scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Patterning of graphene using wet etching with hypochlorite and UV light

Minfang Zhang¹, Mei Yang¹, Yuki Okigawa², Takatoshi Yamada², Hideaki Nakajima¹, Yoko lizumi¹ & Toshiya Okazaki¹

Graphene patterning via etching is important for enhancing or controling the properties of devices and supporting their applications in micro- and nano-electronic fields. Herein, we present a simple, low-cost, and scalable wet etching method for graphene patterning. The technique uses hypochlorite solution combined with ultraviolet light irradiation to rapidly remove unwanted graphene areas from the substrate. Raman spectroscopy, atomic force microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and optical microscopy results showed that well-patterned graphene with micrometer scale regions was successfully prepared. Furthermore, graphene field effect transistor arrays were fabricated, and the obtained devices exhibited good current-voltage characteristics, with maximum mobility of ~ 1600 cm²/Vs, confirming the feasibility of the developed technique.

Graphene, a one-atom-thick sheet of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb-like structure, is the thinnest, strongest, and most conductive material of both electricity and heat¹⁻³. Graphene has attracted widespread attention for its potential use in the next generation of electronic devices and other fields ranging from biosensors to energy storage systems^{4–8}. For these applications to be realized, well-defined architectures at micrometer and nanometer scales will need to be determined.

Various patterning approaches have been proposed, including bottom-up fabrication methods and topdown etching processes involving lithography⁹. Bottom-up fabrication includes the spatially selective synthesis of graphene arrays by patterning of catalysts^{10,11}, or selective decomposition of silicon carbide (SiC) to form graphene arrays¹². Selective synthesis methods suffer from a variety of limitations, including the use of harsh synthesis conditions, complicated steps, demanding large-scale preparation, and structural limitations⁹. By contrast, top-down patterning by etching of designed areas is expected to be an effective strategy. The techniques of photolithography and electron beam lithography combined with dry etching by oxygen or plasma13-16, reactive ion etching¹⁷, helium ion ablation¹⁸, and laser ablation^{19,20} have been used for patterning graphene on substrates. Results indicate high pattern resolution down to 10 nm, and high alignment accuracy¹⁸. However, these dry etching processes suffer from a variety of limitations including high cost due to expensive equipment, and complex or inconvenient processing steps that are time-consuming and difficult to scale up. Additionally, the high power of plasma or ion beams may induce defects in patterned graphene as well as the substrate, which may damage the electronic properties.

By contrast, wet etching processes are generally simpler, use low-cost equipment, and are easier to scale up for industry production²¹. Wet etching also has the advantage of being less damaging to the etched object, which expands the range of its practical applications. However, wet etching methodology has not yet been developed for graphene patterning. It is believed that the stable graphitic structure of graphene makes it difficult to remove or dissolve by chemical agents in solutions.

Recently, we found that carbon nanotubes (CNTs)²²⁻²⁵ and graphene oxide²⁶ could be degraded by hypochlorite, and the degradation rates could be enhanced significantly by combining with ultraviolet (UV) light irradiation. In the present work, we found that sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) could completely degrade monolayer graphene films, which can be used as a wet etchant for graphene patterning. Compared with the dry etching, the wet process is simpler, more affordable, scalable, and easier to control due to the ambient reaction conditions. The patterning process consists of three steps: protection with a gold (Au) cover through convenient electronic beam (EB) evaporation, wet treatment with hypochlorite, and stripping of the Au cover. Using this process, different

¹CNT Application Research Center, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Higashi 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Japan. ²Nanomaterials Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Higashi 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Japan. Zemail: m-zhang@aist.go.jp; takatoshi-yamada@ aist.go.jp; toshi-okazaki@aist.go.jp



Figure 1. Degradation of graphene by bleach solution (NaClO, 6 wt%). (**a**) Optical microscopy images of monolayer graphene film on SiO₂/Si substrate. Lines (indicated by white arrows) and dark spot-like areas (indicated by black arrows) represent the domain boundaries and regions with few graphene layers, respectively. Images acquired after treatment with bleach solution assisted by UV light irradiation were captured after 5 min (**b**), and without light irradiation after 10 min (**c**). Raman spectra (**d**, **e**, and **f**) for locations (1, 2, 3, and 4) correspond to images (**a**, **b**, and **c**), respectively.

. . .

graphene pattern designs were successfully prepared without damaging the structures, as evidenced by Raman spectra mapping, optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Moreover, as a demonstration, graphene field effect transistor (FET) arrays were fabricated, and the obtained devices exhibited good current–voltage characteristics, confirming the feasibility of the developed technique. The results expand our knowledge about the degradation of graphene in solution, and provide a simple and practical method for electronic processing of graphene, which could expand the application potential of this material for use in micro- and nano-electronic devices.

Results

Degradation of monolayer graphene. The monolayer graphene film, used as purchased, which was produced by chemical vapor deposition and transferred onto SiO₂/Si substrate (labeled graphene/substrate hereafter) via a sacrificial copper film. The graphene film had a smooth surface with some lines and dark spot-like areas (Fig. 1a) that were assumed to be the connected boundaries of graphene domains²⁷ and regions with few layers²⁸, respectively. Raman spectra from four random points on the film-covered substrate were almost the same, indicating uniformity of the graphene film, and all spectra had G-band and 2D-band peaks at ~2683 and ~ 1590 cm⁻¹, respectively. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) was ~ 37 cm⁻¹, and the peak intensity ratio (2D/G) was > 5, which indicated monolayer graphene (Fig. 1d)²⁸. When the graphene/substrate was immersed in bleach solution with a NaClO concentration of ~6 wt% and then irradiated by UV light for 5 min, the color of the surface of the graphene/substrate appeared brighter and lighter than before treatment. Optical microscopy images revealed no footprints of graphene such as boundaries or spot-like areas (Fig. 1b). The disappearance of graphene was also confirmed by Raman spectra measurements; the absence of peaks indicated that there was no graphene remaining at any points on the treated film (Fig. 1e). On the other hand, when the graphene/substrate was treated with the same bleach solution but without light irradiation for 10 min at room temperature, the graphene film was not completely cleaned; some graphene patches (3 and 4 in Fig. 1c) remained on the surface of the substrate. Raman spectra measurements confirmed that the features were indeed graphene, and the blank areas were cleared spaces (Fig. 1f). This result indicates that UV light irradiation enhanced the graphene degradation rate.

To further prove the complete degradation of graphene by NaClO solution, we treated graphene nanoplatelets, consisting of short stacks of graphene sheets with a few layers, with NaClO (6 wt%) solution (SI, Figure SI-1). Following treatment, the black graphene dispersion became a colorless transparent solution, and the amount of graphene in solution was decreased to almost zero after treatment in an incubator (70 °C) for 6 h, indicating that graphene degraded completely, consistent with our previous results for CNTs²³⁻²⁵.

Patterning graphene by wet etching with hypochlorite. The process for patterning graphene using NaClO as an etchant generally consists of three steps: preparation of the protecting cover, wet etching, and stripping of the cover (Fig. 2). The protective cover with a designed pattern was prepared using metals or photoresistor polymers, as employed for dry processes, for which lithography is a convenient technique. The protective



Figure 2. Schematic illustration of wet etching by hypochlorite for graphene patterning.



Figure 3. Three typical patterned graphene arrays. Optical microscopy images show close-up views of different areas of the different patterned graphene arrays on Si-wafers obtained by NaClO etching after gold cover removal (**a**, **d**, and **c**). Raman mapping of areas (marked as blue squares in **a**, **b**, and **c**) is shown for 2D peak intensities at ~2683 cm⁻¹ (**d**, **e**, and **f**). (**g**) SEM image from one of the patterned square areas in (**a**) alongside the elemental carbon (C) mapping image. (**h**) Atomic force microscopy (AFM) topographic image for one of the patterned graphene ribbon edges in image (**c**), and the corresponding height profiles for the line from position A to position B (bottom).

cover used in this study was obtained by EB evaporation of Au with various designed patterns on the surface of the graphene/substrate. After the protective Au cover was prepared, samples of the graphene/substrate were treated with a bleach solution (NaClO = 6 wt%) and irradiated by a UV light for 5 min (Fig. 2). After treatment, the sample was removed immediately, rinsed with water, and immersed in gold etching solution containing KI/ I_2 to strip the Au cover²⁹.

The results showed that well-patterned graphene arrays were successfully prepared using the above process. Three typical graphene, namely squares (Fig. 3a), radiation shapes (Fig. 3b), and ribbons (Fig. 3c), were observed by optical microscopy, with widths of $10-100 \,\mu\text{m}$ and no obvious defects. Raman mapping of the peak intensities of 2D-bands at ~ 2683 cm⁻¹ indicated that the patterning of graphene arrays in all three patterns was successful



Figure 4. Illustration of the fabricated graphene field effect transistor (FET). The optical microscopy image (**a**) and close-up view (**b**) are shown for patterned graphene arrays with an Au electrode (light yellow rectangle) on the Si-wafer. The schematic diagram shows the back-gate FET (**c**) and a photograph of the obtained device in the measurement chamber (**d**).

(Fig. 3d, e, and f). SEM images and corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray spectra (EDS) mapping of elemental carbon also confirmed that the patterned area was graphene (Fig. 3g), and the thickness of graphene in prepared patterns was about 0.5–1.0 nm as measured by AFM (Fig. 3h).

To further clarify the structural damage induced by wet treatment, a large area $(565 \times 660 \ \mu\text{m})$ was evaluated for patterned graphene arrays by Raman mapping of the D-band peak at 1350 cm⁻¹, which corresponds to the amount of disorder or defects in graphene, while the G-band at 1590 cm⁻¹ is indicative of the graphitic structure, as is the typical graphene peak of the 2D-band. The results showed that the intensities of D-bands, as well as G-bands and 2D-bands, were almost uniform for all measured arrays (SI, Figure SI-2). Mapping of the intensity ratio of D and G peaks (D/G) also showed no increase in the domains and edges of graphene arrays. In addition, the intensities of G-band and 2D-band peaks at a few edges were slightly stronger than at other areas, indicating overlap of graphene in these locations, which was confirmed by the results from SEM. SEM images of one patterned graphene square showed that a small part of the patterned graphene edge was rolled up (SI, Figure SI-3). Moreover, images for elemental mapping of carbon (C) and oxygen (O) obtained by EDS measurements showed that O did not increase obviously following treatment at both edges and domains of graphene, but C at the rolled edge was increased slightly compared with the rest of the domain area (SI, Figure SI-3).

Fabrication of the graphene FET. To explore device applications, FET arrays were fabricated as a demonstration. To contact the electronic probes on the patterned graphene arrays obtained using the above process, Au and Cr were deposited on the graphene arrays as electrodes at widths of $60 \pm 10 \mu m$; the space between the two electrodes was about $200 \pm 10 \mu m$ (Fig. 4a and b); and the back gate was prepared by coating Ag paste on the back of the substrate (Fig. 4c). The resulting channel length and width of the patterned graphene FET were $200 \pm 10 \mu m$, respectively.

The drain current vs. gate-source voltage $(I_D - V_{GS})$ characteristics of the obtained FET was investigated by measurements made using 4-point probes in a vacuum chamber $(2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Pa})$ at room temperature (Fig. 4d). The results of nine arbitrary devices showed that the electric resistance changed as back-gate bias V_g varied from – 40 to 40 V (Fig. 5, left). The minimum conductivity points in transfer characteristics, also known as Dirac points, were clearly observed from the curves (Fig. 5, right). The positive Dirac points indicated that the devices were p-type in nature.

Discussion

It is known that graphene and graphite can be oxidized by oxidizing acids such as HNO_3^{30} , H_2SO_4/HNO_3^{31} , and $KMnO_4/H_2SO_4^{32}$ to form graphene oxide or graphene dots, but studies on the complete degradation or elimination of graphene have not been reported, except for combustion. In the present study, we found that a



Figure 5. Characteristics of the fabricated graphene FET. The transfer characteristics curves of electronic resistance spanning a gate voltage from -40 to 40 V are shown for nine devices (left), along with the Dirac points and electron mobilities (right). The ratio of length to width for graphene channels was presumed to be 3, and $n = 2.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

monolayer graphene film could be degraded completely and rapidly using NaClO solution, a household bleach, when assisted by UV light irradiation. The rapid elimination of graphene encouraged us to use the approach for patterning of graphene.

Crucial to the rapid etching of graphene in this study was UV light irradiation. Although the mechanism of graphene degradation by NaClO assisted by UV irradiation is not fully understood, it is known that UV light accelerates the deposition of NaClO to generate photooxidants, such as HO', O⁻⁻, and Cl, which possess very strong oxidation ability³³. These oxidants react rapidly with graphene, as occurs in water treatment³⁴ and the purification of fuel gas³⁵. The complete oxidation of graphene by NaClO is believed to follow the general reaction formula $C_{graphene} + 2NaClO \rightarrow 2NaCl + CO_2$, similar to CNTs²³. In the case of light irradiation, the super-reactive oxygen species would preferentially react with defective carbon, and oxidation would then spread out, leading to the removal of domains and eventually of the whole graphene film (SI, Figure SI-4). This is supported by the graphene film degradation results showing that some domains of graphene were removed preferentially before complete elimination (SI, Figure SI-5).

Another important factor when using bleach is the inclusion of a surfactant such as an alkylether amine oxide (AO) in addition to NaClO. To explore the effects of the surfactant, we treated graphene films with NaClO solution (~6 wt%) with or without addition of AO (1% N,N-D-dimethyldodecyamine-N-oxide) instead of bleach solution. The results showed that etching of graphene for patterning was possible through treatment with light/NaClO solution with or without surfactant, but addition of surfactant made the removal faster and more thorough (Figure SI-6). We believe that the surfactant increased the permeability of NaClO and the affinity of hydrophobic graphene in aqueous solution. However, additional studies using different concentrations of AO surfactant as well as other types of surfactants are clearly needed.

In addition, we found that NaClO at a concentration of 6 wt% (SI, Figure SI-6) could remove graphene more rapidly than at a concentration of 2.26 wt% (SI, Figure SI-5) and 1.1 wt% (SI, Figure SI-1), indicating that a higher concentration was more effective for degradation of graphene, consistent with the results for CNTs²⁵.

The process for patterning of graphene employed in this study was simple and convenient, and involved the preparation of a protective cover using an established method, and very simple wet etching using a costeffective etchant (bleach) and inexpensive equipment. Moreover, the wet process was easily controllable and scalable because the reaction was performed by simply immersing the graphene/substrate in bleach solution at room temperature followed by UV light irradiation. For successful patterning, it is important to prepare a suitable protective cover, which can be prepared from a noble metal such as Au (Fig. 3) or by using a negative photoresistor (SI, Figure SI-7). The high precision of the prepared protective cover would yield patterned graphene with similarly high precision. If the protective cover is prepared by Au EB evaporation via a readymade metal mask, the cover will be in the micrometer scale due to current limitations of the technique. In addition, the mild conditions for wet etching and the gentle removal of the protective cover could decrease the roughness of the edges of the pattered graphene.

In addition, the characteristics of the patterned graphene as well as the electrical properties of the FET are also affected by the quality of the original graphene film, especially regarding defects, layers, and the adherence state of graphene to substrates such as SiO₂/Si. The FET devices fabricated herein from the commercially available graphene samples exhibited ambipolar current–voltage characteristics. Although it is necessary to take electrode configuration into account when estimating hole mobility (μ_h), the highest value obtained herein was ~ 1600 cm²/Vs, confirming the feasibility of our wet etching technique. Notably, the gate voltage at Dirac points (V_{Dirac}) and the mobilities for different devices varied from 29 to 31 V and from 400 to 1600 cm²/Vs, respectively. This might be due to the different properties of local original graphene structures and/or interactions with substrates. Although the value of the charge mobility in the graphene FET obtained in this study was not outstanding

compared with previous reports³⁶, the results indicate that our wet etching process is applicable to the patterning of graphene for fabrication of electronic devices.

The p-type properties of these FET devices were consistent with previous reports for monolayer chemical vapor deposition (CVD) graphene on SiO_2^{37-40} . It is probable that the degree of graphene coupling to the SiO_2 substrate might induce hole doping³⁷. We believe that p-type conduction was not due to the etching process using aqueous NaClO solution. The obtained hole mobilities of monolayer CVD graphene were in the range of reported data, which were calculated from channels of almost the same size³⁸⁻⁴⁰. To ensure good reproducibility, a high-quality and homogeneous graphene structure as well as appropriate adherence to the substrate are extremely important, alongside the patterning process.

In conclusion, we found that monolayer graphene could be rapidly and completely eliminated by etching with a household bleach assisted by UV light irradiation. The etching process was simple, cost-efficient, and scalable, which enabled the successful patterning of graphene with various fine-tuned patterns. The FET arrays fabricated as a demonstration exhibited good performance in terms of ambipolar current–voltage characteristics and high hole mobility, indicating the feasibility of the developed wet etching technique. Further improvement in the graphene patterning process may be possible by preparing a high-precision protective cover at sub-micrometer scale, and by developing more appropriate conditions for etching graphene on different substrates. We believe that the wet etching technique proposed herein could be useful for various processes using graphene in electronic applications.

Methods

Degradation of graphene by sodium hypochlorite. Monolayer graphene films with a thickness of ~0.345 nm were used as purchased (Catalog no. 773700, Sigma-Aldrich, Tokyo, Japan). Films were deposited on SiO₂/Si by direct CVD via a sacrificial copper film. Graphene on the SiO₂/Si substrate was 1×1 cm in size, with a coverage >95%. The thickness of substrate was ~525 µm with a coating of thermal oxide (SiO₂) of ~ 300 nm on both wafer sides. NaClO solution used in this study was a household bleach solution (Kitchen Power Bleach, Lion Hygiene, Tokyo, Japan) with a NaClO concentration of ~6 wt% and AO surfactant (1–5 wt%). This bleach solution was used directly without dilution within 3 months after purchase. Besides the standard bleach solution, NaClO solutions with 1.1 wt%, 2.26 wt%, or 6 wt% were also tested, and were prepared by dissolving NaClO·5H₂O powder (Wako, Tokyo, Japan) in deionized water.

To investigate the degradation of graphene, the graphene/substrate was immersed in bleach or NaClO solution ($\sim 5 \text{ mL}$) at room temperature, and then irradiated by a UV light spot (1 cm diameter) using a Lightningcure LC5 light source unit (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan) composed of a Hg-Xe lamp (200 W) and fiber cables. The UV light intensity at 365 nm was $\sim 3.5 \text{ W/cm}^2$, and the wavelength had a range of 240–550 nm.

Graphene patterning. *Preparation of the protective cover on graphene film.* The protective cover was made by decomposition of Au (thickness = 100 nm) on graphene film through a patterned mask using an EW-100S vacuum EB evaporation instrument (Eiko Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Two types of patterned mask were tested: one was a photoresistor polymer assisted by lithography (SI, Figure SI-8), which was used for preparation of pattern A (Fig. 3a) and pattern B (Fig. 3b); the other was a custom-made metal (Ni) mask used for designed pattern C (Fig. 3c), which was prepared by the manufacturer (Fuji Semitu Industries, Osaka, Japan) using a traditional metal etching method.

Wet etching. After covering with the patterned protective Au film, the graphene/substrate was immersed in bleach solution or NaClO solution and then irradiated by UV light for ~ 5 min. The graphene/substrate was removed immediately, rinsed three times with deionized water, and dried naturally at room temperature blown gently with N₂ gas.

Stripping the protective cover. After wet etching, the graphene/substrate samples were immersed in KI/I_2 solution²⁹ for 10–60 s to remove the Au cover. KI/I_2 solution was prepared by dissolving 1.6 g KI powder (Wako) and 0.4 g I_2 (Wako) in 50 mL water. When the yellow pieces of Au cover were floating on the surface, the graphene/substrate was taken out, rinsed three times with deionized water, and dried naturally at room temperature or blown gently using N_2 gas.

Evaluation of graphene after wet etching. After treatment or patterning, graphene was first evaluated by optical microscopy using a DSX 510 instrument (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with $10 \times$ and $50 \times$ lenses. Images were captured using bright field (BF) mode, and samples were checked by micro Raman spectroscopy (inVia, Renishaw, UK). Raman measurements were taken at an excitation wavelength of 532 nm. Raman mapping of patterned graphene was performed by measuring the peak intensities for 2D-bands at ~2683 cm⁻¹, G-bands at ~1590, and D-bands at 1350 cm⁻¹. SEM using an SU8220 instrument (Hitachi High Tech Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) combined with EDS using a 5060FlatQUAD instrument (Bruker Corporation, Yokohama, Japan) were employed to assess the structure of patterned graphene, and to investigate the elemental distributions by mapping of carbon and oxygen in the graphene arrays. SEM and EDS measurements were performed at an acceleration voltage of 5 kV and an emission current of 10 μ A. AFM images were obtained by a Nanosearch scanning probe microscope combined with an optical/laser microscope (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan).

Fabrication and evaluation of the graphene FET array. Patterned graphene ribbon arrays were selected for preparation of FETs. The drain and source electronic probes were prepared using Cr/Au EB evapo-

ration by an EW-100S vacuum EB evaporation instrument (Eiko Corporation) and a custom-made metal (Ni) mask. Cr and Au were successively deposed at a thickness of ~ 20 and ~ 100 nm, respectively.

To assess the performance of fabricated graphene FETs, the patterned graphene ribbons with Au/Cr electrodes were thermally treated at 623 K under an H₂ atmosphere (40 Pa) for 2 h to remove residues on the graphene surfaces that may otherwise cause resistance. Samples were then transferred to another vacuum system (Thermal Block Company, Kawaguchi, Saitama, Japan) for electrical measurements. The devices were heated to 473 K in the chamber before measuring electrical properties to remove any water molecules adhered to the graphene. I_D as a function of V_{GS} was measured at room temperature below 2.0×10^{-2} Pa using a standard four-probe technique with a 4200A-SCS semiconductor parameter analyzer (Tektronix Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Under a constant drain-source voltage (V_{DS}) of 0.1 V, V_{GS} was swept from -40 to 40 V. The hole mobility was calculated using the following equation:

$$\mu_h = \frac{1}{epR_s}, n = C_{ox}(V_{GS} - V_{Dirac})$$

where *R*s is the sheet resistance of the patterned graphene, e is the elementary charge $(1.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ C})$, C_{ox} is the gate insulator capacitance ($t_{SiO2} = 300 \text{ nm}$), and V_{Dirac} is the gate voltage at the Dirac point. The mobility at a hole concentration (p) of $2.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is discussed in this study.

Data availability

All experimental data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 16 December 2021; Accepted: 4 March 2022 Published online: 16 March 2022

References

- 1. Novoselov, K. S. et al. Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. Science 306, 666–669 (2004).
- 2. Novoselov, K. S. et al. Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. Nature 438, 197-200 (2005).
- 3. Castro Neto, A. H. et al. The electronic properties of graphene. Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009).
- 4. Bae, S. et al. Roll-to-roll production of 30-inch graphene films for transparent electrodes. Nature Nanotech 5, 574-578 (2010).
- 5. Zhou, Q. *et al.* Graphene electrostatic microphone and ultrasonic radio. *PNAS* **112**(29), 8942–8946 (2015).
- 6. Sordan, R., Traversi, F. & Russo, V. Logic gates with a single graphene transistor. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94**, 073305 (2009).
- Mohanty, N. & Berry, V. Graphene-based single-bacterium resolution biodevice and DNA transistor: Interfacing graphene derivatives with nanoscale and microscale biocomponents. *Nano Lett.* 8(12), 4469–5447 (2008).
- Wang, J. T. W. et al. Low-temperature processed electron collection layers of graphene/TiO₂ nanocomposites in thin film perovskite solar cells. Nano Lett. 14, 724–730 (2014).
- 9. Wei, T., Bao, L., Hauke, F. & Hirsch, A. Recent advances in graphene patterning. Chem. Plus Chem. 85, 1655-1668 (2020).
- 10. Kim, K. S. *et al.* Large-scale pattern growth of graphene films for stretchable transparent electrodes. *Nature* **457**, 706–710 (2009). 11. Lee, E. *et al.* Direct growth of highly stable patterned graphene on dielectric insulators using a surface-adhered solid carbon source.
- Adv. Mater. 30, 1706569 (2018).
 12. Wang, F. et al. Pattern induced ordering of semiconducting graphene ribbons grown from nitrogen seeded SiC. Carbon 82, 360–367 (2015).
- Wang, X. & Dai, H. Etching and narrowing of graphene from the edges. *Nature Chem.* 2, 661–665 (2010).
- 14. Bai, J., Duan, X. & Huang, Y. Rational fabrication of graphene nanoribbons using a nanowire etch mask. *Nano Lett.* 9(5), 2083–2087 (2009)
- 15. Zhou, Y. & Loh, K. P. Making patterns on graphene. Adv. Mater. 22, 3615-3620 (2010).
- 16. Wu, Y. et al. Patterning graphene film by magnetic-assisted UV Ozonation. Sci. Rep. 7, 46583 (2017).
- Saraswat, V., Jacobberger, R. M. & Arnold, M. S. Materials science challenges to graphene nanoribbon electronics. ACS Nano 15(3), 3674–3708 (2021).
- 18. Liu, L. Z. *et al.* Tunable periodic graphene antidot lattices fabricated by e-beam lithography and oxygen ion etching. *Vacuum* **105**, 21–25 (2014).
- Kalhor, N., Boden, S. A. & Mizuta, H. Sub-10 nm patterning by focused He-ion beam milling for fabrication of downscaled graphene nano devices. *Microelectron. Eng.* 114, 70–77 (2014).
- 20. Sahin, R., Simsek, E. & Akturk, S. Nanoscale patterning of graphene through femtosecond laser ablation. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**, 053118 (2014).
- Yoo, J. H., Park, J. B., Ahn, S. & Grigoropoulos, C. P. Laser-induced direct graphene patterning and simultaneous transferring method for graphene sensor platform. Small 9, 4269–4275 (2013).
- Nayak, A. P., Islam, M. S. & Logeeswaran, V. J. Wet Etching. In *Encyclopedia of Nanotechnology* (ed. Bhushan, B.) (Springer, Dordrecht, 2012).
- 23. Zhang, M. et al. A simple method for removal of carbon nanotubes from wastewater using hypochlorite. Sci. Rep. 9, 1284 (2019).
- 24. Zhang, M. *et al.* Diameter-dependent degradation of 11 types of carbon nanotubes: safety implications. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2**, 4293–4301 (2019).
- Yang, M., Okazaki, T. & Zhang, M. Removal of carbon nanotubes from aqueous solutions by sodium hypochlorite: effects of treatment conditions. *Toxics* 9, 223 (2021).
- Newman, L. et al. Hypochlorite degrades 2D graphene oxide sheets faster than 1D oxidised carbon nanotubes and nanohorns. npj 2D Mater Appl. 39, 774–776 (2017).
- Park, K. S. *et al.* Wafer-scale single-domain-like graphene by defect-selective atomic layer deposition of hexagonal ZnO. *Nanoscale* 7, 17702 (2015).
- Reina, A. *et al.* Large area, few-layer graphene films on arbitrary substrates by chemical vapor deposition. *Nano Lett.* 9(1), 30–35 (2009).
- 29. Hokazono, A. et al. Enhancement/depletion MESFETs of diamond and their logic circuits. Diam. Relat. Mater. 6, 339-343 (1997).
- 30. Dong, Y. *et al.* One-step and high yield simultaneous preparation of single- and multi-layer graphene quantum dots from CX-72 carbon black. *J. Mater. Chem.* **22**, 8764 (2012).
- 31. Peng, J. et al. Graphene quantum dots derived from carbon fibers. Nano Lett. 12, 844-849 (2012).
- 32. Hummers, W. S. Jr. & Offeman, R. E. Preparation of graphitic oxide. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 1339 (1958).

- Fang, J., Fu, Y. & Shang, C. The roles of reactive species in micropollutant degradation in the UV/free chlorine system. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 1859–1868 (2014).
- Zyara, A. M., Torvinen, E., Veijalainen, A. M. & Heinonen-Tanski, H. The effect of UV and combined chlorine/UV treatment on coliphages in drinking water disinfection. *Water* 8(4), 130 (2016).
- 35. Yang, S. et al. UV-enhanced NaClO oxidation of nitric oxide from simulated flue gas. J. Chem. 2016, 6065019 (2016).
- Rahimi, S. *et al.* Toward 300 mm wafer-scalable high-performance polycrystalline chemical vapor-deposited graphene transistors. ACS Nano 8, 10471–10479 (2014).
- Ryu, S. *et al.* Atmospheric oxygen binding and hole doping in deformed graphene on a SiO₂ Substrate. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 4944–4951 (2010).
- Ago, H. et al. Epitaxial growth and electronic properties of large hexagonal graphene domains on Cu (111) thin film. Appl. Phys. Express 6, 075101 (2013).
- 39. Okigawa, Y. et al. Effects of outgassing on graphene synthesis by plasma treatment. Carbon 108, 351 (2016).
- 40. Yamada, T., Okigawa, Y. & Hasegawa, M. Potassium-doped n-type bilayer graphene. Appl. Phys. Lett. 112, 043105 (2018).

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Research Institute of Materials and Chemistry of AIST for the projects in elucidation of the mechanism of materials and process research (R3-005). The authors thank Dr. Naoko Tajima for help with electronic beam evaporation experiments.

Author contributions

M.Z., T.O., and T.Y. conceived and designed the project. M.Z. and M.Y. conducted wet etching experiments. Y.O. conducted fabrication and evaluation of graphene FETs. M.Y., H.N., and Y.I. conducted material characterization. M.Z. wrote the manuscript and analyzed the experimental results. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-08674-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.Z., T.Y. or T.O.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022