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#### Abstract

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy worldwide; therefore, the development of new anticancer agents is essential for improved tumor control. By adopting the pharmacophore hybridization approach, two series of 7-hydroxyl-4-methylcoumarin hybridized with thiosemicarbazone (V-VI) and thiazolidin-4-one moieties (VII-VIII) were prepared. The in vitro anticancer activity was assessed against MCF-7 cells adopting the MTT assay. Nine compounds showed significant cytotoxicity. The most promising compound, VIIb, induced remarkable cytotoxicity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.03+0.05 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Further investigations were conducted to explore its pro-apoptotic activity demonstrating S-phase cell cycle arrest. Apoptosis rates following VIIb treatment revealed a 5-fold and 100-fold increase in early and late apoptotic cells, correspondingly. Moreover, our results showed caspase-9 dependent apoptosis induction as manifested by an 8-fold increase in caspase-9 level following VIIb treatment. Mechanistically, VIIb was found to target the PI3K- $\alpha$ / Akt- 1 axis, as evidenced by enzyme inhibition assay results reporting significant inhibition of examined enzymes. These findings were confirmed by Western blot results indicating the ability of VIIb to repress levels of Cyclin D1, p-PI3K, and p-Akt. Furthermore, docking studies showed that VIIb has a binding affinity with the PI3K binding site higher than the original ligands X6K. Our results suggest that VIIb has pharmacological potential as a promising anti-cancer compound by the inhibition of the PI3K/Akt axis.
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## 1. Introduction

Cancer is a multifactorial disease that ranks as the second leading cause of death globally, causing approximately 10 million deaths in 2020. Notably, female breast cancer was reported as the most frequently diagnosed cancer type, surpassing lung cancer [1,2]. The incidence of breast cancer varies globally, it affects 1 out of 20 females worldwide and 1 out of 8 in developing countries [3]. Major risk factors for breast cancer occurrence
include both modifiable factors, such as lifestyle, diet, or hormone replacement therapy, and non-modifiable factors, such as age, sex, race, and genetic makeup [4].

Although surgery and chemotherapy are the mainstream therapeutic strategies for breast cancer, still the development of novel targeted cancer therapies sparing toxicities to off-cancer cells is an urgent need, mainly due to the major limitations of conventional chemotherapeutic agents, including systemic toxicity and multidrug resistance [3,5].

It has been noted that several signaling pathways are dysregulated and subsequently have been implicated in the pathogenesis of breast cancer. Remarkably, the signaling pathway defined by the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (Akt) axis is a chief controller of a myriad of cellular functions, including cell growth and proliferation. Moreover, aberrations in this molecular pathway are critical in breast tumor initiation, survival, and angiogenesis [5-8]. Notably, oncogenic activation of this pathway in breast cancer is mainly attributed to the mutation of genes encoding PI3K subunits, including p110 $\alpha$ (PIK3CA) and p110 (PIK3CB), where PIK3CA mutations were reported in 30-40\% of breast cancer patients [9,10].

Furthermore, the role of the PI3K/Akt signaling network in cancer cells immunomodulation has been clearly highlighted, since Akt hyperactivation was associated with the escape of cancer cells from immune recognition [11]. Consequently, recent studies documented that inhibition of the PI3K/Akt axis enhances tumor immunosurveillance by inhibiting the activation of immunosuppressive pathways [5,6,12]. Moreover, in breast cancer, dysregulation of this signaling axis plays a principal role in resistance to antineoplastic chemotherapeutic drugs, hormonal therapy, and targeted therapy [5,7,13]. Lately, the pivotal role of the PI3K/Akt axis in breast carcinogenesis has been characterized, prompting the development of recent therapeutic strategies that could inhibit this pathway aiming at both limiting tumor proliferation and/or survival as well as reviving tumor functional immunosurveillance. Many clinical studies have proved that inhibitors acting on different enzymes of this pathway (Figure 1) are very successful therapeutic agents with benefits against the emergence of resistance and with better disease prognosis [14-16].
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Figure 1. Reported PI3K and Akt inhibitors. Structures 1 and 2 are adapted from [17], 3 from [18], and 4 from [19].

In the war on cancer, natural products and their derivatives have played a crucial role in developing effective chemotherapeutic agents such as vinca alkaloids and taxols, which inspired our research team to develop new chemotherapeutic agents adopting naturally found scaffolds, such as a coumarin ring that was reported over the years to have potent anticancer activity through manipulation of many cellular mechanisms (Figure 2) [20-24].

The molecular hybridization strategy has emerged as a novel approach that involves combining two or more pharmacophores in one molecule with the benefits of having a better pharmacological profile in either additive (acting on the same biological target) or synergistic (modulating different targets) manner for the parent molecules and less likely to develop drug resistance. That attracted large groups of researchers to investigate different scaffolds in chemotherapeutic agents separately and their merging in one molecule [25,26]. Literature survey revealed the potent anticancer effect of several coumarin derivatives (Figure 2) owing to their multi-targeting mechanism of action in cell biology, for example, apoptosis induction and PI3K/AKT inhibition, which all finally stop cell proliferation and survival processes [20-24]. Also, compounds featuring thiosemicarbazone linker and its cyclic analog thaizolidin-4-one ring showed promising effectiveness against many cancer types as result of ribonucleotide reductase, and carbonic anhydrase inhibition, (Figure 2) [27-30]. Hence, the target compounds (V-VIII) were designed adopting the pharmacophore hybridization technique to have a coumarin scaffold as the main nucleus merged with thiosemicarbazone linker or thaizolidin-4-one ring at position C 8 of the coumarin ring, as represented in Figure 3.
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Figure 2. Reported coumarin and thiazolidine containing anticancer agents. Structures 5 to 10 are adapted, respectively, from [20,24,27,28,31,32].

This research work aimed at evaluating the potential cytotoxicity of the novel derivatives in breast cancer cell line MCF-7. Further studies were conducted for the most promising compound, exploring the enzyme inhibition assay, examining PI3K- $\alpha$, PI3K- $\gamma$, and Akt-1 isoforms. Secondly, the proapoptotic activity was assessed via investigating cell cycle distribution alongside apoptosis percentage. In addition, the downstream proteins of the signaling pathway under investigation were evaluated by Western blot. Eventually, examination of the binding interactions between the promising derivatives and the nominated enzymes was conducted by molecular modeling.
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Figure 3. Design of the new compounds (V-VIII).

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

As depicted in Schemes 1 and 2, the designed compounds were prepared. Subsequently, structure confirmation was done using spectral data and elemental analysis described in the materials and methods section. The starting compounds, I-IV, were prepared as reported by our research team in a previous study [33]. Coumarin-thiosemicarbazones (Va, Vb, and VIa-f) resulted from the reaction of the hydrazone intermediates IVa, b with different isothiocyanates in good yield; FT-IR exhibited the disappearance of primary amine peak and only showed two secondary amine peaks at $3200-3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [34]. Moreover, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed extra aromatic protons at $7.3-7.6 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{V a}$ and $\mathbf{V b}$ analogs, while for the VIa-f, there were the corresponding peaks for the aliphatic substitution at 1.33 and 2.4 ppm for VIa and VIb, $4-6 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the allyl group in VIc, and the extra aromatic protons at $7.0-7.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ for VIIf, $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ derivatives. Coumarin-thiazolidine-4-ones (VII-VIII) then were synthesized via the cyclization reaction with chloroacetic acid; the FT-IR showed the disappearance of amino peaks and the appearance of a second carbonyl peak at $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, while the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR presented a peak at $\delta=2.85-3.86 \mathrm{ppm}$ of the methylene group in the thiazolidine ring [35].
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Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (b) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, fusion; (c) ethyl bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ dry acetone; (d) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ethanol; (e) isothiocyanate derivatives, ethanol.
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Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (f) chloroacetic acid, $\mathrm{NaAc} /$ ethanol.

### 2.2. Antitumor Activity

2.2.1. Cytotoxicity Assay

The in vitro anticancer activity of the designed coumarin-thiosemicarbazones and coumarin-thiazolidine-4-one hybrids against MCF-7 cells was tested using the MTT assay, and 5 -fluorouracil (5-FU) was used as the reference drug. The tested compounds were used
in different concentrations, and cell survival was determined after incubation for 48 h as reported $[36,37]$. The cytotoxic activity is represented in Table 1 as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ values.

Table 1. The values of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ of the tested compounds in MCF-7 cells.

| Compound No. | R | R1 | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-FU | - | - | $27.81 \pm 1.41$ |
| Va | H | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $5.13 \pm 0.28$ *** |
| Vb | H | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $47.32 \pm 2.47$ |
| VIa | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $11.13 \pm 0.58$ *** |
| VIb | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $38.70 \pm 2.09$ |
| VIc | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $2.72 \pm 0.13$ *** |
| VId | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $2.61 \pm 0.14^{* * *}$ |
| VIe | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $43.05 \pm 2.25$ |
| VIf | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $1.21 \pm 0.06^{* * *}$ |
| VIIa | H | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $54.80 \pm 2.86$ |
| VIIb | H | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $1.03 \pm 0.05$ *** |
| VIIIa | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $20.27 \pm 1.06$ ** |
| VIIIb | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $57.28 \pm 2.99$ |
| VIIIC | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH} 2=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4.95 \pm 0.26$ *** |
| VIIId | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $79.93 \pm 4.18$ |
| VIIIe | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $26.41 \pm 1.38{ }^{\text {ns }}$ |
| VIIIf | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $11.80 \pm 0.62$ *** |

$\overline{\mathrm{IC}_{50} \text { values are means } \pm \text { sd; } n=3 \text {. } p \text {-value using independent } t \text {-test for tested compounds vs. Compound }}$ 5 -Fluorouracil (5-FU). ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$. ${ }^{\text {ns }}$ Non-statistically significant $p>0.05$.

The cytotoxicity assay results highlighted that both series (open and cyclized analogs) are promising candidates as antitumor agents ranging from highly active to moderate activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $1.03-79.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

In the coumarin-thiosemicarbazone series (Va,b, and VIa-f), the different aliphatic and aromatic substitutions showed promising cytotoxicity, where some compounds demonstrated $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values statistically significantly lower than that of the reference compound, $5-\mathrm{FU}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=27.81+1.41 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Notably, when $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$, the benzyl analog $(\mathrm{Va})$ gave promising inhibition with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.13+0.28 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while the benzoyl derivative showed lower activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=47.32 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Moreover, when $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, the reported results varied between the aliphatic and aromatic substitutions. The benzyl derivative (VId) showed better activity than its 7hydroxyl analog (Va), while for the benzoyl derivative (VIe), the activity did not get any better but the methoxy derivative showed highly potent inhibition with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.21 \mu \mathrm{M}$. For the aliphatic substitutions, the methyl (VIa) and ethyl (VIb) derivatives showed good to moderate activities with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 11.13 and $38.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while the allyl derivative VIc showed highly potent activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.72 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

By looking at the cyclic analogs, thiazolidin-4-one series, the 7-hydroxy coumarin analogs (VIIa, b), the cyclization led to decreased activity for the benzyl derivative VIIa $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=54.80 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, while the benzoyl derivatives VIIb $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.03 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ gave the best activity compared to the open analog $\mathbf{V b}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=47.32 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

In the 7-ethoxy series (VIIIa-f), the compounds had lower inhibitory activity than the open analogs. The derivatives that displayed higher cytotoxicity than the reference compound, 5-FU, were VIIIa, VIIIc, and VIIIf, showing IC 50 values of 20.27, 4.95, and $11.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, while VIIIe ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=26.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) gave comparable activity to 5-FU but better than the open analog that resulted in $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=43.05 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

However, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values recorded for Compounds Vb, VIb, VIe, VIIa, VIIIb, and VIIId were $47.32,38.70,43.05,54.8,57.28$, and $79.93 \mu \mathrm{M}$, correspondingly, which were higher than the reported value for the reference compound 5-FU.

Statistical significance was tested using an independent $t$-test comparing the recorded $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for the compounds that showed higher cytotoxicity than the reference compound to that of 5-FU where it revealed statistically significant differences for Compounds Va, VIa, VIc, VId, VIf, VIIb, VIIIc, and VIIIf ( $p$-values $<0.001$ ) as well as Compound VIIIa, ( $p$-value $<0.01$ ) vs. the reference compound 5-FU. Moreover, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of Compound VIIIe was non-significantly different than that of 5-FU ( $p$-value $=0.27$ ).

The metabolic viability of the most potent compounds, VIf and VIIb, in non-cancerous epithelial cells (MCF 10), was examined using an MTT assay calculating the selectivity index (SI) [38]. The data provided in Table 2 illustrated that both VIf ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=20.11 \pm 1.05$, $\mathrm{SI}=16.61)$ and $\mathrm{VIIb}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.52 \pm 0.60, \mathrm{SI}=9.24\right)$ have a promising safety profile compared to $5-\mathrm{FU}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=36.22 \pm 1.89, \mathrm{SI}=1.30\right)$. Herein, such results suggested that at the selected doses, VIf and VIIb potentially will not cause deleterious effects to neighboring noncancer cells.

Table 2. IC $_{50}$ values ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of the most cytotoxic compounds (VIf and VIIb) against MCF-10A cell line and their selectivity indices.

| Compound | $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mu \mathbf{M})$ <br> $\mathbf{M C F - 1 0}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\mu \mathbf{M})$ <br> MCF-7 | Selectivity Index <br> MCF-10/MCF-7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIf | $20.11 \pm 1.05$ | $1.21 \pm 0.06$ | 16.61 |
| VIIb | $9.52 \pm 0.60$ | $1.03 \pm 0.05$ | 9.24 |
| 5-FU | $36.22 \pm 1.89$ | $27.81 \pm 1.41$ | 1.30 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are means $\pm \mathrm{sd} ; n=3$.
Furthermore, the findings of the MTT test proved that the hybridization technique adopted between coumarin and thiosemicarbazone or thiazolidine-4-one gave highly active compounds, rendering them very promising candidates for further investigations. The current study focused on investigating the potential anticancer mechanism for the novel compound VIIb in MCF-7 cells, whilst the mechanistic details for compound VIf will be explored in our future work to ponder its anticancer effects in a different cancer cell line.

### 2.2.2. Enzyme Inhibition Assay

Accumulating evidence highlighted that PI3K signaling pathway upregulation is highly implicated in breast cancer development and disease progression as well as resistance to hormones and cytotoxic therapy. Therefore, it is essential to elucidate the effect of the novel compound, VIIb, on the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway by using in vitro PI3K class 1A enzyme inhibition assay conducted on PI3K- $\alpha$ and PI3K- $\gamma$ isoforms as well as an Akt-1 enzyme inhibition assay. Notably, PI3K- $\alpha$ is the most mutated isoform in the PI3K pathway in breast cancer, whilst the PI3K- $\gamma$ isoform is not commonly mutated in breast cancer. Furthermore, targeting the PI3K- $\gamma$ isoform in breast cancer could contribute to enhancing the anti-tumor immunity [39].

Data shown in Table 3 demonstrated that VIIb treatment displayed a potent inhibitory activity on PI3K- $\alpha$ and Akt-1 isoforms where the reported $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were statistically significantly lower than the reference compound, LY294002. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were found to be $3.70 \pm 0.19$ and $2.93 \pm 0.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$, for PI3K- $\alpha$ and Akt-1, correspondingly. Furthermore, VIIb treatment displayed PI3K- $\gamma$ isoform inhibition with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $34.70 \pm 1.88 \mu \mathrm{M}$. However, the recorded $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was higher than the reported value of the reference drug.

Table 3. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for the inhibition of PI3K- $\alpha$, PI3K- $\gamma$, and Akt-1 enzymes following Compound VIIb treatment.

| Compounds | IC $_{50}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI3K- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ Isoform | PI3K- $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Isoform | Akt-1 Isoform |
| VIIb | $3.70 \pm 0.19^{* * *}$ | $34.70 \pm 1.88$ | $2.93 \pm 0.15^{*}$ |
| LY294002 | $8.85 \pm 0.46$ | $11.5 \pm 0.62$ | $3.53 \pm 0.18$ |

 ${ }_{* * *} p \leq 0.001, * p \leq 0.05$.

These findings proved that the hybridization technique adopted in this work succeeded in generating a promising dual inhibitor for the PI3K/Akt axis, which could be beneficial in treating either hormone- or chemo-resistant breast cancers.

### 2.2.3. Cell Cycle Analysis and Apoptosis Induction

VIIb-treated cells were subjected to cell cycle phase distribution analysis as well as apoptosis rates determination by flow cytometric analysis in MCF-7 cells, as reported [40,41].

The results of cell cycle analysis revealed marked variability between VIIb-exposed cells vs. control untreated MCF-7 cells, as shown in Table 4 and Figure 4, where VIIb-treated cells showed a higher S-phase population of $46.02 \%$ compared to $36.58 \%$ in control cells.

Table 4. Cell cycle analysis following 48-h treatment with Compound VIIb.

| Cells | DNA Content \% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G0/G1 | S | G2/M | Pre G1 |
| VIIb-treated cells | 48.39 | 46.02 | 5.59 | 35.25 |
| Control untreated cells | 53.71 | 36.58 | 9.71 | 1.55 |



Figure 4. The cell distribution in cell cycle phases following Compound VIIb exposure.
However, VIIb treatment suppressed both G0/G1 and G2/M proportions from 53.71\% and $9.71 \%$, respectively, in control untreated MCF-7 cells, to $48.39 \%$ and $5.59 \%$ in VIIbexposed cells, whereas pre-G1 cells, representing apoptotic cells, had a low proportion and reached $1.55 \%$ in untreated cells that significantly increased to $35.25 \%$ following VIIb treatment. These data suggested that VIIb treatment induced S-phase accumulation and thereby S-phase arrest and potentially subsequently cell death. Results of the current study
accord well with earlier research that highlighted the ability of coumarins to induce arrest of various cell cycle phases, potentially leading to apoptosis [26].

Control and VIIb-treated ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{M}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ ) MCF-7 cells were harvested and then subjected to cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry.

Remarkably, clinical oncology aims at developing novel targeted cancer therapies that could induce apoptosis in neoplastic cells to enhance their eradication. Since loss of apoptosis is closely related to cancer cell survival and abnormal growth, induction of apoptotic signaling pathways is a crucial mechanism in targeted cancer therapy. To further explore the link between apoptosis rates and VIIb treatment, the pro-apoptotic activity of this novel compound was investigated by flow cytometry using both Annexin $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$ and propidium iodide (PI) dyes. A distinctive feature of early apoptosis is phosphatidylserine transfer toward the cellular surface. Thus, phosphatidylserine can be detected by fluorochrometagged anticoagulant protein V . Therefore, the viable cells remained unstained $\left(\mathrm{V}^{-} / \mathrm{PI}^{-}\right)$. Furthermore, early apoptotic cells were stained with V but not PI, demonstrating ( $\mathrm{V}^{+} / \mathrm{PI}^{-}$) staining. However, late apoptotic cells showed V positive/PI positive $\left(\mathrm{V}^{+} / \mathrm{PI}^{+}\right)$staining, indicating the loss of integrity of the nuclear or plasma membrane [42-45].

Data of the current study highlighted that in non-treated MCF-7 cells, $0.48 \%$ and $0.17 \%$ of examined cells demonstrated $\mathrm{V}^{+} / \mathrm{PI}^{-}$and $\mathrm{V}^{+} / \mathrm{PI}^{+}$staining patterns, correspondingly, as shown in Table 5 and Figure 5. Interestingly, treatment of MCF-7 cells with VIIb caused 5 -fold and 100 -fold increases in early and late apoptotic cells, respectively, with respect to control cells where $2.51 \%$ and $21.05 \%$ of the cells exhibited $\mathrm{V}^{+} / \mathrm{PI}^{-}$and $\mathrm{V}^{+} / \mathrm{PI}^{+}$staining patterns, correspondingly.

Table 5. Percentage of apoptotic cells after Compound VIIb treatment.

| Cells | Apoptosis \% |  |  | Necrosis\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Early | Late |  |
| VIIb-treated cells | 35.25 | 2.51 | 21.05 | 11.69 |
| Control untreated cells | 1.55 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.90 |



Figure 5. Effect of Compound VIIb on apoptosis induction in MCF-7 cell line.
Control untreated and MCF-7 cells treated with compound VIIb at its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ for 48 h were subjected to apoptotic analysis using Annexin $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{V})$ and propidium iodide (PI) fluorescent dyes.

Results of cell cycle analysis alongside apoptosis induction highlighted the proapoptotic activity of the investigated compound (VIIb). Furthermore, the reported increase in the necrotic cells following VIIb treatment could be assigned to the hydroxyl group in the structure. In addition, this study demonstrated that VIIb exposure induced changes in the cellular distribution at different cell cycle phases.

Notably, VIIb exposure resulted in S-phase cell cycle arrest accompanied by a reduction in the percentage of cells in other phases. The observed effect is possibly attributed to the down-regulation of the G1-S checkpoint gene P21 that could have allowed G1-S cell cycle transition despite the presence of DNA damage [46,47]. Moreover, the reported S-phase cell cycle arrest could be mediated through cyclin A2, which is a pivotal regulator of the cell cycle and crucial for S-phase and mitotic entry [40,41]. These hypotheses will be investigated in our future work.

### 2.2.4. Caspase-9 Assay

Apoptosis is a programmed cell death modality that includes an array of steps such as activation of caspases alongside endonucleases leading to DNA cleavage, eventually causing the formation of apoptotic bodies. Caspases are classified into effector caspases, such as caspase- $3,-6$, and -7 , and initiator caspases, including either caspase- $2,-8$, and -10 (extrinsic pathway) or caspase-9 (intrinsic pathway) [48]. The level of cleaved caspase-9 was investigated in response to VIIb treatment as an indicator of induction of the apoptotic pathways, where our results revealed that exposure of MCF-7 cells to Compound VIIb, for 48 h , induced an approximately 8 -fold increase in the cleaved form of caspase-9 ( $p<0.001$ ) (Table 6). This result supports our initial hypothesis that the potential anticancer mechanism of VIIb was through induction of apoptotic pathways.

Table 6. Effect of VIIb treatment on caspase-9 level in MCF-7 cell line.

| Cells | Caspase-9 Level (ng/mL) | Fold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VIIb-treated cells | $21.61 \pm 0.14^{* * *}$ | 7.96 |
| Control untreated cells | $2.712 \pm 0.09$ | 1 |
| Values are means $\pm$ sd; $n=3 . p$-value using independent $t$-test for VIIb vs. control untreated cells. ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$. |  |  |

Data of the current study accord well with a previous report that stated the induction of apoptosis by a novel coumarin-chalcone hybrid via activation of initiator caspase-9 [49]. Moreover, an earlier study previously highlighted that the anticancer activity of coumarin derivatives was through induction of caspase-dependent apoptotic pathways [24].

### 2.2.5. Western Blot

Cyclin D1 activity is essential for the G1 to S phase transition. Moreover, the PI3K/Akt axis is crucial for cell growth and apoptosis. The effects of VIIb on Cyclin D1 and the PI3K/Akt pathway in MCF-7 cells was investigated adopting reported procedures [50,51]. As shown in Figure 6, following compound VIIb exposure, the levels of Cyclin D1, p-PI3K, and p-Akt in MCF-7 cells were effectively suppressed with respect to control untreated cells. Herein, Western blot results confirmed our previous findings, highlighting the ability of the novel compound, VIIb, to inhibit the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. Our results accord with an earlier study demonstrating that coumarin compounds suppressed Cyclin D1 and p-Akt protein levels [51]. Consequently, the current work demonstrated that VIIb exposure induced inhibition of Cyclin D1, which could be attributed to the reported PI3K inhibition by VIIb, as previously stated [52,53]. Cyclin D1 is a proto-oncogene and a major regulator of cell cycle progression. In addition, Cyclin D1 overexpression has been linked to both breast tumorigenesis and tumor progression as well as to the development of endocrine resistance in breast cancer cells $[54,55]$. Thus, the illustrated downregulation of Cyclin D1 following VIIb treatment suggests that the novel compound, VIIb, has pharmacological potential as a therapeutic agent capable of targeting human breast cancer.

## Control Treated



Figure 6. Effect of VIIb on Cyclin D1, p-Akt, and p-PI3K protein levels.
Whole-cell protein lysates obtained from both control as well as VIIb-treated ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ $(\mu \mathrm{M})$, for 48 h ) MCF-7 cells were resolved by SDS-PAGE, and then immunoblotting was conducted with antibodies against cyclin D, p-Akt, and p-PI3K compared to the housekeeping protein $\beta$-Actin.

### 2.2.6. In Silico Molecular Simulations

The integration between experimental and computational methods is a highly attractive methodology in the design and optimization field of different drug candidates. Accordingly, molecular docking was conducted to illustrate the binding interactions of the novel derivatives VIIb and VIf inside the active site of PI3K- $\alpha$ and Akt-1. It was reported that both Lys 802 and Tyr 836 have a pivotal role in the binding of PI3K (PDB ID. 4L23) to its inhibitors [56], whereas the most important amino acid residues in Akt-1 (PDB ID. 3O96) are Asn54, Trp80, Ser205, Glu267, Lys268, Asn269, and Arg273 [57]. The docking revealed that both PI3K and Akt-1 enzymes have good docking scores and binding affinities with both tested compounds, as shown in Tables 7 and 8 and Figures 7 and 8. The binding pattern of the two active derivatives VIIb and VIf is similar to the binding pattern of the reference ligands X6K and IQO.

Table 7. The docking scores and the main H-bonds observed of the most active compounds against PI3K active site.

| Compound | S-Score | Interaction Types and Residues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ X6K | -2.2807 | H-bonding, Lys802 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ VIIb | -3.7521 | H-bonding, Tyr836 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ VIf | -3.0518 | H-bonding, Lys802 |

Table 8. The docking scores and the main interactions observed of the most active compounds against Akt active site.

| Compound | S-Score | Interaction Types and Residues |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ IQO | -5.0984 | Hydrophobic aromatic, Trp80, <br> Arg273; H-bonding, Asn54. |
| $\mathbf{2 ~ V I I b ~}$ | -2.6564 | H-bonding, Trp80 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ VIf | -4.3739 | H-bonding, Trp80 |

b)

a)



Figure 7. The 2D binding pattern of compounds: (a) X6K reference ligand; (b) VIIb; (c) VIf in the active site of PI3K.


## a)



Figure 8. The 2D binding patterns of compounds: (a) IQO reference ligand; (b) VIIb; (c) VIf in the active site of Akt.

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Chemistry

All the chemical reagents were available from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO USA). FT-IR spectral analyses ( KBr discs) were conducted on a Shimadzu IR Affinity-1 spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were conducted on a JEOL ECA $300,500 \mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as stated.

The coumarin analogs Va, Vb, VIf, VIIa, VIIb, and VIIIf were previously reported by our research group, and thiosemicarbazone derivatives VIa-f followed the same reported procedures [33].

General Procedures for Thiosemicarbazones V and VI Synthesis
The appropriate isothiocyanates $(0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ were added to the hydrazone intermediates $(0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$, and the reaction mixture was dissolved in ethanol/dimethylformamide and reflux continued for 8 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the formed solid was collected and recrystallized from ethanol.
(Z/E)-1(1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin)ethylidene)-4-methyl-thiosemicarbazone (VIa):
Yield $=85.06 \%$; m.p. $=202-204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR ( v max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3286 and $3417(2 \mathrm{NH}), 3080$ (CH, Ar), 2981 ( CH , aliphatic), 1732 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1627 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, imine), 1597 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), and 1188
(C-O, ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.22$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.16-4.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.17$ (s, 1H, C3-Hof coumarin), $6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \overline{\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 7.62}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 2.8$ and $8.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{NH}\right.$; exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 18.47\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right), 23.53\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.08$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{NH}-\right)$, $65.01\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 108.47-114.44$ (3C of coumarin), 127.37 ( C 5 of coumarin), 142.81 ( C 10 of coumarin), $151.08\left(\underline{\mathrm{C} 4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ of coumarin), $152.17\left(\underline{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 157.61(-\underline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{O}$ of coumarin), 159.91 ( $-\underline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{N}-$ ), 178.36 ( $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ );
$\mathrm{M}+(m / z)$ : 333; Anal calcd: C, $57.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.60$; found: C, $57.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.79 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.88$. (Z/E)-1(1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin)ethylidene)-4-ethyl-thiosemicarbazone (VIb):
Yield $=83.95 \%$; m.p. $=183^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR ( v max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3441 and $3292(2 \mathrm{NH}), 3080(\mathrm{CH}$, Ar), 2981 (aliphatic CH), 1732 (C=O), 1598 (C=C, Ar), and 1184 (C-O, ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.33\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}\right), 1.42-1.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.71-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{j}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.15-4.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{j}=4 \overline{\mathrm{~Hz}}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.19$ (s, 1H, C3-H of coumarin),
 exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); $\mathrm{M}+(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : 347; Anal calcd: $\mathrm{C}, 58.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.09 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.09$; found: C, 58.99; H, 6.18; N, 12.41.
(Z/E)-1(1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin)ethylidene)-4-allyl-thiosemicarbazone (VIc):
Yield $=97.7 \%$; m.p. $=100-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR ( $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}$ max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3381 and $3429(2 \mathrm{NH}), 3080$ (CH, Ar), 2980 (aliphatic CH), 1732 (C=O), and 1597 (C=C, Ar, allyl); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.40\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 1.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{j}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 4.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\overline{\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, ~}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}_{\text {of }}\right.$ coumarin), $6.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH} ;$ exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); $\mathrm{M}+(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : 359; Anal calcd: $\mathrm{C}, 60.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.89 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.69$; found: $\mathrm{C}, 60.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.91$; N, 11.88.
(Z/E)-1(1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin)ethylidene)-4-benzyl-thiosemicarbazone (VId): Yield $=88 \%$; m.p. $=140-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR (ṽ max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3358(2 \mathrm{NH}), 3100(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2980$ (aliphatic CH), 1730 (C=O), 1598 (C=C, Ar), and 1184 (C-O, ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.16-4.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 4.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Hof}$ coumarin), $6.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 7.03-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, phenyl $), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C5- $\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 7.84 and 8.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{NH}$; exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); $\mathrm{M}+(m / z)$ : 409; Anal calcd: C, 64.53; H, 5.66 ; N, 10.26; found: C, 64.81 ; H, $5.74 ;$ N, 10.44.
(Z/E)-1(1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin)ethylidene)-4-benzoyl-thiosemicarbazone (VIe):
Yield $=88 \%$; m.p. $=220-223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR ( $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}$ max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3468 and $3414(2 \mathrm{NH}), 3059$ ( $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 2981 (aliphatic CH ), $1724(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1597(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ar})$, and 1174 (C-O, ether); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right.$, ), $2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 2.53$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.21\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ of coumarin$), 7.01$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8,2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$ arom $), 7.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}$ of phenyl,), $7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and C6-H of phenyl), 8.93 and $12.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{NH}\right.$; exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=$ $14.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 18.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right), 24.10\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 64.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 111.19-114.27(4 \mathrm{C}$ of coumarin), 127.41-133.48 (7C, Ar), 150.86 (C10 of coumarin), 151.98 ( $-\underline{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of coumarin), 154.55 ( C 4 of coumarin), $157.42\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{O}-\underline{\mathrm{C}}\right), 159.80(-\underline{C}=\mathrm{N}-), 166.30$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 176.43$ (- $\left.\underline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{S}\right)$; $\mathrm{M}+(m / z)$ : 423; Anal calcd: C, 62.40; H, 5.00; N, 9.92; found: C, $62.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.18 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.04$.

General procedures for the synthesis of thiazolidine-4-ones (VII and VIII): as reported [33].

The intermediates V or VI ( 0.005 mol ) and chloroacetic acid ( $0.00505 \mathrm{~mol}, 0.618 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in sodium acetate ( $0.00505 \mathrm{~mol}, 0.414 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and 15 mL absolute ethanol were reacted for 8 h under reflux. After that, washing with iced cold water separated the crystalline solid that was collected and recrystallized from ethanol.
(Z/E)-2-\{[1-(7-Ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin-8-yl)-ethylidene]-hydrazono\}-3-methyl-thiazolidin-4-one (VIIIa):

Yield $=89 \%$; m.p. $=245-247{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR (ṽ max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3040(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 2985 (aliphatic $\mathrm{CH}), 1735$ and1722 (2C=O), $1624\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right.$, imine), and $1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ar}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.44\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 4.17\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.16$ (s, 1H, C3-Hof coumarin), $6.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right)$; $\mathrm{M}+(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : 373; Anal calcd: C, $57.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.13$; N, 11.25; found: C, $58.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.19 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.39$.
(Z/E)-2-\{[1-(7-Ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin-8-yl)-ethylidene]-hydrazono\}-3-ethyl-thiazolidin-4one (VIIIb):

Yield $=89 \%$; m.p. $=177-179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FT-IR (ṽ max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3086(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2978$ (aliphatic $\mathrm{CH}), 1730$ and1720 (2C=O), and $1624\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right.$, imine), $1600(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ar}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.36\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}\right.$-thiazolidine), $1.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 3.94(\mathrm{q}$, $\left.\overline{\mathrm{j}=8} \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH} 3\right), 4.11-4.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\overline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.15$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \overline{\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}}$ of coumarin), $6.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right) ; \mathrm{M}+(m / z)$ : 387 ; Anal calcd: C, $58.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.46$; N, 10.85; found, C, 59.07 ; H, $5.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.02$.
(Z/E)-3-Allyl-2-\{[1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin-8-yl)-ethylidene]-hydrazono\}-thiazolidin-4one (VIIIc):

Yield $=92 \%$; m.p. $=222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;$ FT-IR ( v max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3061(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2981$ (aliphatic $\mathrm{CH}), 1737$ and1726 (2C=O), $1612\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right.$, imine), and $1604\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ and allyl); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.44\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.42$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 4.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=\overline{8 \mathrm{~Hz}}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, allylic $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 5.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.16$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Hof}$ coumarin), $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \overline{\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.67\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 18.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right), 23.30\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $32.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-Thiazolidine $), 45.04\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, Allyl $), 64.62\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of ethyl), 107.96-116.26 (4C of coumarin), 118.19 ( -CH 2 of allyl), 125.29 ( C 5 of coumarin), 130.26 (CH of allyl), 150.33 (C10 of coumarin), 152.25 ( $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of coumarin), 157.46 ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$, ethyl), 159.28 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, thiazolidine), $159.52(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N})$, 160.57 ( $-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of coumarin), $171.50(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$, thiazolidine); $\mathrm{M}+(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : 399; Anal calcd: C, 60.13; H, 5.30; N, 10.52; found, C, 6.28; H, 5.34; N, 10.66.
(Z/E)-3-Benzyl-2-\{[1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin-8-yl)-ethylidene]-hydrazono\}-thiazolidin-4-one (VIIId):

Yield $=86 \% ;$ m.p. $=171-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;$ FT-IR ( v max, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3040(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 2980 (aliphatic $\mathrm{CH}), 1724(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $1618\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}\right.$, imine), and $1597(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ar}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (ppm): $1.44\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.77$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}\right), 4.56\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Benzyl CH 2$), ~ 6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C3- of coumarin), $6.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 6.89-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H, C5-H); M+(m/z): 449; Anal calcd: C, 64.13; H, 5.16; N, 9.35; found: C, 64.25; H, 5.23; N, 9.44.
(Z/E)-3-Benzoyl-2-\{[1-(7-ethoxy-4-methylcoumarin-8-yl)-ethylidene]-hydrazono\}-thiazolidin-4-one (VIIIe):

Yield $=50 \%$; m.p. $=242-244^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;$ FT-IR ( $\tilde{\text { v max, }} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3064(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 2981$ (aliphatic $\mathrm{CH}), 1716$ and $1670(2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1624$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$, imine), and $1597(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ar}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 1.41\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 4.17\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}\right), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ of coumarin), $6.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right), 7.38-7.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right.$ of phenyl), $7.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{j}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right.$ of phenyl), $7.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}_{\text {arom }}\right) ; \mathrm{M}+(m / z)$ : 463; Anal calcd: C, 62.19; H, 4.57; N, 9.07; found, C, 62.37; H, 4.61; N, 9.13.

### 3.2. Antitumor Activity

### 3.2.1. Cytotoxicity Assay

Cell culture:
MCF-7 breast cancer cell line (ATCC ${ }^{\circledR}$ HTB- $22{ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) plus non-tumorigenic epithelial cell line (MCF 10, ATCC ${ }^{\circledR}$ CRL-10317 ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) were supplied from VACSERA (Cairo, Egypt) and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Invitrogen-Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with $1 \%$ antibiotic solution (streptomycin-penicillin) plus $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Hyclone) in a $5 \%(v / v)$ humidified $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

MTT assay:
$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of each of the tested compounds was studied by MTT assay where cells were treated with trypsin, counted, and then plated in sterile microtiter plates (density: 1.2-1.8 $\times 10^{4}$ cells/well). Firstly, cells were kept in a humidified atmosphere ( $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ), and then incubated with serial concentrations of the tested compounds. After 48 h, the medium was aspirated, and then cells were incubated with 5\% MTT solution (M-5655; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO USA) ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well, 2 h ), allowing the dye to metabolize into the colored insoluble formazan complex that was then dissolved in the appropriate solubilization solution (M-8910) ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well), for 30 min with gentle mixing at room temperature. The UV absorbance was measured using a microplate reader ( 570 nm ), and cell viability was determined with respect to untreated control cells. The cytotoxic potencies of synthesized derivatives were expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value, which represents the concentration of tested compound capable of inducing $50 \%$ inhibition in cell proliferation. The values were means $\pm \mathrm{sd} ; n=3$.

### 3.2.2. PI3K and Akt Enzyme Inhibition Assays

The in vitro inhibition of PI3K and Akt kinase activities by VIIb was assessed using a PI3K $\alpha$ (p110 $/ \mathrm{p} 85$ ) assay kit (Catalog \#79781; BPS Bioscience, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA), PI3K $\gamma$ (p110 /PIK3R5) assay kit (Catalog \#79803; BPS Bioscience, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA), and Akt Kinase Activity assay kit (ab139436; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) following the manufacturer's instructions as described previously [58,59]. The results were expressed as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values using dose-response curves and linear regression equations. LY294002 compound was taken as the reference compound. The values were means $\pm \operatorname{sd} ; n=3$.

### 3.2.3. Cell Cycle Analysis and Apoptosis Induction

Cell cycle analysis and apoptosis rates following VIIb treatment were investigated using a Propidium Iodide Flow Cytometry Kit (ab139418; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) [60] and Annexin V-FITC apoptosis kit (Catalog: K101-25; BioVision Research Products, San Francisco, CA, USA) [61], respectively, following the manufacturer's instructions as stated by a previous study [17].

### 3.2.4. Determination of the Cleaved Caspase-9 Level

The level of cleaved caspase-9 was assessed in both untreated/control MCF-7 cells and following VIIb treatment using DRG ${ }^{\circledR}$ Caspase-9 (human) ELISA (EIA-4860), following the manufacturer's instructions (DRG International Inc., Springfield, NJ, USA). The data were means $\pm \mathrm{sd} ; n=3$.

### 3.2.5. Western Blot

Western blot analysis was conducted using the following primary antibodies: anti-pAkt (1:5000; ab81283), anti-Cyclin D1 (1:1000; ab226977), anti-p-PI3K (1:1000; ab278545), and anti-beta-actin (1:1000; ab8227). Firstly, VIIb-treated and untreated cells were rinsed with PBS, and then cold lysis buffer was added to induce cell lysis. After centrifugation, the supernatants were collected, and harvested proteins were quantified by Bradford assay and then resolved on SDS-PAGE followed by electroblotting onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. Later, membrane blocking was conducted using $5 \%$ skimmed milk in $0.1 \%$ Tween-20 in PBS (PBST). Then membranes were incubated with the aforementioned primary antibodies at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following 12-h incubation, the membranes were soaked in

PBST thrice followed by 1-h incubation with appropriate secondary antibodies. Antibodies were supplied from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The signals were visualized with a chemiluminescence ECL kit (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions, and images were obtained using a Biorad Imager.

### 3.2.6. Molecular Simulation Studies

Molecular docking of the two target compounds into the PI3K protein (PDB code 4L23) and Akt protein (PDB code 3O96) was performed using the MOE software package. Initially, the downloaded protein was prepared, and water molecules were removed followed by the minimization step. The standard settings were kept in the docking steps. The 2D interaction diagrams for the best 10 poses were studied, and the highest-scoring binding poses were selected and compared to the reference ligands.

### 3.3. Statistical Analysis

Unpaired $t$-tests (GraphPad Prism v7.00) were performed to investigate the significance levels between tested Compound VIIb and control samples or reference compounds. $p<0.05$ was considered significant.

## 4. Conclusions

By adopting the pharmacophore hybridization approach, new series of 7-hydroxyl-4methylcoumarin and their 7-ethoxy analogs bearing thiosemicarbazone (V-VI) or thiazolidin-4-one moiety (VII and VIII) were designed, prepared, and then their in vitro cytotoxicity against MCF-7 cells examined by MTT assay. Nine compounds, namely Va, VIa, VIc, VId, VIf, VIIb, VIIIa VIIIc, and VIIIf, demonstrated significant cytotoxicity; thus, they are considered promising antiproliferative agents against MCF-7 cells. Overall, the present study exemplified that one of these derivatives, VIIb, induced significant cytotoxicity at a low concentration of $1.03 \pm 0.05 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Further investigations were conducted to unravel the mechanistic details of this observation. Mechanistically, VIIb exerted its effect via dual inhibition of PI3K / Akt kinase activity, as manifested by the results of enzyme inhibition assay, and was further confirmed by Western blot results. Additionally, VIIb treatment induced S-phase cell cycle arrest alongside induction of caspase-9 mediated apoptosis. Further, Western blot results demonstrated potential Compound VIIb modulation of anti-apoptotic Cyclin D1, as evidenced by its decreased protein expression. Eventually, molecular docking illustrated the binding patterns of this compound with the targeted enzymes PI3K and Akt-1. These newly designed and synthesized coumarin hybrids are excellent candidates for further investigation and optimization targeting signal transduction pathways in the treatment of cancer. In particular, the currently observed antitumor efficacy of the novel coumarin derivative VIIb in MCF-7 cells suggests the potential to evolve as a promising anti-cancer compound via dual inhibition of the PI3K/Akt axis.
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