SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 06 October 2016 Accepted: 25 November 2016 Published: 06 January 2017

OPEN Deficiency of the eIF4E isoform nCBP limits the cell-to-cell movement of a plant virus encoding triple-gene-block proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana

Takuya Keima, Yuka Hagiwara-Komoda, Masayoshi Hashimoto, Yutaro Neriya, Hiroaki Koinuma, Nozomu Iwabuchi, Shuko Nishida, Yasuyuki Yamaji & Shigetou Namba

One of the important antiviral genetic strategies used in crop breeding is recessive resistance. Two eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E family genes, eIF4E and eIFiso4E, are the most common recessive resistance genes whose absence inhibits infection by plant viruses in Potyviridae, Carmovirus, and Cucumovirus. Here, we show that another eIF4E family gene, nCBP, acts as a novel recessive resistance gene in Arabidopsis thaliana toward plant viruses in Alpha- and Betaflexiviridae. We found that infection by Plantago asiatica mosaic virus (PIAMV), a potexvirus, was delayed in ncbp mutants of A. thaliana. Virus replication efficiency did not differ between an ncbp mutant and a wild type plant in single cells, but viral cell-to-cell movement was significantly delayed in the ncbp mutant. Furthermore, the accumulation of triple-gene-block protein 2 (TGB2) and TGB3, the movement proteins of potexviruses, decreased in the ncbp mutant. Inoculation experiments with several viruses showed that the accumulation of viruses encoding TGBs in their genomes decreased in the ncbp mutant. These results indicate that nCBP is a novel member of the eIF4E family recessive resistance genes whose loss impairs viral cell-to-cell movement by inhibiting the efficient accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3.

About half of the determinant loci from natural resistant crop cultivars are recessively inherited¹. The products of these recessive resistance genes are usually regarded as essential host factors required for the propagation of the virus. Therefore, a cultivar that lacks a host factor(s) that is indispensable for viral infection should be a recessive resistant cultivar^{2,3}.

The most common recessive resistance genes encode eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E and eIF4G, components of eIF4F⁴, but other types of genes have also been isolated from barley and Arabidopsis thaliana^{5,6}. eIF4E and its isoform eIFiso4E are canonical cap binding proteins, which function in translation initiation in plant cells⁷. eIF4E and eIFiso4E interact with eIF4G and eIFiso4G to form the eIF4F and eIFiso4F complex, respectively. eIF4F and eIFiso4F also interact with eIF3, which recruits the 40S ribosomal subunit to the 5' untranslated region (UTR) of mRNA, to initiate translation⁸. In plants, another eIF4E isoform, the novel cap binding protein (nCBP), has been identified, which is distantly related to eIF4E and eIFiso4E^{9,10} (see Supplementary Fig. S1). Since nCBP interacts with the cap in vitro and in vivo9,11, it is believed to function in translation initiation, similar to eIF4E and eIFiso4E.

eIF4E and eIFiso4E are known to be one of loss-of-susceptibility determinants to many plant viruses^{2,12-15}. A loss or mutation of the eIF4E or eIFiso4E genes in Capsicum spp., Solanum lycopersicum, and A. thaliana conferred full resistance against potyviruses^{4,14,16-18}. Mutation in *eIF4E* in melon also resulted in an immunity type of resistance against a carmovirus, Melon necrotic spot virus (MNSV)^{19,20} and in barley against two bymoviruses, Barley yellow mosaic virus and Barley mild mosaic virus¹². A. thaliana mutants of the eIF4E gene were also partially resistant to Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV, Cucumovirus)²¹. eIF4E was shown to be involved in the translation

Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.N. (email: anamba@mail.ecc.u-tokyo. ac.jp)

of viral proteins from MNSV and CMV RNAs^{21,22}. Thus, although the roles of some recessive resistance genes have been partially elucidated, understanding of the variety of recessive resistance genes and their roles remains limited.

Alpha- and *Betaflexiviridae* are groups of flexuous, filamentous viruses that predominantly infect plants, and encode an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), a 30K-type movement protein (MP) or triple-gene-block (TGB)-type MPs, and a coat protein (CP). Some viruses in *Alpha-* and *Betaflexiviridae* encode additional proteins in their genome. The most extensively studied of these plant viruses are members of the genus *Potexvirus* in the family *Alphaflexiviridae*, which have one genomic RNA with a cap and poly(A) tail²³⁻²⁵. The 5'-terminal open reading frame (ORF) encoding RdRp is translated directly from genomic RNA, but the 3'-proximal ORFs encoding TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP are translated from subgenomic RNAs (sgRNAs)^{26,27}, which are generated during virus replication²⁸ and possess a cap^{29,30} and the same 3' ends as the genomic RNA²⁵. TGB1 and CP were shown to be translated from sgRNA1 and sgRNA3, respectively, while TGB2 and TGB3 are translated from sgRNA2 of *Potato virus X* (PVX)^{26,27}.

In this study, we found that an *A. thaliana* mutant of another member of the *eIF4E* family gene, *nCBP*, was resistant to a potexvirus, *Plantago asiatica mosaic virus* (PlAMV). Cell-to-cell movement of PlAMV was delayed in an *ncbp* mutant compared to the wild type, although viral accumulation in single cells of the mutant and the wild type did not differ. The accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3 was decreased in the *ncbp* mutant compared with the wild type.

Results

PIAMV propagation was delayed in *ncbp* **mutants.** *A. thaliana* has three types of eIF4E isoforms, eIF4E, eIFiso4E, and nCBP. Two homologs of eIF4E, eIF4E1b and eIF4E1c, are also encoded by *A. thaliana*³¹ (see Supplementary Fig. S1). To determine whether any of the eIF4E isoforms has a role in the infection cycle of PIAMV, *A. thaliana* mutant lines bearing a T-DNA insertion or a point mutation in an *eIF4E* family gene were mechanically inoculated with PIAMV-GFP, a GFP-expressing variant of PIAMV. The inoculated leaves were examined for fluorescence from PIAMV-GFP at 4 days post inoculation (dpi). Infection foci on the inoculated leaves of *eif4e, eif4e1b, eif4e1c*, and *eifiso4e* mutants were nearly the same size as those on the *A. thaliana* ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) leaves (Fig. 1a). In contrast, the foci on the inoculated leaves of two *ncbp* T-DNA insertion lines, *ncbp-1* and *ncbp-2* (see Supplementary Fig. S2), were noticeably smaller than those of Col-0 (Fig. 1a). To quantify the virus accumulation, total RNA was extracted from each of the inoculated leaves from the mutants and analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) with PIAMV- specific primers³². The relative accumulation of PIAMV viral RNA in *eif4e, eif4e1b, eif4e1c*, and *eifiso4e* mutants did not differ from that in Col-0, whereas viral RNA in *ncbp-1* and *ncbp-2* mutants was drastically decreased to approximately 15% and 25% of that in Col-0, respectively (Fig. 1b).

To explore whether PIAMV systemically infects *ncbp* mutants, we mechanically inoculated *ncbp* mutants and Col-0 with PIAMV-GFP. At 3 weeks post inoculation (wpi), the GFP signal was observed in stems and upper leaves of Col-0, but no GFP signal was apparent in the upper leaves of the *ncbp-1* mutant (Fig. 1c). To quantify the accumulation of viral RNA, we extracted total RNA from non-inoculated upper leaves of the *ncbp-1* and *ncbp-2* mutants, and Col-0, and amplified viral RNA by RT-PCR. At 3 wpi, PIAMV infected the Col-0 plants systemically, while PIAMV did not propagate in upper leaves of the *ncbp-1* and *ncbp-2* mutants (see Supplementary Table S1 and Fig. 1d). At 4 wpi, 3 of 5 *ncbp-1* plants, and none of the 6 *ncbp-2* plants, harbored PIAMV RNA (see Supplementary Table S1). The accumulation of PIAMV in the upper leaves of the *ncbp-1* mutants at 4 wpi was lower than in Col-0 plants (see Supplementary Fig. S3), suggesting that PIAMV systemic infection delayed in these mutants.

To confirm that the decreased accumulation of PIAMV in the *ncbp* mutants was due to the loss of nCBP, we cloned and introduced the genomic DNA sequence of *nCBP* with its possible promoter and terminator regions into the *ncbp-1* mutant. Three independent transgenic lines (#1A, #3E, and #3F) were obtained and analyzed for their expression of nCBP. While we failed to detect the nCBP protein in the *ncbp-1* mutant, three transgenic lines expressed it (Fig. 2a). When those transgenic lines were then inoculated mechanically with PIAMV-GFP, all of the nCBP-complemented lines had GFP foci similar in size to those of Col-0 at 4 dpi (Fig. 2b). The RT-qPCR analysis confirmed that the accumulation level of viral RNA in the inoculated leaves did not drastically differ between the transgenic lines and Col-0 (Fig. 2c). These results suggest that nCBP is essential for the efficient accumulation of PIAMV in inoculated leaves. We also confirmed that PIAMV systemically infects these complemented lines at 3 wpi (see Supplementary Table S1).

Cell-to-cell movement of PIAMV is delayed in *ncbp* **mutant.** To analyze the efficiency of cell-to-cell movement of PIAMV, we monitored the sizes of PIAMV-GFP infection foci in inoculated leaves of Col-0 and the *ncbp-1* mutant. We often observed the fusion of multiple infection foci during the mechanical inoculation assay, which limits our statistical evaluation of the infection foci size (Figs 1a and 2b). Therefore, we utilized a particle delivery system to introduce the PIAMV-GFP cDNA into a single cell. The bombarded leaves were analyzed at 1, 1.5, and 2 days post bombardment. The PIAMV-GFP in the bombarded leaves of Col-0 spread more rapidly than in those of the *ncbp-1* mutant (Fig. 3a). To quantitatively analyze viral cell-to-cell movement, we measured the area expressing GFP signals. We found that the GFP-expressing area in the *ncbp-1* mutant was drastically smaller than that in Col-0 (Fig. 3b). These results suggest that the cell-to-cell movement of PIAMV was delayed in the *ncbp-1* mutant compared to Col-0.

Replication efficiency of PIAMV is not compromised in *ncbp-1* **mutant at a single-cell level.** We next investigated whether the delay of viral cell-to-cell movement in the *ncbp* mutants was caused by a decreased replication efficiency of the virus. We isolated mesophyll protoplasts from the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0 and



Figure 1. Inhibition of PIAMV propagation in ncbp mutants. (a) Green fluorescence emission of PIAMV-GFP in inoculated leaves of A. thaliana mutant lines of eIF4E family genes. Mutant lines and Col-0 were inoculated mechanically with PIAMV-GFP-infected leaf extracts and photos were taken under a fluorescence microscope at 4 days post inoculation (dpi). Representative images are shown. (b) PlAMV RNA accumulation in mutant lines measured by quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA extracted from inoculated leaves in (a) at 4 dpi was subjected to quantitative RT-PCR using RdRp-specific primers to detect viral genomic RNA. The accumulation of PlAMV-GFP RNA normalized relative to that of actin mRNA is presented in each sample. The mean level of viral RNA in Col-0 was used as the standard (1.0). Error bars represent standard errors of 12 measurements from three independent experiments. Double asterisk indicates a significant difference compared with Col-0 (two-tailed Dunnett's test, double asterisk; P < 0.01, triple asterisk; P < 0.001). (c) Fluorescence images of PIAMV-GFP in systemic plants. PIAMV-GFP was inoculated mechanically onto ncbp-1 and Col-0 and photos of fluorescence (upper and middle panels) and bright-field (lower panels) images of systemic plants were taken at 21 dpi. Bars, 3.5 cm. Middle panels are magnified images of upper panels. (d) Detection of PlAMV-GFP by RT-PCR in upper leaves. Upper leaf samples in (c) at 21 dpi were analyzed by RT-PCR using CP-specific primers with the actin gene as an internal control. Cropped gel images are shown and full-length gel images are included in Supplementary Figure S7. Experiments were replicated three times.

.....

transfected them with infectious PIAMV cDNA. Total RNA was extracted from the cells at 0, 12, and 24 hours post inoculation (hpi), and the amount of viral RNA was analyzed by RT-qPCR using the cotransfected *GFP* gene as an internal control. RT-qPCR analysis revealed that the accumulation level of viral RNA in the *ncbp-1* mutant protoplasts was similar to that in Col-0 protoplasts (Fig. 4a). We further performed northern blot analysis to detect viral genomic RNA, sgRNAs, and negative-strand viral RNA that serves as a template for synthesis of the RNA genome. We found that viral genomic RNA, sgRNAs and negative strand RNA accumulated to a similar degree in both the *ncbp-1* and Col-0 protoplasts (Fig. 4b, top and middle panels, Supplementary Fig. S4). These results show that nCBP is not an essential factor for PIAMV genomic replication, suggesting that nCBP is involved in viral cell-to-cell movement.

а



Figure 2. Functional validation of nCBP by transgenic complementation. (a) Accumulation of nCBP protein in A. thaliana transformants. Total protein was extracted from rosette leaves of Col-0, the ncbp-1 mutant, and three nCBP-complemented transgenic lines, and analyzed by immunoblotting, using antisera recognizing nCBP. The cropped image is shown and the full-length blot is included in Supplementary Figure S8. Experiments were replicated twice. (b) Green fluorescence emission from PlAMV-GFP-inoculated leaves of A. thaliana transformants. Transformant lines as well as Col-0 and ncbp-1 mutants were inoculated mechanically with PlAMV-GFP and photos were taken at 4 dpi. Representative images are shown. (c) PlAMV RNA accumulation in inoculated leaves of A. thaliana transformants. Total RNAs extracted from inoculated leaves of corresponding plants in (b) at 4 dpi were analyzed based on quantitative RT-PCR using RdRp-specific primers. PlAMV RNA accumulation was normalized relative to the actin mRNA value in each sample. The mean level of viral RNA in Col-0 was used as the standard (1.0). Error bars represent standard errors of at least 10 measurements from three independent experiments and the asterisk indicates a significant difference compared with Col-0 (twotailed Dunnett's test, asterisk; P < 0.05).

Accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3 is decreased in ncbp mutant. Since potexviruses need TGB1, TGB2, TGB3 and CP for their cell-to-cell movement, we analyzed the accumulation of these viral proteins in PlAMV-transfected protoplasts of the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. Immunoblotting of the protoplast samples harvested at 3 dpi revealed that the accumulation of RdRp did not differ between the ncbp-1 and Col-0 protoplasts (see Supplementary Fig. S5). However, we could not clearly detect TGB2 and TGB3 proteins in protoplast samples (see Supplementary Fig. S5), possibly because of their low accumulation levels. Therefore, we а



Bars; 200 μm





Figure 3. Inhibition of cell-to-cell movement of PIAMV in the *ncbp* mutant. (a) Images of the spread of PIAMV-GFP in epidermal cells. Rosette leaves of Col-0 and the *ncbp-1* mutant were inoculated with PIAMV-GFP using particle bombardment. Fluorescence images were obtained at 1, 1.5, and 2 dpi. Representative images are shown. Bars, $200 \,\mu$ m. (b) Quantification of the size of the fluorescent foci in inoculated leaves. Fluorescence images of more than five foci in (a) were processed using ImageJ software v1.40 (NIH) to measure the size of viral infection foci. The sizes are normalized to Col-0 at 1 dpi. Error bars represent standard errors of at least six measurements. Asterisk indicates a significant difference compared with Col-0 (two-tailed Student's t-test, asterisk; P < 0.05, triple asterisk; P < 0.001). Experiments were replicated three times.

.....





Figure 4. Loss of nCBP does not interfere with PIAMV RNA accumulation at the single-cell level. (a) Relative accumulation of PIAMV RNA at the single cell level measured by quantitative RT-PCR. Protoplasts prepared from the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0 were transfected with a PIAMV-containing plasmid together with a *GFP*-expressing plasmid. Extracted total RNAs from protoplast samples at three different time points were analyzed using RT-qPCR. The level of PIAMV RNA accumulation was normalized to that of *GFP* mRNA in each sample. The mean level of viral RNA in Col-0 immediately after inoculation (0 hpi) was used as the standard (1.0). Error bars represent standard errors of three independent experiments. (b) Detection of viral RNAs by northern blot analysis. Protoplasts from *ncbp-1* and Col-0 were inoculated with PIAMV. Total RNA (1 μg) extracted from protoplast samples at 3 dpi was subjected to northern blot analysis using specific probes. Cropped images are shown and the full-length blots are presented in Supplementary Figure S9. Uninoculated controls are indicated. Bands of ribosomal RNA are shown as loading controls. Experiments were replicated three times.

used an Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression (agroinfiltration) assay in which infiltrated regions of plant leaves were infected uniformly with virus. Leaves of the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0 were agroinfiltrated with *Agrobacterium* carrying infectious PIAMV-GFP cDNA. The leaves were harvested at 4 dpi and the accumulation of viral proteins was analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig. 5a). Since the band for TGB3 exactly overlapped with a nonspecific band, we separated soluble and insoluble fractions (S30 and P30 fraction, respectively) by ultracentrifugation to exclude the nonspecific band based on the fact that the TGB3 protein is membrane-associated³³. The nonspecific band was detected only in the S30 fraction, enabling us to evaluate the accumulation of TGB3 in the P30 fraction (see Supplementary Fig. S6 and Fig. 5b). Although the accumulation of RdRp, TGB1, GFP-CP and CP was similar between Col-0 and the *ncbp-1* mutant plants, that of TGB2 and TGB3 drastically decreased in the *ncbp-1* mutant compared to that in Col-0 (Fig. 5a and b). The intensities of protein bands in each leaf sample were quantified (Fig. 5c). We evaluated the ratio of TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP accumulation relative to that of RdRp, since the amount of viral RNA and RdRp was almost the same between the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0 protoplasts (see Supplementary Fig. S5 and Fig. 4). Band quantification confirmed that the amounts of TGB2 and TGB3 drastically decreased in *ncbp-1* leaves compared to Col-0 leaves, while the relative amount of TGB1 and CP in the *ncbp-1* leaves did not differ (Fig. 5c).



Figure 5. Decreased accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3 in the *ncbp* mutant. (a) Accumulation of PIAMV proteins in the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0 plants were agro-inoculated with PIAMV-GFP. Total protein was extracted from inoculated leaves at 4 dpi and subjected to immunoblot analysis using antibodies against TGB1, CP, and RdRp, and antisera recognizing TGB2. Two experimental replicates for PIAMV-agroinfiltrated leaves along with uninoculated controls are indicated. A closed circle indicates nonspecific bands that could also be detected in negative control lanes. Cropped images are shown and the full-length blots are presented in Supplementary Figure S8. Experiments were repeated three times. (b) Accumulation of RdRp and TGB3 proteins in the P30 fraction from the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. Total protein was extracted from the agro-inoculated leaves and subjected to ultracentrifugation. The resulting P30 fraction was analyzed by immunoblotting, using an antibody recognizing RdRp and TGB3. Cropped images are shown and the full-length blots are presented in Supplementary Figure S8. The experiments were replicated twice. (c) Relative accumulation of TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities of the bands for TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities of the bands for TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities of the bands for TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities of the bands for TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities of the bands for TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities of the bands for TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities of the bands for TGB1, TGB2, TGB3, and CP in virus-infected *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0. The intensities a significant difference compared with Col-0 (two-t

.....

nCBP is required for efficient propagation of viruses in *Alphaflexiviridae* and *Betaflexiviridae*. To determine which viruses require nCBP for their efficient accumulation, we mechanically inoculated the *ncbp-1* mutant and Col-0 with viruses from various families and tested their accumulation. In *Alphaflexiviridae*, we analyzed two potexviruses (*Alternanthera mosaic virus* [AltMV] and *Cymbidium mosaic virus* [CymMV]) and a lolavirus (*Lolium latent virus* [LoLV]). Furthermore, a carlavirus (*Potato virus M* [PVM]) in *Betaflexiviridae*, a potyvirus (*Turnip mosaic virus* [TuMV]) in *Potyviridae*, and a tobamovirus (*Youcai mosaic virus* [YoMV]) in *Virgaviridae* were also investigated. The inoculated leaves were harvested at 4 dpi, and total RNA extracted from each leaf was analyzed using RT-qPCR with primers specific to each virus. Accumulation of AltMV, CymMV, LoLV, and PVM in the *ncbp-1* mutant decreased drastically to 14%, 18%, 11%, and 42% of that in Col-0, respectively (Fig. 6a–d), suggesting that nCBP is required for the efficient accumulation of AltMV, CymMV, LoLV, and PVM were recovered in the nCBP-complemented line #3F (Fig. 6a–d). In contrast, TuMV and YoMV propagated in the *ncbp-1* mutant to a similar level as in Col-0 (Fig. 6e,f).

Discussion

We identified *nCBP* as a novel recessive resistance gene against plant viruses in *Alphaflexiviridae* and *Betaflexiviridae*. This identification revealed that all members of the *eIF4E* family (*eIF4E*, *eIFiso4E*, and *nCBP*) can act as recessive resistance genes.

Recessive resistance exhibited by eIF4E deficiency is thought to be caused by the specific use of *eIF4E* family gene products, eIF4E or eIFiso4E, by plant viruses². Generally, plants encode three eIF4E isoforms, namely, eIF4E, eIFiso4E, and nCBP¹⁰. The lack of eIF4E or eIFiso4E does not influence the viability of plants^{16,31,34,35}, presumably due to their redundancy during translation initiation. However, the lack of eIF4E or eIFiso4E does decrease the infectivity of plant viruses; therefore, such viruses are thought to use specific *eIF4E* family gene products during their infection². The specific use of *eIF4E* family gene products may be correlated with the unique translation initiation strategies of plant viruses. In fact, a large number of plant viruses do not possess the cap and/or poly(A) structures in their genomic RNA³⁶. Because both of these structures play a critical role in translation initiation³⁷, these viruses developed unique translation initiation strategies, e.g., recruiting specific eIFs directly to viral RNAs using their own *cis*-acting RNA elements³⁶. Examples include the 3' cap-independent translation element (3' CITE) located within the 3' UTR of viruses in Tombusviridae, *Umbravirus*, and *Luteovirus*. Each virus recruits specific eIFs to the 3' CITE to facilitate the translation of viral proteins³⁸. Therefore, eIF4E-mediated recessive resistance is effective against plant viruses lacking cap and/or poly(A) structures was inhibited in *ncbp* mutant plants. Our results showed that *eIF4E* family genes can serve as recessive resistance genes against viruses with cap and poly(A) structures.

In this study, we showed that cell-to-cell movement of PlAMV was inhibited in the *ncbp* mutant (Fig. 3); thus, we further analyzed the role of nCBP during PlAMV infection. Protoplast transfection assays revealed no significant difference between the *ncbp-1* and Col-0 cells in the accumulation of PlAMV genomic RNA (Fig. 4). This result indicates that nCBP is not required for viral replication at the single-cell level, including translation of viral RdRp and its viral genomic RNA synthesis. In agreement with this result, the level of RdRp in PlAMV-agroinfiltrated leaves of the *ncbp* mutant was similar to that of Col-0 (Fig. 5a). However, the accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3 drastically decreased in PlAMV-infiltrated *ncbp* mutant leaves (Fig. 5a and b), indicating that decreased accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3 drastically decreased in PlAMV-infiltrated *ncbp* mutant. Considering that nCBP is a member of eIF4E isoforms, it is attractive to think that the translation of TGB2 and TGB3 from sgRNA2 may be specifically inactivated in the *ncbp* mutant, becuase sgRNA2 was shown to function as a template for translation of TGB2 and TGB3 in the case of PVX^{26,27}. Otherwise, the stability of TGB2 and TGB3 could be reduced in the mutant. However, since sgRNA2 of PlAMV was below the detectable level in our northern blot analysis (Fig. 4b), it remains also possibile that the synthesis and/or stability of sgRNA2 might be affected during PlAMV infection in the *ncbp* mutant.

In this study, we showed that nCBP-mediated recessive resistance limits viral cell-to-cell movement (Fig. 3). During viral cell-to-cell movement, three potexviral movement proteins, TGB1, TGB2, and TGB3, function in a concerted manner. TGB2 and TGB3 induce formation of ER-derived TGB2/3 vesicles, which are subsequently directed to plasmodesmata (PD)^{39,40}. TGB1 accumulates at the PD only when TGB2 and TGB3 are expressed^{33,40}. TGB1 and TGB2 can increase the PD size exclusion limit^{41,42}. In addition to TGBs, potexviral CP is considered an essential factor to move viral RNA between cells^{43,44}. TGB2/3 vesicles, TGB1, CP, and viral RNA form a layered complex at the PD opening with ER membranes, possibly to promote efficient movement of the viral ribonucleo-proteins⁴⁰. The lack of any one of these movement of PlAMV in the *ncbp* mutant can be explained by the inefficient accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3. Involvement of the eIF4E protein family in translation of movement proteins was reported in CMV²¹. In *A. thaliana cum-1* mutant, which possesses a nonsense mutation in the eIF4E coding sequence, inefficient translation of the CMV 3a movement protein resulted in the inhibition of cell-to-cell movement of the virus²¹. The observation that two unrelated viruses, CMV and PlAMV, utilize specific eIFs for the accumulation of MP supports the importance of controlling viral MP accumulation.

To explore the universal role of nCBP in the plant-virus interaction, we inoculated *ncbp* mutants with viruses from various genera and examined their accumulation levels. We showed that viruses in the genera *Potexvirus*, *Lolavirus*, and *Carlavirus* require nCBP for their accumulation, whereas viruses in the genera *Potyvirus* and *Tobamovirus* do not (Fig. 6). One noticeable characteristic common among potexvirus, lolavirus, and carlavirus is that they encode TGB-type MPs. Considering that nCBP was required for the accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3 of PlAMV (Fig. 5), nCBP may also facilitate the accumulation of TGB2 and TGB3 from lolavirus and carlavirus to promote their movement. Since there are some genera of plant viruses other than *Alphaflexiviridae* and





Betaflexiviridae, such as *Hordeivirus*, *Pomovirus*, *Pecluvirus*, and *Benyvirus*, that encode TGB proteins²⁶, it would be interesting to explore whether these viruses require nCBP for their infection. It would also be interesting to explore whether viruses not encoding TGBs in *Alphaflexiviridae* and *Betaflexiviridae* are influenced by the nCBP mutation. Moreover, it is possible that the *ncbp* mutation is a determinant of the actual recessive resistance of crop cultivars against TGB-encoding viruses, in which the responsible genes for the resistance remain unknown. In addition, the artificial introduction of mutations in the *nCBP* gene may be a novel and robust strategy to provide crops with a virus-resistant trait, similar to eIF4E or eIFiso4E.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions. Seeds of *A. thaliana* mutants, *eif4e* (*cum1-1*²¹), *eif4e1b* (SALK_101805C), *eif4e1c* (SALK_053503C), *ncbp-1* (SALK_131503C), and *ncbp-2* (SALK_146604), were purchased from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center (ABRC; Ohio State University, Columbus, OH). The dSpm insertion line, *eifiso4e*³⁵ was kindly provided by Dr. Karen S. Browning (The University of Texas at Austin). *A. thaliana* was maintained in the growth chamber with 16-h-light/8-h-dark conditions at 22 °C throughout the assays.

Antibodies. Anti-TGB2 and TGB3 antisera were raised in rabbits using purified peptides (TGB2, GDNLHALPHGGRY; TGB3, KQTLHHGTQPSTDL) as antigen (eurofinsgenomics, Tokyo, Japan). The nCBP protein was expressed in *Escherichia coli*, using a pET30a vector, and the purified recombinant protein was used as an antigen. Anti-TGB1, CP and RdRp antibodies were prepared as described previously^{32,43,45}.

Plasmid construction. An infectious cDNA clone and a GFP-expressing vector of a PlAMV isolate⁴⁶ were constructed as described previously^{47,48}. For the complementation assay, the *nCBP* gene with putative promoter and terminator sequences was PCR-amplified with primers Sl-At5g18110-up1374F and Nt-At5g18110-down1011R using total DNA extracted from Col-0 as template (for primer sequences, see Supplementary Table S2). The amplified product was digested with *Sal*I and *Not*I restriction enzymes and inserted between *Sal*I and *Not*I sites of pENTA⁴⁹. To produce pFAST01-nCBPg, the region between attL1 and attL2 containing the nCBP expression cassette was cloned into a binary plasmid vector pFAST01 (Inplanta Innovations Inc., Kanagawa, Japan) using Gateway LR Clonase II enzyme mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA).

To produce RNA probes for the detection of positive- and negative-stranded viral RNA, the PCR-amplified fragment of the 3' terminal region of a PIAMV isolate⁴⁶ (nucleotides from 5,101 to 6,102) was cloned into pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific), generating pCR-Pr-1 (in antisense orientation behind the T7 promoter to produce the negative-stranded RNA detection probe) and pCR-Pr-2 (in sense orientation to produce the positive-stranded RNA detection probe).

Virus isolate and inoculation. Mechanical inoculation with an extract of PlAMV-GFP-infected *N. ben-thamiana* plants and agroinoculation of PlAMV-GFP was performed as described previously⁵⁰. CymMV (accession number, LC125633), AltMV⁵¹, LoLV⁵², YoMV (MAFF number 104033; National Institute of Agribiological Sciences GenBank), PVM (MAFF number 307027), TuMV⁵³, and CMV⁵⁴ were also used for mechanical inoculation. Rosette leaves of three-week-old *A. thaliana* were inoculated with extracts of the upper leaves of *N. benthamiana* or *A. thaliana* plants, which were inoculated with each virus and infected systemically.

Plant transformation. Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 carrying pFAST01-nCBPg was used for transformation of *A. thaliana* by the floral-dip method, as described previously⁵⁵. T1 seeds of transgenic plants were selected by GFP fluorescence expressed from the seed-specific OLE1 promoter encoded by the pFAST01-nCBPg.

Alignment and phylogenetic analysis of nCBP proteins. Sequences of *eIF4E* family genes, excluding *AteIF4E1b* and *AteIF4E1c*, were obtained from EST databases of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and Sol Genomics Network (http://solgenomics.net). Predicted cDNA sequences of *AteIF4E1b* and *AteIF4E1c* were obtained from The *Arabidopsis* Information Resource (TAIR, https://www.arabidopsis.org). Amino acid alignments of the core region¹⁰ (from His-37 to His-200 in *Homo sapiens* eIF4E) were preformed using ClustalW software. Phylogenetic trees were constructed from nucleotide alignments of the core region using the neighbor-joining and boot-strapping algorithms within the Mega 6.0 software.

Quantitative RT-PCR and RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from inoculated leaves or transfected protoplasts using Sepasol-RNA I solution (nacalai tesque, Kyoto, Japan). Total RNA was subjected to DNase treatment (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) followed by reverse transcription using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For RT-qPCR, viral RNA was amplified using the Thermal Cycler Dice Real Time System (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan) with SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa). We used specific primers, PlRep-F3 and PlRep-R3 for PlAMV, AltMV_rt_2280F and AltMV_rt_2425R for AltMV, CymMV-realt6F and CymMV-realt6R for CymMV, LoLV_realt7F and LoLV_realt7R for LoLV, PVM-realt-9F and PVM-realt1R-9R for PVM, TuMV-rt1F and TuMV-rt1R for TuMV, YoMV_rt2F and YoMV_rt2R for YoMV, sGFP-379F and sGFP-486R for *sGFP* mRNA, and actin2F and actin2R for *actin* mRNA. For RT-PCR to detect PlAMV-GFP, we used Pr-det-F and Pr-det-R using cDNA described above as a template.

Northern blot analysis. Total RNA (1 μ g) was analyzed with the digoxigenin (DIG) system (Roche). To produce probes for plus- and minus-strand viral RNA detection, pCR-Pr-1 and pCR-Pr-2 were digested with *Bam*HI restriction enzyme and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase. The intensities of RNA bands were quantitated using ImageJ software v1.40 (National Institutes of Health).

Particle bombardment. Particle bombardment was performed using a Biolistic PDS 1000/He Particle Delivery System (Bio-Rad, California, USA), as described previously⁵⁶. The area showing GFP signal was quantitated using ImageJ software v1.40.

Protoplast preparation and transfection. Arabidopsis protoplast preparation and transfection were performed as described previously⁵⁷ with modifications. We added 0.1 M mannitol to W5 solution. For virus inoculation, 100 μ g of 35S-driven virus infectious clone was added to 300 μ L of protoplast suspension (5 × 10⁶ protoplasts/mL).

Immunoblotting. The agroinfiltrated leaves and transfected protoplasts were harvested at 4 and 3 dpi, respectively. Total protein extracted using RIPA buffer (50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 0.5 w/v% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1 w/v% SDS, 1.0 w/v% NP-40, 100 mM DTT) with cOmpleteTM, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) was denatured in gel sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2 w/v% SDS, 10% glycerol, 100 mM DTT). Preparation of S30 and P30 fractions was performed as described previously³². Protein samples were separated on a 3–8% Tris-Acetate NuPAGE gel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for RdRp, a 4–12% Bis-Tris gel for TGB1 and CP, and a 12% Bis-Tris gel for TGB2 and TGB3. After electrophoresis, proteins were blotted onto a PVDF membrane and detected with Can Get Signal (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan). The membrane was stained with Coomassie brilliant blue as loading controls. The intensities of protein bands were quantitated using ImageJ software v1.40 as described previously⁵⁶.

References

- 1. Kang, B. C., Yeam, I. & Jahn, M. M. Genetics of plant virus resistance. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 43, 581-621 (2005).
- 2. Truniger, V. & Aranda, M. A. Recessive resistance to plant viruses. Adv. Virus Res. 75, 119-159 (2009).
- 3. Díaz-Pendon, J. A. et al. Advances in understanding recessive resistance to plant viruses. Mol. Plant Pathol. 5, 223–233 (2004).
- 4. Sanfaçon, H. Plant translation factors and virus resistance. Viruses 7, 3392–3419 (2015).
- 5. Yang, P. et al. PROTEIN DISULFIDE ISOMERASE LIKE 5-1 is a susceptibility factor to plant viruses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 2104–2109 (2014).
- Ouibrahim, L. et al. Cloning of the Arabidopsis rwm1 gene for resistance to Watermelon mosaic virus points to a new function for natural virus resistance genes. Plant J. 79, 705–716 (2014).
- Gingras, A. C., Raught, B. & Sonenberg, N. eIF4 initiation factors: effectors of mRNA recruitment to ribosomes and regulators of translation. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 68, 913–963 (1999).
- Robaglia, C. & Caranta, C. Translation initiation factors: a weak link in plant RNA virus infection. Trends Plant Sci. 11, 40–45 (2006).
- 9. Ruud, K. A., Kuhlow, C., Goss, D. J. & Browning, K. S. Identification and characterization of a novel cap-binding protein from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 10325–10330 (1998).
- 10. Joshi, B., Lee, K., Maeder, D. L. & Jagus, R. Phylogenetic analysis of eIF4E-family members. BMC Evol. Biol. 28, 48 (2005).
- 11. Bush, M. S. *et al.* Selective recruitment of proteins to 5' cap complexes during the growth cycle in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **59**, 400–412 (2009).
- 12. Kanyuka, K. *et al.* Evidence that the recessive bymovirus resistance locus *rym4* in barley corresponds to the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E gene. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **6**, 449–458 (2005).
- 13. Stein, N. *et al.* The eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E confers multiallelic recessive *Bymovirus* resistance in *Hordeum vulgare* (L.). *Plant J.* **42**, 912–922 (2005).
- 14. Kang, B. C., Yeam, I., Frantz, J. D., Murphy, J. F. & Jahn, M. M. The *pvr1* locus in *Capsicum* encodes a translation initiation factor eIF4E that interacts with *Tobacco etch virus* VPg. *Plant J.* **42**, 392–405 (2005).
- Yeam, I., Cavatorta, J. R., Ripoll, D. R., Kang, B. C. & Jahn, M. M. Functional dissection of naturally occurring amino acid substitutions in eIF4E that confers recessive potyvirus resistance in plants. *Plant Cell* 19, 2913–2928 (2007).
- Lellis, A. D., Kasschau, K. D., Whitham, S. A. & Carrington, J. C. Loss-of-susceptibility mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana reveal an essential role for eIF(iso)4E during potyvirus infection. Curr. Biol. 12, 1046–1051 (2002).
- 17. Ibiza, V. P., Cañizares, J. & Nuez, F. EcoTILLING in *Capsicum* species: searching for new virus resistances. *BMC Genomics* 11, 631 (2010).
- 18. Piron, F. et al. An induced mutation in tomato eIF4E leads to immunity to two potyviruses. PLoS One 5, e11313 (2010).
- 19. Nieto, C. et al. An eIF4E allele confers resistance to an uncapped and non-polyadenylated RNA virus in melon. Plant J. 48, 452–462 (2006).
- Díaz, J. A., Nieto, C., Moriones, E., Truniger, V. & Aranda, M. A. Molecular characterization of a *Melon necrotic spot virus strain* that overcomes the resistance in melon and nonhost plants. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 17, 668–675 (2004).
- 21. Yoshii, M. et al. The Arabidopsis cucumovirus multiplication 1 and 2 loci encode translation initiation factors 4E and 4G. J. Virol. 78, 6102–6111 (2004).
- Truniger, V., Nieto, C., González-Ibeas, D. & Aranda, M. Mechanism of plant eIF4E-mediated resistance against a Carmovirus (*Tombusviridae*): cap-independent translation of a viral RNA controlled *in cis* by an (a)virulence determinant. *Plant J.* 56, 716–727 (2008).
- 23. Sonenberg, N., Shatkin, A. J., Ricciardi, R. P., Rubin, M. & Goodman, R. M. Analysis of terminal structures of RNA from potato virus X. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **5**, 2501–2512 (1978).
- 24. Dolja, V. V., Grama, D. P., Morozov, S. Y. & Atabekov, J. G. Potato virus X-related single- and double-stranded RNAs: Characterization and identification of terminal structures. *FEBS Let.* **214**, 308–312 (1987).
- Verchot-Lubicz, J., Ye, C. M. & Bamunusinghe, D. Molecular biology of potexviruses: recent advances. J. Gen. Virol. 88, 1643–1655 (2007).
- Verchot-Lubicz, J. *et al.* Varied movement strategies employed by triple gene block-encoding viruses. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 23, 1231–1247 (2010).
- Verchot, J., Angell, S. M. & Baulcombe, D. C. In vivo translation of the triple gene block of potato virus X requires two subgenomic mRNAs. J. Virol. 72, 8316–8320 (1998).
- 28. Miller, W. A. & Koev, G. Synthesis of subgenomic RNAs by positive-strand RNA viruses. Virology 273, 1-8 (2000).

- 29. Miglino, R., Druffel, K. L., van Schadewijk, A. R. & Pappu, H. R. Molecular characterization of allium virus X, a new potexvirus in the family *Alphaflexiviridae*, infecting ornamental allium. *Arch. Virol.* **156**, 2113–2115 (2011).
- Li, Y. I., Chen, Y. J., Hsu, Y. H. & Meng, M. Characterization of the AdoMet-dependent guanylyltransferase activity that is associated with the N terminus of bamboo mosaic virus replicase. J. Virol. 75, 782–788 (2001).
- 31. Patrick, R. M. *et al.* Two Arabidopsis loci encode novel eukaryotic initiation factor 4E isoforms that are functionally distinct from the conserved plant eukaryotic initiation factor 4E. *Plant Physiol.* **164**, 1820–1830 (2014).
- 32. Komatsu, K. et al. A necrosis-inducing elicitor domain encoded by both symptomatic and asymptomatic Plantago asiatica mosaic virus isolates, whose expression is modulated by virus replication. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 24, 408–420 (2011).
- Chou, Y. L. et al. The stable association of virion with the triple-gene-block protein 3-based complex of Bamboo mosaic virus. PLoS Pathog. 9, e1003405 (2013).
- Reinbold, C. et al. Closely related poleroviruses depend on distinct translation initiation factors to infect Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 26, 257–265 (2013).
- Duprat, A. et al. The Arabidopsis eukaryotic initiation factor (iso)4E is dispensable for plant growth but required for susceptibility to potyviruses. Plant J. 32, 927–934 (2002).
- 36. Dreher, T. W. & Miller, W. A. Translational control in positive strand RNA plant viruses. Virology 344, 185-197 (2006).
- Gallie, D. R. The cap and poly(A) tail function synergistically to regulate mRNA translational efficiency. *Genes Dev.* 5, 2108–2116 (1991).
- 38. Simon, A. E. & Miller, W. A. 3' cap-independent translation enhancers of plant viruses. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 67, 21-42 (2013).
- Ju, H. J. et al. The potato virus X TGBp2 movement protein associates with endoplasmic reticulum-derived vesicles during virus infection. Plant Physiol. 138, 1877–1895 (2005).
- 40. Tilsner, J. *et al.* Replication and trafficking of a plant virus are coupled at the entrances of plasmodesmata. J. Cell Biol. 201, 981–995 (2013).
- 41. Tamai, A. & Meshi, T. Cell-to-cell movement of *Potato virus X*: the role of p12 and p8 encoded by the second and third open reading frames of the triple gene block. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 14, 1158–1167 (2001).
- 42. Howard, A. R. *et al. Potato virus X* TGBp1 induces plasmodesmata gating and moves between cells in several host species whereas CP moves only in *N. benthamiana* leaves. *Virology* **328**, 185–197 (2004).
- Ozeki, J. et al. The N-terminal region of the Plantago asiatica mosaic virus coat protein is required for cell-to-cell movement but is dispensable for virion assembly. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 22, 677–685 (2009).
- 44. Tilsner, J. et al. The TGB1 movement protein of Potato virus X reorganizes actin and endomembranes into the X-body, a viral replication factory. Plant Physiol. 158, 1359–1370 (2012).
- 45. Okano, Y. et al. In planta recognition of a double-stranded RNA synthesis protein complex by a potexviral RNA silencing suppressor. Plant Cell 26, 2168–2183 (2014).
- 46. Komatsu, K. et al. Nucleotide sequence analysis of seven Japanese isolates of Plantago asiatica mosaic virus (PlAMV): a unique potexvirus with significantly high genomic and biological variability within the species. Arch. Virol. 153, 193–198 (2008).
- Ozeki, J. et al. A single amino acid in the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of Plantago asiatica mosaic virus contributes to systemic necrosis. Arch. Virol. 151, 2067–2075 (2006).
- Minato, N. et al. Efficient foreign gene expression in planta using a plantago asiatica mosaic virus-based vector achieved by the strong RNA-silencing suppressor activity of TGBp1. Arch. Virol. 159, 885–896 (2014).
- Himeno, M. et al. Significantly low level of small RNA accumulation derived from an encapsidated mycovirus with dsRNA genome. Virology 396, 69–75 (2010).
- 50. Yamaji, Y. et al. Lectin-mediated resistance impairs plant virus infection at the cellular level. Plant Cell 24, 778-793 (2012).
- 51. Iwabuchi, N. et al. Complete genome sequence of Alternanthera mosaic virus, isolated from Achyranthes bidentata in Asia. Genome Announc. 4, e00020–16 (2016).
- 52. Vaira, A. M., Maroon-Lango, C. J. & Hammond, J. Molecular characterization of Lolium latent virus, proposed type member of a new genus in the family *Flexiviridae*. Arch. Virol. **153**, 1263–1270 (2008).
- Nomura, K., Ohshima, K., Anai, T., Uekusa, H. & Kita, N. RNA silencing of the introduced coat protein gene of *Turnip mosaic virus* confers broad-spectrum resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. *Phytopathology* 94, 730–736 (2004).
- Suzuki, M. *et al.* Functional analysis of deletion mutants of cucumber mosaic virus RNA3 using an *in vitro* transcription system. *Virology* 183, 106–113 (1991).
- Clough, S. J. & Bent, A. F. Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 16, 735–743 (1998).
- 56. Hagiwara, Y. *et al.* Subcellular localization of host and viral proteins associated with tobamovirus RNA replication. *EMBO J.* **22**, 344–353 (2003).
- 57. Jin, J. B. *et al.* A new dynamin-like protein, ADL6, is involved in trafficking from the *trans*-Golgi network to the central vacuole in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **13**, 1511–1526 (2001).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Karen S. Browning (The University of Texas at Austin) for providing seeds of *eifiso4e* mutant *A. thaliana* and Dr. John Hammond (United States Department of Agriculture) for providing infected leaves by LoLV. This research was supported by Grants-in-Aids for JSPS Fellows Grant number 14J09426, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) Grant number 25221201 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and Science and Technology Research Promotion Program for Agriculture, Forestry, Fisheries and Food Industry.

Author Contributions

T.K., Y.H.-K., M.H., Y.N., Y.Y. and S.N. designed research; T.K., Y.H.-K., H.K., N.I. and S.Ni. performed research; T.K., Y.H.-K., M.H. and Y.Y. analyzed data; and T.K., Y.H.-K., Y.Y. and S.N. wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Keima, T. *et al.* Deficiency of the eIF4E isoform nCBP limits the cell-to-cell movement of a plant virus encoding triple-gene-block proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 39678; doi: 10.1038/ srep39678 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017