

FEMS Microbes, 2, 2021, xtab021

https://doi.org/10.1093/femsmc/xtab021 Advance Access Publication Date: 2 December 2021 Research Article

RESEARCH ARTICLE – Microbes & Disease

Microbiological characteristics of bacteremias among COVID-19 hospitalized patients in a tertiary referral hospital in Northern Greece during the second epidemic wave

Efthymia Protonotariou^{1,2}, Paraskevi Mantzana¹, Georgios Meletis^{1,2,*,†}, Areti Tychala¹, Angeliki Kassomenaki¹, Olga Vasilaki¹, Georgia Kagkalou¹, Ioanna Gkeka¹, Maria Archonti¹, Styliani Kati², Simeon Metallidis^{2,3} and Lemonia Skoura^{1,2}

¹Department of Microbiology, AHEPA University Hospital, Thessaloniki 54636, Greece, ²Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki 54636, Greece and ³First Department of Internal Medicine, AHEPA University Hospital, Thessaloniki 54636, Greece

*Corresponding author: AHEPA University Hospital, S. Kiriakidi str. 1, 54636 Thessaloniki, Greece. Tel: +30-697-428-2575; E-mail: meletisg@hotmail.com One sentence summary: COVID-19 patient bacteremias in a Greek referral hospital. †Georgios Meletis, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8750-513X

ABSTRACT

Northern Greece was struck by an intense second COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019) epidemic wave during the fall of 2020. Because of the coinciding silent epidemic of multidrug-resistant organisms, the handling of COVID-19 patients became even more challenging. In the present study, the microbiological characteristics of bacteremias in confirmed cases of hospitalized COVID-19 patients were determined. Data from 1165 patients hospitalized between September and December 2020 were reviewed regarding the frequency of bloodstream infections, the epidemiology and the antibiotic susceptibility profiles of the causative bacteria. The hospital's antibiotic susceptibility data for all major nosocomial pathogens isolated from bacteremias of COVID-19 patients between September and December 2020 versus those between September and December 2019 were also compared. Overall, 122 patients developed bacteremia (10.47%). The average of time interval between hospitalization date and development of bacteremia was 13.98 days. Admission to ICU occurred in 98 out of 122 patients with an average stay time of 15.85 days and 90.81% in-hospital mortality. In total, 166 pathogens were recovered including 114 Gram-negative bacteria and 52 Gram-positive cocci. Acinetobacter baumannii was the most frequent (n = 51) followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae (n = 45) and Enterococcus faecium (n = 31). Bacteremias in hospitalized COVID-19 patients were related with prolonged time of hospitalization and higher in-hospital mortality, and the isolated microorganisms represented the bacterial species that were present in our hospital before the COVID-19 pandemic.

Received: 29 September 2021; Accepted: 30 November 2021

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

bacterial pathogens. The pandemic highlighted the need for continuous surveillance of patients with prolonged hospitalization.

Keywords: COVID-19; bacteremia; coinfection

INTRODUCTION

Since its emergence in December 2019 (Ye et al. 2020), severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) has caused a pandemic accounting for >228 394 572 cases and 4690 186 deaths worldwide as of 20 September 2021 (https://covid19.who.int/). As it has already been observed in previous epidemics due to respiratory viruses, bacterial secondary infections are frequent among hospitalized patients and attributed to worsening outcomes regarding patient's morbidity and mortality (MacIntyre et al. 2018).

Secondary bacterial infections in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are associated with prolonged ICU stay and mechanical ventilation, higher occurrence of ARDS and higher mortality (Bardi et al. 2021; De Santis et al. 2021). Among them, bloodstream infections (BSIs) play a significant role with a prevalence varying from 5.5% to 40% in COVID-19 patients across different settings (Bardi et al. 2021; Bhargava et al. 2021; De Santis et al. 2021; Kokkoris et al. 2021; Palanisamy et al. 2021).

The source of bacteremias is mainly attributed to pulmonary infections (Gomez-Simmonds *et al.* 2021; Bhargava *et al.* 2021). Moreover, factors like the presence of central venous catheter, prolonged ICU stay, the use of immunosuppressants in COVID-19 treatment, such as corticosteroids, anakinra and tocilizumab, and the gut microbiome dysbiosis further contribute to progression to bacteremia among COVID-19 patients (De Santis *et al.* 2021; Khatri *et al.* 2021; Nori *et al.* 2021; Venzon *et al.* 2021).

The prevalence of pathogens causing bacteremia is variable across different settings from around the world. Reports from the United States show that Gram-positive bacteria prevail over Gram-negative bacteria with Staphylococcus aureus being predominant (Bhargava et al. 2021; Nori et al. 2021). Likewise, in a single-center study in northern Italy, coagulase-negative staphylococci, Enterococcus faecalis and S. aureus were the most frequently isolated bacteria from blood cultures of ICU patients (Giacobbe et al. 2020). On the other hand, in India and Greece among bacteremias in COVID-19 ICU patients, multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacteria are the more common isolates with Acinetobacter baumannii being predominant (Kokkoris et al. 2021; Palanisamy et al. 2021).

Additionally, there are reports outlining a rise in MDR pathogens during the pandemic. In particular, Gomez-Simmonds et al. report an increase in the detection of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales among COVID-19 patients compared with the rates observed in the previous years at a medical center in New York City (Gomez-Simmonds et al. 2021), whereas in another study from Turkey, the researchers observed an increase in A. *baumannii* infections accompanied by a decrease in ESBL (extended-spectrum beta-lactamase)-producing Enterobacterales (Karataş et al. 2021).

The present study aims to assess the prevalence, frequency and distribution of microorganisms as well as their antimicrobial susceptibility in confirmed cases of COVID-19 patients with bacteremia hospitalized in our institution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We conducted a retrospective observational study of COVID-19 patients admitted between 1 September 2020 and 31 December 2020 in AHEPA University Hospital, a 700-bed tertiary care hospital in Thessaloniki, Greece. AHEPA hospital serves as one of the reference hospitals for COVID-19 patients in Northern Greece. The diagnosis of COVID-19 was performed by real-time PCR, using either the Abbott Molecular RealTime or the NeuMoDx SARS-CoV-2 assay. Blood cultures were performed upon clinical suspicion of bacteremia. All patients with a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test result who developed BSI were included. The frequency and distribution of BSIs, epidemiology and antibiotic profiles of the causative bacteria were analyzed.

Blood cultures positive for fungi and those with positive skin flora that did not grow in multiple cultures were excluded. Blood culture vials were incubated in the BACTEC FX instrument (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) for a maximum of 5 days. Bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing were performed with the VITEK 2 automated system (bioMe'rieux, France). The results were interpreted using the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) v 11.0 breakpoints (https://www.eucast.org/clini cal_breakpoints). According to the EUCAST guidelines, minimum inhibitory concentration values for colistin were determined with broth microdilution using the automated system MICRONAUT-S (Merlin, Germany). Multidrug-resistant organism (MDRO) was defined as acquired non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories (Magiorakos et al. 2012). In our study, this category included methicillinresistant S. aureus (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), ESBLs and carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria such as carbapenem-resistant A. baumannii and carbapenemresistant Enterobacterales (CRE). Among CRE, carbapenemase and ESBL activity was assessed; double-disk synergy test with the addition of EDTA and phenylboronic acid on meropenem disks was used for the phenotypical detection of MBL and KPC producers, respectively, and the modified ESBL test for the detection of ESBLs (Tsakris et al. 2010; Poulou et al. 2014). In case an MBL was detected, the modified Hodge test was performed in order to differentiate between NDM and VIM carbapenemases (CLSI 2015). Isolates were furtherly tested with the AMR Direct Flow Chip Kit DNA microarray (Master Diagnóstica, Spain) for important carbapenemases, including KPC, GES, VIM, IMP, NDM, OXA-23, OXA-51 and OXA-48 (Protonotariou et al. 2021).

The hospital's antimicrobial susceptibility data for all major nosocomial pathogens isolated from bacteremias of COVID-19 patients between September and December 2020 versus those between September and December 2019 were compared. The chi-square or the Fisher's exact test where appropriate was applied to compare data and statistical significance was set to P < 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0. No specific approval from our institutional review board was required and no informed consent was needed for this study since data were taken as part of the standard patient care and used anonymously.

RESULTS

Between September and December 2020, 1165 (690 male and 475 female) patients with confirmed COVID-19 were hospitalized in our institution. Their median age was 64 years. Among them, 122 patients (86 males and 36 females) developed bacteremia (10.47%). The median age of all patients with BSIs was 66 years (Flowchart S1, Supporting Information).

In our study, the blood culture positivity rate for all hospitalized patients increased from 21.6% (387/1793) to 33.4% (495/1481) comparing the data from September to December 2019 with those from September to December 2020, while the respective rates especially for patients admitted to the ICU were 54.3% (50/92) and 60.58% (206/340).

One hundred nineteen out of one hundred twenty-two patients developed secondary bacteremia (>48 h from their hospital admission) (Garcia-Vidal *et al.* 2021). The average time interval between initial hospitalization date and development of bacteremia was 12.8 days. The in-hospital mortality in this group was 84.42% (103/122). Admission to ICU occurred in 98 out of 122 patients with an average length of stay being 15.85 days and 90.81% in-hospital mortality. The number of patients without secondary bacteremia was 981 and their in-hospital mortality was 19.77%. Forty-four out of 981 were admitted to the ICU with an average stay time of 8.07 days and 86.36% in-hospital mortality.

In total, 166 pathogens were recovered from 122 patients— 114 Gram-negative bacteria and 52 Gram-positive cocci. The most frequently isolated organisms were A. *baumannii* (n = 51), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n = 45), *Enterococcus faecium* (n = 31), *E. fae*calis (n = 16) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (n = 9) (Table 1).

The isolations of K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii and E. faecium increased substantially between September and December 2020 compared with those between September and December 2019 (45 cases vs 15 for K. pneumoniae, 51 cases vs 31 for A. baumannii and 31 cases vs 12 for E. faecium).

MDROs were observed in 101/114 of Gram-negative bacteria and in 12/52 of Gram-positive cocci. The majority of MDROs were A. baumannii, K. pneumoniae and Enterococcus spp. All A. baumannii were resistant to carbapenems and presented an extensively drug-resistant profile. Resistance rates of Acinetobacter strains to amikacin, gentamicin and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole were >90% and 29.41% to colistin. The majority of K. pneumoniae strains were MDR exhibiting >95% resistance to ceftazidime, carbapenems and piperacillin–tazobactam; 68.89% to fosfomycin; 46.67% to amikacin; and 35.56% to gentamicin and colistin. A high percentage of P. aeruginosa isolates (44.44%) were resistant to ceftazidime, piperacillin–tazobactam, carbapenems and gentamicin; 33.33% to amikacin; and 11.11% to colistin. Nine out of 31 E. faecium were resistant to vancomycin (VRE) and two out of three S. aureus were MRSA (Table 2) .

The hospital's susceptibility data comparison between September and December 2019 for all hospitalized patients with a variety of morbidities versus those between September and December 2020 COVID-19 patients revealed an increase by >10% for A. baumannii in gentamicin and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole resistance, and as for K. pneumoniae a rise in resistance to ceftazidime (34%), piperacillin–tazobactam (28.55%) and fosfomycin (27.2%). A remarkable increase of K. pneumoniae carbapenem resistance rates (almost 50%) was observed between 2019 and 2020. Resistance to colistin increased by >10% for A. baumannii (22.96%), K. pneumoniae (35.56%) and P. aeruginosa (11.11%) (Table 2). Table 1. Microorganisms recovered by bacteremias among COVID-19 patients.

Microorganism	n	MDR Gram- negative bacte- ria/VRE/MRSA
Acinetobacter baumannii complex	51	51
Klebsiella pneumoniae	45	43
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	9	4
Acinetobacter junii	1	0
Klebsiella oxytoca	1	0
Enterobacter cloacae complex	2	2
Proteus mirabilis	1	0
Citrobacter koseri	1	0
Achromobacter xylosoxidans	1	1
Raoultella planticola	1	0
Sphingomonas paucimobilis	1	0
Enterococcus faecium	31	9
Enterococcus faecalis	16	1
Enterococcus gallinarum	1	0
Staphylococcus aureus	3	2
Streptococcus mitis	1	0
Total	166	113

Phenotypic detection revealed carbapenemase production in all carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales, while ESBL production was observed among 20 K. pneumoniae and all two Enterobacter cloacae isolates. Molecular investigation of carbapenemase production among carbapenem-resistant isolates revealed that K. pneumoniae harbored KPC (34/43) and NDM (9/43), E. cloacae VIM (2/2), P. aeruginosa VIM (2/4), and A. baumannii OXA-23 and OXA-51 carbapenemases.

DISCUSSION

Bacterial coinfections (acute bacterial infection presented with SARS-CoV-2 infection simultaneously) and secondary infections (emerging during the course of illness or hospital stay) in COVID-19 patients became evident early in the pandemic (Zamora-Cintas et al. 2021). Even though the rate of secondary infections may vary among hospitals and is poorly defined globally (Lardaro et al. 2021), high occurrence with significant impact on prognosis (Suarez-de-la-Rica et al. 2021) and high mortality rates have been documented (Nori et al. 2021). BSIs seem to be very frequent among mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients (Risa et al. 2021) together with upper respiratory tract bacterial and fungal superinfections (Mazzariol et al. 2021). A recent multicenter study from Italy showed that BSIs were a common secondary infection and were more frequent during the pandemic than in the same pre-COVID-19 time period (Pasquini et al. 2021).

In 2020, we observed a notable rise in BSIs accompanied by more resistant phenotypes of the isolated bacteria when compared with the respective rates of the previous year. Notably, this variability of the resistance rates could not be explained as part of an expected year-to-year variability happening even before the pandemic. Moreover, the incidence of BSIs in COVID-19 patients in our hospital was one of the highest published in the literature, whereas the more prevalent causative agents among them were Gram-negative bacteria, the majority of which were MDROS.

Microorganism	COVID-19 September– December 2020 N	September– December 2019 N	Antimicrobial	COVID-19 September– December 2020 R% (n)	September– December 2019 R% (n)	Р
Acinetobacter baumannii complex	51	31	Imipenem	100% (51/51)	100% (31/31)	-
			Meropenem	100% (51/51)	100% (31/31)	-
			Amikacin	94.00% (47/50)	89.66% (26/29)	0.664
			Gentamicin	92.00% (46/50)	75.86% (22/29)	0.088
			Colistin	29.41% (15/51)	6.45% (2/31)	0.013
			Trimethoprim– sulfamethoxazole	90% (45/50)	75.86% (22/29)	0.112
Klebsiella pneumoniae	45	15	Ceftazidime	95.56% (43/45)	61.54% (8/13)	0.005
			Piperacillin– tazobactam	97.78% (44/45)	69.23% (9/13)	0.007
			Imipenem	95.56% (43/45)	46.67% (7/15)	< 0.005
			Meropenem	95.56% (43/45)	46.67% (7/15)	< 0.005
			Amikacin	46.67% (21/45)	38.46% (5/13)	0.600
			Gentamicin	35.56% (16/45)	30.77% (4/13)	1.000
			Fosfomycin	68.89% (31/45)	41.67% (5/12)	1.002
			Colistin	35.56% (16/45)	0% (0/14)	0.007
			Trimethoprim– sulfamethoxazole	40% (16/40)	61.54% (8/13)	0.175
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	9	12	Ceftazidime	44.44% (4/9)	50.00% (6/12)	1.000
			Piperacillin– tazobactam	44.44% (4/9)	50.00% (6/12)	1.000
			Imipenem	44.44% (4/9)	50.00% (6/12)	1.000
			Meropenem	44.44% (4/9)	50.00% (6/12)	1.000
			Amikacin	33.33% (3/9)	41.67% (5/12)	1.000
			Gentamicin	44.44% (4/9)	41.67% (5/12)	1.000
			Colistin	11.11% (1/9)	0% (0/12)	0.429
Enterococcus faecium	31	12	Vancomycin	29.03% (9/31)	33.33% (4/12)	1.000
Staphylococcus aureus	3	21	Cefoxitin screen	66.67% (2/3)	61.90% (13/21)	1.000

Table 2. Antimicrobial MDR profiles of major nosocomial pathogens isolated from bacteremias of COVID-19 patients during September-December 2020 and from bacteremias during the same period in 2019.

In our study, BSIs occurred in 122/1165 (10.47%) COVID-19 patients, which is in agreement with recent studies where the occurrence varied from 8.6% to 9.05% (Bhargawa et al. 2021; Pasquini et al. 2021), showing that BSIs are common complications in this category of patients and the occurrence is higher than the reported 3-3.5% in early studies (Sepulveda et al. 2020). The microorganisms responsible for secondary infections in hospitalized COVID-19 patients may vary (Hughes et al. 2020; Bhargawa et al. 2021; Kokkoris et al. 2021; Mazzariol et al. 2021; Risa et al. 2021) and it is logical to presume that the bacterial hospital epidemiology of each hospital plays an important role. Our study showed a substantial rise of hospital onset of infections caused by members of the ESKAPE (E. faecium, S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa and Enterobacter spp.) group of bacteria, which are well known for their virulent as well as MDR profile (Mulani et al. 2019). The rise was observed especially among E. faecium, K. pneumoniae and A. baumannii pathogens both in number of isolations and in their MDR profile compared with previous years. Similarly, the types of carbapenemaseencoding genes among CRE and A. baumannii were in accordance with those that have been circulating in our hospital setting before the pandemic. This observation could be possibly explained by the increased number of COVID-19 patient admissions during the surge, their prolonged time of hospitalization and the extensive antimicrobial treatment that these patients received. Moreover, the personnel dedicated to infection control were constrained.

Our study has several limitations. First of all, it is a singlecenter study and the implicated bacteria might represent a site-specific pathogen profile. Second limitation is the lack of detailed clinical data regarding the source of infection, type of antibiotic treatment and immunomodulators. No genomic analysis for epidemiological association was performed, as this was beyond the scope of our study.

Despite these limitations, our study is the first to our knowledge that gives the prevalence and spectrum of secondary bacterial BSIs in Greece including a large number of patients and comparing data with those retrieved at the same period 1 year ago. This way, we aspired to present a notable insight into the impact of the pandemic on BSIs and bacterial resistance rates among hospitalized patients in our region. Overall, our study has revealed high rates of MDR ESKAPE BSIs among the hospitalized COVID-19 patients—a finding with significant implications for active surveillance and reinforcement of hospital infection prevention practices as well as for clinical management with the appropriate antibiotic therapies for secondary infections during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at FEMSMC online.

FUNDING

None.

Conflict of interest. None declared. Part of the study was presented at the 31st ECCMID conference.

REFERENCES

- Bardi T, Pintado V, Gomez-Rojo M et al. Nosocomial infections associated to COVID-19 in the intensive care unit: clinical characteristics and outcome. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2021;40:495–502.
- Bhargava A, Riederer K, Sharma M et al. High rate of multidrugresistant organisms (MDROs) among COVID-19 patients presenting with bacteremia upon hospital admission. Am J Infect Control 2021;49:1441–2.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fifth Informational Supplement. Document M100-S25. Wayne, PA: CLSI, 2015.
- De Santis V, Corona A, Vitale D *et al.* Bacterial infections in critically ill patients with SARS-2-COVID-19 infection: results of a prospective observational multicenter study. *Infection* 2021;1–10.
- Garcia-Vidal C, Snajuan G, Moreno-García E et al. Incidence of coinfections and superinfections in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021;**27**: 83–8.
- Giacobbe DR, Battaglini D, Ball L et al. Bloodstream infections in critically ill patients with COVID-19. Eur J Clin Invest 2020;**50**:e13319.
- Gomez-Simmonds A, Annavajhala MK, McConville T et al. Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales causing secondary infections during the COVID-19 crisis at a New York City hospital. J Antimicrob Chemother 2021;**76**:380–4.
- Hughes S, Troise O, Donaldson H et al. Bacterial and fungal coinfection among hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study in a UK secondary care setting. Clin Microbiol Infect 2020;**26**:1395–9.
- Karataş M, Duman MY, Tünger A et al. Secondary bacterial infections and antimicrobial resistance in COVID–19: comparative evaluation of pre–pandemic and pandemic–era, a retrospective single center study. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2021;20:51.
- Khatri A, Malhotra P, Izard S et al. Hospital-acquired bloodstream infections in patients hospitalized with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection (coronavirus disease 2019): association with immunosuppressive therapies. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021;8:ofab339.
- Kokkoris S, Papachatzakis I, Gavrielatou E et al. ICU-acquired bloodstream infections in critically ill patients with COVID-19. J Hosp Infect 2021;107:95–7.
- Lardaro T, Wang AZ, Bucca A et al. Characteristics of COVID-19 patients with bacterial coinfection admitted to the hospital from the emergency department in a large regional health-care system. J Med Virol 2021;**93**:2883–9.

- MacIntyre CR, Chughtai AA, Barnes M *et al*. The role of pneumonia and secondary bacterial infection in fatal and serious outcomes of pandemic influenza A(H1N1)pdm09. *BMC Infect Dis* 2018;**18**:637.
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012;**18**: 268–81.
- Mazzariol A, Benini A, Unali I et al. Dynamics of SARS-CoV2 infection and multi-drug resistant bacteria superinfection in patients with assisted mechanical ventilation. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2021;11:683409.
- Mulani MS, Kamble EE, Kumkar SN *et al.* Emerging strategies to combat ESKAPE pathogens in the era of antimicrobial resistance: a review. *Front Microbiol* 2019;**10**:539.
- Nori P, Cowman K, Chen V *et al.* Bacterial and fungal coinfections in COVID-19 patients hospitalized during the New York City pandemic surge. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2021;**42**:84–8.
- Palanisamy N, Vihari N, Meena DS *et al*. Clinical profile of bloodstream infections in COVID-19 patients: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Infect Dis* 2021;**21**:933.
- Pasquini Z, Barocci I, Brescini L *et al*. Bloodstream infections in the COVID-19 era: results from an Italian multi-centre study. *Int J Infect Dis* 2021;**111**:31–6.
- Poulou A, Grivakou E, Vrioni G et al. Modified CLSI extendedspectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) confirmatory test for phenotypic detection of ESBLs among Enterobacteriaceae producing various β -lactamases. J Clin Microbiol 2014;**52**: 1483–9.
- Protonotariou E, Meletis G, Papadopoulou D et al. Evaluation of the "AMR Direct Flow Chip Kit" DNA microarray for detecting antimicrobial resistance genes directly from rectal and nasopharyngeal clinical samples upon ICU admission. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin (Engl Ed) 2021;**39**: 276–8.
- Risa E, Roach D, Budak JZ et al. Characterization of secondary bacterial infections and antibiotic use in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19 induced acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Intensive Care Med 2021;36:1167–75.
- Sepulveda J, Westblade LF, Whittier S et al. Bacteremia and blood culture utilization during COVID-19 surge in New York City. J Clin Microbiol 2020;**58**:e00875–20.
- Suarez-de-la-Rica A, Serrano P, Oliva R et al. Secondary infections in mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19: an overlooked matter? *Rev Esp Quimioter* 2021;**34**:330–6.
- Tsakris A, Poulou A, Pournaras S et al. A simple phenotypic method for the differentiation of metallo-β-lactamases and class A KPC carbapenemases in Enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010;**65**:1664–71.
- Venzon M, Bernard-Raichon L, Klein J et al. Gut microbiome dysbiosis during COVID 19 is associated with increased risk for bacteremia and microbial translocation. Res Sq 2021;rs.3.rs– 726670.
- Ye G, Pan Z, Pan Y et al. Clinical characteristics of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 reactivation. J Infect 2020;80:e14–7.
- Zamora-Cintas MI, Lopez DJ, Blanco AC et al.. Coinfections among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in the first pandemic wave. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2021;**101**:115416.