Annals of Internal Medicine

Letters

UPDATE ALERTS

Update Alert 7: Masks for Prevention of Respiratory Virus Infections, Including SARS-CoV-2, in Health Care and Community Settings

This is the seventh update alert for a living rapid review (1) on the use of masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings. The first 3 updates (2-4) were monthly, after which the interval was switched to bimonthly (5, 6). After the last update (7), done through 2 June 2021, the interval was extended to biannually. For this update, searches were done from 3 June to 2 December 2021 using the same search methods as the original review. Inclusion was restricted to randomized trials and observational studies that controlled for confounders. Non-peer-reviewed studies were excluded unless they were based on data collected after February 2021, when the Delta variant emerged. The update searches identified 1554 citations. One preprint study (8) done in a health care setting and 6 studies (9-14) done in community settings (including 1 new cluster randomized trial) (9) on masks and SARS-CoV-2 infection met inclusion criteria for this update (Supplement Tables 1 to 3).

COMMUNITY SETTINGS

One new cluster randomized trial (9) and 5 new observational studies (10-14) evaluated the effects of mask use in a community setting and risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In previous updates, the evidence for mask use versus no use for prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection in community settings was previously assessed as low strength favoring mask use, based on 1 prior randomized controlled trial (15) and 3 observational studies (16-18). The new randomized controlled trial was a large cluster randomized trial (>340,000 persons) designed to assess a mask promotion and distribution intervention in Bangladesh (a country with low baseline mask) (Supplement Table 1), with further randomization to surgical or cloth masks along with various other mask promotion interventions (9). Mask promotion intervention villages were associated with decreased symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence (adjusted prevalence ratio, 0.90 [95% CI, 0.82 to 0.995]) and prevalence of COVID-19 symptoms according to World Health Organization criteria (adjusted prevalence ratio, 0.88 [CI, 0.83 to 0.93]) (Supplement Table 5). In an analysis stratified according to mask type, the mask promotion intervention was associated with decreased symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in surgical mask villages (adjusted prevalence ratio, 0.89 [Cl, 0.78 to 0.997]), with no difference in cloth mask villages (adjusted prevalence ratio, 0.94 [Cl, 0.78 to 1.10]). Although no statistical test for a subgroup difference was reported, the CIs of the estimates highly overlapped, suggesting no statistically significant subgroup difference. When stratified by participant age, mask use in surgical mask villages seemed to be most beneficial in those aged 60 years or older, although there was no association between older age and mask effectiveness in the cloth mask villages. The trial was rated fair quality because of the open-label design, failure to perform serologic testing in 60% of symptomatic participants (although the proportion was similar in intervention and control villages), and differential recruitment (slightly higher in mask promotion intervention compared with no intervention villages). Also, the applicability of findings to settings with higher mask use is uncertain.

Five new observational studies (10-14) also provide evidence on mask use in the community and SARS-CoV-2 infection (Supplement Table 2), although all had methodological limitations, including selection and recall bias, and limited ability to control for potential confounders (Supplement Table 4). The new studies consistently found mask use associated with reduced risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection, with adjusted risk estimates ranging from 0.04 to 0.60 (Supplement Table 5). The new evidence was consistent with the previous findings favoring mask use versus no use, and the evidence was slightly strengthened from low to low-moderate, primarily based on the new randomized controlled trial (Supplement Table 6). None of the new observational studies compared mask types.

HEALTH CARE SETTINGS

Prior updates included 4 observational studies (19-22) that provided insufficient evidence to determine the effectiveness of N95 (or equivalent) respirators versus surgical masks in health care settings (Supplement Table 6); all were done before the emergence of the Delta variant. One new cohort study (Supplement Table 2) found that health care workers who primarily used FFP2 (N95 equivalent) masks had decreased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.80 [CI, 0.64 to 1.00]) or seroconversion (adjusted odds ratio, 0.73 [CI, 0.53 to 1.00]) versus health care workers who primarily used surgical masks (Supplement Table 5) (8). In a stratified analysis, the reduction in risk among mostly FFP2 mask users was statistically significant among health care workers with frequent (>20) contacts with patients with COVID-19 (adjusted hazard ratios, 0.66 [CI, 0.54 to 0.81] for SARS-CoV-2 positive polymerase chain reaction and 0.64 [Cl, 0.42 to 0.97] for seroconversion). The new study had methodological limitations, including potential recall bias, and has not yet undergone peer review; in addition, most data were collected before the emergence of the Delta variant. Therefore, the strength of evidence comparing N95 respirators with surgical masks for health care workers remains insufficient because of methodological limitations, imprecision, and inconsistency across studies (Supplement Table 6).

In summary, new evidence slightly strengthened the evidence of benefit of masks versus no masks in community settings to low-moderate, with no change in insufficient strength of evidence for N95 versus surgical masks in health care settings. A final update is planned for 6 months.

Roger Chou, MD

Tracy Dana, MLS

Rebecca Jungbauer, DrPH

Pacific Northwest Evidence-based Practice Center, Department of Medical Informatics and Clinical Epidemiology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon

Disclaimer: The authors of this manuscript are responsible for its content. Statements in the manuscript do not necessarily represent the official views of or imply endorsement by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality or U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Disclosures: Disclosures can be viewed at www.acponline.org/authors/ icmje/ConflictOfInterestForms.do?msNum=L21-0783.

This article was published at Annals.org on 29 March 2022.

Corresponding Author: Roger Chou, MD, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, Mail Code BICC, Portland, OR 97239; e-mail, chour@ohsu.edu.

doi:10.7326/L21-0783

References

1. Chou R, Dana T, Jungbauer R, et al. Masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings. A living rapid review. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173:542-555. [PMID: 32579379] doi:10.7326/M20-3213

2. Chou R, Dana T, Jungbauer R, et al. Update alert: masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings [Letter]. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173:W86. [PMID: 32687391] doi:10.7326/L20-0948

3. Chou R, Dana T, Jungbauer R, et al. Update alert 2: masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings [Letter]. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173:132. [PMID: 32853032] doi:10.7326/L20-1067

4. Chou R, Dana T, Jungbauer R, et al. Update alert 3: masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings [Letter]. Ann Intern Med. 2020;173:169. [PMID: 33105095] doi:10.7326/L20-1292

5. Chou R, Dana T, Jungbauer R, et al. Update alert 4: masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings [Letter]. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174:W24. [PMID: 33370171] doi:10.7326/L20-1429

6. Chou R, Dana T, Jungbauer R, et al. Update alert 5: masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174:W47. [PMID: 33683928] doi:10.7326/L21-0116

7. Chou R, Dana T, Jungbauer R. Update alert 6: masks for prevention of respiratory virus infections, including SARS-CoV-2, in health care and community settings [Letter]. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174:W68. [PMID: 34251900] doi:10.7326/L21-0393

8. Haller S, Güsewell S, Egger T, et al. Use of respirator vs. surgical masks in healthcare personnel and its impact on SARS-CoV-2 acquisition–a prospective multicentre cohort study. medRxiv. Preprint posted online 1 June 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.05.30.21258080.

9. Abaluck J, Kwong LH, Styczynski A, et al. Impact of community masking on COVID-19: a cluster-randomized trial in Bangladesh. Science. 2022;375: eabi9069. [PMID: 34855513] doi:10.1126/science.abi9069

10. Gonçalves MR, Dos Reis RCP, Tólio RP, et al. Social distancing, mask use, and transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, Brazil,

April-June 2020. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27:2135-2143. [PMID: 34087090] doi:10.3201/eid2708.204757

11. Lio CF, Cheong HH, Lei CI, et al. Effectiveness of personal protective health behaviour against COVID-19. BMC Public Health. 2021;21:827. [PMID: 33926406] doi:10.1186/s12889-021-10680-5

12. Rebmann T, Loux TM, Arnold LD, et al. SARS-CoV-2 transmission to masked and unmasked close contacts of university students with COVID-19 - St. Louis, Missouri, January-May 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021;70:1245-1248. [PMID: 34499631] doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7036a3

13. Sharif N, Alzahrani KJ, Ahmed SN, et al. Protective measures are associated with the reduction of transmission of COVID-19 in Bangladesh: a nationwide cross-sectional study. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0260287. [PMID: 34807962] doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0260287

14. Sugimura M, Chimed-Ochir O, Yumiya Y, et al. The association between wearing a mask and COVID-19. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18. [PMID: 34501719] doi:10.3390/ijerph18179131

15. Bundgaard H, Bundgaard JS, Raaschou-Pedersen DET, et al. Effectiveness of adding a mask recommendation to other public health measures to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection in Danish mask wearers. A randomized controlled trial. Ann Intern Med. 2021;174:335-343. [PMID: 33205991] doi:10.7326/M20-6817

16. Wang Y, Tian H, Zhang L, et al. Reduction of secondary transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in households by face mask use, disinfection and social distancing: a cohort study in Beijing, China. BMJ Glob Health. 2020;5. [PMID: 32467353] doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002794

17. Doung-Ngern P, Suphanchaimat R, Panjangampatthana A, et al. Casecontrol study of use of personal protective measures and risk for SARS-CoV 2 infection, Thailand. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26:2607-2616. [PMID: 32931726] doi:10.3201/eid2611.203003

 van den Broek-Altenburg EM, Atherly AJ, Diehl SA, et al.. Jobs, housing, and mask wearing: cross-sectional study of risk factors for COVID-19. JMIR Public Health Surveill. 2021;7:e24320. [PMID: 33315576] doi:10.2196/24320
Piapan L, De Michieli P, Ronchese F, et al. COVID-19 outbreak in healthcare workers in hospitals in Trieste, North-east Italy. J Hosp Infect. 2020;106:626-628. [PMID: 32805309] doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2020.08.012

20. Sims MD, Maine GN, Childers KL, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) seropositivity and asymptomatic rates in healthcare workers are associated with job function and masking. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73:S154-S162. [PMID: 33150375] doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1684

21. Venugopal U, Jilani N, Rabah S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence among health care workers in a New York City hospital: a cross-sectional analysis during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Infect Dis. 2021;102:63-69. [PMID: 33075539] doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.036

22. Fletcher JJ, Feucht EC, Hahn PY, et al. Healthcare-acquired coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is less symptomatic than community-acquired disease among healthcare workers. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2021:1-7. [PMID: 33853694] doi:10.1017/ice.2021.167