



Helmet Ventilation for Pediatric Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Narrative Review

Shu-Chi Mu^{1,2†}, Yu-Hsuan Chien^{1,3†}, Pin-Zhen Lai⁴ and Ke-Yun Chao^{4,5*}

¹ Department of Pediatrics, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ² School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan, ³ Department of Pediatrics, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan, ⁴ Department of Respiratory Therapy, Fu Jen Catholic University Hospital, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan, ⁵ School of Physical Therapy, Graduate Institute of Rehabilitation Sciences, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

The air dispersion of exhaled droplets from patients is currently considered a major route of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) transmission, the use of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) should be more cautiously employed during the COVID-19 pandemic. Recently, helmet ventilation has been identified as the optimal treatment for acute hypoxia respiratory failure caused by COVID-19 due to its ability to deliver NIV respiratory support with high tolerability, low air leakage, and improved seal integrity. In the present review, we provide an evidence-based overview of the use of helmet ventilation in children with respiratory failure.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Praveen Khilnani, Rainbow Children's Hospital, India

Reviewed by:

Reinout A. Bem, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Netherlands

> ***Correspondence:** Ke-Yun Chao ck_qq@hotmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pediatric Critical Care, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

Received: 20 December 2021 Accepted: 11 January 2022 Published: 02 February 2022

Citation:

Mu S-C, Chien Y-H, Lai P-Z and Chao K-Y (2022) Helmet Ventilation for Pediatric Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Narrative Review. Front. Pediatr. 10:839476. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.839476 Keywords: helmet ventilation, pediatric, non-invasive respiratory support, continuous positive airway pressure, non-invasive positive pressure ventilation, coronavirus disease 2019, respiratory failure

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1), has been the cause of worldwide morbidity and mortality (2). Adults with COVID-19 can rapidly develop severe respiratory distress or acute respiratory failure (1, 3, 4). However, the number of cases of children with COVID-19 has been relatively small; furthermore, the disease is generally less severe in children than in adults and may exhibit different symptoms (5-9). Only a few cases of children with COVID-19 have been reported in which noninvasive respiratory support or mechanical ventilation was required (6, 7, 9, 10). For cases in which conventional oxygen therapy did not relieve respiratory symptoms, treatment was escalated to noninvasive ventilation (NIV) as respiratory support, which was then escalated to invasive ventilation if necessary (1, 3, 4). NIV, which has been well-established, may prevent clinical deterioration, the need for intubation, and intensive care unit admission (11, 12). Therefore, when fitting an NIV device, health care workers are at risk of contracting SARS-CoV-2 through virus-bearing droplets or exposure to air exhaled within a distance of 0.5-1.0 m (13, 14); air dispersion of exhaled droplets from patients with COVID-19 is considered a major route of disease transmission. An appropriate NIV interface must, therefore, be selected to protect health care workers. Recently, NIV using a helmet has attracted considerable attention and has been identified as an appropriate choice for patients with COVID-19 because it reduces air leakage (15-18). As an interface for NIV, the helmet offers several advantages, including higher tolerability, reduced air leakage, and improved seal integrity (19, 20). This narrative review offers an evidence-based overview of the use of helmet ventilation in pediatric populations with respiratory failure during the COVID-19 pandemic.

1

LITERATURE SEARCH

We searched PubMed for all articles in English from January 2000 to June 2021 that referred to children or infants treated with helmet ventilation using the following keywords: "helmet ventilation," "helmet continuous pressure airway pressure," "helmet non-invasive positive pressure ventilation," "neonatal helmet," and "pediatric helmet." The full texts or abstracts of all identified publications were screened, and three randomized controlled trials and five non-randomized studies were selected for review.

Helmet Interface

Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) and continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) are usually administered via a facemask or nasal prong rather than a helmet. A large web-based European survey of 111 units from 23 different countries revealed that a helmet was more frequently available in Southern Europe (60.8%) than in Northern (11.8%) or Central Europe (23.4%) (21). CPAP was first described by Gregory et al. (22) and subsequently became the standard therapy for neonatal respiratory care. The first interface for neonatal CPAP is also known as a Gregory box, which is a forerunner of the helmet interface (22). The helmet used in NIV is a transparent, latex-free polyvinyl chloride hood, which was originally designed for hyperbaric oxygen therapy (23). Compared with the conventional NIV interface, the helmet surrounds the patient's head without coming into contact with the face and is sealed around the neck with a soft, latex-free polyurethane collar (24). The design of the helmet prevents complications that normally arise from the conventional interface, such as ulcerations of the nasal bridge and facial skin trauma (25-29). An antiasphyxia bidirectional valve is positioned on the outside of the head hood and can be manually opened to prevent suffocation if the source of the gas flow malfunctions or automatically activated when the pressure inside the helmet drops to 2-3 cmH₂O. Basic nursing care can also be performed through the opening port (30). The helmet can be secured on the patient through two methods. For children and adult patients, the head hood and neck collar are connected by a hard plastic ring and are secured to the patient with padded armpit straps (Figure 1A), which are attached to hooks on the front and back of the hard plastic ring (24). For infants and small children, the helmet is secured using a baby-body device (30, 31), in which a "diaper" is worn under the pubic region that resembles a side-snap bodysuit (Figure 1B). CPAP of the helmet can be connected to a ventilator or a high-flow generator with either a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) valve or an underwater PEEP system with a conventional breathing circuit. Sealed access ports on the neck collar can be used for nasogastric or orogastric tubes. To ensure the sealing effect is tight but comfortable, the size of the helmet is selected on the basis of the patient's body weight. The internal volume of the helmet hood for pediatric and neonatal patients is 7-8 liters. Because there is a potential risk of CO_2 rebreathing due to the large internal volume (32–34), the continue gas flow must be delivered at 30-45 L/min for pediatric and neonatal patients (30, 35-37). However, depending on the ventilation device, CPAP bias flow cannot always be adjusted. To prevent patient-ventilator asynchrony caused by the large internal volume and minimize the required respiratory effort, the inspiratory rise time should be as short as possible, and the breathing cycling-off should be set at 50% of the peak inspiratory flow rate (38, 39).

Noise Exposure

The turbulent flow inside the helmet creates a louder noise than conventional interfaces do for both adult (40, 41), and neonatal patients (42). High noise exposure has been proven to negatively affect infants by creating a potential risk for hearing impairment and irritation (43, 44). The American Academy of Pediatrics has recommended that environmental noise levels should not exceed 45 dBA for infants and warned that prolonged exposure to noise levels higher than 90 dBA may lead to hearing loss (45). For the CPAP of NIV helmets, the noise level is generally 76 and 117 dBA when the gas flow is set at 60 and 80 L/min, respectively; the noise level is affected by the gas source and flow rate. To reduce noise levels for CPAP in helmets, one study connected a heat and moisture exchange (HME) filter to the inspiratory limb closest to the helmet, which served as an exhaust gas muffler (46). However, another study reported that the use of HME did not reduce the noise level in a NIPPV helmet; rather, it decreased participants' perceptions of the noise level (41). In a neonatal population, a study indicated that the CPAP noise level inside the helmet was 42-78 dBA (47). Hernández-Molina et al. indicated that the use of HME attenuated noise levels at a flow rate of 20 L/min and increased noise levels at a flow rate of 40 L/min (48). However, data regarding perceived noise levels in infants are difficult to obtain. Although the use of HME as an exhaust gas muffler does not always affect the noise intensity measured by sound level meters, it decreases patients' perceived noise level. Furthermore, HME is inexpensive and easy to clinically implement.

Humidification

Underhumidification can cause injury through inspiration of unhumidified gas; therefore, an active heated humidifier (HH) should be employed during helmet ventilation (49). Adequate humidification protects airway mucosa and can lead to fewer complications (50). Chiumello et al. investigated the humidity inside a helmet with high-flow generator CPAP and ventilator CPAP in adult participants (51). During ventilator CPAP without HH, the humidity levels inside the helmet were similar to those of the ambient air. However, the large internal volume and closed system of the helmet allowed the dry, inspired medical gas and the heated humidified gas expired by the patient to mix. Furthermore, during high-flow generator CPAP with and without HH, the humidity levels inside the helmet were lower than those during ventilator CPAP (51). Use of HH has been inconsistent in neonatal and pediatric populations. In an observational report of humidification status in helmet CPAP, the humidity inside the helmet was 98% when HH was turned on and decreased to 40% 1 h after HH was turned off (52). However, in adult populations, Codazzi et al. used an HH adjusted according to the tolerance and body temperature of the patients and reported no high degree of humidification (30). Chidini et al. did



nasogastric tube; OG tube, Orogastric tube.

TABLE 1 | Clinical trials of pediatric helmet CPAP and NIPPV.

Reference	Type of study	Enrollment	Intervention	Results
Piastra et al. (53)	Single-center, prospective observational case series	Children (9–17 y) with hypoxemic ARF	Helmet NIPPV, $n = 4$ PS: symptom relief ^a PEEP: 5–12 cmH ₂ O	Oxygenation improved above baseline. Helmet NIPPV was well-tolerated by all children. No major complications observed.
Codazzi et al. (30)	Single-center, prospective observational case series	Children (1 m—5 y) with hypoxemic ARF	Helmet CPAP, $n = 15$ CPAP: 5 or 10 cmH ₂ O Flow rate: 30 L/min	Oxygenation improved above baseline. PaCO ₂ and respiratory rates remained comparable. Helmet was well-tolerated by all children. No major complications or mortality observed.
Mayordomo-Colunga et al. (54)	Single-center, prospective observational case series	Children (<3 m) with bronchiolitis	Helmet heliox-CPAP, $n = 8$ CPAP: 6–10 cmH ₂ O Flow rate: 30 L/min	Helmet heliox-CPAP seemed feasible. No side effects or difficult-to-manage effects reported.
Piastra et al. (55)	Single-center, prospective case series	Immunocompromised children (1–18 y) with ARDS	$ Helmet NIPPV, n = 13 \\ PS: initiated to achieve V_{Te} of 6 \\ mL/kg and then increased for \\ symptom reliefa \\ PEEP: \le 12 cmH_2O \\ FiO_2: set to maintain SaO_2 \ge 90\% $	Helmet NIPPV was well tolerated by all children. No major complications were observed.
Milési et al. (52)	Single-center, observational case series	Infants (1–12 m) with ARF	Helmet CPAP, $n = 23$ CPAP: 6 cmH ₂ O Flow rate: >25 L/min FiO ₂ : set to maintain SaO ₂ \ge 90%	Fewer than one-third of the infants developed respiratory failure or deterioration. Only two infants required intubation due to severe laryngeal stridor. The setting of oxygen concentration was taper down, whereas SpO ₂ remained stable. PaCO ₂ and respiratory rates were similar to the baseline values.
Chidini et al. (35)	Single-center, prospective crossover RCT	Infants (1 m–2 y) with ARF	Helmet/facemask CPAP, $n = 20$ CPAP: 4–10 cmH ₂ O Flow rate: >40 L/min	Helmet CPAP had a lower treatment failure rates and fewer infants requiring sedation. Oxygenation increased in both interfaces. PtcCO ₂ remained comparable. No major complications due to the interfaces reported. Facemask CPAP had higher rates of cutaneous sores and air leaks.
Chidini et al. (36)	Multicenter, prospective RCT	Infants (6 m–1 y) with RSV-induced ARF	Helmet CPAP, $n = 15$ CPAP: 4–10 cmH ₂ O Flow rate: >35 L/min Facemask CPAP, $n = 13$ CPAP: 7.5 cmH ₂ O Flow rate: >35 L/min	Helmet CPAP had lower treatment failure rates due to higher tolerance and fewer infants requiring sedation. Oxygenation increased in both interfaces. PaCO ₂ remained comparable. The intubation rate was similar in both groups. No major complications reported due to the interfaces. Facemask CPAP had higher rates of cutaneous sores and air leaks.
Vitaliti et al. (56)	Multicenter, prospective RCT	Infants (1–24 m) with respiratory distress	Helmet CPAP, $n = 20$ CPAP: 4–7 cmH ₂ O Flow rate: 30 L/min HFNC, $n = 20$ Flow rate: 1–3 L/kg/min	Oxygenation improved quickly above baseline in both helmet and HFNC groups. Helmet CPAP had observed improvement in PaCO ₂ and pH values. Respiratory rates were similar both groups. Helmet CPAP had a better clinical course than HFNC CPAP.

^a Symptom relief: respiratory rate decrease and disappearance of accessory muscle activity. CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; NIPPV, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation; ARF, acute respiratory failure; PS, pressure support; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; PaCO₂, arterial carbon dioxide tension; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; V_{Te}, exhaled tidal volume; FiO₂, fraction of inspiration oxygen; SpO₂, oxygen saturation; RCT, randomized controlled trial; PtcCO₂, transcutaneous carbon dioxide tension; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; HFNC, high-flow nasal cannula.

not use an HH during their short, 90-min trial (35); however, in their subsequent multicenter trial, an HH was intermittently used to provide airway humidity. To avoid over humidification of gases and rainout caused by the mixing chamber effect, nurses were asked to turn HHs off when rainout was observed inside the helmet (36). We suggest that the delivered gas flow be made to bypass an auto feeding water chamber and that HH be intermittently turned on as required.

Helmet Ventilation in Children

Most clinical trials have used helmet CPAP rather than helmet NIPPV in small children with respiratory disorders (**Table 1**). Milési et al. demonstrated that a helmet was a feasible and well-tolerated interface for delivering CPAP to all infants, with

condition stability and improvement observed in more than twothirds of all infants (52). To investigate the efficacy of helmet CPAP in infants with acute respiratory failure (ARF), Chidini et al. conducted one single-center trial (35) and one multicenter trial (36). In the single-center, 90-min CPAP trial, 20 infants with ARF were enrolled; children receiving helmet CPAP had a lower treatment failure rate and a better tolerance for the treatment, which resulted in longer application time than that of facemask treatment. Although CPAP delivered through the facemask had a shorter application time, area redness over the contact points occurred more frequently than when CPAP was delivered using the helmet. Oxygenation levels increased in both interfaces; however, the transcutaneous CO_2 tension levels were comparable. CPAP delivered through the helmet improved

Helmet Ventilation in Children

respiratory rates and respiratory effort scores compared with facemask delivery (35). In the multicenter trial, which enrolled a total of 30 infants with ARF, CPAP delivered through the helmet had a lower treatment failure rate. The main reason for treatment failure in infants using facemasks was intolerance to treatment; infants with intolerance were all successfully switched to helmet treatment. Therefore, the intubation rate was comparable between groups. Oxygenation levels increased in both groups; however, the PaCO₂ levels were comparable. CPAP delivered through the helmet improved respiratory rates and comfort levels compared with facemask delivery (35). Both interfaces in the milticenter study had longer application times than that of the previous single-center study (35); the total application time of CPAP through the helmet was longer than that of the facemask (28 h vs. 8 h, p = 0.004). Although the application time was longer for the helmet group, air leaks and area redness over contact points were less frequent (35). Incidents of necessary sedation in infants were fewer in the CPAP helmet group compared with the CPAP facemask group in both studies (35, 36). Vitaliti et al. conducted a prospective observational study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of helmet CPAP with high-flow nasal cannula. Oxygenation improved in both the helmet CPAP and high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) groups. PaCO₂ and pH improved only in the helmet CPAP group. Respiratory rates were not affected in either group. However, helmet CPAP had a better clinical course compared with HFNC (56).

Piastra et al. demonstrated the feasibility of using helmet NIPPV in children with hypoxemic ARF (53, 55). Helmet use improved oxygenation without major observable complications in four children and was well-tolerated in 13 immunocompromised children with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (55).

A small prospective case series study, which enrolled eight infants with bronchiolitis, revealed that a helmet was suitable for delivering heliox with CPAP (54). Helmet CPAP can also be using as a post-extubation respiratory support in severely ill patients such as pediatric liver transplant patient (57). Moreover, a helmet can be used in patients with a contraindication to facemask use, such as those with a congenital facial deformity (58), or facial trauma (59), or who are undergoing otorhinolaryngological surgery (60).

Exhaled Air Dispersion Distance

Health care workers are at high risk of contracting COVID-19 when performing daily respiratory care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, the ability to apply NIV using an interface with lower air leakage and a shorter air dispersion distance is essential (61–63). An *in vitro* experiment investigated two helmet interfaces with double tube limbs by examining the exhaled air dispersion distance, as marked by the smoke particles in an adult model. For the Sea-Long head tent (Sea-Long Medical Systems, TX, USA), the dispersion distance was 17–27 cm when the inspiratory positive airway pressure was set at 12–20 cmH₂O; the CaStar-R StarMed (Intersurgical, Wokingham, UK) exhibited negligible air leakage (64). The study used smoke as the exhaled air marker; however, droplets are heavier, which may have led to overestimation (15, 64). *In vivo* studies have revealed that facemask NIV did not increase aerosol generation and dispersal compared with HFNC or conventional oxygen therapy (65, 66). Placement of nasogastric or orogastric tubes is a common measure for preventing aerophobia caused by NIV (27, 67– 69). However, the presence of these tubes may increase air leakage and breaks in integrity when using NIV. The sealed access ports on the neck collar of the helmet can prevent air leakage (70); therefore, NIV delivered through a helmet may result in less air leakage and a shorter dispersion distance than conventional interfaces.

Limitations of Helmet Ventilation

The large internal volume and high compliance of the polyvinyl chloride hood are common features of helmets; however, they may prevent the exhaled tidal volume from being reliably measured during helmet ventilation (32, 71, 72). Furthermore, claustrophobia may occur and be unavoidable during helmet ventilation; however, it has rarely been reported in the literature.

CONCLUSION

The intention of the present article was not to suggest that helmets can replace conventional interfaces for NIV during the COVID-19 pandemic. Rather, this article supports the proposition that this interface can be more widely used as an alternative for non-invasive respiratory support, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. Use of helmet ventilation has benefits, including higher tolerability, improved comfort, less air leakage. To improve the quality of respiratory care and provide a variety of NIV interfaces for pediatric patients, health care workers should learn how to use helmet ventilation and remain open to use of this unfamiliar treatment.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K-YC, Y-HC, and P-ZL designed the collection of the literature, coordinated, and supervised data extraction from each article. K-YC, Y-HC, and S-CM conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial manuscript, reviewed, and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agreed to accountability for all aspects of the work.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a research grant from Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital (2020SKHADR024). This funding source had no role in the design of this study and did not have any role during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or decision to submit results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This manuscript was edited by Wallace Academic Editing.

REFERENCES

- Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med. (2020) 382:727–33. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2001017
- Kandi V, Thungaturthi S, Vadakedath S, Gundu R, Mohapatra RK. Mortality rates of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). *Cureus.* (2021) 13:e14081. doi: 10.7759/cureus.14081
- 3. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: Summary of a report of 72 314 cases from the Chinese center for disease control and prevention. *JAMA*. (2020) 323:1239–42. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.2648
- Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia JA, Liu H, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: A single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2020) 8:475–81. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5
- Cao Q, Chen YC, Chen CL, Chiu CH. SARS-CoV-2 infection in children: transmission dynamics and clinical characteristics. J Formos Med Assoc. (2020) 119:670–3. doi: 10.1016/j.jfma.2020.02.009
- Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, Qi X, Jiang F, Jiang Z, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19 among children in China. *Pediatrics*. (2020) 145. doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-0702
- Lu X, Zhang L, Du H, Zhang J, Li YY, Qu J. SARS-CoV-2 infection in children. N Engl J Med. (2020) 382:1663–5. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2005073
- Ludvigsson JF. Systematic review of COVID-19 in children shows milder cases and a better prognosis than adults. *Acta Paediatr.* (2020) 109:1088–95. doi: 10.1111/apa.15270
- Ong JSM, Tosoni A, Kim Y, Kissoon N, Murthy S. Coronavirus disease 2019 in critically Ill children: a narrative review of the literature. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* (2020) 21:662–6. doi: 10.1097/PCC.00000000002376
- Parri N, Lenge M, Buonsenso D. Children with COVID-19 in pediatric emergency departments in Italy. N Engl J Med. (2020) 383:187–90. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2007617
- Rola P, Farkas J, Spiegel R, Kyle-Sidell C, Weingart S, Duggan L. Rethinking the early intubation paradigm of COVID-19: time to change gears? *Clin Exp Emerg Med.* (2020) 7:78–80. doi: 10.15441/ceem.20.043
- Bellani G, Patroniti N, Greco M, Foti G, Pesenti A. The use of helmets to deliver non-invasive continuous positive airway pressure in hypoxemic acute respiratory failure. *Minerva Anestesiol.* (2008) 74:651–6.
- Hui DS, Hall SD, Chan MT, Chow BK, Tsou JY, Joynt GM. Noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation: an experimental model to assess air and particle dispersion. *Chest.* (2006) 130:730–40. doi: 10.1378/chest.130. 3.730
- Chang D, Xu H, Rebaza A, Sharma L, Dela Cruz CS. Protecting health-care workers from subclinical coronavirus infection. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2020) 8:e13. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30066-7
- Ferioli M, Cisternino C, Leo V, Pisani L, Palange P, Nava S. Protecting healthcare workers from SARS-CoV-2 infection: practical indications. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2020) 29. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0068-2020
- Cabrini L, Landoni G, Zangrillo A. Minimise nosocomial spread of 2019nCoV when treating acute respiratory failure. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:685. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30359-7
- Vitacca M, Nava S, Santus P, Harari S. Early consensus management for non-ICU acute respiratory failure SARS-CoV-2 emergency in Italy: from ward to trenches. *Eur Respir J.* (2020) 55. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00632-2020
- Radovanovic D, Rizzi M, Pini S, Saad M, Chiumello DA, Santus P. Helmet CPAP to treat acute hypoxemic respiratory failure in patients with COVID-19: a management strategy proposal. J Clin Med. (2020) 9. doi: 10.3390/jcm9041191
- Principi T, Pantanetti S, Catani F, Elisei D, Gabbanelli V, Pelaia P. Noninvasive continuous positive airway pressure delivered by helmet in hematological malignancy patients with hypoxemic acute respiratory failure. *Intensive Care Med.* (2004) 30:147–50. doi: 10.1007/s00134-003-2056-9
- Squadrone V, Coha M, Cerutti E, Schellino MM, Biolino P, Occella P. Continuous positive airway pressure for treatment of postoperative hypoxemia a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. (2005) 293:589–95. doi: 10.1001/jama.293.5.589

- Mayordomo-Colunga J, Pons-Odena M, Medina A, Rey C, Milesi C, Kallio M. Non-invasive ventilation practices in children across Europe. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* (2018) 53:1107–14. doi: 10.1002/ppul.23988
- Gregory GA, Kitterman JA, Phibbs RH, Tooley WH, Hamilton WK. Treatment of the idiopathic respiratory-distress syndrome with continuous positive airway pressure. N Engl J Med. (1971) 284:1333–40. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197106172842401
- Leach RM, Rees PJ, Wilmshurst P. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy. *BMJ*. (1998) 317:1140–3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.317.7166.1140
- Taccone P, Hess D, Caironi P, Bigatello LM. Continuous positive airway pressure delivered with a "helmet": effects on carbon dioxide rebreathing. *Crit Care Med.* (2004) 32:2090–6. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000142577.63316.C0
- Jatana KR, Oplatek A, Stein M, Phillips G, Kang DR, Elmaraghy CA. Effects of nasal continuous positive airway pressure and cannula use in the neonatal intensive care unit setting. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2010) 136:287– 91. doi: 10.1001/archoto.2010.15
- Fauroux B, Lavis JF, Nicot F, Picard A, Boelle PY, Clément A, et al. Facial side effects during noninvasive positive pressure ventilation in children. *Intensive Care Med.* (2005) 31:965–9. doi: 10.1007/s00134-005-2669-2
- Carron M, Freo U, BaHammam AS, Dellweg D, Guarracino F, Cosentini R, et al. Complications of non-invasive ventilation techniques: a comprehensive qualitative review of randomized trials. *Br J Anaesth.* (2013) 110:896–914. doi: 10.1093/bja/aet070
- Maruccia M, Ruggieri M, Onesti MG. Facial skin breakdown in patients with non-invasive ventilation devices: report of two cases and indications for treatment and prevention. *Int Wound J.* (2015) 12:451–5. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12135
- 29. Navalesi P, Fanfulla F, Frigerio P, Gregoretti C, Nava S. Physiologic evaluation of noninvasive mechanical ventilation delivered with three types of masks in patients with chronic hypercapnic respiratory failure. *Crit Care Med.* (2000) 28:1785–90. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200006000-00015
- Codazzi D, Nacoti M, Passoni M, Bonanomi E, Sperti LR, Fumagalli R. Continuous positive airway pressure with modified helmet for treatment of hypoxemic acute respiratory failure in infants and a preschool population: a feasibility study. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* (2006) 7:455–60. doi: 10.1097/01.PCC.0000235246.68050.3A
- Calderini E, Chidini G, Pelosi P. What are the current indications for noninvasive ventilation in children? *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* (2010) 23:368– 74. doi: 10.1097/ACO.0b013e328339507b
- 32. Racca F, Appendini L, Gregoretti C, Varese I, Berta G, Vittone F. Helmet ventilation and carbon dioxide rebreathing: Effects of adding a leak at the helmet ports. *Intensive Care Med.* (2008) 34:1461–8. doi: 10.1007/s00134-008-1120-x
- Moerer O, Herrmann P, Hinz J, Severgnini P, Calderini E, Quintel M. High flow biphasic positive airway pressure by helmet – effects on pressurization, tidal volume, carbon dioxide accumulation and noise exposure. *Crit Care*. (2009) 13:R85. doi: 10.1186/cc7907
- Patroniti N, Foti G, Manfio A, Coppo A, Bellani G, Pesenti A. Head helmet versus face mask for non-invasive continuous positive airway pressure: a physiological study. *Intensive Care Med.* (2003) 29:1680–7. doi: 10.1007/s00134-003-1931-8
- Chidini G, Calderini E, Cesana BM, Gandini C, Prandi E, Pelosi P. Noninvasive continuous positive airway pressure in acute respiratory failure: Helmet versus facial mask. *Pediatrics*. (2010) 126:e330–6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2009-3357
- Chidini G, Piastra M, Marchesi T, De Luca D, Napolitano L, Salvo I. Continuous positive airway pressure with helmet versus mask in infants with bronchiolitis: an RCT. *Pediatrics*. (2015) 135:e868–75. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-1142
- Patroniti N, Saini M, Zanella A, Isgro S, Pesenti A. Danger of helmet continuous positive airway pressure during failure of fresh gas source supply. *Intensive Care Med.* (2007) 33:153–7. doi: 10.1007/s00134-006-0446-5
- Vargas F, Thille A, Lyazidi A, Campo FR, Brochard L. Helmet with specific settings versus facemask for noninvasive ventilation. *Crit Care Med.* (2009) 37:1921–8. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31819fff93
- 39. Conti G, Gregoretti C, Spinazzola G, Festa O, Ferrone G, Cipriani F. Influence of different interfaces on synchrony during pressure support ventilation

in a pediatric setting: a bench study. Respir Care. (2015) 60:498-507. doi: 10.4187/respcare.03309

- Cavaliere F, Conti G, Costa R, Spinazzola G, Proietti R, Sciuto A. Exposure to noise during continuous positive airway pressure: influence of interfaces and delivery systems. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* (2008) 52:52–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-6576.2007.01474.x
- Cavaliere F, Conti G, Costa R, Proietti R, Sciuto A, Masieri S. Noise exposure during noninvasive ventilation with a helmet, a nasal mask, and a facial mask. *Intensive Care Med.* (2004) 30:1755–60. doi: 10.1007/s00134-004-2347-9
- Trevisanuto D, Camiletti L, Doglioni N, Cavallin F, Udilano A, Zanardo V. Noise exposure is increased with neonatal helmet CPAP in comparison with conventional nasal CPAP. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* (2011) 55:35–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-6576.2010.02356.x
- Trapanotto M, Benini F, Farina M, Gobber D, Magnavita V, Zacchello F. Behavioural and physiological reactivity to noise in the newborn. J Paediatr Child Health. (2004) 40:275–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1754.2004.00363.x
- Wachman EM, Lahav A. The effects of noise on preterm infants in the NICU. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. (2011) 96:F305. doi: 10.1136/adc.2009.182014
- Committee on Environmental Health. Noise: a hazard for the fetus and newborn. *Pediatrics*. (1997) 100:724–7. doi: 10.1542/peds.100.4.724
- 46. Lucchini A, Bambi S, Gurini S, Di Francesco E, Pace L, Rona R. Noise level and comfort in healthy subjects undergoing high-flow helmet continuous positive airway pressure. *Dimens Crit Care Nurs.* (2020) 39:194–202. doi: 10.1097/DCC.00000000000430
- Medina A, Alvarez Fernández P, Rey Galán C, Álvarez Mendiola P, Álvarez Blanco S, Vivanco Allende A. Comfort and noise level in infants with helmet interface. *An Pediatr (Barc)*. (2015) 83:272–6. doi: 10.1016/j.anpede.2015.09.006
- Hernández-Molina R, Fernández-Zacarías F, Benavente-Fernández I, Jiménez-Gómez G, Lubián-López S. Effect of filters on the noise generated by continuous positive airway pressure delivered via a helmet. *Noise Health*. (2017) 19:20–3. doi: 10.4103/1463-1741.199237
- Greenspan JS, Wolfson MR, Shaffer TH. Airway responsiveness to low inspired gas temperature in preterm neonates. J Pediatr. (1991) 118:443–5. doi: 10.1016/S0022-3476(05)82165-1
- Woodhead DD, Lambert DK, Clark JM, Christensen RD. Comparing two methods of delivering high-flow gas therapy by nasal cannula following endotracheal extubation: a prospective, randomized, masked, crossover trial. *J Perinatol.* (2006) 26:481–5. doi: 10.1038/sj.jp.7211543
- Chiumello D, Chierichetti M, Tallarini F, Cozzi P, Cressoni M, Polli F. Effect of a heated humidifier during continuous positive airway pressure delivered by a helmet. *Crit Care Clin.* (2008) 12:R55. doi: 10.1186/cc6875
- Milesi C, Ferragu F, Jaber S, Rideau A, Combes C, Matecki S. Continuous positive airway pressure ventilation with helmet in infants under 1 year. *Intensive Care Med.* (2010) 36:1592–6. doi: 10.1007/s00134-010-1 940-3
- 53. Piastra M, Antonelli M, Chiaretti A, Polidori G, Polidori L, Conti G. Treatment of acute respiratory failure by helmet-delivered non-invasive pressure support ventilation in children with acute leukemia: A pilot study. *Intensive Care Med.* (2004) 30:472–6. doi: 10.1007/s00134-003-2103-6
- Mayordomo-Colunga J, Medina A, Rey C, Concha A, Los Arcos M, Menendez S. Helmet-delivered continuous positive airway pressure with heliox in respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. *Acta Paediatr.* (2010) 99:308–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2009.01529.x
- Piastra M, De Luca D, Pietrini D, Pulitanò S, D'Arrigo S, Mancino A, et al. Noninvasive pressure-support ventilation in immunocompromised children with ARDS: a feasibility study. *Intensive Care Med.* (2009) 35:1420–7. doi: 10.1007/s00134-009-1558-5
- Vitaliti G, Vitaliti MC, Finocchiaro MC, Di Stefano VA, Pavone P, Matin N. Randomized comparison of helmet CPAP versus high-flow nasal cannula oxygen in pediatric respiratory distress. *Respir Care.* (2017) 62:1036–42. doi: 10.4187/respcare.05384
- Chiusolo F, Fanelli V, Ciofi Degli Atti ML, Conti G, Tortora F, Pariante R, et al. CPAP by helmet for treatment of acute respiratory failure after pediatric liver transplantation. *Pediatr Transplant*. (2018) 22. doi: 10.1111/petr. 13088

- Frassoni E, Shankar-Aguilera S, Yousef N, De Luca D. Helmet-delivered respiratory support in neonate with severe facial malformation. J Paediatr Child Health. (2017) 53:825. doi: 10.1111/jpc.13635
- Liu Q, Shan M, Zhu H, Cao J, Chen R. Noninvasive ventilation with a helmet in patients with acute respiratory failure caused by chest trauma: A randomized controlled trial. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:21489. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-78607-5
- Pelosi P, Severgnini P, Aspesi M, Gamberoni C, Chiumello D, Fachinetti C, et al. Non-invasive ventilation delivered by conventional interfaces and helmet in the emergency department. *Eur J Emerg Med.* (2003) 10. doi: 10.1097/00063110-200306000-00002
- 61. Lake MA. What we know so far: COVID-19 current clinical knowledge and research. *Clin Med (Lond)*. (2020) 20:124. doi: 10.7861/clinmed.2019-coron
- 62. Wang J, Du G. COVID-19 may transmit through aerosol. *Ir J Med Sci.* (2020) 189:1143–4. doi: 10.1007/s11845-020-02218-2
- World Health Organization. Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC precaution recommendations: Scientific brief. In: *World Health Organization*, Geneva
- Hui DS, Chow BK, Lo T, Ng SS, Ko FW, Gin T. Exhaled air dispersion during noninvasive ventilation via helmets and a total facemask. *Chest.* (2015) 147:1336–43. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-1934
- Gaeckle NT, Lee J, Park Y, Kreykes G, Evans MD, Hogan CJ. Aerosol generation from the respiratory tract with various modes of oxygen delivery. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2020) 202:1115–24. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202006-2309OC
- 66. Strand-Amundsen R, Tronstad C, Elvebakk O, Martinsen T, Dybwad M, Lingaas E, et al. Quantification of aerosol dispersal from suspected aerosol-generating procedures. *ERJ Open Res.* (2021) 7. doi: 10.1183/23120541.00206-2021
- Ergan B, Nasiłowski J, Winck JC. How should we monitor patients with acute respiratory failure treated with noninvasive ventilation? *Eur Respir Rev.* (2018) 27. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0101-2017
- Lin HL, Lee YC, Wang SH, Chiang LY, Liu JF. In vitro evaluation of facial pressure and air leak with a newly designed cushion for non-invasive ventilation masks. *Healthcare (Basel)*. (2020) 8. doi: 10.3390/healthcare8040523
- Garpestad E, Brennan J, Hill NS. Noninvasive ventilation for critical care. Chest. (2007) 132:711–20. doi: 10.1378/chest.06-2643
- Antonelli M, Conti G, Pelosi P, Gregoretti C, Pennisi MA, Costa R, et al. New treatment of acute hypoxemic respiratory failure: noninvasive pressure support ventilation delivered by helmet—a pilot controlled trial. *Crit Care Med.* (2002) 30. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200203000-00019
- Cortegiani A, Accurso G, Ball L, Ippolito M, Ingoglia G, Vitale F. A new configuration for helmet continuous positive airway pressure allowing tidal volume monitoring. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2020) 202:612–4. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202003-0550LE
- Cortegiani A, Navalesi P, Accurso G, Sabella I, Misseri G, Ippolito M. Tidal volume estimation during helmet noninvasive ventilation: an experimental feasibility study. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:17324. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-54020-5

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Mu, Chien, Lai and Chao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.