Genomewide Association Study of Retinal Traits in the Amish Reveals Loci Influencing Drusen Development and Link to Age-Related Macular Degeneration

Michael D. Osterman,^{1,2} Yeunjoo E. Song,¹ Muneeswar Nittala,³ SriniVas R. Sadda,³ William K. Scott,^{4,5} Dwight Stambolian,⁶ Margaret A. Pericak-Vance,^{4,5} and Jonathan L. Haines^{1,2}

¹Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland Ohio, United States

²Cleveland Institute for Computational Biology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, United States ³Doheny Imaging Reading Center, Doheny Eye Institute, Los Angeles, California, United States

⁴John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida,

United States

⁵The Dr. John T. Macdonald Foundation Department of Human Genetics, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, United States

⁶Ophthalmology and Genetics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States

Correspondence: Michael D. Osterman, Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA; michael.osterman@case.edu.

Received: April 20, 2022 **Accepted:** June 29, 2022 **Published:** July 20, 2022

Citation: Osterman MD, Song YE, Nittala M, et al. Genomewide association study of retinal traits in the Amish reveals loci influencing drusen development and link to age-related macular degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2022;63(8):17.

https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.63.8.17

PURPOSE. The purpose of this study was to identify genetic risk loci for retinal traits, including drusen, in an Amish study population and compare these risk loci to known risk loci of age-related macular degeneration (AMD).

METHODS. Participants were recruited from Amish communities in Ohio, Indiana, and Pennsylvania. Each participant underwent a basic health history, ophthalmologic examination, and genotyping. A genomewide association analysis (GWAS) was conducted for the presence and quantity of each of three retinal traits: geographic atrophy, drusen area, and drusen volume. The findings were compared to results from a prior large GWAS of predominantly European-ancestry individuals. Further, a genetic risk score for AMD was used to predict the presence and quantity of the retinal traits.

RESULTS. After quality control, 1074 participants were included in analyses. Six single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) met criteria for genomewide significance and 48 were suggestively associated across three retinal traits. The significant SNPs were not highly correlated with known risk SNPs for AMD. A genetic risk score for AMD provided significant predictive value of the retinal traits.

CONCLUSIONS. We identified potential novel genetic risk loci for AMD in a midwestern Amish study population. Additionally, we determined that there is a clear link between the genetic risk of AMD and drusen. Further study, including longitudinal data collection, may improve our ability to define this connection and improve understanding of the biological risk factors underlying drusen development.

Keywords: drusen, age-related macular degeneration (AMD), genetics

Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science

A ge-related macular degeneration (AMD) is the leading cause of vision loss nationwide and accounts for 8.7% of blindness.¹ Although the prevalence of AMD differs based on ancestry, it is estimated to affect approximately 196 million individuals globally and is projected to increase to 288 million in 2040.² Early stages of AMD may be relatively asymptomatic, but as the disease progresses there can be blurring and distortion of central vision that can eventually result in irreversible central vision loss.³ Late stages of AMD are generally categorized into two subtypes: neovascular AMD (or macular neovascularization⁴ [MNV]) and geographic atrophy (GA).⁵ In people with MNV, neovascularization arising from either the choroidal circulation (type 1 or 2 MNV) or the deep retinal circulation (type 3 MNV) is associated with exudation which can result in scarring and loss of the retinal photoreceptors.¹ MNV accounts for over half of late-stage AMD cases and approximately 90% of blindness due to AMD.⁶ GA, characterized by progressive degeneration of the macula, can also cause vision loss but this generally occurs more gradually compared with MNV.¹

Although visual impairment in early stages of AMD usually does not have a large impact on quality of life, latestage AMD can lead to significant functional loss, such as the inability to read, drive, or work. Additionally, vision loss has

Copyright 2022 The Authors iovs.arvojournals.org | ISSN: 1552-5783



1

been linked to many comorbidities, including depression, stroke and heart disease, and coronary artery disease.^{7,8} Because of this, it is imperative to identify individuals with AMD in early stages of the disease. Current treatments cannot completely reverse an individual's progression of AMD, but treatments, including antivascular endothelial growth factor, can slow or halt the progression of neovascular AMD.^{9–11} Similarly, there are preventive measures, including modifications to diet, nutritional supplements, and increased physical activity, that help in reducing the risk and rate of progression.^{12,13}

Risk factors for AMD have been characterized extensively.^{12,14,15} The primary risk factors for AMD include age, cigarette smoking, previous cataract surgery, and genetics. Twin studies have estimated the heritability of AMD to be between 46% and 71%, which is high for a complex disease.^{16,17} Early genetic studies of AMD identified two primary risk altering loci: *CFH*^{18–21} and *ARMS2/HTRA1*.^{22,23} Variation in these two genes accounts for over half of the genetic heritability of AMD.^{24,25} More recent genomewide association studies (GWASs) have revealed as many as 34 independent loci associated with AMD status across both common and rare variants.²⁶

Although small cellular waste deposits between the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and Bruch's membrane (BM) known as drusen serve as biomarkers for AMD, recent work confirms their importance in understanding the physiology of AMD.^{27–29} Drusen are indicative of AMD progression and show potential in better understanding conversion to wet AMD.^{6,28,30} Larger drusen and a larger drusen area is associated with a higher risk for developing GA, with GA often appearing in regions where these large drusen collapse.³¹ Although the severity or burden of drusen in the eye were historically assessed using categorical scales on color photographs, advances in imaging, notably optical coherence tomography (OCT), has allowed the volume of drusen to be precisely quantified.

Despite extensive characterization of genetic risk of AMD, risk variants specific to drusen development have not been as intensively explored. Much of the work surrounding the genetic risk of drusen has investigated either certain genes or variants, as opposed to evaluation of risk across the entire human genome.³²⁻³⁴ Here, we performed a GWAS of drusen and GA traits to identify risk variants for both the presence and quantity of drusen. These data were collected as part of the Amish Eye Study, which aims to identify early predictors of progression to AMD by studying individuals at high risk of developing AMD.^{35,36} The Amish are a founder population that is isolated both genetically and culturally. The population descended from German and Swiss Anabaptists who emigrated from Western Europe to North America throughout the 18th and 19th centuries.^{37,38} Because of their cultural beliefs, the Amish generally abstain from both smoking and alcohol use after joining the church as adults, reducing the impact of these environmental risk factors. However, some Amish do engage in limited smoking as adolescents. Due to their relative isolation, the Amish provide a valuable population for genetic studies that may be enriched for some rare variation.³⁹ We examined the link between AMD and drusen by investigating the utility of genetic risk scores (GRSs) for AMD to predict retinal and GA traits. Findings from the present study highlight the genetic basis of drusen and GA development, which can help to better understand the role of drusen in AMD development and progression.

METHODS

Study Population

Potential subjects included Amish adults living in and around Holmes County, Ohio, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, and both Elkhart and LaGrange Counties, Indiana. Individuals were recruited as part of the Amish Eye Study, which has been described elsewhere.^{35,39} Briefly, eligible individuals included those from a family with at least 2 individuals with early or intermediate AMD who were over the age of 50 years. Informed consent was obtained for all individuals. Research complied with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Phenotype Data Collection

Subjects participated in a health history survey and ophthalmologic examination that included spectral domain (SD) OCT volume scans for both eyes. This has been described in detail elsewhere.^{35,39} Briefly, imaging was performed with the Cirrus OCT device (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA) using a volume scanning protocol (512 A-scans × 128 Bscans, 6×6 mm pattern, centered on the fovea). A minimum signal strength was required during the acquisition, and scans were repeated as needed to achieve high-quality scans. Images were then sent to the Doheny Image Reading Center and three specific traits were quantified (GA area within a central 5 mm circle, RPE elevation area within the central 3 and 5 mm circles, and RPE elevation volume within the central 3 and 5 mm circles) automatically by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-cleared Advanced RPE analysis software available in the device. Each AMD case was manually inspected for drusen secondary to AMD. Any cases with vitelliform lesions or serious pigment epithelial detachment (PED) were flagged and findings that were not secondary to AMD were not graded for RPE. As drusen accumulate in the sub-RPE space, the RPE elevation parameters are a reflection of the drusen burden, and thus from here on forward these parameters are referred to as drusen area and drusen volume. A detectable amount of drusen area and volume were considered to be measurements equal to or greater than 0.1 mm² or mm³, respectively. Individuals not meeting these criteria were considered to have no drusen area or no drusen volume. It is possible that an individual had a detectable amount of drusen area or drusen volume, but not both, if one of the two measures was detectable but the other was not.

Genotyping and Quality Control

Genotyping was performed using a customized Illumina Multi-Ethnic Genotyping Array (MEGAex) to capture over 2 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on 1149 individuals. Before performing an association analysis, we evaluated the quality of SNPs and subjects. The first step of the quality control procedures was applied using KING⁴⁰ and PLINK.⁴¹ Individuals were excluded if they showed < 95% genotypic call rates or the inferred gender did not match the reported gender. Known twins and duplicates were identified through a relatedness check. One randomly chosen twin/duplicate was excluded. Variants with < 95% call rates, non-autosomal, or those with minor allele frequency (MAF) = 0 (i.e., monomorphic) were excluded.



FIGURE. Density plots of five retinal traits. (A) Geographic atrophy (GA) area (mm^2) within a central 5 mm circle. (B) GA area (mm^2) within a central 5 mm circle, excluding those with zero. (C) Drusen area (mm^2) within a central 5 mm circle. (D) Drusen area (mm^2) within a central 5 mm circle, excluding those with zero. (E) Drusen volume (mm^3) within a central 5 mm circle. (F) Drusen volume (mm^3) within a central 5 mm circle, excluding those with zero. (G) Drusen area (mm^2) within a central 3 mm circle. (H) Drusen area (mm^2) within a central 3 mm circle, excluding those with zero. (I) Drusen volume (mm^3) within a central 3 mm circle. (J) Drusen volume (mm^3) within a central 3 mm circle, excluding those with zero. (I) Drusen volume (mm^3) within a central 3 mm circle. (J) Drusen volume (mm^3) within a central 3 mm circle, excluding those with zero. Note that the plots of (B), (D), (F), (H), and (J) exclude individuals who do not have any measurable amount of the trait.

Mendelian inconsistencies were assessed using MARKER-INFO in the S.A.G.E. package.⁴² SNPs with more than five Mendelian errors observed were excluded. Mendelian errors for SNPs with less than five errors were marked as missing within the nuclear family. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium proportions were not used as a quality filter, as they are difficult to assess accurately in our highly related founder population data. Maximum likelihood estimates of allele frequencies and marker-specific inbreeding coefficients were estimated using FREQ in the S.A.G.E. package⁴² and SNPs with a minor allele frequency <0.01 were excluded.

The final dataset, after all exclusions were applied, consisted of 1074 individuals with 831,286 genotyped SNPs. Principal components analysis was performed to check for any cryptic population structure using PCAiR in GENESIS.⁴³ Only variants with low linkage disequilibrium (LD) among each other ($R^2 < 0.2$) were used and the first three principal components were investigated. The overall flowchart of this process is shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

Association Analysis

Kinship-adjusted principal components were created using the GENESIS Bioconductor package.43 After checking for potential differences in principal components across demographic variables, 2 models were constructed on each of the 5 traits: GA area within a central 5 mm circle, drusen area within a central 5 mm circle, drusen volume within a central 5 mm circle, drusen area within a central 3 mm circle, and drusen volume within a central 3 mm. The first model (model 1) included a logistic regression approach to predict zero versus non-zero status, referred to as presence of each of the traits. The second model (model 2) was performed on a subset of individuals who had presence of each of the respective traits to remove the effect of the high proportion of zeros in the data (see the Fig.). We utilized a logtransformed Gaussian approach to approximate a normal distribution for model fitting (Supplementary Fig. S2). Only the eye with greater levels of atrophy or drusen for each individual was considered for analysis. We first performed basic logistic (model 1) or linear (model 2) regression to investigate the effect of the age, sex, and ever smoked covariates on each of the outcomes.

Depending on the model, a GWAS was performed with binomial (model 1) or Gaussian family (model 2) with adjustment for age, sex, ever smoked, PC1, and PC2 using GENE-SIS.^{43,44} A genetic relationship matrix containing information on the genetic relationship between any two individuals across all genotyped SNPs was constructed and provided as a covariance matrix in each of the models. For model 1, SNPs with a minor allele frequency $\geq 1\%$ were considered. For model 2, only SNPs with minor allele frequency $\geq 5\%$ were considered, given the much smaller sample size of individuals with each of the traits. A Score test was run on the additive effect for each copy of the allele for the SNP (0, 1, or 2 minor alleles) to examine the effect size and significance. Both the Bonferroni correction and the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) method were considered for correcting for multiple testing in determining genomewide significance. After Bonferroni correction at $\alpha = 0.05$, the threshold was set at $P < 7.28 \times 10^{-8}$. For the BH method, a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.2 was used. Additionally, SNPs were considered to have suggestive effects if the Score test gave P values of less than 1.0×10^{-5} . After significant and suggestive SNPs were determined, a gene set enrichment analysis was performed

to determine if any pathways are enriched at FDR of less than $0.2^{.45,46}$

Genetic Risk Score Analysis

A GRS was constructed for each individual using weights derived from the summary statistics of genomewide significant SNPs from a recent large GWAS of AMD.²⁶ Effects of SNPs were included if directly genotyped in our customized Illumina MEGAex⁴⁷ or if genotyped SNPs were correlated at $R^2 > 0.8$ to a genomewide significant SNP in a European reference population. Three logistic regression models (score only, covariates only, and score and covariates) with the outcome of binary presence (model 3) for each of the five model 1 traits were constructed. Goodness of fit criteria, including area under curve (AUC) and effects of each covariate were calculated. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed to further evaluate the predictive ability of the risk score. Similarly, three linear regression models (score only, covariates only, and score and covariates) were created for each of the model 2 traits (e.g. using the subsets of individuals with presence of the trait (model 4). Goodness of fit criteria, including R², and effects of covariates were calculated. Mixed models were also constructed with a genetic relatedness matrix as a covariance matrix to assess the value of the GRS in predicting each of the five traits after adjustment for individual relatedness.

RESULTS

Drusen Characteristics

After quality assurance and control, a total of 1074 Amish individuals were included in the study (see the Fig., Table 1). There were 57.8% of the participants that were women and the mean age at examination was 66 years (range = 50 to 99 years). There were 19.8% of the individuals that reported ever smoking, as defined by smoking more than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime. There were 5.9% of individuals that had any quantity of GA area in their worse eye, whereas 22.6% and 16.5% had drusen area and volume in a 5 mm circle, respectively. We detected an observable drusen area and volume in a 3 mm circle in 18.1% and 13.0% of participants, respectively. We observed only minor differences among the people with observable amounts of each of the retinal traits compared to the overall study population (Supplementary Table S1). The SD OCT results revealed a large proportion of zeros (no GA and no drusen) and a right skew among the non-zeros across all of the five traits (see Supplementary Fig. S2). We characterized the correlation among each of the five retinal traits and observed strong correlation, especially among measures of drusen area and volume (Supplementary Tables S2, S3).

Association Analysis

We found only minor evidence of an association between sex or ever smoked in our Amish data on any of the traits (Supplementary Table S4). Age was strongly associated with each of the outcomes. The model 1 GWAS for presence of drusen area within a central 5 mm circle yielded one genomewide significant SNP (rs8125299, P = 8.22×10^{-8} , Supplementary Fig. S3) after correction using the BH method with an FDR of 0.2 (Table 2). No SNPs

TABLE 1.	Characteristics of Study	v Po	pulation of	Enrolled	Adults,	Excluding	Refused	or	Inconclusive	Resp	onses
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------	----------	---------	-----------	---------	----	--------------	------	-------

Trait	N (Binary) or Mean (Continuous)	% (Binary) or SD (Continuous)		
Female	621	57.8		
Ever smoked (>100 packs lifetime)	213	19.8		
Age at time of OCT examination	66.1	10.3		
Presence of geographic atrophy within a central 5 mm circle	59	5.9		
Presence of drusen area within a central 5 mm circle	226	22.6		
Presence of drusen volume within a central 5 mm circle	166	16.5		
Presence of drusen area within a central 3 mm circle	182	18.1		
Presence of drusen volume within a central 3 mm circle	131	13.0		

SD, standard deviation; OCT, optical coherence tomography; mm, millimeter.

TABLE 2. List of Genomewide Significant SNPs After Correction Using the Benjamini-Hochberg Method With False Discovery Rate of 20% for 3 Retinal Traits: Drusen Area Within a Central 5 mm Circle, Drusen Area Within a Central 3 mm Circle, and Drusen Volume Within a Central 3 mm Circle

Measure	Model	SNP (GrCh37)	Chromosome	Position	Score P Value	Nearest Gene(s)
Drusen area within a central 5 mm circle	1	rs8125299	20	4860658	$8.22 imes 10^{-8}$	SLC23A2
Drusen area within a central 3 mm circle	1	rs79746087	19	2489650	$6.85 imes 10^{-8}$	GADD45B, GNG7
Drusen volume within a central 3 mm circle	1	rs7028791	9	113240481	$1.31 imes 10^{-8}$	SLC31A1
	1	rs7850939	9	113242405	$2.27 imes 10^{-8}$	SLC31A1
	1	rs79746087	19	2489650	$6.87 imes10^{-8}$	GADD45B, GNG7
	2	rs76316680	4	138280638	$1.84 imes10^{-7}$	DPP10-AS3, DPP10-AS1
	2	rs17759824	2	114942278	3.73×10^{-7}	SLC7A11, LINC00499

If SNP is exonic, the gene that it is located in is listed. If SNP is intergenic, the nearest upstream and downstream genes are listed.

met genomewide significance for model 1 of drusen volume within a central 3 mm circle. One SNP (rs79746087, $P = 6.85 \times 10^{-8}$, Supplementary Fig. S4) was significant after Bonferroni correction and the BH method for presence of drusen area within a central 3 mm circle (model 1). Three SNPs, including rs7028791 ($P = 1.31 \times 10^{-8}$, Supplementary Fig. S5), rs7850939 ($P = 2.27 \times 10^{-8}$, Supplementary Fig. S6), and rs79746087 ($P = 6.87 \times 10^{-8}$, Supplementary Fig. S7) were significant after Bonferroni correction and use of the BH method in model 1 for drusen volume within a central 3 mm circle. Two SNPs, rs76316680 ($P = 1.84 \times 10^{-7}$, Supplementary Fig. S8) and rs1759824 ($P = 3.73 \times 10^{-7}$, Supplementary Fig. S9) met criteria for genomewide significance for drusen volume in a 3 mm circle using the BH method in model 2 (see Table 2). The P values for each of these SNPs across all measures and models can be found in Supplementary Table S5. There was minimal evidence of inflation based on calculations of the genomic inflation factor for models 1 and 2 of each of the five traits (Supplementary Table S6).

A total of 35 and 19 SNPs met suggestive criteria ($P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$) for model 1 and model 2, respectively, across the five traits (Supplementary Table S7). Gene set enrichment analysis^{45,46} performed on the overall list of genes corresponding to suggestive or significant SNPs yielded no enriched gene sets at FDR < 0.20.

TABLE 3. Area Under the Curve (AUC), Akaike Information Criteria (AIC), and *P* Values for the Risk Score Variable Across Prediction of Presence (Model 3) of Five Outcomes: Geographic Atrophy (GA), Drusen Area Within a Central 5 mm Circle, and Drusen Volume Within a Central 5 mm Circle

Measure	Model Type	AUC	AIC	Risk Score P Value	Adjusted Model Risk Score <i>P</i> Value
Geographic atrophy within a central 5 mm circle	Score only	0.636	-76.34	4.18×10^{-6}	2.53×10^{-6}
	Covariates only	0.838	-194.17	-	-
	Score + covariates	0.865	-215.22	1.43×10^{-6}	8.64×10^{-6}
Drusen area within a central 5 mm circle	Score only	0.615	1058.6	6.79×10^{-11}	$1.23 imes 10^{-9}$
	Covariates only	0.769	911.13	-	-
	Score + covariates	0.798	866.13	$8.31 imes10^{-12}$	$2.83 imes10^{-10}$
Drusen volume within a central 5 mm circle	Score only	0.617	833.47	$8.05 imes10^{-9}$	4.26×10^{-9}
	Covariates only	0.808	650.64	-	-
	Score + covariates	0.833	615.11	$1.02 imes 10^{-9}$	6.23×10^{-10}
Drusen area within a central 3 mm circle	Score only	0.634	846.89	2.46×10^{-10}	9.31×10^{-10}
	Covariates only	0.789	745.79	-	-
	Score + covariates	0.820	647.45	4.69×10^{-11}	$1.88 imes 10^{-9}$
Drusen volume within a central 3 mm circle	Score only	0.641	582.51	$3.89 imes 10^{-9}$	2.50×10^{-10}
	Covariates only	0.827	458.72	-	-
	Score + covariates	0.862	366.09	6.66×10^{-10}	$8.44 imes10^{-10}$

Adjusted risk score *P* value is provided for a logistic regression model with account for relatedness. When specified, covariates included age at time of examination, sex, and smoking status. All *P* values are significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

AUC, area under the curve; AIC, Akaike Information Criteria; GA, geographic atrophy.

TABLE 4. Multiple R^2 , Adjusted R^2 , and *P* Values for Risk Score Variable Across Quantities of Non-Zero Subset (Model 4) of 5 Outcomes: Geographic Atrophy Within a Central 5 mm Circle, Drusen Area Within a Central 5 mm Circle, Drusen Volume Within a Central 5 mm Circle, Drusen Area Within a Central 3 mm Circle, and Drusen Volume Within a Central 3 mm Circle

Measure	Model Type	Multiple R ²	Adjusted R ²	Risk Score P Value	Adjusted Model Risk Score <i>P</i> Value	
Geographic atrophy	Score only	0.020	0.003	0.285	0.221	
	Covariates only	0.115	0.050	-		
	Score + covariates	0.169	0.090	0.071	0.027*	
Drusen area within a central 5 mm circle	Score only	0.017	0.012	0.053	0.049*	
	Covariates only	0.158	0.142	-		
	Score + covariates	0.185	0.166	0.007*	0.008*	
Drusen volume within a central 5 mm circle	Score only	0.019	0.013	0.074	0.161	
	Covariates only	0.076	0.053	-		
	Score + covariates	0.105	0.077	0.022*	0.076	
Drusen area within a central 3 mm circle	Score only	0.030	0.024	0.023*	0.040*	
	Covariates only	0.058	0.037	-		
	Score + covariates	0.092	0.064	0.014^{*}	0.013*	
Drusen volume within a central 3 mm circle	Score only	0.044	0.036	0.021*	0.050*	
	Covariates only	0.034	0.003	-		
	Score + covariates	0.074	0.034	0.034*	0.048*	

Adjusted risk score *P* value is provided for a Gaussian regression model with account for relatedness. When specified, covariates included age at time of exam, sex, and smoking status. An asterisk (*) represents that the *P* value is significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Genetic Risk Score Analysis

Twenty-two SNPs met inclusion criteria for the GRS (Supplementary Table S8). Goodness of fit criteria and *P* values for the score variable on the logistic regression models for presence (non-zero status) of each of the five retinal traits (model 3) as primary outcomes can be found in Table 3. Table 4 includes goodness of fit criteria and risk score *P* value for the quantity of outcomes in a non-zero subset for each of the five traits (model 4). Inclusion of the risk score generally improved AUC of models and the score term was significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ among all outcomes except for the GA area.

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the genetic risk for presence and quantity of three AMD traits: GA area, drusen area, and drusen volume. The results indicated that these traits are suggestively associated with a large quantity of SNPs across the genome in Amish adults, after adjusting for age, sex, ever smoked, and two principal components, although the significance of the effects were varied potentially due to a rather small sample size of individuals with a non-zero amount of each of the traits. Seven SNPs, including one SNP repeated between model 1 of drusen area and volume (mm³) in a 3 mm circle centered, were significant after correction with FDR < 0.20 (BH). Few studies have previously shown a genetic influence on drusen, particularly at the whole genome level.^{27,33} Within those, the LIPC and ABCA1 genes have been shown to be associated with large drusen development.33 Additionally, a GRS for AMD has been linked to baseline drusen measures but not progression of drusen.34

The most suggestive signals and the signals with the smallest P values were found when considering the presence/absence (model 1) of each of the retinal traits, rather than quantity, although this could at least in part be explained by the greater sample sizes, and hence, the power to detect smaller effects, when including all individuals as opposed to solely those with non-zero values for the traits.

One SNP, rs79746087, was significant for both the drusen area and the drusen volume within a central 3 mm circle, indicating a significant role across measures. It was also suggestive for the drusen area and the volume within a central 5 mm circle. Although these are not surprising based on the correlated outcomes (see Supplementary Table S2), it is promising to find a region that is implicated in each of the drusen area and volume measurements. It is located in an intergenic region between GADD45B and GNG7. There is evidence that it may be an expression quantitative trait locus eQTL but with no direct links to the eye or macular degeneration.48 The first of these, GADD45B, has been implicated in growth arrest⁴⁹ and DNA damage response including protection from retinal ganglion cell injuries.⁵⁰⁻⁵² GNG7 is associated with ischemic injuries of the retina.53 Other genes with known function located close to our suggestive GWAS loci include SLC23A2, SLC31A1, SLC7A11, DPP10-AS3, and DPP10-AS1. The solute carrier (SLC) family of genes are generally implicated in bodily transport of various metabolites, including amino acids, vitamin C, and copper. They have been previously implicated in various health outcomes relating to the eye, including drusen size and glaucoma.54-59 DPP10-AS3 and DPP10-AS1 are long non-coding RNA genes that play a role in the development of diabetic retinopathy and various cancers.^{60–64} Although none of the genomewide significant SNPs have been directly implicated in AMD,²⁶ 3 of them are located within 6 megabases of a known AMD SNP but only in weak linkage disequilibrium in a European reference population⁶⁵ (Supplementary Table S9). Namely, rs79746087 is between CNN2 and C3, whereas rs7850939 and rs7028791 are downstream of ABCA1. Previous data suggests that the genetic profile of early AMD may be different than from late AMD, making it possible that the pathways implicated in drusen might be more closely aligned with early AMD.^{66,67} It is also possible that these regions may be implicated in the development of drusen but not closely associated with AMD diagnosis.

Although our GWAS of retinal traits revealed signals near known AMD risk SNPs, we further investigated the utility of using the known AMD-associated loci²⁶ to predict the

presence/absence and quantity of each of the three traits. We were ultimately able to capture at least one risk variant from each of the major regions or a correlated SNP (see Supplementary Fig. S8) and create a GRS for each individual based on these AMD risk variants. Our finding that the GRS improved each model's ability to predict their respective outcome suggests that the underlying genetic architecture of AMD is implicated in drusen development, and further suggests that there is a genetic influence on development of drusen. Further, we provide evidence that some of these genetic risk factors may be similar to those of AMD. There is great potential for using drusen as a biomarker for AMD due to the noninvasive nature of the OCT examination.

Use of the Amish as a study population has the inherent strength in that can detect effects that are small in a larger, general population but are amplified within this founder population. By combining OCT data with SNP-level data, we are the first to provide an extensive analysis of how risk variants may affect drusen development in a founder population. However, we are limited by a relatively large proportion of zeros among each of the measures. The power to detect smaller effects and rare variants will be increased by obtaining longitudinal data to measure progression of the retinal traits over time. Further, use of whole genome sequencing could allow for better detection of rare variants within the genomewide significant loci, as the Amish may demonstrate variation that differs from a general European ancestry population.

We conclude that there is an underlying genetic component to drusen development that may be somewhat separated from the biological pathways considered in AMD development. With the relatively high heritability of AMD for a complex disease, it is not surprising that drusen may also be inherited in a similar manner.^{16,25} Work on the genetic risk of drusen could aid in improving understanding of drusen as a biomarker for genetic risk of AMD. Building this knowledge could serve to improve screening and treatment to prevent AMD by more thorough incorporation of drusen burden into such practices.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Amish families for their willing participation in our study. The authors used the Anabaptist Genealogy Database⁶⁸ and information from the Swiss Anabaptist Genealogy Association to help determine relationships.

Supported by National Institutes of Health/National Eye Institute (NEI), grants EY023164, EY022310, and EY012118. The authors acknowledge the resources provided by the Department of Population and Quantitative Health Sciences, School of Medicine at Case Western Reserve University, the Doheny Imaging Reading Center at the Doheny Eye Institute, the Departments of Ophthalmology and Genetics at the University of Pennsylvania, and the John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics at University of Miami, Miller School of Medicine.

Disclosure: M.D. Osterman, None; Y.E. Song, None; M. Nittala, None; S.R. Sadda, Amgen (C), Allergan (C), Novartis (C), Regeneron (C), Centervue (C), Heidelberg (C), Heidelberg Engineering (F), Optos (F), Nidek (F), Topcon (F), Genentech/Roche (C), Oxurion (C), Bayer (C), 4DMT (C), Optos (C), Carl Zeiss Meditec (F), Centervue (F); W.K. Scott, None; D. Stambolian, None; M.A. Pericak-Vance, None; J.L. Haines, None

References

- 1. Lim LS, Mitchell P, Seddon JM, Holz FG, Wong TY. Age-related macular degeneration. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9827): 1728–1738.
- 2. Wong WL, Su X, Li X, et al. Global prevalence of agerelated macular degeneration and disease burden projection for 2020 and 2040: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2014;2(2):e106–e116.
- 3. Marmor DJ, Marmor MF. Simulating vision with and without macular disease. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2010;128(1):117–125.
- Spaide RF, Jaffe GJ, Sarraf D, et al. Consensus Nomenclature for Reporting Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration Data: Consensus on Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration Nomenclature Study Group. *Ophthalmology*. 2020;127(5):616–636.
- 5. Klein R, Davis MD, Magli YL, Segal P, Klein BEK, Hubbard L. The Wisconsin Age-related Maculopathy Grading System. *Ophthalmology*. 1991;98(7):1128–1134.
- Kassoff A, Kassoff J, Buehler J, et al. A randomized, placebocontrolled, clinical trial of high-dose supplementation with vitamins C and E, beta carotene, and zinc for age-related macular degeneration and vision loss: AREDS report no. 8. *Arch Ophtbalmol.* 2001;119(10):1417–1436.
- Lamoureux EL, Mitchell P, Rees G, et al. Impact of early and late age-related macular degeneration on vision-specific functioning. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2011;95(5):666–670.
- 8. Cheng Q, Saaddine JB, Klein R, Rothenberg R, Chou CF, Il'yasova D. Early Age-related Macular Degeneration with Cardiovascular and Renal Comorbidities: An Analysis of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2005–2008. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2017;24(6):413–419.
- Comparison of Age-related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials (CATT) Research Group;Maguire MG, Martin DF, et al. Five-Year Outcomes with Anti–Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Treatment of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration: The Comparison of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Treatments Trials. *In Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(8):1751–1761.
- Villegas VM, Aranguren LA, Kovach JL, Schwartz SG, Flynn HW, Jr. Current advances in the treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*. 2017;14(2):273–282.
- 11. Reid CA, Nettesheim ER, Connor TB, Lipinski DM. Development of an inducible anti-VEGF rAAV gene therapy strategy for the treatment of wet AMD. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):11763.
- Al-Zamil WM, Yassin SA. Recent developments in agerelated macular degeneration: A review. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2017;12:1313–1330.
- 13. Wang Y, Wang VM, Chan CC. The role of anti-inflammatory agents in age-related macular degeneration (AMD) treatment. *Eye*. 2011;25(2):127–139.
- 14. Chakravarthy U, Wong TY, Fletcher A, et al. Clinical risk factors for age-related macular degeneration: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2010;10:31.
- Tomany SC, Wang JJ, Van Leeuwen R, et al. Risk factors for incident age-related macular degeneration: Pooled findings from 3 continents. *Ophthalmology*. 2004;111(7):1280–1287.
- 16. Seddon JM, Cote J, Page WF, Aggen SH, Neale MC. The US twin study of age-related macular degeneration: Relative roles of genetic and environmental influences. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2005;123(3):321–327.
- 17. Meyers SM, Greene T, Gutman FA. A twin study of age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol.* 1995;120(6):757–766.
- Klein RJ, Zeiss C, Chew EY, et al. Complement factor H polymorphism in age-related macular degeneration. *Science*. 2005;308(5720):385–389.

- 19. Haines JL, Hauser MA, Schmidt S, et al. Complement factor H variant increases the risk of age-related macular degeneration. *Science*. 2005;308(5720):419–421.
- Edwards AO, Ritter R, Abel KJ, Manning A, Panhuysen C, Farrer LA. Complement factor H polymorphism and agerelated macular degeneration. *Science*. 2005;308(5720):421– 424.
- Hageman GS, Anderson DH, Johnson LV., et al. A common haplotype in the complement regulatory gene factor H (HF1/CFH) predisposes individuals to age-related macular degeneration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2005;102(20):7227– 7232.
- 22. Rivera A, Fisher SA, Fritsche LG, et al. Hypothetical LOC387715 is a second major susceptibility gene for agerelated macular degeneration, contributing independently of complement factor H to disease risk. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2005;14(21):3227–3236.
- 23. Fritsche LG, Loenhardt T, Janssen A, et al. Age-related macular degeneration is associated with an unstable ARMS2 (LOC387715) mRNA. *Nat Genet*. 2008;40(7):892–896.
- 24. De Jong EK, Geerlings MJ, Den Hollander AI. Agerelated macular degeneration, Genetics and Genomics of Eye Disease. Published online 2020:155–180, doi:10.1016/ B978-0-12-816222-4.00010-1.
- DeAngelis MM, Owen LA, Morrison MA, et al. Genetics of age-related macular degeneration (AMD). *Hum Mol Genet*. 2017;26(R1):R45–R50.
- 26. Fritsche LG, Igl W, Bailey JNC, et al. A large genome-wide association study of age-related macular degeneration highlights contributions of rare and common variants. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(2):134–143.
- 27. Hageman GS, Luthert PJ, Victor Chong NH, Johnson L V., Anderson DH, Mullins RF. An integrated hypothesis that considers drusen as biomarkers of immune-mediated processes at the RPE-Bruch's membrane interface in aging and age-related macular degeneration. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2001;20(6):705–732.
- Stanton CM, Wright AF. Inflammatory biomarkers for AMD. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2014;801:251–257.
- 29. De Jong PTVM. Elusive drusen and changing terminology of AMD review-article. *Eye*. 2018;32(5):904–914.
- 30. Or C, Heier JS, Boyer D, et al. Vascularized drusen: a crosssectional study. *Int J Retin Vitr*. 2019;5(1):9–11.
- 31. Bowes Rickman C, Farsiu S, Toth CA, Klingeborn M. Dry age-related macular degeneration: Mechanisms, therapeutic targets, and imaging. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2013;54(14):ORSF68–ORSF80.
- 32. Wagner EK, Raychaudhuri S, Villalonga MB, et al. Mapping rare, deleterious mutations in Factor H: Association with early onset, drusen burden, and lower antigenic levels in familial AMD. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6(January):1–11.
- 33. Yu Y, Reynolds R, Fagerness J, Rosner B, Daly MJ, Seddon JM. Association of variants in the LIPC and ABCA1 genes with intermediate and large drusen and advanced agerelated macular degeneration. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2011;52(7):4663–4670.
- Hoffman JD, Van Grinsven MJJP, Li C, et al. Genetic association analysis of drusen progression. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2016;57(4):2225–2231.
- 35. Nittala MG, Velaga SB, Hariri A, et al. Retinal sensitivity using microperimetry in age-related macular degeneration in an Amish population. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retin.* 2019;50(9):E236–E241.
- Corvi F, Srinivas S, Nittala MG, et al. Reproducibility of qualitative assessment of drusen volume in eyes with age related macular degeneration. *Eye*. 2021;35(9):2594–2600.
- Mook MA, Hostetler JA. Amish Society. JAm Folk. JHU Press; 1993. Published online 1969, doi:10.2307/539066.

- Hoffman JD, Cooke Bailey JN, D'Aoust L, et al. Rare complement factor H variant associated with age-related macular degeneration in the Amish. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2014;55(7):4455–4460.
- 39. Sardell RJ, Nittala MG, Adams LD, et al. Heritability of Choroidal Thickness in the Amish. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(12):2537–2544.
- 40. Manichaikul A, Mychaleckyj JC, Rich SS, Daly K, Sale M, Chen WM. Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(22):2867–2873.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):559–575.
- 42. S.A.G.E. Statistical Analysis for Genetic Epidemiology, Release 6.4. Published online 2016. Available at: http:// darwin.cwru.edu.
- Gogarten SM, Sofer T, Chen H, et al. Genetic association testing using the GENESIS R/Bioconductor package. *Bioinformatics*. 2019;35(24):5346–5348.
- 44. R Development Core Team R. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 2011. Available at: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/R% 3A-A-language-and-environment-for-statistical-Team/659 408b243cec55de8d0a3bc51b81173007aa89b.
- 45. Daly MJ, Patterson N, Mesirov JP, Golub TR, Tamayo P, Spiegelman B. PGC-1α-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat Genet*. 2003;34(3):267–273.
- 46. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005;102(43):15545–15550.
- 47. Multi-Ethnic Genotyping Array Consortium. Available at: https://www.illumina.com/science/consortia/human-conso rtia/multi-ethnic-genotyping-consortium.html. Accessed May 25, 2020.
- 48. Aguet F, Barbeira AN, Bonazzola R, et al. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. *Science*. 2020;369(6509):1318–1330.
- 49. Gavin DP, Sharma RP, Chase KA, Matrisciano F, Dong E, Guidotti A. Growth Arrest and DNA-Damage-Inducible, Beta (GADD45b)-Mediated DNA Demethylation in Major Psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2011;37(2):531–542.
- 50. Liu B, Sun X, Suyeoka G, Garcia JGN, Leiderman YI. TGFβ Signaling Induces Expression of Gadd45b in Retinal Ganglion Cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2013;54(2):1061– 1069.
- 51. Liu B, Chen H, Neufeld AH. Upregulation of Gadd45b in the Aging Retina. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2007;48(13):46.
- 52. Liu B, Suyeoka G, Papa S, Franzoso G, Neufeld AH. Growth arrest and DNA damage protein 45b (Gadd45b) protects retinal ganglion cells from injuries. *Neurobiol Dis*. 2009;33(1):104–110.
- 53. Andreeva K, Zhang M, Fan W, et al. Time-dependent Gene Profiling Indicates the Presence of Different Phases for Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury in Retina. *Ophthalmol Eye Dis*. 2014;6:43–54.
- 54. Merle BMJ, Rosner B, Seddon JM. Genetic Susceptibility, Diet Quality, and Two-Step Progression in Drusen Size. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2020;61(17):1–12.
- 55. Erichsen HC, Engel SAM, Eck PK, et al. Genetic variation in the sodium-dependent vitamin C transporters, SLC23A1, and SLC23A2 and risk for preterm delivery. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2006;163(3):245–254.
- 56. Chen AA, Marsit CJ, Christensen BC, et al. Genetic variation in the vitamin C transporter, SLC23A2, modifies the risk of HPV16-associated head and neck cancer. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009;30(6):977–981.

- 57. Zanon-Moreno V, Ciancotti-Olivares L, Asencio J, et al. Association between a SLC23A2 gene variation, plasma vitamin C levels, and risk of glaucoma in a Mediterranean population. *Mol Vis.* 2011;17(July):2997–3004.
- Chintala S, Li W, Lamoreux ML, et al. Slc7a11 gene controls production of pheomelanin pigment and proliferation of cultured cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005;102(31):10964– 10969.
- 59. Huang Y, Dai Z, Barbacioru C, Sadée W. Cystineglutamate transporter SLC7A11 in cancer chemosensitivity and chemoresistance. *Cancer Res.* 2005;65(16):7446–7454.
- 60. Pollack S, Igo RP, Jensen RA, et al. Multiethnic genomewide association study of diabetic retinopathy using liability threshold modeling of duration of diabetes and glycemic control. *Diabetes*. 2019;68(2):441–456.
- 61. Ong MS, Cai W, Tan TZ, Huang RY, Hooi SC, Yap CT, Kumar AP. Long non-coding RNA landscape in colorectal cancer. *RNA & disease*. 2019;9:6.
- 62. Tian H, Pan J, Fang S, et al. Epigenetic Regulation Contributes to the Oncogenic Role of DPP10-AS1 in Lung Cancer. *SSRN Electron J*. Available at: https://papers.ssrn. com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3421596.
- 63. Zhou Y, Zhou Z, Ji Z, Yan W, Li H, Yu X. Tetramethylpyrazine reduces prostate cancer malignancy through inactivation of the DPP10–AS1/CBP/FOXM1 signaling pathway. *Int J Oncol.* 2020;57(1):314–324.

- 64. Liu G, Zhao H, Song Q, Li G, Lin S, Xiong S. Long non-coding RNA DPP10-AS1 exerts anti-tumor effects on colon cancer via the upregulation of ADCY1 by regulating microRNA-127-3p. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2021;13(7):9748– 9765.
- 65. Machiela MJ, Chanock SJ. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. *Bioinformatics*. 2015;31(21):3555–3557.
- 66. Holliday EG, Smith AV, Cornes BK, et al. Insights into the Genetic Architecture of Early Stage Age-Related Macular Degeneration: A Genome-Wide Association Study Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(1):e53830.
- 67. Thee EF, Meester-Smoor MA, Luttikhuizen DT, et al. Performance of classification systems for age-related macular degeneration in the Rotterdam study. *Transl Vis Sci Technol.* 2020;9(2):1–11.
- Agarwala R, Biesecker LG, Schäffer AA. Anabaptist genealogy database. Am J Med Genet - Semin Med Genet. 2003;121C(1):32–37.
- 69. Pruim RJ, Welch RP, Sanna S, et al. LocusZoom: Regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. *Bioinformatics*. 2011;27(13):2336–2337.