targets.

5.2. ¹⁸*F-FDG*. Sato et al. [49] examined the relationship between the SUVmax of ¹⁸*F-FDG* and HIF-1 α expression in OSCC, and no significant correlation was observed. This finding is in accordance with that reported by Cheng et al. [52] who found no correlation between ¹⁸*F-FDG* uptake

and HIF-1 α expression in breast cancer patients. Conversely, Takebayashi et al. [53] investigated the relationship between the SUVmax of ¹⁸F-FDG, HIF-1 α expression, and GLUT-1 expression in gastric cancer patients. The SUVmax was correlated with HIF-1 α expression, but, interestingly, not with GLUT-1 expression. In this case, ¹⁸F-FDG accumulation could indicate tissue hypoxia rather than glucose transport activity in aggressive cancer growths.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, the current status of nuclear medical molecular imaging strategies for HIF-1-active tumor microenvironments was discussed. At the preclinical level, HIF-1 activity has been directly evaluated by using HIF-1-dependent reporter systems and injectable probes that degrade in a manner similar to HIF-1 α . However, these techniques have not yet been applied clinically. At the clinical level, whether the accumulation of ¹⁸F-FDG or ¹⁸F-FMISO can be utilized as an index of HIF-1 activity has been investigated but results obtained in these studies varied substantially. To address this issue, the development of radiolabeled probes that directly evaluate HIF-1 activity in humans is required.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- J. M. Arbeit, J. M. Brown, K. S. C. Chao et al., "Hypoxia: importance in tumor biology, noninvasive measurement by imaging, and value of its measurement in the management of cancer therapy," *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, vol. 82, no. 10, pp. 699–757, 2006.
- [2] H. Rundqvist and R. S. Johnson, "Tumour oxygenation: Implications for breast cancer prognosis," *Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 274, no. 2, pp. 105–112, 2013.
- [3] M. R. Horsman, L. S. Mortensen, J. B. Petersen, M. Busk, and J. Overgaard, "Imaging hypoxia to improve radiotherapy outcome," *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 674–687, 2012.
- [4] Y. Yoo, M. Hayashi, J. Christensen, and L. E. Huang, "An essential role of the HIF-1α-c-Myc axis in malignant progression," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1177, pp. 198– 204, 2009.
- [5] D. M. Gilkes, G. L. Semenza, and D. Wirtz, "Hypoxia and the extracellular matrix: drivers of tumour metastasis," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 430–439, 2014.
- [6] G. L. Semenza, "HIF-1 mediates metabolic responses to intratumoral hypoxia and oncogenic mutations," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 123, pp. 3664–3671, 2013.
- [7] J. Pouysségur, F. Dayan, and N. M. Mazure, "Hypoxia signalling in cancer and approaches to enforce tumour regression," *Nature*, vol. 441, no. 7092, pp. 437–443, 2006.
- [8] G. L. Wang and G. L. Semenza, "Purification and characterization of hypoxia-inducible factor," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 270, no. 3, pp. 1230–1237, 1995.

- The Scientific World Journal
- [9] D. P. Stiehl, W. Jelkmann, R. H. Wenger, and T. Hellwig-Bürgel, "Normoxic induction of the hypoxia-inducible factor 1α by insulin and interleukin-1β involves the phosphatidylinositol 3kinase pathway," *FEBS Letters*, vol. 512, no. 1–3, pp. 157–162, 2002.
- [10] M. Pedersen, T. Löfstedt, J. Sun, L. Holmquist-Mengelbier, S. Påhlman, and L. Rönnstrand, "Stem cell factor induces HIF-1α at normoxia in hematopoietic cells," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 377, no. 1, pp. 98–103, 2008.
- [11] S. Kizaka-Kondoh, S. Tanaka, H. Harada, and M. Hiraoka, "The HIF-1-active microenvironment: an environmental target for cancer therapy," *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, vol. 61, no. 7-8, pp. 623–632, 2009.
- [12] M. Ivan, K. Kondo, H. Yang et al., "HIF α targeted for VHLmediated destruction by proline hydroxylation: implications for O₂ sensing," *Science*, vol. 292, no. 5516, pp. 464–468, 2001.
- [13] P. Jaakkola, D. R. Mole, Y. M. Tian et al., "Targeting of HIF- α to the von Hippel-Lindau ubiquitylation complex by O₂-regulated prolyl hydroxylation," *Science*, vol. 292, no. 5516, pp. 468–472, 2001.
- [14] N. Masson, C. Willam, P. H. Maxwell, C. W. Pugh, and P. J. Ratcliffe, "Independent function of two destruction domains in hypoxia-inducible factor-α chains activated by prolyl hydroxylation," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 20, no. 18, pp. 5197–5206, 2001.
- [15] F. Okumura, M. Matsuzaki, K. Nakatsukasa, and T. Kamura, "The role of Elongin BC-containing ubiquitin ligases," *Frontiers in Oncology*, vol. 2, article 10, 2012.
- [16] L. E. Huang, J. Gu, M. Schau, and H. F. Bunn, "Regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α is mediated by an O₂- dependent degradation domain via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 95, no. 14, pp. 7987–7992, 1998.
- [17] P. H. Maxwell, M. S. Wlesener, G. W. Chang et al., "The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis," *Nature*, vol. 399, no. 6733, pp. 271–275, 1999.
- [18] S. M. Wood, J. M. Gleadle, C. W. Pugh, O. Hankinson, and P. J. Ratcliffe, "The role of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator (ARNT) in hypoxic induction of gene expression: studies in ARNT-deficient cells," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 25, pp. 15117–15123, 1996.
- [19] B. Jiang, E. Rue, G. L. Wang, R. Roe, and G. L. Semenza, "Dimerization, DNA binding, and transactivation properties of hypoxia- inducible factor 1," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 30, pp. 17771–17778, 1996.
- [20] B. H. Jiang, G. L. Semenza, C. Bauer, and H. H. Marti, "Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 levels vary exponentially over a physiologically relevant range of O₂ tension," *The American Journal of Physiology*—*Cell Physiology*, vol. 271, no. 4, pp. C1172– C1180, 1996.
- [21] D. M. Stroka, T. Burkhardt, I. Desbaillets et al., "HIF-1 is expressed in normoxic tissue and displays an organ-specific regulation under systemic hypoxia," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 15, no. 13, pp. 2445–2453, 2001.
- [22] K. L. Talks, H. Turley, K. C. Gatter et al., "The expression and distribution of the hypoxia-inducible factors HIF-1α and HIF-2α in normal human tissues, cancers, and tumor-associated macrophages," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 157, no. 2, pp. 411–421, 2000.

- [23] I. Serganova, M. Doubrovin, J. Vider et al., "Molecular imaging of temporal dynamics and spatial heterogeneity of hypoxiainducible factor-1 signal transduction activity in tumors in living mice," *Cancer Research*, vol. 64, no. 17, pp. 6101–6108, 2004.
- [24] B. Wen, P. Burgman, P. Zanzonico et al., "A preclinical model for noninvasive imaging of hypoxia-induced gene expression; comparison with an exogenous marker of tumor hypoxia," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 31, no. 11, pp. 1530–1538, 2004.
- [25] S. Soghomonyan, A. Hajitou, R. Rangel et al., "Molecular PET imaging of HSV1-tk reporter gene expression using [¹⁸F]FEAU," *Nature Protocols*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 416–423, 2007.
- [26] S. S. Yaghoubi and S. S. Gambhir, "PET imaging of herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-tk) or mutant HSV1-sr39tk reporter gene expression in mice and humans using [¹⁸F]FHBG," *Nature Protocols*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 3069–3075, 2007.
- [27] I. Serganova and R. Blasberg, "Reporter gene imaging: potential impact on therapy," *Nuclear Medicine and Biology*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 763–780, 2005.
- [28] J. Y. Chan, J. Chung, H. K. Joo et al., "Visualization of hypoxiainducible factor-1 transcriptional activation in C6 glioma using luciferase and sodium iodide symporter genes," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 1489–1497, 2008.
- [29] S. Lehmann, E. G. Garayoa, A. Blanc, R. Keist, R. Schibli, and M. Rudin, "Recording intracellular molecular events from the outside: glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored avidin as a reporter protein for in vivo imaging," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 445–452, 2011.
- [30] C. Hsieh, J. Kuo, Y. Lee, C. Chang, J. G. Gelovani, and R. Liu, "Construction of mutant TKGFP for real-time imaging of temporal dynamics of HIF-1 signal transduction activity mediated by hypoxia and reoxygenation in tumors in living mice," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 2049–2057, 2009.
- [31] P. Brader, C. C. Riedl, Y. Woo et al., "Imaging of hypoxia-driven gene expression in an orthotopic liver tumor model," *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 2900–2908, 2007.
- [32] F. He, X. Deng, B. Wen et al., "Noninvasive molecular imaging of hypoxia in human xenografts: comparing hypoxia-induced gene expression with endogenous and exogenous hypoxia markers," *Cancer Research*, vol. 68, no. 20, pp. 8597–8606, 2008.
- [33] S. Lehmann, D. P. Stiehl, M. Honer et al., "Longitudinal and multimodal in vivo imaging of tumor hypoxia and its downstream molecular events," *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 106, no. 33, pp. 14004–14009, 2009.
- [34] A. Y. Yu, M. G. Frid, L. A. Shimoda, C. M. Wiener, K. Stenmark, and G. L. Semenza, "Temporal, spatial, and oxygen-regulated expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 in the lung," *The American Journal of Physiology—Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology*, vol. 275, no. 4, pp. L818–L826, 1998.
- [35] T. Kudo, M. Ueda, Y. Kuge et al., "Imaging of HIF-1-active tumor hypoxia using a protein effectively delivered to and specifically stabilized in HIF-1-active tumor cells," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 942–949, 2009.
- [36] M. Ueda, T. Kudo, Y. Kuge et al., "Rapid detection of hypoxiainducible factor-1-active tumours: pretargeted imaging with a protein degrading in a mechanism similar to hypoxia-inducible factor-1α," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 1566–1574, 2010.

- [37] T. Kudo, M. Ueda, H. Konishi et al., "PET imaging of hypoxiainducible factor-1-active tumor cells with pretargeted oxygendependent degradable streptavidin and a novel ¹⁸F-labeled biotin derivative," *Molecular Imaging and Biology*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1003–1010, 2011.
- [38] M. Ueda, T. Kudo, Y. Mutou et al., "Evaluation of [¹²⁵I]IPOS as a molecular imaging probe for hypoxia-inducible factor-1-active regions in a tumor: Comparison among single-photon emission computed tomography/X-ray computed tomography imaging, autoradiography, and immunohistochemistry," *Cancer Science*, vol. 102, no. 11, pp. 2090–2096, 2011.
- [39] H. Fujii, M. Yamaguchi, K. Inoue et al., "In vivo visualization of heterogeneous intratumoral distribution of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α activity by the fusion of high-resolution SPECT and morphological imaging tests," Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology, vol. 2012, Article ID 262741, 6 pages, 2012.
- [40] M. Ueda, "Development of a method for high-contrasted nuclear medical imaging of hypoxia-inducible factor-1-active tumor by using a pretargeting approach," *Yakugaku Zasshi*, vol. 132, no. 5, pp. 595–600, 2012.
- [41] M. Ueda, K. Ogawa, A. Miyano, M. Ono, S. Kizaka-Kondoh, and H. Saji, "Development of an oxygen-sensitive degradable peptide probe for the imaging of hypoxia-inducible factor-1active regions in tumors," *Molecular Imaging and Biology*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 713–721, 2013.
- [42] H. Harada, M. Hiraoka, and S. Kizaka-Kondoh, "Antitumor effect of TAT-oxygen-dependent degradation-caspase-3 fusion protein specifically stabilized and activated in hypoxic tumor cells," *Cancer Research*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 2013–2018, 2002.
- [43] S. Kizaka-Kondoh, S. Itasaka, L. Zeng et al., "Selective killing of hypoxia-inducible factor-1-active cells improves survival in a mouse model of invasive and metastatic pancreatic cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 3433–3441, 2009.
- [44] R. Haubner, S. Maschauer, and O. Prante, "PET radiopharmaceuticals for imaging integrin expression: tracers in clinical studies and recent developments," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2014, Article ID 871609, 17 pages, 2014.
- [45] D. Zeng, B. M. Zeglis, J. S. Lewis, and C. J. Anderson, "The growing impact of bioorthogonal click chemistry on the development of radiopharmaceuticals," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 829–832, 2013.
- [46] S. Balogova, J. Talbot, V. Nataf et al., "¹⁸F-Fluorodihydroxyphenylalanine vs other radiopharmaceuticals for imaging neuroendocrine tumours according to their type," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 40, pp. 943–966, 2013.
- [47] S. Sobhanifar, C. Aquino-Parsons, E. J. Stanbridge, and P. Olive, "Reduced expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α in perinecrotic regions of solid tumors," *Cancer Research*, vol. 65, no. 16, pp. 7259–7266, 2005.
- [48] X. Li, S. Carlin, M. Urano, J. Russell, C. C. Ling, and J. A. O'Donoghue, "Visualization of hypoxia in microscopic tumors by immunofluorescent microscopy," *Cancer Research*, vol. 67, no. 16, pp. 7646–7653, 2007.
- [49] J. Sato, Y. Kitagawa, Y. Yamazaki et al., "¹⁸F-fluoromisonidazole PET uptake is correlated with hypoxia-inducible factor-1a expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 54, no. 7, pp. 1060–1065, 2013.
- [50] N. Kawai, W. Lin, W. D. Cao, D. Ogawa, K. Miyake, and R. Haba, "Correlation between F-fluoromisonidazole PET and expression of HIF-lalpha and VEGF in newly diagnosed

and recurrent malignant gliomas," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*. In press.

- [51] M. Hu, L. Xing, D. Mu et al., "Hypoxia imaging with ¹⁸Ffluoroerythronitroimidazole integrated PET/CT and immunohistochemical studies in non-small cell lung cancer," *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 591–596, 2013.
- [52] J. Cheng, L. Lei, J. Xu et al., "18F-fluoromisonidazole PET/CT: a potential tool for predicting primary endocrine therapy resistance in breast cancer," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 333–340, 2013.
- [53] R. Takebayashi, K. Izuishi, Y. Yamamoto et al., "Fluorodeoxyglucose accumulation as a biological marker of hypoxic status but not glucose transport ability in gastric cancer," *Journal* of *Experimental and Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 32, no. 1, article 34, 2013.