Conclusion. WCK 4282, a novel TZB containing regimen, resulted in enhance *in* vitro potency against ESBL/CSase and OXA-48-like producers. Humanized exposures of WCK 4282 produced substantial kill in vivo against ESBL/CSase producers with MICs \leq 16 mg/L including FEP resistant/TZP non-susceptible PA. These data support further evaluations of WCK 4282 as a carbapenem-sparing regimen for ESBL/cephalosporinase harboring strains.

Disclosures. David P. Nicolau, PharmD, Cepheid (Other Financial or Material Support, Consultant, speaker bureau member or has received research support.)Merck & Co., Inc. (Consultant, Grant/Research Support, Speaker's Bureau)Wockhardt (Grant/Research Support)

1245. In Vivo Efficacy of WCK 4282 (High Dose Cefepime [FEP]-Tazobactam [TZB]) Against β-Lactamase-Producing (BLP) Gram-Negative Bacteria in a Neutropenic Murine Pneumonia Model

Maxwell J. Lasko, PharmD¹; Kamilia Abdelraouf, PhD²; David P. Nicolau, PharmD²; ¹Center for Anti Infective Research and Development, Hartford, Connecticut; ²Hartford Hospital, Hartford, CT

Session: P-58. Novel Agents

Background. Carbapenems are often used for Extended-Spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)- and cephalosporinase (AmpC or CMY)-producing infections. Their increased use resulted in the emergence of carbapenem resistance among Gram-negatives, promoting the need of an effective carbapenem-sparing option. WCK 4282 (FEP 2g-TZB 2g) maximizes systemic exposure of TZB and restores FEP activity against piperacillin-tazobactam (TZP) resistant isolates in vitro. Herein we describe the efficacy of WCK 4282 clinical exposures against BLP Enterobacterales (EB) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PA) in a murine pneumonia model.

Methods. Clinical isolates (14 EB and 2 PA) with in vitro resistance to FEP, ceftolozane-tazobactam, and TZP (EB isolates) were used. Isolates expressed ESBLs, AmpC/CMY, and/or serine carbapenemases (KPC, OXA-48-like). WCK 4282 MICs were 4-16 and 8-32 mg/L for non-carbapenemase and carbapenemase-producers, respectively. Human-simulated regimens (HSR) of FEP (mimicking human plasma exposure of 2g q8h as a 1.5 h infusion) alone and in combination with TZB (equivalent to 2g q8h as a 1.5 h infusion) were developed in a neutropenic pneumonia model. Treatment mice received FEP or FEP-TZB (WCK 4282) HSR. Control mice were vehicle-dosed. Efficacy was assessed as change in log₁₀CFU/lung at 24 h compared with 0 h controls.

Results. Mean 0 h bacterial density across all isolates was 6.66 ± 0.29 log₁₀CFU/ lung and increased at 24 h by 2.48 ± 0.6 and 1.71 ± 1.13 among controls and FEPtreated groups, respectively. Potent WCK 4282 activity was observed against ESBLand AmpC-harboring EB as well as ESBL- and AmpC-overexpressing PA with WCK 4282 MICs up to 16 mg/L (n=9); mean bacterial reductions were -2.70 \pm 0.63 and $-2.04 \pm 0.18 \log_{10}$ CFU/lung, respectively. WCK 4282 showed variable activity against OXA-48-producing EB (n=3); \log_{10} CFU/lung change ranged from -1.2 to 0.28. Against KPC-producers (n=4), WCK 4282 groups grew to 0.53 ± 1.07 \log_{10} CFU/lung, ~1.2 log₁₀CFU lower than FEP.

Conclusion. WCK 4282 produced potent in vivo activity against ESBL- and AmpC-harboring Gram-negative isolates and limited activity among serine carbapenamase-producers in a pneumonia model at clinically achievable exposures. Further studies are warranted to delineate WCK 4282's spectrum of activity and susceptibility breakpoint.

Disclosures. David P. Nicolau, PharmD, Cepheid (Other Financial or Material Support, Consultant, speaker bureau member or has received research support.)Merck & Co., Inc. (Consultant, Grant/Research Support, Speaker's Bureau)Wockhardt (Grant/Research Support)

1247. Dalbavancin in Osteomyelitis and Joint Infections: An Analysis From an Observational, Multicenter, Retrospective Cohort Study of the Real-World Use in Adult Patients

Julia Garcia Diaz, MD, MSc, FACP, FIDSA, CPI¹; Jennifer McGregor, RPh²; Anathakrishnan Ramani, MD, FACP, AAHIVS, CIC³; John Lock, PharmD, BCPS, AQ-ID²; Yoav Golan, MD⁴; Pedro Gonzalez, MD, MT²; ¹University of Queensland/ Ochsner Clinical School, New Orleans, Louisiana; ²AbbVie, Madison, New Jersey; ³Albany Medical College, Valatie, New York; ⁴Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts

Session: P-58. Novel Agents

Background. Dalbavancin (DAL) is approved in the United States (US) and Europe for acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections and exhibits broad spectrum activity against clinically important Gram-positive pathogens including methicillin-sensitive and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, and Streptococcus spp.

We describe the use of DAL in patients with osteomyelitis or joint infection from a phase 4 observational, multicenter, retrospective cohort study of the real-world use of DAL in adult patients across the US: Dalvance Utilization Registry Investigating Value and Efficacy (DRIVE).

Methods. Data were collected between 03/25/2017 and 11/27/2018 and included demographics, disease and pathogen characteristics, antibiotic use, clinical outcome, and safety. Patients with a determinate clinical outcome (success/failure) were included in the evaluable population.

Results. Data for 96 patients with osteomyelitis and 33 patients with joint infection (safety population) were entered into this subanalysis. Patient demographics and medical history were broadly similar for patients with osteomyelitis or joint infection. The majority (80.4-100%) of patients received DAL as concurrent therapy and clinical success, defined qualitatively, was achieved in 64.7-87.5% of patients (Fig. 1). Most patients received 1 or 2 IV DAL doses (osteomyelitis, 33.3% and 34.6%, respectively; joint infection, 37.5% and 31.3%, respectively); 11.5% and 6.3% of patients with osteomyelitis or joint infection, respectively received >4 doses (Fig. 2). Staphylococcus spp. was the most frequently isolated organism at baseline (Fig. 3); 61.1% and 35.7% of osteomyelitis and joint infection isolates tested, respectively were resistant to oxacillin. At 60 days post-DAL treatment, numbers of Staphylococcus spp. isolated from both groups decreased (Fig. 3), confirming microbiological cure. The rate of serious adverse events was low (16 events in 7 [7.3%] patients with osteomyelitis, 2 events in 2 [6.1%] patients with joint infection) and consistent with the safety profile of DAL.

Fig. 1

Fig.1: Final Diagnosis and Clinical Outcome – Dalbavancin as Mono- or Concurrent Therapy (Evaluable Population)

Final Diagnosis	Clinical Success (n/N, %) [95% Cl]*	Dalbavancin Use				
		Monotherapy, n (%)	Concurrent Therapy, n (%)	Clinical Success With Monotherapy, n (%)	Clinical Success With Concurrent Therapy, n (%)	
Osteomyelitis						
All patients (n=78)	63 (80.8) [70.3 to 88.8]	11 (14.1)	67 (85.9)	9 (81.8) [48.2 to 97.7]	54 (80.6) [69.1 to 89.2]	
Osteomyelitis of the foot (n=51)	43 (84.3) [71.4 to 93.0]	10 (19.6)	41 (80.4)	8 (80.0) [44.4 to 97.5]	35 (85.4) [70.8 to 94.4]	
Osteomyelitis of upper body sites † (n=17)	11 (64.7)	0 (0.0)	17 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	11 (64.7)	
Osteomyelitis of lower body sites† (n=10)	9 (90.0)	1 (10.0)	8 (88.9)	1 (100.0)	7 (87.5)	
Joint infection						
All patients (n=32)	28 (87.5) [71.0 to 96.5]	4 (12.5)	28 (87.5)	4 (100.0)	24 (85.7) [67.3 to 96.0]	
Knee (n=10)	8 (80.0) [44.4% to 97.5%]	1 (10.0)	9 (90.0)	1 (100.0)	7 (77.8) [40.0 to 97.2]	



Fig. 2: Number of Intravenous Dalbavancin Doses Received (Evaluable Population)



Fig. 3

Figure 3: Isolation of Staphylococci	us at Baseline and Du	iring, and After Dal	bavancin Treatment
(Evaluable Population)			

	Baseline Dalbavancin 60 Days After End		
	Dasenne	Treatment	of IV Dalbavancin Treatment
Osteomyelitis			
All patients (n=78)			
Specimen collected, n (%)	35 (44.9)	14 (17.9)	13 (16.7)
Isolates grown from the specimen?	29 (82.9)	8 (57.1)	7 (53.8)
Staphylococcus	20 (69.0)	6 (75.0)	2 (28.6)
Resistant to oxacillin	11/18 tested (61.1)	0 /4 tested (0.0)	1/1 tested (100.0)
Osteomyelitis of the Foot (n=51)			
Specimen collected, n (%)	24 (47.1)	10 (19.6)	9 (17.6)
Any isolates grown from the specimen?	21 (87.5)	6 (60.0)	5 (55.6)
Staphylococcus	14 (66.7)	5 (83.3)	1 (20.0)
Resistant to oxacillin	8 /13 tested (61.5)	0/3 tested (0.0)	1 (100.0)
Joint Infection (n=32)			
Any specimen collected, n (%)	19 (59.4)	3 (9.4)	2 (6.3)
Any isolates grown from the specimen?	15 (78.9)	2 (66.7)	2 (100.0)
Staphylococcus	15 (100.0)	2 (100.0)	2 (100.0)
Resistant to oxacillin	5/14 tested (35.7)	0/1 tested (50.0)	1/2 tested (50.0)

Conclusion. In this real-world study in patients with Staphylococcal osteomyelitis and joint infection, DAL resulted in high rates of clinical and microbiological success.

Disclosures. Jennifer McGregor, RPh, AbbVie (Employee) Anathakrishnan Ramani, MD, FACP, AAHIVS, CIC, Allergan (prior to its acquisition by AbbVie) (Speaker's Bureau) John Lock, PharmD, BCPS, AQ-ID, AbbVie (Employee) Pedro Gonzalez, MD, MT, AbbVie (Employee)

1248. Efficacy and Safety of Oral Ibrexafungerp in 41 Patients with Refractory Fungal Diseases, Interim Analysis of a Phase 3 Open-label Study (FURI)

Barbara D. Alexander, MD, MHS¹; Oliver Cornely, Prof.²; Peter Pappas, MD³; Rachel Miller, MD¹; Jose A. Vazquez, MD, FIDSA⁴; Luis Ostrosky-Zeichner, MD⁵; Andrej Spec, MD⁶; Riina Rautemaa-Richardson, DDS, PhD, FRCPath⁷; Robert Krause, MD⁸; George R. Thompson III, MD⁹; Caryn Morse, MD¹⁰; John W. Sanders, III, MD¹¹; David Andes, MD¹²; David Andes, MD¹²; George Lyon, MD¹³; Francisco M. Marty, MD¹⁴; Emily Silverman, BS¹⁵; Marisa H. Miceli, MD, FIDSA¹⁶; Thomas F. Patterson, MD¹⁷; Martin Hoenigl, MD¹⁸; Nkechi Azie, MD¹⁹; David A. Angulo, MD¹⁹; ¹Duke University, Durham, NC; ²University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Nordrhein-Westfalen, Germany; ³University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; ⁴Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University, Augusta, Georgia; ⁵University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, Houston, Texas; ⁶Washington University, St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri; ⁷University of Manchester, Manchester, England, United Kingdom; ⁸Medical University, Graz, Graz, Steiermark, Austria; ⁹UC-Davis, Sacramento, California, ¹⁰Wake Forest Baptist Hospital, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; ¹¹Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC; ¹²University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin; ¹³Emory Health, Atlanta, Georgia; ¹⁴Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; 15 Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts; ¹⁶University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; ¹⁷University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX; ¹⁸University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA; 19SCYNEXIS, Inc., Jersey City, New Jersey

Session: P-58. Novel Agents

Background. Candida infections resistant to currently available antifungals are an emerging global threat. Ibrexafungerp is an investigational broad-spectrum glucan synthase inhibitor antifungal with activity against *Candida* and *Aspergillus* species, including azole- and echinocandin-resistant strains. A Phase 3 open-label, single-arm study of oral ibrexafungerp (FURI) (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT03059992) is ongoing for the treatment of patients (≥18 years) with fungal diseases who are intolerant of or refractory to standard antifungal therapies.

Methods. An independent Data Review Committee (DRC) provided an assessment of treatment response for 41 patients. Patients were enrolled in 22 centers from 6 countries. Patients were eligible for enrollment if they had proven or probable, invasive or severe mucocutaneous candidiasis and documented evidence of failure of, intolerance to, or toxicity related to a currently approved standard-of-care antifungal treatment or could not receive approved oral antifungal options (e.g., susceptibility of the organism) and a continued IV antifungal therapy was undesirable or unfeasible.

Results. The 41 patients assessed had the following infection types: intra-abdominal abscesses, oropharyngeal candidiasis, esophageal candidiasis, candidemia, and others. The DRC adjudicated 23 patients (56%) as achieving complete or partial response, 11 patients (27%) maintaining stable disease, 6 patients (15%) with progression of disease and one case was considered as indeterminate. The efficacy of oral ibrexafungerp by pathogen is shown in Table 1. Ibrexafungerp was well-tolerated with the most common treatment-related adverse events being of gastrointestinal origin. No deaths due to progression of fungal disease were reported.

Pathogen	Complete or Partial Response	Stable disease	Progression of Disease
C. glabrata	9	5	3
C. albicans	5	2	
C. krusei	2	3	
C. parapsilosis	3		
C. glabrata / C. albicans	2		2
C. krusei / C. albicans	1		
C. tropicalis / C. albicans		1	
C. glabrata / C. dubliniensis			1

Conclusion: Preliminary analysis of these 41 cases indicate that oral ibrexafungerp provides a favorable therapeutic response in the majority of patients with difficult to treat *Candida* spp. infections, including those caused by *non-albicans Candida* species.

Disclosures. Barbara D. Alexander, MD, MHS, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Employee, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Research Grant or Support) Oliver Cornely, Prof., Actelion (Grant/Research Support)Actelion (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Al Jazeera Pharmaceuticals (Consultant)Allecra Therapeutics (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Amplyx (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Amplyx (Grant/Research Support)Astellas (Grant/Research Support)Astellas (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Basilea (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Basilea (Grant/Research Support)Biosys UK Limited (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Cidara (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Cidara (Grant/Research Support)Da Volterra (Grant/Research Support)Da Volterra (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Entasis (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)F2G (Other Financial or Material Support)F2G (Grant/Research Support)Gilead (Grant/Research Support)Gilead (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Grupo Biotoscana (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees) Janssen Pharmaceuticals (Grant/ Research Support)Matinas (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Medicines Company (Grant/Research Support) MedPace (Grant/Research Support) MedPace (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Melinta Therapeutics (Grant/ Research Support)Menarini Ricerche (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Merck/MSD (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Merck/MSD (Grant/Research Support)Mylan Pharmaceuticals (Consultant)Nabriva Therapeutics (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Octapharma (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees) Paratek Pharmaceuticals (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Pfizer (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Pfizer (Grant/Research Support)PSI (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Rempex (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Roche Diagnostics (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Scynexis (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees)Scynexis (Grant/Research Support)Seres Therapeutics (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees) Tetraphase (Other Financial or Material Support, Personal fees) Peter Pappas, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Consultant, Advisor or Review Panel member, Research Grant or Support) Rachel Miller, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Luis Ostrosky-Zeichner, MD, Amplyx (Scientific Research Study Investigator)Astellas (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Other Financial or Material Support, Non-branded educational speaking)Biotoscana (Consultant, Other Financial or Material Support, Non-branded educational speaking)Cidara (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator)F2G (Consultant)Gilead (Consultant)Mayne (Consultant)Octapharma (Consultant)Pfizer (Other Financial or Material Support, Non-branded educational speaking)Scynexis (Consultant, Grant/Research Support, Scientific Research Study Investigator)Stendhal (Consultant)Viracor (Consultant) Andrej Spec, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator, Advisor or Review Panel member) Riina Rautemaa-Richardson, DDS, PhD, FRCPath, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Robert Krause, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Caryn Morse, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator) John W. Sanders, III, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator) David Andes, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator, Advisor or Review Panel member) George Lyon, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Francisco M. Marty, MD, Allovir (Consultant)Amplyx (Consultant)Ansun (Scientific Research Study Investigator)Avir (Consultant)Cidara (Scientific Research Study Investigator)F2G (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator)Kyorin (Consultant)Merck (Consultant, Grant/Research Support, Scientific Research Study Investigator)New England Journal of Medicine (Other Financial or Material Support, Honorarium for Video)Regeneron (Consultant, Scientific Research Study Investigator)ReViral (Consultant)Scynexis (Scientific Research Study Investigator)Symbio (Consultant)Takeda (Scientific Research Study Investigator)United Medical (Consultant)WHISCON (Scientific Research Study Investigator) Marisa H. Miceli, MD, FIDSA, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Advisor or Review Panel member) Thomas F. Patterson, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Advisor or Review Panel member) Martin Hoenigl, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Grant/Research Support, Scientific Research Study Investigator, Advisor or Review Panel member) Nkechi Azie, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Employee, Shareholder) David A. Angulo, MD, SCYNEXIS, Inc. (Employee, Shareholder)

1249. Genetic Evidence That Gepotidacin Shows Well-balanced Dual Targeting against DNA Gyrase And Topoisomerase IV in Neisseria gonorrhoeae Pan Chan, PhD¹; Karen Ingraham, MS¹; Sharon Min, MS¹; Nicole Scangarella-Oman, MS²; Steve Rittenhouse, PhD¹; Jianzhong Huang, PhD¹; ¹GlaxoSmithKline,