Oncologist[®]

Immuno-Oncology

Analysis of Real-World Data to Investigate the Impact of Race and Ethnicity on Response to Programmed Cell Death-1 and Programmed Cell Death-Ligand 1 Inhibitors in Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancers

Kristin L. Ayers ,^a Tommy Mullaney,^{a,†} Xiang Zhou,^{a,†} Jane J. Liu,^{a,c,†} Kyeryoung Lee,^a Meng Ma,^a Scott Jones,^a Li Li,^{a,b} Arielle Redfern,^a Whitney Jappe,^a Zongzhi Liu,^a Howard Goldsweig,^a Kamlesh K. Yadav,^a Nicholas Hahner,^a Matthew Dietz,^a Michelle Zimmerman,^a Tony Prentice,^a Scott Newman,^a Rajwanth Veluswamy,^b Juan Wisnivesky,^b Fred R. Hirsch,^b William K. Oh,^{a,b} Shuyu D. Li.^{a,b} Eric E. Schadt.^{a,b} Rong Chen^{a,b}

^aSema4, Stamford, Connecticut, USA; ^bIcahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA; ^cIllinois CancerCare, Peoria, Illinois, USA

[†]Contributed equally.

Disclosures of potential conflicts of interest may be found at the end of this article.

Key Words. Non-small cell lung cancer • Real-world evidence • Electronic health records • Overall survival • Immunotherapy

Abstract _

Background. Racial disparities among clinical trial participants present a challenge to assess whether trial results can be generalized into patients representing diverse races and ethnicities. The objective of this study was to evaluate the impact of race and ethnicity on treatment response in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (aNSCLC) treated with programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) or programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) inhibitors through analysis of real-world data (RWD).

Materials and Methods. A retrospective cohort study of 11,138 patients with lung cancer treated at hospitals within the Mount Sinai Health System was performed. Patients with confirmed aNSCLC who received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment were analyzed for clinical outcomes. Our cohort included 249 patients with aNSCLC who began nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab treatment between November 2014 and December 2018. Time-to-treatment discontinuation (TTD) and overall survival (OS) were the analyzed clinical endpoints.

Results. After a median follow-up of 14.8 months, median TTD was 7.8 months (95% confidence interval, 5.4–not

estimable [NE]) in 75 African American patients versus 4.6 (2.4–7.2) in 110 White patients (hazard ratio [HR], 0.63). Median OS was not reached (18.4–NE) in African American patients versus 11.6 months (9.7–NE) in White patients (HR, 0.58). Multivariable Cox regression conducted with potential confounders confirmed longer TTD (adjusted HR, 0.65) and OS (adjusted HR, 0.60) in African American versus White patients. Similar real-world response rate (42.6% vs. 43.5%) and disease control rate (59.6% vs. 56.5%) were observed in the African American and White patient populations. Further investigation revealed the African American patient group had lower incidence (14.7%) of putative hyperprogressive diseases (HPD) upon anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment than the White patient group (24.5%). *Conclusion.* Analysis of RWD showed longer TTD and OS in

African American patients with aNSCLC treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. Lower incidence of putative HPD is a possible reason for the favorable outcomes in this patient population. **The Oncologist** 2021;26:e1226–e1239

Implications for Practice: There is a significant underrepresentation of minority patients in randomized clinical trials, and this study demonstrates that real-world data can be used to investigate the impact of race and ethnicity on treatment response. In retrospective analysis of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with programmed cell death-1 or

Correspondence: Shuyu D. Li, Ph.D., Sema4, 333 Ludlow Street, Stamford, Connecticut 06902, USA; e-mail: shuyudanli@gmail.com; or Eric E. Schadt, Ph.D., Sema4, 333 Ludlow Street, Stamford, Connecticut 06902, USA; e-mail: eric.schadt@sema4.com; or Rong Chen, Ph.D., Sema4, 333 Ludlow Street, Stamford, Connecticut 06902, USA; e-mail: eric.schadt@sema4.com Received April 9, 2020; accepted for publication February 25, 2021; published Online First on May 11, 2021. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/onco.13780

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

The Oncologist 2021;26:e1226–e1239 www.TheOncologist Mount Sinai Genomics, Inc., DBA Sema4 and Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. The Oncologist published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of AlphaMed Press.

programmed cell death-ligand 1 inhibitors, African American patients had significantly longer time-to-treatment discontinuation and longer overall survival. Analysis of real-world data can yield clinical insights and establish a more complete picture of medical interventions in routine clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION _

Evidence-based medicine (EBM) has been the guiding principle in today's clinical practice. The main source of evidence for EBM comes from randomized clinical trials (RCTs). Although RCTs are rigorously designed and adequately powered in a well-controlled target population and have high internal validity because of unbiased methodology with prespecified clinical endpoints, there are notable weaknesses associated with RCTs. RCTs are generally carried out in a rather homogeneous patient subpopulation and under ideal circumstances: therefore, the trial results may not be necessarily generalizable to a much more heterogeneous patient population in real-world clinical settings [1, 2]. As defined by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (https://www.fda.gov/science-research/science-andresearch-special-topics/real-world-evidence), real-world data (RWD) are data relating to patient health status and/or the delivery of health care routinely collected from a variety of sources including electronic health records, registries, and claims databases. RWD augment results from RCTs on the effectiveness of the approved medications in a broader, more diverse patient population and help to establish a more complete picture of a medication in clinical practice [3, 4].

Anti-programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) and antiprogrammed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) inhibitors represent a new class of immunotherapy drugs for systemic treatment of cancers [5]. Currently, three anti-PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and atezolizumab, have been approved by the FDA for the treatment of advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer (aNSCLC) in the metastatic disease setting. There are significant racial disparities in registration trials of these drugs leading to FDA approvals. Notably, only 1%-4% of the trial participants are Black or African American and a subgroup analysis based on race and ethnicity was not even attempted in these trials [6-10]. This disparity raises questions if trial results can be generalized to patients with diverse races and ethnicities and if race/ethnicity impacts treatment response to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapies. A recent study of RWD in patients with aNSCLC treated with nivolumab or pembrolizumab examined clinical outcome data based on overall survival (OS) and compared the results with those from registration trials [11]. However, only 5.7% of the patients in the study cohort were African American, and a race-based analysis, again, was not performed.

The Mount Sinai Health System (MSHS) is a hospital network in New York City. Because of their geographic locations in highly diverse communities, MSHS hospitals are distinguished among most hospital networks by a high percentage of minority patient populations, with approximately 40% of patients cared for by the MSHS being African American or Hispanic (https://www.mountsinai.org/). In this study, we first queried MSHS electronic medical record (EMR) databases to identify patients with aNSCLC treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. We then assessed patient outcomes using time-to-treatment discontinuation (TTD) and OS as clinical endpoints. Instead of progression-free survival (PFS), TTD was selected as an intermediate clinical endpoint because it has been shown TTD exhibited consistently higher correlation with OS in RWD [12]. The primary objectives of this retrospective, observational study were to investigate (a) if there are differences in treatment response among patients with different races and ethnicities, and (b) if there are other demographic and clinical characteristics associated with clinical outcomes in patients with aNSCLC treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy. To avoid any potential bias and misinterpretation caused by imbalances of the analyzed confounders, we also performed multivariable analyses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Sources

MSHS EMR databases were used for this study. Demographic and clinical variables were obtained by either directly querying structured tables or abstracting the relevant information from unstructured clinical reports. Race and ethnicity in the MSHS EMR databases are self-reported. The final race category was derived from the most common recorded race and ethnicity values. Those identifying as Other and White/Caucasian, Other and Black or African American Other and Hispanic/Latino were placed into the White, African American, and Hispanic groups, respectively. Those identifying as Asian along with Pacific Islander or Other were categorized as Asian.

Clinical Endpoints

TTD was computed as: "the last administration date" minus "the first administration date" plus one. The treatment discontinuation event was defined as permanent discontinuation of treatment. Permanent discontinuation was determined when one of the following conditions was met (https://www.focr.org/rwe): (a) having a subsequent line of systemic therapy after the anti-PD-1/PD-L1-containing regimen; (b) having a date of death while on the anti-PD-1/PD-L1-containing regimen; (c) having a gap of more than 120 days between the last administration of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy and the patient's last visit, if no other systemic therapy could be identified after the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment. Patients without permanent discontinuation were censored at their last administration date of the anti-PD-1/ PD-L1 therapy. OS was computed as the time from the first administration date of the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy to the death date recorded in the MSHS death registry. The OS

event was defined as death. Patients without an event were censored at their last visit date.

Treatment follow-up time was reported as the known function time: the time from the first administration date of the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy to the last visit date (or end of study date in the case of the event, death). This information is useful to determine the relevant time frame of the Kaplan-Meier curves where enough time has passed for events to occur. It is standard to report the follow-up rate for cohort studies using methods such as the percentage method or Clark's completeness index. Here we used a version of the simplified person-time method (SPT) [13], in which individuals who died or had the event were treated as the same as those followed to the end of the study versus those who dropped out:

$$\eta_{SPT} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} I(C_i < \min(T_i, \tau)) C_i + I(C_i > \min(T_i, \tau)) \tau}{N_{\tau}} * 100\%$$

where N is the number of individuals in the study, τ is the time defining the end of the study, T_i and C_i are the time to the event and censoring for the *i*th individual, and N_{τ} is the potential total follow-up time.

Treatment response to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy was curated from patients' progress reports written by medical oncologists based on their interpretation of imaging reports. As the determination of radiographic response oftentimes did not follow the RECIST criteria [14] in realworld clinical practice, we only captured the exact language used by the oncologists that describe response in three categories: response, stable disease, and progressive disease.

RESULTS

Cohort Selection

The study cohort was identified from MSHS EMR databases at a cutoff date of December 15, 2018, for the first treatment date, and follow-up time went through May 31, 2019. A total of 11,138 patients had International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes (ICD-9: 162.*; ICD-10: C34.*) for lung cancer diagnosis, and 1,780 of those had systemic treatment including at least one drug listed in the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guideline for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Of these 1,780 patients, 314 received nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab between November 2014 and December 2018 for any line of treatment. After more extensive chart reviews, 249 of these 314 patients were confirmed as having been treated with nivolumab, pembrolizumab, or atezolizumab for aNSCLC. Concurrent chemotherapy treatment was also noted. Demographic, clinical, and treatment characteristics of the 249 patients are shown in Table 1. In comparison with published clinical studies of patients with aNSCLC treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy (Table 2), 30.1% of the 249-patient cohort in this study are African Americans, significantly higher than those in registration trials (1%-4%) [6-10] or in a previous study of RWD (6%) [11].

Assessment of Clinical Outcomes

The median time to follow-up after the initiation of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment was 14.8 months (mean 16.9 months). In all patients 65.4% had complete follow-up, either their last visit date was <120 before the end of the study or they died during the study and had a last visit date within 120 days of their death. The person-time follow-up rates, a measure of the completeness of the data, were estimated to be 80.0% and 77.3% for TTD and OS, respectively (in Materials and Methods). Spearman's rank correlation coefficient between the TTD and OS for all individuals, including censored individuals, is 0.78 (similar results were found by methods accounting for censoring: supplemental online Appendix 2). Initial TTD and OS analyses were performed using all 249 individuals without any consideration of potential confounders. Median TTD was 5.6 months (95% confidence interval [CI], 3.7-7.8) with 142 events (Fig. 1A), and median OS was 17.4 months (95% CI, 11.9-NE) with 91 events (Fig. 2A). Additional summary information and statistics for cohort follow-up may be found in the supplemental online Appendices and in supplemental online Table 1.

Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Different Races and Ethnicities

As a primary objective of the study, we examined TTD and OS among different race/ethnicity groups. Differences in TTD and OS across various groups were assessed using the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank score test. Hazard ratios (HRs) were computed using Cox proportional hazards models (supplemental online Table 2 and supplemental online Appendices 1 and 2 for additional methods and results for single variable HRs). TTD appears to be longer in African American patients versus other race/ethnicity groups (Table 1 and Fig. 1B). We subsequently assessed the statistical significance of different TTD in African American versus White patients. As shown in Fig. 1C, the median TTD was 7.8 months (95% CI, 5.4-NE) in the African American group (n = 75), and 4.6 months (95% Cl, 2.4-7.2) in the White group (n = 110; HR for treatment discontinuation or death, 0.63; p = .029). We then asked if longer TTD as a surrogate clinical endpoint in African American patients is associated with improved OS and performed Kaplan-Meier analysis of survival indexed to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 initiation date (Fig. 2B, C). As shown in Fig. 2C, the median OS was not reached (95% CI, 18.4-NE) in the African American group and was 11.6 months (95% CI, 9.7-NE) in the White group (HR for death, 0.58; p = .033).

Unlike randomized clinical trials in which covariates are balanced in different treatment arms, results from observational studies based on RWD could be confounded by possible covariates due to unbalanced patient characteristics [15]. To examine whether inherent unbalanced covariates may be drivers of the difference in outcome between groups, we further tested the difference of TTD and OS in African American versus White patients with aNSCLC treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy via multivariable Cox proportional hazards models with adjusted hazard ratios (aHRs) using the Wald test for significance (Fig. 3). Small



		TTD		05	
Characteristics	n (%)	Median (95% Cl), mo	p value	Median (95% CI), mo	p value
All	249 (100)	5.6 (3.7–7.8)		17.4 (11.9–NE)	
Age at PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor initiation (IQR)	67.3 (61.3–74.3)				
Age categories at PD1/PD-L1 inhibitor initiation, yr			.582		.961
<50	7 (2.8)	3.3 (2.8–NR)		17.4 (17.4–NE)	
50–64	92 (36.9)	5.3 (2.6–8.5)		NR (11.2–NE)	
65–74	96 (38.6)	5.6 (3.7–7.8)		14.6 (11.2–NE)	
75+	54 (21.7)	9.7 (3.5–NE)		15.9 (9.9–NE)	
Gender			.639		.031
Female	122 (49.0)	5.6 (3.5–8.5)		NR (16.4–NE)	
Male	127 (51.0)	5.6 (3.3–8.8)		12.5 (9.7–NE)	
Race/ethnicity			.342		.161
White	110 (44.2)	4.6 (2.4–7.2)		11.6 (9.7–NE)	
African American	75 (30.1)	7.8 (5.4–NE)		NR (18.4–NE)	
Asian	24 (9.6)	4.9 (2.8–NE)		NR (12.6–NE)	
Hispanic	35 (14.1)	4.7 (2.6–12.9)		17.4 (9.9–NE)	
Other race	4 (1.6)	-		-	
Unknown/missing	1 (0.4)	-		-	
Histology	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		.187		.91
Nonsquamous cell carcinoma	189 (75.9)	5.6 (3.3–7.3)		16.4 (11.3–NE)	
Squamous cell carcinoma	48 (19.3)	7.9 (4.6–NF)		NR (11.5–NF)	
NSCI S. NOS	12 (4.8)	3.4 (1.4–NF)		NR (7.5–NF)	
Smoking status	()	0(2	014	()	145
Current or former smoker	207 (83 1)	6 6 (4 9–8 5)	.011	15 9 (11 5–NF)	.115
Current smoker	90 (36 1)	8 3 (6-12 5)		19.5 (12.6–NE)	
Former smoker	117 (47 0)	5 3 (3 0-7 9)		11.9 (10.9–NE)	
Never Smoker	38 (15 3)	3.5(3.07.3)		NR (13 2-NF)	
Not Reported	JU (15:5)	-		-	
Line of treatment	4 (1.0)		369		106
	112 (15 1)	7 2 (4 4-12 2)	.309	ND (12 2_NE)	.100
I 2 or higher	115 (45.4)	7.2 (4.4–12.2) 5.2 (2.0, 7.8)		14.6(10.0 MC)	
2 of higher	130 (54.0)	5.3 (3.0-7.8)		14.6 (10.9-NE)	
2 2 on hinton	102 (41)	4.9 (2.8-8.8)		14.0 (10.5-NE)	
3 or nigner	34 (13.7)	5.4 (3.0-8.5)	500	11.9 (9.9–NE)	
PD-L1 status	F2 (20 0)		.569	10 4 (10 E NE)	.118
Negative	52 (20.9)	4.9 (3.0-NE)		18.4 (10.5–NE)	
Positive (>1%)	/8 (31.3)	6.6 (4.9–12.5)		NR (17.4–NE)	
Positive, 1–49%	35 (14.1)	5.3 (2.1–13.4)		NR (17.4–NE)	
Positive, ≥50%	43 (17.3)	7.9 (4.9–NE)		NR (12.5–NE)	
Unknown or not tested	119 (47.8)	4.7 (2.8–7.8)		11.6 (9.9–NE)	
EGFR mutation/ALK fusion			.222		.255
Mutation present	25 (10.0)	3.5 (2.8–NE)		8.6 (5.6–NE)	
Mutation absent	157 (63.1)	5.6 (3.5–7.3)		18.4 (11.9–NE)	
Not tested	67 (26.9)	7.9 (4.4–NE)		NR (11.5–NE)	
Immunotherapy drug			.186		.412
Atezolizumab	59 (23.7)	2.8 (2.1–6.6)		NR (10.9–NE)	
					(continued)

www.TheOncologist.com

		TTD		OS	
Characteristics	n (%)	Median (95% Cl), mo	<i>p</i> value	Median (95% CI), mo	p value
Nivolumab	84 (33.7)	6.0 (3.3–12.9)		11.6 (8.6–NE)	
Pembrolizumab	102 (41.0)	7.3 (4.9–12.7)		NR (13.2–NE)	
More than one	4 (1.6)	-		-	
Concurrent with chemotherapy			.053		.138
No	201 (80.7)	4.4 (3.0–6.5)		15.9 (11.5–NE)	
Yes	48 (19.3)	8.3 (7.2–NR)		NR (11.6-NE)	

Table 1. (continued)

p values were based on log-rank test.

Abbreviations: ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; IQR, interquartile range; MSHS, Mount Sinai Health System; NE, not estimable; NOS, not otherwise specified NR, not reached; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PD-1, programmed cell death one; PD-L1, programmed cell death ligand one; - not computed due to small sample size within group; -- subgroup, *p* values were computed from the higher level grouping.

numbers within a category lead to unstable estimates of HRs, thus patients with unknown smoking status or other/ unknown race were excluded from this analysis. Consistent with Kaplan-Meier analysis (Fig. 1B, C), results of Cox proportional hazards models also showed longer TTD in African American patients reflected by aHR of less than 1 for treatment discontinuation or death, when White patients were used as the reference (Fig. 3A; aHR, 0.60; p = .065). Better survival in African American patients in the above-described Kaplan-Meier analysis was confirmed by Cox proportional hazards models (Fig. 3B; aHR, 0.60; p = .062). Although there were only 24 Asian patients in our cohort, they also exhibited a statistically significant longer OS in comparison with the White patient group (Fig. 3B; aHR, 0.32; p = .023). We further harmonized the data using propensity scores (a form of cohort matching) to balance treatment variables among the different patient groups and found similar results between the African American and White groups, even after adjustment for clinical characteristics within the matched treatment group (supplemental online Appendices 1 and 2 for additional methods and results; supplemental online Figure 1-2; supplemental online Tables 4-6). Additionally, we performed subgroup analysis within smokers and by line of treatment (LOT) to control for potential confounding and bias due to complex interactions between variables for OS (see supplemental online appendix 2-Additional Results; supplemental online Tables 6, 7). Within the smoking cohort (which also excludes individuals with anaplastic lymphoma kinase [ALK]/ epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR] mutations), the first LOT cohort, and the second LOT cohort, the OS HRs for the African American group versus the White group remain consistent with the overall cohort (range between 0.55 and 0.61; supplemental online Tables 6, 7).

For reasons that still remain unclear, others have noted that some of the patients with aNSCLC treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1-containing regimen experience unexpected acceleration of disease progression, referred to as hyper-progressive disease (HPD) [16]. We determined HPD upon anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment based on time-to-treatment failure (TTF) <2 months, an approximate definition used in previous clinical studies [17, 18], specifically when one of the

following conditions are met: (a) patients died within 60 days after the initiation of treatment and the death was not due to immune-related adverse events (irAEs), and (b) patients had documented disease progression within 60 days after the initiation of treatment. A total of 41 (16.5% of the cohort) patients with putative HPD were identified, in line with two prospective clinical studies of HPD in NSCLC in which 13.8% [19] and 18.9% [18] of the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treated patients in these respective studies developed HPD (in these studies HPD was more precisely determined by comparing tumor growth rate before and after the treatment). When the 249 patients in this study were stratified by race/ethnicity, fewer African American (11/75, 14.7%) patients had HPD than White patients (27/110, 24.5%). We then removed patients with putative HPD and performed Kaplan-Meier analysis of OS (Fig. 4A). Although there was still a separation of Kaplan-Meier curves between the two groups 10 months after treatment initiation, the overall difference was less significant (HR, 0.73; p = .331) in comparison to Fig. 2C. To test the statistical significance of the impact of HPD on survival difference, we randomly removed 11 and 27 patients from the African American and White groups respectively, computed the distribution of p values from 1,000 simulations, and the result indicated the difference of HPD had significant impact on OS (p < .001). Additionally, within the African American and White cohorts, we performed a 60-day landmark analysis in which individuals who died within 60 days of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 initiation (or those with less than 60 days of follow-up) were excluded (n = 50). These individuals had high overlap with the putative HPD cohort (24 of the 38 HPD individuals were among these 50), and the HR between the African American and White groups remained similar (HR, 0.62; p = .13; Fig. 4B).

Finally, we investigated the response rate and disease control rate in the African American and White patient populations through manual curation of progress notes written by medical oncologists based on their assessment of imaging reports (see Materials and Methods). Surprisingly, even though the two patient groups had significantly different TTD and OS, the response rate and disease control



Characteristics	Nivolumab (Checkmate 017), <i>n</i> = 135 [7]	Nivolumab (Checkmate 057), <i>n</i> = 292 [6]	Pembrolizumab (Keynote 001), <i>n</i> = 495 [8]	Pembrolizumab (Keynote 189), <i>n</i> = 410 [25]	Atezolizumab (OAK), <i>n</i> = 425 [9]	Atezolizumab (IMpower150), <i>n</i> = 400 [10]	RWD, <i>n</i> = 1,344 [11]	This study, <i>n</i> = 249
Age median (range), yr	62 (39–85)	61 (37–84)	64 (28–93)	65 (34–84)	63 (33–82)	63 (31–89)	69 (32–85)	67 (39– 89+)
Gender, male (%)	111 (82.2)	151 (51.7)	261 (52.7)	254 (62.0)	261 (61.4)	240 (60.0)	747 (55.6)	127 (51.0)
Race/ethnicity (%)								
White	122 (90.4)	267 (91.4)	406 (82.0)	Not reported	302 (71.1)	322 (80.5)	935 (69.6)	110 (44.2)
Asian	4 (3.0)	9 (3.1)	64 (12.9)	Not reported	85 (20.0)	56 (14.0)	41 (3.1)	24 (9.6)
Black/African American	6 (4.4)	7 (2.4)	20 (4.0)	Not reported	5 (1.2)	3 (0.8)	76 (5.7)	75 (30.1)
Other ^a	1 (0.7)	9 (3.1)	5 (1.0)	Not reported	13 (3.1)	6 (1.5)	114 (8.5)	39 (15.6)
Unknown	2 (1.5)	I	I	Not reported	20 (4.7)	13 (3.3)	178 (13.2)	1 (0.4)
Smoking (%)								
Current/former smoker	121 (89.6)	231 (79.1)	369 (74.5)	362 (88.3)	341 (80.2)	318 (79.5)	1,183 (88.0)	207 (83.1)
Never smoker	10 (7.4)	58 (19.9)	126 (25.5)	48 (11.7)	84 (19.8)	82 (20.5)	150 (11.2)	38 (15.3)
Unknown	4 (3.0)	3 (1.0)	I	I	I	I	11 (0.8)	4 (1.6)
Histology (%)								
Squamous	135 (100)	I	85 (17.1)	1	112 (26.3)	I	427 (31.8)	48 (19.3)
Nonsquamous	I	292 (100)	401 (81.0)	394 (96.1)	313 (73.6)	400 (100)	872 (64.9)	189 (75.9)
NSCLC, NOS	I	I	7 (1.4)	16 (3.9)	I	I	45 (3.3)	12 (4.8)
Unknown	1	1	2 (0.4)	1	1	1	1	I
OS (95% Cl), mo	9.2 (7.3–13.3)	12.2 (9.7–15.0)	12.2 (9.3–14.7)	22.0 (19.5–25.2)	13.8 (11.8– 15.7)	19.2 (17.0–23.8)	8.0 (7.4–9.0)	17.4 (11.9– NE)
^a Other may include Hispanic/ Abbreviations: Cl, confidence	Latino. interval; NE, not estimabl	le; NOS, not otherwise spe	ecified; NSCLC, non-small	cell lung cancer; OS, overa	ll survival; RWD, real-	vorld data.		

www.TheOncologist.com

^{© 2021} Mount Sinai Genomics, Inc., DBA Sema4 and Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. The Oncologist published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of AlphaMed Press.



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves of TTD in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with anti-programmed death 1/programmed death-ligand 1 antibodies. **(A):** The entire cohort. **(B):** Patients stratified by race and ethnicity. **(C):** Comparison between African American versus White patients. **(D):** Patients stratified by lines of therapy. Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; LOT, line of treatment; TTD, time-to-treatment discontinuation.

rate are almost identical (Table 3), further supporting the notion that favorable clinical outcomes in African American patients are mainly due to lower incidence of HPD rather than higher treatment response rate.

Assessing the Impact Other Variables Have on Clinical Outcomes

We analyzed various demographic and clinical variables to identify patient characteristics that impacted TTD or OS (Table 1; supplemental online Table 2; OS in supplemental online Tables 6–8). Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess the effect of these variables on TTD or OS with the aHRs (Fig. 3; supplemental online Table 5). For each tested variable, all other variables were

included as covariates in the Cox proportional hazards models. Age at anti-PD-1/PD-L1 initiation, gender, tumor histology, PD-L1 expression, and status of EGFR mutation or ALK fusion did not impact TTD, whereas current and former smokers seemed to have longer TTD than nonsmokers (Table 1; supplemental online Table 2; Fig. 3A). For OS, men appear to have worse survival in comparison with women in all patients (aHR, 1.95; Fig. 3B; p = .004; supplemental online Table 2) and in all subgroups tested, including (a) smokers in supplemental online Table 6, (b) first and second or higher line of therapy in supplemental online Table 7, and (c) African American and White race in supplemental online Table 8. Patients with positive PD-L1 expression had longer OS than those with negative PD-L1 (aHR, 0.54;





Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curves of OS in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with anti-programmed death 1/programmed death-ligand 1 antibodies. (A): The entire cohort. (B): Patients stratified by race and ethnicity. (C): Comparison between African American versus White patients. (D): Patients stratified by lines of therapy. Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; LOT, line of treatment; OS, overall survival.

p = .067; Fig. 3B). The presence of EGFR mutation or ALK fusion is associated with significantly worse survival (aHR, 2.42; p = .015; Fig. 3B). See Supplemental online Appendix 2 for additional information on other clinical variables such as body mass index and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Notably, there was a difference in OS when patients received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 in different settings of LOT (Table 1; supplemental online Table 2). The median OS was not reached, 14.6, or 11.9 months when patients received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 regimen as the first, second, or third LOT, respectively. We then combined patients who were treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 in the second or later LOT setting into one group. Although LOT had no effect on TTD (Fig. 1D), we observed numerically longer OS in the first-line setting (Fig. 2D), although the difference was

not statistically significant (p = .106). Multivariable analysis further suggested that LOT is not strongly correlated with OS after adjusting for other variables (Fig. 3B).

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective study, we examined clinical outcomes of patients with aNSCLC who received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy in hospitals of MSHS, a large urban health care system. One of the primary objectives of the study is to investigate if race/ethnicity impacted response to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy in patients with aNSCLC. The unique characteristics of MSHS with high percentage of minority patient populations allowed us to address this important question

Α			Hazard ratio		
	Age at Initiation	(n = 241)	0.99 (0.97 - 1.01)	•	.303
	Gender	Female (n = 118)	reference	-	
		Male (n = 123)	1.09 (0.76 – 1.57)	F	.648
	Race/Ethnicity	White (n = 110)	reference	-	
		Asian (n = 23)	0.70 (0.37 - 1.33)		.277
		African American (n = 73)	0.65 (0.42 - 1.02)		.060
		Hispanic (n = 35)	0.87 (0.53 - 1.42)	·	.577
	Histology	Nonsquamous cell carcinoma (n = 182)	reference	-	
		NSCLC histology NOS (n = 12)	1.64 (0.74 - 3.63)		.222
		Squamous cell carcinoma (n = 47)	0.79 (0.41 - 1.53)		.479
	Smoking Status	Current or Former Smoker (n = 203)	reference		
		Never Smoker (n = 38)	1.72 (1.05 – 2.81)	·	.031 *
	PD-L1 Status	Negative (n = 50)	reference		
		Not tested (n = 114)	1.13 (0.71 – 1.80)	·	.600
		Positive (n = 77)	0.84 (0.51 - 1.39)	 1	.499
	Targeted Mutation EGFR/ALK	Mutation Absent (n = 152)	reference	•	
		Mutation Present (n = 25)	1.10 (0.59 – 2.03)		-1 .767
		Not tested (n = 64)	0.91 (0.50 - 1.68)		.774
	Line of Treatment	First (n = 108)	reference		
		Second or Higher (n = 133)	(0.65 – 1.38)	·	.786
_	Concurrent with Chemotherapy	No (n = 196)	reference	•	
		Yes (n = 45)	(0.33 - 0.91)		.020*
	# Events: 139; Global p value (Log-Rank) AIC: 1330.84; Concordance Index: 0.6	: 0.088046			
		0.1 0	0.2 0	.5 1	2

Figure 3 (Continued on next page).



E	2			Hazard rati	0				
	5								
	Age at Initiation	(n = 241)	1.01 (0.98 - 1.04)						.463
	Gender	Female (n = 118)	reference			1			
		Male (<i>n</i> = 123)	1.95 (1.24 - 3.07)				ŀ®	F 1	.004 **
	Race/Ethnicity	White (<i>n</i> = 110)	reference			I			
		Asian (n = 23)	0.32 (0.12 - 0.85)	ŀ					.023 *
		African American (n = 73)	0.60 (0.34 - 1.03)			-			.062
		Hispanic (n = 35)	0.69 (0.37 - 1.27)		F	-			.232
	Histology	Nonsquamous cell carcinoma (n = 182)	reference						
		NSCLC histology NOS (n = 12)	1.67 (0.58 - 4.79)			F			.342
		Squamous cell carcinoma (n = 47)	0.63 (0.29 - 1.35)		ŀ		H		.234
	Smoking Status	Current or Former Smoker (n = 203)	reference						
		Never Smoker (n = 38)	0.74 (0.36 - 1.53)		 	-			.414
	PD-L1 Status	Negative (n = 50)	reference						
		Not tested (n = 114)	0.87 (0.49 - 1.54)						.634
		Positive (n = 77)	0.54 (0.28 - 1.05)		ŀ	-			.067
	Targeted Mutation EGFR/ALK	Mutation Absent (n = 152)	reference			I			
		Mutation Present (n = 25)	2.42 (1.18 - 4.93)				ı	-	.015 *
		Not tested (n = 64)	1.44 (0.71 – 2.90)						.310
	Line of Treatment	First (n = 108)	reference						
		Second or Higher (n = 133)	1.08 (0.66 - 1.77)				 1		.765
_	Concurrent with Chemotherapy	No (n = 196)	reference						
		Yes (<i>n</i> = 45)	0.53 (0.27 - 1.03)		I		-1		0.062
	# Events: 89; Global p value (Log-Rank) AIC: 866.57; Concordance Index: 0.66	: < .001							
			0.	.1 0	.2 0).5	+ 1 :	2	5

Figure 3. Analysis of **(A)** time-to-treatment discontinuation and **(B)** overall survival by multivariable Cox proportional hazards models. For each demographic or clinical variable, other variables were adjusted in the Cox proportional hazards models. For each analyzed variable, one subgroup was set as the reference group, and the other subgroups were compared with the reference group. The adjusted hazards ratio with 95% confidence internal and the *p* values are shown. * <0.05, **<0.005. Abbreviations: AIC, akaike information criterion; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; NOS,

Abbreviations: AIC, akaike information criterion; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; NOS, not otherwise specified; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PD-L1, programmed cell death-ligand 1.

www.TheOncologist.com



Figure 4. (A): Kaplan-Meier (KM) curve of overall survival (OS) in patient groups (African American vs. White) after removing patients with putative HPD. **(B):** Sixty-day landmark analysis for African American versus White individuals. Individuals with 60 days or less survival time (either because of censoring or death) were excluded and KM curves are compared for OS. Abbreviations: HPD, hyperprogressive disease; HR, hazard ratio.

Table 3. Response rate and disease control rate in African
American versus White patient populations

Response category	African American (n = 75)	White (<i>n</i> = 110)
Response	20	30
Stable disease	8	9
Progressive disease	19	30
Unknown	28	41
R + SD + PD	47	69
Response rate, %	42.6	43.5
Disease control rate (R + SD), %	59.6	56.5

Abbreviations: PD, progressive disease; R, response; SD, stable disease.

with clinical implications. We indeed observed a significant longer OS in African American patients in comparison with White patients. As multiple studies indicated that African American patients have similar survival to White patients with NSCLC [20–23], our results suggest race/ethnicity is likely a predictive factor of response to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in aNSCLC rather than a prognostic factor. In addition to OS, our data revealed that TTD is also longer in African American patients, suggesting the underlying reason for the improved OS is potentially due to longer treatment duration. We recognized the caveat of RWD where demographic and clinical variables are not balanced in different patient groups. To rule out possible covariates such as gender, smoking status, PD-L1 expression, oncogenic mutations, LOT, and others that may confound our analysis, we applied



Cox proportional hazards models for survival analysis, adjusting for all available variables as covariates, and reached the same conclusion.

Notably, the African American and White patient groups had similar response rates to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 based on realworld assessment of radiographic response (Table 3). African American patients had longer TTD on anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy, and we postulate that longer treatment duration in this patient population could be at least partially due to less toxicity to treatment. An abstract presented in the 2019 American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) annual meeting [24] showed that African American patients with NSCLC treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors had significantly fewer irAEs than White patients, supporting our hypothesis. Our further investigation of anti-PD-1/PD-L1-associated HPD suggests fewer African American patients with HPD is also a possible reason of overall better outcome in this patient population, and future studies in additional cohorts are warranted.

The estimated median OS indexed to the initiation date of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment was 17.4 months in our cohort. When we further break down the data according to the lines of therapy, the median OS was not reached in the first-line setting and was 14.6 months when anti-PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors were administered as the second LOT (Table 1). This is similar to the OS in registration trials of nivolumab [6, 7], pembrolizumab [8, 25], or atezolizumab [9, 10, 26] (Table 2). However, the estimated median OS in this study is longer than what was described in the report also based on RWD analysis by Khozin et al. in which the median OS of aNSCLC treated with nivolumab or pembrolizumab was 8.0 months [11]. Whereas the cutoff date in the RWD data used by Khozin et al. is March 2016 and only 17% of the anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment was in the first-line setting, the cutoff date in our study is May 2019 and 45% of the cohort received anti-PD-1/PD-L1 as the first LOT, perhaps explaining the difference given anti-PD-1/PD-L1 in the first-line setting resulted in longer OS in clinical trials. Unexpectedly, the Khozin et al. study did not find differences of OS when LOT was taken into consideration (median OS indexed to anti-PD-1 initiation date was 8.3, 8.0, 7.1, or 9.3 months for LOT 1, 2, 3, and 4+, respectively) [11]. Our data showed a trend of longer OS when anti-PD-1/PD-L1 was administered in the first-line setting whereas there are no differences in TTD (Figs. 1D, 2D), but it did not reach statistical significance. The benefit of OS in the first-line setting is even less significant in multivariable analysis (Fig. 3B). Longer OS in patients treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 in the first versus second LOT setting has been consistently observed in clinical trials of pembrolizumab (Keynote 024 and Keynote 189 vs. Keynote 001 and Keynote 010) [8, 25, 27-29] and atezolizumab (IMpower 150 and IMpower 130 vs. OAK) [9, 10, 26]. Therefore, the observations by Khozin et al. and this study are counterintuitive because the results suggest delaying anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatment of aNSCLC until patients have progressed on less effective regimens may prolong overall survival after disease diagnosis.

In addition to race and lines of therapy, gender, PD-L1 expression, and tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) sensitizing

mutation status also impacted OS in our cohort. Female gender, PD-L1 positivity, and absence of TKI sensitizing EGFR mutations and ALK fusion are associated with longer OS. These results are consistent with subgroup analysis in several clinical trials [6, 8, 9, 26, 27] as well as analysis of RWD [11], demonstrating the validity of our data and analysis methods. In contrast to race/ethnicity stratified analysis in which longer OS is correlated with longer TTD in African American patients, gender, PD-L1 expression, and TKI sensitizing alterations did not impact TTD (Fig. 3A vs. Fig. 3B), suggesting favorable outcome reflected by longer OS in female patients, patients with PD-L1 expression, or patients with wild-type EGFR, and ALK is not due to longer treatment duration. The clinical implication is that anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy may provide long term benefit in these patients even if the treatment is discontinued because of irAE. Indeed, it has been noted that patients who discontinued PD-1 checkpoint antibodies continue to benefit from the treatment in advanced melanomas [30], as well as in a small cohort study of several other solid tumor types presented at the 2019 ASCO annual meeting [31].

We also examined anti-PD-1/ PD-L1 treatment as a single agent versus in combination with chemotherapy. In multivariable Cox regression analysis, patients that received combination had longer TTD (aHR, 0.55; p = .020) and overall survival (aHR, 0.53; p = .062). This is somewhat expected as most of these individuals received the combination therapy in the first-line setting. The regimen of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 as a single agent or in combination with chemotherapy was included as a covariate and the results suggest it did not affect our overall conclusion regarding the impact of race on TTD (Fig. 3A) and OS (Fig. 3B).

We recognize there are several limitations in our study. First, although African American patients account for 30.1% of the patients in this study, our cohort size is relatively small. Further studies are required to confirm our findings when a larger cohort with balanced race representations becomes available. Furthermore, in this study as well as the majority of the published studies, race and ethnicity are self-reported, and more rigorous assessment of racial disparities in clinical research should incorporate genetic data. Second, although we performed Cox regression adjusting demographic and clinical variables in different race groups to avoid any potential confounding issues, there are other variables that would impact OS, but the data are sparse in EMR. For example, we could not assess the impact of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status score because of the lack of data. Although tumor mutation burden has been postulated as a response marker to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 independent of PD-L1 expression [32, 33], the data are lacking in our cohort. Third, our determination of HPD was based on TTF <2 months, which is only an approximation of HPD defined by accelerated tumor growth rate upon anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy. Fourth, radiographic treatment response in this study was not based on the RECIST criteria. Additionally, 33.3% of the cohort did not have information about response, of which two thirds is likely attributed to short follow-up times of <120 days, because of either death or censoring. Consequently, response rate should be interpreted cautiously. Finally, the median

follow-up time in this study was 14.8 months. Although it is sufficient for us to derive results that are statistically significant or display a strong trend, longer follow-up time would improve statistical power of the analysis.

CONCLUSION

Overall, this RWD-based study confirmed many observations reported in prospective clinical trials of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to describe the impact of race/ethnicity on clinical outcomes to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy in patients with aNSCLC. As it is impractical to conduct clinical trials to study racial disparities in treatment response or the impact of LOT on survival, further analyses of RWD with large cohorts are warranted to confirm our findings.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception/design: Kristin L. Ayers, Shuyu D. Li

Provision of study material or patients: Rajwanth Veluswamy, Juan Wisnivesky, Fred R. Hirsch, William K. Oh

Collection and/or assembly of data: Tommy Mullaney, Xiang Zhou, Kyeryoung Lee, Meng Ma, Scott Jones, Li Li, Arielle Redfern, Whitney Jappe, Zongzhi Liu, Howard Goldsweig, Kamlesh K. Yadav, Nicholas

References

1. Booth CM, Tannock IF. Randomised controlled trials and population-based observational research: Partners in the evolution of medical evidence. Br J Cancer, 2014;110:551–555.

2. Katkade VB, Sanders KN, Zou KH. Real world data: An opportunity to supplement existing evidence for the use of long-established medicines in health care decision making. J Multidiscip Healthc 2018;11:295–304.

3. Basch E, Schrag D. The evolving uses of "real-world" data. JAMA, 2019;321:1359–1360.

4. Khozin S, Blumenthal GM, Pazdur R. Realworld data for clinical evidence generation in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 2017;109.

5. Tang J, Shalabi A, Hubbard-Lucey VM. Comprehensive analysis of the clinical immunooncology landscape. Ann Oncol 2018;29:84–91.

6. Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1627–1639.

7. Brahmer J, Reckamp KL, Baas P et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;373:123–135.

8. Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2018–2028.

9. Rittmeyer A, Barslei F, Waterkamp D et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel in patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (OAK): A phase 3, open-label, multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2017;389:255–265.

10. Socinski MA, Jotte RM, Cappuzzo F et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. N Engl J Med 2018; 378:2288–2301.

11. Khozin S, Carson KR, Zhi J et al. Real-World outcomes of patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer treated with programmed cell death protein 1 inhibitors in the year following U.S. regulatory approval. *The Oncologist* 2019;24:648–656.

12. Stewart M, Norden AD, Dreyer N et al. An exploratory analysis of real-world end points for assessing outcomes among immunotherapy-treated patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. JCO Clin Cancer Inform 2019;3:1–15.

13. Xue X, Agalliu I, Kim MY et al. New methods for estimating follow-up rates in cohort studies. BMC Med Res Methodol 2017;17:155.

14. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 2009;45:228–247.

15. Presley CJ, Tang D, Soulos PR et al. Association of broad-based genomic sequencing with survival among patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer in the community oncology setting. JAMA 2018;320:469–477.

16. Champiat S, Besse B, Marabelle A. Hyperprogression during immunotherapy: Do we really want to know? Ann Oncol 2019;30:1028–1031.

17. Kato S, Goodman A, Walavalkar V et al. Hyperprogressors after immunotherapy: Analysis of genomic alterations associated with accelerated growth rate. Clin Cancer Res 2017;23:4242–4250.

18. Kim CG, Kim KH, Pyo KH et al. Hyperprogressive disease during PD-1/PD-L1 blockade in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol 2019;30:1104–1113.

19. Ferrara R, Mezquita L, Texier M et al. Hyperprogressive disease in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors or with single-agent chemotherapy. JAMA Oncol 2018;4:1543–1552.

20. Bryant AS, Cerfolio RJ. Impact of race on outcomes of patients with non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2008;3:711–715.

Hahner, Matthew Dietz, Michelle Zimmerman, Tony Prentice, Shuyu D. Li, Eric E. Schadt, Rong Chen

Data analysis and interpretation: Kristin L. Ayers, Jane J. Liu, Scott Newman, Rajwanth Veluswamy, Juan Wisnivesky, Fred R. Hirsch, William K. Oh, Shuyu D. Li, Eric E. Schadt

Manuscript writing: Kristin L. Ayers, Shuyu D. Li, Eric E. Schadt

Final approval of manuscript: Kristin L. Ayers, Tommy Mullaney, Xiang Zhou, Jane J. Liu, Kyeryoung Lee, Meng Ma, Scott Jones, Li Li, Arielle Redfern, Whitney Jappe, Zongzhi Liu, Howard Goldsweig, Kamlesh K. Yadav, Nicholas Hahner, Matthew Dietz, Michelle Zimmerman, Tony Prentice, Scott Newman, Rajwanth Veluswamy, Juan Wisnivesky, Fred R. Hirsch, William K. Oh, Shuyu D. Li, Eric E. Schadt, Rong Chen

DISCLOSURES

Kristin L. Ayers: Sema4 (E); Tommy Mullaney: Sema4 (E); Xiang Zhou: Sema4 (E); Jane J. Liu: Sema4 (E); Kyeryoung Lee: Sema4 (E); Meng Ma: Sema4 (E); Scott Jones: Sema4 (E); Li Li: Sema4 (E); Arielle Redfern: Sema4 (E); Whitney Jappe: Sema4 (E); Zongzhi Liu: Sema4 (E); Howard Goldsweig: Sema4 (E); Kamlesh K. Yadav: Sema4 (E); Nicholas Hahner: Sema4 (E); Matthew Dietz: Sema4 (E); Michelle Zimmerman: Sema4 (E); Tony Prentice: Sema4 (E); Scott Newman: Sema4 (E); Shuyu D. Li: Sema4 (E); Eric E. Schadt: Sema4 (E); William K. Oh: Sema4 (E); Rong Chen: Sema4 (E); Juan Wisnivesky: Sanofi, Banook, GlaxoSmithKline (H), Sanofi (RF). The other authors indicated no financial relationships.

(C/A) Consulting/advisory relationship; (RF) Research funding; (E) Employment; (ET) Expert testimony; (H) Honoraria received; (OI) Ownership interests; (IP) Intellectual property rights/ inventor/patent holder; (SAB) Scientific advisory board

21. Brzezniak C, Satram-Hoang S, Goertz HP et al. Survival and racial differences of non-small cell lung cancer in the United States military. J Gen Intern Med 2015;30:1406–1412.

22. Osuoha CA, Callahan KE, Ponce CP et al. Disparities in lung cancer survival and receipt of surgical treatment. Lung Cancer 2018;122:54–59.

23. Zheng L, Enewold L, Zahm SH et al. Lung cancer survival among Black and White patients in an equal access health system. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2012;21:1841–1847.

24. Shah NJ, Blackburn M, Cook MR et al. Realworld outcomes of underrepresented patient populations treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs): African American descent, poor ECOG performance status, and chronic viral infections. 2019;37(suppl_15):2587–2587.

25. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2018;378:2078–2092.

26. West H, McCleod M, Hussein M et al. Atezolizumab in combination with carboplatin plus nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy compared with chemotherapy alone as first-line treatment for meta-static non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer (IMpower130): A multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2019;20:924–937.

27. Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): A randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2016;387:1540–1550.

28. Reck M, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG et al. Updated analysis of KEYNOTE-024: Pembrolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score of 50% or greater. J Clin Oncol 2019;37:537–546.



29. Gadgeel, SM, Garassino MC, Esteban E et al. KEYNOTE-189: Updated OS and progression after the next line of therapy (PFS2) with pembrolizumab (pembro) plus chemo with pemetrexed and platinum vs placebo plus chemo for metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. J Clin Oncol 2019;37(suppl 15):9013–9013.

30. Schadendorf D, Wolchok JD, Hodi FS et al. Efficacy and safety outcomes in patients with

advanced melanoma who discontinued treatment with nivolumab and ipilimumab because of adverse events: A pooled analysis of randomized phase II and III trials. J Clin Oncol 2017;35:3807– 3814.

31. Bassanelli M, Giannarelli D, Migliorino MR et al. Immunotherapy discontinuation and outcome: A multicenter real-life experience. J Clin Oncol 2019;37(suppl 15):e14075–e14075.

32. Hellmann MD, Ciuleanu TE, Pluzanski A et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in lung cancer with a high tumor mutational burden. N Engl J Med 2018;378:2093–2104.

33. Singal G, Miller PG, Agarwala V et al. Association of patient characteristics and tumor genomics with clinical outcomes among patients with non-small cell lung cancer using a clinicogenomic database. JAMA 2019;321:1391–1399.

See http://www.TheOncologist.com for supplemental material available online.