

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# A multilevel analysis of the predictors of diarrhea among children under 5 years of age in Eswatini

MASWATI S. SIMELANE<sup>1,2</sup> and KERRY VERMAAK<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The School of Built Environment and Development Studies, University KwaZulu-Natal;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics and Demography, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Eswatini, Eswatini

DOI: 10.4081/jphia.2023.1149

**Abstract.** Diarrhea remains a public health challenge and persistently affect children under 5 years of age, primarily in developing countries. The aim of the study was to investigate the effects of individual, household and community level factors on child diarrhea. Using combined data 2010 and 2014 Eswatini Multiple Indicator Cluster surveys, data for 4,363 under five children was analysed. Univariable, bivariable and multivariable multilevel logistic regression models were used for data analysis. We found that the prevalence of diarrhea was 16.2%, (95% confidence interval (CI): 15.3-18.1). Higher odds of diarrhea were observed among children aged 6-11 months (AOR: 2.67, 95% CI: 1.93, 3.71) and 12-23 months (AOR=2.12, 95% CI: 1.56, 2.87) compared to those aged less than 6 months. However, lower odds of diarrhea were observed among children aged 36-47 months (AOR=0.68, 95% CI: 0.48, 0.97) and 48-59 months (AOR=0.39, 95% CI: 0.26, 0.58), compared to children aged less than 6 months. Children born to mothers aged 35-39 years had lower odds of having diarrhea, (AOR=0.48, 95%CI: 0.30, 0.79) compared to those born to mothers aged 15-19 years. Higher odds of having diarrhea were observed among children from communities with a low proportion of households with improved toilet facility (AOR=1.29, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.66) compared to those from communities with a high proportion of households with improved toilet facility. We found that individual- and community-level factors were associated with child diarrhea in Eswatini. Programmes and policies that aim to mitigate child morbidity due to diarrhea should pay attention to the individual and community factors.

## Introduction

Diarrhea remains a public health challenge and persistently affect children under 5 years of age, primarily in developing countries (1,2). Diarrhea is defined as the passage of three or more loose or liquid stools per day or more frequent passage than is normal for the individual (3). Approximately 525 000 children under five years die due to diarrhea per annum, making diarrhea the second leading cause of death, and attributed about 8% of all death among children under five years in 2019 (4-6). About 80% of the estimated children that die globally due to diarrhea are from developing countries (5,7).

Despite initiatives and efforts implemented by international organizations and national governments to impact positively on child health, including the introduction of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) post 2015 to 2030 (8), diarrhea still remains a public health problem. South Asia and Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) record the highest death rates, and is where about 80% of childhood diarrheal diseases occur (1,9). Many developing countries have poor sanitation and hygiene systems among other factors that predispose children to diarrhea (10,11). The prevalence of diarrhea varies from 10.8% in Benin, and South Africa and, Ethiopia (11.1%), Lesotho (12.2%), Zimbabwe (16.9%), Senegal (20.3%), Uganda (22.4%), Malawi (20.6%), and Liberia (24.8%) between 2010 and 2018 (1,12).

Eswatini recorded a slight increase of diarrhea, from a prevalence of 16.0% in 2010 to 16.4% in 2014 among children under five years (13,14). Previous studies from developing countries provide evidence that some of the factors associated with child diarrhea include: the age of the child; sex of the child; maternal age; maternal education; the number of children under five years in the household; the source of drinking water; the household wealth index; the place of residence; and the region of residence (15-18). Even though several studies have reported the correlates of child diarrhea elsewhere (19-21). Eswatini depends on descriptive reports (13,14). To control the occurrence of diarrhea among children under five years, there is a need to conduct robust inferential analysis to examine the extent to which characteristics at individual, and community levels are associated with child diarrhea.

*Correspondence to:* Maswati S. Simelane, University of Eswatini, Private Bag 4, Kwaluseni, Eswatini  
E-mail: smasimelane@uniswa.sz

*Key words:* multilevel logistic regression, child diarrhea, community factors, factors, Eswatini

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70

*Study context.* Eswatini is a landlocked country in Southern Africa surrounded by Mozambique on the East and South Africa on the western side, measuring 17,364 km<sup>2</sup> with a population of about 1,093,238 million, 531,111 males and 562,127 females, 78% of whom live in rural areas (22). Eswatini has experienced a rapid fertility decline over the years, with 3.3 children per woman in 2014 (23). The country has a per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of US\$ 2,776, with the main drivers of the economy being agriculture and manufacturing. Of the total population, 53.5% of children aged 0-14 years, 64.1% of those aged 15-24 years, and 54.1% of those aged 15-35 years live below the poverty line of US\$1.90 per day (24). Overall, in 2017, 58.9% of the population lived below the poverty line, a decrease of 4.1% from 2010. The literacy level stands at 87.5%, while unemployment stands at 28.1%, with about 44.0% of women and 52.0% of men benefiting from paid employment. Eswatini experienced a substantial increase in under five mortality from 67.4 per 1000 live births in 1990 to 116.7 per 1000 live births in 2005, and a sharp reduction was observed to 67 deaths per 1,000 live births in 2014 and 49.4 deaths per 1000 live births in 2019 (23). The reduction of child mortality could be a result of initiatives by the government of Eswatini to improve access to potable water and improved sanitation systems (25). Other programs include the child vaccination program, and efforts to strengthen the Eswatini epidemiological unit (EPU) to have an effective disease case notification on diarrhea outbreaks and other related childhood diseases (26).

## Materials and methods

*Data source.* This study was a secondary analysis of the combined data from the 2010 and 2014 Eswatini Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (EMICSs). The Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) is an international initiative by the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) to assist countries in collecting and analysing data for monitoring the situation of children, women, and men in developing countries. It is a cross-sectional household survey conducted every 3-5 years to enable countries to capture rapid changes in key indicators, such as those related to health, education and development. In the EMICS, data were collected using standardised survey tools through face-to-face interviews among nationally representative samples of households (13,23).

*Sampling design and study samples.* The sampling frame of the enumeration was based on the 2007 Eswatini Population and Housing Census (27). A two-staged sampling technique and a systematic random sampling were applied. First, enumeration areas (EAs), also known as the primary sampling units (PSUs), were selected. Second, households were selected, stratified by rural and urban residence, and the four regions of the country, which are Manzini, Hhohho, Shiselweni, and Lubombo. To collect data for under-5s, a standardised questionnaire was used to obtain information for each child in the selected households. The mother or caregiver was the respondent for the child questionnaire. For the 2010 EMICS, 5 475 households were selected from 345 EAs, with a total of 4 834 households successfully interviewed. Overall, caregivers and mothers provided data for of 2,647 children aged under 5 years.

In the 2014 EMICS, a total of 347 EAs and 5 211 households were selected for the survey. Using the systematic probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling method, 15 households were selected in each EA. In the 2014 EMICS, at total of 2,693 children had data provided by caregivers and mothers during the interviews. However, for the combined 2010 and 2014 surveys, only 4363 under-5s with complete data on diarrhea and other characteristics were included in the analysis.

### Study variables

*Outcome variable.* The study outcome variable was child diarrhea. In this study, we considered child diarrhea if the mother/caregiver reported that the child had diarrhea in the last two weeks preceding the survey. The variable was coded as binary: (1) for those with diarrhea, (0) for those without diarrhea.

*Explanatory variables.* The explanatory variables included child factors, maternal factors, and household and community factors (17,28-30). Individual-level variables were child age in months, sex, child stunting status, maternal education, maternal age, parity, marital status, household toilet facility, source of drinking water, number of children under 5 years, household wealth index. The household wealth index had been calculated in the EMICS dataset and was categorised into five quartiles, namely the poorest, poor, middle, rich and richest. Wealth indices use information about household durable assets, such as housing materials, toilet or latrine access, phone ownership, or agricultural land and livestock, which are regularly collected in most household surveys to create an index of household wealth (31). Community-level variables were area of residence, region of residence, community poverty (proportion of households in the communities classified in the poorest and poor wealth quantiles), community maternal education level (proportion of mothers with at least secondary level education in the community), community improved toilet facility (proportion of households with flush, and pit latrine in the community) and community improved source of water (proportion of households with piped in the community). To generate the community variables, the individual-level variables were aggregated by cluster and categorised as low, medium and high or low and high (18,32,33).

*Statistical analysis.* Descriptive statistics were used to assess the distribution of the sample and the magnitude of child diarrhea. A bivariable analysis through the chi-square test was performed to test the crude association between each explanatory variable and diarrhea. The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to test for strongly correlated explanatory factors, and no factors were strongly correlated to each other. Due to the hierarchical nature of the dataset, a multilevel model that controls for clustering of diarrhea across communities was used. Adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to establish the fixed effects of the models. Random effects, important to establish the random variation of diarrhea across communities, was denoted by the intraclass correlation (ICC) and median odds ratio (MOR). The higher the value of MOR from 1 the greater is the between-community variation. Four models were fitted to analyse the data. Model 1: An empty model to produce a

1 random variation of the intercept (random effects) and the  
 2 ICC. Model 2 included only individual-level variables. Model  
 3 3 included only community-level factors. Model 4 included  
 4 individual and community-level variables in one model. The  
 5 entire analysis took into account survey weights and was done  
 6 in Stata 15 (Stata Corp., USA).

7  
 8 *Ethical considerations.* The UNICEF team granted permis-  
 9 sion for the access and use of the EMICS datasets from  
 10 <http://mics.unicef.org/surveys>. They are anonymous and do  
 11 not allow the identification of participants. The data is publicly  
 12 available and the authors had no special access privileges  
 13 to the data and that other researchers will be able to access  
 14 the data in the same manner as the authors. This study was  
 15 approved by the University of KwaZulu-Natal's Humanities  
 16 and Social Science Research Ethics Committee as part of the  
 17 Doctoral (PhD) studies.

## 18 Results

19  
 20  
 21 Of the 4363 children included in the analysis, slightly above  
 22 half (51.5%) of the children were females and just over a  
 23 quarter (26.2%) were stunted. Nearly three fifths (59.2%)  
 24 of the children were born to married mothers, with almost  
 25 half (48.1%) of the mothers having parity of less than three  
 26 children. The majority of the children were born to women  
 27 with secondary education level (32.9%) while only 7.8% had  
 28 tertiary education level. About seven out of ten (69.5%) were  
 29 from households that used a pit latrine, and just over three  
 30 fifths (62.5%) were from households that had piped water  
 31 sources. Over three quarters (76,1%) were from rural areas,  
 32 with just over a third (34.5%) resident in the Manzini region.  
 33 There was a similar distribution of the child-level factors: by  
 34 age group and sex, maternal-level factors: age group, house-  
 35 hold factors: household wealth index and community-level  
 36 factors: region of residence, community poverty, and the  
 37 community maternal education (Table I).

38 Overall, 16.6% of the children were reported to have  
 39 had diarrhea in the past two weeks before the surveys. A  
 40 significantly higher proportion of children who had diarrhea  
 41 were aged 6-11 months (20.1%), 12-23 months (32.3%), and  
 42 24-35 months (18.3%) compared to 6.3% among those aged  
 43 48-59 months ( $P<0.001$ ). A significantly higher proportion of  
 44 children who had diarrhea were born to women aged 20-24  
 45 (30.3%) and 25-29 (23.8%) vs. only 3.3% among those born  
 46 to women aged 45-49 years ( $P=0.001$ ). A significantly higher  
 47 proportion (71.2%) of the children reported to have had  
 48 diarrhea were from households with a pit latrine compared to  
 49 only 9.9% with a flush toilet ( $P<0.007$ ) (Table I).

### 50 *Factors associated with diarrhea among under five children.*

51 The fixed effects results of the multilevel models are shown  
 52 in Table II. At the individual level, even after controlling for  
 53 community level factors, child age was associated with diar-  
 54 rhea. Children aged 6-11 months, 12-23 months had higher  
 55 odds of having diarrhea, (AOR=2.67, 95% CI: 1.93, 3.71), and  
 56 (AOR=2.12, 95% CI: 1.56, 2.87) respectively while lower odds  
 57 of having child diarrhea were observed among those aged  
 58 36-47 months (AOR=0.68, 95% CI:0.48,0.97) and 48-59 months  
 59 (AOR=0.39, 95% CI: 0.26,0.58), compared to children aged less

60 than 6 months. Controlling for all other factors in the model,  
 61 children born to mothers aged 35-39 years had lower odds of  
 62 having diarrhea, (AOR=0.48,95%CI: 0.30,0.79) compared to  
 63 those born to mothers aged 15-19 years. At the community  
 64 level, higher odds of having diarrhea were observed among  
 65 children from communities with a low proportion of house-  
 66 holds with improved toilet facility (AOR=1.29, 95% CI:  
 67 1.01,1.66) compared to those from communities with a high  
 68 proportion of households with improved toilet facility, holding  
 69 other factors constant in the model.

70  
 71  
 72 *Random-effects results (measures of variation).* The analysis  
 73 also showed the random effect estimates. The intercept only  
 74 model (empty model) was fitted to justify if there was random  
 75 effect at the community level. In Table III, the empty model  
 76 (model 1), there was a significant community difference in  
 77 the odds of experiencing diarrhea among children ( $\tau=0.387$ ,  
 78  $P<0.001$ ). The variance remained significant even after  
 79 controlling for individual, household and community level  
 80 factors (Model 4). The intra-cluster variability of the study  
 81 participants showed that children from the same cluster were  
 82 significantly likely to share common characteristics than chil-  
 83 dren outside the cluster. Therefore, the intercept intercept-only  
 84 model (null model) showed that there was an ICC of 4.4%  
 85 of the variation of the diarrhea is attributed to the difference  
 86 between clusters. The MOR increased from 1.81 (Model 1)  
 87 to 1.84 (Model 4), implying a significant differences between  
 88 communities in the odds of diarrhea among under five children  
 89 (Table III).

## 90 Discussion

91  
 92  
 93 The study aimed to investigate the individual and community  
 94 level factors associated with child diarrhea. The study showed  
 95 that the two weeks' prevalence of diarrhea among children  
 96 under five years was 16.2% (95% CI: 15.2-17.2%) in Eswatini.  
 97 Compared to other countries national surveys on the same  
 98 time period, this prevalence was similar to Kenya (15.0%) and  
 99 Togo (16.4%), but higher than that of Ghana (12.1%), Lesotho  
 100 (12.2%), and South Africa (10.8%), while lower than that of  
 101 Namibia (20.4) and Malawi (20.6) (12). This variation in the  
 102 prevalence of diarrhea is possibly attributable to a difference  
 103 in socio-economic and demographic characteristics, sanitation  
 104 and access to improved water sources between the countries.  
 105 Eswatini continues to monitor and manage illnesses, including  
 106 diarrhea, which is in in line with global agenda, sustainable  
 107 development goal number 3, target 3.2, to end preventable  
 108 diseases and deaths of under-5-year-old children by the year  
 109 2030 (8,34).

110 The odds of having diarrhea were higher among children  
 111 aged 6-11 months, and 12-23 compared to those aged less  
 112 than 6 months. This finding is consistent with evidence from  
 113 Ethiopia (28) and East Africa (35). A possible explanation for  
 114 this finding could be that at the age of 6 months children are  
 115 often introduced to solid foods, which has a much higher risk  
 116 of contamination than breastmilk. In addition, children in  
 117 rural settings are more likely to be exposed and ingest infec-  
 118 tious agents in the soil as their mobility increases at around  
 119 the same age that can increase the risk of diarrhea. Conversely,  
 120 we found that children aged 36-47 months and 48-59 months

| Table I. Sample characteristics and distribution of child diarrhea by the explanatory variables. |              |                    |                  |         |
|--|--------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|
| Variables  | n (%)        | Child had diarrhea |                  | P-value |
|  |              | Yes<br>n (%)       | No<br>n (%)      |         |
| Total  | n=4363       | 733                | 3630             |         |
| Diarrhea prevalence  |              | 16.6 (15.3,18.1)   | 83.4 (81.9,84.7) |         |
| Child level factors  |              |                    |                  |         |
| Child age  |              |                    |                  | <0.001  |
| <6months   | 488 (11.5)   | 73 (11.7)          | 415 (11.5)       |         |
| 6-11 months  | 486 (11.1)   | 151 (20.1)         | 335 (9.3)        |         |
| 12-23 months   | 905 (20.7)   | 237 (32.3)         | 668 (18.4)       |         |
| 24-35 months   | 895 (20.9)   | 139 (18.3)         | 756 (21.4)       |         |
| 36-47 months   | 814 (18.2)   | 84 (11.3)          | 730 (19.5)       |         |
| 48-59 months   | 775 (17.6)   | 49 (6.3)           | 726 (19.9)       |         |
| Gender   |              |                    |                  | 0.192   |
| Female   | 2,243 (51.5) | 374 (48.9)         | 1,869 (52.0)     |         |
| Male   | 2,120 (48.5) | 359 (51.1)         | 1,761 (48.0)     |         |
| Stunting   |              |                    |                  | 0.581   |
| Yes  | 1,157 (26.2) | 204 (27.1)         | 953 (26.0)       |         |
| No   | 3,206 (73.8) | 529 (72.9)         | 2,677 (74.0)     |         |
| Maternal level factors   |              |                    |                  |         |
| Maternal age   |              |                    |                  | 0.001   |
| 15-19  | 299 (6.8)    | 74 (10.3)          | 225 (6.1)        |         |
| 20-24  | 1,132 (25.9) | 212 (30.3)         | 920 (25.1)       |         |
| 25-29  | 1,098 (25.2) | 184 (23.8)         | 914 (25.5)       |         |
| 30-34  | 737 (17.6)   | 108 (14.7)         | 629 (18.1)       |         |
| 35-39  | 520 (11.6)   | 62 (7.7)           | 458 (12.4)       |         |
| 40-44  | 397 (8.8)    | 71 (9.9)           | 326 (8.6)        |         |
| 45-49  | 180 (4.1)    | 22 (3.3)           | 158 (4.2)        |         |
| Maternal education   |              |                    |                  | 0.235   |
| None   | 283 (6.3)    | 45 (5.7)           | 238 (6.4)        |         |
| Primary  | 1,297 (29.5) | 242 (32.1)         | 1,055 (29.0)     |         |
| Secondary  | 1,460 (32.9) | 246 (34.0)         | 1,214 (32.7)     |         |
| High school/tertiary   | 1,002 (23.5) | 165 (22.6)         | 837 (23.7)       |         |
| Tertiary   | 321 (7.8)    | 35 (5.7)           | 286 (8.2)        |         |
| Parity   |              |                    |                  | 0.367   |
| <3   | 2,072 (48.1) | 362 (50.8)         | 1,710 (47.5)     |         |
| 3-4 children   | 1,294 (29.1) | 208 (27.2)         | 1,086 (29.5)     |         |
| >=5  | 997 (22.8)   | 163 (22.0)         | 834 (23.0)       |         |
| Marital status   |              |                    |                  | 0.066   |
| Currently married  | 2,578 (59.2) | 409 (54.3)         | 2,169 (60.2)     |         |
| Formerly married   | 359 (8.4)    | 67 (10.7)          | 292 (7.9)        |         |
| Never married  | 1,426 (32.4) | 257 (35.0)         | 1,169 (31.9)     |         |
| Household level factors  |              |                    |                  |         |
| Household wealth index   |              |                    |                  | 0.052   |
| Poorest  | 1,064 (22.3) | 196 (24.3)         | 868 (21.9)       |         |
| Poor   | 955 (21.9)   | 169 (23.3)         | 786 (21.6)       |         |
| Middle   | 899 (19.8)   | 164 (21.5)         | 735 (19.5)       |         |
| Rich   | 732 (18.4)   | 118 (18.0)         | 614 (18.5)       |         |
| Richest  | 713 (17.5)   | 86 (12.9)          | 627 (18.5)       |         |
| Toilet facility  |              |                    |                  | 0.007   |
| Flush toilet   | 604 (14.6)   | 69 (9.9)           | 535 (15.6)       |         |

Table I. Continued.

| Variables                                       | n (%)        | Child had diarrhea |              | P-value |
|---|--------------|--------------------|--------------|---------|
|   |              | Yes<br>n (%)       | No<br>n (%)  |         |
| Pit latrine                                     | 3,013 (69.5) | 520 (71.3)         | 2,493 (69.1) |         |
| No facility, Bush, Field                        | 746 (15.9)   | 144 (18.8)         | 602 (15.3)   |         |
| Source of drinking water                        |              |                    |              | 0.167   |
| Piped   | 2,588 (62.5) | 403 (58.7)         | 2,185 (63.3) |         |
| Protected well                                  | 375 (7.6)    | 74 (8.7)           | 301 (7.4)    |         |
| Unprotected                                     | 927 (19.9)   | 88 (10.4)          | 385 (9.8)    |         |
| Surface water                                   | 473 (9.9)    | 168 (22.3)         | 759 (19.4)   |         |
| Number of children under 5 years per households |              |                    |              | 0.06    |
| One   | 2,021 (47.1) | 338 (48.2)         | 1,683 (46.8) |         |
| 2-3   | 2,039 (46.3) | 331 (42.9)         | 1,708 (46.9) |         |
| ≥4  | 303 (6.7)    | 64 (8.8)           | 239 (6.3)    |         |
| Community factors                               |              |                    |              |         |
| Area of residence                               |              |                    |              | 0.223   |
| Rural   | 3,362 (76.1) | 584 (78.9)         | 2,778 (75.6) |         |
| Urban   | 1,001 (23.9) | 149 (21.4)         | 852 (24.4)   |         |
| Region of residence                             |              |                    |              | 0.29    |
| Hhohho  | 1,058 (24.8) | 154 (21.8)         | 904 (25.4)   |         |
| Manzini   | 1,153 (34.5) | 184 (34.4)         | 969 (34.5)   |         |
| Shiselweni                                      | 1,150 (20.6) | 216 (22.7)         | 934 (20.2)   |         |
| Lubombo   | 1,002 (20.1) | 179 (21.1)         | 823 (19.9)   |         |
| Community poverty                               |              |                    |              | 0.634   |
| Low   | 2,382 (57.2) | 393 (56.2)         | 1,989 (57.4) |         |
| High  | 1,981 (42.8) | 340 (43.8)         | 1,641 (42.6) |         |
| Community maternal education level              |              |                    |              | 0.419   |
| Low   | 2279 (53.7)  | 374 (52.5)         | 1,905 (54.0) |         |
| Medium  | 654 (14.3)   | 102 (13.0)         | 552 (14.5)   |         |
| High  | 1430 (32.0)  | 257 (34.5)         | 1,173 (31.5) |         |
| Community source of improved water              |              |                    |              | 0.484   |
| Low   | 2,175 (47.1) | 377 (48.6)         | 1,798 (46.8) |         |
| High  | 2,188 (52.9) | 357 (51.4)         | 1,832 (53.2) |         |
| Community improved toilet facility              |              |                    |              | 0.05    |
| Low   | 2,131 (45.8) | 393 (50.0)         | 1,738 (45.0) |         |
| High  | 2,232 (54.2) | 340 (50.0)         | 1,892 (55.0) |         |

had lower odds of having diarrhea, compared to children aged less than 6 months. At older ages children might have greater immunity to resist diarrheal diseases when compared to those who are younger.

In this study, children born to mothers aged 35-49 years had lower odds of having diarrhea when compared to those born to mothers aged 15-19 years. This finding is in agreement with data from Ethiopia (36) and Tanzania (37). Older women are likely to have greater experience with child health care practices than young mothers.

Consistent with the literature (36,38), children from communities with a low proportion of households with

improved toilet facility had higher odds of having diarrhea compared to those from communities with a high proportion of households with improved toilet facility. Access to improved toilets facilities reduces open defecation and encourages proper disposal of child excreta which may result to higher risk of diarrhea.

*Study strengths and limitations.* This study should be interpreted with caution, due to some limitations. It used cross-sectional datasets from MICS, hence it was not possible to establish causal inference between diarrhea and individual and community-level factors. Some useful variables, such

| Table II. Results of the individual, and community-level factors associated with child diarrhea, Eswatini. |                               |             |                               |  |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Fixed effects  | AOR(95%CI)                    | AOR (95%CI) | AOR(95%CI)                    |  |
| Child age (months)   |                               |             |                               |  |
| <6   | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| 6-11   | 2.69 (1.94,3.73) <sup>a</sup> |             | 2.67 (1.93,3.71) <sup>a</sup> |  |
| 12-23  | 2.11 (1.56,2.86) <sup>a</sup> |             | 2.12 (1.56,2.87) <sup>a</sup> |  |
| 24-35  | 1.08 (0.78,1.50)              |             | 1.09 (0.78,1.51)              |  |
| 36-47  | 0.68 (0.48,0.96) <sup>a</sup> |             | 0.68 (0.48,0.97) <sup>a</sup> |  |
| 48-59  | 0.39 (0.26,0.58) <sup>a</sup> |             | 0.39 (0.26,0.58) <sup>a</sup> |  |
| Sex  |                               |             |                               |  |
| Male   | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| Female   | 1.01 (0.85,1.19)              |             | 1.01 (0.85,1.19)              |  |
| Stunting   |                               |             |                               |  |
| Yes  | 1.01 (0.83,1.23)              |             | 1.01 (0.85,1.19)              |  |
| No   | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| Maternal level factors   |                               |             |                               |  |
| Maternal age   |                               |             |                               |  |
| 15-19  | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| 20-24  | 0.87 (0.62,1.21)              |             | 0.88 (0.63,1.23)              |  |
| 25-29  | 0.80 (0.55,1.15)              |             | 0.81 (0.56,1.17)              |  |
| 30-34  | 0.68 (0.45,1.04)              |             | 0.68 (0.44,1.04)              |  |
| 35-39  | 0.48 (0.30,0.79) <sup>a</sup> |             | 0.48 (0.30,0.79) <sup>a</sup> |  |
| 40-44  | 0.86 (0.52,1.42)              |             | 0.86 (0.52,1.42)              |  |
| 45-49  | 0.56 (0.29,1.06)              |             | 0.57 (0.30,1.09)              |  |
| Maternal education   |                               |             |                               |  |
| None   | 0.90 (0.51,1.60)              |             | 0.90 (0.50,1.61)              |  |
| Primary  | 1.17 (0.73,1.87)              |             | 1.16 (0.73,1.86)              |  |
| Secondary  | 1.04 (0.66,1.63)              |             | 1.04 (0.66,1.64)              |  |
| Higher   | 1.09 (0.70,1.71)              |             | 1.10 (0.71,1.72)              |  |
| Tertiary   | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| Parity   |                               |             |                               |  |
| Less than 3  | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| 3-4  | 1.09 (0.86,1.38)              |             | 1.09 (0.86,1.39)              |  |
| 5 and above  | 1.23 (0.88,1.71)              |             | 1.24 (0.89,1.73)              |  |
| Marital status   |                               |             |                               |  |
| Married  | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| Formerly married   | 1.33 (0.98,1.82)              |             | 1.32 (0.97,1.80)              |  |
| Never married  | 0.90 (0.73,1.12)              |             | 0.90 (0.72,1.11)              |  |
| Household level  |                               |             |                               |  |
| Household wealth index   |                               |             |                               |  |
| Poorest  | 1.19 (0.77,1.83)              |             | 1.22 (0.78,1.91)              |  |
| Poor   | 1.19 (0.83,1.83)              |             | 1.24 (0.82,1.87)              |  |
| Middle   | 1.24 (0.83,1.83)              |             | 1.24 (0.83,1.85)              |  |
| Rich   | 1.16 (0.80,1.70)              |             | 1.16 (0.79,1.70)              |  |
| Richest  | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| Source of drinking water   |                               |             |                               |  |
| Piped  | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| Protected well   | 1.30 (0.96,1.76)              |             | 1.34 (0.98,1.85)              |  |
| Surface water  | 1.07 (0.85,1.36)              |             | 1.11 (0.86,1.42)              |  |
| Unprotected  | 1.07 (0.80,1.44)              |             | 1.12 (0.79,1.70)              |  |
| Toilet facility  |                               |             |                               |  |
| Flush toilet   | 1                             |             | 1                             |  |
| Pit latrine  | 1.26 (0.86,1.84)              |             | 1.29 (0.88,1.91)              |  |
| No facility, Bush, Field   | 1.40 (0.88,2.22)              |             | 1.35 (0.84,2.17)              |  |

Table II. Continued.

| Fixed effects                                  | AOR(95%CI)       | AOR (95%CI)                   | AOR(95%CI)                    |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Number of under five children in the household |                  |                               |                               |
| 1  | 1                |                               | 1                             |
| 2-3  | 0.92 (0.77,1.11) |                               | 0.93 (0.77,1.11)              |
| 4 and more                                     | 1.21 (0.86,1.69) |                               | 1.22 (0.87,1.71)              |
| Community level                                |                  |                               |                               |
| Area of residence                              |                  |                               |                               |
| Rural  |                  | 1.13 (0.88,1.45)              | 0.94 (0.71,1.26)              |
| Urban  |                  | 1                             | 1                             |
| Region of residence                            |                  |                               |                               |
| Hhohho   |                  | 1                             | 1                             |
| Manzini  |                  | 1.14 (0.88,1.49)              | 1.08 (0.82,1.43)              |
| Shiselweni                                     |                  | 1.33 (1.02,1.74) <sup>a</sup> | 1.25 (0.94,1.67)              |
| Lubombo  |                  | 1.19 (0.90,1.57)              | 1.20 (0.89,1.63)              |
| Community poverty                              |                  |                               |                               |
| Low  |                  | 1                             | 1                             |
| High   |                  | 0.84 (0.66,1.06)              | 0.83 (0.64,1.08)              |
| Community maternal education level             |                  |                               |                               |
| Low  |                  | 1                             | 1                             |
| Medium   |                  | 0.87 (0.66,1.15)              | 0.83 (0.61,1.12)              |
| High   |                  | 1.07 (0.86,1.34)              | 1.02 (0.80,1.30)              |
| Community source of improved water             |                  |                               |                               |
| Low  |                  | 0.97 (0.79,1.21)              | 0.87 (0.68,1.11)              |
| High   |                  | 1                             | 1                             |
| Community improved toilet facility             |                  |                               |                               |
| Low  |                  | 1.31 (1.05,1.65) <sup>a</sup> | 1.29 (1.01,1.66) <sup>a</sup> |
| High   |                  | 1                             | 1                             |

<sup>a</sup>Significant at P<0.05, AOR-adjusted odds ratio.

Table III. Measures of variation on individual and community-level factor associated with childhood diarrhea in Eswatini.

|                         | Model 1       | Model 2       | Model 3       | Model 4       |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Random effects          | Empty         | Individual    | Community     | Final model   |
| Community variance (SE) | 0.387 (0.073) | 0.435 (0.075) | 0.351 (0.077) | 0.406 (0.078) |
| P-value                 | <0.001        | <0.001        | <0.001        | 0.001         |
| VPC=ICC (%)             | 4.4           | 5.5           | 3.6           | 4.8           |
| MOR                     | 1.81          | 1.88          | 1.76          | 1.84          |
| Log likelihood          | -1969.6       | -1,837.9      | -1,961.8      | -1,832.7      |
| Observations            | 4363          | 4,363         | 4,363         | 4,363         |
| Model fit statistics    |               |               |               |               |
| AIC                     | 3943.1        | 3,743.8       | 3,945.5       | 3,751.3       |
| BIC                     | 3955.9        | 3,960.7       | 4,015.7       | 4,025.7       |

SE refers to standard error. VPC refers to the variance partition coefficient, ICC refers to intraclass correlation coefficient. MOR refers to median odds ratio. AIC refers to Akaike information Criterion, BIC refers to the Bayesian information criterion. <sup>a</sup>Significant at P-value <0.05.

breastfeeding, child weight, and the safe disposal of child fecal matter were not included in the analysis due to extensive missing data. The outcome variable (child diarrhea) was asked as a binary variable (yes, no), without the classification of

diarrhea as being acute watery diarrhea, acute bloody diarrhea or persistent diarrhea. Recall bias, is one of the key limitations in cross sectional studies, however, the mother or caregiver were asked if their children had diarrhea in the past two weeks prior to the MICS. Regardless of the above limitations, the study has several strengths: MICS is a representative sample that allows for the results to be generalized to the entire population of children under five years in Eswatini. The application of the multilevel logistic regression approach control for the clustering of child diarrhea, hence incorporate a design based approach. We accounted for the multistage complex sampling design of the mics through weighting, which further increased the validity of the study.

## Conclusions

*In summary, child diarrhea remain a public health problem in Eswatini.* Diarrhea is high among children aged 6-11 months, and 12-23 vs. those aged less than 6 months, born to women younger than 35 years vs. those aged 35-039 years and from communities with low access to improved toilets facilities than those from communities with high proportion of households with improved toilets facility. Policy makers and organizations working toward improving child health should continue to spearhead programs that aim to reduce the incidence of diarrhea. Such programs should include awareness on the factors associated with child diarrhea that emanate from research.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey for providing access to the dataset and also the Eswatini Central Statistical Office for providing access to the MICS 2010 and 2014 sampling frame.

## Contributions

MSS, conceived the research idea, performed statistical analysis, wrote the methods, results, and discussions, and prepared the initial draft of the manuscript; KV, critically reviewed the manuscript and contributed to the study design and manuscript writing. All the authors approved the final version to be published.

## Funding

The work was done under the Population Studies Doctoral (PhD) program in the School of Built and Development Studies, University of KwaZulu-Natal.

## Ethical approval and consent to participate

The UNICEF team granted permission for the access and use of the EMICS datasets from <http://mics.unicef.org/surveys>. They are anonymous and do not allow the identification of participants. This study was approved by the University of KwaZulu-Natal's Humanities and Social Science Research Ethics Committee as part of the Doctoral (PhD) studies.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Accepted: 04, July 2019; submitted: 05, March 2023.

## References

1. Troeger C, Blacker BF, Khalil IA, Rao RC, Cao J, R M Zimsen S, Albertson SB, Deshpande A, Farag T, Abebe Z, *et al*: Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of diarrhoea in 195 countries: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Infect Dis* 18: 1211-1228, 2018.
2. Ugboko HU, Nwinyi OC, Oranusi SU and Oyewale JO: Childhood diarrhoeal diseases in developing countries. *Heliyon* 6: e03690, 2020.
3. World Health Organization. Diarrhoeal Disease. Accessed 7 June 2022. Available from <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/diarrhoeal-disease> 2017.
4. UNICEF. Diarrhoea remains a leading killer of young children, despite the availability of a simple treatment solution. Accessed 7 June 2022. Available from <https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-health/diarrhoeal-disease/#:~:text=Diarrhoea%20is%20a%20leading%20killer,of%20a%20simple%20treatment%20solution.2021>.
5. Demissie GD, Yeshaw Y, Alemine W and Akalu Y: Diarrhea and associated factors among under five children in sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from demographic and health surveys of 34 sub-Saharan countries. *PLoS One* 16: e0257522, 2021.
6. World Health Organization. Global Health Estimates 2020: Deaths by Cause, Age, Sex, by Country and by Region, 2000-2019. Geneva, World Health Organization, 2020.
7. Hodge J, Chang HH, Boisson S, Collin SM, Peletz R and Clasen T: Assessing the association between thermotolerant coliforms in drinking water and diarrhea: An analysis of individual-level data from multiple studies. *Environ Health Perspect* 124: 1560-1567, 2016.
8. Blomstedt Y, Bhutta ZA, Dahlstrand J, Friberg P, Gostin LO, Nilsson M, Sewankambo NK, Tomson G and Alfvén T: Partnerships for child health: Capitalising on links between the sustainable development goals. *BMJ* 360: k125, 2018.
9. UNICEF, World Health Organisation, World Bank Group, *et al*: Levels and trends in Childhood mortality. UNICEF, New York, USA, 2015.
10. Getahun W and Adane M: Prevalence of acute diarrhea and water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) associated factors among children under five in Woldia Town, Amhara Region, north-eastern Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatrics* 21: 227, 2021.
11. Zerbo A, Delgado CR and González AP: Water sanitation and hygiene in Sub-Saharan Africa: Coverage, risks of diarrheal diseases, and urbanization. *J Biosafety Biosecurity* 3: 41-55, 2021.
12. Adedokun ST and Yaya S: Childhood morbidity and its determinants: Evidence from 31 countries in sub-Saharan Africa. *BMJ Global Health* 5: e003109, 2020.
13. Eswatini Central Statistical Office and UNICEF. Eswatini Multiple indicator Cluster survey 2010. Final report. Mbabane, Eswatini: Eswatini Central Statistics, 2011.
14. Eswatini Central Statistical Office and UNICEF. Eswatini Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey 2014. Final Report Mbabane, Eswatini, Central Statistical Office and UNICEF, 2016.
15. Gedamu G, Kumie A and Haftu D: Magnitude and associated factors of diarrhea among under five children in Farta Wereda, North East West Ethiopia. *Quality Primary Care* 25: 199-207, 2017.
16. Osei FB and Stein A: Spatial variation and hot-spots of district level diarrhea incidences in Ghana: 2010-2014. *BMC Public Health* 17: 617, 2017.
17. Reiner RC Jr, Graetz N, Casey DC, Troeger C, Garcia GM, Mosser JF, Deshpande A, Swartz SJ, Ray SE, Blacker BF, *et al*: Variation in childhood diarrheal morbidity and mortality in Africa, 2000-2015. *N Engl J Med* 379: 1128-1138, 2018.
18. Sahiledengle B, Teferu Z, Tekalegn Y, Zenbaba D, Seyoum K, Atlaw D and Chattu VK: A Multilevel analysis of factors associated with childhood diarrhea in ethiopia. *Environ Health Insights* 15: 11786302211009894, 2021



- 1 19. Beyene S and Melku A: Prevalence of diarrhea and associated factors among under five tyearchildren in Herena Buluk  
2 Woreda Oromiam Region, South East of Ethiopia. *J Public Health*  
3 *Int: 1*, 2018.
- 4 20. Nilima, Kamath A, Shetty K, Unnikrishnan B, Kaushik S and  
5 Rai SN: Prevalence, patterns, and predictors of diarrhea: A  
6 spatial-temporal comprehensive evaluation in India. *BMC Public*  
7 *Health 18: 1288*, 2018.
- 8 21. Ntenda P and Chuang Y: Analysis of individual-level and  
9 community-level effects on childhood undernutrition in Malawi.  
10 *Pediatr Neonatol 59: 380-389*, 2018.
- 11 22. Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland and UNFPA.  
12 Population and Housing Census Preliminary Report. The  
13 Government of the Kingdom of Swaziland and UNFPA,  
14 Mbabane, Eswatini, 2017.
- 15 23. Eswatini Central Statistical Office, UNICEF. Eswatini Multiple  
16 Indicator Cluster Survey 2014. Final Report. Mbabane, Eswatini:  
17 Central Statistical Office and UNICEF: Eswatini Central  
18 Statistical, 2016.
- 19 24. Mejía-Guevara I, Zuo W, Bendavid E, Li N and Tuljapurkar S:  
20 Age distribution, trends, and forecasts of under-5 mortality in  
21 31 sub-Saharan African countries: A modeling study. *PLoS*  
22 *Med 16: e1002757*, 2019.
- 23 25. Simelane MS, Shongwe MC, Vermaak K and Zwane E:  
24 Determinants of Households' Access to Improved Drinking Water  
25 Sources: A Secondary Analysis of Eswatini 2010 and 2014 Multiple  
26 Indicator Cluster Surveys. *Adv Public Health 2020: 6758513*, 2020.
- 27 26. WHO regional office. Swaziland WHO country cooperation  
28 strategy 2008-2013. World Health Organisation, 2009.
- 29 27. Eswatini Central Statistical Office, United Nations Population  
30 fund. Population and housing census: Household and living  
31 conditions The kingdom of Eswatini, 2007.
- 32 28. Asfaha KF, Tesfamichael FA, Fisseha GK, Misgina KH,  
33 Weldu MG, Welehaweria NB and Gebregiorgis YS: Determinants  
34 of childhood diarrhea in Medebay Zana District, Northwest  
35 Tigray, Ethiopia: A community based unmatched case-control  
36 study. *BMC Pediatr 18: 120*, 2018.
- 37 29. Messelu Y and Trueha K: Application of multilevel binary logis-  
38 tric regressions analysis in determining risk factors of diarrheal  
39 morbidity among under five children. *Public Health 6: 110-108*,  
40 2016.
- 41 30. Vasconcelos MJOB, Rissin A, Figueiroa JN, Lira PIC and  
42 Batista Filho M: Factors associated with diarrhea in children  
43 under five years old in the state of Pernambuco, according to  
44 surveys conducted in 1997 and 2006. *Rev Saude Publica 52: 48*,  
45 2018.
- 46 31. Poirier MJP, Grépin KA and Grignon M: Approaches and  
47 alternatives to the wealth index to measure socioeconomic  
48 status using survey data: A critical interpretive synthesis. *Social*  
49 *Indicators Res 148: 1-46*, 2020.
- 50 32. Simelane MS, Chemhaka GB and Zwane E: A multilevel analysis  
51 of individual, household and community level factors on stunting  
52 among children aged 6-59 months in Eswatini: A secondary  
53 analysis of the Eswatini 2010 and 2014 Multiple Indicator Cluster  
54 Surveys. *PLoS One 15: e0241548*, 2020.
- 55 33. Fagbamigbe AF, Uthman AO and Ibisomi L: Hierarchical  
56 disentanglement of contextual from compositional risk factors of  
57 diarrhoea among under-five children in low- and middle-income  
58 countries. *Sci Repo 11: 8564*, 2021.
- 59 34. World Health Organization. Sustainable development goals  
60 (SDGs): Goal 3.Target 3.2: By 2030, end preventable deaths  
of newborns and children under 5 years of age. Manila WHO  
Regional Office for the Western Pacific, 2016.
- Tareke AA, Enyew EB and Takele BA: Pooled prevalence and  
associated factors of diarrhea among under-five years children  
in East Africa: A multilevel logistic regression analysis. *PLoS*  
*One 17: e0264559*, 2022.
- Fenta SM and Nigussie TZ: Individual- and Community-level  
risk factors associated with childhood diarrhea in ethiopia: A  
multilevel analysis of 2016 ethiopia demographic and health  
survey. *Int J Pediatr 2021: 8883618*, 2021.
- Edwin P and Azage M: Geographical variations and factors  
associated with childhood diarrhea in tanzania: A National  
Population Based Survey 2015-16. *Ethiop J Health Sci 29:*  
*513-524*, 2019.
- Bushen G, Merga H and Tessema F: Effects of community-led  
total sanitation and hygiene implementation on diarrheal diseases  
prevention in children less than five years of age in South Western  
Ethiopia: A quasi-experimental study. *PLoS One 17: e0265804*,  
2022.