

Letter to the Editor Regarding “Early Versus Late Spine Surgery in Severely Injured Patients—Which Is the Appropriate Timing for Surgery?” by Sousa et al

Jinju Zhou¹ , Ganjun Feng¹, and Limin Liu¹

Keywords

spinal fractures, spinal cord injuries, outcome assessment, health care, spinal injuries, spine

Dear Editors,

We read with great interest the article by Sousa et al¹ who have compared early surgical intervention (n = 38) with late surgical intervention (n = 12) for acute spinal injured patients. We appreciate the tremendous work by the authors. However, we noted that there are some issues in the article that may mislead the readers, and a few clarifications would be of benefit.

First, early surgery firstly and mostly defined as the first 72 hours after injury, since the timing showed the highest differences between the 2 groups.² In this study, however, the authors defined early surgery as “surgeries performed in the first 72 hours after admission”.¹ Did the authors have different considerations? Given that the hours between injury and the time of admission vary for every patient, which can significantly distort study results. Second, “delay to surgery” was mentioned in the results part and correlates strongly with the hospital stay in Figure 1, but the Y-axis of Figure 1 was named “time to surgery”, which the authors defined as “the number of hours between patient’s arrival at the emergency department and the time at which the spine surgery was initiated”.¹ Does “delay to surgery” means the same as “time to surgery”? This does not let the readers understand the results completely.

A recent study by Du et al.³ who have applied AOSpine subaxial cervical spinal injury classification system in the study to evaluate the optimal timing for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury. They concluded that the early surgery for type A and type F1-3 fractures defined by the AOSpine is not required to undergo aggressive early decompression surgery, while type B and type C/F4 fractures should be surgically treated early for better clinical outcomes.³ Therefore, we believe that early or delayed surgery for spinal injured

patients, in the future, should be considered in many aspects instead of just focussing on the timing. Once again, we appreciate the authors for the great work. It provides other guidelines for the timing of the surgical treatment for spinal injured patients.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Jinju Zhou  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2410-7772>

References

1. Sousa A, Rodrigues C, Barros L, Serrano P, Rodrigues-Pinto R. Early versus late spine surgery in severely injured patients-which is

¹ Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Orthopedic Research Institute, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Corresponding Authors:

Ganjun Feng, Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Orthopedic Research Institute, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, China.

Email: gjfenghx@163.com

Limin Liu, Department of Orthopedic Surgery and Orthopedic Research Institute, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, China.

Email: 18980601394@163.com



- the appropriate timing for surgery? *Global Spine J.* 2021;2192568221989292. doi:10.1177/2192568221989292
2. Schlegel J, Bayley J, Yuan H, Fredricksen B. Timing of surgical decompression and fixation of acute spinal fractures. *J Orthop Trauma.* 1996;10(5):323-330. doi:10.1097/00005131-199607000-00006
 3. Du JP, Fan Y, Zhang JN, Liu JJ, Meng YB, Hao DJ. Early versus delayed decompression for traumatic cervical spinal cord injury: application of the AOSpine subaxial cervical spinal injury classification system to guide surgical timing. *Eur Spine J.* 2019;28(8):1855-1863. doi:10.1007/s00586-019-05959-6