## Supplementary Material File 2

## Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

## Developed from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

Tonia	Itom	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page #				
Topic	Item No.	Guide questions/description	Reported on Fage #				
Domain 1: Research		nd reflexivity	<u> </u>				
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity  Personal Characteristics							
Interviewer/facilit	1	Which author/s conducted the	Author information				
ator	•	interview or focus group?	rathor mornation				
Credentials	2	What were the researcher's	Author information				
dicaciidas	-	credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	rathor mornation				
Occupation	3	What was their occupation at	Author information				
l companies		the time of the study?					
Gender	4	Was the researcher male or	Author information				
		female?					
Experience and	5	What experience or training did	N/A				
training		the researcher have?					
Relationship with pa	ırticipai	nts					
Relationship	6	Was a relationship established	4				
established		prior to study commencement?					
Participant	7	What did the participants know	4				
knowledge of the		about the researcher? e.g.					
interviewer		personal goals, reasons for					
		doing the research					
Interviewer	8	What characteristics were	2/3				
characteristics		reported about the inter					
		viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias,					
		assumptions, reasons and					
	<u></u>	interests in the research topic					
	Domain 2: study design						
Theoretical framewo							
Methodological	9	What methodological	5				
orientation and		orientation was stated to					
Theory		underpin the study? e.g.					
		grounded theory, discourse					
		analysis, ethnography,					
		phenomenology, content					
Description of 1 11	<u> </u>	analysis					
Participant selection							
Sampling	10	How were participants	4				
		selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive,					
		snowball					
Method of	11	How were participants	4				
approach	11	approached? e.g. face-to-face,	<b>T</b>				
approacii	<u> </u>	approactieu: e.g. lace-to-lace,					

		telephone, mail, email				
Sample size	12	How many participants were in	5			
Jampic 312E	12	the study?	5			
Non-participation	13	How many people refused to	N/A			
Hon participation		participate or dropped out?	11/11			
		Reasons?				
Setting	1	Reasons.				
Setting of data	14	Where was the data collected?	5			
collection		e.g. home, clinic, workplace	S			
Presence of non-	15	Was anyone else present	N/A			
participants		besides the participants and	11,12			
F		researchers?				
Description of	16	What are the important	5/6			
sample		characteristics of the sample?				
1		e.g. demographic data, date				
Data collection	•					
Interview guide	17	Were questions, prompts,	4			
_		guides provided by the authors?				
		Was it pilot tested?				
Repeat interviews	18	Were repeat interviews carried	N/A			
		out? If yes, how many?				
Audio/visual	19	Did the research use audio or	4			
recording		visual recording to collect the				
		data?				
Field notes	20	Were field notes made during	4/5			
		and/or after the interview or				
		focus group?				
Duration	21	What was the duration of the	5			
	<u> </u>	interviews or focus group?				
Data saturation	22	Was data saturation discussed?	4			
Transcripts	23	Were transcripts returned to	N/A			
returned		participants for comment				
		and/or correction?				
Domain 3: analysis and findings						
Data analysis	Τα.	T	l e			
Number of data	24	How many data coders coded	5			
coders	105	the data?	7.40.44			
Description of the	25	Did authors provide a	7, 10, 14			
coding tree	26	description of the coding tree?	r			
Derivation of	26	Were themes identified in	5			
themes		advance or derived from the				
Coftrage	27	data?	5			
Software	27	What software, if applicable,	3			
Dantiainant	20	was used to manage the data?	N/A			
Participant	28	Did participants provide	N/A			
checking	1	feedback on the findings?				
Reporting Outtations	29	Ware participant quatetions	0/0 11/12 15 17			
Quotations presented	49	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the	8/9, 11/12, 15-17			
presenteu		themes/findings? Was each				
		quotation identified? e.g.				
		participant number				
Data and findings	30	Was there consistency between	5-17			
consistent		the data presented and the	5 17			
COMBISTORIC	.1	are data presented and the				

		findings?	
Clarity of major	31	Were major themes clearly	5-17
themes		presented in the findings?	
Clarity of minor	32	Is there a description of diverse	5-17
themes		cases or discussion of minor	
		themes?	