ARTICLE



Ferrata Storti Foundation

Haematologica 2018 Volume 103(6):982-987

Correspondence:

alice.mims@osumc.edu

Received: December 27, 2017. Accepted: March 21, 2017.

Pre-published: March 22, 2017.

doi:10.3324/haematol.2017.186890

Check the online version for the most updated information on this article, online supplements, and information on authorship & disclosures: www.haematologica.org/content/103/6/982

©2018 Ferrata Storti Foundation

Material published in Haematologica is covered by copyright. All rights are reserved to the Ferrata Storti Foundation. Use of published material is allowed under the following terms and conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode. Copies of published material are allowed for personal or internal use. Sharing published material for non-commercial purposes is subject to the following conditions:

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode, sect. 3. Reproducing and sharing published material for commercial purposes is not allowed without permission in writing from the publisher.



A novel regimen for relapsed/refractory adult acute myeloid leukemia using a *KMT2A* partial tandem duplication targeted therapy: results of phase 1 study NCI 8485

Alice S. Mims,¹ Anjali Mishra,² Shelley Orwick,² James Blachly,¹ Rebecca B. Klisovic,³ Ramiro Garzon,¹ Alison R. Walker,¹ Steven M. Devine,¹ Katherine J. Walsh,¹ Sumithira Vasu,¹ Susan Whitman,² Guido Marcucci,⁴ Daniel Jones,⁵ Nyla A. Heerema,⁵ Gerard Lozanski,⁵ Michael A. Caligiuri,² Clara D. Bloomfield,¹ John C. Byrd,¹ Richard Piekarz,⁶ Michael R. Grever¹ and William Blum³

¹Division of Hematology, Department of Medicine, The Ohio State University and The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH; ¹Department of Medicine, The Ohio State University and The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH; ³Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Emory University School of Medicine, Winship Cancer Institute, Atlanta, GA; ⁴Department of Hematology and Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation, City of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA; ⁵Department of Pathology, The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH and ⁶Investigational Drug Branch of CTEP, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA

ABSTRACT

MT2A partial tandem duplication occurs in approximately 5-10% of patients with acute myeloid leukemia and is associated with adverse prognosis. *KMT2A* wild type is epigenetically silenced in KMT2A partial tandem duplication; re-expression can be induced with DNA methyltransferase and/or histone deacetylase inhibitors in vitro, sensitizing myeloid blasts to chemotherapy. We hypothesized that epigenetic silencing of KMT2A wildtype contributes to KMT2A partial tandem duplication-associated leukemogenesis and pharmacologic reexpression activates apoptotic mechanisms important for chemoresponse. We developed a regimen for this unique molecular subset, but due to relatively low frequency of KMT2A partial tandem duplication, this dose finding study was conducted in relapsed/refractory disease regardless of molecular subtype. Seventeen adults (< age 60) with relapsed/refractory acute myeloid leukemia were treated on study. Patients received decitabine 20 milligrams/meter² daily on days 1-10 and vorinostat 400 milligrams daily on days 5-10. Cytarabine was doseescalated from 1.5 grams/meter² every 12 hours to 3 grams/meter² every 12 hours on days 12, 14 and 16. Two patients experienced dose limiting toxicities at dose level 1 due to prolonged myelosuppression. However, as both patients achieved complete remission after Day 42, the protocol was amended to adjust the definition of hematologic dose limiting toxicity. No further dose limiting toxicities were found. Six of 17 patients achieved complete remission including 2 of 4 patients with KMT2A partial tandem duplication. Combination therapy with decitabine, vorinostat and cytarabine was tolerated in younger relapsed/refractory acute myeloid leukemia and should be explored further focusing on the KMT2A partial tandem duplication subset. (clinicaltrials.gov identifier 01130506).

Introduction

Though acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is considered a curable disease, the majority of patients will succumb to their diagnosis. Prognosis has been based primarily on age and cytogenetic/molecular mutations at diagnosis with younger patients (<60 years) faring better, in particular those with European LeukemiaNet

Dose level	Decitabine (mg/m²/day) Days 1-10	Vorinostat (mg/day) Days 5-10	Cytarabine (g/m²/q12hr) Days 12,14,16	Number treated	Number of DLTs	
1	20	400	1.5	6	2	
2	20	400	2	3	0	
3	20	400	2.5	3	0	
4	20	400	3	5	0	

Table 1. Treatment Dose and Schedule

DLTs: dose limiting toxicities.

(ELN) favorable risk subtypes.¹ Despite recent advances in understanding of leukemogenesis, the initial treatment for most AML patients remains largely unchanged over the past 30 years and salvage regimens also remain similar in their use of a cytarabine backbone. However, targeted therapeutics for specific molecular subsets of AML are beginning to emerge including inhibitors for patients with FLT3-ITD/TKD, IDH1 or IDH2 mutations.^{2,3} Another potential targetable population in AML includes patients with partial tandem duplication (PTD) in the lysine methyltransferase 2A (KMT2A) gene which was formerly known as mixed lineage leukemia 1 (MLL1). The KMT2A gene is located on Chromosome 11q23 and KMT2A PTD occurs in a single allele of this gene. This alteration occurs more commonly in AML with normal cytogenetics and trisomy 11 and is associated with an adverse prognosis.4-6 Multiple mechanisms are attributed to these adverse outcomes including hypermethylation of gene promoters leading to the silencing of potential tumor suppressors.^{7,8}

Our published data show that the *KMT2A* wild type (WT) allele is epigenetically silenced in AML with KMT2A PTD.⁹ We have shown that re-expression of the *KMT2A* WT allele can be induced with DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) and/or histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors 10,11 Indeed, we demonstrated that epigenetic silencing of KMT2A WT contributes to KMT2A PTDassociated leukemogenesis and that pharmacologic reexpression of this gene with DNMT and HDAC inhibitors attenuates the KMT2A PTD leukemogenic potential and activates apoptotic mechanism important to enhance chemosensitivity, in vitro. Re-expression of KMT2A WT following exposure to decitabine, followed by an HDAC inhibitor, was associated with a lower apoptotic threshold and sensitized KMT2A PTD cells to chemotherapyinduced cytotoxicity. In order to develop a regimen that might be effective in the subset of patients with AML and *KMT2A* PTD, we conducted a phase 1 study of a novel regimen of combined epigenetic and chemotherapies in relapsed and refractory AML patients. Because of the relatively low frequency of KMT2A PTD AML, the initial dose finding portion of this study was conducted in any patient with relapsed/refractory AML regardless of their molecular subtype but was enriched for *KMT2A* PTD.

Methods

Eligibility criteria

Eligible patients were adults (≥18 and <60 years) with relapsed/refractory non-M3 AML with adequate organ function and ECOG performance status ≤2. Patients with previous exposure to high-dose cytarabine were eligible. Patients with previous

history of neurological toxicity with cytarabine or vorinostat were ineligible (See Appendix 1 for full Eligibility Criteria). Informed written consent approved by The Ohio State University Humans Studies Committee was obtained on all patients prior to enrollment, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Treatment

The regimen consisted of epigenetic priming with decitabine followed by vorinostat, then high dose cytarabine (which was dose-escalated). The dosing regimen was based on pre-clinical data showing the myeloid apoptotic threshold decreased most significantly compared to other therapeutic sequences. Decitabine was given intravenously over 1 hour at a dose of 20mg/m²/day on Days 1-10. Vorinostat was given orally at a dose of 400mg/day on Days 5-10. Cytarabine was administered intravenously over 2 hours every 12 hours on Days 12, 14, and 16 for 6 doses total. Cytarabine was dose escalated as follows: dose level 1, $1.5g/m^2/q12hr;$ dose level 2, $2g/m^2/q12hr;$ dose level 3, 2.5g/m²/q12hr; and dose level 4, 3g/m²/q12hr (Table 1). The study was designed in classic 3+3 phase I design schema to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and define dose limiting toxicity (DLT). Adverse events were graded according to National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.0. Responses were defined according to International Working Group (IWG) Criteria for AML, including complete remission (CR) and CR with incomplete count recovery (CRi), partial remission (PR), and treatment failure.12 Next generation sequencing using MiSeq platform assessed over 80 AML-associated gene mutations as previously described.¹³ KMT2A PTD and FLT3-ITD mutations were performed by PCR testing.^{14,15}

Definition of dose limiting toxicity

Grade 4 non-hematological toxicity attributable to any of the therapeutic agents, with exception of line-associated venous thrombosis, infection, fatigue, or nausea and vomiting controllable with anti-emetic therapy were defined as DLT. Hematologic toxicity was initially defined as failure to recover peripheral blood counts by Day 42 in patients with <5% blasts in the bone marrow, absence of myelodysplastic changes, and/or absence of disease by flow cytometry in the bone marrow. However, 2 patients at dose level 1 experienced delayed count recovery (beyond day 42) meeting the hematological DLT definition but both patients achieved CR with no long-term sequelae. It was felt disadvantageous to reduce chemotherapy doses due to high risk nature of the disease, and the protocol was modified to extend duration of hematologic DLT to Day 56 with G-CSF permitted to hasten neutrophil recovery in patients with hypoplastic bone marrow after treatment.

Statistical analysis

A standard method 3 + 3 phase I design of dose escalation using 3 patients per dose level cohort and a minimum of 6 patients at the MTD was performed. As an exploratory, phase I study, no inferential statistical tests of hypotheses were planned. Data collected are descriptive and provide limited estimates of variability given the small patient sample size at each dose level.

Results

Patient characteristics and treatment groups

Seventeen adults with relapsed/refractory AML were treated on this phase I study. The median age of patients

Table 2. Patient Characteristics.

was 46 years (range, 21-59 years). Median white blood cell count and bone marrow blast percentage was $3.5 \times 10^3/\mu$ L (range, 0.4-75.8) and 66% (range, 4-87%), respectively. The median number of prior induction therapies was 2 (range, 1-4). All patients had previous anthracycline exposure, and 15 patients had previous high-dose cytarabine exposure. Twelve patients had relapsed disease, with 8 patients having prior CR1 duration of less than 1 year and 4 patients experiencing CR1 ranging from 16-38 months. Five patients had primary refractory disease;

Patient	Age/ Sex	# of prior inductions	Pretreatment karyotype	<i>KMT2A</i> PTD	Initial WBC	Initial blast count	Dose Level	Length of 1 st CR (mos)
	58/F	1	46,XX,t(12;22)(q13;q13)[15]/42-45,XX,-2,add(3) (p25),del(3)(p24),-11,-17,add (17)(p11.2),der(19)t(17;19)(q21;p12),+mar1,+mar2[4]/46,XX[1]	n/a	40.9	81	1	6
2	45/F	3	46, XX [19]/nonclonal[1]	n/e	3.5	79	1	17
	46/M	2	47,XY,+11 [2]/47, idem, t(16;21)(p11.2;q22)[18]	n/e	3.3	77	1	10
	25/M	4	45,XY,der dic(13;18)(q21;p11.3)ins(13;?)(q21;?)[13]/45,idem,t(10;12) (p13;q13)[cp2]/46,XY[5]/nonclonal[2]	n/a	3.2	40	1	n/a
;	58/F	1	47,XX,t(16;16)(p13.1;q22),+22[14]/nonclonal w/clonal abnormalities [1]/46,XX[7]	PTD+	2.2	22	1	18
	54/M	1	46,XY[19]/nonclonal[1]	neg	1.3	36	1	16
7	50/M	2	43,XY,del(4) (q31),-5,-12,del(13) (q12q14),- 15,add(17) (q25),add(18) (q12),add(19) (p13.3),add(20) (q13.3),add(21) (q22) [1]/42-44,sl,-Y,add(6) (p21), +i(8) (q10) [cp3]/43,sdl1,-add(6),+del(6) (p23) [cp6]/42-44,sl,+8,add(22) (q22) [cp4]/43,sl,-Y,+5,-	n/e	5.9	8	2	n/a
	42/F	2	45,XX,-7[20]	neg	22.1	66	2	n/a
)	26/F	2	46,XX,t(1;16) (p32;p13.1),der(3)t(3;17) (q29;q11.2), der(5)t(5;9) (q11.2;q21),add(8) (q22),- 9,add(12) (q13),der(12)t(12;12) (p13;q13) ins(12;17) (p13;q11.2q21),+16,der(16)t(1;16),-17,-17,-18,add(22) (q13),+mar1 +mar2,+mar3[12]/45,sl,-der(16)t(1;16) (p32;p13.1),+der(16)t(1;16) (p32;p13.1)add(1) (p36.3) [2]/46,sl,del(6) (q13q25) [1]/nonclonal w/clonal abnormalities[1]/46,XX[4]		2.0	51	2	n/a
0	59/M	4	45,XY,t(3;12)(q26;p13),t(4;5)(q21;q31),del(5) (q15q35),-7,t(10;21)(q22;q22)[cp1]	neg	3.2	4	3	38
1	24/F	3	46,XX,del(11)(p13p15.1)[16]/nonclonal w/clonal abnormalities[4]	neg	75.8	82	3	2
2	42/M	2	46,XY,der(12)t(12;21)(p12;q11.2)[19]/nonclonal[1]	neg	4.0	87	3	10
3	27/F	4	46,XX,dup(2)(q21q31),t(8;21)(q22;q22)[18]/nonclonal w/clonal abnormalities[1]/46,XX[1]	PTD+	0.4	66	4	11
4	21/F	3	47,XX,der(10)t(10;11)(p11.2;q13)inv(11)(q13q23), der(11)t(10;11)(p11.2;q13),+mar1[cp7]/47,idem,add(X) (q26),add(8)(q24.1),add(13)(p11.2),-15,add(18) (p11 2),+21,-mar1,+mar2[cp15]/nonclonal w/clonal abnormalities	PTD+	17.8	82	4	3
5	58/M	1	46,XY,t(3;15)(p13;q13)[16]/47,idem,+13[2]/46,XY[1]/nonclonal[1]	n/a	30.5	83	4	10
.6	58/F	2	46,XX,del(12)(p11.2p13)[1]/45,sl,del(19)(q13.3), -20,add(21)(q22)[3]/45,sdl1,-7[2]/45,sdl2,-20[2]/46,XX[12]	neg	2.1	69	4	n/a
7	57/M	2	45,X,-Y[20]	neg	36.6	66	4	6

CR: cytogenetic remission; F: female; M: male; mos: month; n/a: not applicable; n/e: not evaluable; WBC: white blood.

each one entered the study after failure of at least 2 conventional regimens. One patient had undergone prior allogeneic stem cell transplant and 2 patients had undergone autologous transplant in CR1 (on study protocols). Two patients had secondary or therapy-related AML, 14 patients had abnormal karyotypes and 4 patients were found to have *KMT2A* PTD molecular subtype. Clinical as well as pre-treatment cytogenetic and molecular characteristics of enrolled patients are summarized in Tables 2 and 3.

Dose escalation and treatment

Six patients were treated at dose level 1 due to prolonged myelosuppression in 2 patients requiring dose expansion. However, both patients achieved CR after toxicity "cut-off" and the protocol was amended to allow further time for count recovery as well as G-CSF to hasten count recovery. Three patients were treated on dose levels 2 and 3; 5 patients were treated on dose level 4. No other DLTs were observed.

Toxicities

As this treatment approach was intensive, patients experienced universal pancytopenia and toxicities as expected in this poor risk cohort of patients. Treatment overall was well tolerated. Diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, febrile neutropenia and elevated alanine aminotransferase were the most common occurrences for all grade toxicities occurring in 41%, 29%, 29%, 35%, and 35% of patients respectively. With regards to Grade 3 or greater toxicities, febrile neutropenia and catheter-related infections were most common at 35% and 24% of patients and are common complications that occur in this patient population. A summary of all Grade toxicities possibly attributable to the treatment are listed in Table 4.

Clinical responses

The overall response rate was 35% (6/17 patients) as seen in Table 3. All 6 responses by IWG criteria were CR; 3 of these 6 patients had abnormal cytogenetics and all 3 achieved a cytogenetic CR (Patients 5, 7 and 9). The median number of prior therapies for patients with CR was 2 (range 1-3). Response duration assessment is compromised due to 4 patients subsequently receiving allogeneic transplantation (Patients 2, 5, 7, and 9). However, all patients with CR on study except one (Patient 5) eventually relapsed and succumbed to complications of their underlying disease including patients who underwent allogeneic transplantation.

Count recovery for the 6 patients who achieved CR was prolonged with average absolute neutrophil count (ANC) recovery of 45 days (range Day 39-55) and average platelet recovery of 52 days (range Day 34-67). Four of the 6 patients received G-CSF to aid in count recovery with 3 patients receiving this therapy on dose level 1 and 1 patient on dose level 2. No patients had a serious adverse event (SAE) felt related to prolonged count recovery. None of the patients who were considered treatment failures received any G-CSF support.

Of the 4 patients known to have *KMT2A* PTD mutations, 2 patients responded achieving cytogenetic CRs. It is interesting to note one of the *KMT2A* PTD responders also had a *TP53* mutation with a high variant allele frequency (VAF), but this response may not be due to the regimen examined, considering recent findings with a 10-day decitabine schedule in *TP53* mutated AML patients.¹³ It is also of interest that 2 *KMT2A* PTD patients (1 responder and 1 non-responder) were associated with favorable karyotypes as this has not been commonly reported. It was difficult to make any other definitive conclusions about responders and non-responders with other concurrent mutations with such a small number of patients.

Responders	KMT2A PTD	Other gene mutations (VAF)	Best response		
Patient 2		FLT3-TKD, PTPN11(0.3), U2AF1(0.23)	CR		
Patient 5	+	None	CRc		
Patient 6		DDX41(0.5), ASXL1(0.19)	CR		
Patient 7		None	CRc		
Patient 9	+	TP53(0.53)	CRc		
Patient 17		FLT3-ITD (heterozygous), NRAS(0.36)	CR		
Non-Responders					
Patient 1		NPM1(.54), FLT3-ITD (hemizygous), FLT3-TKD, TET2(0	.47)		
Patient 3		None	None		
Patient 4		NPM1(0.35), FLT3-ITD (heterozygous)	NPM1(0.35), FLT3-ITD (heterozygous)		
Patient 8		PTPN11(0.52), RUNX1(0.41), NRAS(0.2)	PTPN11(0.52), RUNX1(0.41), NRAS(0.2)		
Patient 10		PTPN11(0.14), SF1(0.13)	PTPN11(0.14), SF1(0.13)		
Patient 11		NPM1(0.42), FLT3-ITD (hemizygous), WT1(0.64), SF3A1	NPM1(0.42), FLT3-ITD (hemizygous), WT1(0.64), SF3A1(0.49)		
Patient 12		IDH2(0.21), KRAS(0.19)			
Patient 13	+	FLT3-ITD (heterozygous)			
Patient 14	+	RUNX1(0.39)			
Patient 15		None			
Patient 16		TP53(0.5)			

CR: morphologic Complete Remission; CRc: morphologic and cytogenetic Complete Remission; VAF: variant allele frequency.

Discussion

Epigenetic deregulation is felt to contribute to the underlying pathobiology of AML and both aberrant DNA methylation and histone acetylation have been explored as potential therapeutic targets in this disease.¹⁶ Monotherapy with hypomethylating agents, azacitidine and decitabine, that target DNMTs have shown some success in regards to clinical activity and are currently used in the treatment of AML, though neither are considered curative therapies in this patient population.¹⁷ These agents have become the standard of care for elderly patients who are unfit to undergo intensive induction chemotherapy. With regards to HDAC inhibition, though preclinical findings in AML have been exciting, these results have yet to translate into significant clinical responses in AML both as monotherapy and in combination with hypomethylating agents or with cytotoxic chemotherapy.¹⁸⁻²¹ However, priming with both hypomethylating agents and HDAC inhibitors prior to salvage chemotherapy has shown some promise.2

A dose-finding study of decitabine and valproic acid in 25 patients in both relapsed/refractory and untreated, unfit AML populations has been previously performed. Due to the major DLT of the study of encephalopathy in older patients attributed to valproic acid, this current study of combination therapy with HDAC inhibitors was limited to those <60 years old. It was also felt a different HDAC inhibitor, such as vorinostat, might be better tolerated.²³

As mentioned, vorinostat has also been studied in combination with other agents in AML, including hypomethylating agents and cytotoxic chemotherapies. Kirschbaum, et al. randomized patients with untreated (n=31) and relapsed/refractory AML (n=29) along with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) (n=31) to concurrent decitabine plus vorinostat versus sequential decitabine followed by vorinostat. Both schedules were felt to be well-tolerated but more objective responses (CRs plus PRs) were seen in the concurrent schedule (46% vs. 14% in untreated AML, 15% vs. 0% in relapsed/refractory AML, and 60% vs. 0% in MDS).²⁴Gojo *et al.* assessed the ability to add vorinostat prior to etoposide and cytarabine in relapsed/refractory AML or acute lymphoblastic leukemia, newly diagnosed secondary AML, or chronic myeloid leukemia in accelerated or blastic phase failing or intolerant of tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy. The MTD was found to be vorinostat 200mg orally twice a day (Days 1-7) followed by cytarabine and etoposide on Days 11-14 with DLTs of hyperbilirubinemia/septic death and anorexia/fatigue at higher dosing. In the 21 patients treated, there were 7 CRs (n=5) or CRi's (n=2) all in the AML population (2 newly diagnosed high-risk and 5 relapsed/refractory patients).²⁵ A phase II trial combined vorinostat 500mg orally 3 times a day (Days 1-3) followed by idarubicin and cytarabine induction chemotherapy in younger untreated AML and higher-risk MDS patients and saw no excess in vorinostatrelated toxicities with an overall response rate of 85% including 76% CR and 9% CRi rates.²⁰ A Phase III randomized intergroup study assessed the benefit of vorinostat in addition to high dosed cytarabine-based induction chemotherapy vs. induction chemotherapy alone and did not show any differences in CR, event-free survival, or overall survival with the addition of vorinostat. Toxicity was considered similar between groups.²⁶ However, the

Table 4. Non-hematological toxicities possibly attributable.

Toxicity	All grades number of events	Grade 3 or greater number of events
Diarrhea	7	2
Nausea	5	
Fatigue	5	
Catheter-related infection	4	4
Lung infection	2	2
Нурохіа	1	1
Febrile neutropenia	6	6
Blood bilirubin increased	1	
Peripheral sensory neuropathy	1	
Dyspnea	2	
Lymph node pain	1	
Abdominal pain	2	
Colitis	1	1
Dry mouth	1	
Mucositis oral	1	
Proctitis	1	
Rectal pain	1	
Vomiting	1	
Sinusitis	1	1
Prolonged PTT	1	
Increased ALT	6	1
Increased AST	3	1
Creatinine increased	1	
Anorexia	4	
Urinary incontinence	1	
Cough	2	
Weight loss	1	
Headache	1	
Proteinuria	1	

ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; PTT: partial thromboplastin time.

effect of epigenetic priming, particular for subsets of disease that may be sensitive to the approach, remains an area of interest for clinical development.

In this phase I study, we evaluated the combination of decitabine and vorinostat priming prior to high-dose cytarabine in a cohort of younger AML patients with relapsed or refractory AML. We developed a regimen for subsequent phase 2 testing in the select population that may be sensitive to the approach (KMT2A PTD). The treatment was generally well-tolerated with exception of the initial prolonged myelosuppression identified in dose level 1 and there were otherwise no DLTs. The most common toxicities seen including febrile neutropenia, nausea, and diarrhea are all common side effects that can be seen with high-dose cytarabine alone. Neurotoxicity that was seen previously with valproic acid in combination with decitabine was not seen in this patient population.²³ This combination therapy resulted in CR in 6 patients with an overall response rate of 35%. Although the small number of patients limits the interpretation of these findings, prior high dose cytarabine exposure does not appear to preclude a response to this combination therapy. With regards to patients with *KMT2A* PTD mutations, as noted, 2 patients were able to obtain response to this combination therapy while the other 2 were refractory to this treatment with no definitive features to explain difference in outcomes. In conclusion, we successfully determined the recommended phase 2 dose for this novel treatment regimen. The regimen had modest toxicities beyond uncomplicated (though prolonged) myelosuppression, and we propose that the study provides a framework for larger efficacy studies for AML patients with the uncommon but biologically distinct molecular feature of *KMT2A* PTD.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all of the patients who participated in this trial as well as the dedicated teams who cared for them in the James Cancer Hospital inpatient/outpatient Leukemia Units.

Funding

This work was supported by: U01 CA76576-05, P30 CA016058 and SPORE P50-CA140158.

References

- Döhner H, Etsey E, Grimwade D, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood. 2017; 129(4):424-447.
- Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, et al. Midostaurin plus chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia with a FLT3 mutation. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(5):454-464.
- Stein EM, DiNardo CD, Pollyea DA, et al. Enasidenib in mutant IDH2 relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2017;130(6):722-731.
- Caligiuri MA, Strout MP, Lawrence D, et al. Rearrangement of ALL1 (MLL) in acute myeloid leukemia with normal cytogenetics. Cancer Res. 1998;58(1):55-59.
- Döhner K, Tobis K, Úlrich R, et al. Prognostic significance of partial tandem duplications of the MLL gene in adult patients 16 to 60 years old with acute myeloid leukemia and normal cytogenetics: a study of the Acute Myeloid Leukemia Study Group Ulm. J Clin Oncol. 2002; 20(15):3254-3261.
- Schnittger S, Kinkelin U, Schoch C, et al. Screening for MLL tandem duplication in 387 unselected patients with AML identify a prognostically unfavorable subset of AML. Leukemia. 2000;14(5):796-804.
- Dorrance AM, Liu S, Chong A, et al. The Mll partial tandem duplication: differential, tissue-specific activity in the presence or absence of the wild-type allele. Blood. 2008;112(6): 2508-2511.
- Dorrance AM, Liu S, Yuan W, et al. Mll partial tandem duplication induces aberrant Hox expression in vivo via specific epigenetic alterations. J Clin Invest. 2006; 116(10):2707-2716.
- Whitman SP, Liu S, Vukosavljevic T, et al. The MLL partial tandem duplication: evidence for recessive gain-of-function in acute myeloid leukemia identifies a novel patient subgroup for molecular-targeted therapy. Blood. 2005;106(1):345-352.

- Whitman SP, Hackanson B, Liyanarachchi S, et al. DNA hypermethylation and epigenetic silencing of the tumor suppressor gene, SLC5A8, in acute myeloid leukemia with the MLL partial tandem duplication. Blood. 2008;112(5):2013-2016.
- Bernot KM, Siebenaler RF, Whitman SP, et al. Toward personalized therapy in AML: in vivo benefit of targeting aberrant epigenetics in MLL-PTD-associated AML. Leukemia. 2013;27(12):2379-2382.
- 12. Cheson BD, Bennett JM, Kopecky KJ, et al. Revised recommendations of the International Working Group for Diagnosis, Standardization of Response Criteria, Treatment Outcomes, and Reporting Standards for Therapeutic Trials in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(24):4642-4649.
- Eisfeld AK, Mr zek K, Kohlschmidt J, et al. The mutational oncoprint of recurrent cytogenetic abnormalities in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2017;31(10):2211-2218.
- Caligiuri MA, Strout MP, Schichman SA, et al. Partial tandem duplication of ALL1 as a recurrent molecular defect in acute myeloid leukemia with Trisomy 11. Cancer Res. 1996;56(6):1418-1425.
- Thiede C, Steudel C, Mohr B, et al. Analysis of FLT3-activating mutations in 979 patients with acute myelogenous leukemia: association with FAB subtypes and identification of subgroups with poor prognosis. Blood. 2002;99(12):4326-4335.
- Welch JS, Petti AA, Miller CA, et al. TP53 and decitabine in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(21):2023-2036.
- Marks P, Rifkind RA, Richon VM, et al. Histone deacetylases and cancer: causes and therapies. Nat Rev Cancer. 2001; 1(3):194-202.
- Blum W, Garzon R, Klisovic RB, et al. Clinical response and miR-29b predictive significance in older AML patients treated with a 10-day schedule of decitabine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(16):7473-7478.
- 19. Kirschbaum M, Gojo I, Goldberg SL, et al.

A phase 1 clinical trial of vorinostat in combination with decitabine in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia or myelodysplastic syndrome. Br J Haematol. 2014; 167(2):185-193.

- Garcia-Manero G, Yang H, Bueso-Ramos C, et al. Phase 1 study of the histone deacetylase inhibitor vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid [SAHA]) in patients with advanced leukemias and myelodysplastic syndromes. Blood. 2008; 111(3):1060-1066.
- Garcia-Manero G, Tambaro FP, Bekele NB, et al. Phase II trial of vorinostat with idarubicin and cytarabine for patients with newly diagnosed acute myelogenous leukemia or myelodysplastic syndrome. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(18):2204-2210.
- 22. Issa, JP, Garcia-Manero G, Huang X, et al. Results of phase 2 randomized study of low-dose decitabine with or without valproic acid in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myelogenous leukemia. Cancer. 2015;121(4):556-561.
- Blum W, Klisovic RB, Hackanson B, et al. Phase I study of decitabine alone or in combination with valproic acid in acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(25):3884-3891.
- Scandura JM, Roboz GJ, Moh M, et al. Phase I study of epigenetic priming with decitabine prior to standard induction chemotherapy for patients with AML. Blood. 2011;118(6):1472-1480.
- 25. Gojo I, Tan M, Fang HB, et al. Translational phase I trial of vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid) combined with cytarabine and etoposide in patients with relapsed, refractory, or high-risk acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2013;19(7):1838-1851.
- 26. Garcia-Manero G, Othus M, Pagel JM, et al. SWOG S1203: A randomized phase III study of standard cytarabine plus daunorubicin (7+3) therapy versus idarubicin with high dose cytarabine (IA) with or without vorinostat (IA+V) in younger patients with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Blood. 2016;128(22):901.