

Thiol Metabolism and Volatile Metabolome of *Clostridioides difficile*

Peter Biwer¹, Meina Neumann-Schaal^{2,3}, Petra Henke², Dieter Jahn^{3,4} and Stefan Schulz^{1*}

¹Institute of Organic Chemistry, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany, ²Department of Metabolomics, Leibniz Institute DSMZ-German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany, ³Braunschweig Integrated Centre of Systems Biology, BRICS, Braunschweig, Germany, ⁴Institute of Microbiology, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Shan Goh, University of Hertfordshire, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Isabelle Martin-Verstraete, Université Paris Diderot, France Christiaan Rees, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States William Thomas Self, University of Central Florida, United States Aoife Morrin, Dublin City University, Ireland

*Correspondence:

Stefan Schulz stefan.schulz@tu-bs.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Agents and Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 28 January 2022 Accepted: 22 April 2022 Published: 16 June 2022

Citation:

Biwer P, Neumann-Schaal M, Henke P, Jahn D and Schulz S (2022) Thiol Metabolism and Volatile Metabolome of Clostridioides difficile. Front. Microbiol. 13:864587. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.864587 Clostridioides difficile (previously Clostridium difficile) causes life-threatening gut infections. The central metabolism of the bacterium is strongly influencing toxin production and consequently the infection progress. In this context, the composition and potential origin of the volatile metabolome was investigated, showing a large number of sulfur-containing volatile metabolites. Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS)-based headspace analyses of growing C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ cultures identified 105 mainly sulfur-containing compounds responsible of the typical C. difficile odor. Major components were identified to be 2-methyl-1-propanol, 2-methyl-1-propanethiol, 2-methyl-1-butanethiol, 4-methyl-1-pentanethiol, and as well as their disulfides. Structurally identified were 64 sulfur containing volatiles. In order to determine their biosynthetic origin, the concentrations of the sulfur-containing amino acids methionine and cysteine were varied in the growth medium. The changes observed in the volatile metabolome profile indicated that cysteine plays an essential role in the formation of the sulfur-containing volatiles. We propose that disulfides are derived from cysteine via formation of cystathionine analogs, which lead to corresponding thiols. These thiols may then be oxidized to disulfides. Moreover, methionine may contribute to the formation of short-chain disulfides through integration of methanethiol into the disulfide biosynthesis. In summary, the causative agents of the typical C. difficile odor were identified and first hypotheses for their biosynthesis were proposed.

Keywords: Clostridium difficile, thiols, disulfides, sulfur metabolism, gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, cysteine

INTRODUCTION

Clostridioides difficile (previously *Clostridium difficile*) is a major nosocomial human pathogen with a significant number of community-acquired infections (Knight et al., 2015; Lessa et al., 2015). It can be isolated from mammals, various birds and reptiles, as well as from the environment and food (Hensgens et al., 2012). The transmissibility of the pathogen is increased by the formation of highly resistant spores, which can survive various stress conditions and persist in the environment for months or even up to years (Barra-Carrasco and Paredes-Sabja, 2014). Symptoms of *C. difficile* infections (CDI) range from relatively mild diarrhea over pseudomembranous colitis to sepsis with high morbidity and mortality (Nanwa et al., 2015). To current knowledge, these symptoms are caused by the toxins A (TcdA) and B (TcdB)

1

which lead to extensive intestinal damage and pathology (Carter et al., 2015). Some *C. difficile* isolates also produce a binary toxin (CDT; Aktories et al., 2018).

For clostridial growth and toxin mediated pathogenicity, the metabolic network and the nutritional status in the environment play an important role. Its favored energy sources, the amino acids proline and the sulfur-containing cysteine reduce toxin production independent of the used growth medium and tested strain (Karlsson et al., 2000). Cysteine-dependent toxin gene regulation appears to be related to products of the cysteine degradation, mainly pyruvate, lactate, and probably sulfide (Dubois et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2018b; Hofmann et al., 2021). The addition of a mixture of seven amino acids (glycine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, threonine, tryptophan, and valine) as well as the vitamin biotin led to similar effects (Karlsson et al., 1999, 2000, 2008). A major process for energy production by C. difficile is the Stickland reaction, a coupled fermentation of amino acids (Stickland, 1934). After initial enzymatic deamination, resulting the 2-ketoacids are either oxidized or reduced in a coupled reaction to their corresponding organic acids via coenzyme A-activated intermediates. Energy is conserved by substrate-level phosphorylation and electronbifurcating enzymes coupled to the Rnf-complex (Aboulnaga et al., 2013; Buckel and Thauer, 2013; Dannheim et al., 2017a; Neumann-Schaal et al., 2019). Depending on the amino acid, one amino acid is oxidized while up to two others are reduced. Certain amino acids like proline and glycine are metabolized via modified Stickland pathways (Stadtman, 1966; Jackson et al., 2006). Alanine, cysteine, and serine are metabolized via the central carbon metabolism and enter it via pyruvate. Threonine is degraded via acetaldehyde and glycine to acetyl-CoA, or via 2-oxobutanoate to propanoyl-CoA (Fonknechten et al., 2010). The products of the central carbon metabolism-associated fermentation are butanoate and pentanoate and further propanoate, lactate, and acetate (Aboulnaga et al., 2013; Dannheim et al., 2017b; Hofmann et al., 2021). While in earlier growth phases the exometabolome is dominated by broad range of organic acids, corresponding alcohols can be detected in later growth stages, specifically when intracellular coenzyme A pools are depleted (Hofmann et al., 2018).

Clostridioides difficile cultures possess very distinctive odors that can be attributed to a set of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Odor-based determination of CDI with trained dogs have previously been reported (Charles et al., 2019). Furthermore, identification methods utilizing gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) were investigated as another potential tool for rapid diagnosis of CDI. Through these efforts, several compound classes like amines, organic acids, alcohols, thiols, and disulfides were identified among others (Pons et al., 1985; Garner et al., 2007; Rees et al., 2016). Sulfur-containing VOCs occur widely spread across bacteria (Schulz and Dickschat, 2007; Schulz et al., 2020; Weisskopf et al., 2021) equipping its emitters with different bioactivities (Netzker et al., 2020; Schulz et al., 2020; Weisskopf et al., 2021) in interactions with animals (Popova et al., 2014), plants (Huang et al., 2012; Meldau et al., 2013), fungi (Fernando et al., 2005; De Vrieze et al., 2015), other bacteria (Dandurishvili et al., 2011; Groenhagen et al., 2013; Tyc et al., 2015) and in health (Walker and Schmitt-Kopplin, 2021). This obvious importance of volatile substances for the biology of *C. difficile* in combination with a lack of systematic investigations of these compounds prompted us to start with a chemical inventory of VOCs and first physiological studies for their biochemical origins.

Thus, the rationale of our approach was to investigate the VOC metabolome of the widely used and well-characterized model strain *C. difficile* $630\Delta erm$ (DSM 28645) *via* direct headspace extraction and GC/MS analysis under anaerobic conditions and chemical synthesis of candidate compounds with an emphasis on thiols and disulfides. Furthermore, we explored the influence of sulfur-containing amino acids cysteine and methionine on the biosynthesis of corresponding VOCs.

EXPERIMENTAL

Cultivation of Bacteria

Studies were performed with Clostridioides difficile $630\Delta erm$ (DSM 28645; Hussain et al., 2005) obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany, recent genome data published by Dannheim et al., 2017b). Clostridioides difficile $630\Delta erm$ is a spontaneous erythromycin-sensitive mutant of the isolate 630 (Wüst and Hardegger, 1983) and was originally isolated as erythromycin-, tetracycline-, and clindamycin-resistant strain in a clinical environment in Switzerland. It contains the PaLoc encoding for the two major toxins, TcdA and TcdB. Several studied on metabolic properties (incl. cysteine metabolism), physiology and spore formation have been published (e.g., Gu et al., 2018a,b; Hofmann et al., 2018, 2021; Wetzel and McBride. 2020; Brauer et al., 2021). Main cultures were cultivated in medium CDMM as described earlier (Neumann-Schaal et al., 2015). Casamino acids were obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany, lot number VM692545514) and the exact amino acid content of this lot has been quantified in a previous study (Will et al., 2017). Casamino acids contain all proteinogenic amino acids except glutamine, asparagine, cysteine, and tryptophan. The latter two are required for growth of C. difficile. To prepare the final CDMM medium, the amino acid mixture was further supplemented with 0.5 g/L cysteine and 0.1 g/L tryptophan resulting in 0.5 g/L cysteine (as added separately) and 0.12 g/L methionine (originating from the casamino acids) as sulfur-containing amino acids and potential sources for thiol formation by C. difficile (called CDMM in the text). Additionally, the experiments were performed with CDMM medium containing less (0.1 g/L, 0.8 mmol/L final concentration, CDMM-C) or more (2.0 g/L, 16.5 mmol/L final concentration, CDMM+C) cysteine, and with medium containing an increased amount of methionine (+1 g/L 7.5 mmol/L final concentration, CDMM+M) compared to the CDMM described above. Cells were transferred twice with a dilution of 1:200 in CDMM prior to inoculation of the main culture. Main cultures (100 ml culture volume in 125 ml Afnor bottles, chlorobutyl septa, Zscheile & Klinger, Hamburg, Germany) were inoculated with

a dilution of 1:100 of an actively growing preculture $(OD_{600nm} \sim 0.5)$. The cultures were incubated at 37°C for 24 h to the late stationary phase. All cultivations were performed as three independent biological replicates.

Sampling and Analysis of the Volatile Metabolome

Three independent biological cultures of C. difficile grown on media containing high (CDMM+C) or reduced quantities (CDMM-C) of cysteine as well as media with increased amounts of methionine (CDMM+M) were used to investigate relative changes in VOC concentrations during cultivation. The standard CDMM medium, also analyzed three times, served as reference point and background control for medium derived VOCs. A medium analysis of CDMM without C. difficile served as control to exclude compounds originating from the medium. Headspace extracts were obtained by a nitrogen flow (0.1 L/min) through the anaerobic liquid bacterial culture in its late stationary growth phase and transfer to a thermal desorption tube filled with an absorbent (Tenax TA Tube; GERSTEL, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany) for 2h. The trapped compounds were analyzed by GC/MS using a thermal desorption unit (TDU), cooled injection system (CIS), and a MultiPurposeSampler (MPS) autosampler (GERSTEL, Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany) connected to an Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph. The gas chromatograph was equipped with a HP-5 MS fused silica capillary column (30 m, 0.25 i. d., 0.25 µm film, Hewlett-Packard, Wilmington, United States) connected to an Agilent 5977A mass-selective detector. Conditions: transfer line 300°C, electron energy 70 eV. Thermal desorption: 30°C, increasing at 60°C/ min to 280°C, 10 min isothermal. Cooled injection: -150°C, increasing at 12°C/s to 300°C, 3 min isothermal; 0.6 s splitless transfer. Gas chromatographic method: 50°C, 5 min isothermal, increasing at 5°C/min to 320°C; operated in splitless mode. Helium was used as carrier gas with a flow of 1.2 ml/min. Linear GC retention indices (RI) were determined from a homologous series of *n*-alkanes (C_8-C_{30}).

Data Analysis and Disulfide Microreactions

The bacterial volatile compounds were identified by comparison of their mass spectra and retention indices with data obtained from mass spectral databases, commercially available or synthesized authentic samples and literature values. Identical GC and MS data verified the compound structure. Compounds that were found in at least two of three replicates were included in this study. Media blanks were analyzed separately, and its constituents were subtracted from the obtained VOC list. Relative compound quantities were calculated as ratio between mean integrated signal found in the respective test medium and mean integrated signal found in the reference medium. When a compound was not detected during growth in the reference CDMM medium, the lowest detected mean integrated signal was used as reference point. Relative compound quantities are visualized as fold changes. Significant changes in compound concentrations were calculated by Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test including a Benjamini-Hochberg correction using TigrMev software (version 4.6.2, Saeed et al., 2003). Both, *p*-value and adjusted *p*-value are supplied in **Supplementary Table S1**.

General Synthetic Method for Synthesis of Disulfides

Two disulfides (0.1 mmol each) were mixed with Et₃N (0.2 mmol) and DMF (100 μ l) in a 1.5 ml vial. The vial was sealed and placed in a sonication bath at 40°C. After 45 min of sonication H₂O (250 μ l) and diethyl ether (250 μ l) were added (Ruano et al., 2008). The organic phase was separated, dried with NaCl, and diluted 1:50. Around 1 μ l of the solution was injected into the GC/MS system.

RESULTS

The metabolism of C. difficile plays a major role in the pathogenicity of the organism (Neumann-Schaal et al., 2019) and everyone investigating this organism is familiar with its unique odor, which is caused by various sulfur-containing VOCs. However, the nature and function of volatile metabolic products of C. difficile are mainly unknown. In a first step an inventory of these volatile substances was established by isolating them from the headspace of a C. difficile culture and determining their chemical structure using GC/MS. Thus, a detailed structural analysis of the volatile compounds of C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ emphasizing thiols and disulfides was performed. Unknown compounds were identified by analyzing their mass spectra and comparing their data with those of chemically synthesized potential candidate compounds. Culture conditions concerning the sulfur-containing amino acids methionine and cysteine were varied and the VOC amounts determined in order to establish the origin of the sulfur groups of the various found VOCs. Because these amino acids likely are the biosynthetic sources of sulfur, an influence on the volatile production was anticipated, leading to an insight into the biosynthetic pathways associated with VOC production in C. difficile. Media containing high (CDMM+C) or reduced quantities (CDMM-C) of cysteine as well as media with increased amounts of methionine (CDMM+M) were used to investigate relative changes in VOC concentrations during cultivation.

Identification of Volatiles Released by Clostridioides difficile 630∆erm

The VOCs emitted by *C. difficile* $630\Delta erm$ under strictly anaerobic conditions were trapped on an adsorbent and directly analyzed by GC/MS using thermodesorption. This headspace method allowed sensitive direct analysis of the emitted compounds. The analysis of the headspace of a CDMM culture without bacteria served as background control and revealed a number of volatile compounds to be released by the medium, which were excluded from further analysis.

The VOCs were identified by comparison of their mass spectra and gas chromatographic retention indices with data from mass spectral databases, authentic samples, and literature values (Figures 1-4; Supplementary Table S1). Furthermore, specific analysis of the mass spectral fragmentation revealed the structural identity of unknown compounds. The VOC composition of CDMM cultures contained a number of asymmetrical and symmetrical disulfides that showed unknown mass spectra. Therefore, candidate compounds needed to be synthesized for identification. To reduce the synthetic effort, these disulfides were synthesized in microreactions from two commercially available thiols using a method adapted from Ruano et al. (2008) (Supplementary Figure S3). The crude products, resulting in three possible disulfides, were analyzed by GC/MS to determine their retention indices and EI mass spectra. The synthesized compounds and mass spectral data are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Disulfides that could not be identified through this method were partially identified by their mass spectra, which revealed alkyl side chain sizes and double bond equivalents. Furthermore, a range of trisulfides occurred in low quantities, which structures

remained unresolved. They are labeled as unknowns throughout the text. The 14 most abundant compounds released by C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ were the thiols 2-methyl-1-propanethiol (1), 2-methyl-1-butanethiol (5) and 4-methyl-1-pentanethiol (9), the disulfides bis(2-methylpropyl) disulfide (27), 2-methylbutyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide (36), 2-methylbutyl 3-methylpropyl disulfide (37), bis(2-methylbutyl) disulfide (44), 2-methylbutyl 3-methylbutyl disulfide (45) and 3-methylpentyl 4-methylpentyl disulfide (49), the related alcohols 2-methyl-1-propanol (65), 1-butanol (66), 2-methyl-1-butanol (67), and 4-methyl-1-pentanol (69), as well as 2-methylbutanal (74) (Figure 1). All these compounds shared similar carbon backbones originating from alkyl amino acids. Altogether 71 different compounds were identified with the CDMM medium. The 14 most abundant compounds and their concentration changes in CDMM+M. CDMM-C and CDMM+C are shown in Figure 2.



bold

Influence of Methionine on the Thiol and Disulfide VOC Profile of Clostridioides difficile $630 \triangle erm$

When *C. difficile* $630\Delta erm$ was offered increased amounts of methionine as additional sulfur source (CDMM+M), the abundance of four thiols changed. 2-Butanethiol (2) appeared while 3-methyl-1-butanethiol (4) and 5-methyl-1-hexanethiol (12) were not detected anymore. 1-Pentanethiol (7) concentrations decreased 1.5-fold, while proportions of all other thiols did not change (Fold change < 1.5; Figure 3).

Within disulfides, the highest fold-changes were found among short-chain disulfides. The most prominent cases are dimethyl disulfide (14), methyl propyl disulfide (17) and methyl butyl disulfide (20) that were found in CDMM+M, but not detectable in CDMM. Abundances of the remaining methyl disulfides (15, 18, and 22) were increased 4.1–5.1-fold. Disulfides carrying an ethyl group and a C_2-C_5 alkyl chain (16, 19, 21, 23, and 26) were detected in 2.2–3.2-fold higher concentrations in CDMM+M and ethyl 4-methylpentyl disulfide (32) occurred. The abundances of the majority of disulfides with C_3 or longer chains did not change (fold change<1.5), with the exception of 2-methylpropyl propyl disulfide 24, while disulfides 34, 38, and 50 were not detected (Figure 3). Disulfides carrying a methyl group and to a lesser degree those with an ethyl group increased, while the larger disulfides or trisulfides did not change markedly.

Additionally, the increased methionine content resulted in changes among other sulfur compounds, pointing toward an increased sulfur metabolism, exemplified by the 5.1-fold increase of cyclic octameric sulfur (64). S-Methyl ethanethioate (51), as well as trisulfides 54, 58, and 61 were only produced in CDMM+M, while CDMM compounds 1,2,4-trithiolane (55) and trans-3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-trithiolane (57) were not detected. Abundances of S-methyl 2-methylpropanethioate (53), 4-methyl-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (60) and the larger trisulfides 59, 62, and 63 were not altered. In most cases, the concentrations of alcohols decreased in CDMM+M except for 1-pentanol (68), which was found in this medium, but not in CDMM. Other medium specific compounds specific include 2-methylpropanal (72) and methylcyclopentane (73), while the CDMM compounds 5-methylhexanal (76) and 6-methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) were lacking. Eleven unidentified compounds were found in the



						fold c	hange	
	MW [Da]	RI (exp)	RI (lit)	Identification	CDMM+M	CDMM-C	CDMM	CDMM+C
Thiols								
2-Methyl-1-propanethiol (1)	90	744		ms				
2-Butanethiol (2)	90	753		ms			\geq	
1-Butanethiol (3)	90	755		ms				\geq
3-Methyl-1-butanethiol (4)	104	795	800	ms, ri, std	\geq	\sim		
2-Methyl-1-butanethiol (5)	104	799	804	ms, ri, std				
3-Methyl-2-butene-1-thiol (6)	102	805	808	ms, ri	\geq	\sim	\geq	
1-Pentanethiol (7)	104	813	816	ms, ri				\geq
2-Methyl-2-butene-1-thiol (8)	102	819	823	ms, ri				
4-Methyl-1-pentanethiol (9)	118	881		ms				
3-Methyl-1-pentanethiol (10)	118	886		ms				\sim
2-Methyl-3-pentanethiol (11)	118	897		ms	\sim	\sim	\sim	
5-Methyl-1-hexanethiol (12)	132	985		ms	\sim	~~~		\geq
2-Thiophenemethanethiol (13)	130	1073	1089*	ms, ri	\leq	\leq	$>\!\!\!\!>\!\!\!\!>$	
Disulfides								
Dimethyl disulfide (14)	94	768	761	ms, ri		_	\sim	~~
Methyl ethyl disulfide (15)	108	830	839	ms ri				\sim
Diethyl disulfide (16)	122	920	927	ms ri std				
Methyl propyl disulfide (17)	122	920	923	me ri			\sim	
Methyl 2 methylpropyl disulfide (17)	122	002	920	mc		_	\sim	
Ethyl propyl disulfide (10)	126	1014	1017	mo ri otd				
Mathyl buthl digulfida (20)	130	1014	1017	ms, n, siu			\sim	
The d 2 meetro descend disulfide (21)	130	1050	1010	IIIS, II			\frown	\frown
Ethyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide (21)	150	1000	1070	ms, n, sta				_
Methyl 5-methylbutyl disunde (22)	150	1090	4447	IIIS				\sim
Ethyl butyl disulfide (23)	150	1113	1117	ms, ri, sta				\sim
2-Methylpropyl propyl disulfide (24)	104	1157	1101	ms, ri, sta				
Ethyl 3-methylbutyl disulfide (25)	164	1172	11//	ms, ri, sta				
Etnyl 2-metnylbutyl disulfide (26)	164	1174	1178	ms, ri, sta				
Bis(2-methylpropyl) disulfide (27)	178	1205	1209	ms, ri, sta				
(12)-1-butenyi 1-metnyipropyi disulfide (28)	162	1246	1050	ms				
Butyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide (29)	164	1255	1259	ms, ri, std				
2-Methylbutyl propyl disulfide (30)	178	1263	1268	ms, ri, std				
3-Methylbutyl propyl disulfide (31)	178	1264	1269	ms, ri, std			<	
Ethyl 4-methylpentyl disulfide (32)	178	1279		ms			\geq	\sim
1-Methylpropyl 2-methylbutyl disulfide (33)	192	1292		ms				\geq
1-Methylpropyl 3-methylbutyl disulfide (34)	192	1293		ms	\geq	$>\!$		
Dibutyl disulfide (35)	178	1303	1308	ms, ri, std				\geq
2-Methylbutyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide (36)	192	1311	1316	ms, ri, std				
3-Methylbutyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide (37)	192	1313	1318	ms, ri, std	<pre></pre>	_		
3-Methyl-2-butenyl 3-methylbutyl disulfide (38)	190	1340		ms	\geq			
C4-C5 disulfide M1	192	1347				\geq		\geq
C4-C5 disulfide M2 (+1 DBE)	190	1350						
C4-C5 disulfide M3 (+1 DBE)	190	1352			\geq	$>\!$	> <	
2-Methylpropyl pentyl disulfide (39)	192	1356	1361	ms, ri, std				\geq
Butyl 2-methylbutyl disulfide (40)	192	1360	1366	ms, ri, std				
Butyl 3-methylbutyl disulfide (41)	192	1362	1367	ms, ri, std				
1-Methylbutyl 2-methylbutyl disulfide (42)	206	1407		ms				
1-Methylbutyl 3-methylbutyl disulfide (43)	206	1409		ms				
Bis(2-methylbutyl) disulfide (44)	206	1416	1422	ms, ri, std				
2-Methylbutyl 3-methylbutyl disulfide (45)	206	1418	1425	ms, ri, std				
C5-C5 disulfide M4 (+1 DBE)	204	1433				\geq		
C5-C5 disulfide M5 (+1 DBE)	204	1445						
2-Methylbutyl pentyl disulfide (46)	206	1461	1467	ms, ri, std				\geq
Butyl 4-methylpentyl disulfide (47)	206	1467		ms				\sim
3-Methylpentyl 4-methylpentyl disulfide (48)	220	1519		ms				\leq
Bis (4-methylpentyl) disulfide (49)	220	1523		ms				\leq
Bis (5-methylhexyl) disulfide (50)	234	1628		ms	\geq			\leq
						fold	change	
						10/4	Juninge	
					-5		1	5

FIGURE 3 | Overview of volatile thiols and disulfides found in *Clostridioides difficile* $630\Delta erm$. Compounds annotated in bold are major components of the volatile bouquet. The molecular weight (MW) is given in Da. Compound identification was based on comparison of spectra with those of data bases and mass spectrometric fragmentation (ms), comparison of retention indices from our own database are shown in italic. Retention indices determined on a GC phases related to but not identical to the HP5-MS phase used are marked with an asterisk. Fold changes of integrated signals from CDMM+M, CDMM-C, and CDMM+C were reference to CDMM. When one compound was not found in reference CDMM, the lowest integrated signal detected was used as referencing point. Muted yellow squares represent a decrease fold change of -1.1 to -5.0 and bright yellow squares between 0.9 and 1.1. Crossed, white squares represent compounds below the detection limit.

CDMM+M cultures, four of them (U6, U7, U10, and U16) not present in CDMM, while the rest remained unchanged compared to CDMM (Figure 4).

The results indicate that methionine in *C. difficile* $630\Delta erm$ functions as a source of methanethiol, as has been shown for a variety of other bacteria. Methanethiol, difficult to detect by headspace GC/MS method due to its very weak interaction

with adsorbents, serves as a precursor for disulfide formation, as indicated here by the increased methyl alkyl disulfide formation. Thiols are sensitive to oxidation, methanethiol reacts under aerobic conditions easily to form dimethyl disulfide. Under the anaerobic conditions of *C. difficile* methanethiol might react with or without enzymatic involvement with other sulfides to disulfides. This might

UND (b) R/leg) R/leg) Release COMM-1 COMM-2 COMM C COMM C COMM C S-Methyl ethanelhioate (\$1) 00 750 ms ms ms S-Methyl 2-methylopopanethioate (\$3) 118 844 ms ms S-Methyl 2-methylopopanethioate (\$5) 124 1086 965 ms S-Methyl 2-methylopopy 124.1116/ane (\$5) 124 1134 ms Bis (1-methylephyl 1-Sulfice (\$5) 124 124.5 ms Bis (1-methylephyl 1-Sulfice (\$6) 124 1522 ms Bis (1-methylephyl 1-Sulfice (\$6) 124 1528 ms S-Methylopopy 1 msulfice (\$6) 224 1528 ms Cyclic cotatoine sulfur (\$6) 74 781 ms ms Altenhols 2 770 ms ms ms Altenhols 787 781 ms ms ms Altenhols 787 781 ms ms ms Altenanol (70) 164							fold change		
Other suffix compounds Solution SMethy disamethicate (S1) 60 750 ms 2.2-Dimethythirizane (S2) 88 766 ms Dimethytore (S5) 124 1086 ms <i>Iza-Tribiolate</i> (S5) 124 1086 ms <i>Iza-Tribiolate</i> (S5) 124 1134 ms <i>Isite (Striptory)</i> Itisuffice (S9) 122 1233 ms <i>Isite (Znettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S9) 124 1282 ms <i>Isite (Znettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S9) 224 1522 ms <i>Isite (Znettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S9) 224 1522 ms <i>Isite (Znettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S1) 224 1522 ms <i>Isite (Znettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S1) 224 1522 ms <i>Isite (Snettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S1) 224 1528 ms <i>Isite (Snettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S1) 246 ms ms <i>Isite (Snettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S1) 24 178 ms <i>Isite (Snettypopy)</i> Itisuffice (S1) 74 748 ms		MW [Da]	RI (exp)	RI (lit)	Identification	CDMM+M	CDMM-C	CDMM	CDMM+C
S-Methyl ethanethicate (51) 2-2.Dimethyl timiliance (52) S-Methyl 2-methylopoparabiticate (53) 118 844 12.4-Tithiolane (55) 12.4-Tithiolane (55) 12.4-Tithiolane (55) 12.4-Tithiolane (55) 12.4-Tithiolane (55) 12.4-Tithiolane (55) 12.4-Tithiolane (55) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (58) 12.4-Tithiolane (58) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (58) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 12.4-Tithiolane (57) 13.4-Tithiolane (57) 14.4-Tithiolane (57) 14	Other sulfur compounds							~ .	~ -
2.2-Dimethyltinizne (52) 68 766 ms Dimethyltinizne (53) 124 1086 ms, n T.2.4-Trithiadra (55) 124 1086 ms ms s, n Tara-3,5-Dimethyl-1,2.4-trithiadra (57) 152 1127 ms Tara-3,5-Dimethyl-1,2.4-trithiadra (57) 152 1127 ms Tara-3,5-Dimethyl-1,2.4-trithiadra (57) 152 1127 ms Tara-3,5-Dimethyl-1,2.4-trithiadra (57) 1422 ms Bis (2-methylcropy) trisuifice (59) 142 1522 ms Bis (2-methylcropy) trisuifice (59) 142 1522 ms Els (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (51) 142 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (52) 124 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (52) 124 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (52) 124 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (51) 142 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (52) 144 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (52) 144 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (52) 144 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (51) 144 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (52) 144 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcoly) trisuifice (51) 144 1522 ms Bis (3-methylcol) trisuifice (51) 144 1522 ms Alcohols ms, n Histanal (65) 74 756 ms, n Histanal (66) 152 77 751 ms, n Histanal (76) 158 760 ms Ti terranol (70) 102 871 859 ms, n Els (4-methylcopentare (73) 44 753 ms, n Els (4-methylcopentare (73) 144 968 969 ms, n Els (4-methylcopentare (77) 144 968 969 ms, n Els (4-methylcopentare (78) 126 122 120 122 122 122 122 122 122 122 122	S-Methyl ethanethioate (51)	90	750		ms			\geq	> <
S-Methyl 2-methylopoparethiolde (5) Dimethyl funditide (54) 12.4-Trithiolane (55) 12.4-Trithiolane (55) 12.4-Trithiolane (55) 12.4-Trithiolane (55) 12.4-Trithiolane (57) 12.4-Trithiolane (57) 12.4-Trithiolane (57) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 12.4-Trithiolane (58) 2.4-Methyl-5-trithiolane (50) 2.4-Methyl-5-trithiolane (51) 2.4-Methyl-1-propanol (55) 7.4 736 T-A-Methyl-1-propanol (56) 7.4 736 T-B-tratol (56) 7.4 736 T-B-tratol (56) 7.4 736 T-B-tratol (56) 7.4 736 T-B-tratol (56) 7.4 736 T-B-tratol (56) 7.4 736 T-B-tratol (57) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (57) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (57) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (57) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (70) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (70) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (70) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (70) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (70) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (70) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (70) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (71) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (72) 2Methyl-1-portanol (72) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (74) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (74) 1.4-Methyl-1-portanol (75) 2Methyl-1-portanol (72) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (73) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74) 2Methyl-1-portanol (74)	2,2-Dimethylthiirane (52)	88	756		ms	\sim	$>\!$	$>\!$	~ >
Linetry trisuide (s4) 12.4-Trithiotane (55) 12.4-Trithiotane (55) 12.4-Trithiotane (57) 13.4-Trithiotane (57) 13.4-Trithiotane (57) 13.4-Trithiotane (57) 13.4-Trithiotane (57) 13.4-Trithiotane (57) 14.2-Trithiotane (57) 14.2-Trithiotane (57) 14.4-Methyl-12.4-Trithiotane (57) 14.4-Methyl-12.4-Trithiotane (50) 14.4-Methyl-12.4-Trithiotane (50) 14.4-Methyl-12.4-Trithiotane (50) 14.4-Methyl-12.4-Trithiotane (50) 14.4-Methyl-12-architotale (52) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (52) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (53) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (54) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (53) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (54) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (54) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (55) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (54) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (54) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (54) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (54) 2-Methyloutyl insuffice (55) 7-4 7-4 7-4 7-4 7-4 7-5 7-4 7-5 7-5 7-5 7-7 7-5 7-7 7-7 7-7	S-Methyl 2-methylpropanethioate (53)	118	844	005	ms .		~ ~		<
1.24 1.000 ms 0:63-3.5-Dimetry-1.2.4-trihiolane (50) 152 1127 ms Bis (2-methyler).1.2.4-trihiolane (50) 152 1127 ms Bis (2-methyler).1.2.4-trihiolane (50) 152 1127 ms Bis (2-methyleropy) trisulfide (58) 210 1425 ms Bis (2-methyleropy) trisulfide (61) 224 1532 ms 2-Methyleropy 3-methylouthyl trisulfide (62) 228 1638 ms 2-Methyleropy 3-methylouthyl trisulfide (63) 238 1638 ms 2-Methyleropy 3-methylouthyl trisulfide (63) 238 1638 ms 2-Methyleropy 3-methylouthyl trisulfide (63) 238 761 ms, ri 1-levanol (66) 74 748 ms 2-Methyleropanal (70) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 871 ms ms 2-Methylponanal (76) 14 869 ms, ri ms Ehyl 4-methylentanolze (77) 14 962 ms, ri ms ms </td <td>Dimethyl trisulfide (54)</td> <td>126</td> <td>965</td> <td>965</td> <td>ms, ri</td> <td></td> <td><></td> <td>\sim</td> <td>\sim</td>	Dimethyl trisulfide (54)	126	965	965	ms, ri		<>	\sim	\sim
Dass_2-uniferity: 1, 2, 4-initiations (57) 132 1121 ms Bis (2-methylorpy) insulfide (58) 132 123 ms Bis (2-methylorpy) insulfide (59) 124 125 ms Z-Methylouty) insulfide (50) 142 ms ms Z-Methylouty) insulfide (61) 224 1532 ms Sis (3-methylorpy) distribution (61) 238 1638 ms Z-Methylouty) insulfide (61) 238 1638 ms Sis (3-methylouty) insulfide (61) 24 1528 ms Sis (3-methylouty) insulfide (61) 24 1528 ms Z-Methyl-1-propanol (65) 74 736 ms ni Z-Methyl-1-propanol (65) 74 736 ms ni Z-Methyl-1-propanol (61) 102 837 761 ms ni Z-Methyl-1-propanol (70) 102 837 ms ni S-Methylexanol (71) 116 863 ms ms ni Z-Methyl-1-propanal (72) 72 723 ms ni ni Z-Methyl-1-propanal (72) 86	1,2,4-1 rithiolane (55)	124	1086		ms	$\langle \rangle$	\sim	~~	
Data Source (S) Construction (S) 122 123 ms Bis (1-methyleropy) insulfide (S) 210 1425 ms Bis (2-methyleropy) insulfide (S) 210 1425 ms 2-Methylopy) 3-methylouky (insulfide (S) 224 1532 ms 2-Methylopy) 3-methylouky (insulfide (S) 224 1532 ms Cyclic catactomic sultur (G4) 256 2018 ms Alcohols 2-Methylopy) 3-methylouky (insulfide (G3) 238 1638 ms Cyclic catactomic sultur (G4) 256 2018 ms ms Alcohols 2-Methylopy) 3-methylouky (insulfide (G3) 238 1638 ms ms 2-Methylophylong (C7) 88 774 781 ms, ri i i 1-betanol (66) 74 748 ms ms ms ms 2-Methylophylophylong (72) 72 723 ms ms ms ms 2-Methylophylophylong (72) 72 723 ms ms ms ms ms 2-Methylophylophylonghylong (72) 72 723 ms	trano 2.5 Dimethyl 1.2.4 trithiolone (50)	152	1127		ms	\sim		\sim	-
Data (Theory (P)) (Tabulate (20) 122 1233 ms Bis (2-methy/propy) (Tabulate (5)) 148 1440 ms 2-Methy/bury) 2-methy/propy (Tabulate (62)) 124 1528 ms 2-Methy/bury) 1/msuffie (61) 224 1532 ms Sis (3-methy/propy) (Tabulate (63)) 238 1638 ms Cyclic octaatomic suffur (64) 256 2018 ms Jeademic (61) 74 736 ms ms 1-Butanol (66) 74 736 ms ms mi 2-Methy/in-propanol (65) 74 736 ms ms mi 2-Methy/in-propanol (66) 74 736 ms mi ms mi 4-Methy/in-propanol (66) 102 837 833 ms ms mi 2-Methy/incyclopentane (71) 116 938 ms ms ms ms 2-Methy/incyclopentane (72) 84 731 ms	Pic(1 mothylothyl) trigulfido (59)	102	1104		ms	\frown	~	\sim	\sim
Law Letting 149 140 ms 2-Methylporyl 140 140 ms 2-Methylporyl 140 152 ms 2-Methylporyl 140 ms ms 2-Methylporyl 140 ms ms 2-Methylporyl 140 ms ms Bis (3-methylbutyl) 140 ms ms 2-Methylporyl 3-methylbutyl 1520 ms 2-Methylporyl 3-methylbutyl 1520 ms 2-Methylporyl 3-methylbutyl 1630 ms 2-Methylporyl 3-methylbutyl 1630 ms 1-Bettanol (66) 74 748 ms 1-Hersanol (70) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Hersanol (71) 116 938 ms, ri 2-Methylbersanal (76) 86 750 ms 2-Methylboranal (75) 86 750 ms, ri 2-Methylboranal (75) 86 760 ms, ri 2-Methylboranal (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri 2-Methylbo	Bis (2-methyloropyl) trisulfide (59)	210	1425		ms				\frown
2-Methylputyl 2-methylpropyl trisulfide (2) 224 1522 ms 2-Methylpropyl 3-methylputyl trisulfide (62) 224 1532 ms Bis (3-methylputyl) trisulfide (63) 224 1532 ms Cyclic octastomic suffur (64) 256 2018 ms Alcohols 2-Methyl-1-propanol (65) 74 736 ms 1-Betratoni (66) 74 748 ms, ri 1-Pentanol (69) 102 837 783 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 877 839 ms, ri 2-Methyl-hotanol (71) 116 938 ms ms Chro compounds 2-Methylphoxanol (71) 122 72 ms 2-Methylphoxanol (71) 116 938 ms ms Chro compounds 2-Methylphoxanol (71) 124 968 969 ms, ri Chropound U2 150 168 1200 ms ms ms Compound U2 150 168 1200 ms ms ms ms Compound U3 144 121 123 1310<	4-Methyl-3H-1 2-dithiole-3-thione (60)	148	1420		ms				
2-Methylpropyl 3-methylunyl trisulfide (2) 224 1532 ms Bis (3-methyluny) trisulfide (3) 238 1638 ms Cyclic octatatomic sulfur (64) 256 218 ms Alcohols 2-Methyl-1-propanol (65) 74 736 ms 2-Methyl-1-butanol (66) 74 748 ms minite 1-Pentanol (68) 88 764 781 ms, ri 1-Methylopontal (70) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 871 869 ms, ri 2-Methyloponal (72) 72 723 ms Pentanal (70) 116 938 ms ms 4Methyloponal (71) 116 938 ms, ri ms 2-Methyloponal (72) 72 723 ms ms Methyloponal (71) 116 938 ms, ri ms 2-Methyloponal (72) 72 723 ms S-Methylhoponal (71) 16 988 987 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 ms, ri ms <t< td=""><td>2-Methylbutyl 2-methylpropyl trisulfide (61)</td><td>224</td><td>1528</td><td></td><td>ms</td><td></td><td>~</td><td>~</td><td>-</td></t<>	2-Methylbutyl 2-methylpropyl trisulfide (61)	224	1528		ms		~	~	-
Bis (3-methylbuly) trisulfide (63) Cyclic octatatomic sulfur (64) 228 1638 ms Alcohols 24Methyl-1-propanol (65) 1-Butanol (66) 74 748 ms 1-Butanol (66) 74 748 ms 1-Bentanol (69) 1-Amethyl-houtanol (67) 1-Bentanol (69) 1-Methyl-pentanol (69) 1-Methyl-pentanol (69) 1-Methyl-pentanol (69) 1-Methyl-pentanol (69) 1-Methyl-pentanol (69) 1-Methyl-pentanol (70) 5-Methyl/pentanol (71) 116 938 ms Chre compounds 2-Methyl-thylopentanol (72) 2-Methyl-thylopentanol (73) 2-Methyl-thylopentanol (76) 126 988 987 ms, ri 2-Methyl-thylopentanol (77) 126 988 987 ms, ri 2-Methylopentanol (77) 126 988 987 ms, ri 2-Methylopentanol (77) 126 988 987 ms, ri 2-Methylopentanol (77) 127 128 Compound U1 120 962 Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U1 120 962 Compound U3 121 122 1220 Compound U3 122 1233 Compound U3 124 1067 Compound U4 129 121 223 Compound U3 129 122 Compound U3 129 122 Compound U4 120 1475 Compound U1 120 1475 Compound U2 121 1224 1319 Compound U1 122 222 232 1746 126 128 126 128	2-Methylpropyl 3-methylbutyl trisulfide (62)	224	1532		ms				
Cyclic octastomic sulfur (64) 256 2018 ms Alcohols 2-Methyl-1-propanol (65) 74 736 ms 1-Butanol (66) 74 748 ms minimic 2-Methyl-1-butanol (67) 88 770 761 ms, ri 1-Heanalo (168) 88 764 781 ms, ri 4-Methyl-1-pentanol (69) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Heaxanol (70) 102 871 ms ms 2-Methyloponanal (72) 72 723 ms ms 2-Methyloponanal (73) 84 733 ms ms 2-Methyloponanal (75) 86 760 ms ms 5-Methylhutschanal (76) 114 863 ms ms 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 0 0 0 Compound U1 120 962 0 0 0 0 Compound U3 134 1067 0 0 0 0 0	Bis (3-methylbutyl) trisulfide (63)	238	1638		ms				
Alcohols 2-Methyl-1-propanol (65) 74 736 ms 1-Butanol (66) 74 748 ms ns ni 1-Bettanol (66) 88 770 761 ms, ni ns, ni 1-Fentanol (68) 88 744 781 ms, ni ns. ni 1-Hexanol (70) 102 833 ms ns. ni ns. ni 5-Methyl-hortanol (71) 116 938 ms ms 2-Methyl-toptanal (72) 72 723 ms 2-Methyl-toptanal (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methyl-toptopantale (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methyl-toptopantale (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methyl-toptopantale (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methyl-toptopanal (71) 114 968 969 ms, ni Ethyl 4-methyl-sh-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ni Compound U1 120 962 986 ms, ni 107 Compound U2 160 168 1200 1063 1060 1063	Cyclic octaatomic sulfur (64)	256	2018		ms		$>\!\!<$		
Alcotols 2-Methyl-1-propanol (6) 74 736 ms 1-Butanol (66) 74 748 ms ni 2-Methyl-1-butanol (67) 88 704 761 ms, ni ni 1-Hexnanol (68) 102 837 833 ms, ni ni ni 1-Hexnanol (70) 102 837 833 ms, ni ni ni 5-Methylhexanol (71) 116 938 ms ni ni ni 2-Methylopopanal (72) 72 723 ms ms ni ni Pentanal (75) 86 741 ms ni ni ni 2-Methylopopanal (72) 72 723 ms ms ni ni 2-Methylopopanal (75) 84 733 ms ms ni ni 2-Methylopopanal (76) 114 863 70 ms, ni ni <t< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>	•							-	
2-Methyl-1-propanol (65) 1-Butanol (66) 1-Pentanol (67) 1-Pentanol (68) 1-Pentanol (70) 5-Methyl-kanaol (71) 1-Hexanol (70) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 871 869 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 871 869 ms, ri 5-Methyl-kanaol (71) 116 938 ms 8 2-Methyl-topentane (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methyl-kopentane (73) 86 750 ms 5-Methyl-topentane (76) 114 863 ms 5-Methyl-topentane (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 133 1067 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U4 172 1228 Compound U4 172 1228 Compound U4 180 1297 Compound U11 120 962 Compound U11 121 120 Compound U11 122 962 Compound U2 133 1067 Compound U3 144 853 173 135 Compound U11 124 Compound U11 125 192 1331 Compound U12 126 1982 Compound U13 126 1982 Compound U14 129 1331 Compound U15 129 1331 Compound U16 120 1482 Compound U17 126 1982 Compound U16 127 1228 Compound U17 128 Compound U17 129 1331 Compound U18 120 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U12 222 1746 Fold change 5 1 5	Alcohols								
1-Butanol (66) 74 748 ms. 2-Mettyl-I-butanol (70) 88 770 761 ms. ni 1-Pentanol (68) 88 784 781 ms. ni 1-Hexanol (70) 102 871 863 ms. ni 5-Methylhexanol (71) 116 938 ms. ni Chree compounds 2 27 723 ms. 2-Methylhoxanal (74) 86 741 ms. ni Pentanal (75) 114 863 ms. ni ni 5-Methylbectuanal (74) 86 750 ms. ni ni 6-Methyl-5-heptena-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms. ni Compound U1 120 962 1063 1063 1063 Compound U2 150 1063 1063 1063 1064 100 102 982 1067 106 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	2-Methyl-1-propanol (65)	74	736		ms				
2-Methyl-1-butanol (67) 88 770 761 ms, ri 1-Pentanol (68) 88 774 781 ms, ri 4-Methyl-1-pentanol (69) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 871 869 ms, ri 5-Methylhexanol (71) 116 938 ms 0ther compounds 2-Methylpentanal (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methylpentanoate (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methylpentanoate (75) 86 740 ms, ri 5-Methylhexanal (76) 114 863 ms Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 988 969 ms, ri 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri 0-Methylexanal (76) 114 863 ms Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 998 997 ms, ri 0-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 120 962 Compound U1 120 962 Compound U1 120 962 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U1 122 180 1292 Compound U1 122 180 1297 Compound U1 122 180 1297 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1313 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1233 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 22 252 1746 fold change 5 1 5	1-Butanol (66)	74	748		ms	\geq			\geq
1-Pentanol (68) 88 784 781 ms, ri 4-Mettyl-i-pentanol (70) 102 837 833 ms, ri 5-Methylhexanol (71) 116 938 ms, ri Other compounds 2-Methylpropanal (72) 72 723 ms Pentanal (75) 86 741 ms Pentanal (75) 86 760 ms 5-Methylbutanal (76) 114 863 ms, ri Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 968 969 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri Unidentified compounds Compound U1 120 962 963 ms, ri Compound U2 150 1063 1063 1064 108 Compound U3 134 1067 1069 106 106 Compound U4 138 1110 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 <td>2-Methyl-1-butanol (67)</td> <td>88</td> <td>770</td> <td>761</td> <td>ms, ri</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	2-Methyl-1-butanol (67)	88	770	761	ms, ri				
4-Methyl-1-pentanol (69) 102 837 833 ms, ri 1-Hexanol (70) 102 871 869 ms, ri 5-Methylhexanol (71) 116 938 ms ms 0ther compounds 2-Methylhoxpanal (72) 72 723 ms 2-Methylopentane (73) 84 733 ms Pentanal (75) 86 741 ms Pentanal (75) 86 750 ms, ri 5-Methylbutanal (76) 114 863 ms, ri Filthyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 962 ms, ri ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 ms, ri ms, ri Compound U3 134 1067 130 1063 Compound U4 138 1110 120 962 Compound U3 172 1223 130 126 962 Compound U4 138 11067 130 147 123 Compound U3 184 120 1432 1475<	1-Pentanol (68)	88	784	781	ms, ri			$>\!$	\sim
1-Hexanol (70) 112 871 869 ms, n 5-Methylhexanol (71) 116 938 ms Other compounds 2-Methylpropanal (72) 72 723 ms Methylcyclopentane (73) 84 733 ms Pentanal (75) 86 750 ms 5-Methylhexanal (76) 114 863 ms 5-Methylhexanal (76) 114 868 969 ms, ri 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 17 16 1218 Compound U1 122 1282 Compound U1 122 130 Compound U1 122 1319 Compound U1 1482 1282 Compound U1 122 1319 Compound U1 122 1319 Compound U1 122 1325 Compound U1 12 123 1482 Compound U1 12 124 1581 Compound U1 12 125 1325 Compound U1 12 125 1331 Compound U1 12 125 1331 Compound U1 13 180 1292 Compound U1 22 152 1746 fold change -5 1 5	4-Methyl-1-pentanol (69)	102	837	833	ms, ri				\geq
5-Methylhexanol (71) 116 938 ms Other compounds 2-Methylpropanal (72) 72 723 ms Methylpcopentane (73) 84 733 ms Pentanal (75) 86 741 ms S-Methylbutanal (76) 114 863 ms, ri Fehtyl-S-heptene-2-one (78) 126 982 987 ms, ri Gompound U1 120 962 962 ms, ri Compound U2 150 1063 1067 compound U3 134 1067 Compound U3 134 1067 compound U4 138 1110 compound U5 162 1130 Compound U3 134 1067 compound U4 138 1100 compound U4 162 1130 compound U5 162 1130 compound U1 12220 compound U1 122 2282 compound U1 122 1319 compound U14 192 1319 compound U14 192 1319 fold change fold change -5 1 5 Compound U19 21 <td< td=""><td>1-Hexanol (70)</td><td>102</td><td>871</td><td>869</td><td>ms, ri</td><td>\geq</td><td></td><td></td><td>\geq</td></td<>	1-Hexanol (70)	102	871	869	ms, ri	\geq			\geq
Other compounds 2-Methylpropanal (72) 72 723 ms Methylocopentane (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methylbutanal (74) 86 741 ms Pentanal (75) 86 750 ms 5-Methylbutanal (76) 114 863 ms Ethyl-4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 968 987 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 110 Compound U4 138 110 Compound U3 162 1130 Compound U4 188 1200 Compound U4 172 1233 Compound U1 122 120 121 120 124 120 Compound U11 182 1282 1313 129 1319 14175 1482 14175 14175 14175	5-Methylhexanol (71)	116	938		ms				
2-Methylpcyclopentain (72) 72 723 ms Methylpcyclopentaine (73) 84 733 ms Pentanal (75) 86 750 ms S-Methylputanal (74) 86 750 ms Pentanal (75) 86 750 ms S-Methylbutanal (76) 114 968 969 ms, ri Unidentified compounds 126 988 987 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 962 967 ms, ri Compound U2 150 1063 063 067 067 Compound U3 134 1067 067 067 063 Compound U4 138 1110 067 067 069 067 Compound U3 134 1067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 Compound U4 138 110 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067 067	Other compounds								
Methylcyclopentane (73) 84 733 ms 2-Methylbutanal (74) 86 741 ms 9-entanal (75) 86 750 ms 5-Methylbutanal (76) 114 863 ms Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 987 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 967 ms, ri Compound U2 150 1063 162 1067 Compound U3 144 1667 162 1130 Compound U4 188 1110 120 962 Compound U3 164 168 1200 162 Compound U4 188 1218 160 162 Compound U1 122 180 1297 1331 Compound U14 192 1319 1475 1390 1442 Compound U16 172 1335 144 1422 1475 1442 Compound U13 101 1475 1442 1581 1444 1581 </td <td>2-Methylpropanal (72)</td> <td>72</td> <td>723</td> <td></td> <td>ms</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\sim</td> <td>-</td>	2-Methylpropanal (72)	72	723		ms			\sim	-
2-Methylbutanal (74) 86 741 ms Pentanal (75) 86 750 ms SMethylhexanal (76) 114 863 ms Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri Gompound U1 120 962 967 ms, ri Compound U1 120 962 967 ms, ri Compound U3 134 1067 967 969 ms, ri Compound U3 134 1067 962 969 967 969 967 </td <td>Methylcyclopentane (73)</td> <td>84</td> <td>733</td> <td></td> <td>ms</td> <td></td> <td>\sim</td> <td>~~</td> <td>\sim</td>	Methylcyclopentane (73)	84	733		ms		\sim	~~	\sim
Pentanal (75) 86 750 ms 5-Methylpexanal (76) 114 863 ms Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri 0 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri Unidentified compounds Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U1 182 1282 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1297 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1282 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 </td <td>2-Methylbutanal (74)</td> <td>86</td> <td>741</td> <td></td> <td>ms</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	2-Methylbutanal (74)	86	741		ms				
5-Methylhexanal (76) 114 863 ms, ri Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 144 968 969 ms, ri 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri Unidentified compounds Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1220 Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U1 122 128 Compound U1 122 128 Compound U1 122 131 Compound U1 122 1331 Compound U14 1392 131 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1355 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 252 1746	Pentanal (75)	86	750		ms	\sim		\geq	-
Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77) 6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri 126	5-Methylhexanal (76)	114	863		ms	\sim	$>\!\!<$		\geq
6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) 126 988 987 ms, ri Unidentified compounds Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1223 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1297 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1442 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77)	144	968	969	ms, ri				$>\!\!\!\!>$
Unidentified compounds Compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1292 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 172 1335 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746 I 122 126 174 I 124 1581 Compound U22 252 1746 </td <td>6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78)</td> <td>126</td> <td>988</td> <td>987</td> <td>ms, ri</td> <td>$>\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	6-Methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78)	126	988	987	ms, ri	$>\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$			
Undentified compound U1 120 962 Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1292 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746 fold change fold change state <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Compound U1 120 962 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U8 172 1223 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1297 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1331 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 162 1522 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Unidentified compounds	400							
Compound U2 150 1063 Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1297 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1482 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U19 252 1746 fold change -5 1 5		120	962				~ ~	<	
Compound U3 134 1067 Compound U4 138 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1297 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 10 162 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746		150	1063						
Compound U4 133 1110 Compound U5 162 1130 Compound U6 168 1200 Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1292 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1482 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U3	134	1007				~~	~~	
Compound U6 162 1130 Compound U7 168 1200 Compound U8 172 1228 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound US	100	1110				<>	\frown	
Compound U7 176 1218 Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1297 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1331 Compound U15 192 1335 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U6	168	1200				\sim	\sim	\sim
Compound U8 172 1220 Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1335 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 162 1522 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound 117	176	1218				<>	<>	\sim
Compound U9 178 1224 Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 101 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U8	172	1220			\sim	<>	<>	\sim
Compound U10 172 1233 Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1292 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 104 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U9	178	1224			\leq	$\leq>$	~~	
Compound U11 182 1282 Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U10	172	1233				\leq	~~	-
Compound U12 180 1292 Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U11	182	1282			\geq	\leq	~~	-
Compound U13 180 1297 Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U12	180	1292			>>	$>\!\!>$	>>	
Compound U14 192 1319 Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U16 172 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U13	180	1297			>>	$>\!\!\!>\!\!\!>$	$>\!\!\!>\!\!\!>$	
Compound U15 192 1331 Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U14	192	1319			$>\!\!\!<$	$>\!$	$>\!$	
Compound U16 172 1335 Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746 fold change -5 1 5	Compound U15	192	1331			\geq	$>\!$	$>\!$	
Compound U17 196 1390 Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U16	172	1335				$>\!$	\geq	
Compound U18 210 1475 Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746 fold change 5 1 5	Compound U17	196	1390				$>\!$		
Compound U19 210 1482 Compound U20 162 1522 Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746 fold change -5 1 5	Compound U18	210	1475				$>\!$		\geq
Compound U20 Compound U21 Compound U22 252 1746 fold change -5 1 5	Compound U19	210	1482			$>\!$	$>\!$	$>\!$	[
Compound U21 224 1581 Compound U22 252 1746 fold change -5 1 5	Compound U20	162	1522			$>\!\!\!>\!\!\!>$	$>\!$	$>\!$]
Compound U22 252 1746	Compound U21	224	1581				$>\!$		\geq
fold change -5 1 5	Compound U22	252	1746				$>\!\!\!<$		\geq
fold change -5 1 5									
-5 1 5							folo	d change)
-5 1 5									
-5 1 5									
						-5		1	5
						L			

explain the high levels of methyl alkyl disulfides found with the CDMM+M medium.

Influence of Cysteine on the Thiol and Disulfide VOC Profile of *Clostridioides difficile* 630∆*erm*

Cysteine is another important amino acid involved sulfur VOC biosynthesis. Decreasing or increasing the cysteine content of CDMM led to changes in VOC formation. With CDMM-C a decline in volatile thiols was observed. Seven thiols (1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, and 10) were produced in 1.5-3.9-fold lower quantities and two (4 and 12) were not detected compared to CDMM. 2-Butanethiol (2) was the only compound that was found at low and high cysteine concentrations, but not detected in CDMM. Additional thiol compounds 3-methyl-2-2-methyl-3-pentanethiol butene-1-thiol (6), (11) and 2-thiophenemethanethiol (13) were observed at high cysteine concentrations (CDMM+C), while the majority of remaining thiol concentrations was lowered (Figure 3).

Within the disulfides, shifts in the VOC profile were observed. When cysteine amounts were lowered, concentrations of shortchain disulfides (15, 16, 18, 19, and 22) rose. The majority of medium and long-chain disulfide concentrations remained mostly stable and rarely occurred with lower amounts (disulfides M4, M5, 34, 38, and 50). Disulfides 14, 17, 20, and 32 were only produced in CDMM-C, but not produced in reference CDMM and at higher cysteine levels. When cysteine amounts were increased, a reduction in the overall number of volatile disulfides was observed. Out of 38 disulfides detected in CDMM, 11 were not detected (23, 33, 35, 39, 41, 46-50, and M1) and concentrations of butyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide (29) and butyl 2-methylbutyl disulfide (40) decreased 10-fold in CDMM+C. In contrast, an increase was found for 12 compounds (16, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, 31, 38, M2, M4, and M5) with fold changes ranging from 1.6 to 4.9. Moreover, production of one unique compound (C4-C5 disulfide M3) was observed under these conditions and concentrations of 12 other compounds did not change (fold changes < 1.5; Figure 4). The structural variety in disulfides remained mostly the same with CDMM-C, although concentrations were reduced, while increase of cysteine led to reduced structural variety, but generally in higher quantities of disulfides.

Variations depending on cysteine content were also observed for other sulfur-containing compounds. At low levels of cysteine, *S*-methyl ethanethioate (**51**) and *cis*-3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-trithiolane (**56**) were detected, which were not detected in CDMM. While concentrations of *S*-methyl 2-methylpropanethioate (**53**), *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-1,2,4-trithiolane (**57**) and 4-methyl-3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (**60**) did not change (fold change <1.5), no 1,2,4-trithiolane (**55**) and sulfur (**64**) were found in CDMM-C. At high cysteine levels, 2,2-dimethylthiirane (**52**) and *cis*-3,5dimethyl-1,2,4-trithiolane (**56**) were newly formed species. Moreover, under these growth conditions **53** was not detected, whereas the abundances of **57**, 4-methyl-3*H*-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (**60**) and sulfur (**64**) rose 9.8, 6.7, and 20-fold, respectively (**Figure 4**).

Additionally, cysteine also seems to influence the formation of volatile alcohols. When the cysteine supply was restricted, 1-butanol (66) and 1-pentanol (68) productions were increased, while those of 4-methyl-1-pentanol (69), 1-hexanol (70) and 5-methyl-1-hexanol (71) were decreased 1.6-2.2-fold. Under high levels of cysteine, the alcohol metabolism was almost depleted, leaving only 2-methyl-1-propanol (65) and 2-methyl-1-butanol (67) detectable, but with concentrations lowered 11.5 and 5.5-fold compared to CDMM. The aldehyde content was variable, with 72 and 75 detectable both in CDMM+C and CDMM-C, whereas 76 was not detected. Concentrations of 2-methylbutanal (74) remained stable at low and medium cysteine levels but rose 1.9-fold at high levels. Production of the terpenoid 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (78) was independent of cysteine concentration, while the concentration maximum of the only alkyl ester detected, ethyl 4-methylpentanoate (77), was reached in CDMM, decreased 2-fold in CDMM-C, but was not detected in CDMM+C (Figure 4).

From these results, we concluded that cysteine directly feeds into the volatile production pathway of *C. difficile* $630\Delta erm$. Thus, addition of cysteine resulted in the formation of numerous thiols and disulfides, indicating that cysteine acts as sulfur source in sulfur volatiles. While decreasing amounts of cysteine resulted in overall lower levels of sulfur volatiles, the increase of cysteine caused a metabolic shift toward concentration of single sulfur volatiles.

In summary, a total of 105 VOCs were detected in the headspace extracts obtained from *C. difficile* $630\Delta erm$ under different conditions. Of these, 14 compounds were previously described as *C. difficile* VOCs (Rees et al., 2016) and 78 compounds were structurally characterized (**Figures 1–4**). Five compounds were partly identified and 22 compounds remained unidentified. Disulfides (42 compounds) and thiols (13 compounds) represented the major compound classes, explaining the well-known odor of *C. difficile* cultures. In addition, 28 compounds of other compound classes were found, alcohols being the most important one. Exemplary total ion chromatograms (TIC) of the analyses are shown in **Supplementary Figure S1**.

A Venn diagram illustrates the different occurrence of compounds and the high variability depending on individual growth conditions (**Figure 5**). The reference medium CDMM released 71 VOCs, 36 of which were common to all four media tested (**Figure 5**). Another 16 compounds were detectable during growth in all media except CDMM+C, while the latter alone had 16 specific VOCs, solely detectable under increased cysteine concentrations. A smaller number of compounds were specific to other combinations as shown in **Figure 5**. A large number of sulfides and disulfides are constitutively present, while alcohol presence is more variable.

DISCUSSION

The results showed that the characteristic odor of *C. difficile* is largely due to sulfur containing volatiles. The production of these volatiles is strongly influenced by the addition of methionine and cysteine to the growth medium. Obviously,



methionine is serving as a source for methanethiol in this context. Moreover, cysteine not only serves as a source of sulfur, but also influences the VOC composition. Therefore, a direct influence of cysteine on VOC biosynthesis seems very likely.

Biosynthesis of Thiol and Disulfide VOCs in *Clostridioides difficile* 630∆*erm*

The biosynthetic pathway toward short-chain thiols has yet to be determined, but a biosynthetic route connected to the corresponding amino acids alanine, valine, leucine, isoleucine, or their respective ketoacids, as well as acetate seems likely. The amino acids are precursors of different organic acids produced by C. difficile (Rees et al., 2016) that have the same carbon backbone as many of the sulfur and alcohol compounds. We therefore propose the biosynthetic pathway shown in Figure 6, explaining the formation of 2-methyl-1-propanol (65), methylpropanethiol (1) and its dimerization product bis(2methylpropyl) disulfide (27) as well as methyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide (18) as an example. 2-Oxo-3-methylbutanoate (79), the transamination product of valine, is converted with loss of CO₂ into 2-methylbutanal (72), followed by reduction to 2-methyl-1propanol (65), as has been shown for Bacillus subtilis (Li et al., 2011). The sulfur introduction might be realized in a pathway

related to cysteine biosynthesis. Therefore, alcohol 65 needs to be activated, e.g., via ester 80 in analogy to cysteine biosynthesis from serine that also uses an ester intermediate, O-acetylserine (Bogicevic et al., 2016). This step may be realized by secondary activity of CysE (CDIF630erm_01768) or another putative acetyltransferase present in C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ (e.g., CDIF630erm_00789). With a proper leaving group in place, sulfur introduction might follow directly by reaction with cysteine. The cystationine analog intermediate S-methylpropylcysteine (82) then potentially releases the sulfide 1 via desulfhydrase activity. A cystathionine-β-lyase of the PatB/MalY family (CDIF630erm_03313) with this activity was described by Dubois et al. (2016) for C. difficile. Thiol 1 likely then forms disulfide 27 by oxidative dimerization. Although dimerization can occur spontaneously under aerobic conditions, sampling in our case was performed under anaerobic conditions. It might therefore be that an enzymatic process is involved in the dimerization, although the high diversity of disulfides points toward a not very selective process.

Alternatively, reaction of **80** with H_2S might directly lead to **1** without the need for formation of **81**. This reaction can be induced by an *O*-acetylserine lyase (CDIF630erm_01767) present in *C. difficile* (Gu et al., 2018b). Although H_2S cannot be directly detected by our analytical method, its formation by *C. difficile* is well-known (Dubois et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2018b).



FIGURE 6 | Proposed biosynthetic pathway to thiols and disulfides, exemplified for bis(2-methylpropyl) disulfide **(27)** and methyl 2-methylpropyl disulfide **(18)**. tr: transamination; dc: decarboxylation; OAS: *O*-acetylserine lyase; malY: cystathione lyase; ox: oxidation; and mdeA: methionine γ-lyase.

Finally, instead of cysteine, thiocysteine might transfer one sulfur, as is the case in iron–sulfur-cluster biosynthesis (Freibert et al., 2021).

In addition, methionine (82) can release methanethiol (83) *via* methionine γ -lyase activity known from *C. difficile* 630 Δ *erm* (CD630-35770-mdeA; Dubois et al., 2016). Methanethiol metabolism to di- and trisulfides has been described (Dickschat et al., 2010) and would lead to disulfide 18 by combination of 1 and 83. In absence of cysteine, methionine may also act as a substitute sulfur source by generating homocysteine through SAM cycle (CDIF630erm_00247 and CDIF630erm_03920), which can either feed into the transsulfuration or release H₂S. In addition to simple alcohol analogs of the amino acids valine, leucine, isoleucine, and alanine, several sulfides of longer or unsaturated alcohols occur in the volatile extracts. These might be formed from elongation processes and desaturations and are components of Stickland and butanoate fermentation. For example, Stickland fermentation of two leucine molecules will lead to 3-methylbutanoic and 4-methylpentanoic acids, the latter containing the carbon backbone of compounds 9, 32, and 47-49.

The high disulfide concentration might hint toward a function of the sulfur VOCs in the oxidative stress response in *C. difficile*, in which involvement of a desulfhydrase, converting cysteine into sulfide, ammonia, and pyruvate has been shown (Dubois et al., 2016; Morvan et al., 2021). Although hypothetically, the generated sulfide may increase formation of the thiols discussed here. These thiols might function as a sort of movable protecting groups. Under access of oxygen they can form disulfide bonds to sensitive thiol centers groups, thus protecting them from further oxidation. This process might be reversible, depending on local thiol concentration.

Specificity and Potential Biological Function of the Identified Volatiles

Numerous volatile compounds have been described associated with (Garner et al., 2007) or produced by *C. difficile* earlier

(Rees et al., 2016). Out of 105 VOCs detected in this study, 14 have been previously reported. These include the sulfur compounds 1-butanethiol (3), 3-methyl-1-butanethiol (4), 3-methyl-2-butene-1-thiol (6), 4-methyl-1-pentanethiol (9), methyl butyl disulfide (20), the S-methyl esters 51 and 53, 2,2-dimethylthiirane (52), trithiolane (56), as well as five additional VOCs (67, 68, 75, 76, and 77; Rees et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the study of Rees et al. (2016) analyzed the volatiles indirectly, first isolating the supernatant from the cells by centrifugation and storage, followed by headspace analysis of the supernatant *via* SPME. In contrast, our analysis was performed on living cultures *via* adsorbents. This difference might explain the higher sulfur content in our analysis, underlining the importance of these compounds for *C. difficile*.

For 17 other compounds bacterial producers have been described. Among them are the common bacterial volatiles (Weisskopf et al., 2021) dimethyl disulfide (14) and dimethyl trisulfide (54). 2-Methyl-1-propanethiol (1) is a compound produced by the pathogenic oral bacterium Porphyromonas gingivalis (Roslund et al., 2021). Disulfides 15-17 and bis(1methylethyl) trisulfide (58) were found in Phaeobacter gallaeciensis and Oceanibulbus indolifex by feeding experiments (Dickschat et al., 2010). A comprehensive study by Citron et al. (2012) showed that 1,2,4-trithiolane (55), sulfur (64), 4-methyl-1-pentanol (69), 1-hexanol (70), 5-methylhexanol (71) and 6-methyl-5-heptene-2-one (78) were synthesized by different Streptomyces bacteria. 2-Methyl-1-propanol (65) is e.g., an important metabolite of Mycobacterium bovis (Rajanikanth et al., 1984) and a major target of biotransformations for biofuel production (Li et al., 2011). Butanol (66) and 2-methylpropanal (72) are common bacterial volatiles, e.g., reported from Streptococcus pneumoniae (Filipiak et al., 2012), while 2-Methylbutanal (74) was reported from Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis (Trefz et al., 2013). Methylcyclopentane (73) is produced by stomach cancer associated bacterium Helicobacter pylori (Buszewski et al., 2008).

For thiols 2, 5, 7, and 11, disulfides 18, 19, 21–24, 27, 31, 35, 39, and 41, as well as trisulfides 59 and 60 producers of animal, plant, and fungal origins are known (Andersen et al., 1982; Wood, 1990; Noleau et al., 1991; Näf and Velluz, 1996; Cho et al., 2003; McLean et al., 2012; Karimi et al., 2020; Marcinkowska et al., 2021). To our knowledge, no natural producers were reported for thiols 8, 10, 12, and 13, disulfides 25, 26, 28–30, 32–34, 36–38, 40, and 42–50, as well as trisulfides 61–63. Hence, we propose these 28 compounds to be new natural products.

Few C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ VOCs have been investigated for their various biological functions. Dimethyl disulfide (14) is a most prominent and common bacterial volatile (Dandurishvili et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2012; Bletz et al., 2019) for which both stimulating and inhibiting effects on bacterial growth have been shown (Dandurishvili et al., 2011; Garbeva et al., 2014; Popova et al., 2014). Further biological activities on fungi (Fernando et al., 2005; Popova et al., 2014), plants (Huang et al., 2012; Groenhagen et al., 2013; Meldau et al., 2013), and animals (Huang et al., 2010; Popova et al., 2014) were reported. Dimethyl trisulfide (54) inhibited growth of Serratia marcescens, Staphylococcus aureus, and Escherichia coli (Tyc et al., 2015). Escherichia coli is also affected by 1-butanol (66), which is able to inhibit its biofilm formation (Létoffé et al., 2014). S-Methyl ethanethioate (51) is a growth inhibiting factor in bacteria-fungal interactions (Ossowicki et al., 2017).

Variations in Cysteine and Methionine Supply Result in Metabolic Changes

The composition of VOCs of *C. difficile* $630\Delta erm$ was strongly altered when varying amounts of sulfur-containing amino acids were used as substrates. This variation seems to be part of the metabolic adaptation process as adjustment to a changing surrounding.

As Dubois et al. (2016) showed, expression of genes involved in cysteine metabolism, amino acid biosynthesis, stress response, fermentation, energy metabolism, and iron uptake are influenced by cysteine. The authors also suggested that high cysteine concentrations in the growth medium mimics conditions of iron depletion by inducing expressions of the ferric uptake regulator (Fur), as well as several proteins responsible for iron transport. This response results in a decreased availability of Stickland and butanoate fermentation products such as butanoate, pentanoate (valerate), 4-methylpentanoate (isocaproate), and 5-methylhexanoate (Berges et al., 2018). Since these compounds most likely function as precursors to thiols, the decreased amounts of 1-butanethiol (3), 1-pentanethiol (7), 4-methyl-1pentanethiol (9), and 5-methyl-1-hexanethiol (12) found at high cysteine levels are in full accordance with the aforementioned studies. Cysteine is also efficiently degraded into sulfide and pyruvate by cysteine desulfidase (CD630_32320) operative at high cysteine levels (Gu et al., 2018b), but additionally other enzymes such as methionine γ -lyase, cystathionine- β -lyase, or O-acetylserine lyase might also be involved (Dubois et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2018b).

A second reason for the decreased amounts of thiols at high cysteine levels may be the upregulation of an unknown

oxidizing enzyme that catalyzes the formation of disulfides, to counteract accumulation of thiols. Such an oxidizing enzyme may also protect physiologically important cysteines in proteins when oxidative stress is increasing. **Figure 5** shows a lower total number of disulfides at high cysteine level but in most cases higher amounts for each disulfide. This may be a result of a protection mechanism against high concentrations of thiols.

A lower cysteine concentration in the medium resulted in lower amounts of thiols which confirms the central role of this amino acid in the formation of thiols. Disulfides were affected differently, as disulfides carrying more than five carbons were less abundant, while smaller disulfides dominated. Similar observations were made the CDMM+M medium. Disulfides carrying less than eight carbons were increased, while the remaining disulfide levels, as well as thiols remained either unchanged or decreased. This suggests a close relation between cysteine and methionine in regulation of the thiol and disulfide metabolism. Methanethiol may be released from methionine and then incorporated in the disulfide formation process, thus increasing the number of methyl alkyl disulfides. Moreover, insufficient cysteine supply may also be compensated by incorporation of methionine into the sulfur metabolism (Dubois et al., 2016; Gu et al., 2018b). Methionine would then be used to generate additional cysteine and would inevitably lead to an alteration of the VOC profile of thiols and disulfides.

In conclusion, we show here a detailed analysis of structures of C. difficile $630\Delta erm$ released VOCs, which show a complex composition of mostly sulfur-containing volatiles. The availability of and balance between methionine and cysteine functioning as a sulfur source determined the constitution of volatile thiols and disulfides. High amounts of cysteine in the medium resulted in a less diverse set of volatiles caused by missing precursors. Low amounts of cysteine in the medium resulted in overall decreased amounts of thiols and disulfides, that is most likely alleviated by methionine. Increasing methionine concentration in the medium resulted in a concentration shift toward shorter disulfides. The results gave first insight into the structure and biosynthetic formation of C. difficile VOCs, although more detailed enzymatic and functional studies are needed to clarify the underlying biosynthetic pathways as well as the physiological and ecological effects of these unique compounds.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PB, MN-S, DJ, and SS conceived the idea. PB was responsible for the micro reactions, GC/MS analyses, and data analysis. MN-S and PH performed the cultivations and sampling. PB and MN-S were responsible for data integration and writing of the draft manuscript with input of all authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the Federal State of Lower Saxony, Niedersächsisches Vorab CDiff and CDInfect projects (VWZN2889/3215/3266). Furthermore, grants for open access publication were made available by the TU Braunschweig central library.

REFERENCES

- Aboulnaga, E.-H., Pinkenburg, O., Schiffels, J., El-Refai, A., Buckel, W., and Selmer, T. (2013). Effect of an oxygen-tolerant bifurcating butyryl coenzyme A dehydrogenase/electron-transferring flavoprotein complex from *Clostridium difficile* on butyrate production in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 195, 3704–3713. doi: 10.1128/JB.00321-13
- Aktories, K., Papatheodorou, P., and Schwan, C. (2018). Binary *Clostridium difficile* toxin (CDT) A virulence factor disturbing the cytoskeleton. *Anaerobe* 53, 21–29. doi: 10.1016/j.anaerobe.2018.03.001
- Andersen, K. K., Bernstien, D. T., Caret, R. L., and Romanczyk, L. J. (1982). Chemical constituents of the defensive secretion of the striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*). *Tetrahedron* 38, 1965–1970. doi: 10.1016/0040-4020(82) 80046-X
- Barra-Carrasco, J., and Paredes-Sabja, D. (2014). Clostridium difficile spores: a major threat to the hospital environment. Future Microbiol. 9, 475–486. doi: 10.2217/fmb.14.2
- Berges, M., Michel, A. M., Lassek, C., Nuss, A. M., Beckstette, M., Dersch, P., et al. (2018). Iron regulation in *Clostridioides difficile. Front. Microbiol.* 9:3183. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03183
- Bletz, M. C., Bunk, B., Spröer, C., Biwer, P., Reiter, S., Rabemananjara, F. C., et al. (2019). Amphibian skin-associated *Pigmentiphaga*: genome sequence and occurrence across geography and hosts. *PLoS One* 14:e0223747. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223747
- Bogicevic, B., Berthoud, H., Portmann, R., Bavan, T., Meile, L., and Irmler, S. (2016). Cysteine biosynthesis in *Lactobacillus casei*: identification and characterization of a serine acetyltransferase. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 363:fnw012. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnw012
- Brauer, M., Lassek, C., Hinze, C., Hoyer, J., Becher, D., Jahn, D., et al. (2021). What's a biofilm?-How the choice of the biofilm model impacts the protein inventory of *Clostridioides difficile. Front. Microbiol.* 12:682111. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2021.682111
- Buckel, W., and Thauer, R. K. (2013). Energy conservation via electron bifurcating ferredoxin reduction and proton/Na(+) translocating ferredoxin oxidation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1827, 94–113. doi: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.07.002
- Buszewski, B., Ulanowska, A., Ligor, T., Jackowski, M., Kłodzińska, E., and Szeliga, J. (2008). Identification of volatile organic compounds secreted from cancer tissues and bacterial cultures. J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 868, 88–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2008.04.038
- Carter, G. P., Chakravorty, A., Pham Nguyen, T. A., Mileto, S., Schreiber, F., Li, L., et al. (2015). Defining the Roles of TcdA and TcdB in Localized Gastrointestinal Disease, Systemic Organ Damage, and the Host Response during Clostridium difficile Infections. *MBio.* 6:e00551. doi: 10.1128/ mBio.00551-15
- Charles, M. K., Wang, Y., Zurberg, T., Kinna, J., and Bryce, E. (2019). Detecting *Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile* using canine teams: what does the nose know? *Infect. Prev. Pract.* 1:100005. doi: 10.1016/j.infpip.2019.100005
- Cho, D. B., Seo, H. Y., and Kim, K. S. (2003). Analysis of the volatile flavor compounds produced during the growth stages of the shiitake mushrooms (*Lentinus edodes*). Prev. Nutr. Food Sci. 8, 306–314. doi: 10.3746/jfn.2003.8.4.306
- Citron, C. A., Rabe, P., and Dickschat, J. S. (2012). The scent of bacteria: headspace analysis for the discovery of natural products. *J. Nat. Prod.* 75, 1765–1776. doi: 10.1021/np300468h

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Gesa Martens for excellent technical assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.864587/ full#supplementary-material

- Dandurishvili, N., Toklikishvili, N., Ovadis, M., Eliashvili, P., Giorgobiani, N., Keshelava, R., et al. (2011). Broad-range antagonistic rhizobacteria *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Serratia plymuthica* suppress *Agrobacterium* crown gall tumours on tomato plants. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 110, 341–352. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2010.04891.x
- Dannheim, H., Riedel, T., Neumann-Schaal, M., Bunk, B., Schober, I., Sproer, C., et al. (2017b). Manual curation and reannotation of the genomes of *Clostridium difficile* 630∆erm and *Clostridium difficile* 630. J. Med. Microbiol. 66, 286–293. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000427
- Dannheim, H., Will, S. E., Schomburg, D., and Neumann-Schaal, M. (2017a). *Clostridioides difficile* 630∆erm in silico and in vivo: quantitative growth and extensive polysaccharide secretion. *FEBS Open Bio* 7, 602–615. doi: 10.1002/2211-5463.12208
- De Vrieze, M., Pandey, P., Bucheli, T. D., Varadarajan, A. R., Ahrens, C. H., Weisskopf, L., et al. (2015). Volatile organic compounds from native potatoassociated *Pseudomonas* as potential anti-oomycete agents. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1295. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01295
- Dickschat, J. S., Zell, C., and Brock, N. L. (2010). Pathways and substrate specificity of DMSP catabolism in marine bacteria of the *Roseobacter* clade. *Chembiochem* 11, 417–425. doi: 10.1002/cbic.200900668
- Dubois, T., Dancer-Thibonnier, M., Monot, M., Hamiot, A., Bouillaut, L., Soutourina, O., et al. (2016). Control of *Clostridium difficile* physiopathology in response to cysteine availability. *Infect. Immun.* 84, 2389–2405. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.00121-16
- Fernando, W. D., Ramarathnam, R., Krishnamoorthy, A. S., and Savchuk, S. C. (2005). Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal volatiles in biocontrol. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 37, 955–964. doi: 10.1016/j. soilbio.2004.10.021
- Filipiak, W., Sponring, A., Baur, M. M., Ager, C., Filipiak, A., Wiesenhofer, H., et al. (2012). Characterization of volatile metabolites taken up by or released from *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* by using GC-MS. *Microbiology* 158, 3044–3053. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.062687-0
- Fonknechten, N., Chaussonnerie, S., Tricot, S., Lajus, A., Andreesen, J. R., Perchat, N., et al. (2010). *Clostridium sticklandii*, a specialist in amino acid degradation: revisiting its metabolism through its genome sequence. *BMC Genomics* 11:555. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-555
- Freibert, S.-A., Boniecki, M. T., Stümpfig, C., Schulz, V., Krapoth, N., Winge, D. R., et al. (2021). N-terminal tyrosine of ISCU2 triggers 2Fe-2S cluster synthesis by ISCU2 dimerization. *Nat. Commun.* 12:6902. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-27122-w
- Garbeva, P., Hordijk, C., Gerards, S., and de Boer, W. (2014). Volatile-mediated interactions between phylogenetically different soil bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:289. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00289
- Garner, C. E., Smith, S., de Lacy Costello, B., White, P., Spencer, R., Probert, C. S., et al. (2007). Volatile organic compounds from feces and their potential for diagnosis of gastrointestinal disease. *FASEB J.* 21, 1675–1688. doi: 10.1096/ fj.06-6927com
- Groenhagen, U., Baumgartner, R., Bailly, A., Gardiner, A., Eberl, L., Schulz, S., et al. (2013). Production of bioactive volatiles by different *Burkholderia ambifaria* strains. J. Chem. Ecol. 39, 892–906. doi: 10.1007/s10886-013-0315-y
- Gu, H., Qi, H., Chen, S., Shi, K., Wang, H., and Wang, J. (2018a). Carbon storage regulator CsrA plays important roles in multiple virulence-associated

processes of *Clostridium difficile*. *Microb*. *Pathog*. 121, 303–309. doi: 10.1016/j. micpath.2018.05.052

- Gu, H., Yang, Y., Wang, M., Chen, S., Wang, H., Li, S., et al. (2018b). Novel cysteine desulfidase CdsB involved in releasing cysteine repression of toxin synthesis in *Clostridium difficile. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 7:531. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00531
- Hensgens, M. P. M., Keessen, E. C., Squire, M. M., Riley, T. V., Koene, M. G. J., de Boer, E., et al. (2012). *Clostridium difficile* infection in the community: a zoonotic disease? *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 18, 635–645. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03853.x
- Hofmann, J. D., Biedendieck, R., Michel, A. M., Schomburg, D., Jahn, D., and Neumann-Schaal, M. (2021). Influence of L-lactate and low glucose concentrations on the metabolism and the toxin formation of *Clostridioides difficile. PLoS One* 16:e0244988. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244988
- Hofmann, J. D., Otto, A., Berges, M., Biedendieck, R., Michel, A.-M., Becher, D., et al. (2018). Metabolic reprogramming of *Clostridioides difficile* during the stationary phase with the induction of toxin production. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1970. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01970
- Huang, C. J., Tsay, J. F., Chang, S. Y., Yang, H. P., Wu, W. S., and Chen, C. Y. (2012). Dimethyl disulfide is an induced systemic resistance elicitor produced by *Bacillus cereus* C1L. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 68, 1306–1310. doi: 10.1002/ps.3301
- Huang, Y., Xu, C., Ma, L., Zhang, K., Duan, C., and Mo, M. (2010). Characterisation of volatiles produced from *Bacillus megaterium* YFM3. 25 and their nematicidal activity against *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 126, 417–422. doi: 10.1007/s10658-009-9550-z
- Hussain, H. A., Roberts, A. P., and Mullany, P. (2005). Generation of an erythromycin-sensitive derivative of *Clostridium difficile* strain 630 (630Δ erm) and demonstration that the conjugative transposon Tn916 E enters the genome of this strain at multiple sites. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 54, 137–141. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.45790-0
- Jackson, S., Calos, M., Myers, A., and Self, W. T. (2006). Analysis of proline reduction in the nosocomial pathogen *Clostridium difficile*. J. Bacteriol. 188, 8487–8495. doi: 10.1128/JB.01370-06
- Karimi, A., Krahmer, A., Herwig, N., Hadian, J., Schulz, H., and Meiners, T. (2020). Metabolomics approaches for analyzing effects of geographic and environmental factors on the variation of root essential oils of *Ferula Assa-foetida* L. J. Agric. Food Chem. 68, 9940–9952. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc. 0c03681
- Karlsson, S., Burman, L. G., and Akerlund, T. (1999). Suppression of toxin production in *Clostridium difficile* VPI 10463 by amino acids. *Microbiology* 145, 1683–1693. doi: 10.1099/13500872-145-7-1683
- Karlsson, S., Burman, L. G., and Akerlund, T. (2008). Induction of toxins in *Clostridium difficile* is associated with dramatic changes of its metabolism. *Microbiology* 154, 3430–3436. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.2008/019778-0
- Karlsson, S., Lindberg, A., Norin, E., Burman, L. G., and Akerlund, T. (2000). Toxins, butyric acid, and other short-chain fatty acids are coordinately expressed and down-regulated by cysteine in *Clostridium difficile*. *Infect. Immun.* 68, 5881–5888. doi: 10.1128/IAI.68.10.5881-5888.2000
- Knight, D. R., Elliott, B., Chang, B. J., Perkins, T. T., and Riley, T. V. (2015). Diversity and evolution in the genome of *Clostridium difficile. Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 28, 721–741. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00127-14
- Lessa, F. C., Mu, Y., Bamberg, W. M., Beldavs, Z. G., Dumyati, G. K., Dunn, J. R., et al. (2015). Burden of *Clostridium difficile* infection in the United States. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 372, 825–834. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1408913
- Létoffé, S., Audrain, B., Bernier, S. P., Delepierre, M., and Ghigo, J. M. (2014). Aerial exposure to the bacterial volatile compound trimethylamine modifies antibiotic resistance of physically separated bacteria by raising culture medium pH. *mBio* 5, e00944–e01113. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00944-13
- Li, S., Wen, J., and Jia, X. (2011). Engineering *Bacillus subtilis* for isobutanol production by heterologous Ehrlich pathway construction and the biosynthetic 2-ketoisovalerate precursor pathway overexpression. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 91, 577–589. doi: 10.1007/s00253-011-3280-9
- Marcinkowska, M., Frank, S., Steinhaus, M., and Jeleń, H. H. (2021). Key odorants of raw and cooked green kohlrabi (*Brassica oleracea var. gongylodes* L.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 69, 12270–12277. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.1c04339
- McLean, S., Davies, N. W., and Wiggins, N. L. (2012). Scent chemicals of the brushtail possum, *Trichosurus vulpecula*. J. Chem. Ecol. 38, 1318–1339. doi: 10.1007/s10886-012-0188-5
- Meldau, D. G., Meldau, S., Hoang, L. H., Underberg, S., Wünsche, H., and Baldwin, I. T. (2013). Dimethyl disulfide produced by the naturally associated

bacterium *Bacillus* sp. B55 promotes *Nicotiana attenuata* growth by enhancing sulfur nutrition. *Plant Cell* 25, 2731–2747. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.114744

- Morvan, C., Folgosa, F., Kint, N., Teixeira, M., and Martin-Verstraete, I. (2021). Responses of *Clostridia* to oxygen: from detoxification to adaptive strategies. *Environ. Microbiol.* 23, 4112–4125. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15665
- Näf, R., and Velluz, A. (1996). Sulphur compounds and some uncommon esters in durian (*Durio zibethinus* Murr.). flavour. *Fragr. J.* 11, 295–303. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1026(199609)11:5%3C295::AID-FFJ585%3E3.0. CO;2-4
- Nanwa, N., Kendzerska, T., Krahn, M., Kwong, J. C., Daneman, N., Witteman, W., et al. (2015). The economic impact of *Clostridium difficile* infection: a systematic review. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 110, 511–519. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2015.48
- Netzker, T., Shepherdson, E. M. F., Zambri, M. P., and Elliot, M. A. (2020). Bacterial volatile compounds: functions in communication, cooperation, and competition. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 74, 409–430. doi: 10.1146/annurevmicro-011320-015542
- Neumann-Schaal, M., Hofmann, J. D., Will, S. E., and Schomburg, D. (2015). Time-resolved amino acid uptake of *Clostridium difficile* 630Δerm and concomitant fermentation product and toxin formation. *BMC Microbiol.* 15:281. doi: 10.1186/s12866-015-0614-2
- Neumann-Schaal, M., Jahn, D., and Schmidt-Hohagen, K. (2019). Metabolism the difficile way: the key to the success of the pathogen *Clostridioides difficile*. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:219. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00219
- Noleau, I., Richard, H., and Peyroux, A. S. (1991). Volatile compounds in leek and asafoetida. J. Essent. Oil Res. 3, 241–256. doi: 10.1080/10412905.1991.9697935
- Ossowicki, A., Jafra, S., and Garbeva, P. (2017). The antimicrobial volatile power of the rhizospheric isolate *Pseudomonas donghuensis* P482. *PLoS One* 12:e0174362. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174362
- Pons, J. L., Rimbault, A., Darbord, J. C., and Leluan, G. (1985). Gas chromatographic—mass spectrometric analysis of volatile amines produced by several strains of *clostridium*. J. Chromatogr. B 337, 213–221. doi: 10.1016/0378-4347(85)80034-7
- Popova, A. A., Koksharova, O. A., Lipasova, V. A., Zaitseva, J. V., Katkova-Zhukotskaya, O. A., Eremina, S. I., et al. (2014). Inhibitory and toxic effects of volatiles emitted by strains of *Pseudomonas* and *Serratia* on growth and survival of selected microorganisms, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and *Drosophila melanogaster. Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014;125704. doi: 10.1155/2014/125704
- Rajanikanth, B., Ravindranath, B., and Shankaranarayana, M. L. (1984). Volatile polysulphides of asafoetida. *Phytochemistry* 23, 899–900. doi: 10.1016/ S0031-9422(00)85054-2
- Rees, C. A., Shen, A., and Hill, J. E. (2016). Characterization of the *Clostridium difficile* volatile metabolome using comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. B* 1039, 8–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2016.11.009
- Roslund, K., Lehto, M., Pussinen, P., Hartonen, K., Groop, P. H., Halonen, L., et al. (2021). Identifying volatile in vitro biomarkers for oral bacteria with proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-96287-7
- Ruano, J. L. G., Parra, A., and Alemán, J. (2008). Efficient synthesis of disulfides by air oxidation of thiols under sonication. *Green Chem.* 10, 706–711. doi: 10.1039/b800705e
- Saeed, A. I., Sharov, V., White, J., Li, J., Liang, W., Bhagabati, N., et al. (2003). TM4: a free, open-source system for microarray data management and analysis. *Biotechniques* 34, 374–378. doi: 10.2144/03342mt01
- Schulz, S., Biwer, P., Harig, T., Koteska, D., and Schlawis, C. (2020). "Chemical ecology of bacterial volatiles," in *Comprehensive Natural Products III*. eds. H.-W. Liu and T. P. Begley (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 161–178.
- Schulz, S., and Dickschat, J. S. (2007). Bacterial volatiles: the smell of small organisms. Nat. Prod. Rep. 24, 814–842. doi: 10.1039/b507392h
- Stadtman, T. C. (1966). Glycine reduction to acetate and ammonia: identification of ferredoxin and another low molecular weight acidic protein as components of the reductase system. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 113, 9–19. doi: 10.1016/0003-9861(66)90151-2
- Stickland, L. H. (1934). Studies in the metabolism of the strict anaerobes (genus *Clostridium*): the chemical reactions by which *Cl. sporogenes* obtains its energy. *Biochem. J.* 28, 1746–1759. doi: 10.1042/bj0281746
- Trefz, P., Koehler, H., Klepik, K., Moebius, P., Reinhold, P., Schubert, J. K., et al. (2013). Volatile emissions from *Mycobacterium avium* subsp.

paratuberculosis mirror bacterial growth and enable distinction of different strains. PLoS One 8:e76868. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076868

- Tyc, O., Zweers, H., de Boer, W., and Garbeva, P. (2015). Volatiles in interspecific bacterial interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1412. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2015.01412
- Walker, A., and Schmitt-Kopplin, P. (2021). The role of fecal sulfur metabolome in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 311:151513. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2021.151513
- Weisskopf, L., Schulz, S., and Garbeva, P. (2021). Microbial volatile organic compounds in intra-kingdom and inter-kingdom interactions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 391–404. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-00508-1
- Wetzel, D., and McBride, S. M. (2020). The impact of pH on *Clostridioides difficile* sporulation and physiology. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 86, e02706–e02719. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02706-19
- Will, S. E., Neumann-Schaal, M., Heydorn, R. L., Bartling, P., Petersen, J., and Schomburg, D. (2017). The limits to growth—energetic burden of the endogenous antibiotic tropodithietic acid in *Phaeobacter inhibens* DSM 17395. *PLoS One* 12:e0177295. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177295
- Wood, W. F. (1990). New components in defensive secretion of the striped skunk, *Mephitis mephitis. J. Chem. Ecol.* 16, 2057–2065. doi: 10.1007/ BF01020516

Wüst, J., and Hardegger, U. (1983). Transferable resistance to clindamycin, erythromycin, and tetracycline in *Clostridium difficile*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 23, 784–786. doi: 10.1128/AAC.23.5.784

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Biwer, Neumann-Schaal, Henke, Jahn and Schulz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.