www.bjcancer.com

Overexpression of P70 S6 kinase protein is associated with increased risk of locoregional recurrence in node-negative premenopausal early breast cancer patients

JA van der Hage^{1,2,3}, LJCM van den Broek², C Legrand³, PC Clahsen², CJA Bosch⁴, EC Robanus-Maandag⁴, CJH van de Velde¹ and MJ van de Vijver^{*,4}

¹Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands; ²Department of Pathology, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands; ³EORTC Data Center, Avenue E. Mounier 83 b I I, 1200 Brussels, Belgium; ⁴Department of Pathology and Division of Experimental Therapy, Netherlands Cancer Institute, Plesmanlaan 121, 1066 CX Amsterdam, The Netherlands

The RPS6KB1 gene is amplified and overexpressed in approximately 10% of breast carcinomas and has been found associated with poor prognosis. We studied the prognostic significance of P70 S6 kinase protein (PS6K) overexpression in a series of 452 nodenegative premenopausal early-stage breast cancer patients (median follow-up: 10.8 years). Immunohistochemistry was used to assess PS6K expression in the primary tumour, which had previously been analysed for a panel of established prognostic factors in breast cancer. In a univariate analysis, PS6K overexpression was associated with worse distant disease-free survival as well as impaired locoregional control (HR 1.80, *P* 0.025 and HR 2.50, *P* 0.006, respectively). In a multivariate analysis including other prognostic factors, PS6K overexpression remained an independent predictor for poor locoregional control (RR 2.67, *P* 0.003). To our knowledge, P70 S6 kinase protein is the first oncogenic marker that has prognostic impact on locoregional control and therefore may have clinical implications in determining the local treatment strategy in early-stage breast cancer patients.

British Journal of Cancer (2004) 90, 1543–1550. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6601741 www.bjcancer.com

Published online 30 March 2004

© 2004 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: node-negative; premenopausal; early-stage breast cancer; P70 S6 kinase protein; locoregional recurrence

The treatment of breast cancer is guided by risk factors. Approximately 70% of all node-negative breast cancer patients can be cured by locoregional therapy alone. This automatically implies that the remaining 30% of these patients will develop a recurrence despite adequate locoregional therapy. Currently, treatment strategy in breast cancer is based upon tumour stage, grade, and hormone receptor status. Additional prognostic factors are greatly needed, first to select those patients who might benefit from adjuvant systemic therapy and second to optimise locoregional therapy in order to avoid locoregional recurrences.

The prognostic significance of a considerable number of tumour markers has already been investigated but to date, none of these factors can be used to guide the treatment of primary breast cancer.

A recent study by Barlund *et al* (2000a) demonstrated that amplification of a putative tumour marker called P70 S6 kinase protein (PS6K) might be associated with poor outcome in breast cancer. In addition, the authors reported that RPS6KB1 gene amplification and PS6K overexpression are significantly correlated.

The RPS6KB1 gene is located at 17q23 and amplified in approximately 10% of all primary breast cancer cases. PS6K is a ribosomal protein that is involved in the progression from the G1 to S phase of the cell cycle. It is rapidly activated in response to mitogenic stimuli, for example, growth factors, cytokines, and oncogene products (Grove *et al*, 1991; Lane *et al*, 1993; Chou *et al*, 1995; Grammer *et al*, 1996; Thomas *et al*, 1997; Couch *et al*, 1999; Barlund *et al*, 2000b; Wu *et al*, 2000; Latham *et al*, 2001; Monni *et al*, 2001; Andersen *et al*, 2002; Li *et al*, 2002; Sinclair *et al*, 2003).

To study the significance of P70 S6 kinase protein compared with other established prognostic factors in breast cancer, we have tested the prognostic significance of PS6K overexpression in a subset of node-negative premenopausal early breast cancer patients. In this series, we have shown previously that premenopausal node-negative breast cancer patients whose tumours show p53 accumulation have a poor response to one cycle of adjuvant chemotherapy, whereas patients whose tumours have no accumulation of p53 benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy. In addition, we showed Ki-67 overexpression, negative ER status, and young age (<43 years) to be associated with worse prognosis (Clahsen *et al*, 1998).

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

All patients were drawn from EORTC trial 10854 (1986–1991, median follow up 10.8 years). This trial, which randomised 2795 patients, studied whether one course of peri-operative chemother-

^{*}Correspondence: MJ van de Vijver; E-mail: m.vd.vijver@nki.nl Received 22 August 2003; revised 28 January 2004; accepted 28 January 2004; published online 30 March 2004

apy given directly after surgery yields better results in terms of treatment outcome than surgery alone. Peri-operative chemotherapy consisted of one single course of doxorubicin 50 mg m^{-2} , 5fluorouracil 600 mg m^{-2} , and cyclophosphamide 600 mg m^{-2} (FAC), administered intravenously within 36h after surgery. Axillary lymph node-positive premenopausal patients in the peri-operative chemotherapy group were recommended to receive five additional cycles of cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and 5fluorouracil (CMF) postoperatively. Node-positive patients, younger than 50 years, who did not receive peri-operative chemotherapy, were advised to be treated with one conventional course of FAC followed by five cycles of CMF after surgery. At randomisation, patients were stratified for institution, age (≤ 50 years or > 50years), and surgical procedure (breast conserving therapy and modified radical mastectomy). Prolonged adjuvant systemic treatment was left to the discretion of the local investigator (van der Hage et al, 2001).

In total, 676 node-negative premenopausal patients were enrolled in this trial and representative tumour material was collected for 452 patients. Tumour material consisted of formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded tumour blocks. Tumours were histologically typed and graded (Elston *et al*, 1991) centrally by one pathologist; immunohistochemistry to assess the expression of various proteins has been performed. Results of these studies have been reported previously (Clahsen *et al*, 1998). For the present study, assays were reviewed simultaneously by two investigators (MJ Van de Vijver, JA Van der Hage) who had to come to an agreement in case of any uncertainties. During the evaluation of the results, the investigators were blinded for the clinical outcome of the patients.

p70 S6 kinase protein expression

A standard indirect immunoperoxidase protocol with a 3,3'diaminobenzidine/imidazole solution as a chromogen was used. Before incubation with the primary antibody, antigen retrieval was done by boiling the sections in 10 mM citrate buffer for 10 min using a microwave oven. PS6K expression was determined using a polyclonal anti-p70 s6k antibody (sc-230 Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, USA) (1:1000 dilution in PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin). PS6K staining was evaluated in tumour cells and in normal ductal epithelial cells. PS6K staining was scored categorical as: 0 = no staining; 1 = weak cytoplasmic staining; 2 = moderate cytoplasmic staining; 3 = strong cytoplasmic staining. In all cases analysed, the staining was homogeneously distributed in the normal cells and also in the tumour cells. If the difference in staining score between the tumour cells and the normal epithelial ducts was greater or equal than two, tumours were deemed PS6K positive.

RPS6KB1 gene amplification

Two-colour FISH of tumor interphase nuclei was performed according to the ERBB2 short protocol of Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. (Tucson, AZ, USA). The Spectrum Orange-labelled chromosome 17 centromeric probe was purchased from Vysis, Inc. (Downers Grove, IL, USA), the unlabelled bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones for PS6K was isolated from a BAC library (RPCI-13 BAC library, Research Genetics, Inc.). Fluorescent signals were counted in 2×20 non-overlapping nuclei per component. Mapping of the PS6K BAC was verified by FISH on metaphase chromosomes.

Other tumour markers

Previously, tumour sections had been stained and analysed for oestrogen and progesterone receptor status, Ki-67 positivity, P53 expression, HER2 expression, and mitotic index (Clahsen et al, 1998).

Statistical methods

This analysis was based on locoregional control, distant-disease free survival, and overall survival. Locoregional recurrence was defined as the time to locoregional recurrence as a first event. Locoregional recurrences occurring simultaneously or after the diagnosis of distant metastasis or contralateral breast cancer or a secondary primary tumour were censored. Distant disease-free survival was defined as the time to distant metastasis or death, whichever of the events happened first. All variables were first analysed for their prognostic importance in a univariate analysis.

Table I	Patient	characteristics
---------	---------	-----------------

	N = 452
Age (year) Median (range)	44 (24–63)
Local treatment (N (%)) Breast-conserving therapy Mastectomy	368 (81) 84 (19)
Tumour diameter (N (%)) ≤2 cm >2 cm Unknown	278 (62) 148 (33) 26 (6)
Histologic tumour type (N (%)) Infiltrating ductal Infiltrating lobular Other Unknown	316 (70) 34 (8) 91 (20) 11 (2)
Histologic tumour grade (N (%)) I II III Unknown	155 (34) 144 (32) 131 (21) 22 (5)
ER status (N (%)) Positive Negative Unknown	390 (86) 46 (10) 16 (4)
PgR status (N (%)) Positive Negative Unknown	329 (73) 106 (23) 17 (4)
HER2 overexpression (N (%)) Negative Positive Unknown	380 (84) 60 (13) 12 (3)
p53 expression (N (%)) Negative Positive Unknown	359 (79) 81 (18) 12 (3)
Ki-67 (N (%)) Negative Positive Unknown	217 (48) 215 (48) 20 (4)
PS6K Negative Positive Unknown	391 (87) 39 (9) 22 (5)

Eight potential prognostic variables were considered: PS6K (negative vs positive), ER status (negative vs positive), PgR status (negative vs positive), HER2 overexpression (negative vs positive), Ki67 (negative, i.e. $\leq 20\%$ of positive tumour cells, vs positive, >20% positive tumour cells), histologic tumour grade (grade I vs grade II vs grade III), tumour diameter ($T \leq 2$ cm vs T > 2 cm), and p53 (negative vs positive).

To test the independent prognostic significance of PS6K overexpression, we included PS6K together with the previously tested markers into a multivariate Cox regression analysis for overall survival, progression-free survival, distant disease-free survival, and locoregional control. Only markers that were significant predictors in the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis.



A Cox proportional hazards model was used for the univariate and multivariate analyses (Cox, 1972). For factors with only two levels the second one was compared to the first one, while for factors with more than two levels dummy variables were used to compare each level to the first one. Patients who had missing information for any of the variables in the analysis were excluded when this variable was included in the model. All tests were twosided with a 5% alpha level.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. At the time of the analysis, the median follow-up period was 10.8 years, 80 (18%) of

Table 2 Event rates

		Number of events					
Patient characteristics	N = 452	Overall survival	Locoregional recurrence (first event)	Distant disease-free survival			
Local treatment (N (%))							
Breast-conserving therapy	368 (81)	65 (18)	58 (16)	102 (28)			
Mastectomy	84 (19)	15 (18)	9 (11)	24 (29)			
Tumour diameter (N (%))							
≤2 cm	278 (62)	34 (12)	41 (15)	68 (24)			
> 2 cm	148 (33)	40 (27)	21 (14)	51 (34)			
Unknown	26 (6)	6 (23)	5 (19)	7 (27)			
Histologic tumour type (N (%))							
Infiltrating ductal	316 (70)	60 (19)	48 (15)	91 (29)			
Infiltrating lobular	34 (8)	4 (12)	9 (26)	7 (21)			
Other	91 (20)	14 (15)	8 (9)	25 (27)			
Unknown	(2)	2 (18)	2 (18)	3 (27)			
Histologic tumour grade (N (%))							
1	155 (34)	10 (6)	23 (15)	30 (19)			
II	144 (32)	30 (21)	27 (19)	45 (31)			
111	3 (2)	36 (27)	4 ()	47 (36)			
Unknown	22 (5)	4 (18)	3 (14)	4 (18)			
ER status (N (%))							
Positive	390 (86)	64 (16)	60 (15)	104 (27)			
Negative	46 (10)	13 (28)	4 (9)	18 (39)			
Unknown	16 (4)	3 (19)	3 (19)	4 (25)			
PgR status (N (%))							
Positive	329 (73)	5 (16)	53 (16)	90 (27)			
Negative	106 (23)	27 (25)	12 (11)	33 (31)			
Unknown	17 (4)	2 (12)	2 (12)	3 (18)			
HER2 overexpression (N (%))							
Negative	380 (84)	66 (17)	52 (14)	107 (28)			
Positive	60 (13)	12 (20)	13 (22)	16 (27)			
Unknown	12 (3)	2 (17)	2 (17)	3 (25)			
P53 expression (N (%))							
Negative	359 (79)	59 (16)	47 (13)	99 (28)			
Positive	81 (18)	19 (23)	18 (22)	24 (30)			
Unknown	12 (3)	2 (17)	2 (17)	3 (25)			
Ki-67 (N (%))							
Negative	217 (48)	19 (9)	36 (17)	43 (20)			
Positive	215 (48)	59 (27)	27 (13)	78 (36)			
Unknown	20 (4)	2 (10)	4 (20)	5 (25)			
PS6K							
Negative	391 (87)	66 (17)	52 (13)	102 (26)			
Positive	39 (9)	8 (21)	11 (28)	17 (44)			
Unknown	22 (5)	6 (27)	4 (18)	7 (32)			

the 452 patients had died, 126 (29%) patients had experienced distant metastases or death, and 67 (15%) patients experienced a locoregional recurrence as first event (see Table 2). PS6K expression levels could be assessed in 430 tumours. In all, 39 tumours (9%) showed PS6K overexpression (Table 1). Examples of PS6K overexpression are shown in Figure 1A & B.

Univariate analyses

1546

In the univariate analyses, we could not confirm a significant association between PS6K overexpression and overall survival (Table 3). However, PS6K overexpression was a significant predictor for increased risk of locoregional recurrence (HR 2.50, 95% CI 1.30–4.81, P = 0.006) and of developing distant metastases (HR 1.80, 95% CI 1.08–3.01, P = 0.025).

Multivariate analyses

Apart from PS6K, p53 was the only significant risk factor for locoregional recurrence in the univariate analysis. When including these two factors in a multivariate model, PS6K appears as the only independent prognostic factor for locoregional control predicting a poor control rate in PS6K overexpressing cases (HR 2.67, 95% CI 1.39-5.14, P = 0.003, Table 4).

Variables significantly associated with distant disease-free survival in the univariate analysis were PS6K, ER status, Ki67, grade, and tumour diameter. In a multivariate model including all these factors, Ki-67 overexpression was the only independent prognostic factor associated with poor distant disease-free survival (HR 1.79, 95% CI 1.11-2.91, P=0.018, Table 4). PS6K as a prognostic factor did not remain significant in the multivariate analysis. In addition, Ki-67



Figure I (A & B) Two cases of PS6K overexpressing breast cancer tumours.

JA van der Hage et al

1547

Table 3 Univariate analyses all patients (N = 430)

Marker	Locoregional control			Distant disease-free survival			Overall survival		
	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value
PS6K+	2.50	1.30-4.81	0.006	1.80	1.08-3.01	0.025	1.27	0.61-2.64	0.526
ER+	1.38	0.50-3.79	0.536	0.54	0.33-0.90	0.017	0.50	0.27-0.90	0.021
PgR+	1.31	0.70-2.45	0.403	0.81	0.54-1.20	0.287	0.55	0.35-0.88	0.013
HER2+	1.64	0.89-3.01	0.111	0.84	0.56-1.60	0.95	1.17	0.63-2.17	0.617
Ki 67+	0.96	0.58-1.58	0.856	2.24	1.54-3.25	< 0.000 l	3.74	2.22-6.27	< 0.000 l
Grade									
l vs l	1.42	0.81-2.48	0.216	1.75	1.10-2.77	0.018	3.46	1.70-7.08	0.0007
ll vs l	0.93	0.48-1.80	0.820	2.28	1.41-3.60	0.0004	5.09	2.53-10.26	< 0.000 l
Diameter									
$r > 2 \mathrm{cm}$	1.13	0.68-1.91	0.651	1.61	1.12-2.31	0.011	2.43	1.54-3.85	0.0001
p53+	1.85	1.07-3.18	0.027	1.07	0.69-1.67	0.763	1.47	0.87-2.46	0.148

Table 4 Multivariate analyses all patients (N = 430)

Locoregional recurrence							
Marker	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value				
PS6K+ p53 positivity	2.67 1.67	1.39-5.14 0.95-2.96	0.003 0.076				
Marker	Risk ratio	95% CI	P-value				
Distant disease-free surviv	al . ==						
PS6K+	1.52	0.8/-2.64	0.139				
ER+	0.93	0.50-2.91	0.810				
Ki67 (≥20%)	1.79	1.11-2.91	0.018				
Grade III vs I/II	1.07	0.78-1.45	0.689				
Diameter >2 cm	1.29	0.86-1.94	0.221				
Overall survival							
ER+	1.17	0.56-2.43	0.685				
PgR+	1.01	0.57-1.80	0.972				
Ki67 (≥20%)	2.84	1.44-5.59	0.003				
Grade III vs I/II	1.33	0.88 - 2.00	0.174				
Diameter >2 cm	163	0.99 - 2.69	0.055				
		2 2.107	5.000				

overexpression was an independent significant predictor for poor overall survival.

PS6K overexpression in patients who underwent breastconserving treatment

In all, 368 patients underwent breast-conserving therapy. Event rates are shown in Table 5. The prognostic impact of PS6K was similar to that of the overall population. PS6K remained a predictor of poor locoregional control (HR 2.83, 95% CI 1.42– 5.62, P = 0.003) but not for overall survival (HR1.44, 95 CI 0.66– 3.18, P = 0.36) (Table 6). In the multivariate analyses, Ki67 remained an independent predictor for distant disease (RR 1.78, 95% CI 1.03–3.07, P = 0.038). Tumour grade remained an independent prognostic factor for poor survival (RR 1.63, 95% CI 1.04–2.53, P = 0.032) (Table 7).

FISH

A tissue microarray (TMA) was constructed from 12 tumours that showed PS6K overexpression, as assessed by immunohistochemistry. Amplification was studied using FISH by hybridising the TMA to a PS6K BAC probe and a CEP17 chromosome 17 centromeric probe. Probe signals and CEP17 signals were counted in each nucleus and a ratio of mean probe signal to mean CEP17 signal was calculated. Ratios of ≥ 2 were scored as amplification. Eight of the 12 tumours with PS6K overexpression (75%) showed PS6K gene amplification, which is in accordance with the data shown by Barlund *et al* (2000a).

Correlation between HER2 and PS6K

As the PS6K gene and the HER2 gene are both located on chromosome 17, and amplification has been reported to occur in both genes simultaneously, we studied the correlation of PS6K expression and HER2 expression and between PS6K expression and Ki67 expression, respectively. Based on available data, we found a significant association between PS6K and HER2 expression (Fisher's exact test (two sided) P = 0.01), whereas no significant association was found between PS6K positivity and Ki67 positivity (Fisher's exact test (two sided) P = 0.24).

DISCUSSION

We have found that P70 S6 kinase protein overexpression in breast cancer is associated with increased risk of locoregional recurrence. To our knowledge, no other oncogenic markers as predictors of locoregional recurrence have been identified previously. At present, the common risk factors for local control after breast-conserving treatment are: patient age, margin status, and the presence of an extensive intraductal component (De la Rochefor-diere *et al*, 1993; Elkhuizen *et al*, 1998; Voogd *et al*, 1999, 2001). The addition of new predictive markers for locaregional recurrence may help in guiding the optimal type of local therapy. This is of particular importance since local therapy does not only have an impact on locoregional control but also on survival (Shukla *et al*, 1999; Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG), 2000).

P70 S6 kinase protein overexpression was associated with an increased risk of locoregional recurrence when all patients were analysed. The majority of the patients (N=368) underwent breast-conserving treatment. When these patients were analysed separately. PS6K remained an independent predictor of locoregional recurrence. In the univariate analysis, p53 overexpression was also associated with an increased risk of locoregional recurrence (HR 1.85, P=0.027); however, this was not the case for the subset of patients who underwent breast-conserving therapy (data not shown). In addition, 5-year follow-up results concerning the impact of P53 and PS6K status on locoregional control

1548

 Table 5
 Pts who underwent breast-conserving therapy

Patient characteristics N=368 Overall survival Locoregional recurrence (first event) Distant disease-free survival Turnar diameter (N (%)) $\geq 2 cm$ 249 (68) 32 (13) 38 (15) 36 (36) $\geq 2 cm$ 100 (27) 27 (27) 18 (15) 36 (36) $\geq 2 cm$ 19 (5) 6 (32) 5 (28) 7 (37) Histodig: turnaur type (N (%)) 19 (5) 2 (27) 3 (19) 3 (19) Histodig: turnaur type (N (%)) 11 (16) 73 (29) 3 (19) 12 (29) Infinitrating boblar 77 (21) 13 (16) 8 (10) 2 (27) 3 (17) Histodig: turnour grade (N (%)) 12 (43) 2 (21) 19 (15) 11 (17) 14 (35) 13 (19) 4 (25) Histodig: turnour grade (N (%)) 107 (27) 30 (28) 11 (10) 37 (35) 14 (35) Persone (N (%)) 11 (3) 3 (27) 3 (27) 4 (27) 13 (39) Unknown 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) 3 (23) Negatito (N (%)) Negatito (13)			Number of events					
Tumour diameter (N (%)) ≥ 2 cm 249 (68) 32 (13) 38 (15) 59 (24) > 2 cm 100 (27) 27 (27) 15 (15) 36 (36) Unknown 19 (5) 6 (32) 5 (26) 7 (37) Histoligic tumour type (N (%)) Infiltrating dotatal 260 (71) 48 (18) 41 (16) 73 (28) Infiltrating dotatal 22 (6) 2 (9) 7 (32) 3 (14) Other 79 (21) 13 (16) 8 (10) 23 (29) Unknown 7 (2) 2 (29) 2 (29) 3 (43) Histoligic tumour grade (N (%)) 1 124 (34) 6 (2) 19 (15) 21 (17) II 107 (29) 30 (28) 11 (10) 37 (35) Unknown II 107 (29) 32 (29) 13 (19) 4 (25) 4 (37) Postove 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) Negative 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown 11 (3) 3 (27) 4 (37) Pg R rotus (N (%)) Postove 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (3)	Patient characteristics	N = 368	Overall survival	Locoregional recurrence (first event)	Distant disease-free survival			
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} \geq 2 cm & 249 (66) & 32 (13) & 38 (15) & 59 (24) \\ \Rightarrow 2 cm & 100 (27) & 27 (27) & 15 (15) & 36 (36) \\ \hline Unknown & 19 (5) & 6 (32) & 5 (26) & 7 (37) \\ \hline Hittopic turnour type (N (80) \\ Infiltrating dotatal & 22 (6) & 2 (9) & 7 (33) & 31 (14) \\ \hline Other & 22 (6) & 2 (9) & 7 (33) & 31 (14) \\ \hline Other & 79 (21) & 13 (16) & 8 (10) & 23 (29) \\ Unknown & 7 (2) & 2 (29) & 2 (29) & 3 (43) \\ \hline Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & \\ Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & & \\ Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & & & \\ Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & & & \\ Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & & & \\ Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & & & \\ Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & & & \\ Hittopic turnour grade (N (80)) & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & 319 (87) & 52 (16) & 51 (16) & 85 (27) & & & & & & \\ Positive & 319 (87) & 52 (16) & 51 (16) & 85 (27) & & & & & & \\ Positive & 319 (87) & 52 (16) & 51 (16) & 85 (27) & & & & & & \\ Positive & 312 (87) & 52 (16) & 51 (16) & 85 (27) & & & & & & \\ Positive & 312 (85) & 54 (17) & 45 (17) & 77 (29) & & & & & \\ PigR status (N (80) & & & & & & & & & & & \\ Positive & 87 (24) & 18 (21) & 21 (25) & 2 (25) & & & & & & & \\ Positive & 87 (24) & 18 (21) & 21 (25) & 2 (25) & & & & & & \\ Positive & 312 (85) & 54 (17) & 44 (14) & 87 (28) & \\ Positive & 70 (19) & 16 (23) & 14 (20) & 22 (31) & & & & \\ Negative & 175 (48) & 16 (9) & 92 (25) & 2 (25) & & & & & \\ Negative & 175 (48) & 16 (9) & 97 (26) & 23 (13) & 3 (39) \\ Positive & 70 (19) & 16 (23) & 14 (20) & 22 (31) & & & & & \\ Negative & 175 (48) & 16 (9) & 97 (25) & 21 (13) & 3 (15) \\ Positive & 18 (149) & 47 (26) & 23 (13) & 3 (15) \\ Positive & 10 (08) & 7 (23) & 10 (33) & 13 (31) \\ Positive & 30 (8) & 7 (23) & 10 (33) & 13 (31) \\ Positi$	Tumour diameter (N (%))							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≥2 cm	249 (68)	32 (13)	38 (15)	59 (24)			
Uknown 19 (5) 6 (32) 5 (26) 7 (37) Histologic turnour type (N (%)) Inflitzating lobular 22 (6) 2 (9) 7 (32) 3 (4) Other 79 (21) 13 (16) 8 (10) 23 (29) 3 (4) Other 79 (21) 13 (16) 8 (10) 23 (29) 3 (43) Histologic turnour grade (N (%))	> 2 cm	100 (27)	27 (27)	15 (15)	36 (36)			
Histologic turnour type (N (%)) Imitation of the following of	Unknown	19 (5)	6 (32)	5 (26)	7 (37)			
Inificating lockal 260 (71) 48 (18) 41 (16) 73 (28) Inificating lockal 79 (21) 13 (16) 8 (10) 23 (29) Unknown 7 (2) 2 (29) 3 (43) Histologic tumour grade (N (%)) I 24 (34) 6 (2) 19 (15) 21 (17) I 1 12 (133) 26 (21) 25 (21) 40 (33) III 10 (1072) 30 (28) 11 (00) 37 (35) Unknown 16 (4) 3 (19) 3 (19) 4 (25) ER atous (N (%)) Positive 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) Negative 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) Negative 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown 11 (3) 3 (27) 3 (27) 4 (37) Positive 268 (73) 45 (17) 45 (17) 77 (29) Negative 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) Unknown 13 (4) 22 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) FE2 atous (N (%)) HE2 atous (N (%)) Negative 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) p^{53} expression (N (%)) Negative 48 (13) 9 (19) 12 (25) 12 (25) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) p^{53} expression (N (%)) Negative 290 (79) 47 (16) 42 (14) 77 (27) Positive 70 (19) 16 (23) 14 (20) 22 (31) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) p^{53} expression (N (%)) Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 32 (18) 33 (19) Positive 70 (19) 16 (23) 14 (20) 22 (31) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) p^{56} Negative 30 (8) 77 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) p^{56} Negative 30 (8) 7 (23) 13 (33) p^{56} p	Histologic tumour type (N (%))							
Infitzing lobular 22 (6) 2 (9) 7 (2) 3 (14) Other 7 (2) 2 (29) 2 (29) 3 (3) Histologic tumour grade (N (%)) 1 12 (33 (26) (29) 3 (12) I 12 (33 (26) (21) 25 (21) 40 (33) III 107 (29) 30 (28) 11 (10) 37 (35) Unknown 16 (4) 3 (19) 4 (25) ER status (N (%)) P P P Positive 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) Negative 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown 11 (3) 3 (27) 4 (37) Pg status (N (%)) P P P P Positive 268 (73) 4 5 (17) 4 (17) 77 (29) Negative 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) Unknown 13 (4) 2 (15) 3 (23) HE2 overexpression (N (%)) P P P P Negative 30 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) p25 expression (N (%	Infiltrating ductal	260 (71)	48 (18)	41 (16)	73 (28)			
Other 79 (1) 13 (16) 8 (10) 23 (29) Histologic tumour grade (N (%)) 1 (29) 2 (29) 3 (43) Histologic tumour grade (N (%)) 1 (21) 25 (21) 40 (33) III 101 (23) 30 (28) 11 (10) 37 (35) Unknown 16 (4) 3 (19) 3 (19) 4 (25) ER status (N (%)) P Postive 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Postive 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) 4 (37) PgR status (N (%)) P 77 (29) 3 (23) 4 (37) 77 (29) Negative 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) 22 (25) 3 (23) PgR status (N (%)) P 2 (15) 2 (15) 3 (23) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown 11 (3) 3 (27) 4 5 (17) 77 (29) 3 (23) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (23) 12 (25	Infiltrating lobular	22 (6)	2 (9)	7 (32)	3 (14)			
Unknown 7 (2) 2 (29) 2 (29) 3 (43) Histologic tumour grade (N (%)) 1 124 (34) 6 (2) 19 (15) 21 (17) 1 121 (33) 26 (21) 25 (21) 40 (33) 11 107 (29) 30 (28) 11 (10) 37 (35) Unknown 16 (4) 3 (19) 3 (19) 4 (25) ER status (N (%)) Positive 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) Negative 318 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown 11 (3) 3 (27) 3 (27) 3 (21) 77 (29) Positive 287 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) 3 (23) HE2 overexpression (N (%)) Positive 34 (2) 2 (15) 3 (23) 3 (38) PS 48 (13) 9 (19) 12 (25) 3 (28) 2 (14) 77 (27) Positive 38 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) 3 (19) 22 (31) 3 (38) PS atrow (N (%)) <t< td=""><td>Other</td><td>79 (21)</td><td>13 (16)</td><td>8 (10)</td><td>23 (29)</td></t<>	Other	79 (21)	13 (16)	8 (10)	23 (29)			
Histologic turnour grade (N (%)) I 124 (34) 6 (2) 19 (15) 21 (1) II 107 (29) 30 (28) 11 (10) 37 (35) Unknown 16 (4) 3 (19) 4 (25) ER status (N (%)) P P P P Positive 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) Negative 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown 11 (3) 3 (27) 3 (27) 4 (37) PgR status (N (%)) P P Positive 77 (29) Negative 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) 3 (23) HER2 overexpression (N (%)) P P P P Negative 87 (28) P Positive 48 (13) 9 (19) 12 (25) 12 (25) 12 (25) 12 (25) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (31) 3 (39) P 9 12 (25) 3 (39) PS3 expression (N (%)) M M P 12 (25) 3 (39) 14 (20) 22 (31) <t< td=""><td>Unknown</td><td>7 (2)</td><td>2 (29)</td><td>2 (29)</td><td>3 (43)</td></t<>	Unknown	7 (2)	2 (29)	2 (29)	3 (43)			
LLL/4 (24)6 (2)19 (15)21 (17)II121 (33)26 (21)25 (21)40 (33)III107 (29)30 (28)11 (10)37 (35)Unknown16 (4)3 (19)3 (19)4 (25)ER struts (N (%))TT13 (34)Positive38 (10)10 (26)4 (11)13 (34)Unknown11 (3)3 (27)3 (27)4 (37)PgR struts (N (%))TTT77 (29)Negative268 (73)45 (17)45 (17)77 (29)Negative87 (24)18 (21)11 (13)22 (25)Unknown13 (4)2 (15)2 (15)3 (23)HER2 overexpression (N (%))TT44 (14)87 (28)Positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)12 (25)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38) p^{53} expression (N (%))TTTTNegative290 (79)47 (16)42 (14)T7 (27)Positive70 (19)16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)3 (38)65 (36)Vortive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (25)4 (33)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (23)4 (33)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3) <td>Histologic tumour grade (N (%))</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Histologic tumour grade (N (%))							
II 12 (33) 26 (21) 25 (21) 40 (33) III 107 (29) 30 (28) 11 (10) 37 (35) ER 16 (4) 3 (19) 3 (19) 4 (25) ER status (N (%)) 7 85 (27) 4 (37) Positive 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown II (3) 3 (27) 3 (27) 4 (37) PgR status (N (%)) 77 (29) 3 (27) 4 (37) Positive 268 (73) 45 (17) 45 (17) 77 (29) Negative 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) Unknown 13 (4) 2 (15) 2 (15) 3 (23) HER2 overexpression (N (%)) Negative 81 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) p53 expression (N (%)) Negative 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) p53 expression (N (%)) Negative 70 (19) 16 (23) 14 (20) 22 (31) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) 3 (19) positive 181 (49) 4		124 (34)	6 (2)	19 (15)	21 (17)			
III107 (29)30 (28)11 (10)37 (35)Unknown16 (4)3 (19)3 (19)4 (25)ER status (N (%))FFFPositive38 (10)10 (26)4 (11)13 (34)Unknown11 (3)3 (27)3 (27)4 (37)PgR status (N (%))FFFPositive268 (73)45 (17)45 (17)Positive87 (24)18 (21)11 (13)22 (25)Unknown13 (4)2 (15)2 (15)3 (23)HER2 overexpression (N (%))FFFNegative312 (85)54 (17)44 (14)87 (28)Positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)12 (25)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38) p^{53} expression (N (%))FFFNegative290 (79)47 (16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38) p^{55} Megative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Negative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (25)4 (33)P56KNegative30 (8)7 (23)10 (33)13 (43)Unknown16 (6)4 (25)3 (19)6 (38)Positive30 (8)7 (23)10 (33)13 (43)Unknown16 (6)4 (25)3 (19)6	11	121 (33)	26 (21)	25 (21)	40 (33)			
Unknown16 (4)3 (19)3 (19)4 (25)ER status (N (%))Positive319 (87)52 (16)51 (16)85 (27)Negative38 (10)10 (26)4 (11)13 (34)Unknown11 (3)3 (27)3 (27)4 (37)PgR status (N (%))Positive268 (73)45 (17)45 (17)Positive268 (73)45 (17)45 (17)77 (29)Negative13 (4)2 (15)2 (15)3 (23)HER2 overexpression (N (%))Negative312 (85)54 (17)44 (14)87 (28)Positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)12 (25)3 (38)positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)3 (38)positive70 (19)16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)kc-67 (N (%))Negative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Negative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (7)3 (25)4 (33)PS6KNegative30 (8)7 (23)16 (38)Negative30 (8)7 (23)4 (34)13 (43)Unknown16 (4)4 (25)3 (19)6 (38)	111	107 (29)	30 (28)	11 (10)	37 (35)			
ER status (N (%)) Positive 319 (87) 52 (16) 51 (16) 85 (27) Negative 38 (10) 10 (26) 4 (11) 13 (34) Unknown 11 (3) 3 (27) 3 (27) 4 (37) PgR status (N (%)) Positive 268 (73) 45 (17) 45 (17) 77 (29) Negative 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) 3 (23) HER2 overexpression (N (%)) Negative 87 (28) 9 (19) 12 (25) 12 (25) Negative 48 (13) 9 (19) 12 (25) 12 (25) 12 (25) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) <i>p53 expression (N (%))</i> Negative 290 (79) 47 (16) 42 (14) 77 (27) Negative 290 (79) 47 (16) 42 (14) 22 (31) 21 (31) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) <i>Ki-67 (N (%))</i> Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 23 (13) 63 (35) Volucown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) 63 (35) Positiv	Unknown	16 (4)	3 (19)	3 (19)	4 (25)			
Positive319 (87)52 (16)51 (16)85 (27)Negative38 (10)10 (26)4 (11)13 (34)UnknownII (3)3 (27)3 (27)4 (37)PgR status (N (%)) </td <td>ER status (N (%))</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ER status (N (%))							
Negative $38\ (10)$ $10\ (26)$ $4\ (11)$ $13\ (34)$ Unknown 11 (3) $3\ (27)$ $3\ (27)$ $3\ (27)$ $4\ (37)$ PgR status (N (%)) Positive $268\ (72\ (4)$ $45\ (17)$ $47\ (29)$ Negative $87\ (24)$ $18\ (21)$ $11\ (13)$ $22\ (25)$ Unknown $13\ (4)$ $2\ (15)$ $2\ (15)$ $3\ (23)$ HER2 overexpression (N (%)) Negative $48\ (13)$ $9\ (19)$ $12\ (25)$ $3\ (28)$ positive $48\ (13)$ $9\ (19)$ $12\ (25)$ $3\ (38)$ pS3 expression (N (%)) Negative $8\ (2)$ $2\ (25)$ $3\ (38)$ pS3 expression (N (%)) Negative $70\ (19)$ $16\ (23)$ $14\ (20)$ $22\ (31)$ Unknown $8\ (2)$ $2\ (25)$ $2\ (25)$ $3\ (38)$ Ki-67 (N (%)) Negative $175\ (48)$ $16\ (9)$ $32\ (18)$ $33\ (19)$ Positive $181\ (49)$ $47\ (26)$ $22\ (13)$ $4\ (33)$ <t< td=""><td>Positive</td><td>319 (87)</td><td>52 (16)</td><td>51 (16)</td><td>85 (27)</td></t<>	Positive	319 (87)	52 (16)	51 (16)	85 (27)			
UnknownII (3)3 (27)3 (27)4 (37)PgR status (N (%)) Positive268 (73)45 (17)45 (17)77 (29)Negative87 (24)18 (21)11 (13)22 (25)Unknown13 (4)2 (15)2 (15)3 (23)HER2 overexpression (N (%)) Negative312 (85)54 (17)44 (14)87 (28)Positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)12 (25)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)p53 expression (N (%)) Negative70 (19)16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)p53 expression (N (%)) Negative70 (19)16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)p54 expression (N (%)) Negative75 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Negative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (25)4 (33)P56K Negative32 (88)54 (17)45 (14)83 (26)Positive30 (8)7 (23)10 (33)13 (43)Unknown16 (4)4 (25)3 (19)6 (38)	Negative	38 (10)	10 (26)	4 (11)	13 (34)			
PgR status (N (%)) Positive 268 (73) 45 (17) 45 (17) 77 (29) Negative 87 (24) 18 (21) 11 (13) 22 (25) Unknown 13 (4) 2 (15) 3 (23) HER2 overexpression (N (%)) Negative 312 (85) 54 (17) 44 (14) 87 (28) Positive 48 (13) 9 (19) 12 (25) 12 (25) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) pS3 expression (N (%)) 77 (27) Negative 290 (79) 47 (16) 42 (14) 77 (27) Positive 70 (19) 16 (23) 14 (20) 22 (31) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) K-67 (N (%)) 33 (19) Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 32 (18) 33 (19) Positive 181 (49) 47 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K	Unknown	(3)	3 (27)	3 (27)	4 (37)			
Positive268 (73)45 (17)45 (17)77 (29)Negative87 (24)18 (21)11 (13)22 (25)Unknown13 (4)2 (15)2 (15)3 (23)HER2 overexpression (N (%))Negative312 (85)54 (17)44 (14)87 (28)Positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)12 (25)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)p53 expression (N (%))Negative290 (79)47 (16)42 (14)77 (27)Positive70 (19)16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)Ki-67 (N (%))Negative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (25)4 (33)PS6KNegative30 (8)7 (23)10 (33)13 (43)Unknown16 (4)4 (25)3 (19)6 (38)	PgR status (N (%))							
Negative $87 (24)$ $18 (21)$ $11 (13)$ $22 (25)$ Unknown $13 (4)$ $2 (15)$ $2 (15)$ $3 (23)$ HER2 overexpression (N (%))Negative $312 (85)$ $54 (17)$ $44 (14)$ $87 (28)$ Positive $48 (13)$ $9 (19)$ $12 (25)$ $12 (25)$ Unknown $8 (2)$ $2 (25)$ $2 (25)$ $3 (38)$ $p53 expression (N (%))$ Negative $290 (79)$ $47 (16)$ $42 (14)$ $77 (27)$ Negative $290 (79)$ $47 (16)$ $42 (14)$ $27 (23)$ Positive $70 (19)$ $16 (23)$ $14 (20)$ $22 (31)$ Unknown $8 (2)$ $2 (25)$ $2 (25)$ $3 (38)$ Ki-67 (N (%))Negative $175 (48)$ $16 (9)$ $32 (18)$ $33 (19)$ Positive $18 (49)$ $47 (26)$ $23 (13)$ $65 (36)$ Unknown $12 (3)$ $2 (17)$ $3 (25)$ $4 (33)$ Positive $181 (49)$ $47 (26)$ $23 (13)$ $65 (36)$ Unknown $12 (3)$ $2 (17)$ $3 (25)$ $4 (33)$ Positive $30 (8)$ $54 (17)$ $45 (14)$ $83 (26)$ Optimized $32 (88)$ $54 (17)$ $45 (14)$ $83 (26)$ Optimized $30 (8)$ $7 (23)$ $10 (33)$ $13 (43)$ Unknown $16 (4)$ $4 (25)$ $3 (19)$ $6 (38)$	Positive	268 (73)	45 (17)	45 (17)	77 (29)			
Unknown13 (4)2 (15)2 (15)3 (23)HER2 overexpression (N (%))Negative312 (85)54 (17)44 (14)87 (28)Positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)12 (25)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)psitive290 (79)47 (16)42 (14)77 (27)Positive70 (19)16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)Ki-67 (N (%))Negative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (25)4 (33)PS6KNegative322 (88)54 (17)45 (14)83 (26)Positive30 (8)7 (23)10 (33)13 (43)Unknown16 (4)4 (25)3 (19)6 (38)	Negative	87 (24)	18 (21)	11 (13)	22 (25)			
HER2 overexpression (N (%)) HER2 overexpression (N (%)) HER2 (85) 54 (17) 44 (14) 87 (28) Positive 48 (13) 9 (19) 12 (25) 12 (25) 12 (25) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) $p53$ expression (N (%)) Negative 290 (79) 47 (16) 42 (14) 77 (27) Positive 70 (19) 16 (23) 14 (20) 22 (31) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) Kr-67 (N (%)) Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 32 (18) 33 (19) Positive 181 (49) 47 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K Negative 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Unknown	13 (4)	2 (15)	2 (15)	3 (23)			
Negative312 (85)54 (17)44 (14)87 (28)Positive48 (13)9 (19)12 (25)12 (25)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38) $p53 expression (N (%))$	HER2 overexpression (N (%))							
Positive Unknown48 (13) 8 (2)9 (19) 2 (25)12 (25) 2 (25)12 (25) 3 (38) $p53$ expression (N (%)) 8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38) $p53$ expression (N (%))Negative Positive290 (79) 70 (19)47 (16) 16 (23)42 (14)77 (27) 22 (31)Negative Positive70 (19)16 (23) 2 (25)14 (20)22 (31) 2 (25)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)Ki-67 (N (%)) Positive181 (49)47 (26) 2 (23)23 (13)65 (36) 4 (33)Positive Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (25)4 (33)PS6K Positive 30 (8)7 (23) 7 (23)10 (33)13 (43) 43 (43)Unknown16 (4)4 (25)3 (19)6 (38)	Negative	312 (85)	54 (17)	44 (14)	87 (28)			
Unknown 8 (2)' 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) p53 expression (N (%)) Negative 290 (79) 47 (16) 42 (14) 77 (27) Positive 70 (19) 16 (23) 14 (20) 22 (31) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) Ki-67 (N (%)) 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) Ki-67 (N (%)) Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 32 (18) 33 (19) Positive 181 (49) 47 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K Negative 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Positive	48 (13)	9 (19)	12 (25)	12 (25)			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Unknown	8 (2)	2 (25)	2 (25)	3 (38)			
Negative290 (79)47 (16)42 (14)77 (27)Positive70 (19)16 (23)14 (20)22 (31)Unknown8 (2)2 (25)2 (25)3 (38)Ki-67 (N (%)) $Ki-67$ (N (%)) $Ki-67$ (N (%)) $Ki-67$ (N (%))Negative175 (48)16 (9)32 (18)33 (19)Positive181 (49)47 (26)23 (13)65 (36)Unknown12 (3)2 (17)3 (25)4 (33)PS6KNegative322 (88)54 (17)45 (14)83 (26)Positive30 (8)7 (23)10 (33)13 (43)Unknown16 (4)4 (25)3 (19)6 (38)	р53 expression (N (%))							
Positive 70 (19) 16 (23) 14 (20) 22 (31) Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) Ki-67 (N (%)) Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 32 (18) 33 (19) Positive 181 (49) 47 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K Kite Kite Kite Kite Kite Negative 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Negative	290 (79)	47 (16)	42 (14)	77 (27)			
Unknown 8 (2) 2 (25) 2 (25) 3 (38) Ki-67 (N (%))	Positive	70 (19)	16 (23)	14 (20)	22 (31)			
Ki-67 (N (%)) Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 32 (18) 33 (19) Positive 181 (49) 47 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K Negative 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Unknown	8 (2)	2 (25)	2 (25)	3 (38)			
Negative 175 (48) 16 (9) 32 (18) 33 (19) Positive 181 (49) 47 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Ki-67 (N (%))							
Positive 181 (49) 47 (26) 23 (13) 65 (36) Unknown 12 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K Positive 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Negative	175 (48)	16 (9)	32 (18)	33 (19)			
Unknown I2 (3) 2 (17) 3 (25) 4 (33) PS6K Positive 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) I3 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Positive	181 (49)	47 (26)	23 (13)	65 (36)			
PS6K Segative 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Unknown	12 (3)	2 (17)	3 (25)	4 (33)			
Negative 322 (88) 54 (17) 45 (14) 83 (26) Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	PS6K							
Positive 30 (8) 7 (23) 10 (33) 13 (43) Unknown 16 (4) 4 (25) 3 (19) 6 (38)	Negative	322 (88)	54 (17)	45 (14)	83 (26)			
Unknown $16(4)$ $4(25)$ $3(19)$ $6(38)$	Positive	30 (8)	7 (23)	10 (33)	13 (43)			
	Unknown	16 (4)	4 (25)	3 (19)	6 (38)			

Table 6 Univariate analyses (Pts who received breast-conserving therapy n = 368)

Marker	Locoregional control			Distant disease-free survival			Overall survival		
	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value	Hazard ratio	95% CI	P-value
PS6K+	2.83	1.42-5.62	0.003	1.80	1.00-3.23	0.049	1.44	0.66-3.18	0.360
ER+	1.22	0.44-3.38	0.701	0.65	0.36-1.16	0.146	0.54	0.27-1.06	0.071
PgR+	1.28	0.66-2.48	0.461	1.09	0.68-1.75	0.728	0.77	0.45-133	0.346
HER2+	1.79	0.94-3.39	0.075	0.86	0.47-1.57	0.617	1.07	0.53-2.16	0.862
Ki 67+	0.86	0.50-1.47	0.582	2.31	1.52-3.52	< 0.0001	3.40	1.93-6.02	<0.0001
Grade									
l vs l	1.58	0.87-2.86	0.136	2.18	1.29-3.70	0.004	4,94	2.03-12.01	0.0004
II vs II	0.86	0.41-1.80	0.683	2.52	1.47-4.30	0.0007	7.12	2.96-17.11	< 0.000 l
Diameter									
R > 2 cm	1.14	0.63-2.07	0.670	1.72	1.14-2.60	0.011	2.24	1.34-3.75	0.002
p53+	1.47	0.81-2.70	0.209	1.20	0.74-1.92	0.460	1.44	0.82-2.54	0.209

Table 7 Multivariate analyses (Pts who received breast conserving therapy n = 368)

Marker	Risk ratio	95% CI	P-value
Locoregional recurrence			
PS6K+	2.83	1.42-5.62	0.003
Distant disease-free survival			
PS6K+	1.54	0.83-2.88	0.174
Ki67 (≥20%)	1.78	1.03-3.07	0.038
Grade III vs I/II	1.17	0.83-1.64	0.369
Diameter >2 cm	1.24	0.78-1.98	0.365
Overall survival			
Ki67+	2.01	0.96-4.22	0.066
Grade III vs I/II	1.63	1.04-2.53	0.032
Diameter >2 cm	1.44	0.83-2.48	0.194

demonstrate similar results. Locoregional control rates at 5 years of follow-up are 93% (95% CI 92.3-94.2) in P53 negative vs. 84% (95% CI 81.7-87.2) in P53-positive patients and 93% (95% CI 91.8-93.7) vs 83.3% (95% CI 79.0-87.5), respectively.

Several studies have examined the relation between P53 overexpression and local breast tumour recurrence. A case-control study of 66 women with local breast tumour relapse following lumpectomy and radiation therapy showed that p53 overexpression was an independent predictive factor for ipsilateral breast tumour recurrence (IBTR) (Noguchi *et al*, 1997). Recent studies conducted by Turner *et al* (2000) and Zellars *et al* (2000) demonstrated predictive significance of P53 overexpression for locoregional recurrence in patients who underwent breast-conserving therapy, as well as in patients who underwent mastectomy. Turner and colleagues showed in a matched case-control study comprising 47 cases and 47 controls that overexpression of P53

REFERENCES

- Andersen CL, Monni O, Wagner U, Kononen J, Barlund M, Bucher C, Haas P, Nocito A, Bissig H, Sauter G, Kallioniemi A (2002) High-throughput copy number analysis of 17q23 in 3520 tissue specimens by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization to tissue microarrays. *Am J Pathol* **161**: 73–79
- Barlund M, Forozan F, Kononen J, Bubendorf L, Chen Y, Bittner ML, Torhorst J, Haas P, Bucher C, Sauter G, Kallioniemi O-P, Kallioniemi A (2000a) Detecting activation of ribosomal protein S6 kinase by complementary DNA and tissue microarray analysis. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 1252-1259
- Barlund M, Monni O, Kononen J, Cornelison R, Torhorst J, Sauter G, Kallioniemi O, Kallioniemi A (2000b) Multiple genes at 17q23 undergo amplification and overexpression in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 60: 5340-5344
- Chou MM, Blenis J (1995) The 70 kDa S6 kinase: regulation of a kinase with multiple roles in mitogenic signalling. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 7: 806–814
- Clahsen PC, van de Velde CJH, Duval C, Pallud C, Mandard A-M, Delobelle-Deroide A, van den Broek L, Sahmoud TM, van de Vijver MJ (1998) p53 Protein accumulation and response to ajuvant chemotherapy in premenopausal women with node-negative early breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **16**: 470-479
- Couch FJ, Wang X-Y, Wu G-J, Qian J, Jenkins RB, James CD (1999) Localization of PS6K to chromosomal region 17q23 and determination of its amplification in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* **59**: 1408-1411
- Cox DR (1972) Regression models and life-tables. J R Stat Assoc B 34: 187-220
- De la Rochefordiere A, Asselain B, Campana F, Scholl SM, Fenton J, Vilcoq JR, Durand J-C, Pouillart P, Magdelenat H, Fourquet A (1993) Age as a prognostic factor in premenopausal breast carcinoma. *Lancet* 341: 1039-1043

had prognostic significance in respect to IBTR following lumpectomy and radiotherapy (P = 0.003). Zellars and co-workers demonstrated in 1530 mastectomy-treated breast cancer patients of whom 259 received adjuvant radiotherapy that P53 overexpression was independently associated with a significantly increased local failure rate in patients treated with mastectomy, with (RR 2.5, 95% CI 1.1-5.7) or without (RR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2-2.4) radiotherapy. Although, in our series, P53 lost its prognostic significance in the multivariate analysis, a trend still remained, suggesting worse locoregional recurrence rates in P53-overexpressing tumours (RR 1.67, 95% CI 0.95-2.96).

Barlund et al (2000a) analysed RPS6KB1 amplification using FISH in 668 informative primary breast tumours. In all, 9% of the tumours showed amplification of the RPS6KB1 gene. In their series, PS6K was significantly associated with poor survival (P = 0.0021). In addition, the authors analysed overexpression in a subset of 445 primary breast tumours. P70 S6 kinase protein staining of cytoplasm was subjectively scored into four groups: negative (no staining), weak, moderate, or strong staining. For statistical analyses, the data were combined into two groups: low expression (negative or weak staining) and high expression (moderate or strong staining). High expression was seen in 15.6%. There was a statistically significant association between RPS6KB1 amplification and high P70 S6 kinase protein expression (P = 0.0004), with 41% of the amplified tumours (FISH) exhibiting high PS6K expression, and overexpression of PS6K was associated with poor survival (P = 0.0083) as well. Our results suggest an even stronger association between amplification and expression, albeit with not enough data to make a sound statistical comparison. Moreover, the authors found that patients showing both PS6K and HER2 amplification had a significant worse prognosis in terms of breast cancer-specific survival than those with no amplification or amplification of only one of the genes.

These results together with our data suggest that P70 S6 kinase protein overexpression may be an important predictor of not only worse survival but also of poor locoregional control.

- Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (2000) Favourable and unfavourable effects on long-term survival of radiotherapy for early breast cancer: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet* **355**: 1757-1770
- Elkhuizen PH, van de Vijver MJ, Hermans J, Zonderland HM, van de Velde CJH, Leer JW (1998) Local recurrence after breast-conserving therapy for invasive breast cancer: high incidence in young patients and association with poor survival. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* **40**: 859–867
- Elston CW, Ellis IO (1991) Pathological prognostic factors in breast cancer. I. The value of histological grade in breast cancer: experience from a large study with long-term follow-up. *Histopathology* **19:** 403-410
- Grammer TC, Cheatham L, Chou MM, Blenis J (1996) The p70s6k signalling pathway: a novel signalling system involved in growth regulation. *Cancer* Surv 27: 271–292
- Grove JR, Banerjee P, Balasubramanyam A, Coffer PJ. Price DJ, Avruch J, Woodgett JR (1991) Cloning and expression of two human p70 S6 kinase polypeptides differing only at their amino termini. *Mol Cell Biol* **11**: 5541-5550
- van der Hage JA, van De Velde CJH, Julien JP, Floiras JL, Delozier T, Vandervelden C, Duchateau L (2001) Improved survival after one course of perioperative chemotherapy in early breast cancer patients, long-term results from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Trial 10854. *Eur J Cancer* **37:** 2184–2193
- Lane HA, Fernandez A, Lamb NJ, Thomas G (1993) p70s6k function is essential for G1 progression. *Nature* 363: 170-172
- Latham C, Zhang A, Nalbanti A, Maner S, Zickert P, Blegen H, Zetterberg A (2001) Frequent co-amplification of two different regions on 17q in aneuploid breast carcinomas. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* **127:** 16–23

- Li J, Yang Y, Peng Y, Austin RJ, van Eyndhoven G, Nguyen KCQ, Gabriele T, McCurrach ME, Marks JR, Hoey T, Lowe SW, Powers S (2002) Oncogenic properties of PPM1D located within a breast cancer amplification epicenter at 17q23. Nat Genet 31: 133 - 134
- Monni O, Barlund M, Mousses S, Kononen J, Sauter G, Heiskanen M, Paavola P, Avela K, Chen Y, Bittner ML, Kallioniemi A (2001) Comprehensive copy number and gene expression profiling of the 17q23 amplicon in human breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 5711-5716
- Noguchi S, Koyama H, Kasugai T, Tsukuma H, Tsuji N, Tsuda H, Akiyama F, Motomura K, Inaji H (1997) A case-control study on risk factors for local recurrences or distant metastases in breast cancer patients treated with breast-conserving surgery. Oncology 54: 468-474
- Shukla HS, Melhuish J, Mansel RE, Hughes LE (1999) Does local therapy affect survival rates in breast cancer? Ann Surg Oncol 6: 455 - 460
- Sinclair CS, Rowley M, Naderi A, Couch FJ (2003) The 17q23 amplicon and breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 78: 313-322
- Sinclair CS, Adem C, Naderi A, Soderberg CL, Johnson M, Wu KJ, Wadum L, Couch VL, Sellers TA, Schaid D, Slezak J, Fredericksen Z, Ingle JN, Hartmann L, Jenkins RB, Couch FJ (2002) TBX2 is preferentially amplified in BRCA1- and BRCA2-related breast tumors. Cancer Res 62: 3587-3591

- Thomas G, Hall MN (1997) TOR signalling and control of cell growth. Curr Opin Cell Biol 9: 782-787
- Turner BC, Gumbs AA, Carbone CJ, Carter D, Glazer PM, Haffty BG (2000) Mutant p53 protein overexpression in women with ipsilateral breast tumour recurrence following lumpectomy and radiation therapy. Cancer 88: 1091-1098
- Voogd AC, Peterse JL, Crommelin MA, Rutgers EJ, Botke G, Elkhuizen PH, van Geel AN, Hoekstra CJ, van Pel R, van de Vijver MJ, Coebergh JW (1999) Histological determinants for different types of local recurrence after breast-conserving therapy of invasive breast cancer. Dutch Study Group on local Recurrence after Breast onservation (BORST). Eur J Cancer 35: 1828-1837
- Voogd AC, Nielsen M, Peterse JL, Blichert-Toft M, Bartelink H, Overgaard M, van Tienhoven G, Andersen KW, Sylvester J, van Dongen JA (2001) Differences in risk factors for local and distant recurrence after breastconserving therapy or mastectomy for stage I and II breast cancer: pooled results of two large European randomized trials. J Clin Oncol 19: 1688 - 1697
- Wu GJ, Sinclair CS, Paape J, Ingle JN, Roche PC, James CD, Couch FJ (2000) 17q23 amplifications in breast cancer involve the PAT1, RAD51C, PS6K, and SIGma1B genes. Cancer Res 60: 5371-5375
- Zellars RC, Hilsenbeck SG, Clark GM, Allred DC, Herman TS, Chamness GC, Elledge RM (2000) Prognostic value of p53 for local failure in mastectomy-treated breast cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 18: 1906-1913