Neuroscience of Consciousness, 2019, 1

doi: 10.1093/nc/niz010
Corrigendum

CORRIGENDUM

How experimental procedures influence estimates of metacognitive ability

Dobromir Rahnev [1,*] and Stephen M. Fleming[2,3]

[1]School of Psychology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 654 Cherry Str NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA; [2]Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, University College London, London, WC1N 3BG, UK and; [3]Max Planck UCL Centre for Computational Psychiatry and Ageing Research, University College London, London, WC1B 5EH, UK
*Correspondence address. Georgia Institute of Technology, 654 Cherry Str NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA. Tel: 404-894-2680; Fax: 404-894-8905; E-mail: rahnev@psych.gatech.edu

Neuroscience of Consciousness, Volume 2019, Issue 1, 2019, https://doi.org/10.1093/nc/niz009

In the original version of this article, the section 'The reason for metacognitive inflation' contained a small error. The corrected sentence reads as follows:

Therefore, metacognitive noise likely contributed to the increase in metacognitive efficiency scores (meta-d′/d′ and meta-d′–d′) in the current analyses but not to the increase in metacognitive sensitivity scores (meta-d′, type 2 AUC, and phi) (Bang et al. 2019).

The authors would like to apologize for any confusion this may have caused.