JACC: CARDIOONCOLOGY © 2024 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

MINI-FOCUS ISSUE: ANTHRACYCLINES

STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW

Circulating MicroRNA as Biomarkers of Anthracycline-Induced Cardiotoxicity



JACC: CardioOncology State-of-the-Art Review

Hanne M. Boen, MD, ^{a,b} Martina Cherubin, MSc,^c Constantijn Franssen, MD, PHD,^{a,b} Andreas B. Gevaert, MD, PHD,^{a,b} Isabel Witvrouwen, MD, PHD,^{a,b} Matthias Bosman, PHD,^d Pieter-Jan Guns, PHD,^d Hein Heidbuchel, MD, PHD,^{a,b} Bart Loeys, MD, PHD,^c Maaike Alaerts, PHD,^c Emeline M. Van Craenenbroeck, MD, PHD^{a,b}

ABSTRACT

Close monitoring for cardiotoxicity during anthracycline chemotherapy is crucial for early diagnosis and therapy guidance. Currently, monitoring relies on cardiac imaging and serial measurement of cardiac biomarkers like cardiac troponin and natriuretic peptides. However, these conventional biomarkers are nonspecific indicators of cardiac damage. Exploring new, more specific biomarkers with a clear link to the underlying pathomechanism of cardiotoxicity holds promise for increased specificity and sensitivity in detecting early anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. miRNAs (microRNAs), small singlestranded, noncoding RNA sequences involved in epigenetic regulation, influence various physiological and pathological processes by targeting expression and translation. Emerging as new biomarker candidates, circulating miRNAs exhibit resistance to degradation and offer a direct pathomechanistic link. This review comprehensively outlines their potential as early biomarkers for cardiotoxicity and their pathomechanistic link. (J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc 2024;6:183-199) © 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

nthracyclines are one of the most frequently used and effective chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of breast cancer, leukemia, and lymphoma.¹ Within cancer tissues, anthracyclines bind with topoisomerase 2 alfa (Top 2α) and DNA to form a ternary complex, inducing doublestranded DNA breaks, the inhibition of DNA replication, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis.¹

The main concern associated with anthracycline chemotherapy is the potential for cardiotoxicity, leading to the clinical entity known as cancer therapy-related cardiac dysfunction (CTRCD).² In a recent registry of adult cancer patients undergoing anthracycline treatment, cardiotoxicity was identified in 37.5% of patients during follow-up. Moreover, up to 9% experienced a clinically significant decrease in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) within the first year after completing anthracycline chemotherapy.^{3,4} The administration of preventive measures such as renin angiotensin aldosterone system (RAAS) blockers, beta-blockers, or mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists remains debatable, given the inconclusive results from randomized controlled trials failing to demonstrate a protective effect.² Nevertheless, in cases where CTRCD has developed, timely interventions, such as guideline-directed

Manuscript received July 12, 2023; revised manuscript received December 11, 2023, accepted December 19, 2023.

From the ^aResearch Group Cardiovascular Diseases, GENCOR, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium; ^bDepartment of Cardiology, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium; ^cCentrum of Medical Genetics, GENCOR, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium; and the ^dLaboratory of Physiopharmacology, GENCOR, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

Bcl-2 = B cell lymphoma 2

cmiRNA = circulating microRNA

cTn = cardiac troponin

CTRCD = cancer therapyrelated cardiac dysfunction

DOX = doxorubicin

ErbB = epidermal growth factor receptor

GATA-4 = GATA binding protein 4

LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction

miRNA = microRNA

NO = nitric oxide

NP = natriuretic peptides PTEN = phosphate and tensin homolog

ROS = reactive oxygen species

RT-qPCR = real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction

SMAD = suppressor of mothers against decapentaplegic

TGF = transforming growth factor

Top2 β = topoisomerase 2 beta

medical therapy for heart failure, could halt and even fully reverse the cardiac dysfunction. This underscores the therapeutic potential and highlights the significance of avoiding premature interruption of anthracycline chemotherapy, directly affecting cancer prognosis.

Recognizing the vital importance of early detection in CTRCD, the 2022 guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology in the field of cardio-oncology recommend close monitoring during anthracycline chemotherapy to facilitate early diagnosis and guide therapy recommendations.² In addition to using cardiac imaging, monitoring practices include the serial measurement of cardiac biomarkers, such as cardiac troponin (cTn) and natriuretic peptides (NP).² Nevertheless, it is crucial to note that these guidelines acknowledge the potential limitations associated with the use of these proposed biomarkers. Reference values for cTn and NP have not been established for patients with cancer or those undergoing cancer treatment. Additionally, both cTn and NP, being nonspecific markers of cardiac damage, are susceptible to influences by patient characteristics (eg, sex, race, body mass index) and comorbidities (eg, renal disease,

infections).² Therefore, improving the specificity and sensitivity in detecting early anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity necessitates the exploration of more specific biomarkers, preferably those with a well-established association with the underlying pathomechanism.

MECHANISMS OF ANTHRACYCLINE-INDUCED CARDIOTOXICITY

The pathomechanisms of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity are multifactorial (Figure 1).

First, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) occur during anthracycline therapy, increasing oxidative stress. Anthracyclines combine with NAD(P)H to produce superoxide in the absence of any enzymatic activity.⁵ Additionally, they form irreversible complexes with cardiolipins of the mitochondrial membrane, disrupting their function in the electron transport chain and resulting in the formation of ROS.^{6,7} Because the heart is one of the most metabolically active organs, possessing the highest mitochondrial content among all tissues, this disruption in mitochondrial function significantly affects cardiac function.⁸ Moreover,

HIGHLIGHTS

- Circulating microRNAs (cmiRNAs), epigenetic regulators, are stable and provide a link to the mechanisms of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity.
- Several cmiRNAs, mainly miR-34a, have a cardiac origin and a role in cardiotoxicity.
- Currently, the use of cmiRNAs in clinical practice is limited by lack of standardization.
- Future research should focus on uniform protocols for cmiRNA detection and quantification, and larger studies for biomarker validation.

anthracyclines form complexes with iron, altering iron metabolism by stabilizing transferrin and producing ROS through interaction with free oxygen.⁹⁻¹¹ This explains the reason iron overload in patients can increase the risk of cardiotoxicity.¹¹ Furthermore, the binding of anthracyclines to endothelial nitric oxide (NO) synthase (eNOS) reductase disrupts the NO-ROS balance through endotheliumcardiomyocyte crosstalk.¹¹

Second, anthracyclines inhibit topoisomerase 2 beta (Top 2β), leading to DNA intercalation, doublestrand breaks, and subsequent inhibition of replication and transcription. Consequently, cell death pathways are activated in cardiomyocytes.¹ Third, anthracyclines increase intracellular calcium levels, resulting in apoptosis and the activation of calciumdependent proteases known as calpains and caspases.¹² The doxorubicin metabolite, doxorubinicol, inhibits the sodium-calcium exchanger channel (NCX), disrupting the normal transport of calcium out of the cell.¹¹ Furthermore, anthracyclines induce alterations in genes that regulate calcium exchange and storage, leading to impaired cardiac systolic and diastolic function.¹¹ The activation of calpains also induced titin degradation, compromising the structure and contraction of cardiomyocytes.¹² Fourth, anthracyclines disturb apoptosis and autophagy by activating both intrinsic and extrinsic apoptosis pathways.¹¹ ROS-activated heat shock factor (HSF)-1, leading to the stabilization of p53 and generation of proapoptotic proteins.¹³ The p53-mediated suppression of GATA binding protein 4 (GATA-4), a transcription factor, hindered mitochondrial biogenesis, impeding the synthesis and function of B cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2), an anti-apoptotic protein.^{14,15} Autophagy was induced by inhibiting the protein kinase B



MPO = myeloperoxidase; NT-proBNP = N terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; ST2 = soluble interleukin 1 receptor-like 1.

phosphorylation (PKB or Akt)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway and down-regulating beclin $1.^{16}$

Fifth, anthracyclines affect cardiac energy metabolism. Oxidative stress leads to a shift in cardiac metabolism from aerobic to anaerobic by reducing the oxidation of long-chain fatty acids and increasing anaerobic glycolysis.^{11,17} Additionally, anthracyclines inhibited the adenosine monophosphate-activated (AMP-activated) protein kinase (AMPK) signaling pathway,¹¹ thereby reducing the activity of acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) carboxylase, an important enzyme for the biosynthesis of fatty acids.¹⁸ Sixth, anthracyclines impair the transcription and translation of matrix metalloprotease (MMP-2 and -9), leading to a decrease in collagen degradation. Consequently, this process activates transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta and suppressor of mothers against decapentaplegic homolog 3 (SMAD) signaling, resulting in increased fibrosis.^{11,19,20} Aside from the effects on cardiomyocytes, toxic effects on vascular smooth muscle cells and cardiac endothelial cells have been described.²¹ One example involves increased endothelin-1 production in endothelial cells, inducing vasoconstriction and increased afterload.^{22,23}



CIRCULATING PROTEINS AS BIOMARKERS FOR ANTHRACYCLINE CARDIOTOXICITY

In addition to NP and cardiac Tn, both of which have demonstrated their potential as biomarkers in several studies, research has explored other proteins as potential biomarkers for anthracycline cardiotoxicity.²⁴⁻²⁶ As shown in Figure 1, C-reactive protein (CRP) and myeloperoxidase (MPO), both markers of inflammation and oxidative stress, exhibited increased levels after anthracycline therapy, with higher levels observed in patients experiencing a more significant decrease in LVEF.^{24,25,27,28} Growth differentiation factor (GDF)-15, known for its anti-apoptotic and antihypertrophic effects through the TGF- β pathway, was up-regulated by cell injury, inflammation, oxidative stress, and hypoxia.^{24,29} The administration of anthracyclines resulted in increased GDF-15 levels, again, directly correlating with the degree of LVEF reduction.²⁷

Glycogen phosphorylase BB (GPBB), an essential enzyme in the glycogenolysis and energy metabolism of cardiomyocytes,²⁴ showed elevated levels after anthracycline therapy, correlating with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction.³⁰ Placental growth factor (PIGF), a member of the vascular endothelial growth factor family, showed elevated levels after anthracycline chemotherapy and served as a predictive marker for CTRCD development in those receiving anthracyclines followed by trastuzumab.^{24,27} Both asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) and *N*-monomethyl arginine (NMMA), metabolites of the arginine-NO pathway,²⁴ increased after anthracycline chemotherapy and were associated with an increased risk of CTRCD in patients with breast cancer.³¹

CIRCULATING microRNA AS BIOMARKERS FOR ANTHRACYCLINE-INDUCED CARDIOTOXICITY

Because cardiotoxic effects may manifest before protein synthesis, the emergence of epigenetic regulators has recently gained attention as potential biomarkers. microRNAs (miRNAs) are short, singlestranded RNA sequences consisting of 18 to 24 nucleotides. As noncoding RNA molecules, they play a crucial role in the regulation of gene expression. Through their influence on the expression and translation of 1 or more target messenger RNAs (mRNAs), miRNAs are implicated in various physiological and pathological processes. While abundant in the cytoplasm, miRNAs can also be released into the blood through 3 main ways. First, they can be shed in miRNA-enriched extracellular microparticles, such as microvesicles and exosomes. The second, and most common, mechanism involves the binding of miRNAs to RNA-binding protein complexes, such as Ago2, a key intracellular protein in miRNA-mediated RNA silencing.³² Third, miRNAs can also bind to highdensity lipid proteins.³² Despite the presence of RNases, miRNAs remain stable in peripheral blood.

The distribution of miRNAs in circulating exosomes differed from intracellular miRNA production, indicating that certain miRNAs were actively secreted, whereas others were favored for intracellular processes.³³ Various sorting mechanisms come into play, allowing cells to guide which specific intracellular miRNAs are secreted in exosomes. Sorting based on the miRNA sequence relies on characteristics or distinct motives on the 3' end, facilitating recognition by ribonucleoproteins and enabling selective secretion. Likewise, sequence motifs induce cellular retention. The preferred motifs for this sorting process varied from cell type to cell type, resulting in a difference in retained and secreted miRNAs between cell types.³³ Sequence-independent pathways were also present, with various proteins, including Ago2, having been suggested to play a role.³⁴ This sorting was influenced by several (pathological) conditions; for example, patients with atherosclerosis showed increased secretion of miR-92a-3p in endothelial microvesicles compared with those without atherosclerosis.³⁵ Therefore, circulating miRNAs emerge as an attractive prospect for diagnostic biomarkers in cardiotoxicity due to their resistance to degradation and their ability to provide direct pathomechanistic information³⁶ (Figure 1).

Although most studies have primarily focused on anthracycline-induced epigenetic dysregulation inside cardiomyocytes, this review shifts its focus to existing studies involving circulating miRNA (cmiRNA). This shift is essential for translating findings into clinically relevant and readily applicable biomarkers. We investigate the dynamics of cmiRNA profiles in response to anthracycline therapy, exploring differences between patients who develop cardiotoxicity and those who do not (Central Illustration). We include reports encompassing all cancer types, anthracyclines, and age categories to provide an extensive overview. We begin by summarizing the findings of these reports and the observed early and late cmiRNA dynamics after chemotherapy. Subsequently, we establish a link between the use of cmiRNAs as circulating biomarkers and their (patho)mechanistic roles, offering a more in-depth overview of their involvement in distinct mechanisms.

CIRCULATING mIRNA IN RESPONSE TO ANTHRACYCLINES IN ANIMAL MODELS

An overview of published studies (5 in mice, 3 in rats, 1 in dogs) and their main characteristics are given in Supplemental Table 1. Six of 9 studies used an arraybased approach, providing hypothesis-free insights into the cmiRNA profile after exposure to doxorubicin (DOX) in clinically relevant doses.

Most studies have focused on dynamic changes in cmiRNA after treatment. In all mouse studies, an increase in miR-34a levels was observed in both plasma³⁷⁻⁴⁰ and myocardial tissue, suggesting a cardiac origin.^{37,38} Similarly, rats exposed to repeated low doses of DOX showed increased miR-34a levels.⁴¹ In mice, a dose-dependent rise in miR-34a levels appeared early, starting from day 6 after treatment, preceding any clinical signs of cardiotoxicity, whereas cTnT levels rose from day 7 onwards.⁴⁰ miR-34a levels remained elevated during treatment and persisted even after cessation of treatment.^{39,40} In close association with miR-34a, a rise in miR-34c levels after DOX treatment was also described.^{39,40} Additionally, an increase in miR-133a levels was observed after DOX treatment in both mice and rats.^{37,38,42,43} In rats, an early rise in miR-1 and miR-133b levels was seen in the first 24 hours after a single high dose of anthracyclines.^{42,43} Conversely, in mice, miR-1 levels increased 24 hours after 4 DOX doses.⁴⁴ For all other cmiRNAs, data rely on single studies and require confirmation in future studies.

Three studies compared cmiRNA profiles between animals with and without cardiotoxicity.^{39,45} The upregulation of miR-34a was more evident in mice manifesting cardiotoxicity compared with those without cardiotoxicity.39 miR-34a values correlated with cTnT.⁴⁰ Additionally, when rats were cotreated with dexrazoxane, an iron chelator preventing ROS formation, the increase in miR-34a levels was abolished in both plasma and myocardial tissue, maintaining normal cardiac function.41 These data might suggest that cmiR-34a reflects early cardiac damage. The rise in miR-133a levels after DOX was attenuated in mice with cardiotoxicity compared to those without cardiotoxicity, potentially indicating a cardioprotective effect.³⁹ In dogs with sarcoma, an increase in cmiR-181d levels was observed in those with a significant decline in LVEF after anthracycline treatment.45 Notably, in mice, miR-181d was downregulated after DOX, demonstrating the possibility of interspecies differences in some less-conserved miRNAs.

Apparent contradictory results among miRNA array studies can likely be attributed to the heterogeneity of study protocols (involving different species, strains, sexes, and/or DOX dosages), miRNA array technique (including array, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction [RT-qPCR], normalization methods), and the timing of cmiRNA profiling.⁴⁶ Intriguingly, miR-499 exhibited elevated levels in 2 female mouse models after DOX,^{38,44} whereas the levels decreased in male mice displaying signs of cardiotoxicity,³⁹ suggesting sex differences in response to DOX. Additionally, several studies applied a single high-dose protocol, hindering the translation of findings into the clinical setting.

CIRCULATING MIRNA IN RESPONSE TO ANTHRACYCLINES IN PATIENTS

Although most studies in human cohorts mainly focus on the dysregulation of miRNAs after DOX treatment, some studies also link these specific disturbances to the development of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. Establishing a link between changes in cmiRNA and the development of cardiotoxicity is important because it allows the distinction of observed effects from treatment-related changes in cmiRNA associated with the tumor. An overview of dysregulated miRNAs and their mechanisms is provided in **Table 1**. For a more in-depth exploration, **Supplemental Table 2** presents an overview of the 19 available clinical studies profiling miRNAs after anthracycline treatment.

miR-34A. Similar to preclinical studies, human investigations also highlight miR-34a as a potential circulating biomarker for CTRCD. In patients with breast cancer, an early rise in plasma miR-34a levels was observed after the first and second doses of anthracyclines,47,48 and this rise persisted consistently up to 12 months after the completion of cancer treatment.⁴⁹ Likewise, adult patients with lymphoma exhibited increased miR-34a levels compared with baseline after the initiation of treatment.⁴¹ Patients with HER2⁺ breast cancer also exhibited elevated levels of serum miR-34 after anthracycline therapy.⁵⁰ This rise in serum miR-34a levels, observed after the completion of anthracycline treatment, was found to correlate with a rise in cardiac troponin I (cTnI) levels during the course of anthracycline treatment.⁵⁰ However, no correlation between miR-34a levels and changes in LVEF was reported.^{41,47-50}

miR-1 CLUSTER. Circulating miR-1 showed early elevation, observed as early as 6 hours after a single anthracycline dose.44,47,51 This rise in cmiR-1 persisted and correlated with a decline in LVEF, proving to have greater predictive value for cardiotoxicity compared with cTnI.⁵² However, long-term follow-up in childhood cancer survivors revealed lower miR-1 levels compared with a control cohort, observed 5 years after completion of therapy.⁵³ Both miR-133a and miR-133b, part of the miR-1 cluster, exhibited changes after DOX treatment. A decline in miR-133a/b levels after the first DOX cycle has been reported,⁴⁷ and an elevation in miR-133b levels after DOX treatment has been described.⁵² However, only a rise in plasma miR-133a levels after DOX treatment could discriminate between breast cancer patients with and without cardiotoxicity.⁵⁴

MYOMERS. The muscle-specific cluster comprising miR-208a/b and miR-449 is often referred to as the myomers. Contradictory findings on miR-449 have been reported. Both early (observed 6 hours after the first dose) and late up-regulation in miR-499 were observed after anthracycline treatment in patients with breast cancer, sarcoma, or lymphoma, and this rise correlated with cTnT levels.^{44,49-51} However, in children with diverse malignancies, a decline in miR-499 levels was associated with a \geq 10% decline in LVEF.⁵⁵ To add complexity, some researchers could not detect miR-499 at all in samples of patients with

TABLE 1 Overview of cmiRNAs, Their Dynamic Changes in Relation to Cardiotoxicity or Cardioprotection, and the Assumed Mechanism									
miRNA	Dynamic in Circulation After Anthracyclines ^a	Relation With Cardiotoxicity (TOX vs No TOX)	ROS	Topo2 β Inhibition	Apoptosis	Fibrosis	Calcium Activation of Caspases	Metabolic Shift & Structural Abnormalities	Overall CT Effect
Let-7f	?	↓ at baseline ^{59,60}	-	-	↑ ⁷⁷	-	-	-	СТ
miR-1	↑ ^{44,51,52,56} ; ↓ ⁵³	↑ ⁵² ; late ↓ after end of chemotherapy ⁴⁷	-	-	1 ^{79,80}	↓ ¹⁰⁵	-	-	CT/protective
miR-20a	?	↓ at baseline ^{59,60}	-		↓ ^{95,96}	↓ ⁹⁵		-	Protective
miR-29	↑ ^{50,51,55}	↑ ⁵¹	-	-	↓/↑ ⁸⁷	↓ ¹⁰⁴	-	-	Protective
miR-34a	↑ ^{41,47-50}	1 ⁵⁰	-	-	↑ ^{41,65,74}	↑ ^{74,101,102}	-	-	СТ
miR-92a	↑ ⁵⁵	↑ ⁴⁷	↓ ⁶⁹	-	↓ ⁶⁹	-	-	-	Protective
miR-126	↑ ^{48,50}	↑ ⁵⁰ ↓ at baseline ^{59,60}	-	-	↓ ^{100,138}	-	-	-	Protective
miR-130a	↑ ⁶¹	↑ ⁶¹ ↓ at baseline ⁶⁰	-	-	↑ ^{76,139,140}	↑ ¹⁴¹	-	-	СТ
miR-133a/b	↑ ⁵² ,↓ ⁴⁷	↑ ⁵⁴	-	-	↑ ⁵⁴	↓ ¹⁰³	-	-	СТ
miR-145	↑ ⁴⁷ ;↓ ⁵⁵	↓ ⁵⁵	-	-	-	-	-	↓ ¹⁴²	Protective
miR-146a	↑ ⁵² ;↓ ⁵⁵	No relation	-	-	↓ ⁷⁵ ↑ ⁶⁶	\downarrow^{75}	-	-	Protective
miR-181a/b	↓ ⁵⁵	↓ ^{55,57}	-	-	(† ^{81,82})	-	-	-	СТ
miR-199a-3p	↑ ⁵³	↑ ⁵³	-	-	↓ ⁹²	-	-	-	Protective
miR-200a	↑ ⁵³	No relation	↓ ⁷³	-	-	-	-	-	Protective
miR-208a	Undetectable in plasma ^{48,52,54,56}	-	-	-	↑ ¹⁴³	-	-	1 ¹⁰⁶	СТ
miR-210	↑ ⁵⁵	↓ at baseline ^{59,60}	-	-	↓ ^{88,89}	-	↓ ⁸⁸	-	Protective
miR-320a	↑ ^{55,62}	Not described	-	-	↑ ⁶²	-	-	-	СТ
miR-324	↓ ⁵³	↓47	↓ ⁷⁰	-	↓ ¹⁴⁴	↓ ¹⁴⁵	-	-	Protective
miR-423	↑ ^{48,50,52}	↑ ⁴⁸	1 ⁶⁸	-	↑ ⁶⁸	-	↑ ⁶⁸	-	СТ
miR-486	↑ ⁵⁵	↑ ⁴⁷	-	-	↓ ^{90,91}	↓ ⁹¹	-	↓ ^{90,91}	Protective
miR-499	$\uparrow^{44,50,51,55}$ followed by \downarrow^{55} ; not always detectable ^{54,56}	↑ ⁵¹ ;↓ ⁵⁵ ↑ at baseline ⁴⁹	-	-	↓ ⁹⁷	1 ¹⁴⁶	-	-	Protective
miR-4732-3p	?	↓ ⁶³	↓ ⁶³	-	↓ ⁶³	↓ ⁶³	_	_	Protective

Only miRNAs for which circulatory changes were observed in ≥ 2 clinical studies are described. ^aThe oncological background of each studied population is described in Supplemental Table 2. It should be noted that oncological background and response to chemotherapy also influence circulating microRNAs (miRNAs).

 $\mathsf{cmiRNA} = \mathsf{circulating} \ \mathsf{microRNA}; \ \mathsf{CT} = \mathsf{cardiotoxicity}; \ \mathsf{ROS} = \mathsf{reactive} \ \mathsf{oxygen} \ \mathsf{species}; \ \mathsf{Topo2}\beta = \mathsf{topoisomerase} \ 2\beta; \ \mathsf{TOX} = \mathsf{cardiotoxicity}.$

breast cancer and children with leukemia treated with anthracycline chemotherapy.^{54,56} Two other musclespecific miRNAs, miR-208a and miR-208b, were undetectable in patient samples.^{48,52,54}

miR-29. Circulating miR-29a, miR-29b, and miR-29c levels increased after anthracycline treatment in both children and adults, correlating with a rise in cTnT (for miR-29b) and cTnI (for mir-29a) levels. The increase in both miR-29c and cTnT levels could be observed as early as 6 hours after the first dose.^{50,51,55} Interestingly, miR-29a was down-regulated early in a mouse model,⁴⁰ highlighting the need for caution when translating data from animal models to humans and underscoring the importance of validation in human cohorts.

miR-423. In patients with breast cancer, miR-423 was up-regulated after 2 anthracycline cycles, remaining elevated for up to 6 months after the end of chemotherapy.^{48,50,52} This early rise in miR-423 at 3 months correlated with the decline in LVEF and cTnT at 6 months.^{48,50} miR-486-5P. Children and adult patients with breast cancer exhibited elevated levels of serum miR-486-5p early after the first anthracycline dose.^{47,55} However, this miRNA was not found to be elevated later in the treatment, and no association with cardiotoxicity was observed.

miR-181. In children, a late decline in miR-181a-5p levels was observed 1 year after treatment, and this decline was associated with a decline in LVEF of >10%.⁵⁵ Additionally, increased miR-181a-3p levels at baseline, before the start of chemotherapy, were associated with less LVEF recovery 6 months after the end of treatment in adults.⁵⁷ Moreover, in adult patients with breast cancer, a decline in miR-181b/c levels was associated with a rise in cTnI and/or cTnT.⁴⁹

miR-126. Patients with breast cancer exhibited elevated levels of miR-126, a miRNA linked to vascular function,⁵⁸ throughout the treatment period, and these levels remained elevated at 3 months after the end of treatment.^{48,50} This rise in miR-126

correlated with both circulating cTnI and cTnT levels at 3 and 6 months after the initiation of treatment.⁵⁰ Additionally, in patients with breast cancer who developed cardiotoxicity, baseline values of miR-126, recorded before the start of treatment, were lower compared with their treated counterparts who did not develop cardiotoxicity.^{59,60}

miR-130A. Patients with breast cancer exhibited increased levels of plasma miR-130a from 3 months after treatment with anthracyclines up to 15 months after treatment in all patients.⁶¹ Within this study population, baseline miR-130a negatively correlated with LVEF and positively correlated with cTnI. During follow-up, miR-130a levels were higher in patients who developed cardiotoxicity.⁶¹ However, in a different cohort of patients with breast cancer, levels of plasma miR-130a at baseline were negatively correlated with N terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP).⁶⁰

miR-320A. miR-320a levels increased after anthracycline chemotherapy in adult patients with leukemia and in the serum of pediatric patients 1 year after completion of chemotherapy.^{55,62} Unfortunately, no data on its relationship with cardiotoxicity were reported.

MIR-324. In adults, a decline in plasma miR-324 levels from baseline was observed after the first cycle in patients with breast cancer who developed cardiotoxicity.⁴⁷ In long-term childhood cancer survivors, miR-324 plasma levels declined compared with healthy control subjects,⁵³ but this decline showed no relation to cardiotoxicity.⁵³

miR-4732-3P. Serum values were lower at the end of treatment in patients with breast cancer experiencing cardiotoxicity compared with matched control subjects without cardiotoxicity.⁶³

miR-199A-3P AND miR200. miR-199a-3p and 200a-3p levels increased in extracellular vesicles of children with leukemia treated with DOX compared with healthy control subjects.⁵³ However, no difference was observed between children with and without cardiotoxicity. In adult patients with breast cancer, an early rise in miR-199a-3p levels was seen after 2 cycles of chemotherapy, and this rise correlated with cTnT values.⁴⁸

For certain miRNAs, only a predictive value based on their baseline values was described. Lower baseline values for miRNA let-7f were observed in patients with breast cancer who developed cardiotoxicity.^{59,60} Additionally, baseline let-7f negatively correlated with cTnI and NT-proBNP values after treatment.⁶⁰ Similarly, lower baseline miR-210 levels were seen in patients who developed cardiotoxicity after anthracycline treatment,^{41,59} and this was negatively correlated to the rise in circulating cTnI after treatment.⁴¹

miR-17-92 CLUSTER. Patients with breast cancer who developed cardiotoxicity exhibited lower baseline values of miR-20a.^{59,60} miR-20a, along with miR-17, emerged as a significant protective factor in a multivariable analysis for cardiotoxicity,⁵⁹ indicating a potential predictive role for these miRNAs. An early rise in miR-92a levels after the first dose of DOX was observed in patients with breast cancer who developed CTRCD.⁴⁷ In children with diverse malignancies, miR-92a levels increased directly after the first dose and 1 year after treatment, irrespective of the development of CTRCD.⁵⁵ In adults with lymphoma, miR-221-3p was reduced at baseline in patients with poor recovery of LVEF after the end of DOX treatment, compared to those with good recovery.⁵⁷

Contradictory findings were reported for some miRNAs between pediatric and adult cohorts. For example, cmiR-146a levels were found to decrease in serum after the first anthracycline dose in children,⁵⁵ whereas they increased in the plasma of adult patients with breast cancer during DOX treatment.⁵² Similarly, an early decline in miR-145 levels was seen in anthracycline-treated children, correlating with the development of a significant (>10%) decline in LVEF.⁵⁵ By contrast, both adults with and without cardiotoxicity exhibited a rise in miR-145 levels after the first cycle of DOX.⁴⁷

In summary, a distinctive profile of dysregulated miRNA is associated with anthracycline chemotherapy and CTRCD (**Table 1**). Nevertheless, discrepancies persist among individual studies, which could be attributed to the differences in patient population (such as age and sex), DOX dosing, timing, and analysis techniques.

OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL MECHANISMS OF CARDIOTOXICITY ASSOCIATED WITH SPECIFIC miRNAs

Figure 1 and **Table 1** provide an overview of the effects of different miRNAs in the context of cardiotoxicity. For a comprehensive and in-depth exploration of the mechanisms of specific miRNAs in other cardiac conditions, refer to Supplemental Table 3. As described earlier, due to the sorting of miRNA, changes in cmiRNA do not always mirror intracellular changes in miRNA concentration.³³ Therefore, an increase in cmiRNA in cardiotoxicity does not necessarily indicate an increased action of this miRNA in its originating cell. Additionally, these miRNAs can

influence other cell types when taken up from the circulation.

OXIDATIVE STRESS. Oxidative stress can up-regulate the expression of certain miRNAs, and these miRNAs, in turn, can alter ROS production. miR-34a and miR-146a serve as 2 examples of miRNAs induced by ROS. Anthracycline-induced ROS leads to DNA damage and an increase in the expression of tumor protein p53 and miR-34a, creating a positive feedback loop that results in apoptosis.64,65 Similarly, the increased myocardial expression of miR-146a after anthracycline treatment may have been attributed to increased ROS levels, given that nuclear factor kappalight-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-kB), a downstream mediator of ROS, has been reported to induce its expression.^{66,67} Conversely, miR-423 is an example of a miRNA that can induce ROS; studies have shown its capacity to induce ROS in H9C2 cells subjected to hypoxia/reoxygenation.68

Several miRNAs have also demonstrated the ability to prevent ROS production. In a type 2 diabetic rat model, the overexpression of miR-92a-2-5p attenuated oxidative stress.⁶⁹ Additionally, transfecting human endothelial progenitor cells from myocardial infarction patients and healthy controls with a miR-324-5p mimic resulted in a significant reduction in ROS levels.⁷⁰ miR-181c targets mitochondrial function by altering the expression of mitochondrial genes, such as mt-COX1, a subunit of complex IV of the respiratory chain, leading to increased ROS production.71,72 Additionally, miR-200a, found to be down-regulated in DOX-treated mice, exhibited antioxidant properties by targeting Kelch-like ECH associating protein 1 (Keap1). Keap1, a scaffolding protein of nuclear factor-like 2 (Nrf2), inhibited Nfr2 from performing its antioxidant function.⁷³

TOP2 β **INHIBITION.** Although the inhibition of Top2 β is an important pathomechanism in cardiotoxicity, current literature lacks studies linking specific cmiR-NAs (or other circulating biomarkers) to this pathway. Several miRNAs have been identified to target *TOP2* β , the gene responsible for encoding TopII β ; however, none of these miRNAs have been reported as dysregulated after anthracycline treatment.

APOPTOSIS AND AUTOPHAGY. miR-34a, a direct target of p53, enhances apoptosis by targeting cyclin D1 (CCND1) and Bcl-2, key regulators of the cell cycle and anti-apoptotic proteins, respectively (**Figure 2**). Additionally, miR-34a suppressed SIRT1 activity, resulting in reduced deacetylation of p53 and, consequently, a more active p53.^{41,65,74} Over-expression of miR-34a in rat cardiomyocytes increased the expression of Bcl-2-associated X

protein (BAX) in the setting of DOX treatment.⁴¹ Conversely, inhibiting miR-34a led to decreased apoptosis in both rat cardiac progenitor cells treated with DOX and in the myocardium of a DOX-treated rat model.^{65,74} Moreover, inhibiting miR-34a in this rat model attenuated cardiac dysfunction.⁷⁴

Several other miRNAs influence the p53 pathway by targeting factors that stabilize p53. For instance, miR-146a targeted the TATA-binding protein associated factor 9b (TAF9b), a coactivator and stabilizer of p53.⁷⁵ In a long-term DOX-treated mouse model, miR-146 knockout led to increased apoptosis and impaired autophagy.75 However, there are contrasting observations indicating that miR-146 affected the antiapoptotic neuregulin-1 (NRG-1) and epidermal growth factor receptor (ErbB) signaling pathway.⁶⁶ In neonatal rat cardiomyocytes treated with DOX, miR-146a overexpression increased apoptosis.⁶⁶ Another miRNA, miR-130a, targeted Erbb4 in neonatal rat ventricular cardiomyocytes.⁷⁶ This interaction with the Erbb4 pathway may explain the increased toxicity observed when anthracyclines are combined with trastuzumab, a drug targeting ErbB2. Interestingly, ErbB2 was identified as a target of miR-133a in patients with breast cancer undergoing DOX treatment.54

Exportin-1 (XPO1), a transporter crucial for the nucleus-to-cytosol transport of p53, is targeted by let-7f.⁷⁷ The suppression of XPO1 leads to enhanced nuclear availability of p53, thereby increasing apoptosis activity. In rat cardiomyocytes, let-7f levels increased after exposure to DOX, and the inhibition of let-7f resulted in the prevention of cardiotoxicity in a rat model.⁷⁷

Other pathways of apoptosis are influenced by miRNAs. In the setting of hypoxia/reperfusion, miR-423 stimulated apoptosis and mitochondrial damage in rat cardiomyocytes by targeting the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway and Myb-related protein B (MYBL2), a central regulator of cell survival, resulting in a decrease in Bcl-2.⁶⁸ Another miRNA, miR-200b, affected the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling by inhibiting ZEB1, leading to an increase in cardiotoxicity in mice models.⁷⁸ Although a decrease in miR-200b has been described in exosomes derived from trophoblast stem cells, there are no available data on circulating miR-200b in the setting of cardiotoxicity.⁷⁸

Similar to miR-34a, miR-1 directly targeted Bcl-2 in a rat model of hypoxia/reperfusion injury.⁷⁹ Additionally, miR-1 inhibited histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4), leading to the inhibition of myocardial cell proliferation.⁸⁰ miR-181 played a stimulating role in apoptosis and decreased mitochondrial homeostasis of different cell types by targeting Bcl-2 and its



binding protein 4; HIF = hypoxia inducible factor; miR = microRNA; MOMP = mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization; p21 = cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1; p53 = tumor protein p53; SIRT1 = sirtuin; TAF9B = TATA-binding protein associated factor 9b; TP53INP1 = tumor protein P53 inducible nuclear protein 1.

associated receptor myeloid leukemia cell differentiation protein (Mcl-1).⁸¹⁻⁸³ Furthermore, Bcl-2 played a protective role for autophagy by binding to and stabilizing beclin1. The cardioprotective miR-30e, which is declined in rat cardiomyocytes after DOX, inhibited beclin 1, leading to a decrease in autophagy.⁸⁴

Additionally, miR-181a/b targeted phosphate and tensin homolog (PTEN), opposing the PI3K/AKT pathway involved in the switch to an anabolic metabolism, resulting in a decrease in glycolysis.^{71,85,86} Several miRNAs may protect against apoptosis. In a rat model of DOX cardiotoxicity, local delivery of miR-29b improved cardiac function by decreasing the expression of BAX.⁸⁷ In animal models of ischemia, miR-210 overexpression rescued cardiac function, increased cardiomyocyte proliferation, and induced angiogenesis by targeting the cell cycle inhibitor APC.^{88,89}

A rise in miR-486 resulted in the activation of SRF and GATA4, transcription factors that promote cardiomyocyte proliferation and growth.^{90,91} Although GATA4 has antiapoptotic properties, it also functioned as a senescence marker.⁹² Due to its direct targeting of GATA4, a decline in miR-199a after DOX resulted in an increase in senescence of cardiomyocytes.

Additionally, miR-30e, miR-486, and miR-495-3p target PTEN, which opposes the PI3K/AKT pathway,

resulting in decreased apoptosis.^{90,93,94} In a mouse model of hypoxia/reperfusion injury, miR-486 overexpression resulted in a decrease in apoptotic cardiomyocytes and infarct size.⁹⁰ miR-20a protected cardiomyocytes from apoptosis in vitro, and in diabetic rats, overexpression of miR-20a attenuated cardiac dysfunction by targeting Rock2 and Egln3.^{95,96} Finally, miR-499 appeared to play a protective role against apoptosis by regulating mitochondrial fission and targeting cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1 (p21), a cell cycle inhibitor activated by p53.97 miR-221-3p targeted Sirt-2, leading to the inhibition of the AMPK pathway, increasing senescence and decreasing hypertrophy.98 miR-4732-3p showed prosurvival properties by activating the Hippo pathway, but its direct initiator for this activation is still unknown.63

In this setting, it is important to note that autophagy-related proteins and genes play a role in sorting and secreting miRNAs. For example, the LC3conjugation machinery, an integral part of the membrane of autophagosome, is also involved in selecting small noncoding RNAs, including miRNAs in extracellular vesicles.⁹⁹ This mechanism can lead to a change in cmiRNA levels during autophagy activation. miR-126 exerts its antiapoptotic properties in endothelial cells through interactions with autophagic vesicles. By binding to Ago2 and forming a complex with Mex3a on the surface of autophagic vesicles, miR-126 was guided into the cell's nucleus. In the nucleus, miR-126 dissociated from Ago2 and bound to caspase-3, inhibiting its dimerization and limiting apoptosis.¹⁰⁰

FIBROSIS. miR-34a down-regulated SIRT1, resulting in an increase in acetylated SMAD2/SMAD3 and increased fibrosis through the activation of the TGF- β pathway (Figure 3).⁷⁴ Additionally, miR-34a directly increased SMAD4.¹⁰¹ In a positive feedback loop, murine cardiac tissue showed increased miR-34a levels after myocardial infarction through the activation of TGF-\u03b31, resulting in worsened cardiac function and increased fibrosis.101,102 Conversely, other miRNAs exerted protective effects against fibrosis. miR-486 exhibited antifibrotic properties through direct targeting of SMAD2/3.91 miR-146 played a regulatory role in fibrosis and cardiac remodeling by reducing SMAD4 expression,75 and miR-4732 reduced fibrosis by directly targeting SMAD2.63 The overexpression of miR-20a led to a decrease in the expression of TGF- β in the cardiac tissue of diabetic rats.95 miR-133 has been suggested to play a regulatory role in fibrosis by targeting $TGF\beta$ and TGFβ receptor II, affecting collagen production in

atrial canine fibroblasts.¹⁰³ miR-29b prevented fibrosis by targeting elastin, fibrillin 1, and different collagens, all elements of the extracellular matrix.¹⁰⁴ In a myocardial infarction mouse model, the inhibition of miR-29b resulted in increased collagen deposition and myocardial fibrosis.¹⁰⁴ Finally, in a hypertensive rat model, miR-1 inhibited the proliferation of cardiac fibroblasts.¹⁰⁵

OTHER miRNA-DRIVEN PATHOMECHANISMS INVOLVED IN CARDIOTOXICITY. In addition to the major pathomechanisms discussed earlier, the described miRNAs (**Table 1**) can interfere with other pathways. First, several miRNAs influenced calcium homeostasis and caspase activation. For instance, both miR-210 and miR-30e have demonstrated cardioprotective properties by targeting caspase 3.^{84,88} On the other hand, miR-423 has exhibited cardiotoxic properties by increasing caspase 3 and caspase 7.⁶⁸

Second, miRNAs targeting the expression of structural proteins in cardiomyocytes play a crucial role. The muscle-specific miR-208 family regulated cardiac remodeling in response to stress through isomorphic switches of myosin heavy chain.¹⁰⁶ miR-221-3p decreased α-tubulin, a structural protein of microtubule.98 As described earlier, miR-486 targets PTEN,⁹⁰ a pathway involved in not only apoptosis, as previously mentioned, but also in the switch to an anabolic metabolism.90 Additionally, miR-486 targeted Forkhead box O 1 (FoxO1), a transcription factor involved in differentiation and metabolism.91 The extensively studied miR-1 had several effects on cardiac development and function.¹⁰⁷ miR-1 played a role in arrhythmogenesis: its overexpression in myocardial tissue impaired cardiac conduction through the up-regulation of Irx5, a transcription factor that regulates the expression of KCNJ2 (which encodes a potassium-channel subunit) and GJA1 (which encodes connexin 43 gap junction channels).^{108,109} Both miR-1 and miR-133 are necessary for skeletal and cardiac muscle development and function.43,110

Third, besides affecting cardiomyocytes, anthracyclines can affect other cell types, including endothelial cells. miR-29b promoted angiogenesis through the up-regulation of PKB/Akt phosphorylation, resulting in increased endothelial cell proliferation and migration.¹¹¹ The miR-17-92 cluster, including miR-20a and miR-92a, has been linked to the regulation of endothelial function.¹¹² miR-320a played a regulatory role in promoting endothelial cell proliferation and reducing microvessel density.⁶² Further in-depth analysis elucidated that miR-320a targeted VEGF-A, resulting in endothelial cell apoptosis,



migration, and a decrease in NO release.⁶² Additionally, miR-126, a miRNA with important proangiogenic activity,^{58,113} was induced by hypoxia and shearstress (KLF2).¹¹⁴ Similarly, miR-145 expression was induced by both shear stress and statin therapy.¹¹⁴ miR-210, produced by cardiomyocytes under ischemic stress, exerted paracrine and long-distance effects on endothelial cells, activating protective mechanisms in these target cells.¹¹²

Fourth, several miRNAs are involved in the inflammatory response and activation of a post-injury immune response. miR-146, for instance, was shown to induce cytokine production and leukocyte migration in a dose-dependent manner by interacting with TLR-7.¹¹⁵ Likewise, members of the let-7 family exhibited the capability to activate TLR-7 as well.¹¹⁶ Additionally, the cardiotoxic miR-200b induced elevated levels of IL-6 and IL-8.⁷⁸

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROTOCOLS

As stated earlier, cmiRNAs are involved in numerous physiological and pathophysiological processes. The magnitude of their role in cardiovascular disease might even be overshadowed by their role in cancer. Therefore, the influence of these cancer- or treatment-related disturbances in cmiRNA profiles cannot be underestimated. Specific reviews are available for readers with a special interest in cmiRNA dysregulation in oncologic patients.^{117,118}

Different methods are used for the identification and quantification of cmiRNAs. Next-generation sequencing offers the advantage of unbiased miRNA identification, including unannotated miRNAs, but is the most expensive. Microarrays have a lower sensitivity and specificity than RT-qPCR and are primarily suited for screening rather than quantitative analysis. Screening results from next-generation sequencing or microarrays should, therefore, always be validated by RT-qPCR or digital droplet PCR due to their higher sensitivity and specificity.³⁶ Digital droplet PCR has a lower limit of detection and higher reproducibility than RT-qPCR.¹¹⁹

RT-qPCR protocols differ among vendors and labs, complicating the comparisons of individual studies. For example, various approaches are available for normalizing RT-qPCR results. These methods include the use of exogenic spike-in cel-miR, normalization to a single endogenous RNA such as U6, or using multiple endogenous controls determined through an independent experiment.⁴⁶ The performance of these various methods has been described in other sources.46 In brief, U6 is an intracellular, small nuclear RNA involved in the spliceosome.¹²⁰ However, comparative testing for normalization methods revealed the use of U6 to be highly variable, and as such, its application should be avoided.⁴⁶ Conversely, normalization to multiple endogenous controls using a mathematical normalization technique, such as geNorm, showed the least variability.^{46,121} Moreover, miRNA quantification can be performed using both serum and plasma. However, because serum is obtained after platelet activation, it may contain platelet-derived miRNAs, which could potentially influence results and pose a limitation when comparing findings across different studies.¹²² Existing papers often provide limited descriptions of sample handling, which can affect miRNA quantification. Factors such as the collection method, processing, presence of hemolysis, and circadian variation all have the potential to influence miRNA quantification.^{123,124} These examples highlight the importance of establishing uniform protocols for miRNA isolation, handling, and normalization.

FUTURE PROSPECTS

The role of specific miRNAs, most notably miR-34a, as circulating biomarkers of early cardiac damage after anthracycline treatment, has been confirmed in various preclinical models and clinical settings. The cardiac origin of several cmiRNAs has been reported, contributing, at least in part, to our understanding of their role in the pathogenesis of cardiotoxicity.

Despite promising findings, several barriers must be overcome before cmiRNAs can be routinely implemented in the daily monitoring of cardiotoxicity (Central Illustration). Notably, only a few studies have focused on the differential expression of cmiRNAs in patients with and without cardiotoxicity. The observed dysregulation in cmiRNAs after anthracycline treatment also extends to patients who do not develop cardiotoxicity. This may reflect a treatment response or a more general effect of anthracyclines unrelated to cardiac damage. To address this, future studies must consider treatment response effects, potentially through stratification an aspect not explored thus far—and focus on linking specific cmiRNA changes to the development of cardiotoxicity.

Second, a large heterogeneity persists across studies, highlighting the need for a more uniform approach to facilitate translation. Differences exist in anthracycline-dosing protocols, sampling techniques, miRNA-quantification/identification techniques, and normalization methods. Although recommendations for miRNA isolation and normalization are available in the literature,46,125 the field lacks consensus guidelines. There is an urgent need for comprehensive guidelines, such as those recently published for RNA quantification by the cardioRNA consortium.¹²⁶ Third, the impact of underlying comorbidities and patient characteristics on cmiRNA profiles remains insufficiently understood. Previous studies have shown correlations between the expression of certain miRNAs and factors such as age,¹²⁷ gender,¹²⁸ and race.¹²⁹ Additionally, comorbidities and risk factors such as diabetes, arterial hypertension, and smoking, as well as cardiac medication, might have influenced miRNA expression.^{124,130-134} Finally, concomitant anticancer treatments, such as trastuzumab, might have influenced the levels and expression of circulating biomarkers.^{124,135}

Fourth, a shift is needed from focusing on individual miRNAs to adopting a network-based approach. Many cmiRNAs are highly correlated, providing an opportunity to identify disease-specific or pathomechanism-specific cmiRNA patterns of coexpressing miRNAs. Using a cmiRNA pattern or signature would provide better diagnostic value compared with individual cmiRNAs and is likely to offer more reliable insights. Additionally, a networkbased analysis would allow for more in-depth clarification of the processes involved. Future research should aim to improve network analysis methodologies and their interpretation to fully realize the potential of cmiRNAs in cardiotoxicity monitoring.136,137

Considering these factors, the creation of a cmiRNA panel seems feasible. The selection of miRNAs for such a panel should depend on the specific research question being addressed, taking into account the involved mechanisms and the protective or cardiotoxic properties of the miRNAs. A comprehensive panel should include miRNAs capable of distinguishing between patients with and without cardiotoxicity, as well as miRNAs that assess the various pathomechanisms at play.

CONCLUSIONS

cmiRNAs emerge as novel candidate biomarkers for anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity, given their stability in plasma and ability to establish a direct pathomechanistic link (Central Illustration). Future research should focus on discerning the differences between patients with and without cardiotoxicity, as well as the time course of cmiRNA deviations. Additionally, even prior to chemotherapy, assessing cmiRNA could identify patients who are particularly susceptible and warrant closer follow-up. Given the correlation of various cmiRNA disturbances with cardiotoxicity, the creation of a carefully selected cmiRNA panel for assessing early cardiotoxicity diagnosis may hopefully be feasible with additional research, provided technical limitations are duly taken into account.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

This work was supported by the Flanders Research Foundation - FWO (research grant G055821N, doctoral research grant 1192420N to Dr Boen and senior clinical investigator grant 1804320N to Dr Van Craenenbroeck) and by the Belgian Foundation against Cancer (research grant C/2020/1374 and clinical mandate grant to CF 2021/ 1594). Ms Cherubin was an Early Stage Researcher fellow on the INSPIRE project, which has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program (H2020-MSCA-ITN program, Grant Agreement: No858070), and has received a SEP-grant from the Research Council of the University of Antwerp. Mr Bosman was supported as a predoctoral fellow by the Fund for Scientific Research (FWO) Flanders (grant number: 1S33720N). Dr Loeys holds a consolidator grant from the European Research Council (Genomia - ERC-COG-2017-771945). Dr Gevaert has received institutional lecture/advisory board fees from Abbott, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, and Menarini outside the submitted work. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Hanne M. Boen, Cardiology - UZA, Drie Eikenstraat 655, 2650 Edegem, Belgium. E-mail: hanne.boen@uantwerpen.be. @Hanne_Boen, @AndreasGevaert, @CFranssenC, @I_Witvrouwen, @HH4EHRA, @ECraenenbroeck.

REFERENCES

1. McGowan JV, Chung R, Maulik A, Piotrowska I, Walker JM, Yellon DM. Anthracycline chemotherapy and cardiotoxicity. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*. 2017;31:63–75.

 Lyon AR, López-Fernández T, Couch LS, et al. 2022 ESC guidelines on cardio-oncology developed in collaboration with the European Hematology Association (EHA), the European Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology (ESTRO) and the International Cardio-Oncology Society (IC-OS). *Eur Heart J.* 2022;43(41):4229-4361. https:// doi.org/10.1093/eurhearti/ehac244

3. López-Sendón J, Álvarez-Ortega C, Zamora Auñon P, et al. Classification, prevalence, and outcomes of anticancer therapy-induced cardiotoxicity: the CARDIOTOX registry. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41:1720-1729.

4. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Bacchiani G, et al. Early detection of anthracycline cardiotoxicity and improvement with heart failure therapy. *Circulation*. 2015;131:1981–1988.

5. Octavia Y, Tocchetti CG, Gabrielson KL, Janssens S, Crijns HJ, Moens AL. Doxorubicininduced cardiomyopathy: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutic strategies. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2012;52:1213-1225.

6. Goormaghtigh E, Chatelain P, Caspers J, Ruysschaert JM. Evidence of a specific complex between adriamycin and negatively-charged phospholipids. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1980;597:1-14.

7. Goormaghtigh E, Huart P, Praet M, Brasseur R, Ruysschaert JM. Structure of the adriamycincardiolipin complex. Role in mitochondrial toxicity. *Biophys Chem.* 1990;35:247–257. **8.** Brown DA, Perry JB, Allen ME, et al. Mitochondrial function as a therapeutic target in heart failure. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2017;14:238-250.

9. Gutteridge JM. Lipid peroxidation and possible hydroxyl radical formation stimulated by the self-reduction of a doxorubicin-iron (III) complex. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1984;33:1725–1728.

10. Minotti G, Recalcati S, Mordente A, et al. The secondary alcohol metabolite of doxorubicin irreversibly inactivates aconitase/iron regulatory protein-1 in cytosolic fractions from human myocardium. *FASEB J.* 1998;12:541-552.

11. Rawat PS, Jaiswal A, Khurana A, Bhatti JS, Navik U. Doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity: an update on the molecular mechanism and novel therapeutic strategies for effective management. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2021;139:111708.

12. Lim CC, Zuppinger C, Guo X, et al. Anthracyclines induce calpain-dependent titin proteolysis and necrosis in cardiomyocytes. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279:8290-8299.

13. Vedam K, Nishijima Y, Druhan LJ, et al. Role of heat shock factor-1 activation in the doxorubicininduced heart failure in mice. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2010;298:H1832-H1841.

14. Kobayashi S, Volden P, Timm D, Mao K, Xu X, Liang Q. Transcription factor GATA4 inhibits doxorubicin-induced autophagy and cardiomyocyte death. *J Biol Chem.* 2010;285:793-804.

15. Velez JM, Miriyala S, Nithipongvanitch R, et al. p53 regulates oxidative stress-mediated retrograde signaling: a novel mechanism for chemotherapy-induced cardiac injury. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e18005. **16.** Yu W, Qin X, Zhang Y, et al. Curcumin suppresses doxorubicin-induced cardiomyocyte pyroptosis via a PI3K/Akt/mTOR-dependent manner. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* 2020;10:752-769.

17. Carvalho RA, Sousa RP, Cadete VJ, et al. Metabolic remodeling associated with subchronic doxorubicin cardiomyopathy. *Toxicology*. 2010;270:92-98.

18. Timm KN, Tyler DJ. The role of AMPK activation for cardioprotection in doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* 2020;34: 255-269.

19. Goetzenich A, Hatam N, Zernecke A, et al. Alteration of matrix metalloproteinases in selective left ventricular adriamycin-induced cardiomyopathy in the pig. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2009;28:1087-1093.

20. Spallarossa P, Altieri P, Garibaldi S, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-2 and -9 are induced differently by doxorubicin in H9c2 cells: the role of MAP kinases and NAD(P)H oxidase. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2006;69:736-745.

21. Luu AZ, Chowdhury B, Al-Omran M, Teoh H, Hess DA, Verma S. Role of endothelium in doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol Basic Trans Science. 2018:3:861–870.

22. Bien S, Riad A, Ritter CA, et al. The endothelin receptor blocker bosentan inhibits doxorubicininduced cardiomyopathy. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67: 10428-10435.

23. Yang LL, Gros R, Kabir MG, et al. Conditional cardiac overexpression of endothelin-1 induces inflammation and dilated cardiomyopathy in mice. *Circulation.* 2004;109:255-261.

24. Xiao H, Wang X, Li S, Liu Y, Cui Y, Deng X. Advances in biomarkers for detecting early cancer treatment-related cardiac dysfunction. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2021;8:753313.

25. Ky B, Putt M, Sawaya H, et al. Early increases in multiple biomarkers predict subsequent cardiotoxicity in patients with breast cancer treated with doxorubicin, taxanes, and trastuzumab. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63:809–816.

26. Lipshultz SE, Miller TL, Scully RE, et al. Changes in cardiac biomarkers during doxorubicin treatment of pediatric patients with high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: associations with long-term echocardiographic outcomes. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30:1042-1049.

27. Putt M, Hahn VS, Januzzi JL, et al. Longitudinal changes in multiple biomarkers are associated with cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients treated with doxorubicin, taxanes, and trastuzumab. *Clin Chem.* 2015;61:1164–1172.

28. Todorova VK, Hsu PC, Wei JY, et al. Biomarkers of inflammation, hypercoagulability and endothelial injury predict early asymptomatic doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *Am J Cancer Res.* 2020;10:2933-2945.

29. Bouabdallaoui N, Claggett B, Zile MR, et al. Growth differentiation factor-15 is not modified by sacubitril/valsartan and is an independent marker of risk in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: the PARADIGM-HF trial. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2018;20:1701–1709.

30. Horacek JM, Jebavy L, Vasatova M, et al. Glycogen phosphorylase BB as a potential marker of cardiac toxicity in patients treated with anthracyclines for acute leukemia. *Bratisl Lek Listy*. 2013;114:708–710.

31. Finkelman BS, Putt M, Wang T, et al. Argininenitric oxide metabolites and cardiac dysfunction in patients with breast cancer. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:152-162.

32. Creemers EE, Tijsen AJ, Pinto YM, Rooij EV. Circulating microRNAs. *Circ Res.* 2012;110:483-495.

33. Garcia-Martin R, Wang G, Brandão BB, et al. MicroRNA sequence codes for small extracellular vesicle release and cellular retention. *Nature*. 2022;601:446-451.

34. Xu D, Di K, Fan B, et al. MicroRNAs in extracellular vesicles: sorting mechanisms, diagnostic value, isolation, and detection technology. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. 2022;10:948959. https://doi. org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.948959

35. Liu Y, Li Q, Hosen MR, et al. Atherosclerotic conditions promote the packaging of functional microRNA-92a-3p into endothelial microvesicles. *Circ Res.* 2019;124:575-587.

36. Gareev I, Beylerli O, Yang G, et al. The current state of MiRNAs as biomarkers and therapeutic tools. *Clin Exp Med.* 2020;20:349–359.

37. Hanousková B, Skála M, Brynychová V, et al. Imatinib-induced changes in the expression profile of microRNA in the plasma and heart of mice-a comparison with doxorubicin. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2019:115:108883. **38.** Gioffré S, Ricci V, Vavassori C, et al. Plasmatic and chamber-specific modulation of cardiac micro-RNAs in an acute model of DOX-induced cardiotoxicity. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2019;110:1-8.

39. Ruggeri C, Gioffré S, Chiesa M, et al. A specific circulating microRNA cluster is associated to late differential cardiac response to doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity in vivo. *Dis Markers*. 2018;2018:8395651.

40. Desai VG, Vijay V, Lee T, et al. MicroRNA-34a-5p as a promising early circulating preclinical biomarker of doxorubicin-induced chronic cardiotoxicity. *J Appl Toxicol*. 2022;42:1477-1490.

41. Zhu J-N, Fu Y-H, Hu Z-Q, et al. Activation of miR-34a-5p/Sirt1/p66shc pathway contributes to doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:11879.

42. Nishimura Y, Kondo C, Morikawa Y, et al. Plasma miR-208 as a useful biomarker for drug-induced cardiotoxicity in rats. *J Appl Toxicol*. 2015;35:173-180.

43. Calvano J, Achanzar W, Murphy B, et al. Evaluation of microRNAs-208 and 133a/b as differential biomarkers of acute cardiac and skeletal muscle toxicity in rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2016;312:53-60.

44. Jeyabal P, Bhagat A, Wang F, et al. Circulating microRNAs and cytokines as prognostic bio-markers for doxorubicin-induced cardiac injury and for evaluating the effectiveness of an exercise intervention. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2023;29:4430-4440.

45. Beaumier A, Robinson SR, Robinson N, et al. Extracellular vesicular microRNAs as potential biomarker for early detection of doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2020;34:1260-1271.

46. Gevaert AB, Witvrouwen I, Vrints CJ, et al. MicroRNA profiling in plasma samples using qPCR arrays: recommendations for correct analysis and interpretation. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0193173.

47. Todorova VK, Makhoul I, Wei J, Klimberg VS. Circulating miRNA profiles of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *Ann Clin Lab Sci.* 2017;47:115–119.

48. Frères P, Bouznad N, Servais L, et al. Variations of circulating cardiac biomarkers during and after anthracycline-containing chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. *BMC Cancer.* 2018;18:102.

49. Gioffré S, Chiesa M, Cardinale DM, et al. Circulating microRNAs as potential predictors of anthracycline-induced troponin elevation in breast cancer patients: diverging effects of doxorubicin and epirubicin. J Clin Med. 2020;9(5):1418. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9051418

50. Lakhani HV, Pillai SS, Zehra M, et al. Detecting early onset of anthracyclines-induced cardiotoxicity using a novel panel of biomarkers in West-Virginian population with breast cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2021:11:7954.

51. Leger KJ, Leonard D, Nielson D, de Lemos JA, Mammen PP, Winick NJ. Circulating microRNAs: potential markers of cardiotoxicity in children and young adults treated with anthracycline chemotherapy. J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6(4):e004653. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.004653 **52.** Rigaud VO, Ferreira LR, Ayub-Ferreira SM, et al. Circulating miR-1 as a potential biomarker of doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:6994–7002.

53. Totoń-Żurańska J, Sulicka-Grodzicka J, Seweryn MT, et al. MicroRNA composition of plasma extracellular vesicles: a harbinger of late cardiotoxicity of doxorubicin. *Mol Med.* 2022;28: 156.

54. Alves MT, da Conceição I, de Oliveira AN, et al. microRNA miR-133a as a biomarker for doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in women with breast cancer: a signaling pathway investigation. *Cardiovasc Toxicol.* 2022;22:655–662.

55. Oatmen KE, Toro-Salazar OH, Hauser K, et al. Identification of a novel microRNA profile in pediatric patients with cancer treated with anthracycline chemotherapy. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2018;315:H1443-H1452.

56. Cheung Y-F, Li VW-Y, Lai CT-M, et al. Circulating high-sensitivity troponin T and microRNAs as markers of myocardial damage during childhood leukaemia treatment. *Pediatr Res.* 2021;89: 1245–1252.

57. Harries I, Biglino G, Ford K, et al. Prospective multiparametric CMR characterization and Micro-RNA profiling of anthracycline cardiotoxicity: a pilot translational study. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc.* 2022;43:101134.

58. Wang S, Olson EN. AngiomiRs-key regulators of angiogenesis. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2009;19: 205-211.

59. Qin X, Chang F, Wang Z, Jiang W. Correlation of circulating pro-angiogenic miRNAs with cardiotoxicity induced by epirubicin/cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel in patients with breast cancer. Cancer Biomark. 2018;23(4):473-484. https://doi.org/10.3233/CBM-181301

60. Zhu Z, Li X, Dong H, Ke S, Zheng WH. Let-7f and miRNA-126 correlate with reduced cardiotoxicity risk in triple-negative breast cancer patients who underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol*. 2018;11:4987-4995.

61. Feng Q, Ren Y, Hou A, et al. MicroRNA-130a increases and predicts cardiotoxicity during adjuvant chemotherapy in human epidermal growth factor receptor-2-positive breast cancer. *J Breast Cancer.* 2021;24(2):153–163. https://doi.org/10. 4048/jbc.2021.24.e15

62. Yin Z, Zhao Y, Li H, et al. miR-320a mediates doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity by targeting VEGF signal pathway. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2016;8: 192-207.

63. Sánchez-Sánchez R, Reinal I, Peiró-Molina E, et al. MicroRNA-4732-3p is dysregulated in breast cancer patients with cardiotoxicity, and its therapeutic delivery protects the heart from doxorubicin-induced oxidative stress in rats. *Antioxidants (Basel).* 2022;11(10):1955. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11101955

64. De Angelis A, Piegari E, Cappetta D, et al. Anthracycline cardiomyopathy is mediated by depletion of the cardiac stem cell pool and is rescued by restoration of progenitor cell function. *Circulation*. 2010;121:276-292. **65.** Piegari E, Russo R, Cappetta D, et al. Micro-RNA-34a regulates doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity in rat. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7:62312-62326.

66. Horie T, Ono K, Nishi H, et al. Acute doxorubicin cardiotoxicity is associated with miR-146ainduced inhibition of the neuregulin-ErbB pathway. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2010;87:656-664.

67. Taganov KD, Boldin MP, Chang KJ, Baltimore D. NF-kappaB-dependent induction of microRNA miR-146, an inhibitor targeted to signaling proteins of innate immune responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103:12481-12486.

68. Zhu X, Lu X. MiR-423-5p inhibition alleviates cardiomyocyte apoptosis and mitochondrial dysfunction caused by hypoxia/reoxygenation through activation of the wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway via targeting MYBL2. *J Cell Physiol.* 2019;234:22034-22043.

69. Yu M, Sun Y, Shan X, et al. Therapeutic overexpression of miR-92a-2-5p ameliorated cardiomyocyte oxidative stress injury in the development of diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Cell Mol Biol Lett.* 2022;27:85.

70. Chen P, Zhong J, Ye J, et al. miR-324-5p protects against oxidative stress-induced endo-thelial progenitor cell injury by targeting Mtfr1. *J Cell Physiol.* 2019;234:22082-22092.

71. Das S, Kohr M, Dunkerly-Eyring B, et al. Divergent effects of miR-181 family members on myocardial function through protective cytosolic and detrimental mitochondrial microRNA targets. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6(3):e004694. https:// doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.116.004694

72. Das S, Ferlito M, Kent OA, et al. Nuclear miRNA regulates the mitochondrial genome in the heart. *Circ Res.* 2012;110:1596-1603.

73. Hu X, Liu H, Wang Z, Hu Z, Li L. miR-200a attenuated doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity through upregulation of Nrf2 in mice. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2019;2019:1512326.

74. Piegari E, Cozzolino A, Ciuffreda LP, et al. Cardioprotective effects of miR-34a silencing in a rat model of doxorubicin toxicity. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:12250.

75. Pan J-A, Tang Y, Yu J-Y, et al. miR-146a attenuates apoptosis and modulates autophagy by targeting TAF9b/P53 pathway in doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity. *Cell Death Dis.* 2019;10(9):668. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1901-x

76. Feyen E, Ricke-Hoch M, Fraeyenhove JV, et al. ERBB4 and multiple microRNAs that target ERBB4 participate in pregnancy-related cardiomyopathy. *Circ Heart Fail.* 2021;14:e006898.

77. Liu Y, Duan C, Liu W, et al. Upregulation of let-7f-2-3p by long noncoding RNA NEAT1 inhibits XPO1-mediated HAX-1 nuclear export in both in vitro and in vivo rodent models of doxorubicininduced cardiotoxicity. *Arch Toxicol.* 2019;93: 3261-3276.

78. Ni J, Liu Y, Kang L, et al. Human trophoblastderived exosomes attenuate doxorubicin-induced cardiac injury by regulating miR-200b and downstream Zeb1. *J Nanobiotechnol*. 2020;18:171. **79.** Zhai C, Tang G, Peng L, et al. Inhibition of microRNA-1 attenuates hypoxia/re-oxygenation-induced apoptosis of cardiomyocytes by directly targeting Bcl-2 but not GADD45Beta. *Am J Transl Res.* 2015;7:1952–1962.

80. Diniz GP, Lino CA, Moreno CR, Senger N, Barreto-Chaves MLM. MicroRNA-1 overexpression blunts cardiomyocyte hypertrophy elicited by thyroid hormone. *J Cell Physiol*. 2017;232:3360– 3368.

81. Ouyang YB, Lu Y, Yue S, Giffard RG. miR-181 targets multiple Bcl-2 family members and influences apoptosis and mitochondrial function in astrocytes. *Mitochondrion*. 2012;12:213–219.

82. Qian Y, Jiang J, Peng J, Wang Q, Shen Y. Evaluation of MIR-181a as a potential therapeutic target in osteoarthritis. *Trop J Pharm Res.* 2017;16: 1069.

83. Indrieri A, Carrella S, Romano A, et al. miR-181a/b downregulation exerts a protective action on mitochondrial disease models. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2019;11:e8734.

84. Lai L, Chen J, Wang N, Zhu G, Duan X, Ling F. MiRNA-30e mediated cardioprotection of ACE2 in rats with Doxorubicin-induced heart failure through inhibiting cardiomyocytes autophagy. *Life Sci.* 2017;169:69–75.

85. Wu XF, Zhou ZH, Zou J. MicroRNA-181 inhibits proliferation and promotes apoptosis of chondrocytes in osteoarthritis by targeting PTEN. *Biochem Cell Biol.* 2017;95:437-444.

86. Henao-Mejia J, Williams A, Goff LA, et al. The microRNA miR-181 is a critical cellular metabolic rheostat essential for NKT cell ontogenesis and lymphocyte development and homeostasis. *Immunity*. 2013;38:984–997.

87. Jing X, Yang J, Jiang L, Chen J, Wang H. MicroRNA-29b regulates the mitochondria-dependent apoptotic pathway by targeting Bax in doxorubicin cardiotoxicity. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 2018;48:692-704.

88. Arif M, Pandey R, Alam P, et al. MicroRNA-210-mediated proliferation, survival, and angiogenesis promote cardiac repair post myocardial infarction in rodents. *J Mol Med (Berl)*. 2017;95: 1369–1385.

89. Fan ZG, Qu XL, Chu P, et al. MicroRNA-210 promotes angiogenesis in acute myocardial infarction. *Mol Med Rep.* 2018;17:5658-5665.

90. Bei Y, Lu D, Bär C, et al. miR-486 attenuates cardiac ischemia/reperfusion injury and mediates the beneficial effect of exercise for myocardial protection. *Mol Ther.* 2022;30:1675-1691.

91. Lange S, Banerjee I, Carrion K, et al. miR-486 is modulated by stretch and increases ventricular growth. *JCI Insight*. 2019;4(19):e125507. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.125507

92. Xia W, Chang B, Li L, et al. MicroRNA therapy confers anti-senescent effects on doxorubicin-related cardiotoxicity by intracellular and paracrine signaling. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2021;13:25256-25270.

93. Meng J, Xu C. MicroRNA-495-3p diminishes doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity through activating AKT. J Cell Mol Med. 2022;26:2076-2088.

94. Chen Y, Yin Y, Jiang H. miR-30e-5p alleviates inflammation and cardiac dysfunction after myocardial infarction through targeting PTEN. *Inflammation*. 2021;44:769-779.

95. Liu X, Guo B, Zhang W, Ma B, Li Y. MiR-20a-5p overexpression prevented diabetic cardiomyopathy via inhibition of cardiomyocyte apoptosis, hypertrophy, fibrosis and JUNK/NF-xB signalling pathway. *J Biochem*. 2021;170:349–362.

96. Frank D, Gutenberg J, Boomgaarden I, et al. MicroRNA-20a inhibits stress-induced cardiomyocyte apoptosis involving its novel target Egln3/PHD3. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2012;52(3):711-717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2011.12.001

97. Wan Q, Xu T, Ding W, et al. miR-499-5p attenuates mitochondrial fission and cell apoptosis via p21 in doxorubicin cardiotoxicity. *Front Genet.* 2019;9:734. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018. 00734

98. Zhuang L, Xia W, Chen D, et al. Exosomal LncRNA-NEAT1 derived from MIF-treated mesenchymal stem cells protected against doxorubicininduced cardiac senescence through sponging miR-221-3p. *J Nanobiotechnol.* 2020;18:157.

99. Leidal AM, Huang HH, Marsh T, et al. The LC3conjugation machinery specifies the loading of RNA-binding proteins into extracellular vesicles. *Nat Cell Bio.l.* 2020;22:187-199.

100. Santovito D, Egea V, Bidzhekov K, et al. Noncanonical inhibition of caspase-3 by a nuclear microRNA confers endothelial protection by autophagy in atherosclerosis. *Sci Transl Med.* 2020;12(546):eaaz2294. https://doi.org/10.1126/ scittanslmed.aaz294

101. Huang Y, Qi Y, Du JQ, Zhang DF. MicroRNA-34a regulates cardiac fibrosis after myocardial infarction by targeting Smad4. *Expert Opin Ther Tarqets*. 2014;18:1355-1365.

102. Yang Y, Cheng H-W, Qiu Y, et al. MicroRNA-34a plays a key role in cardiac repair and regeneration following myocardial infarction. *Circ Res.* 2015;117:450-459.

103. Shan H, Zhang Y, Lu Y, et al. Downregulation of miR-133 and miR-590 contributes to nicotine-induced atrial remodelling in canines. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2009;83:465-472.

104. van Rooij E, Sutherland LB, Thatcher JE, et al. Dysregulation of microRNAs after myocardial infarction reveals a role of miR-29 in cardiac fibrosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008;105: 13027-13032.

105. Valkov N, King ME, Moeller J, Liu H, Li X, Zhang P. MicroRNA-1-mediated inhibition of cardiac fibroblast proliferation through targeting Cyclin D2 and CDK6. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* 2019;6:65.

106. van Rooij E, Quiat D, Johnson BA, et al. A family of microRNAs encoded by myosin genes governs myosin expression and muscle performance. *Dev Cell*. 2009;17:662–673.

107. Safa A, Bahroudi Z, Shoorei H, et al. miR-1: a comprehensive review of its role in normal development and diverse disorders. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2020:132:110903.

108. Ai J, Zhang R, Li Y, et al. Circulating microRNA-1 as a potential novel biomarker for

acute myocardial infarction. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2010;391:73–77.

109. Yang B, Lin H, Xiao J, et al. The musclespecific microRNA miR-1 regulates cardiac arrhythmogenic potential by targeting GJA1 and KCNJ2. *Nat Med.* 2007;13:486-491.

110. Yu H, Lu Y, Li Z, Wang Q. microRNA-133: expression, function and therapeutic potential in muscle diseases and cancer. *Curr Drug Targets*. 2014;15:817-828.

111. Zhu ML, Yin YL, Ping S, et al. Berberine promotes ischemia-induced angiogenesis in mice heart via upregulation of microRNA-29b. *Clin Exp Hypertens.* 2017;39:672-679.

112. Boen JRA, Gevaert AB, De Keulenaer GW, Van Craenenbroeck EM, Segers VFM. The role of endothelial miRNAs in myocardial biology and disease. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2020;138:75-87.

113. Gryshkova V, Lushbough I, Palmer J, et al. microRNAs signatures as potential biomarkers of structural cardiotoxicity in human-induced pluripotent stem-cell derived cardiomyocytes. *Arch Toxicol.* 2022;96:2033-2047. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00204-022-03280-8

114. Hergenreider E, Heydt S, Tréguer K, et al. Atheroprotective communication between endo-thelial cells and smooth muscle cells through miRNAs. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2012;14:249–256.

115. Feng Y, Zou L, Yan D, et al. Extracellular microRNAs induce potent innate immune responses via TLR7/MyD88-dependent mechanisms. *J Immunol.* 2017;199:2106–2117.

116. Lehmann SM, Krüger C, Park B, et al. An unconventional role for miRNA: let-7 activates Tolllike receptor 7 and causes neurodegeneration. *Nat Neurosci.* 2012;15:827-835.

117. Maryam M, Naemi M, Hasani SS. A comprehensive review on oncogenic miRNAs in breast cancer. *J Genet.* 2021:100.

118. Orso F, Quirico L, Dettori D, et al. Role of miRNAs in tumor and endothelial cell interactions during tumor progression. *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2020;60:214-224.

119. Robinson S, Follo M, Haenel D, et al. Droplet digital PCR as a novel detection method for quantifying microRNAs in acute myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol.* 2018;257:247-254.

120. Didychuk AL, Butcher SE, Brow DA. The life of U6 small nuclear RNA, from cradle to grave. *RNA*. 2018;24:437-460.

121. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, et al. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 2002;3: research0034.1.

122. Lombardi G, Perego S, Sansoni V, Banfi G. Circulating miRNA as fine regulators of the physiological responses to physical activity: pre-

analytical warnings for a novel class of biomarkers. *Clin Biochem*. 2016;49:1331-1339.

123. Heegaard NHH, Carlsen AL, Lilje B, et al. Diurnal variations of human circulating cell-free micro-RNA. *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0160577.

124. Becker N, Lockwood CM. Pre-analytical variables in miRNA analysis. *Clin Biochem*. 2013;46: 861-868.

125. Witvrouwen I, Gevaert AB, Van Craenenbroeck EM, Van Craenenbroeck AH. MicroRNA isolation from plasma for real-time qPCR array. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet.* 2018;99:e69.

126. de Gonzalo-Calvo D, Marchese M, Hellemans J, et al. Consensus guidelines for the validation of qRT-PCR assays in clinical research by the CardioRNA consortium. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev.* 2022;24:171-180. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.omtm.2021.12.007

127. Jazbutyte V, Fiedler J, Kneitz S, et al. Micro-RNA-22 increases senescence and activates cardiac fibroblasts in the aging heart. *Age (Dordr)*. 2013;35:747-762.

128. Sharma S, Eghbali M. Influence of sex differences on microRNA gene regulation in disease. *Biol Sex Differ.* 2014;5(1):3. https://doi.org/10. 1186/2042-6410-5-3

129. Te JL, Dozmorov IM, Guthridge JM, et al. Identification of unique microRNA signature associated with lupus nephritis. *PLoS One*. 2010;5: e10344.

130. Weber M, Baker MB, Patel RS, Quyyumi AA, Bao G, Searles CD. MicroRNA expression profile in CAD patients and the impact of ACEI/ARB. *Cardiol Res Pract.* 2011;2011:532915.

131. Takahashi Y, Satoh M, Minami Y, Tabuchi T, Itoh T, Nakamura M. Expression of miR-146a/b is associated with the Toll-like receptor 4 signal in coronary artery disease: effect of renin-angiotensin system blockade and statins on miRNA-146a/b and Toll-like receptor 4 levels. *Clin Sci (Lond).* 2010;119:395–405.

132. Solayman MH, Langaee TY, Gong Y, et al. Effect of plasma MicroRNA on antihypertensive response to beta blockers in the Pharmacogenomic Evaluation of Antihypertensive Responses (PEAR) studies. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2019;131:93–98.

133. Schembri F, Sridhar S, Perdomo C, et al. MicroRNAs as modulators of smoking-induced gene expression changes in human airway epithelium. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009;106: 2319-2324.

134. Gupta SK, Bang C, Thum T. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers and potential paracrine mediators of cardiovascular disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet.* 2010;3:484-488.

135. Yang F, Fu Z, Yang M, et al. Expression pattern of microRNAs related with response to trastuzumab in breast cancer. *J Cell Physiol*.

2019;234(9):16102-16113. https://doi.org/10. 1002/jcp.28268

136. Zampetaki A, Willeit P, Drozdov I, Kiechl S, Mayr M. Profiling of circulating microRNAs: from single biomarkers to re-wired networks. *Car-diovasc Res.* 2012;93:555-562.

137. Mayr M, Zampetaki A, Willeit P, Willeit J, Kiechl S. MicroRNAs within the continuum of postgenomics biomarker discovery. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2013;33:206-214.

138. Yang H-H, Chen Y, Gao C-Y, Cui Z-T, Yao J-M. Protective effects of microRNA-126 on human cardiac microvascular endothelial cells against hypoxia/reoxygenation-induced injury and inflammatory response by activating PI3K/Akt/eNOS signaling pathway. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 2017;42: 506–518.

139. Liu H, Huan L, Yin J, et al. Role of microRNA-130a in myocardial hypoxia/reoxygenation injury. *Exp Ther Med.* 2017;13:759-765.

140. Pakravan G, Foroughmand AM, Peymani M, et al. Downregulation of miR-130a, antagonized doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity via increasing the PPAR_Y expression in mESCs-derived cardiac cells. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018;9:758.

141. Mazurek SR, Calway T, Harmon C, Farrell P, Kim GH. MicroRNA-130a regulation of desmocollin 2 in a novel model of arrhythmogenic cardiomy-opathy. *Microrna*. 2017;6(2):143–150. https://doi.org/10.2174/2211536605666161109111031

142. Xin M, Small EM, Sutherland LB, et al. MicroRNAs miR-143 and miR-145 modulate cytoskeletal dynamics and responsiveness of smooth muscle cells to injury. *Genes Dev.* 2009;23:2166-2178.

143. Tony H, Yu K, Qiutang Z. MicroRNA-208a silencing attenuates doxorubicin induced myocyte apoptosis and cardiac dysfunction. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2015;2015:597032.

144. Wang K, Zhang DL, Long B, et al. NFAT4dependent miR-324-5p regulates mitochondrial morphology and cardiomyocyte cell death by targeting Mtfr1. *Cell Death Dis.* 2015;6:e2007.

145. Xu J, Lei S, Sun S, et al. MiR-324-3p regulates fibroblast proliferation via targeting TGF- β 1 in atrial fibrillation. *Int Heart J.* 2020;61:1270–1278.

146. Wu J, Yue B, Lan X, et al. MiR-499 regulates myoblast proliferation and differentiation by targeting transforming growth factor β receptor 1. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234:2523-2536.

KEY WORDS cardiomyopathy, cardiotoxicity, chemotherapy, circulating biomarkers, epigenetics, heart failure

APPENDIX For supplemental tables, please see the online version of this paper.