High expression of MMP28 indicates unfavorable prognosis in pancreatic cancer

Zhitao Chen, MM^{a,b,c,d,e,f}, Jiacheng Huang, MM^{a,b,c,d,e,f}, Mengxia Li, MD^{b,c,d,e,f}, Lele Zhang, MD^{a,b,c,d,e,f}, Dalong Wan, MM^b, Shengzhang Lin, MD, PhD^{a,c,*}

Abstract

To investigate the expression pattern and diagnostic performance of matrix metalloproteinase 28 (MMP28) in pancreatic cancer (PC). The RNA-seq data of PC and normal pancreas tissue were acquired from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and Genotype-Tissue Expression. Clinical information of PC that included prognostic data was obtained from TCGA. Later, Fisher exact test was applied for comparison of different clinicopathological features between high and low expression of MMP28 in PC. Afterwards, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and Cox analysis (univariate and multivariate analysis) were used to explore the prognostic performance of MMP28 in PC cohort. Finally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed the potential signaling pathways related to high expression of MMP28 in PC.

Upregulation of MMP28 was identified in PC tissue compared to normal pancreas tissue (P < .001). Overexpression of MMP28 was related to histological grade (P < .001), M classification (P = .014), and survival status (P = .028). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis revealed that high level of MMP28 implied unfavorable prognosis in PC (P = .002). Multivariate analysis confirmed that MMP28 was an independent risk factor in PC (hazard rate = 1.308, P = .018). Our GSEA analysis found that signaling pathways including glycolysis, p53 pathway, notch signaling, estrogen response late, cholesterol homeostasis, estrogen response early, mitotic spindle, and transforming growth factor beta signaling were enriched in the group with higher MMP28 expression.

High expression of MMP28 could be identified in PC, which also served as an independent risk element for PC.

Abbreviations: AUC = area under the curve, CI, confidence interval, ECM = extracellular matrix, EMT = epithelial-mesenchymal transition, FDR = false discovery rate, GSEA = gene set enrichment analysis, GTEx = genotype-tissue expression, HR = hazard ratio, MMP28 = matrix metalloproteinase 28, MMP = matrix metalloproteinase, NOM = nominal, OS = overall survival, PAAD = pancreatic adenocarcinoma, PC = pancreatic cancer, ROC = receiver operating characteristic, TCGA = The Cancer Genome Atlas, TGF- β = transforming growth factor beta.

Keywords: gene set enrichment analysis, matrix metalloproteinase 28, pancreatic cancer, prognosis

Editor: Raffaele Pezzilli.

ZC and JH contributed equally to this work.

Ethics approval: Guidelines and ethical principles were strictly complied when using TCGA and GTEx data. The study was approved by Ethics Committee of the Shulan (Hangzhou) Hospital.

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data availability: All datasets involved in this study are derived from public databases (TCGA and GTEx).

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

^a Shulan (Hangzhou) Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang Shuren University, Shulan International Medical College, ^b Division of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, Department of Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, ^c School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, ^d Key Laboratory of Combined Multi-organ Transplantation, Hangzhou, ^e Key Laboratory of the Diagnosis and Treatment of Organ Transplantation, Research Unit of Collaborative Diagnosis and Treatment for Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Cancer, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, ¹ Key Laboratory of Organ Transplantation, Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Shengzhang Lin, Shulan (Hangzhou) Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang Shuren University, Shulan International Medical College, #848 Dongxin Road, Xiacheng District, Hangzhou City, Zhejiang Province 310003, China (e-mail: 1311046@zju.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Chen Z, Huang J, Li M, Zhang L, Wan D, Lin S. High expression of MMP28 indicates unfavorable prognosis in pancreatic cancer. Medicine 2021;100:12(e25320).

Received: 10 July 2020 / Received in final form: 24 December 2020 / Accepted: 2 March 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000025320

1. Introduction

Pancreatic cancer (PC) is a kind of archenteric malignancy with unfavorable short-term and long-term prognosis. According to global cancer statistics in 2018, new cases of PC reached 458,918, and 432,242 cases died from PC.^[1] The mortality of PC is the seventh deadliest cause among all cancer, ranking behind lung cancer, colorectum cancer, liver cancer, breast cancer, and esophagus cancer. Traditionally, treatment of surgical operation is the only executable strategy for patients with PC. Approximately 85% PC cases are, however, diagnosed at advanced stage or grade for the scarcity of specific symptom at early stage and therefore are deprived of surgery chances. Imaging methods, such as computer tomography, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography based on magnetic resonance imaging, and needle biopsy (fine needle aspiration cytology and needle core biopsy) guided by endoscopic ultrasound, are indispensable for the diagnosis of PC. Although much progress has been made for early diagnosis of PC when applying these imaging modalities, there is still insufficiency in some specific cases.^[2–4] Therefore, exploration of biomarkers which exhibits prognosis value for the diagnosis of PC remains unmet.

Medicine

For the past few decades, much attentiveness has been paid on matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) for their extensive role in numerous pathological conditions. Twenty-eight members are identified in MMPs family. MMPs, zinc-dependent endopeptidases, which have the ability to degrade components in extracellular matrix (ECM). The activation of MMPs contributes to remodeling of ECM, thus regulating mechanotransduction between ECM and cells and leading to disproportional response to ECM remodeling. Several signaling pathways are involved in such progress, such as phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway, Hippo pathway, integrin signaling pathway, and mitogenactivated protein kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway. The aberrant level of MMPs is related to multiple pathological circumstances, such as fibrosis,^[5-7] vascular diseases,^[8–10] immunity disorder,^[11,12] and cancer.^[13,14] Matrix metalloproteinase 28 (MMP28), also known as epilysin, is one of the MMPs protein family which locates at 17q11.2.^[15,16] It was reported that MMP28 played a role in several pathological processes, like pulmonary fibrosis^[17–20] and cancer.^[21,22] It has been nearly 20 years since MMP28 was first reported, but the expression pattern and prognostic value of MMP28 was less reported, and the cognition of the function and mechanism of MMP28 in cancer are still limited up to now. The expression pattern and prognostic value of MMP28 in PC remains further probed.

Herein, the expression level of MMP28 mRNA was measured between PC and healthy controls. Secondly, the relationship between the MMP28 expression and multiple clinicopathological characteristics as well as overall survival (OS) was assessed. Thirdly, Kaplan-Meier survival curses were drawn based on different clinicopathological features when log-rank test was applied. Afterwards, univariate and multivariate analysis was performed to probe the prognostic performance of MMP28 in PC. Finally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was conducted to reveal the potential biological process in patients with PC with higher expression of MMP28.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data acquisition and preparation

TCGAbiolinks package^[23–25] in R version 3.6.1 was used to download the clinical information and RNA-seq data of pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD) from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). In addition, RNAseq data of healthy pancreatic tissue were also downloaded from Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) (https://www.gtexportal. org/home/). All counts in TCGA and GTEx were transformed to log2(x+1). All Ensemble ID of genes were transformed to gene symbol according to the annotation file "Homo_sapiens. GRCh38.100.chr.gtf" downloaded from Ensembl database (http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html). Guidelines and ethical principles were strictly complied when using TCGA and GTEx data.

2.2. Statistical analysis

Pearson chi-square test was applied to assess the relationship between different clinicopathological features and the expression of MMP28, and Fisher exact test was alternative when there was at least 1 expected count <5. Boxplots were generated based on the relative expression value of MMP28. In addition, the area under the curve (AUC) value was computed based on receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis when utilizing pROC package^[26] in R version 3.6.1. Survival analysis was performed based on survminer package in R version 3.6.1 and log-rank test was applied for the comparison of Kaplan-Meier survival curves between 2 groups. All the visualization was based on ggplot2 package in R version 3.6.1. The "High" and "Low" expression of MMP28 were grouped by the average value of MMP28 in all cancer patients. Univariate analysis and multivariate analysis were performed based on Cox proportional hazard regression. P value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.3. Gene set enrichment analysis

GSEA is an algorithm which figures out if preset gene sets display statistically significant differences between 2 different phenotypes or groups.^[27,28] GSEA software version 4.0.3 was downloaded to analyze the RNA-seq expression profile from TCGA cohort. All Ensemble ID of genes were transformed to gene symbol according to the annotation file "Homo_sapiens.GRCh38.100.chr.gtf" download from Ensembl database (http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html). The patients with PC in TCGA cohort were divided into 2 groups (high expression of MMP28 and low expression of MMP28) according to average expression of MMP28. "h.all. v7.1.symbols.gmt" was defined as a priori gene set which clearly records biological process or status. Other parameters in GSEA software were set at default. Nominal (NOM) *P* value <.05 and false discovery rate (FDR) *q* value <0.25 were defined as significant.

2.4. Specimens collection and immunohistochemistry

The study was approved by Ethics Committee of the Shulan (Hangzhou) Hospital. Ten PC tissues and their corresponding paracancerous tissues were collected from Shulan (Hangzhou) Hospital. The protocol of immunohistochemistry was described as before.^[29] MMP28 (Cat# K008190P, Solarbio) was served as the primary antibody.

3. Results

3.1. The clinicopathological features of the patients with PC included

Totally 182 samples including 178 tumor samples and 4 paracancerous samples were recorded when PAAD RNA-seq data were downloaded from TCGA. As for clinical information, 185 PC cases were recorded and 8 cases were excluded for the lack of MMP28 expression value. It was showed that the expression condition of MMP28 was associated with histological grade (P < .001), M classification (P = .014), and survival status (P = .028). The relationship between other clinicopathological characteristics and the expression condition of MMP28 are exhibited in Table 1.

3.2. The expression pattern and ROC analysis of MMP28 in PC

A total of 328 normal pancreas samples were downloaded from GTEx database. Box plot and independent samples *T* test suggested that the expression of MMP28 mRNA in PC tissue was significantly higher than that in normal pancreas tissue (P < .001) (Fig. 1A). ROC analysis manifested the AUC value of 0.9941 (Fig. 1B). Concretely speaking, the AUC values were 0.9924, 0.9953, 0.9939, and 1.0000 in PC stage I, II, III, and IV, correspondingly (Fig. 2). In addition, the expression of MMP28 in different groups of clinicopathological features is displayed in Figure 3.

Table 1

Clinical features of the pancreatic cancer patient in The Cancer Genome Atlas cohort and the relationship between clinical characteristics and expression of matrix metalloproteinase 28.

		MMP28 (%)				
Characteristic	Variable	n (%)	High	Low	χ^2	Р
Age	<55 yr	33 (18.64%)	17	16	0.003	.953
	>-55 yr	144 (81.36%)	75	69		
Sex	Male	97 (54.8%)	51	46	0.031	.860
	Female	80 (45.2%)	41	39		
Alcohol consumption history	No	64 (36.16%)	34	30	0.042	.837
	Yes	101 (57.06%)	52	49		
	Not available	12 (6.78%)				
Anatomic location	Head of pancreas	138 (77.97%)	69	69	7.150	.067
	Body of pancreas	14 (7.91%)	10	4		
	Tail of pancreas	14 (7.91%)	10	4		
	Other	11 (6.21%)	3	8		
Histological type	Undifferentiated carcinoma	1 (0.56%)	0	1	5.342*	.106
	Colloid carcinoma	4 (2.26%)	1	3		
	Adenocarcinoma other subtype	25 (14.12%)	9	16		
	Adenocarcinoma ductal type	146 (82,49%)	81	65		
	Discrepancy	1 (0.56%)				
Histological grade	G1	31 (17.51%)	7	24	19.923*	< .001
	62	94 (53 11%)	50	44	101020	(1001
	63	48 (27 12%)	34	14		
	64	2 (1 13%)	0	2		
	Gx	2 (1.13%)	1	1		
Stago		21 (11 86%)	8	13	2 837*	432
Olugo	1	1/6 (82 /0%)	78	68	2.001	.402
		3 (1 69%)	2	1		
	IV	4 (2.26%)	2	1		
	Not available	3 (1 69%)	0	I		
T classification	T1	7 (3.95%)	1	З	2 706*	660
	T2	24 (13 56%)	10	14	2.700	.000
	T3	1/1 (79.66%)	76	65		
	ТЛ	3 (1 69%)	2	1		
	TX	1 (0.56%)	0	1		
	Not available	1 (0.56%)	0	,		
N classification	NO	49 (27 68%)	27	22	1 291*	544
	N1	123 (69 49%)	64	59	1.201	.011
	NX	4 (2 26%)	1	3		
	Not available	1 (0.56%)		0		
M classification	MO	79 (11 63%)	32	17	7 7/9*	014
	M1	4 (2 26%)	3	1	1.1 72	.014
	MX	94 (53 11%)	57	37		
Residual tumor	BO	106 (59 89%)	49	57	2 844*	418
	B1	52 (29 38%)	31	21	2.011	
	B2	5 (2.82%)	3	2		
	BX	4 (2 26%)	2	2		
	Not available	10 (5.65%)	2	2		
Survival status	Dead	58 (32 77%)	37	21	4 825	028
	Δίνο	110 (67 23%)	55	64	7.020	.020
		113 (01.2370)	55	04		

MMP28 = matrix metalloproteinase 28.

^{*} Fisher exact test was applied when there were at least 1 expected count <5.

3.3. Prognostic practice of the overexpression of MMP28 in PC

First of all, we evaluated the prognostic performance of different expression level of MMP28 in all PC cases, finding the Kaplan-Meier survival curve was separate significantly with *P* value of .002 (Fig. 4A). Afterwards, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used in patients with different phenotypes (Fig. 4B–P), for example, histological grade (G1/G2) (P=.0099), histological grade (G3/G4) (P=.4800), stage I and II (P=.0025), stage III and

IV (P=.5300), T1 (P=.3200), T2 (P=.0830), T3 (P=.0210), N0 (P=.1700), N1 (P=.0460), M0 (P=.0370), without progression (P=.0020), with progression (P=.2000), pancreatic head carcinoma (P=.0140), pancreatic body carcinoma (P=.1400), and pancreatic tail carcinoma (P=.6600).

In addition, to figure out whether the expression of MMP28 as well as all clinicopathological characteristics served as independent risk elements in patients with PC, univariate analysis and multivariate analysis were employed based on Cox proportionalhazard regression (Table 2). In the part of univariate analysis,



Figure 1. The expression pattern of MMP28 in pancreatic cancer, which was presented by box plot and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. A, Box plot; (B) ROC curve. AUC = area under the curve, MMP28 = matrix metalloproteinase 28.



Figure 2. receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of matrix metalloproteinase 28 (MMP28) expression in pancreatic cancer. The expression of MMP28 in normal pancreas tissue was compared to that in stage I (A), stage II (B), stage III (C), and stage IV (D). AUC = area under the curve.





age, histologic grade, patients with progression, and the expression level of MMP28 served as risk factors for OS in patients with PC, with the corresponding hazard rate (HR) values of 1.030 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.003, 1.057) (P=.029), 1.435 (95% CI: 1.064, 1.936) (P=.018), 1.994 (95% CI: 1.101, 3.612) (P=.023), and 1.487 (95% CI: 1.214, 1.821) (P<.001), whereas variables such as histological type (HR: 0.547, P=.003), tumor location (HR: 0.660, P=.034), and radiation therapy

(HR: 0.334, P = .007) indicated better prognosis in patients. The variables described above were further included into multivariate analysis. Histological type (HR=0.640, P = .031), tumor location (HR=0.603, P = .015), and radiation therapy (HR=0.338, P = .009) were statistically significant with HR < 1, whereas histologic grade (HR=1.544, P = .033) and the expression value of MMP28 (HR=1.308, P = .018) were independent risk factors in patients with PC.



Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier survival curses in pancreatic cancer with different clinical features. A, All tumor; (B) histologic grade G1 and G2; (C) histologic grade G3 and G4; (D) stage I and II; (E) stage III and IV; (F) T1; (G) T2; (H) T3; (I) N0; (J) N1; (K) M0; (L) without progression; (M) with progression; (N) pancreatic head carcinoma; (O) pancreatic body carcinoma; (P) pancreatic tail carcinoma.

3.4. Biological process related to high MMP28 expression based on GSEA

There were 50 gene sets preset in "h.all.v7.1.symbols.gmt." In the phenotype group of overexpression of MMP28, 47 gene sets were upregulated. Fifteen gene sets are significant at FDR < 0.25. Four gene sets are significantly enriched at NOM *P* value <0.01, and 8 gene sets are significantly enriched at NOM *P* value <0.05. As shown in Table 3, the most significant signaling pathways which met the criteria (NOM *P* value <.05 and FDR *q* value <0.25) were glycolysis, p53 pathway, notch signaling, estrogen response late, cholesterol homeostasis, estrogen response early, mitotic spindle, and transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) signaling (Fig. 5).

3.5. Immunohistochemistry staining validation

Our immunohistochemistry staining validated that MMP28 was upregulated in PC compared to corresponding paracancerous tissue. The image is displayed in Figure 6.

4. Discussion

Our present work was to assess the expression pattern and prognostic performance of MMP28 in PC. High expression of MMP28 was identified based on the cohort with 178 PC tissue and 332 normal pancreas tissue. ROC analysis based on MMP28 displayed high diagnostic consistency and accuracy. Overexpression of MMP28 was correlated with histological grade, M classification, and survival status. As for prognostic performance, MMP28, as well as histologic grade, served as independent hazard indicators for patients with PC.

MMPs family proteins, which have the ability to degrade almost all ECM components, perform vital functions in multiple types of cancer. Gobin et al^[30] performed a comprehensive study of the diagnostic or prognostic value of MMPs based on TCGA cohort, finding the aberrant expression and prognostic value of MMPs in multiple types of cancer. The dysregulated expression of MMPs was reported in breast cancer,^[31] hepatocellular

Table 2

Cox analysis of pancreatic cancer in The Cancer Genome Atlas cohort based on univariate and multivariate analysis.

	Univariate analy	Multivariate analysis		
Parameters	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р
Age	1.030 (1.003, 1.057)	.029	1.024 (0.998, 1.051)	.065
Sex (female/male)	1.237 (0.730, 2.096)	.428		
Histological type (ductal type/colloid/undifferentiated/other subtype)	0.547 (0.365, 0.818)	.003	0.640 (0.427, 0.961)	.031
T classification (T1/T2/T3/T4/TX)	1.287 (0.846, 1.959)	.239		
N classification (NO/N1/NX)	1.479 (0.897, 2.439)	.125		
M classification (M0/M1/MX)	0.835 (0.641, 1.088)	.181		
Stage (I/II/II/IV)	1.218 (0.737, 2.013)	.441		
Histologic grade (G1/G2/G3/G4)	1.435 (1.064, 1.936)	.018	1.544 (1.035, 2.301)	.033
Progression (No/Yes)	1.994 (1.101, 3.612)	.023	1.241 (0.647, 2.382)	.516
Alcohol history (No/Yes)	1.678 (0.937, 3.005)	.082		
Tumor location (head of pancreas/body of pancreas/tail of pancreas/other)	0.660 (0.449, 0.969)	.034	0.603 (0.401, 0.908)	.015
Family history of cancer (no/yes)	1.339 (0.677, 2.648)	.402		
History of chronic pancreatitis (no/yes)	1.120 (0.478, 2.624)	.794		
History of diabetes (no/yes)	0.843 (0.434, 1.635)	.613		
Radiation therapy (no/yes)	0.334 (0.151, 0.739)	.007	0.338 (0.149, 0.766)	.009
Residual tumor (R0/R1/R2/RX)	1.267 (0.879, 1.826)	.205		
MMP28	1.487 (1.214, 1.821)	<.001	1.308 (1.048, 1.633)	.018

HR = hazard rate, MMP28 = matrix metalloproteinase 28.

Table 3										
Biological process related to high expression of matrix metalloproteinase 28 in pancreatic cancer based on GSEA analysis.										
NAME	SIZE	ES	NES	NOM P	FDR q					
HALLMARK_GLYCOLYSIS	200	0.4939	1.9712	.0020	0.0473					
HALLMARK_P53_PATHWAY	199	0.4630	1.8634	.0060	0.0814					
HALLMARK_NOTCH_SIGNALING	32	0.5989	1.8535	.0020	0.0575					
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_LATE	200	0.5204	1.7891	.0019	0.0827					
HALLMARK_CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS	74	0.4905	1.7790	.0185	0.0685					
HALLMARK_ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY	200	0.5000	1.7182	.0101	0.0861					
HALLMARK_MITOTIC_SPINDLE	199	0.4822	1.6841	.0182	0.0802					
HALLMARK_TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	54	0.4839	1.6481	.0329	0.0917					

ES = enrichment score, FDR = false discovery rate, GSEA = Gene Set Enrichment Analysis, NES = normalized enrichment score, NOM = normanal.



Figure 5. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of high expression of matrix metalloproteinase 28 (MMP28). A, Glycolysis; (B) p53 pathway; (C) notch signaling; (D) estrogen response late; (E) cholesterol homeostasis; (F) estrogen response early; (G) mitotic spindle; (H) transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) signaling.



Figure 6. Matrix metalloproteinase 28 (MMP28) immunohistochemistry image of pancreatic tissue and the corresponding paracancerous tissue. A–E and K–O, Pancreatic tissue. F–J and P–T, Corresponding paracancerous tissue.

carcinoma,^[32] PC,^[33] and so on. In addition, several studies reported the prognostic value of MMPs in cancer, such as MMP11 in PC,^[34] MMP2 in hepatocellular carcinoma,^[34] MMP1 in cervical squamous cell carcinoma,^[35] and so on. From the perspective of mechanism research, more information of MMPs in cancer was unveiled. The activity of MMPs could be regulated by angiogenesis-associated growth factors which were secreted by tumor cells, leading to angiogenic chaos in tumor microenvironment.^[36] In addition, the hypoxia in tumor microenvironment regulated the synthesis of MMPs, contributing to epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and cell proliferation.^[37] Degradation of ECM proteins also increased the probability of the metastasis of cancer cells.^[38]

PC is characterized as malignancy accompanying matrix stiffness, and a large number of researches concluded that PC tissue was stiffer than healthy pancreas tissue.^[39–41] The complexity of the relationship between PC and MMPs is worth discussing. Dysregulation of MMPs in PC frequently indicated poor prognosis.^[33,42,43] Downregulation of feline sarcomarelated/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3/ MMP2 pathway suppressed the metastasis and invasion of PC.^[44] Protein kinase D2 stimulated MMP7 and MMP9, leading to the invasion of pancreatic cells.^[45]

Although much attention was paid to MMPs, it remained elusive what role MMP28 might play in cancer. The transcription of MMP28 was suppressed by Krüppel-like factor 9, which therefore inhibited the metastasis and invasion of gastric cancer cells.^[22] In hepatocellular carcinoma, upregulation of MMP28 was associated with unfavorable prognosis and Notch3 signaling

was indispensable when MMP28 exerted its function.^[21] MMP28 was, however, rarely studied in PC. High expression of MMP28 was regulated by Rac GTPase activating protein 1 and indicated worse prognosis in PC.^[46] Our research supplemented the research topic related to the prognostic performance of MMP28 in PC and further validated the conclusion that high expression of MMP28 was associated with unfavorable prognosis in PC. More studies, especially high-quality meta-analysis, were, however, needed to support this verdict.

Perhaps it intrigues us a lot why upregulation of MMP28 could serve as an independent risk factor in PC. The relationship between MMP28 and primary biological process might partially explain. Our GSEA analysis found that signaling pathways including glycolysis, p53 pathway, notch signaling, estrogen response late, cholesterol homeostasis, estrogen response early, mitotic spindle, and TGF-β signaling were enriched in the group with higher MMP28 expression. In another word, MMP28 was probably involved in the remodeling of ECM, energy metabolism, and cell proliferation. In addition, MMP28 enhanced EMT when TGF-B signaling pathway was activated, and downstream Snail transcription was upregulated.^[47] Researchers also reported that the reason why high MMP28 indicated worse prognosis was associated with Notch3 signaling pathway.^[21] The mechanism of MMP28 in PC, however, needs to be further elucidated.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: ShengZhang Lin.

Formal analysis: Zhitao Chen, Jiacheng Huang.

Investigation: Zhitao Chen, Jiacheng Huang, Mengxia Li, Lele Zhang, ShengZhang Lin.

Methodology: Zhitao Chen, Jiacheng Huang, ShengZhang Lin.

Software: Zhitao Chen, Jiacheng Huang, Mengxia Li, Dalong Wan.

Supervision: ShengZhang Lin.

- Visualization: Jiacheng Huang, Mengxia Li, Lele Zhang, Dalong Wan, ShengZhang Lin.
- Writing original draft: Zhitao Chen, Jiacheng Huang.
- Writing review & editing: Zhitao Chen, Jiacheng Huang, Mengxia Li, Lele Zhang, Dalong Wan, ShengZhang Lin.

References

- [1] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:394–424. Erratum in: CA Cancer J Clin. 2020 Jul;70(4):313.
- [2] Schima W, Ba-Ssalamah A, Kolblinger C, et al. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Eur Radiol 2007;17:638–49.
- [3] Vargas R, Nino-Murcia M, Trueblood W, et al. MDCT in Pancreatic adenocarcinoma: prediction of vascular invasion and resectability using a multiphasic technique with curved planar reformations. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2004;182:419–25.
- [4] Prokesch RW, Chow LC, Beaulieu CF, et al. Isoattenuating pancreatic adenocarcinoma at multi-detector row CT: secondary signs. Radiology 2002;224:764–8.
- [5] Roeb E. Matrix metalloproteinases and liver fibrosis (translational aspects). Matrix Biol 2018;68–69:463–73.
- [6] Roderfeld M. Matrix metalloproteinase functions in hepatic injury and fibrosis. Matrix Biol 2018;68–69:452–62.
- [7] Piccoli MT, Gupta SK, Viereck J, et al. Inhibition of the cardiac fibroblast-enriched lncRNA Meg3 prevents cardiac fibrosis and diastolic dysfunction. Circ Res 2017;121:575–83.
- [8] Siefert SA, Sarkar R. Matrix metalloproteinases in vascular physiology and disease. Vascular 2012;20:210–6.
- [9] Raffetto JD, Khalil RA. Matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in vascular remodeling and vascular disease. Biochem Pharmacol 2008;75: 346–59.
- [10] Ceron CS, Rizzi E, Guimaraes DA, et al. Nebivolol attenuates prooxidant and profibrotic mechanisms involving TGF-beta and MMPs, and decreases vascular remodeling in renovascular hypertension. Free Radic Biol Med 2013;65:47–56.
- [11] Moussa C, Hebron M, Huang X, et al. Resveratrol regulates neuroinflammation and induces adaptive immunity in Alzheimer's disease. J Neuroinflammation 2017;14:1.
- [12] O'Dwyer DN, Ashley SL, Moore BB. Influences of innate immunity, autophagy, and fibroblast activation in the pathogenesis of lung fibrosis. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2016;311:L590–601.
- [13] Kessenbrock K, Plaks V, Werb Z. Matrix metalloproteinases: regulators of the tumor microenvironment. Cell 2010;141:52–67.
- [14] Shay G, Lynch CC, Fingleton B. Moving targets: emerging roles for MMPs in cancer progression and metastasis. Matrix Biol 2015;44– 46:200–6.
- [15] Marchenko GN, Strongin AY. MMP-28, a new human matrix metalloproteinase with an unusual cysteine-switch sequence is widely expressed in tumors. Gene 2001;265:87–93.
- [16] Illman SA, Lohi J, Keski-Oja J. Epilysin (MMP-28)–structure, expression and potential functions. Exp Dermatol 2008;17:897–907.
- [17] Maldonado M, Buendia-Roldan I, Vicens-Zygmunt V, et al. Identification of MMP28 as a biomarker for the differential diagnosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. PLoS One 2018;13:e0203779.
- [18] Gharib SA, Johnston LK, Huizar I, et al. MMP28 promotes macrophage polarization toward M2 cells and augments pulmonary fibrosis. J Leukoc Biol 2014;95:9–18.
- [19] Maldonado M, Salgado-Aguayo A, Herrera I, et al. Upregulation and nuclear location of MMP28 in alveolar epithelium of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2018;59:77–86.

- [20] Ahrman E, Hallgren O, Malmstrom L, et al. Quantitative proteomic characterization of the lung extracellular matrix in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J Proteomics 2018;189:23–33.
- [21] Zhou J, Zheng X, Feng M, et al. Upregulated MMP28 in hepatocellular carcinoma promotes metastasis via Notch3 signaling and predicts unfavorable prognosis. Int J Biol Sci 2019;15:812–25.
- [22] Li Y, Sun Q, Jiang M, et al. KLF9 suppresses gastric cancer cell invasion and metastasis through transcriptional inhibition of MMP28. FASEB J 2019;33:7915–28.
- [23] Colaprico A, Silva TC, Olsen C, et al. TCGAbiolinks: an R/bioconductor package for integrative analysis of TCGA data. Nucleic Acids Res 2016;44:e71.
- [24] Silva TC, Colaprico A, Olsen C, et al. TCGA workflow: analyze cancer genomics and epigenomics data using Bioconductor packages. F1000Res 2016;5:1542.
- [25] Mounir M, Lucchetta M, Silva TC, et al. New functionalities in the TCGAbiolinks package for the study and integration of cancer data from GDC and GTEx. PLoS Comput Biol 2019;15:e1006701.
- [26] Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, et al. pROC: an open-source package for R and S+ to analyze and compare ROC curves. BMC Bioinformatics 2011;12:77.
- [27] Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:15545–50.
- [28] Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, et al. PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. Nat Genet 2003;34:267–73.
- [29] Gao Q, Qiu SJ, Fan J, et al. Intratumoral balance of regulatory and cytotoxic T cells is associated with prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma after resection. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2586–93.
- [30] Gobin E, Bagwell K, Wagner J, et al. A pan-cancer perspective of matrix metalloproteases (MMP) gene expression profile and their diagnostic/ prognostic potential. BMC Cancer 2019;19:581.
- [31] Olivares-Urbano MA, Grinan-Lison C, Rios-Arrabal S, et al. Radiation and stemness phenotype may influence individual breast cancer outcomes: the crucial role of MMPs and microenvironment. Cancers (Basel) 2019;11.:1781.
- [32] Scheau C, Badarau IA, Costache R, et al. The role of matrix metalloproteinases in the epithelial-mesenchymal transition of hepatocellular carcinoma. Anal Cell Pathol (Amst) 2019;2019:9423907.
- [33] Lee J, Lee J, Kim JH. Identification of matrix metalloproteinase 11 as a prognostic biomarker in pancreatic cancer. Anticancer Res 2019; 39:5963–71.
- [34] Suh YG, Lee EJ, Cha H, et al. Prognostic values of vascular endothelial growth factor and matrix metalloproteinase-2 in hepatocellular carcinoma after radiotherapy. Dig Dis 2014;32:725–32.
- [35] Zhao S, Yu M. Identification of MMP1 as a potential prognostic biomarker and correlating with immune infiltrates in cervical squamous cell carcinoma. DNA Cell Biol 2020;39:255–72.
- [36] Quintero-Fabian S, Arreola R, Becerril-Villanueva E, et al. Role of matrix metalloproteinases in angiogenesis and cancer. Front Oncol 2019; 9:1370.
- [37] Gonzalez-Avila G, Sommer B, Garcia-Hernandez AA, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases' role in tumor microenvironment. Adv Exp Med Biol 2020;1245:97–131.
- [38] Niu Y, Tang D, Fan L, et al. CCL25 promotes the migration and invasion of non-small cell lung cancer cells by regulating VEGF and MMPs in a CCR9-dependent manner. Exp Ther Med 2020;19:3571–80.
- [39] Rice AJ, Cortes E, Lachowski D, et al. Matrix stiffness induces epithelialmesenchymal transition and promotes chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer cells. Oncogenesis 2017;6:e352.
- [40] Erkan M, Hausmann S, Michalski CW, et al. The role of stroma in pancreatic cancer: diagnostic and therapeutic implications. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;9:454–67.
- [41] Whatcott CJ, Diep CH, Jiang P, et al. Desmoplasia in primary tumors and metastatic lesions of pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:3561–8.
- [42] Resovi A, Bani MR, Porcu L, et al. Soluble stroma-related biomarkers of pancreatic cancer. EMBO Mol Med 2018;10:e8741.
- [43] Jakubowska K, Pryczynicz A, Januszewska J, et al. Expressions of matrix metalloproteinases 2, 7, and 9 in carcinogenesis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Dis Markers 2016;2016:9895721.

Chen et al. Medicine (2021) 100:12

- [44] Chen Q, Zhang JJ, Ge WL, et al. YY1 inhibits the migration and invasion of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma by downregulating the FER/STAT3/MMP2 signaling pathway. Cancer Lett 2019;463:37–49.
 [45] Wille C, Kohler C, Armacki M, et al. Protein kinase D2 induces invasion
- [45] Wille C, Kohler C, Armacki M, et al. Protein kinase D2 induces invasion of pancreatic cancer cells by regulating matrix metalloproteinases. Mol Biol Cell 2014;25:324–36.
- [46] Khalid M, Idichi T, Seki N, et al. Gene regulation by antitumor miR-204-5p in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: the clinical significance of direct RACGAP1 regulation. Cancers (Basel) 2019;11:327.
- [47] Illman SA, Lehti K, Keski-Oja J, et al. Epilysin (MMP-28) induces TGFbeta mediated epithelial to mesenchymal transition in lung carcinoma cells. J Cell Sci 2006;119(pt 18):3856–65.