e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit. 2019: 25: 7005-7015 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.917436

1 Department of Cardiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xinjiang Medical

2 Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, The Second

Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University,

University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, P.R. China

Wenzhou, Zhejiang, P.R. China

**CLINICAL RESEARCH** 

MONITOR

Received: 2019.05.08 Red Blood Cell Distribution Width Is Associated Accepted: 2019.06.03 Published: 2019.09.18 with All-Cause Mortality in Critically Ill Patients with Cardiogenic Shock ABCEF 1 Benji Wang Authors' Contribution: Study Design A Gulandanmu Aihemaiti BC 1 Data Collection B DF 2 Bihuan Cheng Statistical Analysis C AG 1 Xiaomei Li Data Interpretation D Manuscript Preparation E Literature Search F Funds Collection G **Corresponding Author:** Xiaomei Li, e-mail: lixiaomei@xjmu.edu.cn Source of support: This research was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of Wenzhou (grant No. Y20180515) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 81660058)

Background: There is no previously published epidemiological study exploring the association between red blood cell distribution width (RDW) and mortality in patients with cardiogenic shock (CS). The aim of this study was to examine the association between RDW and the risk of all-cause mortality in these patients. We analyzed clinical data from the MIMIC-III V1.4 database. We collected data on each patient's demograph-Material/Methods: ic parameters, vital signs, laboratory parameters, vital signs, comorbidities, and scoring systems on ICU admission. Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess the association between RDW levels and the 30-day, 90-day, and 365-day mortality in patients with CS. Results: There were 1131 patients meeting inclusion criteria in our study. In multivariate analysis, following adjustment for age, sex, and ethnicity, higher RDW in tertiles and quintiles were all associated with increased risk of 30-day, 90-day, and 365-day all-cause mortality. Furthermore, after adjusting for more relevant confounders, RDW remained a significant predictor of risk of 30-day, 90-day, and 365-day mortality (tertile 3 versus tertile 1: HR, 95% Cl: 1.66, 1.19–2.31; 1.73, 1.28–2.33; 1.80, 1.38–2.34). Similarly significant robust associations were found in RDW levels stratified by quintiles. **Conclusions:** Higher RDW is associated with increased risk of all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with CS. **MeSH Keywords:** Erythrocyte Indices • Intensive Care Units • Mortality • Shock, Cardiogenic Full-text PDF: https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/917436





# Background

Cardiogenic shock (CS) is defined as a state of critical macro- and microcirculatory dysfunction caused by heart pump failure [1]. There are numerous causes of CS, including acute myocardial infarction (AMI), severe myocarditis, and end-stage dilated cardiomyopathy. Of these, AMI is the most frequent cause [2]. Despite advances in treatment, CS carries a high mortality rate, approaching 50% [3–6]. Considering the poor prognosis of CS in critical illness, several risk factors have been found to be associated with mortality in CS, such as age, systolic blood pressure (SBP), creatinine clearance, and number of vasopressors used [7-9]. Nevertheless, these risk factors are not widely used in clinical practice.

Red blood cell distribution width (RDW) is an indicator of change in erythrocyte volume, and it is generally used for differential diagnosis of anemia. However, recent studies indicated that RDW is a powerful independent predictor of adverse outcomes. It has been found to be related to mortality in patients with coronary artery disease [10], heart failure [11,12], pulmonary hypertension [13], ischemic stroke [14], cancer [15], and acute kidney injury [16]. Previous research has shown that inflammatory cytokines, including Interleukin-6, -7, -8, and -10, are predictors of prognosis in acute myocardial infarction complicated by CS [17]. Proinflammatory cytokines have been shown to inhibit erythrocyte maturation, partly reflecting an increase in RDW [18,19]. Thus, this may be a potential pathophysiological connection between high RDW and CS. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no previously published epidemiological study exploring the association between RDW and mortality in patients with CS. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine the relationship between RDW and risk of all-cause mortality in these patients.

# **Material and Methods**

## Data source

Similar to our previous studies, we followed the methods of Wang et al. in this study [20–22]. The clinical database we extracted is Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care III version 1.4 (MIMIC-III v1.4), which is an freely available critical care database, including de-identified health data associated with ~40 000 critical care patients at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, USA) from 2001 to 2012 [23]. This database contains demographics such as patient age, sex, ethnicity, and laboratory values such as bicarbonate, creatinine, and platelet; physiological data such as height, weight, temperature and respiratory rate; microbiology data; and survival to and after hospital discharge. We obtained survival data from the Social Security database. The project was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, MA) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Cambridge, MA) and was granted a waiver of informed consent.

## Population selection criteria

Adult patients ( $\geq$ 18 years) with CS using International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 code (code=785.51) were included, and these patients had to be hospitalized in the intensive care unit (ICU) at first admission for more than 2 days. Patients with hematologic diseases such as leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome were excluded from our study.

### **Data extraction**

We collected ICU admission data such as demographic parameters, vital signs, laboratory parameters, vital signs, comorbidities, scoring systems. The comorbidities included coronary artery disease (CAD), congestive heart failure (CHF), atrial fibrillation (AFIB), stroke, renal disease, liver disease, malignancy, pneumonia, respiratory failure, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [24]. We extracted laboratory parameters, including RDW, bicarbonate, anion gap, creatinine, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), chloride, white blood cell (WBC), hematocrit, hemoglobin, platelet, glucose, sodium, potassium, lactate, prothrombin time (PT), international normalized ratio (INR), and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT).

Sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) scores [25] and simplified acute physiology scores II (SAPSII) [26] were obtained at the time of ICU admission. The physiological measurements and clinical information used an equation established in recommendations and accepted formulae. The other extracted data included demographic parameters (age, sex, and ethnicity), vital signs (systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), mean blood pressure (MBP), respiratory rate, temperature, heart rate, and SPO2), renal replacement therapy, vasopressor use, and length of stay in the ICU. Survival information on vital status was obtained from Social Security Death Index records. The endpoints of our study were 30-day, 90-day, and 365-day all-cause mortality from the date of ICU admission.

### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or medians and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical data are summarized as number or percentage. We used the chi-square test or one-way ANOVA to test for differences in categorical or continuous factors between different categories of RDW. We used Cox proportional hazards models to assess the association between RDW levels and the 30-day, 90-day, and 365-day mortality, and the results are presented as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

To determine whether the RDW was independently associated with endpoints, we used 2 multivariate models. In model I, covariates were only adjusted for age, sex, and ethnicity. In model II, we further adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, respiratory rate, heart rate, temperature, SPO2, SBP, DBP, glucose, anion gap, hemoglobin, ethnicity, bicarbonate, creatinine, chloride, glucose, potassium, APTT, INR, PT, BUN, WBC, CHF, renal disease, liver disease, malignancy, respiratory failure, pneumonia, stroke, vasopressor use, renal replacement therapy, SOFA, and SAPSII. We selected these confounders based on a change in effect estimate of more than 10% [27]. Subgroup analysis of the associations between RDW and 90-day all-cause mortality was performed. All probability values are 2-sided, and values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. EmpowerStats (http://www.empowerstats.cn/, X&Y solutions, Inc, Boston, MA) and R (http://www.R-project.org) were used for all statistical analysis.

# Results

## Subject characteristics

There were 1131 ICU admissions meeting inclusion criteria, and 311 patients were excluded in our study. The demographic characteristics of these patients stratified by RDW tertiles are displayed in Table 1. A total of 365 patients were in the low-RDW group (RDW <13.8%), 385 patients were in the mid-RDW group (13.8% to 15.2%), and 381 patients were in the high-RDW group (RDW  $\geq$ 15.2%). Of these patients, there were 662 (58.5%) men and 771 (68.2%) were white. Patients with high-RDW levels were more likely to be elderly and to report comorbidities of CHF, AFIB, renal disease, liver disease, malignancy, and respiratory failure, and had higher values of anion gap, creatinine, BUN, PT, INR, SOFA, SAPSII, and mortality.

# Association between RDW and mortality

In multivariate analysis, we stratified RDW levels by tertiles and quintiles to assess whether RDW was associated with 30day, 90-day, and 365-day all-cause mortality in patients with CS (Table 2). In model I, higher RDW in tertiles and quintiles all were associated with increased risk of all-cause mortality after adjustments for age, sex and ethnicity. In model II, after adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, heart rate, respiratory rate, SPO2, temperature, SBP, DBP, glucose, anion gap, hemoglobin, ethnicity, bicarbonate, creatinine, chloride, glucose, potassium, APTT, INR, PT, BUN, WBC, CHF, renal disease, stroke, malignancy, liver disease, respiratory failure, pneumonia, vasopressor use, renal replacement therapy, SOFA, and SAPSII, high-RDW levels remained a significant predictor of these clinical endpoints compared with the low-RDW levels (tertile 3 versus tertile 1: HR, 95% Cl: 1.66, 1.19–2.31; 1.73, 1.28–2.33; 1.80, 1.38–2.34). A similar trend was observed in RDW levels stratified by quintiles; the HRs and 95% Cls (quintile 5 versus quintile 1) were 1.93, 1.25–2.97; 2.04, 1.38–3.03; 2.09, 1.48–2.94, respectively.

# Subgroup analyses

The association between RDW levels and 90-day all-cause mortality was similar in most strata (Table 3), and there were no interactions (P=0.0966–0.9514). Significant interactions were observed only for glucose (P=0.0287) and hematocrit (P=0.0409). Patients with a higher RDW exhibited significantly higher mortality at glucose <149 mg/dl (HR 3.22, 95% Cl 2.16–4.81) than at ≥149 mg/dl (HR 1.52, 95% Cl 1.11–2.09). A similar trend was observed in patients with hematocrit ≥30% (HR 2.59, 95% Cl 1.84–3.65).

# Discussion

The results of our study indicate that higher RDW levels are associated with increased risk of 30-day, 90-day, and 365-day all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with CS. Furthermore, after adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity, and other confounding factors, higher RDW remained a significant predictor of all-cause mortality. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no previous study on the relation between RDW and mortality of CS.

Previous studies have demonstrated a strong relationship between RDW and the severity and prognosis of patients with cardiovascular diseases, including heart failure [28], myocardial infarction [29], coronary atherosclerosis [30], atrial fibrillation [31], and primary hypertension [32]. Although several risk factors appear to contribute to poor outcomes in critical illness [33], the correlation between RDW and these diseases is even stronger than those of traditional risk factors. We previously analyzed the MIMIC-III to study the biomarkers related to the prognosis of a variety of diseases [20,22]. In the present study, we also found that RDW was a significant predictor of poor prognosis in CS patients after adjusting for clinical and laboratory confounding factors. In subgroup analysis, there was no interaction in most strata, and the stratified analysis of interactions indicated that high RDW remained a predictor of mortality. Consequently, the result of our study was a crucial discovery that supplements the findings of previous studies.

CS is a complex pathophysiological process that has been summarized in previous studies [34,35]. In brief, ischemia causes a severe decline in myocardial contractility, inducing a vicious Table 1. Characteristics of the study patients according to RDW levels.

Chama the station		RDW (%)				
	<13.8 (n=365)	≥13.8, <15.2 (n=385)	≥15.2 (n=381)	P value		
Age, years	68.2±14.5	71.3±14.4	72.0±12.7	<0.001		
Sex, n (%)				0.656		
Female	157 (43.0)	153 (39.7)	159 (41.7)			
Male	208 (57.0)	232 (60.3)	222 (58.3)			
Ethnicity, n (%)				0.029		
White	235 (64.4)	274 (71.2)	262 (68.8)			
Black	26 (7.1)	16 (4.2)	34 (8.9)			
Other	104 (28.5)	95 (24.7)	85 (22.3)			
SBP, mmHg	106.0±14.8	107.7±16.3	106.4±15.5	0.319		
DBP, mmHg	58.0±9.7	57.8±11.2	56.9 <u>+</u> 9.7	0.287		
MBP, mmHg	75.8±9.6	74.9±10.7	72.9±10.1	<0.001		
Heart rate, beats/minute	87.6±16.0	88.2±17.6	87.9±17.0	0.901		
Respiratory rate, beats/minute	19.4±3.9	19.7±4.1	20.3 <u>+</u> 4.0	0.010		
Temperature, °C	36.8±0.9	36.8±0.8	36.6±0.9	<0.001		
SPO2,%	96.9±3.6	96.5±4.3	95.8 <u>+</u> 6.6	0.012		
Comorbidities, n (%)						
Congestive heart failure	99 (27.1)	138 (35.8)	160 (42.0)	<0.001		
Coronary artery disease	235 (64.4)	215 (55.8)	189 (49.6)	<0.001		
Atrial fibrillation	134 (36.7)	158 (41.0)	190 (49.9)	0.001		
Hypertension	161 (44.1)	183 (47.5)	226 (59.3)	<0.001		
Stroke	21 (5.8)	24 (6.2)	13 (3.4)	0.168		
Renal disease	27 (7.4)	62 (16.1)	113 (29.7)	<0.001		
Liver disease	4 (1.1)	11 (2.9)	19 (5.0)	0.008		
Pneumonia	103 (28.2)	119 (30.9)	109 (28.6)	0.679		
Malignancy	22 (6.0)	26 (6.8)	58 (15.2)	<0.001		
Respiratory failure	136 (37.3)	168 (43.6)	172 (45.1)	0.070		
COPD	17 (4.7)	24 (6.2)	45 (11.8)	0.022		
Laboratory parameters						
Bicarbonate, mg/dl	19.9±4.8	20.2±5.0	19.9±5.9	0.689		
Anion gap, mmol/l	14.2±3.5	14.3±3.7	15.4 <u>+</u> 4.6	<0.001		
Creatinine, mEq/l	1.2±1.6	1.5±1.3	2.2±1.9	<0.001		
Chloride, mmol/l	101.7±5.9	102.3±6.5	100.9±6.0	0.006		
Glucose, mg/dl	171.3±60.1	159.1±53.0	155.5±53.4	<0.001		
Hematocrit, %	31.1±6.9	30.3±6.8	28.9±6.3	<0.001		

Characteristics		RDW (%)				
	<13.8 (n=365)	≥13.8, <15.2 (n=385)	≥15.2 (n=381)	P value		
Hemoglobin, g/dl	10.7±2.4	10.3±2.3	9.5±2.0	<0.001		
Platelet, 10º/l	198.6 <u>+</u> 85.7	195.9±93.4	197.8±109.8	0.925		
Sodium, mmol/l	135.3±5.1	135.5±4.8	135.2±5.1	0.764		
Potassium, mmol/l	3.6±0.6	3.8±0.6	3.9±0.6	<0.001		
BUN, mg/dl	24.0 <u>+</u> 15.8	29.5±19.2	41.1±25.4	<0.001		
WBC, 10º/l	11.8±4.4	11.5±5.3	11.0±6.1	0.134		
Lactate, mmol/l	2.4 <u>+</u> 2.0	1.9±1.7	2.0±1.6	<0.001		
PT, second	14.3 <u>+</u> 3.2	15.3±5.2	17.6 <u>+</u> 8.2	<0.001		
APTT, second	38.9 <u>+</u> 22.0	39.9±23.7	38.6±20.4	0.684		
INR	1.3±0.4	1.5±0.8	1.8±1.6	<0.001		
Scoring systems						
SOFA	5.7 <u>±</u> 3.5	6.6±3.7	7.3±3.8	<0.001		
SAPSII	41.9±15.1	45.9±15.8	48.3±15.7	<0.001		
Renal replacement therapy, n (%)	29 (7.9)	48 (12.5)	67 (17.6)	<0.001		
Vasopressor use, n (%)	274 (75.1)	288 (74.8)	270 (70.9)	0.340		
ICU LOS, day	8.2 <u>±</u> 13.6	7.6±9.1	7.2 <u>+</u> 8.6	0.412		
30-day mortality, n (%)	88 (24.1)	125 (32.5)	155 (40.7)	<0.001		
90-day mortality, n (%)	104 (28.5)	152 (39.5)	195 (51.2)	<0.001		
365-day mortality, n (%)	131 (35.9)	182 (47.3)	239 (62.7)	<0.001		

## Table 1 continued. Characteristics of the study patients according to RDW levels.

SBP – systolic blood pressure; DBP – diastolic blood pressure; MBP – mean blood pressure; COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BUN – blood urea nitrogen; WBC – white blood cell; PT – prothrombin time; APTT – activated partial thromboplastin time; INR – international normalized ratio; SOFA – sequential organ failure assessment; SAPSII – simplified acute physiology score II; ICU – Intensive Care Unit; LOS – length of stay.

cycle of decreased cardiac index and decreased blood pressure, thereby impairing cardiac dysfunction and further promoting coronary ischemia [1]. CS entails not just the loss of left ventricular function, it is also a disorder of the entire circulatory system [36]. Systemic inflammation with capillary leakage and microcirculatory disorder lead to the vicious cycle of CS [17]. Pierce et al. [18] found that inflammatory cytokines affected iron metabolism and inhibited bone marrow, which caused an increase of RDW. Additionally, CS can cause activation of the renin-angiotensin system, which leads to an increase in RDW with erythropoiesis [37]. Furthermore, a study showed that when the RDW level was more than 14%, the deformability of red blood cells in microvessels decreased [38]. This results in a decrease in microvascular perfusion, leading to microcirculatory disorders [39,40]. Therefore, it appears that excessively high RDW levels can predict poor outcomes in CS patients.

Our study has some limitations. First, this was a single-center, retrospective study, and was therefore subject to selection bias. Second, we extracted RDW in patients only upon admission to the ICU; we could not obtain it before ICU stay, and it was also unclear whether they were measured by multiple detection machines. These factors can influence the reliability of the results. Third, we did not know patients' iron metabolism status or whether erythropoietin use affected RDW values. Furthermore, the database contains a few inaccurate data elements; therefore, multi-center prospective studies are needed to confirm these findings.

Table 2. HRs (95% CIs) for all-cause mortality across groups of RDW levels.

BDW 9/	Non-adjusted		Model I		Model II	
KDW, %	HR (95%Cls)	P value	HR (95%Cls)	P value	HR (95%Cls)	P value
30-day all-cause mortality						
Tertiles						
<13.8	1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)	
≥13.8, <15.2	1.42 (1.08, 1.87)	0.0117	1.35 (1.02, 1.77)	0.0330	1.37 (1.01, 1.87)	0.0457
≥15.2	1.87 (1.44, 2.43)	<0.0001	1.81 (1.39, 2.35)	<0.0001	1.66 (1.19, 2.31)	0.0026
P trend	<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0049	
Quintiles						
<13.3	1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)	
≥13.3, <14.0	1.38 (0.94, 2.04)	0.1017	1.40 (0.95, 2.07)	0.0891	1.38 (0.91, 2.08)	0.1246
≥14.0, <14.8	1.68 (1.16, 2.44)	0.0064	1.58 (1.08, 2.30)	0.0170	1.77 (1.17, 2.68)	0.0068
≥14.8, <16.3	1.92 (1.33, 2.78)	0.0005	1.82 (1.26, 2.64)	0.0015	1.54 (1.00, 2.37)	0.0485
≥16.3	2.36 (1.65, 3.37)	<0.0001	2.34 (1.64, 3.34)	<0.0001	1.93 (1.25, 2.97)	0.0028
P trend	<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0120	
90-day all-cause mortality						
Tertiles						
<13.8	1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)	
≥13.8, <15.2	1.49 (1.16, 1.91)	0.0018	1.41 (1.10, 1.81)	0.0074	1.41 (1.07, 1.88)	0.0162
≥15.2	2.07 (1.63, 2.62)	<0.0001	2.00 (1.58, 2.55)	<0.0001	1.73 (1.28, 2.33)	0.0003
<i>P</i> trend	<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0008	
Quintiles						
<13.3	1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)	
≥13.3, <14.0	1.56 (1.09, 2.23)	0.0158	1.56 (1.09, 2.24)	0.0149	1.48 (1.01, 2.17)	0.0420
≥14.0, <14.8	1.91 (1.35, 2.70)	0.0003	1.78 (1.25, 2.52)	0.0012	1.81 (1.23, 2.65)	0.0025
≥14.8, <16.3	2.25 (1.60, 3.17)	<0.0001	2.13 (1.51, 3.00)	<0.0001	1.72 (1.16, 2.54)	0.0064
≥16.3	2.72 (1.95, 3.80)	<0.0001	2.71 (1.94, 3.78)	<0.0001	2.04 (1.38, 3.03)	0.0004
<i>P</i> trend	<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0032	
365-day all-cause mortality						
Tertiles						
<13.8	1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)	
≥13.8, <15.2	1.44 (1.15, 1.81)	0.0013	1.37 (1.09, 1.71)	0.0067	1.37 (1.07, 1.77)	0.0138
≥15.2	2.13 (1.72, 2.64)	<0.0001	2.06 (1.66, 2.55)	<0.0001	1.80 (1.38, 2.34)	<0.0001
P trend	<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001	
Quintiles						
<13.3	1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)		1.0 (ref)	

RDW,%	Non-adju	Non-adjusted		Model I		Model II	
	HR (95%Cls)	P value	HR (95%Cls)	P value	HR (95%Cls)	P value	
≥13.3, <14.0	1.45 (1.05, 2.00)	0.0236	1.45 (1.05, 2.00)	0.0236	1.35 (0.96, 1.89)	0.0847	
≥14.0, <14.8	1.81 (1.33, 2.47)	0.0002	1.69 (1.24, 2.31)	0.0009	1.68 (1.20, 2.36)	0.0024	
≥14.8, <16.3	2.28 (1.69, 3.09)	<0.0001	2.12 (1.56, 2.88)	<0.0001	1.77 (1.26, 2.49)	0.0010	
≥16.3	2.82 (2.10, 3.78)	<0.0001	2.80 (2.08, 3.76)	<0.0001	2.09 (1.48, 2.94)	<0.0001	
P trend	<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		

### Table 2 continued. HRs (95% CIs) for all-cause mortality across groups of RDW levels.

HR – hazard ratio; CI – confidence interval. Models were derived from Cox proportional hazards regression models. Non-adjusted model adjusted for: none. Adjust I model adjusted for: age, ethnicity and sex. Adjust II model adjusted for: age, sex, ethnicity, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, respiratory rate, temperature, SPO2, glucose, anion gap, hemoglobin, ethnicity, bicarbonate, creatinine, chloride, glucose, potassium, APTT, INR, PT, BUN, WBC, congestive heart failure, renal disease, liver disease, stroke, malignancy, respiratory failure, pneumonia, vasopressor use, renal replacement therapy, SOFA, SAPSII.

Table 3. Subgroup analysis of the associations between RDW and 90-day all-cause mortality.

	No. of		RDW (%)		P for
	patients	<13.8	≥13.8, <15.2	≥15.2	interaction
CHF					0.4534
No	734	1.0 (ref)	1.36 (1.02, 1.83)	1.84 (1.38, 2.45)	
Yes	397	1.0 (ref)	1.68 (1.01, 2.79)	2.70 (1.68, 4.34)	
AFIB					0.3746
No	649	1.0 (ref)	1.70 (1.22, 2.37)	2.16 (1.56, 3.01)	
Yes	482	1.0 (ref)	1.09 (0.74, 1.59)	1.82 (1.28, 2.58)	
CAD					0.8231
No	492	1.0 (ref)	1.30 (0.87, 1.94)	1.88 (1.29, 2.74)	
Yes	639	1.0 (ref)	1.41 (1.01, 1.95)	1.96 (1.42, 2.70)	
Stroke					0.7774
No	1073	1.0 (ref)	1.40 (1.09, 1.81)	1.94 (1.52, 2.48)	
Yes	58	1.0 (ref)	1.46 (0.33, 6.47)	3.31 (0.78, 14.14)	
Malignancy					0.3202
No	1025	1.0 (ref)	1.35 (1.04, 1.76)	1.89 (1.47, 2.44)	
Yes	106	1.0 (ref)	2.44 (0.92, 6.48)	3.06 (1.27, 7.41)	
Liver disease					0.5414
No	1097	1.0 (ref)	1.42 (1.10, 1.83)	2.01 (1.57, 2.56)	
Yes	34	1.0 (ref)	0.51 (0.09, 2.92)	0.84 (0.18, 3.95)	
Renal disease					0.8962
No	929	1.0 (ref)	1.41 (1.07, 1.84)	2.04 (1.57, 2.66)	
Yes	202	1.0 (ref)	1.19 (0.59, 2.38)	1.50 (0.78, 2.88)	
Respiratory failure					0.3841
No	655	1.0 (ref)	1.49 (1.05, 2.10)	2.07 (1.48, 2.88)	
Yes	476	1.0 (ref)	1.23 (0.85, 1.78)	1.83 (1.29, 2.59)	

	No. of		P for		
patie	patients	<13.8	≥13.8, <15.2	≥15.2	interaction
Pneumonia					0.8373
No	800	1.0 (ref)	1.29 (0.95, 1.75)	1.86 (1.40, 2.47)	
Yes	331	1.0 (ref)	1.74 (1.10, 2.74)	2.50 (1.60, 3.90)	
COPD					0.3124
No	1045	1.0 (ref)	1.32 (1.07, 1.88)	1.95 (1.48, 2.50)	
Yes	86	1.0 (ref)	0.53 (0.09, 15.37)	0.41 (0.11, 3.26)	
Vasopressor use					0.6860
No	299	1.0 (ref)	0.99 (0.50, 1.95)	2.01 (1.11, 3.64)	
Yes	832	1.0 (ref)	1.52 (1.16, 1.99)	2.07 (1.59, 2.69)	
Sodium, mmol/l					0.5786
<136	540	1.0 (ref)	1.21 (0.85, 1.71)	1.97 (1.43, 2.73)	
≥136	591	1.0 (ref)	1.63 (1.13, 2.36)	2.04 (1.42, 2.93)	
Potassium, mmol/l					0.7154
<3.7	506	1.0 (ref)	1.36 (0.93, 1.97)	2.00 (1.39, 2.87)	
≥3.7	625	1.0 (ref)	1.36 (0.96, 1.92)	1.90 (1.36, 2.64)	
Chloride, mmol/l					0.2137
<102	513	1.0 (ref)	1.47 (1.00, 2.14)	2.26 (1.59, 3.21)	
≥102	618	1.0 (ref)	1.38 (0.99, 1.94)	1.85 (1.33, 2.57)	
WBC, 10º/l					0.3119
<10.6	556	1.0 (ref)	1.54 (1.00, 2.37)	2.26 (1.50, 3.38)	
≥10.6	575	1.0 (ref)	1.42 (1.04, 1.94)	2.12 (1.57, 2.87)	
Platelet, 10º/l					0.6210
<184	560	1.0 (ref)	1.19 (0.83, 1.70)	1.81 (1.30, 2.52)	
≥184	571	1.0 (ref)	1.67 (1.17, 2.39)	2.33 (1.64, 3.29)	
Hematocrit,%					0.0409
<30	563	1.0 (ref)	1.20 (0.84, 1.73)	1.55 (1.10, 2.18)	
≥30	568	1.0 (ref)	1.58 (1.12, 2.24)	2.59 (1.84, 3.65)	
Hemoglobin, g/dl					0.1139
<10.1	547	1.0 (ref)	1.21 (0.83, 1.77)	1.58 (1.12, 2.25)	
≥10.1	584	1.0 (ref)	1.53 (1.09, 2.14)	2.54 (1.82, 3.55)	
Creatinine, mEq/l					0.1649
<1.2	563	1.0 (ref)	1.46 (0.98, 2.18)	2.46 (1.66, 3.66)	
≥1.2	567	1.0 (ref)	1.10 (0.80, 1.53)	1.26 (0.92, 1.71)	
BUN, mg/dl					0.4479
<25	562	1.0 (ref)	1.29 (0.88, 1.89)	2.31 (1.58, 3.37)	
≥25	568	1.0 (ref)	1.31 (0.93, 1.85)	1.51 (1.09, 2.09)	
Anion gap, mmol/l					0.2410
<14	493	1.0 (ref)	1.22 (0.80, 1.88)	2.00 (1.31, 3.04)	
≥14	628	1.0 (ref)	1.63 (1.19, 2.22)	1.92 (1.43, 2.58)	

## Table 3 continued. Subgroup analysis of the associations between RDW and 90-day all-cause mortality.

	No. of		RDW (%)		P for
	patients	<13.8	≥13.8, <15.2	≥15.2	interaction
Bicarbonate, mg/dl					0.0966
<20	496	1.0 (ref)	1.49 (1.08, 2.06)	1.68 (1.23, 2.32)	
≥20	632	1.0 (ref)	1.26 (0.85, 1.89)	2.40 (1.66, 3.47)	
Glucose, mg/dl					0.0287
<149	560	1.0 (ref)	1.53 (0.99, 2.37)	3.22 (2.16, 4.81)	
≥149	561	1.0 (ref)	1.41 (1.03, 1.93)	1.52 (1.11, 2.09)	
PT, second					0.8402
<14.1	532	1.0 (ref)	1.36 (0.94, 1.95)	1.76 (1.19, 2.59)	
≥14.1	549	1.0 (ref)	1.29 (0.90, 1.86)	1.72 (1.23, 2.41)	
APTT, second					0.8565
<32.1	535	1.0 (ref)	1.55 (1.04, 2.30)	1.86 (1.25, 2.77)	
≥32.1	550	1.0 (ref)	1.36 (0.98, 1.90)	2.10 (1.54, 2.86)	
INR					0.4453
<1.3	496	1.0 (ref)	1.21 (0.81, 1.79)	1.55 (1.02, 2.35)	
≥1.3	585	1.0 (ref)	1.42 (1.01, 2.00)	1.85 (1.35, 2.54)	
SBP, mmHg					0.7549
<105	562	1.0 (ref)	1.54 (1.11, 2.14)	2.14 (1.57, 2.91)	
≥105	563	1.0 (ref)	1.31 (0.88, 1.94)	1.83 (1.25, 2.69)	
DBP, mmHg					0.7295
<57	562	1.0 (ref)	1.52 (1.08, 2.12)	2.07 (1.51, 2.84)	
≥57	563	1.0 (ref)	1.32 (0.90, 1.94)	1.93 (1.32, 2.81)	
MBP, mmHg					0.9514
<74	563	1.0 (ref)	1.60 (1.13, 2.25)	1.96 (1.42, 2.71)	
≥74	563	1.0 (ref)	1.15 (0.79, 1.68)	1.84 (1.27, 2.66)	
Heart rate, beats/minute	2				0.7064
<87	563	1.0 (ref)	1.18 (0.81, 1.71)	1.78 (1.24, 2.54)	
≥87	563	1.0 (ref)	1.65 (1.17, 2.32)	2.18 (1.57, 3.03)	
Respiratory rate, beats/r	ninute				0.4364
<19	563	1.0 (ref)	0.99 (0.68, 1.44)	1.56 (1.10, 2.23)	
≥19	564	1.0 (ref)	1.86 (1.32, 2.62)	2.34 (1.68, 3.26)	
Temperature, °C					0.7102
<36.8	541	1.0 (ref)	1.34 (0.94, 1.92)	1.85 (1.32, 2.59)	
≥36.8	542	1.0 (ref)	1.46 (1.00, 2.13)	2.27 (1.57, 3.29)	
SPO2,%					0.6700
<97.5	562	1.0 (ref)	1.19 (0.85, 1.68)	1.92 (1.40, 2.65)	
≥97.5	562	1.0 (ref)	1.67 (1.15, 2.43)	1.99 (1.38, 2.87)	
RRT					0.2700
No	987	1.0 (ref)	1.37 (1.04, 1.80)	2.10 (1.62, 2.72)	
Yes	144	1.0 (ref)	1.31 (0.70, 2.44)	1.11 (0.60, 2.06)	

## Table 3 continued. Subgroup analysis of the associations between RDW and 90-day all-cause mortality.

#### Table 3 continued. Subgroup analysis of the associations between RDW and 90-day all-cause mortality.

	No. of		P for		
	patients	<13.8	≥13.8, <15.2	≥15.2	interaction
SOFA scores					0.5581
<6	493	1.0 (ref)	1.22 (0.77, 1.93)	1.87 (1.22, 2.87)	
≥6	638	1.0 (ref)	1.30 (0.96, 1.76)	1.75 (1.31, 2.34)	
SAPSII scores					0.6148
<44	545	1.0 (ref)	1.18 (0.74, 1.87)	1.94 (1.26, 2.97)	
≥44	586	1.0 (ref)	1.43 (1.06, 1.93)	1.93 (1.44, 2.59)	

CHF – congestive heart failure; AFIB – atrial fibrillation; CAD – coronary artery disease; COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; WBC – white blood cell; BUN – blood urea nitrogen; PT – prothrombin time; APTT – activated partial thromboplastin time; INR – international normalized ratio; SBP – systolic blood pressure; DBP – diastolic blood pressure; MBP – mean blood pressure; RRT – renal replacement therapy; SOFA – sequential organ failure assessment; SAPSII – simplified acute physiology score II.

### Conclusions

Higher RDW was associated with increased risk of 30-day, 90-day, and 365-day all-cause mortality in critically ill patients with CS.

### Data availability

The clinical data used to support the findings of this study were supplied by Monitoring in Intensive Care Database III

### **References:**

- 1. Thiele H, Ohman EM, Desch S et al. Management of cardiogenic shock. Eur Heart J, 2015; 36(20): 1223–30
- Hochman JS, Buller CE, Sleeper LA et al: Cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction – etiologies, management and outcome: A report from the SHOCK Trial Registry. SHould we emergently revascularize Occluded Coronaries for cardiogenic shock? J Am Coll Cardiol, 2000; 36(3): 1063–70
- Nadia A, Etienne P, Xavier T et al: Improved outcome of cardiogenic shock at the acute stage of myocardial infarction: A report from the USIK 1995, USIC 2000, and FAST-MI French nationwide registries. Eur Heart J, 2012; 33(20): 2535-43
- 4. Goldberg RJ, Spencer FA, Gore JM et al: Thirty-year trends (1975 to 2005) in the magnitude of, management of, and hospital death rates associated with cardiogenic shock in patients with acute myocardial infarction: A population-based perspective. Circulation, 2009; 119(9): 1211–19
- Jeger RV, Dragana R, Hunziker PR et al: Ten-year trends in the incidence and treatment of cardiogenic shock. Ann Intern Med, 2008; 149(9): 618–26
- Parakh N: Intraaortic balloon support for myocardial infarction with cardiogenic shock. N Engl J Med, 2014; 21(1): 617–18
- Katzab JN, Alexander JH, Reynolds HR et al: Predictors of 30-day mortality in patients with refractory cardiogenic shock following acute myocardial infarction despite a patent infarct artery. Am Heart J, 2009; 158(4): 680–87
- Sleepera LA, White HD, Webb JG et al: A severity scoring system for risk assessment of patients with cardiogenic shock: A report from the SHOCK Trial and Registry. Am Heart J, 2010; 160(3): 443–50
- Holger T, Uwe Z, Franz-Josef N et al: Intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation in acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock (IABP-SHOCK II): Final 12 month results of a randomised, open-label trial. Lancet, 2013; 382(9905): 1638–45

version 1.4 (MIMIC-III v.1.4). Although the database is publicly and freely available, researchers must complete the National Institutes of Health's web-based course known as Protecting Human Research Participants to apply for permission to access the database.

### **Conflict of interests**

None.

- Uyarel H, Ergelen M, Cicek G et al: Red cell distribution width as a novel prognostic marker in patients undergoing primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. Coron Artery Dis, 2011; 22(3): 138–44
- 11. Huang YL, Hu ZD, Liu SJ et al: Prognostic value of red blood cell distribution width for patients with heart failure: A systematic review and metaanalysis of cohort studies. PLoS One, 2013; 9(8): e104861
- Jung C, Fujita B, Lauten A et al: Red blood cell distribution width as useful tool to predict long-term mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. Int J Cardiol, 2011; 152(3): 417–18
- Rhodes CJ, John W, Howard LS et al: Red cell distribution width outperforms other potential circulating biomarkers in predicting survival in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. Heart, 2011;97(13): 1054–60
- Turcato G, Cappellari M, Follador L et al: Red blood cell distribution width is an independent predictor of outcome in patients undergoing thrombolysis for ischemic stroke. Semin Thromb Hemost, 2017; 43(1): 30–35
- 15. Hu L, Li M, Ding Y et al: Prognostic value of RDW in cancers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Oncotarget, 2017; 7(41): 67020–32
- Wang B, Lu H, Gong Y et al: The association between red blood cell distribution width and mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. Biomed Res Int, 2018; 2018: 9658216
- 17. Prondzinsky R, Lemm H, Wegener NA et al: Interleukin-6, -7, -8 and -10 predict outcome in acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. Clin Res Cardiol, 2012; 101(5): 375–84
- Pierce CN, Larson DF: Inflammatory cytokine inhibition of erythropoiesis in patients implanted with a mechanical circulatory assist device. Perfusion, 2005; 20(2): 83–90
- He Y, Liu C, Zeng Z et al: Red blood cell distribution width: A potential laboratory parameter for monitoring inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol, 2018; 37(1): 161–67

7014

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]

- 20. Wang B, Li D, Gong Y et al: Association of serum total and ionized calcium with all-cause mortality incritically ill patients with acute kidney injury. Clin Chim Acta, 2019; 494: 94–99
- 21. Wang B, Gong Y, Ying B, Cheng B: Relation between red cell distribution width and mortality in critically ill patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. BioMed Res Int, 2019; 2019: 1942078
- Wang B, Lu H, Gong Y et al: The association between red blood cell distribution width and mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury. BioMed Res Int, 2018; 2018: 9658216
- 23. Johnson AE, Pollard TJ, Shen L et al: MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. Sci Data, 2016; 3: 160035
- 24. Hsu DJ, Feng M, Kothari R et al: The association between indwelling arterial catheters and mortality in hemodynamically stable patients with respiratory failure: A propensity score analysis. Chest, 2015; 148(6): 1470–76
- Allard J, Cotin S, Faure F et al: Sofa an open source framework for medical simulation. InMMVR 15-Medicine Meets Virtual Reality, 2007; 125: 13–18
- Le GJ, Lemeshow S, Saulnier F: A new Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS II) based on a European/North American multicenter study. JAMA, 1993; 270(24): 2957–63
- Agoritsas T, Merglen A, Shah ND et al: Adjusted analyses in studies addressing therapy and harm: Users' guides to the medical literature. JAMA, 2017; 317(7): 748–59
- Kavoliuniene A, Vaitiekiene A, Cesnaite G: Congestive hepatopathy and hypoxic hepatitis in heart failure: A cardiologist's point of view. Int J Cardiol, 2013; 166(3): 554–58
- Tonelli M, Sacks F, Arnold M et al: Relation between red blood cell distribution width and cardiovascular event rate in people with coronary disease. Circulation, 2008; 117(2): 163–68
- Li N, Zhou H, Tang Q: Red blood cell distribution width: A novel predictive indicator for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. Dis Markers, 2017; 2017(11): 7089493

- Adamsson Eryd S, Borne Y, Melander O et al: Red blood cell distribution width is associated with incidence of atrial fibrillation. J Intern Med, 2014; 275(1): 84–92
- Tanindi A, Topal FE, Topal F, Celik B: Red cell distribution width in patients with prehypertension and hypertension. Blood Press, 2012; 21(3): 177–81
- Khandelwal N, Hough CL, Downey L et al: Prevalence, risk factors, and outcomes of financial stress in survivors of critical illness. Crit Care Med, 2018; 46(6): e530–39
- 34. Hochman RJS: Cardiogenic shock current concepts and improving outcomes. Circulation, 2008; 117(5): 686–97
- 35. Holger T, Bachir A, Gilles C et al: Shock in acute myocardial infarction: The Cape Horn for trials? Eur Heart J, 2010; 31(15): 1828–35
- 36. Aissaoui N, Puymirat E, Tabone X et al: Improved outcome of cardiogenic shock at the acute stage of myocardial infarction: A report from the USIK 1995, USIC 2000, and FAST-MI French Nationwide Registries. Eur Heart J, 2012; 33(20): 2535–43
- Cavusoglu E, Chopra V, Gupta A et al: Relation between red blood cell distribution width (RDW) and all-cause mortality at two years in an unselected population referred for coronary angiography. Int J Cardiol, 2010; 141(2): 141–46
- Patel KV, Mohanty JG, Kanapuru B et al: Association of the red cell distribution width with red blood cell deformability. Adv Exp Med Biol, 2013; 765: 211–16
- Reinhart WH, Piety NZ, Goede JS, Shevkoplyas SS: Effect of osmolality on erythrocyte rheology and perfusion of an artificial microvascular network. Microvas Res, 2015; 98: 102–7
- Uil CA, Den, Lagrand WK et al: Impaired microcirculation predicts poor outcome of patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. Eur Heart J, 2010; 31(24): 3032–39