



Roadmap for Sex-Responsive Influenza and COVID-19 Vaccine Research in Older Adults

Janna R. Shapiro¹, Rosemary Morgan¹, Sean X. Leng^{2,3} and Sabra L. Klein^{1,3}*

¹Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, United States, ²Division of Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, ³W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, United States

Sex differences in the immune system are dynamic throughout the lifespan and contribute to heterogeneity in the risk of infectious diseases and the response to vaccination in older adults. The importance of the intersection between sex and age in immunity to viral respiratory diseases is clearly demonstrated by the increased prevalence and severity of influenza and COVID-19 in older males compared to older females. Despite sex and age biases in the epidemiology and clinical manifestations of disease, these host factors are often ignored in vaccine research. Here, we review sex differences in the immunogenicity, effectiveness, and safety of the influenza and COVID-19 vaccines in older adults and the impact of sex-specific effects of age-related factors, including chronological age, frailty, and the presence of comorbidities. While a female bias in immunity to influenza vaccines has been consistently reported, understanding of sex differences in the response to COVID-19 vaccines in older adults is incomplete due to small sample sizes and failure to disaggregate clinical trial data by both sex and age. For both vaccines, a major gap in the literature is apparent, whereby very few studies investigate sex-specific effects of aging, frailty, or multimorbidity. By providing a roadmap for sex-responsive vaccine research, beyond influenza and COVID-19, we can leverage the heterogeneity in immunity among older adults to provide better protection against vaccine-preventable diseases.

Keywords: sex difference, aging, intersectionality, frailty, SARS-CoV-2

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the lifespan, sex and age are fundamental modifiers of immunity to infectious diseases and the response to vaccination. Females tend to mount stronger immune responses than males (Fish, 2008; Klein and Flanagan, 2016), and immunosenescence leads to impaired immune function and a heightened inflammatory state in older adults (Crooke et al., 2019). There is an important intersection between these host factors, whereby the impact of aging on the immune system differs in males and females (Fink and Klein, 2015; Flanagan et al., 2017). The implications of the interaction between sex and age are clearly demonstrated by the epidemiology and clinical manifestations of respiratory viral diseases, such as influenza and COVID-19 (Giurgea et al., 2021; Scully et al., 2021).

Influenza and COVID-19 represent the largest proportion of the vaccine-preventable diseases that occur in older adults and are thus the focus of this review (Gnanasekaran et al., 2016; Piroth et al., 2021). Despite high coverage with seasonal influenza vaccines in the United States, there are an estimated 4 million incident cases per year in older adults, accounting for 90% of the deaths

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Laura Haynes, University of Connecticut, United States

Reviewed by:

David H. Canaday, Case Western Reserve University, United States Albert C. Shaw, Yale University, United States

> *Correspondence: Sabra L. Klein sklein2@jhu.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Aging and the Immune System, a section of the journal Frontiers in Aging

Received: 15 December 2021 Accepted: 19 January 2022 Published: 11 February 2022

Citation:

Shapiro JR, Morgan R, Leng SX and Klein SL (2022) Roadmap for Sex-Responsive Influenza and COVID-19 Vaccine Research in Older Adults. Front. Aging 3:836642. doi: 10.3389/fragi.2022.836642

1

TABLE 1 Summary of sex differences and sex-specific effects of age-related factors on influenza and COVID-19 vaccine outcomes in older adults.

Influenza vaccines	COVID-19 vaccines
Sex differences in older adults	
 Immunogenicity of inactivated influenza vaccines is greater in females than in males 	• No sex differences are observed in the immunogenicity of mRNA vaccines
• Evidence of greater VE in females, but insufficient sex- and age-disaggregated data to support a definitive conclusion	 Preliminary evidence that females mount greater antibody responses than males in the context of prior infection No evidence of sex differences in VE
Sex-specific effects of aging	
 Pre-vaccination titers to the high-dose inactivated influenza vaccine decrease with age in males, but not in females Antibody titers to the 2009 pandemic H1N1 vaccine decrease with age in females, but not in males 	Both old age and male sex are risk factors for reduced immunogenicity and VE, but sex-specific effects of aging have not been studied
Sex-specific effects of frailty	
• The impact of frailty on vaccine responses and VE is debated, but no sex-specific effects have been observed	Both frailty and male sex are associated with reduced VE, but sex-specific effects of frailty have not been studied
Sex-specific effects of comorbidity	
Not determined	Not determined
VE as vaccine effectiveness.	

associated with influenza (Hamborsky et al., 2015; Gnanasekaran et al., 2016). Globally, it has consistently been reported that at older ages, males are at greater risk of infection (Wong et al., 2019), hospitalization (Wang et al., 2002; Crighton et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2015), and mortality (Wang et al., 2002; Azziz-Baumgartner et al., 2013). Similarly, the disproportionate burden of COVID-19 in older adults was recognized early in the pandemic (Kang and Jung, 2020; O'Driscoll et al., 2021), with male sex being a significant predictor of severe outcomes at older ages (Meng et al., 2020; Richardson et al., 2020; Salje et al., 2020; Scully et al., 2020; Bauer et al., 2021).

Vaccines prevent the morbidity and mortality associated with influenza and COVID-19 in older adults. Despite the clear sex and age biases in epidemiology, the impact of these host factors on vaccine responses is often ignored or controlled for in analyses, instead of thoroughly investigated. Here, we review sex differences in the immunogenicity, effectiveness, and safety of influenza and COVID-19 vaccines in older adults, and the available evidence on how sex modifies the impact of age-related factors on vaccine outcomes (**Table 1**). After identifying major gaps in the literature, we provide a framework for sex-responsive vaccine research to leverage the heterogeneity of older populations to provide optimal protection against vaccinepreventable diseases, beyond influenza and COVID-19.

Sex Differences in the Response to Influenza Vaccination in Older Adults

Sex differences in the immune response to influenza vaccination in older adults have been reported for multiple types of inactivated influenza vaccines (IIV). For the standard-dose IIV, older females have greater influenza-specific memory B cells, post-vaccination antibody titers, fold-rises in titers, rates of seroconversion, and rates seropositivity (Cook et al., 2006; Falsey et al., 2009; Voigt

et al., 2019; Loeb et al., 2020; Moehling et al., 2020). For the high-dose IIV, which contains four times the amount of antigen as standard dose vaccines and is targeted to older adults, females have greater post-vaccination titers and rates of seroconversion than males (Falsey et al., 2009; Loeb et al., 2020; Moehling et al., 2020). In addition, the 2009 pandemic H1N1 (pH1N1) vaccine generates stronger responses in females in the oldest age groups (Talaat et al., 2010). The consistency of the female-bias in immunogenicity across various vaccine formulations implores continued consideration of sex as a variable of importance in influenza vaccine research.

Sex differences in vaccine effectiveness (VE) in older adults have also been observed, but the evidence is less robust (Gubbels Bupp et al., 2018; Tadount et al., 2019). Looking across seven influenza seasons, VE was significantly greater among females than males, and this difference was more pronounced in older adults (Chambers et al., 2018). A recent systematic review, however, concluded that there is insufficient evidence of a sex difference in effectiveness in older age groups (Tadount et al., 2019). The authors note that many studies are either not designed to assess sex differences or do not present data that is sufficiently disaggregated by age and sex. More evidence is needed to understand how the sex differences in the immunogenicity of influenza vaccines translate to effectiveness.

In terms of vaccine safety, older females consistently report more adverse events (AE) following influenza immunization than males. This has been studied for both the standard-dose (Govaert et al., 1993; Cook et al., 2006; Donalisio et al., 2015) and high-dose (Keitel et al., 2006; Couch et al., 2007) IIV, and has been confirmed in several systematic reviews (Beyer et al., 1996; Cook, 2009; Tadount et al., 2019). In one study that disaggregated data by both sex an age, sex differences were greater at older than younger adult ages (Honkanen et al., 1996). Differences in rates of AE may reflect a gender difference in reporting or a biological sex difference in reactogenicity (Flanagan et al., 2017).

Sex Differences in the Response to COVID-19 Vaccines in Older Adults

In contrast to the well-documented sex differences in response to influenza vaccination, minimal sex- and age-disaggregated data are currently available to interrogate sex differences in COVID-19 vaccine outcomes in older adults. Published studies including older adults focus primarily on the mRNA vaccines (BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273), and often rely on relatively small sample sizes. In multivariable analysis, female long-term care facility residents (LTCFR) had significantly greater IgG titers and functional antibodies than males after the first mRNA vaccine dose, but not after the second dose (Abe et al., 2021; Brockman et al., 2021). Similarly, in fully vaccinated older adults, there are no significant sex differences in antibody titers (Causa et al., 2021; Kontopoulou et al., 2021; Ríos et al., 2021). Among LTCFR who recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection, however, there is a trend of higher antibody levels in females than males (Canaday et al., 2021). While sex differences in immune responses are currently not apparent among older adults who received mRNA vaccines, data are missing for other vaccine platforms, and more research is needed to understand how sex differences may be modified by prior infection or affect immunity against variants of concern (e.g., Omicron).

The COVID-19 vaccine clinical trials revealed remarkably high efficacy against the ancestral virus at all ages but did not provide estimates disaggregated by sex within each age group (Baden et al., 2020; Polack et al., 2020; Falsey et al., 2021; Sadoff et al., 2021). Sex differences observed in COVID-19 outcomes in unvaccinated older adults are not observed in fully vaccinated populations, such that VE with respect to hospitalization and mortality is the same in males and females (Gomes et al., 2021). Similarly, sex does not impact the risk of breakthrough infection in LTCFR (Hollinghurst et al., 2021) or in the general older adult population (Antonelli et al., 2021; Havers et al., 2021). Like immunogenicity, sex differences in COVID-19 VE are currently not apparent in older adults, but failure to disaggregate data by both sex and age may be obscuring an important effect.

Few studies have provided both sex- and age-disaggregated safety data for the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. Among older adults, local, systemic, and medically attended AE are more common in females than in males (Choi et al., 2021; Hoffmann et al., 2021). Both among females and overall, older individuals report fewer AE than younger individuals (Choi et al., 2021; Hoffmann et al., 2021), but the proportion of events classified as serious increases with age (Xiong et al., 2021). Sex differences have been reported for several serious AE, including a female bias in anaphylaxis (Blumenthal et al., 2021; Shimabukuro et al., 2021; Somiya et al., 2021) and thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome (Lai et al., 2021), and a male-bias in myocarditis (Klein et al., 2021), but these events predominantly occur in younger age groups. It is currently unclear what age- and sex-dependent protective factors may explain the absence of these events following immunization in older populations.

The Intersection of Sex With Age-Related Factors

In addition to sex differences in vaccine outcomes in older adults, sex can also modify the effect of chronological age, frailty, or the presence of comorbidities on immunogenicity or VE. Intersectional analyses investigating differences among males and females caused by age-related factors are crucial to a robust understanding of the heterogeneity of vaccine responses.

The Intersection of Sex and Aging

Age-associated changes in immunity (e.g., heightened proinflammatory state and deficits in both cellular and humoral immunity) (Pinti et al., 2016; Bulati et al., 2017; Crooke et al., 2019) are coupled with changes in the hormonal milieu in both males and females, which can cause sex-specific effects of aging (Gubbels Bupp et al., 2018). For example, decreasing concentrations of estrogens with menopause are associated with reduced B and T cell numbers and lower concentrations of IL-6 in females (Giglio et al., 1994; Kamada et al., 2001; Kumru et al., 2004), while decreases in testosterone in males are inversely correlated with levels of soluble IL-6 receptor (Maggio et al., 2006). Furthermore, profiling of peripheral blood mononuclear cells across the lifespan revealed that the decline in naïve T cell activity and increase in monocyte function observed with age occur to a greater extent in males than females, and are accompanied by a male-specific decline in B cell transcriptional activity (Márquez et al., 2020). This analysis also found that abrupt age-associated epigenetic changes occur earlier in males than females, and that while older females have higher adaptive immune cell activity, older males have higher inflammatory and monocyte activity (Márquez et al., 2020). These findings have been replicated in multiple other studies (Wikby et al., 2008; Goetzl et al., 2010; Hirokawa et al., 2013; Marttila et al., 2013; Furman et al., 2014), and together suggest that the effects of aging on the immune system are dampened and occur at a slower rate in females than males.

Sex-specific effects of aging have been reported in the humoral immune response to influenza vaccination. In the case of repeated vaccination with the high-dose IIV, pre-vaccination titers to A/H3N2 and influenza B viruses decrease with age in males but not in females, suggesting that older females enter each influenza season with greater immunity than their male counterparts (Shapiro et al., 2021b). In contrast, in response to the pH1N1 vaccine, age-associated declines in immunogenicity are not observed in males, but are observed in females, where they are associated with declining concentrations of estradiol (Potluri et al., 2019). Although the results of these studies may appear conflicting, it is important to note that the high-dose IIV study included only older adults (aged \geq 75 years), while the pH1N1 study compared younger (aged 18–45 years) and older (aged \geq 65 years) cohorts. Furthermore, pandemic viruses and vaccines provide a unique opportunity to evaluate responses to a novel viral antigen, whereas during seasonal influenza vaccination, the influence of prior exposure to influenza on vaccine immunogenicity may be sex differential (Shapiro et al., 2021b). Thus, the discordance in the two studies may be explained by the pandemic versus seasonal nature of the vaccines investigated.

For COVID-19 vaccines, multiple studies that either control for or ignore sex have reported that vaccine-induced antibody responses decrease with age in older adults (Abe et al., 2021; Bates et al., 2021; Canaday et al., 2021; Causa et al., 2021; Collier et al., 2021; Jabal et al., 2021). There is conflicting evidence on the effect of age on VE, with some studies reporting a negative effect (Bajema et al., 2021; Robles-Fontan et al., 2021; Cerqueira-Silva et al., 2022), and others reporting no effect (Aldridge et al., 2021; Chemaitelly et al., 2021; Haas et al., 2021). For antibody responses (Levin et al., 2021; Lustig et al., 2021) and VE (Agrawal et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Nordström et al., 2021), several studies have identified both male sex and old age as risk factors, but have not investigated sex-specific effects of aging, with the result that it is currently unknown whether the effects of aging on COVID-19 vaccine outcomes differ in males and females.

The Intersection of Sex and Frailty

Frailty is defined as reduced physiological function leading to increased vulnerability and is associated with profound immune dysregulation that can impact vaccine responses in a sex-specific manner (Chen et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019; Gordon and Hubbard, 2020). Importantly, the prevalence of frailty is higher, but mortality is lower, in older females than older suggesting fundamental sex differences males, in pathophysiology (Gordon et al., 2016; Park and Ko, 2021). For example, frailty is associated with increased frequency of proinflammatory late memory B cells only in males (Nevalainen et al., 2019), and baseline concentrations of CRP and fibrinogen are associated with increased incidence of frailty only in females (Gale et al., 2013). The relationship between frailty and vaccine responses is debated in the literature, and few studies have considered how sex may modify this relationship.

For influenza, frailty is not associated with pre- or postvaccination HAI titers in either males or females, nor is a sex difference in the impact of frailty observed (Moehling et al., 2018; Shapiro et al., 2021b). In addition, no association between frailty and antibody responses is observed either when controlling for sex in statistical analysis (Narang et al., 2018; Moehling et al., 2020) or when ignoring sex altogether (DiazGranados et al., 2015; Bauer et al., 2017; Epps et al., 2017). In contrast, it has also been reported that frailty has both a negative (Yao et al., 2011) and a positive effect on antibody responses (Loeb et al., 2020), and that frailty may impact measures of vaccine-induced cell-mediated immunity (Moehling et al., 2020). Evidence of the effect of frailty on influenza VE is also conflicting, with one study reporting that VE decreases significantly with frailty (Andrew et al., 2017), and another reporting that VE estimates are not confounded by frailty (Talbot et al., 2016).

The impact of frailty on COVID-19 vaccine outcomes has only been investigated without consideration of sex. Frailty does not impact vaccine-induced antibody responses against BNT162b2 when controlling for sex and other covariates (Ríos et al., 2021) or when ignoring sex (Demaret et al., 2021; Seiffert et al., 2021). Frailty does, however, increase the risk of post-vaccination infection when controlling for sex and age (Antonelli et al., 2021; Hollinghurst et al., 2021). In analyses that control for sex, living in a long-term care facility, a proxy for frailty, was associated with increased risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes post-vaccination (Agrawal et al., 2021) and individuals with breakthrough infections were more likely to be LTCFR than unvaccinated infected individuals (Havers et al., 2021). Finally, VE is lower and wanes faster in both frail individuals and males, but sex-specific effects of frailty were not investigated (Nordström et al., 2021). Together, the data support a role for frailty in impairing COVID-19 VE beyond the impact of chronological age, but whether this effect is different in males or females remains unknown.

For both influenza and COVID-19, the frailty literature is complicated by different methods used to measure frailty, small sample sizes, and lack of consideration of biological sex. More research is needed to address the discordance and gaps in the literature.

The Intersection of Sex and Comorbidity

There is a high prevalence of comorbid conditions in older adults, which can have immunomodulatory effects that impact infectious disease epidemiology and vaccine responses (Kwetkat and Heppner, 2020). For example, the prevalence of health conditions that increase the risk of influenza-related complications (e.g., chronic pulmonary or cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, etc.) rises drastically with age and is significantly higher in older males than older females (Zimmerman et al., 2010). Similarly, a greater percentage of older males than females are at high-risk of requiring hospitalization if infected with COVID-19 due to the presence of an underlying condition (e.g., cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, etc.) (Clark et al., 2020).

Despite the clear age-by-sex bias in the prevalence of comorbidities that may impact influenza and COVID-19 vaccine responses, sex-specific effects of chronic conditions have not been studied. For influenza vaccines, studies that either control for or do not consider sex report that influenza vaccine immunogenicity (Falsey et al., 2009) and relative VE (DiazGranados et al., 2015; Boikos et al., 2021) do not differ by the presence of high-risk conditions. For COVID-19 vaccines, analyses that control for sex reveal that multimorbidity is not associated with immunogenicity in older adults (Causa et al., 2021; Ríos et al., 2021; Havers et al., 2021; Lewis et al., 2021; Nordström et al., 2021). These data reveal a gap in the literature, whereby the role of sex in modifying the effect of multimorbidity on vaccine responses remains poorly understood.

DISCUSSION

Both sex and age-related factors have important consequences on vaccine responses in older adults, but the intersection of sex with age, frailty, and comorbidity remain incompletely elucidated (**Table 1**). This literature gap suggests that a roadmap is needed for sex-responsive vaccinology research in older adults (**Figure 1**). Sex-responsive research requires careful thought at the study planning, data collection, analysis, and dissemination phases.

First, study planning must begin with a review of the literature to identify gaps and generate hypotheses related to sex differences and sex-specific effects. Following hypothesis generation, sample size calculations are required to adequately power studies for subgroup analyses and interrogation of sex as an effect-modifier (Shapiro et al., 2021a). In the literature reviewed above, small



sample sizes suggest that many studies are not appropriately powered, and are thus prone to type II errors, whereby the null hypothesis of no sex difference is erroneously accepted (Columb and Atkinson, 2016). Larger sample sizes, with adequate numbers of males and females, are thus necessary for correct statistical inference. Instead of interpreting larger sample sizes as a burden, sex should be viewed as an important modifier of vaccineinduced immunity and outcomes that could improve study design and interpretation (Klein et al., 2015).

Second, recruitment of diverse participants and inclusion of populations that are typically under-represented in research (e.g., populations of color, frail individuals, gender minorities) is essential. Once recruited, explicit strategies are needed for participant retention. For example, home visits that do not require participants to travel to study sites are an effective method to retain participants with reduced mobility. Data collection should also utilize validated measures of frailty, and multi-morbidity, along with sex-specific questions (e.g., use of hormone replacement therapy) to thoroughly understand underlying differences among and between male and female participants.

Third, sex must be considered as a variable of importance, rather than a confounder to be controlled for, during data analysis and dissemination of results (Shapiro et al., 2021a). This begins by disaggregating data by sex for relevant sub-groups (i.e., age, frailty status). In formal analysis, use of interaction terms between sex and other variables allows for interrogation of how trends differ between males and females. Finally, dissemination of results should underscore whether findings are true for both males and females and highlight the clinical and public health implications of any sex-specific findings. In conclusion, we identified sex differences in influenza and COVID-19 vaccine outcomes in older adults but uncovered a significant gap in the literature in terms of the sex-specific effects of age-related factors. While the present review focused on influenza and COVID-19 vaccines, the conclusions and research roadmap extend to other vaccines administered to older adults, such as the herpes zoster and pneumococcal vaccines, as well as other public health interventions. Implementation of the roadmap requires engagement at all levels, including funders, regulatory agencies, vaccine manufacturers, and academic institutions. Ultimately, it is through sex-responsive research that we can leverage the heterogeneity of older populations to provide optimal protection against vaccine-preventable diseases.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SK, RM, and JS conceptualized the manuscript. JS performed the literature review and wrote the manuscript, with significant editorial contributions from SK, RM, and SL.

FUNDING

Funding for the writing of this review provided by NIH/NIA U54AG062333 awarded to SK. JS was supported by a training award from the Fonds de recherche du Québec—Santé (File #287609). SL was supported by funding from the Irma and Paul Milstein Program for Senior Health, Milstein Medical Asian American Partnership (MMAAP) Foundation of United States.

REFERENCES

- Abe, K. T., Hu, Q., Mozafarihashjin, M., Samson, R., Manguiat, K., Robinson, A., et al. (2021). Neutralizing Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Variants in Vaccinated Ontario Long-Term Care home Residents and Workers. *medRxiv* 2021, 21261721. 2008.2006. doi:10.1101/2021.08.06.21261721
- Abu Jabal, K., Ben-Amram, H., Beiruti, K., Batheesh, Y., Sussan, C., Zarka, S., et al. (2021). Impact of Age, Ethnicity, Sex and Prior Infection Status on Immunogenicity Following a Single Dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine: Real-World Evidence from Healthcare Workers, Israel, December 2020 to January 2021. Eurosurveillance 26 (6), 2100096. doi:10.2807/1560-7917. es.2021.26.6.2100096
- Agrawal, U., Katikireddi, S. V., McCowan, C., Mulholland, R. H., Azcoaga-Lorenzo, A., Amele, S., et al. (2021). COVID-19 Hospital Admissions and Deaths after BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 Vaccinations in 2.57 Million People in Scotland (EAVE II): a Prospective Cohort Study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 9 (12), 1439–1449. doi:10.1016/s2213-2600(21)00380-5
- Aldridge, R. W., Yavlinsky, A., Nguyen, V., Eyre, M. T., Shrotri, M., Navaratnam, A. M. D., et al. (2021). Waning of SARS-CoV-2 Antibodies Targeting the Spike Protein in Individuals post Second Dose of ChAdOX1 and BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccines and Risk of Breakthrough Infections: Analysis of the Virus Watch Community Cohort. *medRxiv* 2011, 21265968. doi:10.1101/2021.11.05. 21265968
- Andrew, M. K., Shinde, V., Ye, L., Hatchette, T., Haguinet, F., Dos Santos, G., et al. (2017). The Importance of Frailty in the Assessment of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness against Influenza-Related Hospitalization in Elderly People. J. Infect. Dis. 216 (4), 405–414. doi:10.1093/infdis/jix282
- Antonelli, M., Penfold, R. S., Merino, J., Sudre, C. H., Molteni, E., Berry, S., et al. (2021). Risk Factors and Disease Profile of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 Infection in UK Users of the COVID Symptom Study App: a Prospective, Community-Based, Nested, Case-Control Study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 22 (1), 43–55. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(21)00460-6
- Azziz-Baumgartner, E., Cabrera, A. M., Cheng, P. Y., Garcia, E., Kusznierz, G., Calli, R., et al. (2013). Incidence of Influenza-Associated Mortality and Hospitalizations in Argentina during 2002-2009. *Influenza Other Respir. Viruses* 7 (5), 710–717. doi:10.1111/irv.12022
- Baden, L. R., El Sahly, H. M., Essink, B., Kotloff, K., Frey, S., Novak, R., et al. (2021). Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N. Engl. J. Med. 384, 403–416. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035389
- Bajema, K. L., Dahl, R. M., Prill, M. M., Meites, E., Rodriguez-Barradas, M. C., Marconi, V. C., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines against COVID-19-Associated Hospitalization - Five Veterans Affairs Medical Centers, United States, February 1-August 6, 2021. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 70 (37), 1294–1299. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7037e3
- Bates, T. A., Leier, H. C., Lyski, Z. L., Goodman, J. R., Curlin, M. E., Messer, W. B., et al. (2021). Age-Dependent Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 and P.1 Variant by Vaccine Immune Serum Samples. JAMA 326 (9). doi:10.1001/jama.2021.11656
- Bauer, J. M., De Castro, A., Bosco, N., Romagny, C., Diekmann, R., Benyacoub, J., et al. (2017). Influenza Vaccine Response in Community-Dwelling German Prefrail and Frail Individuals. *Immun. Ageing* 14 (1), 17. doi:10.1186/s12979-017-0098-z
- Bauer, P., Brugger, J., Koenig, F., and Posch, M. (2021). An International Comparison of Age and Sex Dependency of COVID-19 Deaths in 2020-a Descriptive Analysis. medRxiv.
- Beyer, W. E. P., Palache, A. M., Kerstens, R., and Masurel, N. (1996). Gender Differences in Local and Systemic Reactions to Inactivated Influenza Vaccine, Established by a Meta-Analysis of Fourteen Independent Studies. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 15 (1), 65–70. doi:10.1007/bf01586187
- Blumenthal, K. G., Robinson, L. B., Camargo, C. A., Shenoy, E. S., Banerji, A., Landman, A. B., et al. (2021). Acute Allergic Reactions to mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines. *Jama* 325 (15), 1562–1565. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.3976
- Boikos, C., Imran, M., Nguyen, V. H., Ducruet, T., Sylvester, G. C., and Mansi, J. A. (2021). Effectiveness of the Adjuvanted Influenza Vaccine in Older Adults at High Risk of Influenza Complications. *Vaccines* 9 (8), 862. doi:10.3390/ vaccines9080862
- Brockman, M. A., Mwimanzi, F., Lapointe, H. R., Sang, Y., Agafitei, O., Cheung, P., et al. (2021). Reduced Magnitude and Durability of Humoral Immune

Responses to COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines Among Older Adults. J. Infect. Dis. 9, jiab592. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiab592

- Bulati, M., Caruso, C., and Colonna-Romano, G. (2017). From Lymphopoiesis to Plasma Cells Differentiation, the Age-Related Modifications of B Cell Compartment Are Influenced by "Inflamm-Ageing". Ageing Res. Rev. 36, 125–136. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2017.04.001
- Butt, A. A., Omer, S. B., Yan, P., Shaikh, O. S., and Mayr, F. B. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Effectiveness in a High-Risk National Population in a Real-World Setting. Ann. Intern. Med. 174 (10), 1404–1408. doi:10.7326/m21-1577
- Canaday, D. H., Carias, L., Oyebanji, O., Keresztesy, D., Wilk, D., Payne, M., et al. (2021). Reduced BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine Response in SARS-CoV-2-Naive Nursing home Residents. medRxiv.
- Causa, R., Almagro-Nievas, D., Rivera-Izquierdo, M., Benítez-Muñoz, N., López-Hernández, B., García-García, F., et al. (2021). Antibody Response 3 Months after 2 Doses of BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine in Residents of Long-Term Care Facilities. *Gerontology* 10, 1–7. doi:10.1159/000519711
- Cerqueira-Silva, T., Oliveira, V. d. A., Boaventura, V. S., Pescarini, J. M., Júnior, J. B., Machado, T. M., et al. (2022). Influence of Age on the Effectiveness and Duration of protection of Vaxzevria and CoronaVac Vaccines: A Population-Based Study. *The Lancet Reg. Health - AmericasAmericas* 6, 100154. doi:10. 1016/j.lana.2021.100154
- Chambers, C., Skowronski, D. M., Rose, C., Serres, G. D., Winter, A. L., Dickinson, J. A., et al. (2018). Should Sex Be Considered an Effect Modifier in the Evaluation of Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 5, ofy211. Oxford University Press US). doi:10.1093/ofid/ofy211
- Chemaitelly, H., Tang, P., Hasan, M. R., AlMukdad, S., Yassine, H. M., Benslimane, F. M., et al. (2021). Waning of BNT162b2 Vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Qatar. N. Engl. J. Med. 385 (24), e83. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa2114114
- Chen, X., Mao, G., and Leng, S. X. (2014). Frailty Syndrome: an Overview. Clin. Interv. Aging 9, 433-441. doi:10.2147/CIA.S45300
- Chen, Y., Liu, S., and Leng, S. X. (2019). Chronic Low-Grade Inflammatory Phenotype (CLIP) and Senescent Immune Dysregulation. *Clin. Ther.* 41 (3), 400–409. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2019.02.001
- Choi, Y. Y., Kim, M.-K., Kwon, H. C., and Kim, G. H. (2021). Safety Monitoring after the BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccine Among Adults Aged 75 Years or Older. J. Korean Med. Sci. 36 (45), 0. doi:10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e318
- Clark, A., Jit, M., Warren-Gash, C., Guthrie, B., Wang, H. H. X., Mercer, S. W., et al. (2020). Global, Regional, and National Estimates of the Population at Increased Risk of Severe COVID-19 Due to Underlying Health Conditions in 2020: a Modelling Study. *Lancet Glob. Health* 8 (8), e1003–e1017. doi:10.1016/s2214-109x(20)30264-3
- Collier, D. A., Ferreira, I. A. T. M., Kotagiri, P., Datir, R. P., Lim, E. Y., Touizer, E., et al. (2021). Age-related Immune Response Heterogeneity to SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine BNT162b2. *Nature* 596 (7872), 417–422. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03739-1
- Columb, M., and Atkinson, M. (2016). Statistical Analysis: Sample Size and Power Estimations. *Bja Edu.* 16 (5), 159–161. doi:10.1093/bjaed/mkv034
- Cook, I. F., Barr, I., Hartel, G., Pond, D., and Hampson, A. W. (2006). Reactogenicity and Immunogenicity of an Inactivated Influenza Vaccine Administered by Intramuscular or Subcutaneous Injection in Elderly Adults. *Vaccine* 24 (13), 2395–2402. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2005.11.057
- Cook, I. F. (2009). Sex Differences in Injection Site Reactions with Human Vaccines. Hum. Vaccin. 5 (7), 441–449. doi:10.4161/hv.8476
- Couch, R. B., Winokur, P., Brady, R., Belshe, R., Chen, W. H., Cate, T. R., et al. (2007). Safety and Immunogenicity of a High Dosage Trivalent Influenza Vaccine Among Elderly Subjects. *Vaccine* 25 (44), 7656–7663. doi:10.1016/j. vaccine.2007.08.042
- Crighton, E. J., Elliott, S. J., Moineddin, R., Kanaroglou, P., and Upshur, R. E. G. (2007). An Exploratory Spatial Analysis of Pneumonia and Influenza Hospitalizations in Ontario by Age and Gender. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 135 (2), 253–261. doi:10.1017/s095026880600690x
- Crooke, S. N., Ovsyannikova, I. G., Poland, G. A., and Kennedy, R. B. (2019). Immunosenescence: A Systems-Level Overview of Immune Cell Biology and Strategies for Improving Vaccine Responses. *Exp. Gerontol.* 124, 110632. doi:10. 1016/j.exger.2019.110632
- Demaret, J., Corroyer-Simovic, B., Alidjinou, E. K., Goffard, A., Trauet, J., Miczek, S., et al. (2021). Impaired Functional T-Cell Response to SARS-CoV-2 after

Two Doses of BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine in Older People. *Front. Immunol.* 12 (4639). doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.778679

- DiazGranados, C. A., Dunning, A. J., Robertson, C. A., Talbot, H. K., Landolfi, V., and Greenberg, D. P. (2015). Efficacy and Immunogenicity of High-Dose Influenza Vaccine in Older Adults by Age, Comorbidities, and Frailty. *Vaccine* 33 (36), 4565–4571. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.07.003
- Donalisio, M. R., Ramalheira, R. M., and Cordeiro, R. (2015). Adverse reactions to influenza vaccine in the elderly, Campinas District, SP, 2000. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical.
- Falsey, A. R., Sobieszczyk, M. E., Hirsch, I., Sproule, S., Robb, M. L., Corey, L., et al. (2021). Phase 3 Safety and Efficacy of AZD1222 (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) COVID-19 Vaccine. N. Engl. J. Med. 385 (25), 2348–2360. doi:10.1056/ nejmoa2105290
- Falsey, A. R., Treanor, J. J., Tornieporth, N., Capellan, J., and Gorse, G. J. (2009). Randomized, Double-Blind Controlled Phase 3 Trial Comparing the Immunogenicity of High-Dose and Standard-Dose Influenza Vaccine in Adults 65 Years of Age and Older. J. Infect. Dis. 200 (2), 172–180. doi:10. 1086/599790
- Fink, A. L., and Klein, S. L. (2015). Sex and Gender Impact Immune Responses to Vaccines Among the Elderly. *Physiology* 30 (6), 408–416. doi:10.1152/physiol. 00035.2015
- Fish, E. N. (2008). The X-Files in Immunity: Sex-Based Differences Predispose Immune Responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 8 (9), 737–744. doi:10.1038/ nri2394
- Flanagan, K. L., Fink, A. L., Plebanski, M., and Klein, S. L. (2017). Sex and Gender Differences in the Outcomes of Vaccination over the Life Course. *Annu. Rev. Cel Dev. Biol.* 33 (1), 577–599. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100616-060718
- Furman, D., Hejblum, B. P., Simon, N., Jojic, V., Dekker, C. L., Thiébaut, R., et al. (2014). Systems Analysis of Sex Differences Reveals an Immunosuppressive Role for Testosterone in the Response to Influenza Vaccination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111 (2), 869–874. doi:10.1073/pnas.1321060111
- Gale, C. R., Baylis, D., Cooper, C., and Sayer, A. A. (2013). Inflammatory Markers and Incident Frailty in Men and Women: the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. AGE 35 (6), 2493–2501. doi:10.1007/s11357-013-9528-9
- Giglio, T., Imro, M. A., Filaci, G., Scudeletti, M., Puppo, F., De Cecco, L., et al. (1994). Immune Cell Circulating Subsets Are Affected by Gonadal Function. *Life Sci.* 54 (18), 1305–1312. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(94)00508-7
- Giurgea, L. T., Cervantes-Medina, A., Walters, K.-A., Scherler, K., Han, A., Czajkowski, L. M., et al. (2021). Sex Differences in Influenza: The Challenge Study Experience. The Journal of infectious diseases Corrected proof. doi:10. 1093/infdis/jiab422
- Gnanasekaran, G., Biedenbender, R., Davidson, H. E., and Gravenstein, S. (2016). Vaccinations for the Older Adult. *Clin. Geriatr. Med.* 32 (3), 609–625. doi:10. 1016/j.cger.2016.03.001
- Goetzl, E. J., Huang, M. C., Kon, J., Patel, K., Schwartz, J. B., Fast, K., et al. (2010). Gender Specificity of Altered Human Immune Cytokine Profiles in Aging. *FASEB j.* 24 (9), 3580–3589. doi:10.1096/fj.10-160911
- Gomes, D., Beyerlein, A., Katz, K., Hoelscher, G., Nennstiel, U., Liebl, B., et al. (2021). Is the BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccine Effective in Elderly Populations? Results from Population Data from Bavaria, Germany. *Plos one* 16 (11), e0259370. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259370
- Gordon, E. H., Peel, N. M., Samanta, M., Theou, O., Howlett, S. E., and Hubbard, R.
 E. (2016). Sex Differences in Frailty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Exp. Gerontol.* 89, 30–40. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2016.12.021
- Gordon, E. H., and Hubbard, R. E. (2020). Differences in Frailty in Older Men and Women. Med. J. Aust. 212 (4), 183–188. doi:10.5694/mja2.50466
- Govaert, T. M., Dinant, G. J., Aretz, K., Masurel, N., Sprenger, M. J., and Knottnerus, J. A. (1993). Adverse Reactions to Influenza Vaccine in Elderly People: Randomised Double Blind Placebo Controlled Trial. *BMJ* 307 (6910), 988–990. doi:10.1136/bmj.307.6910.988
- Gubbels Bupp, M. R., Potluri, T., Fink, A. L., and Klein, S. L. (2018). The Confluence of Sex Hormones and Aging on Immunity. *Front. Immunol.* 9, 1269. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.01269
- Haas, E. J., Angulo, F. J., McLaughlin, J. M., Anis, E., Singer, S. R., Khan, F., et al. (2021). Impact and Effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 Infections and COVID-19 Cases, Hospitalisations, and Deaths Following a Nationwide Vaccination Campaign in Israel: an Observational

Study Using National Surveillance Data. The Lancet 397 (10287), 1819–1829. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00947-8

- Hamborsky, J., Kroger, A., and Wolfe, S. (2015). Epidemiology and Prevention of Vaccine-Preventable Diseases. US Department of Health & Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Havers, F. P., Pham, H., Taylor, C. A., Whitaker, M., Patel, K., Anglin, O., et al. (2021). COVID-19-associated Hospitalizations Among Vaccinated and Unvaccinated Adults≥ 18 Years-COVID-NET, 13 States. January 1–. medRxiv, (Accessed 2021 July 24).
- Hirokawa, K., Utsuyama, M., Hayashi, Y., Kitagawa, M., Makinodan, T., and Fulop, T. (2013). Slower Immune System Aging in Women versus Men in the Japanese Population. *Immun. Ageing* 10 (1), 19. doi:10.1186/1742-4933-10-19
- Hoffmann, M. A., Wieler, H. J., Enders, P., Buchholz, H.-G., and Plachter, B. (2021). Age- and Sex-Graded Data Evaluation of Vaccination Reactions after Initial Injection of the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine in a Local Vaccination Center in Germany. *Vaccines* 9 (8), 911. doi:10.3390/vaccines9080911

Hollinghurst, J., North, L., Perry, M., Akbari, A., Gravenor, M. B., Lyons, R. A., et al. (2021). COVID-19 Infection Risk Amongst 14,104 Vaccinated Care home Residents: a National Observational Longitudinal Cohort Study in Wales, UK, December 2020-March 2021. Age and Ageing 51. doi:10.1093/ageing/afab223

- Honkanen, P. O., Keistinen, T., and Kivelä, S.-L. (1996). Reactions Following Administration of Influenza Vaccine Alone or with Pneumococcal Vaccine to the Elderly. Arch. Intern. Med. 156 (2), 205–208. doi:10.1001/archinte.1996. 00440020115015doi:10.1001/archinte.156.2.205
- Kamada, M., Irahara, M., Maegawa, M., Yasui, T., Yamano, S., Yamada, M., et al. (2001). B Cell Subsets in Postmenopausal Women and the Effect of Hormone Replacement Therapy. *Maturitas* 37 (3), 173–179. doi:10.1016/S0378-5122(00) 00180-8
- Kang, S.-J., and Jung, S. I. (2020). Age-related Morbidity and Mortality Among Patients with COVID-19. *Infect. Chemother.* 52 (2), 154. doi:10.3947/ic.2020.52. 2.154
- Keitel, W. A., Atmar, R. L., Cate, T. R., Petersen, N. J., Greenberg, S. B., Ruben, F., et al. (2006). Safety of High Doses of Influenza Vaccine and Effect on Antibody Responses in Elderly Persons. Arch. Intern. Med. 166 (10), 1121–1127. doi:10. 1001/archinte.166.10.1121
- Klein, N. P., Lewis, N., Goddard, K., Fireman, B., Zerbo, O., Hanson, K. E., et al. (2021). Surveillance for Adverse Events after COVID-19 mRNA Vaccination. *JAMA* 326 (14), 1390–1399. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.15072
- Klein, S. L., and Flanagan, K. L. (2016). Sex Differences in Immune Responses. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 16 (10), 626–638. doi:10.1038/nri.2016.90
- Klein, S. L., Schiebinger, L., Stefanick, M. L., Cahill, L., Danska, J., De Vries, G. J., et al. (2015). Opinion: Sex Inclusion in Basic Research Drives Discovery. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 112 (17), 5257–5258. doi:10.1073/pnas.1502843112
- Kontopoulou, K., Nakas, C. T., Ainatzoglou, A., Ifantidou, A., Ntotsi, P., Katsioulis, C., et al. (2021). Immunogenicity of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in Elderly People over 85 Years of Age in Greece: the GREVAXIMO Study. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* 33, 1–5. doi:10.1007/s40520-021-01997-7
- Kumru, S., Godekmerdan, A., and Yılmaz, B. (2004). Immune Effects of Surgical Menopause and Estrogen Replacement Therapy in Peri-Menopausal Women. J. Reprod. Immunol. 63 (1), 31–38. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2004.02.001
- Kwetkat, A., and Heppner, H. J. (2020). Comorbidities in the Elderly and Their Possible Influence on Vaccine Response. Vaccin. Old. Adults: Curr. Practices Future Opportunities 43, 73–85. doi:10.1159/000504491
- Lai, C.-C., Ko, W.-C., Chen, C.-J., Chen, P.-Y., Huang, Y.-C., Lee, P.-I., et al. (2021). COVID-19 Vaccines and Thrombosis with Thrombocytopenia Syndrome. *Expert Rev. Vaccin.* 20 (8), 1027–1035. doi:10.1080/14760584.2021.1949294
- Levin, E. G., Lustig, Y., Cohen, C., Fluss, R., Indenbaum, V., Amit, S., et al. (2021). Waning Immune Humoral Response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine over 6 Months. N. Engl. J. Med. 385 (24), e84. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2114583
- Lewis, N. M., Naioti, E. A., Self, W. H., Ginde, A. A., Douin, D. J., Talbot, H. K., et al. (2021). Effectiveness of mRNA Vaccines in Preventing COVID-19 Hospitalization by Age and burden of Chronic Medical Conditions Among Immunocompetent US Adults, March-August 2021. J. Infect. Dis. 21, jiab619. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiab619
- Liu, C., Lee, J., Ta, C., Soroush, A., Rogers, J. R., Kim, J. H., et al. (2021). A Retrospective Analysis of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine Breakthrough Infections – Risk Factors and Vaccine Effectiveness. *medRxiv* 2010, 21264583. doi:10. 1101/2021.10.05.21264583

- Loeb, N., Andrew, M. K., Loeb, M., Kuchel, G. A., Haynes, L., McElhaney, J. E., et al. (2020). Frailty Is Associated with Increased Hemagglutination-Inhibition Titers in a 4-Year Randomized Trial Comparing Standard- and High-Dose Influenza Vaccination. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 7 (5), ofaa148. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofaa148
- Lustig, Y., Sapir, E., Regev-Yochay, G., Cohen, C., Fluss, R., Olmer, L., et al. (2021). BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccine and Correlates of Humoral Immune Responses and Dynamics: a Prospective, single-centre, Longitudinal Cohort Study in Health-Care Workers. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 9 (9), 999–1009. doi:10.1016/ s2213-2600(21)00220-4
- Maggio, M., Basaria, S., Ble, A., Lauretani, F., Bandinelli, S., Ceda, G. P., et al. (2006). Correlation between Testosterone and the Inflammatory Marker Soluble Interleukin-6 Receptor in Older Men. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 91 (1), 345–347. doi:10.1210/jc.2005-1097
- Márquez, E. J., Chung, C. H., Marches, R., Rossi, R. J., Nehar-Belaid, D., Eroglu, A., et al. (2020). Sexual-dimorphism in Human Immune System Aging. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 751–817. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14396-9
- Marttila, S., Jylhävä, J., Nevalainen, T., Nykter, M., Jylhä, M., Hervonen, A., et al. (2013). Transcriptional Analysis Reveals Gender-specific Changes in the Aging of the Human Immune System. *PLoS ONE* 8 (6), e66229. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0066229
- Meng, Y., Wu, P., Lu, W., Liu, K., Ma, K., Huang, L., et al. (2020). Sex-specific Clinical Characteristics and Prognosis of Coronavirus Disease-19 Infection in Wuhan, China: A Retrospective Study of 168 Severe Patients. *Plos Pathog.* 16 (4), e1008520. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008520
- Moehling, K. K., Zhai, B., Schwarzmann, W. E., Chandran, U. R., Ortiz, M., Nowalk, M. P., et al. (2020). The Impact of Physical Frailty on the Response to Inactivated Influenza Vaccine in Older Adults. *Aging (Albany NY)* 12, 24633–24650. doi:10.18632/aging.202207
- Moehling, K. K., Nowalk, M. P., Lin, C. J., Bertolet, M., Ross, T. M., Carter, C. E., et al. (2018). The Effect of Frailty on HAI Response to Influenza Vaccine Among Community-Dwelling Adults \geq 50 Years of Age. *Hum. Vaccin. Immunother.* 14 (2), 361–367. doi:10.1080/21645515.2017.1405883
- Narang, V., Lu, Y., Tan, C., Camous, X. F. N., Nyunt, S. Z., Carre, C., et al. (2018). Influenza Vaccine-Induced Antibody Responses Are Not Impaired by Frailty in the Community-Dwelling Elderly with Natural Influenza Exposure. *Front. Immunol.* 9, 2465. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018. 02465
- Nevalainen, T., Autio, A., Kummola, L., Salomaa, T., Junttila, I., Jylhä, M., et al. (2019). CD27- IgD- B Cell Memory Subset Associates with Inflammation and Frailty in Elderly Individuals but Only in Males. *Immun. Ageing* 16 (1), 19. doi:10.1186/s12979-019-0159-6
- Nordström, P., Ballin, M., and Nordström, A. (2021). Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccination against Risk of Symptomatic Infection, Hospitalization, and Death up to 9 Months: A Swedish Total-Population Cohort Study. SSRN J. 11, 100249. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3949410
- O'Driscoll, M., Dos Santos, G. R., Wang, L., Cummings, D. A., Azman, A. S., Paireau, J., et al. (2021). Age-specific Mortality and Immunity Patterns of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 590 (7844), 140–145.
- Park, C., and Ko, F. C. (2021). The Science of Frailty. *Clin. Geriatr. Med.* 37 (4), 625–638. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2021.05.008
- Pinti, M., Appay, V., Campisi, J., Frasca, D., Fülöp, T., Sauce, D., et al. (2016). Aging of the Immune System: Focus on Inflammation and Vaccination. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 46 (10), 2286–2301. doi:10.1002/eji.201546178
- Piroth, L., Cottenet, J., Mariet, A.-S., Bonniaud, P., Blot, M., Tubert-Bitter, P., et al. (2021). Comparison of the Characteristics, Morbidity, and Mortality of COVID-19 and Seasonal Influenza: a Nationwide, Population-Based Retrospective Cohort Study. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 9 (3), 251–259. doi:10. 1016/s2213-2600(20)30527-0
- Polack, F. P., Thomas, S. J., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., et al. (2020). Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. N. Engl. J. Med. 383 (27), 2603–2615. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577
- Potluri, T., Fink, A. L., Sylvia, K. E., Dhakal, S., Vermillion, M. S., vom Steeg, L., et al. (2019). Age-associated Changes in the Impact of Sex Steroids on Influenza Vaccine Responses in Males and Females. *npj Vaccin*. 4 (1), 29. doi:10.1038/ s41541-019-0124-6
- Richardson, S., Hirsch, J. S., Narasimhan, M., Crawford, J. M., McGinn, T., Davidson, K. W., et al. (2020). Presenting Characteristics, Comorbidities,

and Outcomes Among 5700 Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City Area. JAMA 323 (20), 2052–2059. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.6775

- Robles-Fontan, M. M., Nieves, E. G., Cardona-Gerena, I., and Irizarry, R. A. (2021). Time-varying Effectiveness of the mRNA-1273, BNT162b2 and Ad26. COV2. S Vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 Infections and COVID-19 Hospitalizations and Deaths: An Analysis Based on Observational Data from Puerto Rico. medRxiv.
- Sadoff, J., Gray, G., Vandebosch, A., Cárdenas, V., Shukarev, G., Grinsztejn, B., et al. (2021). Safety and Efficacy of Single-Dose Ad26.COV2.S Vaccine against Covid-19. N. Engl. J. Med. 384 (23), 2187–2201. doi:10.1056/nejmoa2101544
- Salje, H., Tran Kiem, C., Lefrancq, N., Courtejoie, N., Bosetti, P., Paireau, J., et al. (2020). Estimating the burden of SARS-CoV-2 in France. *Science* 369 (6500), 208–211. doi:10.1126/science.abc3517
- Salmerón Ríos, S., Mas Romero, M., Cortés Zamora, E. B., Tabernero Sahuquillo, M. T., Romero Rizos, L., Sánchez-Jurado, P. M., et al. (2021). Immunogenicity of the BNT162b2 Vaccine in Frail or Disabled Nursing home Residents: COVID-A Study. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 69 (6), 1441–1447. doi:10.1111/jgs.17153
- Scully, E. P., Haverfield, J., Ursin, R. L., Tannenbaum, C., and Klein, S. L. (2020). Considering How Biological Sex Impacts Immune Responses and COVID-19 Outcomes. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 20, 442–447. doi:10.1038/ s41577-020-0348-8
- Scully, E. P., Schumock, G., Fu, M., Massaccesi, G., Muschelli, J., Betz, J., et al. (2021). Sex and Gender Differences in Testing, Hospital Admission, Clinical Presentation, and Drivers of Severe Outcomes from COVID-19. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* 8, ofab448. Oxford University Press US). doi:10.1093/ofid/ofab448
- Seiffert, P., Konka, A., Kasperczyk, J., Kawa, J., Lejawa, M., Maślanka-Seiffert, B., et al. (2021). Immunogenicity of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine in Older Residents of a Long-Term Care Facility: Relation with Age, Frailty and Prior Infection Status. *Biogerontology* 19, 1–12. doi:10.1007/s10522-021-09944-9
- Shapiro, J. R., Klein, S. L., and Morgan, R. (2021a). Stop 'controlling' for Sex and Gender in Global Health Research. *BMJ Glob. Health* 6 (4), e005714. doi:10. 1136/bmjgh-2021-005714
- Shapiro, J. R., Li, H., Morgan, R., Chen, Y., Kuo, H., Ning, X., et al. (2021b). Sexspecific Effects of Aging on Humoral Immune Responses to Repeated Influenza Vaccination in Older Adults. *npj Vaccin*. 6 (1), 147. doi:10.1038/s41541-021-00412-6
- Shimabukuro, T. T., Cole, M., and Su, J. R. (2021). Reports of Anaphylaxis after Receipt of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines in the US-December 14, 2020-January 18, 2021. Jama 325 (11), 1101–1102. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.1967
- Somiya, M., Mine, S., Yasukawa, K., and Ikeda, S. (2021). Sex Differences in the Incidence of Anaphylaxis to LNP-mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines. *Vaccine* 39 (25), 3313–3314. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.04.066
- Tadount, F., Doyon-Plourde, P., Rafferty, E., MacDonald, S., Sadarangani, M., and Quach, C. (2019). Is There a Difference in the Immune Response, Efficacy, Effectiveness and Safety of Seasonal Influenza Vaccine in Males and Females? -A Systematic Review. *Vaccine* 38 (3), 444–459. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019. 10.091
- Talaat, K. R., Greenberg, M. E., Lai, M. H., Hartel, G. F., Wichems, C. H., Rockman, S., et al. (2010). A Single Dose of Unadjuvanted Novel 2009 H1N1 Vaccine Is Immunogenic and Well Tolerated in Young and Elderly Adults. J. Infect. Dis. 202 (9), 1327–1337. doi:10.1086/656601
- Talbot, H. K., Nian, H., Chen, Q., Zhu, Y., Edwards, K. M., and Griffin, M. R. (2016). Evaluating the Case-Positive, Control Test-Negative Study Design for Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness for the Frailty Bias. *Vaccine* 34 (15), 1806–1809. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.02.037
- Van Epps, P., Tumpey, T., Pearce, M. B., Golding, H., Higgins, P., Hornick, T., et al. (2017). Preexisting Immunity, Not Frailty Phenotype, Predicts Influenza Postvaccination Titers Among Older Veterans. *Clin. Vaccin. Immunol* 24 (3), e00498–00416. doi:10.1128/cvi.00498-16
- Voigt, E. A., Ovsyannikova, I. G., Kennedy, R. B., Grill, D. E., Goergen, K. M., Schaid, D. J., et al. (2019). Sex Differences in Older Adults' Immune Responses to Seasonal Influenza Vaccination. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 180. doi:10.3389/ fimmu.2019.00180
- Wang, C. S., Wang, S. T., and Chou, P. (2002). Efficacy and Cost-Effectiveness of Influenza Vaccination of the Elderly in a Densely Populated and Unvaccinated Community. *Vaccine* 20 (19-20), 2494–2499. doi:10.1016/s0264-410x(02) 00181-0

- Wang, X.-L., Yang, L., Chan, K.-H., Chan, K.-P., Cao, P.-H., Lau, E. H.-Y., et al. (2015). Age and Sex Differences in Rates of Influenza-Associated Hospitalizations in Hong Kong. Am. J. Epidemiol. 182 (4), 335–344. doi:10. 1093/aje/kwv068
- Wikby, A., Månsson, I. A., Johansson, B., Strindhall, J., and Nilsson, S. E. (2008). The Immune Risk Profile Is Associated with Age and Gender: Findings from Three Swedish Population Studies of Individuals 20-100 Years of Age. *Biogerontology* 9 (5), 299–308. doi:10.1007/s10522-008-9138-6
- Wong, K. C., Luscombe, G. M., and Hawke, C. (2019). Influenza Infections in Australia 2009-2015: Is There a Combined Effect of Age and Sex on Susceptibility to Virus Subtypes. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 19 (1), 42. doi:10.1186/ s12879-019-3681-4
- Xiong, X., Yuan, J., Li, M., Jiang, B., and Lu, Z. K. (2021). Age and Gender Disparities in Adverse Events Following COVID-19 Vaccination: Real-World Evidence Based on Big Data for Risk Management. *Front. Med.* 8, 700014. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.700014
- Yao, X., Hamilton, R. G., Weng, N.-p., Xue, Q.-L., Bream, J. H., Li, H., et al. (2011). Frailty Is Associated with Impairment of Vaccine-Induced Antibody Response and Increase in post-vaccination Influenza Infection in Community-Dwelling Older Adults. Vaccine 29 (31), 5015–5021. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.04.077

Zimmerman, R. K., Lauderdale, D. S., Tan, S. M., and Wagener, D. K. (2010). Prevalence of High-Risk Indications for Influenza Vaccine Varies by Age, Race, and Income. Vaccine 28 (39), 6470–6477. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.07.037

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Shapiro, Morgan, Leng and Klein. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.