


Femtosecond-Assisted Laser in situ Keratomileusis with de novo Flap Creation Following Previous Microkeratome Laser in situ Keratomileusis [Response to Letter]

Sloan W Rush^{1,2}
Ryan B Rush¹⁻³ 

¹Panhandle Eye Group, Amarillo, TX, 79106, USA; ²Department of Surgery, Texas Tech University Health Science Center, Amarillo, TX, 79106, USA; ³Southwest Retina Specialists, Amarillo, TX, 79106, USA

Dear editor

The authors would like to thank the editor for sharing the perspective and thoughtful insight from the readership.

The femtosecond laser settings used in this study were derived from previous studies in which the energy and spot separation parameters were configured to produce a flap which separates and lifts similar to a microkeratome flap. These designated settings have already been shown to be safe and effective for flap creation in more tenuous scenarios as in the setting of previous radial keratotomy^{1,2} and in the presence of corneal scarring.³ Under these femtosecond laser settings, the surgeon is able to visibly see the separation of the stromal tissue during the creation of the flap which opens up a large potential space. This newly created intrastromal space is the path of least resistance for gas bubbles to collect rather through radial keratotomy incisions or old LASIK flaps, thereby minimizing the risk for vertical gas breakthrough. Creating a flap anterior to an existing flap still runs the risk of leaving a rim of tissue in the periphery similar to the way creating a flap on an eye with existing radial keratotomy has potential to “pizza pie” old incisions open during the flap retraction. But using flap settings that lift with minimal manipulation mitigates this risk.

Our excimer laser platform using the Alcon EX500 has a maximum optic zone of 6.5 mm for hyperopic ablations which fits comfortably within the 9.0 mm flap diameter. The blend zone does not photoablate beyond 9.0 mm in a clinically meaningful way. In addition, the theoretical concern that Davis et al⁴ reported in 2002 regarding photoablating in planes different from the original has not been substantiated over the last 20 years. Modern excimer laser techniques using topography-guided ablations as done in many of the patients in our study can improve visual outcomes beyond techniques from times past. Reporting higher order aberration outcomes would have strengthened the quality of our study.

Our surgeon preference during this procedure is a 30-degree side cut because it allows for a more horizontal motion with the instrumentation used to retract the flap. A 90-degree side cut requires a more vertical motion with the femtosecond flap retractor in order to lift the flap which is more traumatic in our hands and is not

Correspondence: Ryan B Rush
Southwest Retina Specialists, 7411
Wallace Blvd., Amarillo, TX, 79106, USA
Tel +1 806 351-1870
Email ryan.rush.md@gmail.com



necessary with a femtosecond flap that is created to lift more like a microkeratome flap. We suspect that the risk of epithelial ingrowth increases when the surgeon must puncture through the epithelium and perform a more vigorous dissection through the stroma in order to lift the flap, as is the case with conventional femtosecond laser settings. In this instance, the 90-degree cut may provide an improved wound closure compared to a 30-degree angle. However, we have demonstrated that the femtosecond laser settings reported in our study allow for creation of a flap that retracts with almost no manipulation or displacement of epithelium as typically required to lift a femtosecond flap with standard settings. Epithelial ingrowth remains an important complication that surgeons must always consider on all eyes with a previous flap, regardless of which refractive procedure or technique is employed for retreatment.

Disclosure

This study was presented at the 2017 ASCRS meeting during a paper session. The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Rush SW, Rush RB. One year outcomes of femtosecond-assisted laser in situ keratomileusis following previous radial keratotomy. *J Refract Surg.* 2016;32(1):15–19. doi:10.3928/1081597X-20151207-07
2. Rush SW, Rush RB. Femtosecond laser flap creation for laser in situ keratomileusis in the setting of previous radial keratotomy. *Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila).* 2015;4(5):283–285. doi:10.1097/APO.0000000000000131
3. Rush SW, Rush RB. Optical coherence tomography-guided femtosecond LASIK in the setting of corneal scarring. *Clin Ophthalmol.* 2021;15:1601–1606.
4. Davis EA, Hardten DR, Lindstrom M, et al. LASIK enhancements: a comparison of lifting to recutting the flap. *Ophthalmology.* 2002;109(12):2308–2313. doi:10.1016/S0161-6420(02)01245-9

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed

Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S327589>