Peer

Taxonomic evaluation of selected Ganoderma species and database sequence validation

Suldbold Jargalmaa¹, John A. Eimes², Myung Soo Park¹, Jae Young Park¹, Seung-Yoon Oh¹ and Young Woon Lim¹

¹ School of Biological Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea

² University College, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, South Korea

ABSTRACT

Species in the genus Ganoderma include several ecologically important and pathogenic fungal species whose medicinal and economic value is substantial. Due to the highly similar morphological features within the Ganoderma, identification of species has relied heavily on DNA sequencing using BLAST searches, which are only reliable if the GenBank submissions are accurately labeled. In this study, we examined 113 specimens collected from 1969 to 2016 from various regions in Korea using morphological features and multigene analysis (internal transcribed spacer, translation elongation factor 1- α , and the second largest subunit of RNA polymerase II). These specimens were identified as four Ganoderma species: G. sichuanense, G. cf. adspersum, G. cf. applanatum, and G. cf. gibbosum. With the exception of G. sichuanense, these species were difficult to distinguish based solely on morphological features. However, phylogenetic analysis at three different loci yielded concordant phylogenetic information, and supported the four species distinctions with high bootstrap support. A survey of over 600 Ganoderma sequences available on GenBank revealed that 65% of sequences were either misidentified or ambiguously labeled. Here, we suggest corrected annotations for GenBank sequences based on our phylogenetic validation and provide updated global distribution patterns for these Ganoderma species.

Subjects Bioinformatics, Mycology, Taxonomy Keywords *Ganoderma*, Polypores, Medicinal fungi, Genbank sequence validation

INTRODUCTION

Fungal species in the genus *Ganoderma* Karst. (Ganodermataceae, Polyporales) include ecologically important wood decay fungi of which some species are a well-known component of traditional Asian medicine. Several species of *Ganoderma* have been reported to cause diseases associated with trees, including basal stem rot disease in oil palm caused by *G. boninense* (*Susanto, Sudharto & Purba, 2005*), and root-rot disease of *Acacia* trees caused by *G. steyaertanum, G. mastoporum,* and *G. philippii* (*Glen et al., 2009*). Despite the pathogenic nature of many *Ganoderma* species, many species, especially taxa identified as *G. lucidum* in Asia, are believed to possess medicinal characteristics, and have been used in traditional Asian medicine for millennia (*Bishop et al., 2015*). *Ganoderma* byproducts are increasingly being used in western medicine and related health industries,

Submitted 18 February 2017 Accepted 29 June 2017 Published 27 July 2017

Corresponding author Young Woon Lim, ywlim@snu.ac.kr

Academic editor Bryn Dentinger

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 12

DOI 10.7717/peerj.3596

© Copyright 2017 Jargalmaa et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

and the most recent estimate of the annual economic value of *Ganoderma* byproducts (calculated in 1995) was ~1.6 billion USD (*Chang & Buswell*, 1999); adjusting for inflation and economic growth, this annual economic value is likely several billion dollars in 2017. As our understanding of the biochemistry and genetics of *Ganoderma* biocompounds increases in tandem with increasing medicinal and economic demand for these byproducts, accurate identification of *Ganoderma* species is critical.

Ganoderma is likely a relatively young genus, originating in the tropics and recently expanding its range into temperate zones. The estimated number of Ganoderma species ranges from 250 to >400 (Moncalvo, Wang & Hseu, 1995; Richter et al., 2015). The morphology of Ganoderma species is characterized by a crusty or shiny pileus surface and a two-layered basidiospore wall with a truncated apex. Due to the high similarity of basidiocarp features, it is likely that the Ganoderma is the most difficult genus to accurately identify to species of all polypores (Ryvarden & Gilbertson, 1993). In fact, the Ganoderma have been described as being in a state of "taxonomic chaos" (*Ryvarden*, 1991). Indeed, the wide range of estimates for the number of *Ganoderma* species exemplifies the ambiguity that permeates the taxonomy of this genus. Early efforts to apply molecular markers toward the resolution of Ganoderma taxonomy used sequences from internal transcribed spacer (ITS) and partial large subunit rDNA (Moncalvo et al., 1994; Moncalvo, Wang & Hseu, 1995; Moncalvo, 2000) and nearly complete small subunit rDNA sequences (Hong & Jung, 2004; Douanla-Meli & Langer, 2009). More recently, Wang et al. (2012) assessed the identification of European G. lucidum (a species originally described from England) and East Asia G. lucidum using three loci and determined that the East Asia samples were genetically distinct from their European counterparts and conspecific with G. sichuanense. Further complicating matters, Cao, Wu & Dai (2012) combined morphological characters with phylogenetic analyses of six loci and proposed naming East Asian G. lucidum as G. lingzhi; however, G. lingzhi is regarded as a synonym of G. sichuanense, the name proposed in 1983 (Wang et al., 2012). Following the rules of fungal nomenclature, the name G. sichuanense should be given preference over any synonyms (Richter et al., 2015).

The dramatic increase in available DNA sequences from molecular phylogenetic studies has helped resolve the taxonomy of numerous fungal groups. Sequences from the ITS have been particularly useful in the recent development of DNA barcoding of the fungi (*Schoch et al.*, 2012), although other loci such as translation elongation factor $1-\alpha$ (*tef1-\alpha*) and the second largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (*rpb2*) (*Liu*, *Whelen & Hall*, 1999; *Matheny et al.*, 2007) have been instrumental in resolving ambiguous evolutionary relationships among *Ganoderma* species. While molecular advances have in general led to improvements in phylogenetics and taxonomy, the relative ease and speed of DNA barcoding also has the potential to increase the confusion often associated with fungal taxonomy. Up to 20% of designated sequences in public databases may be erroneous because of improper species identification (*Bridge et al.*, 2003; *Vilgalys*, 2003; *Nilsson et al.*, 2006). This problem is acute within the genus *Ganoderma* due to the absence of reliable morphological characteristics, a high rate of synonymous classification, and incorrect taxonomic assignments in public databases. The combination of morphology and molecular analysis (ideally multi-locus) can resolve the issues associated with this growing problem of misidentified sequence in public databases (*Jung et al., 2014*).

Sequencing costs have recently fallen precipitously as has the speed and ease with which specimens can be identified via sequencing. As a result, an increasing number of non-specialists, including edible mushroom cultivators as well as collectors and re-sellers of medicinal fungi, have relied on commercial sequencing companies to identify specimens. These identifications are often made using BLAST searches, which are only reliable if the GenBank submissions are accurately labeled. Thus, a re-evaluation of *Ganoderma* species that are endemic to Korea is needed and the accuracy of sequence databases such as GenBank should be investigated.

In Korea, the first report of *Ganoderma lucidum* (reported as *Fomes japonicus*) was 1934 (*Murata, 1934*). The classification system of *Ganoderma* proposed by *Imazeki (1952)* divided the *Ganoderma* into two subgenera, with laccate species (including *G. lucidum*) in the subgenus *Ganoderma* and non-laccate species (including *E. applanatum*) in the subgenus *Elfvingia*. To date, five *Ganoderma* species, *G. applanatum, G. lipsiense, G. lucidum G. neojaponicum*, and *G. tsugae*, have been recorded in Korea (*Kaburagi, 1940; Lee, 1981; Kang, 1991; Kwon et al., 2016*). *G. lipsiense*, however, is synonymous with *G. applanatum* (*Moncalvo & Ryvarden, 1997*), therefore only four *Ganoderma* species were known in Korea. Importantly, most Korean *Ganoderma* species were reported solely on basidiocarp morphology without detailed descriptions or molecular data.

In this study, our main objective was to build a Korean *Ganoderma* inventory using morphology and molecular analysis and to correct species misidentifications in GenBank. In addition, we provide updated global distribution patterns of these four *Ganoderma* species using newly validated GenBank sequences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and morphological analysis

Specimens were collected from 1969 to 2016 from various regions in Korea and stored at the Seoul National University Fungus Collection (SFC) and Korea Mushroom Resource Bank (KMRB). These specimens were initially identified as *G. lucidum, G. neojaponicum*, and *G. applanatum* (Table S1). Because the complex characteristics of the basidiocarps of *Ganoderma* have contributed to confusion in the taxonomy of this genus, we sorted specimens using macro- and micro-morphological observations (*Gilbertson & Ryvarden*, *1986; Cao, Wu & Dai, 2012*). Initially, three morphological features were observed for 113 specimens: shape of basidiocarp, pore number per mm at hymenophore, and basidiospore size. Pore number was calculated as the mean of five 1 mm transects across hymenium. In order to observe basidiospores, slide preparations mounted in 3% KOH were made from dried tissue for each specimen and examined with a Nikon 80i light microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

A total of 29 recently collected Korean specimens were chosen for DNA sequencing (Table 1). Genomic DNA was extracted using a modified CTAB extraction protocol (*Rogers*

Species	Specimen no.	Collection sites		Accession number		
		Locality	Latitude/Longitude	ITS	rpb2	tef1-α
G. sichuanense	SFC20120721-08	Gimpo-si, Gyeonggi-do	37°36′08.43″N/126°46′33.51″E	KY364244		
	SFC20150624-06	Pohang-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do	36°04′20.02″N/129°12′36.56″E	KY364245	KY393267	KY393279
	SFC20150630-14	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′28.50″N/126°59′38.92″E	KY364246	KY393268	KY393280
	SFC20150812-48	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′28.30″N/126°59′44.28″E	KY364247		KY393281
	SFC20150918-07	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′26.70″N/126°59′36.12″E	KY364248	KY393269	KY393282
	SFC20160315-03	Yangyang-gun, Gangwon-do	38°07′17.46″N/128°33′06.78″E	KY364249		KY393283
	SFC20160420-01	<u>_</u> a		KY364250		
G. cf. adspersum	SFC20141001-16	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	37°57′11.80″N/128°19′24.52″E	KY364251	KY393270	KY393284
	SFC20141001-22	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	37°57′02.55″N/128°19′29.46″E	KY364252	KY393271	KY393285
	SFC20140701-31	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	37°56′50.06″N/128°19′47.85″E	KY364253		
	SFC20160115-20	Yangpyeong-gun, Gyeonggi-do	37°29′20.09″N/127°36′34.14″E	KY364254	KY393272	KY393286
G. cf. applanatum	SFC20141001-24	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	37°56′46.46″N/128°20′00.98″E	KY364255	KY393273	KY393287
	SFC20141001-25	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	37°57′13.39″N/128°19′16.80″E	KY364256		
	SFC20141012-02	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	37°57′12.84″N/128°19′18.18″E	KY364257		
	SFC20150930-02	Inje-gun, Gangwon-do	38°07′30.12″N/128°12′10.08″E	KY364258	KY393274	KY393288
G. cf. gibbosum	SFC20130404-21	Sangju-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do	36°31′47.93″N/128°04′27.06″E	KY364259		
	SFC20140702-12	Seogwipo-si, Jeju-do	33°14′54.58″N/126°21′03.42″E	KY364260	KY393275	
	SFC20140703-17	Jeju-si, Jeju-do	33°26′24.63″N/126°37′39.49″E	KY364261		
	SFC20150418-05	Gwanak-gu, Seoul	37°27′21.15″N/126°56′57.18″E	KY364262		
	SFC20150612-11	Donghae-si, Gangwon-do	37°27′51.09″N/129°01′00.16″E	KY364263		
	SFC20150630-23	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′24.07″N/126°59′36.17″E	KY364264	KY393276	KY393289
	SFC20150701-06	Jeju-si, Jeju-do	33°19′31.62″N/126°16′50.62″E	KY364265		
	SFC20150723-01	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′22.66″N/126°59′37.49″E	KY364266		
	SFC20150812-02	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′21.62″N/126°59′38.18″E	KY364267		
	SFC20150812-35	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′20.40″N/126°59′45.21″E	KY364268		
	SFC20150812-36	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′27.81″N/126°59′44.59″E	KY364269		
	SFC20150918-03	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′24.63″N/126°59′39.11″E	KY364270	KY393277	KY393290
	SFC20150918-08	Jongno-gu, Seoul	37°34′20.23″N/126°59′44.05″E	KY364271	KY393278	KY393291
	SFC20160713-09	Jeju-si, Jeju-do	33°29′26.67″N/126°36′08.87″E	KY364272		

 Table 1
 Representative Ganoderma specimens from the Seoul National University Fungus Collection (SFC) used in this study.

Notes.

^aNo information.

& Bendich, 1994). The ITS region, partial *tef1-* α , and partial *rpb2* regions were amplified using the primers ITS1F/ITS4b (*Gardes & Bruns, 1993*), EF1-983F/EF1-2218R (*Rehner* & Buckley, 2005), and fRPB2-5F/bRPB2-7R2 (*Liu, Whelen & Hall, 1999; Matheny et al.,* 2007), respectively. PCRs were performed on a C1000TM thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA) using AccuPower PCR premix (Bioneer Co., Daejeon, Korea) according to the methods described in *Park et al. (2013)*. PCR products were electrophoresed through a 1% agarose gel stained with EcoDye DNA staining solution (SolGent Co., Daejeon, Korea) and purified using the ExpinTM PCR Purification Kit (GeneAll Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA sequencing was performed at Macrogen (Seoul, Korea) using an ABI3700 automated DNA sequencer. Sequences obtained from specimens were proofread using chromatograms in MEGA v. 6 (*Tamura et al., 2013*).

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analysis was carried out in two steps. First, phylogenetic trees using ITS, *tef1-* α , and *rpb2* sequences were constructed using only specimens of Korean *Ganoderma* species. Second, we downloaded all *Ganoderma* sequences obtained from the search query "*Ganoderma*" in GenBank. SFC amplicon sequences of ITS, *tef1-* α , and *rpb2* were aligned with *Ganoderma* sequences downloaded from GenBank using the default settings of MAFFT v.7 (*Katoh & Standley, 2013*). Maximum likelihood (ML) trees were constructed with RAxML 8.0.2 (*Stamatakis, 2014*) using the GTRGAMMA model of evolution and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. *Coriolopsis* cf. *caperata* was used as an outgroup for all three phylogenetic trees (*Binder et al., 2013*).

Validation and distribution of GenBank Ganoderma sequences

We used BLAST to validate *Ganoderma* sequences in GenBank. GenBank sequences for *G. sichuanense* were available for all three loci (ITS, *tef1-* α , and *rpb2*); however, there were insufficient sequences for the other species at the *tef1-* α and *rpb2* loci. Thus, our validation for these species is limited to the ITS locus. ITS sequences from each Korean species were used for the BLAST searches and sequences were downloaded based on similarity and coverage. We downloaded all sequences that had a similarity of >90% at the ITS. We excluded short sequences by removing those that had coverage of <50%. Neighbor Joining (NJ) analyses were performed using these sequences to determine the correct sequence identity for each *Ganoderma* species. NJ trees were constructed with MEGA v. 6, using the Kimura 2-parameter model and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. All work with GenBank was performed on September 20, 2016.

We used the validated sequence information of four *Ganoderma* species to generate a map of the global distribution. Distribution information of each species was extracted from published papers and direct GenBank submissions.

RESULTS

Evaluation of *Ganoderma* specimens based on morphological and molecular analyses

All 113 specimens identified as *Ganoderma* were used in the preliminary portion of this study. These samples were reexamined based on distinguishable morphological characters. First, specimens with laccate basidiocarps and long stipes were distinguished from other specimens with non-laccate basidiocarps. Laccate specimens initially identified as *G. lucidum*, *G. lingzhi* and *G. neojaponicum* were identified as *G. sichuanense* using molecular analysis based on ITS, *tef-1*, and *rpb2*. Basidiocarps were reniform to circular with long subcylindrical stipe (Figs. 1A and 1B), had circular or angular pores that were at a density



Figure 1 Basidiocarps of Ganoderma; G. sichuanense (A–B), G. cf. adspersum (C–D), G. cf. applanatum (E–F), and G. cf. gibbosum (G–H). Scale bars: (A–H) = 1 cm.



Figure 2 (A) Box plot representing pore number per mm of four *Ganoderma* species: *G. sichuanense* (Gsi), *G. cf. adspersum* (Gad), *G. cf. applanatum* (Gap), and *G. cf. gibbosum* (Ggi). Boxes represent the interquartile range between first quartile and third quartile. Bold line in the box is the median and filled circles represent individual outlying data points. (B) Scatter plot of basidiospore size among the four species (mm). Four samples were observed for each species.

of 5–6 per mm (Fig. 2A), and basidiospore size was (9.7) 10.4–11.1 (12.2) × (6.4) 6.6–6.9 (7.4) μ m (Fig. 2B).

While all non-laccate specimens were similar to *G. applanatum*, they could be separated into three different morphology types. Type A specimens had sessile basidiocarps, and were attached directly to the tree at its base with no stipe (Figs. 1C and 1D). Pore number was 3–4 per mm (Fig. 2A) and basidiospore size range was (7.8) 8.3–10.6 (11.3) × (5.1) 5.4–7.4 (7.8) μ m (Fig. 2B). Type B specimens had sessile basidiocarps with no stipes (Figs. 1E and 1F), a pore number range of 5–7 per mm (Fig. 2A), and basidiospore size of (8.0) 8.1–8.5 (8.9) × (5.3) 5.4–5.8 (6.3) μ m (Fig. 2B). The basidiocarps of type C specimens were attached to broad-leaved tree stumps with short stipes (Figs. 1G and 1H), a pore number range of 4–5 per mm (Fig. 2A), and basidiospore size of (7.7) 8.5–9.2 (9.4) × (4.9) 5.6–6.0 (6.5) μ m (Fig. 2B).

The ITS region was successfully amplified and sequenced for 29 representative specimens. However, sequences for the *tef1-* α and *rpb2* regions were obtained from fewer specimens (Table 1). Phylogenetic relationships inferred from the ITS, *tef1-* α , and *rpb2* ML trees exhibited a clear distinction between the four species (Fig. 3). This phylogeny supported the identification of the laccate specimens as *G. sichuanense*. The three morphological types of non-laccate specimens clearly separated into three species. We re-named type A *Ganoderma* cf. *adspersum*, type B *Ganoderma* cf. *applanatum*, and type C *Ganoderma* cf. *gibbosum* because type specimens were not included in this study. Within the ITS, *tef1-* α , and *rpb2* phylogenies, *G.* cf. *adspersum* formed a supported clade with *G.* cf. *gibbosum*. *G.* cf. *applanatum* formed a distinct cluster with these two species to the exclusion of *G. sichuanense* (Fig. 3).



Figure 3 Phylogenetic tree for *Ganoderma* and related species based on a maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS), the second largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (*rpb2*), and translation elongation factor $1-\alpha$ (*tef1-\alpha*). ML trees were constructed with RAxML 8.0.2 using the GTRGAMMA model of evolution and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Bootstrap scores of >50 are presented at the nodes. Branches that involved SFC sequences are in bold. The scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

GenBank sequence validation and distribution of four *Ganoderma* species

Using BLAST searches and phylogenetic analysis, we were able to validate the sequences of four *Ganoderma* species in GenBank. Of 249 ITS sequences that were identified by this study as *G. sichuanense*, 239 were annotated in GenBank as *G. sichuanense*, *G. lucidum* or *G. lingzhi*, seven were undetermined (*Ganoderma* sp.) and three were mislabeled (two as *G. tsugae* and one as *G. luteomarginatum*). One GenBank sequence submission was incorrectly identified as *G. lingzhi* and three were misidentified as *G. sichuanense*. 111 GenBank submissions that were initially annotated in GenBank as *G. lucidum* were neither Asian (*G. sichuanense*) or European (*G. lucidum*) *Ganoderma* species. Thus, of 354

Peer





GenBank submissions labeled as *G. sichuanense* or its synonyms, 115 (32%) were found to belong to different species (Fig. 4, Table S2).

88 ITS sequences were defined by this study as *G*. cf. *adspersum*. Sixteen *G*. cf. *adspersum* sequences were mislabeled in GenBank as either *G*. *applanatum* (4) or *G*. *australe* (12). Seven were ambiguously labeled (as *Ganoderma* sp.). One sequence was labeled "*Ganoderma* clone" and four were misidentified as non-*Ganoderma* genera. In addition, we found four GenBank sequences that were erroneously labeled as *G*. *adspersum* (Fig. 4, Table S2).

Of 85 ITS sequences defined as *G*. cf. *applanatum* in this study, just 46 (54%) were correctly labeled as *G. applanatum* or its synonym, *G. lipsiense*. Four ITS sequences were mislabeled *Ganoderma* species (three as *G. adspersum* and one as *G. oregonense*) and seven were ambiguously labeled (e.g., *Ganoderma* sp.). Of 70 GenBank sequence submissions originally labeled as *G. applanatum* or its synonym *G. lipsiense*, 24 (34%) were found to belong to different species (Fig. 4, Table S2).

We identified 34 GenBank sequences as *G*. cf. *gibbosum*. A total of 17 GenBank sequences were correctly annotated, while 14 were initially misidentified as other *Ganoderma* species (seven as *G. applanatum*, five as *G. australe*, one as *G. fulvellum*, and one as *G. lucidum*). Three *G.* cf. *gibbosum* sequences were ambiguously labeled as "*Ganoderma* sp.". A total of 14 of 31 (45%) GenBank sequence submissions that were initially identified as *G. gibbosum* were found to be different species (Fig. 4).

Based on the corrected database, we generated a distribution map for each species (*G. sichuanense*, *G. cf. adspersum*, *G. cf. applanatum*, and *G. cf. gibbosum*) (Fig. S1). *G. sichuanense* was distributed throughout Asia (e.g., China, Japan, Korea, Bangladesh, Malaysia, and Nepal); although nearly 70% of the *G. sichuanense* sequences were described from China. While some sequences were identified as from Poland and Italy, their specimen information lacked confirmation due to directly deposition without publication. Most *G. cf. adspersum* sequences were from European countries (Italy, Germany, Poland, United Kingdom, Austria, Finland, and France), while a small number of sequences were from Asia (India, Japan, and Korea). *G. cf. applanatum* sequences had a global distribution (USA, Canada, Lithuania, Hungary, Germany, Poland, Korea, and Antarctica). *G. cf. gibbosum* sequences were mostly limited to Asia (Korea, China, Japan, and India), with one group of sequences identified as from Poland (unpublished sequences).

DISCUSSION

Morphological and molecular analysis of Korean *Ganoderma* specimens collected during the last fifty years indicated that there are four *Ganoderma* species in Korea. Among the four previously described *Ganoderma* species, *G. neojaponicum* and *G. tsugae* were not found in this study. Although one specimen that was identified as *G. neojaponicum* was shown to be *G. sichuanense*, further study is needed to establish whether *G. neojaponicum* and *G. tsugae* exist in Korea.

G. sichuanense was previously identified and named *G. lucidum* in Korea. Recently *Kwon et al.* (2016) suggested that *G. lucidum* cultivated in Korea (locally known as Yeongji) was actually *G. lingzhi*, although we argue for renaming all *G. lingzhi* as *G. sichuanense* (see above). *G. sichuanense* is easily distinguished from the other three Korean *Ganoderma* species by differences in surface texture of the pileus and basidiospore size (*Moncalvo & Ryvarden, 1997; Tham, 1998*). Laccate pileus with longer stipe and larger basidiospores than other Asian *Ganoderma* species are typical characters of *G. sichuanense* (*Wang et al., 2012*). Furthermore, phylogenetic analysis confirmed that the Korean *G. sichuanense* sequences used in this study were nearly identical to the epitype for *G. sichuanense* (KC662402) (*Yao, Wang & Wang, 2013*).

The three species with non-laccate basidiocarps, *G. cf. adspersum*, *G. cf. applanatum*, and *G. cf. gibbosum*, have similar morphological characteristics which often lead to misidentification of these species, although basidiocarp morphology has been suggested to differentiate these species. Basidiocarps of *G. cf. adspersum* (40–100 mm) are usually thicker than those of *G. cf. applanatum* (20–60 mm) at the base. In addition, the undersides of the basidiocarps of *G. cf. adspersum* have a decurrent attachment, whereas those of *G. cf. applanatum* tend to emerge sharply at right angles from the host stem (*Ryvarden & Gilbertson, 1993*; *Schwarze & Ferner, 2003*). In a radial section of the hymenophore of the older parts of the fruiting body, those of *G. cf. adspersum* remain empty but the pores of *G. cf. adspersum* is distinguished from *G. cf. applanatum* by having larger basidiospores (*Steyaert, 1972; Ryvarden & Gilbertson, 1993*). *G. cf. gibbosum* is distinguished from *G. cf.*.

applanatum by the presence of the stipe (Blume & Nees von Esenbeck, 1826). It has been suggested, however, that stipe formation may be an adaptive feature because individuals of the *G. applanatum-australes* complex can develop a stipe in the tropics and stipe formation can be induced in the laboratory in strains of *G. applanatum-australes* complex species (Moncalvo & Ryvarden, 1997). Nevertheless, Korean specimens in our study were distinguished by three characteristics: The presence of the stipe discriminated *G.* cf. gibbosum from *G.* cf. adspersum and *G.* cf. applanatum (Fig. 1) and larger basidiospore and pore size discriminated *G.* cf. adspersum from *G.* cf. applanatum (Fig. 2).

Despite similar morphology, a multigene phylogenetic analysis showed that G. cf. adspersum, G. cf. applanatum, and G. cf. gibbosum, are distinct species (Fig. 3) corresponding to clades 2, 1, and 5, respectively, of the Ganoderma global phylogeny that was constructed by Moncalvo & Buchanan (2008). Our results also support previous phylogenetic reconstructions where G. cf. adspersum and G. cf. applanatum were clearly separated by rDNA analysis and further distinguished by species specific PCR primers (Gottlieb, Ferrer & Wright, 2000; Guglielmo et al., 2008). While G. cf. adspersum and G. cf. gibbosum formed a supported clade separate from G. sichuanense, G. cf. adspersum and G. cf. gibbosum appear to be closely related with 100% bootstrap support in tef1- α and rpb2 phylogenetic trees (Fig. 3).

Our study found that the number of misidentified sequences of the four *Ganoderma* species in GenBank was substantial (Table S2, Fig. 4), with ITS sequences being significantly more likely to be misidentified than other loci due to their over-representation among phylogenetic markers. Open DNA databases (DB) such as GenBank are an important tool for species identification. In medicinal fungi, such as *Ganoderma* species, the need for satisfactory taxonomic sampling and accurate identification in DBs is critical. Among the four species, the highest number of ITS sequences listed on GenBank was those of *G. sichuanense* (Fig. 4) and the unusually high number of *G. sichuanense* sequences found on GenBank is likely due to the economic and medicinal importance of the species. In order to minimize confusion and misidentification in future studies, we strongly recommend that the names *G. lucidum* and *G. lingzhi* be avoided and all new submissions of this species be labeled *G. sichuanense*. The distribution of *G. sichuanense* appears to be limited to Asia, with specimens reported from China, Korea, Japan, Bangladesh, Malaysia, and Nepal (Fig. S1).

Our study shows that *G.* cf. *adspersum* sequences in GenBank were commonly misidentified as *G. australe* (Fig. 4). Based on morphological analysis, *G. cf. adspersum* was considered a synonym of *G. australe* by *Ryvarden* (1976) and *Ryvarden* & *Gilbertson* (1993); however, *Smith* & *Sivasithamparam* (2000), using ITS sequence data, argued that *G. adspersum* and *G. australe* are two distinct species. *G. adspersum* was commonly reported from Europe, where type specimens were collected (*Moncalvo* & *Ryvarden*, 1997; *Smith* & *Sivasithamparam*, 2000). Our analysis confirmed that *G. cf. adspersum* occurs in Europe, but is distributed in Asia and North America as well (Fig. S1). Erroneously annotated sequences were also common among *G. cf. applanatum* GenBank submissions. 34% of sequences that were initially annotated as *G. cf. applanatum* were shown to be other species while just 54% of authentic *G. cf. applanatum* sequences were initially annotated as such in GenBank. A total of 13 sequences that were labeled as *G. lipsiense* in GenBank were included in the G. cf. applanatum clade because G. lipsiense is synonymous with G. cf. applanatum (Moncalvo & Ryvarden, 1997). Our analysis confirmed that G. cf. applanatum has a global distribution (Moncalvo & Ryvarden, 1997) with sequences reported from Europe, Asia and North America (Fig. S1). Nearly half of all GenBank sequences annotated as G. cf. gibbosum were misidentified and the same proportion of authentic G. cf. gibbosum sequences were initially annotated as different species. G. cf. gibbosum has a primarily Asian distribution, and the ecto-type was initially reported from Java, Indonesia (Blume & Nees von Esenbeck, 1826), although scattered samples were reported from Eastern Europe.

In conclusion, as we constructed phylogenetic trees using reference sequences from GenBank, it became apparent that many *Ganoderma* reference sequences were misidentified. In this study, we identified incorrectly labeled sequences on GenBank and constructed new phylogenies with reference sequences that were correctly assigned to specific taxa. This study will provide a framework for future efforts to replace inaccurate public information with reliable taxonomic assignments. We strongly encourage the authors of previously submitted specimens that have been shown to be misidentified or use inappropriate species names (i.e., *lucidum* and *lingzhi*) to correct these submissions on GenBank. This improvement is vital not only for fungal taxonomists, but given the diverse ecological, medicinal, and economic impacts of *Ganoderma* species, this project will be of value to researchers across multiple disciplines.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We greatly appreciate two anonymous reviewers for their detailed and kind comments.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This project was supported by the National Institute of Biological Resources under the Ministry of Environment (Project No.: NIBR2016-53), Republic of Korea. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Institute of Biological Resources under the Ministry of Environment: NIBR2016-53.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

• Suldbold Jargalmaa conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.

- John A. Eimes analyzed the data, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Myung Soo Park conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Jae Young Park conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Seung-Yoon Oh performed the experiments, analyzed the data, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Young Woon Lim conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data (DNA sequences) are available in GenBank: KY364244–KY364272.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.3596#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Binder M, Justo A, Riley R, Salamov A, Lopez-Giraldez F, Sjökvist E, Copeland A, Foster B, Sun H, Larsson E, Larsson KH, Townsend J, Grigoriev IV, Hibbett DS. 2013. Phylogenetic and phylogenomic overview of the Polyporales. *Mycologia* 105:1350–1373 DOI 10.3852/13-003.
- Bishop KS, Kao CH, Xu Y, Clucina MP, Paterson RR, Ferguson LR. 2015. From 2000 years of *Ganoderma lucidum* to recent developments in nutraceuticals. *Phytochemistry* 114:56–65 DOI 10.1016/j.phytochem.2015.02.015.
- Blume C, Nees von Esenbeck TFL. 1826. Fungi javanici, editi conjunctis studis et opera. *Nova Nova Acta Caesar Leop Carol Halle* 13:9–22.
- Breitenbach J, Kränzlin F. 1986. Fungi of Switzerland. Lucerne: Verlag Mykologia.
- Bridge PD, Roberts PJ, Spooner BM, Panchal G. 2003. On the unreliability of published DNA sequences. *New Phytologist* 160:43–48 DOI 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00861.x.
- Cao Y, Wu SH, Dai YC. 2012. Species clarification of the prize medicinal *Ganoderma* mushroom "Lingzhi". *Fungal Divers* 56:49–62 DOI 10.1007/s13225-012-0178-5.
- Chang ST, Buswell JA. 1999. Ganoderma lucidum (Curt.:Fr) P. Karst. (Aphyllophoromycetideae)—a mushrooming medicinal mushroom. International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms 1:139–146 DOI 10.1615/IntJMedMushrooms.v1.i2.30.
- **Douanla-Meli C, Langer E. 2009.** *Ganoderma carocalcareus* sp. nov., with crumblyfriable context parasite to saprobe on *Anthocleista nobilis* and its phylogenetic relationship in *G. resinaceum* group. *Mycological Progress* **8**:145–155 DOI 10.1007/s11557-009-0586-4.

- Gardes M, Bruns TD. 1993. ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Molecular Ecology* 2:113–118 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.1993.tb00005.x.
- Gilbertson RL, Ryvarden L. 1986. North American polypores. Oslo: Fungiflora.
- Glen M, Bougher NL, Francis AA, Nigg SQ, Lee SS, Irianto R, Barry KM, Beadle CL, Mohammed CL. 2009. *Ganoderma* and *Amauroderma* species associated with root-rot disease of *Acacia mangium* plantation trees in Indonesia and Malaysia. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 38:345–356 DOI 10.1071/AP09008.
- Gottlieb AM, Ferrer E, Wright JE. 2000. rDNA analyses as an aid to taxonomy of species of *Ganoderma*. *Mycological Research* 104:1033–1045 DOI 10.1017/S095375620000304X.
- Guglielmo F, Gonthier P, Garbelotto M, Nicolotti G. 2008. A PCR-based method for the identification of important wood rotting fungal taxa within *Ganoderma*, *Inonotus* s.l. and *Phellinus* s.l. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 282:228–237 DOI 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01132.x.
- Hong SG, Jung HS. 2004. Phylogenetic analysis of *Ganoderma* based on nearly complete mitochondrial small-subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycologia* 96:742–755 DOI 10.1080/15572536.2005.11832922.
- Imazeki R. 1952. A contribution to the fungus flora of Dutch New Guinea. *Bulletin of the Government Forest Experimental Station Meguro* 57:87–128.
- Jung PE, Fong JJ, Park MS, Oh SY, Kim C, Lim YW. 2014. Sequence validation for the identification of the white-rot fungi *Bjerkandera* in public sequence databases. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 24:1301–1307 DOI 10.4014/jmb.1404.04021.
- **Kaburagi Y. 1940.** *Korean and manchurian practical manual of forest.* Yokendo: Korea Forest Experiment Station, 339–367.
- **Kang ID. 1991.** The study of higher fungal flora in Pusan area. Dissertation, Kyungsung University.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version
 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*30:772–780 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Kwon OC, Park YJ, Kim HI, Kong WS, Cho JH, Lee CS. 2016. Taxonomic position and species identity of the cultivated Yeongji '*Ganoderma lucidum*' in Korea. *Mycobiology* 44:1–6 DOI 10.5941/MYCO.2016.44.1.1.
- Lee JY. 1981. Taxonomical studies on Korean higher fungi for the publication of colored illustrations. *The Korean Journal of Mycology* 9:77–91.
- Liu YL, Whelen S, Hall BD. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16:1799–1808 DOI 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092.
- Matheny PB, Wang Z, Binder M, Curtis JM, Lim YW, Nilsson RH, Hughes KW, Petersen RH, Hofstetter V, Ammirati JF, Schoch C, Langer GE, McLaughlin DJ, Wilson AW, Crane PE, Frøslev T, Ge ZW, Kerrigan RW, Slot JC, Vellinga EC, Liang ZL, Aime MC, Baroni TJ, Fischer M, Hosaka K, Matsuura K, Seidl MT, Vaura J, Hibbett DS. 2007. Contributions of *rpb2* and *tef1* to the phylogeny of mushrooms

and allies (Basidiomycota, Fungi). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **43**:430–451 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.08.024.

- **Moncalvo JM. 2000.** Systematics of *Ganoderma*. In: Flood J, Bridge PD, Holderness M, eds. *Ganoderma diseases of perennial crops*. Egham: CABI Bioscience, 23–45.
- Moncalvo JM, Buchanan PK. 2008. Molecular evidence for long distance dispersal across the Southern Hemisphere in the *Ganoderma applanatum-australe* species complex (Basidiomycota). *Mycological Research* 112:425–436 DOI 10.1016/j.mycres.2007.12.001.
- **Moncalvo JM, Ryvarden L. 1997.** *A nomenclatural study of the Ganodermataceae Donk.* Oslo: Fungiflora.
- **Moncalvo JM, Wang HH, Hseu RS. 1995.** Phylogenetic relationships in *Ganoderma* inferred from the internal transcribed spacers and 25S ribosomal DNA sequences. *Mycologia* **87**:223–238 DOI 10.2307/3760908.
- Moncalvo JM, Wang HF, Wang HH, Hseu RS. 1994. The use of ribosomal DNA sequence data for species identification and phylogeny in the Ganodermataceae. In: Buchanan PK, Hseu RS, Moncalvo JM, eds. *Ganoderma: systematics, phytopathology and pharmacology*. Vancouver: International Mycological Congress, 31–44.
- Murata MM. 1934. *Dictionary of plants in chosun and manchuria*. Tokyo: Mejiro Shoin, 688–689.
- Nilsson RH, Ryberg M, Kristiansson E, Abarenkov K, Larsson K-H, Kõljalg U. 2006. Taxonomic reliability of DNA sequences in public sequence databases: a fungal perspective. *PLOS ONE* 1:e59 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0000059.
- Park MS, Fong JJ, Lee H, Oh SY, Jung PE, Min YJ, Seok SJ, Lim YW. 2013. Delimitation of *Russula* subgenus *Amoenula* in Korea using three molecular markers. *Mycobiology* 41:191–201 DOI 10.5941/MYCO.2013.41.4.191.
- **Rehner SA, Buckley E. 2005.** A *Beauveria* phylogeny inferred from nuclear ITS and *EF1-* α sequences: evidence for cryptic diversification and links to *Cordyceps* teleomorphs. *Mycologia* **97**:84–98.
- Richter C, Wittstein K, Kirk PM, Stadler M. 2015. An assessment of the taxonomy and chemotaxonomy of *Ganoderma*. *Fungal Divers* **71**:1–15 DOI 10.1007/s13225-014-0313-6.
- **Rogers SO, Bendich AJ. 1994.** Extraction of total cellular DNA from plants, algae and fungi. In: Gelvin SB, Schilperoort RA, eds. *Plant molecular biology manual*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, 1–8.
- Ryvarden L. 1976. The polyporaceae of North Europe. Oslo: Fungiflora.
- Ryvarden L. 1991. Genera of polypores, nomenclature and taxonomy. Oslo: Fungiflora.
- **Ryvarden L, Gilbertson RL. 1993.** European polypores—*Abortiporus-Lindtneria* Vol. 1. *Synopsis Fungorum* **13**:1–387.
- Schoch CL, Seifert K, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge JL, Levesque CA, Chen W, Fungal Barcoding Consortium. 2012. Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109:6241–6246 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1117018109.

- Schwarze FWMR, Ferner D. 2003. Ganoderma on trees—differentiation of species and studies of invasiveness. Arboriculture Journal 27:59–77 DOI 10.1080/03071375.2003.9747362.
- Smith BJ, Sivasithamparam K. 2000. Internal transcribed spacer ribosomal DNA sequence of five species of *Ganoderma* from Australia. *Mycological Research* 104:943–951 DOI 10.1017/S0953756200002458.
- Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–1313 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033.
- **Steyaert RL. 1972.** Species of *Ganoderma* and related genera mainly of the Bogor and Leiden herbaria. *Persoonia* 7:55–118.
- Susanto A, Sudharto PS, Purba RY. 2005. Enhancing biological control of basal stem rot disease (*Ganoderma boninense*) in oil palm plantations. *Mycopathologia* 159:153–157 DOI 10.1007/s11046-004-4438-0.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30:2725–2729 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst197.
- **Tham LX. 1998.** A phylogenetic hypothesis of the Ganodermataceae based on possible mode of basidiospores evolution. *Mycotaxon* **69**:1–12.
- Vilgalys R. 2003. Taxonomic misidentification in public DNA databases. *New Phytologist* 160:4–5 DOI 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00894.x.
- Wang XC, Xi RJ, Li Y, Wang DM, Yao YJ. 2012. The species identity of the widely cultivated *Ganoderma*, '*G. lucidum*' (Ling-zhi), in China. *PLOS ONE* 7:e40857 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0040857.
- Yao YJ, Wang XC, Wang B. 2013. Epitypification of *Ganoderma sichuanense* JD Zhao & XQ Zhang (Ganodermataceae). *Taxon* 62:1025–1031 DOI 10.12705/625.10.