

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

6-Chloroquinolin-2(1H)-one

Chen-Guang Zhang* and Yang-Hui Luo

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: chmsunbw@seu.edu.cn


Received 2 December 2011; accepted 12 December 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 296$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.030; wR factor = 0.088; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.0.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{ClNO}$, the Cl atom deviates by 0.142 (1) Å from the quinoline ring mean plane (r.m.s. deviation = 0.013 Å). In the crystal, $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into [010] $C(4)$ chains. Aromatic $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions [shortest centroid \cdots centroid distance = 3.685 (3) Å] are also observed.

Related literature

For background to quinoline derivatives as pharmaceuticals, see: Luo *et al.* (2011).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{ClNO}$
 $M_r = 179.60$
Orthorhombic, $Pccn$

$a = 24.951$ (19) Å
 $b = 7.733$ (6) Å
 $c = 7.988$ (6) Å

$V = 1541$ (2) Å³
 $Z = 8$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\mu = 0.44$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 296$ K
 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini CCD diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.917$, $T_{\max} = 0.917$

9911 measured reflections
1353 independent reflections
1161 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.088$
 $S = 1.06$
1353 reflections
113 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.17$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.23$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1}\cdots\text{O1}^i$	0.887 (19)	1.98 (2)	2.859 (2)	168.7 (17)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{5}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank Southeast University for support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB6549).

References

- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2005). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
Luo, Y.-H., Qian, X.-M., Gao, G., Li, J.-F. & Mao, S.-L. (2011). *Acta Cryst.* **E67**, m172.
Rigaku. (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o188 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811053359]

6-Chloroquinolin-2(1H)-one

C.-G. Zhang and Y.-H. Luo

Experimental

The title compound was purchased from ChemFuture PharmaTech, Ltd (Nanjing, Jiangsu). Pink prisms were obtained by slow evaporation of a methanol solution.

Refinement

All H atoms attached to C atoms and O atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding with C—H = 0.93 Å (CH) and N—H = 0.86 Å with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.




Fig. 2. A packing view down the *a* axis showing hydrogen bonds as dashed lines.

6-Chloroquinolin-2(1H)-one

Crystal data

C₉H₆ClNO

$M_r = 179.60$

Orthorhombic, *Pccn*

Hall symbol: -P 2ab 2ac

$a = 24.951(19)$ Å

$b = 7.733(6)$ Å

$c = 7.988(6)$ Å

$V = 1541(2)$ Å³

$Z = 8$

$F(000) = 736$

$D_x = 1.548$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 1357 reflections

$\theta = 1.6$ – 25.0°

$\mu = 0.44$ mm⁻¹

$T = 296$ K

Prism, pink

$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini CCD diffractometer	1353 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite	1161 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$
Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm^{-1}	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 1.6^\circ$
CCD_Profile_fitting scans	$h = -29 \rightarrow 29$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.917$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.917$	$l = -8 \rightarrow 9$
9911 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.088$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$S = 1.06$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0469P)^2 + 0.4479P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1353 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
113 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.23 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cl1	0.73621 (2)	1.01875 (7)	0.69204 (7)	0.0614 (2)
N1	0.54956 (5)	0.88864 (17)	1.12378 (17)	0.0369 (3)
O1	0.49027 (5)	0.70991 (15)	1.25021 (16)	0.0472 (3)
C1	0.53024 (6)	0.7277 (2)	1.1598 (2)	0.0369 (4)
C5	0.59440 (6)	0.9205 (2)	1.02710 (19)	0.0349 (4)

C2	0.55943 (7)	0.5842 (2)	1.0882 (2)	0.0398 (4)
H2	0.5477	0.4719	1.1079	0.048*
C4	0.62280 (6)	0.7806 (2)	0.95924 (19)	0.0357 (4)
C9	0.66766 (7)	0.8129 (2)	0.8591 (2)	0.0409 (4)
H9	0.6871	0.7214	0.8140	0.049*
C7	0.65567 (7)	1.1184 (2)	0.8970 (2)	0.0487 (5)
H7	0.6671	1.2308	0.8759	0.058*
C8	0.68283 (7)	0.9794 (2)	0.8280 (2)	0.0426 (4)
C3	0.60308 (7)	0.6101 (2)	0.9940 (2)	0.0404 (4)
H3	0.6211	0.5151	0.9500	0.049*
C6	0.61155 (7)	1.0894 (2)	0.9970 (2)	0.0453 (4)
H6	0.5933	1.1821	1.0443	0.054*
H1	0.5337 (8)	0.980 (2)	1.170 (2)	0.044 (5)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cl1	0.0512 (3)	0.0595 (3)	0.0736 (4)	0.0024 (2)	0.0190 (2)	0.0143 (2)
N1	0.0428 (8)	0.0276 (7)	0.0404 (8)	0.0031 (6)	0.0035 (6)	-0.0012 (6)
O1	0.0483 (7)	0.0359 (7)	0.0574 (8)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0130 (7)	0.0020 (6)
C1	0.0406 (9)	0.0330 (9)	0.0371 (9)	-0.0011 (7)	-0.0033 (7)	0.0012 (7)
C5	0.0383 (8)	0.0322 (8)	0.0342 (8)	0.0014 (7)	-0.0020 (7)	-0.0001 (7)
C2	0.0470 (10)	0.0269 (8)	0.0454 (9)	0.0006 (7)	-0.0023 (8)	-0.0004 (7)
C4	0.0394 (8)	0.0317 (9)	0.0359 (9)	0.0045 (6)	-0.0050 (7)	0.0004 (7)
C9	0.0399 (9)	0.0400 (9)	0.0428 (10)	0.0078 (7)	-0.0007 (8)	-0.0004 (8)
C7	0.0499 (10)	0.0343 (9)	0.0618 (11)	-0.0035 (8)	0.0056 (9)	0.0036 (9)
C8	0.0368 (9)	0.0450 (10)	0.0461 (10)	0.0016 (7)	0.0009 (7)	0.0049 (8)
C3	0.0475 (10)	0.0298 (8)	0.0440 (10)	0.0078 (7)	-0.0022 (8)	-0.0025 (7)
C6	0.0506 (10)	0.0294 (9)	0.0558 (11)	0.0031 (7)	0.0071 (9)	-0.0024 (8)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Cl1—C8	1.745 (2)	C4—C9	1.398 (2)
N1—C1	1.365 (2)	C4—C3	1.434 (2)
N1—C5	1.382 (2)	C9—C8	1.365 (3)
N1—H1	0.887 (19)	C9—H9	0.9300
O1—C1	1.239 (2)	C7—C6	1.379 (3)
C1—C2	1.445 (2)	C7—C8	1.385 (3)
C5—C6	1.395 (2)	C7—H7	0.9300
C5—C4	1.402 (2)	C3—H3	0.9300
C2—C3	1.339 (2)	C6—H6	0.9300
C2—H2	0.9300		
C1—N1—C5	124.49 (14)	C8—C9—C4	119.66 (15)
C1—N1—H1	118.7 (12)	C8—C9—H9	120.2
C5—N1—H1	116.7 (12)	C4—C9—H9	120.2
O1—C1—N1	120.54 (15)	C6—C7—C8	119.65 (16)
O1—C1—C2	123.46 (15)	C6—C7—H7	120.2
N1—C1—C2	116.00 (15)	C8—C7—H7	120.2

supplementary materials

N1—C5—C6	120.77 (14)	C9—C8—C7	121.59 (17)
N1—C5—C4	119.20 (14)	C9—C8—C11	119.31 (14)
C6—C5—C4	120.03 (16)	C7—C8—C11	119.06 (14)
C3—C2—C1	121.17 (15)	C2—C3—C4	121.70 (15)
C3—C2—H2	119.4	C2—C3—H3	119.2
C1—C2—H2	119.4	C4—C3—H3	119.2
C9—C4—C5	119.21 (15)	C7—C6—C5	119.83 (16)
C9—C4—C3	123.33 (15)	C7—C6—H6	120.1
C5—C4—C3	117.44 (15)	C5—C6—H6	120.1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N1—H1 \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.887 (19)	1.98 (2)	2.859 (2)	168.7 (17)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+5/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

