

Rac1-mediated membrane raft localization of PI3K/p110 β is required for its activation by GPCRs or PTEN loss

Onur Cizmecioglu^{1,2}, Jing Ni^{1,2}, Shaozhen Xie^{1,2}, Jean J Zhao^{1,2}, Thomas M Roberts^{1,2*}

¹Department of Cancer Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, United States; ²Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, United States

Abstract We aimed to understand how spatial compartmentalization in the plasma membrane might contribute to the functions of the ubiquitous class IA phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) isoforms, p110 α and p110 β . We found that p110 β localizes to membrane rafts in a Rac1-dependent manner. This localization potentiates Akt activation by G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). Thus genetic targeting of a Rac1 binding-deficient allele of p110 β to rafts alleviated the requirement for p110 β -Rac1 association for GPCR signaling, cell growth and migration. In contrast, p110 α , which does not play a physiological role in GPCR signaling, is found to reside in nonraft regions of the plasma membrane. Raft targeting of p110 α allowed its EGFR-mediated activation by GPCRs. Notably, p110 β dependent, PTEN null tumor cells critically rely upon raft-associated PI3K activity. Collectively, our findings provide a mechanistic account of how membrane raft localization regulates differential activation of distinct PI3K isoforms and offer insight into why PTEN-deficient cancers depend on p110 β .

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.001

Introduction

The phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway has been widely studied as a major regulator of cellular proliferation, metabolism and migration (*Fruman et al., 1998; Engelman et al., 2006; Cain and Ridley, 2009*). PI3Ks catalyze phosphorylation of the 3'OH group on phosphoinositides at the plasma membrane, which induces translocation of the Ser/Thr kinase Akt. Once recruited to the plasma membrane, Akt becomes activated by PDK1 and mTOR2 dependent phosphorylation events (*Alessi et al., 1997; Sarbassov et al., 2005*). Activation of PI3K signaling frequently occurs in human cancers (*Vivanco and Sawyers, 2002*).

The PI3Ks are organized into three classes based on their structural similarities and mechanism of activation. The most extensively studied class I PI3Ks are activated by growth factor receptors. Of these, class IA enzymes are activated via receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), small G-proteins and G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and PI3K signaling is functionally antagonized by the PIP₃ degrading PI3 phosphatase PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) (**Sang et al., 2012**). p110 α and p110 β are the catalytic class IA isoforms with a ubiquitous expression pattern (**Jia et al., 2009**). Heterodimerization with the regulatory p85 subunit stabilizes p110 isoforms but at the same time keeps their kinase activity in check through inhibitory interactions (**Yu et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2011**). Although both p110 α and p110 β form heterodimers with p85 and catalyze the same biochemical reaction upon activation, studies have indicated that they have distinct functions (**Foukas et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2006; Jackson et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2008; Guillermet-Guibert et al., 2008; Ni et al., 2012**). p110 α appears to mediate bulk of P13K signaling downstream of RTKs whereas

*For correspondence: thomas_roberts@dfci.harvard.edu

Competing interest: See page 19

Funding: See page 19

Received: 08 May 2016 Accepted: 22 September 2016 Published: 04 October 2016

Reviewing editor: Kari Alitalo, University of Helsinki, Finland

© Copyright Cizmecioglu et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

Cancer Biology | Cell Biology

p110 β preferentially signals downstream of GPCRs. Notably p110 α and β isoforms are involved in mutually exclusive signaling complexes with distinct members of small GTPase protein superfamily, Ras and Rac1 respectively (**Gupta et al., 2007; Fritsch et al., 2013**). However, we are far from a complete understanding of the molecular mechanisms that account for the full spectrum of their functional differences.

In cancer, p110 α is the main isoform required for transformation by oncogenes (*Zhao et al., 2006*) and the encoding *PIK3CA* is frequently found to have suffered from one of two common activating hotspot mutations in many tumor types (*Samuels et al., 2004*). This reliance of tumors on p110 α may be partially explained by the fact that it has much higher specific activity compared to p110 β (*Zhao et al., 2006*; *Beeton et al., 2000*; *Knight et al., 2006*). Indeed in a GEM model of breast cancer driven by Her2, knockout of p110 α blocked tumor formation while knockout p110 β actually caused tumors to develop more quickly- a surprising result explained by a competition model where the less active p110 β binds to the same limiting number of p85/p110 binding sites on Her2 and thus actually lowers signaling output compared to the case where only p110 α is expressed and hence can bind to all of the P13K binding sites on the receptor (*Utermark et al., 2012*). Notably tumors that have lost expression of PTEN are largely dependent on p110 β (*Jia et al., 2008*; *Ni et al., 2012*; *Wee et al., 2008*), an unexpected outcome given its weaker kinase activity. While it is possible that some loss of PTEN tumors feature activation of GPCRs thus explaining their p110 β dependence (*Rodriguez et al., 2016*), that does not appear to be a unifying theme.

The plasma membrane is the site of activation for numerous signaling cascades, and its organizing principles contribute to the specificity and potency of these sequences of biochemical reactions (*Kusumi et al., 2012*). The lipid raft hypothesis proposes that the preferential association among sphingolipids, sterols, and specific proteins confers upon the lipid bilayer the potential for lateral segregation of key signaling molecules, dramatically transforming the cell membrane from a passive bystander to a dynamic entity facilitating signaling through subcompartmentalization (*Lingwood and Simons, 2010*). Sphingolipid and cholesterol enriched membrane rafts play a key signaling role in T cell activation via the T cell synapse (*Gaus et al., 2005*), B cell activation (*Gupta and DeFranco, 2007*), focal adhesions, cell migration (*Gaus et al., 2006*), membrane trafficking in polarized epithelial cells (*van Meer et al., 1987*) and hormone signaling (*Márquez et al., 2006*).

Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy data implicate involvement of raft nanodomains in recruitment of Akt to the cell membrane upon PIP₃ production (*Lasserre et al., 2008*), and FRET-based Akt activity reporters uncovered a preferential activation of Akt in membrane rafts (*Gao and Zhang, 2008*). On the other hand PTEN was shown to localize selectively to nonraft membrane microdomains in human embryonic kidney cells, possibly further restricting Akt activation in these regions (*Gao et al., 2011*). However, although membrane microdomain compartmentalization is believed to be a crucial mechanism for achieving signaling specificity, the role of spatial partitioning in class IA PI3K signaling has remained elusive.

To address these questions, we combined simultaneous knock-out of p110 α and p110 β with genetic targeting that enabled us to express unique class IA PI3K isoforms directed to specific plasma membrane microdomains. Using this strategy, we investigated Akt activation, cellular proliferation and migration in response to growth factors, when expression of particular class IA PI3K isoforms was directed to membrane rafts or nonraft regions of the plasma membrane. We found that raft targeting of either p110 α or p110 β potentiates GPCR mediated activation of Akt. In addition, we determined that p110 β required Rac1 binding for raft localization and G $\beta\gamma$ association for activation downstream of GPCRs, whereas raft-targeted p110 α was dependent on EGFR activity. Notably we found that PI3K signaling was also dependent on raft integrity in PTEN null cancer cells. Taken together, these results indicate that any class IA PI3K catalytic isoform, when targeted to GPCR signaling permissive membrane microdomains, could activate Akt and maintain downstream PI3K signaling through distinct mechanisms. Finally, our data has novel implications concerning the optimal methods for inhibiting Akt activation in PTEN null tumors.

Results

Generation of Isogenic MEFs expressing p110 α or p110 β

To facilitate the study of the individual p110 isoforms, we first generated immortalized $p110\alpha^{\text{flox/flox}}$; $p110\beta^{\text{flox/flox}}$ mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). Expression of endogenous p110 α and p110 β is almost entirely lost upon transduction of the cells with an adenovirus (AdCre) expressing the Crerecombinase (*Figure 1A*). These double knock-out (DKO) MEFs show a reduction of phosphorylated Akt (p-Akt) (*Figure 1A*, compare lanes 1–5 with lanes 6–10), have a blunted response in promotion of p-Akt and p-S6 to a variety of growth signals (*Figure 1B*, compare lanes 2–5 with lanes 7–10) and essentially cease to proliferate (*Figure 1C*), which is consistent with the key role of the PI3K pathway in proliferation and signaling.

To generate isogenic MEFs expressing either $p110\alpha$ or $p110\beta$, we ectopically expressed comparable levels of wild-type (wt) HA-p110 α or HA-p110 β in DKO MEFs (henceforth DKO 'add-back' is used to denote these MEFs) (*Figure 1D*). Notably, ectopic expression of either p110 isoform could rescue PI3K signaling defects and growth inhibition in the DKO MEFs (*Figure 1—figure supplement* **1A,B**). The resulting isogenic DKO add-back MEFs were highly sensitive to small molecule inhibitors targeting the unique isoform expressed, in growth factor signaling and proliferation assays (*Figure 1E* and *Figure 1—figure supplement 1A,B*). These cells have allowed us to compare the two commonly expressed p110 isoforms in much more detail than has previously been possible.

Rac1 binding constitutes a raft localization signal for $p110\beta$

Using this robust molecular genetic system, we attempted to address how spatial compartmentalization might contribute to the specificity of the activation of p110 β by GPCRs. To determine whether wt p110 β becomes differentially localized to membrane microdomains upon GPCR activation, we first analyzed triton sensitive and resistant membrane fractions in p110 α -wt and p110 β -wt DKO addback MEFs. Our fractionation experiments demonstrated that p110 β resides in detergent resistant membranes in addition to soluble and detergent sensitive fractions where p110 α is primarily detected (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1A*). A detergent-free fractionation based on density gradient centrifugation in HMECs confirmed the notion that there are distinct pools of p110 β localized to raft and nonraft membrane microdomains (*Figure 2A*). Of note, Rac1, which is known to interact with p110 β displayed a similar fractionation pattern. p110 α in comparison, can only be found in nonraft membranes. In addition to MEFs and HMECs; we analyzed HEK293, DU145, PC3, MCF7 and BT549 cancer cell lines by examination of triton sensitive and resistant membrane fractions and detected p110 β in raft as well as nonraft fractions (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1B*).

As a canonical class IA PI3K isoform, p110 β functions as a GPCR effector and interacts with the Gβγ component of the tripartite G-protein (Guillermet-Guibert et al., 2008; Dbouk et al., 2012). Physical association of p110β with Rac1 is also required to potentiate signaling (Fritsch et al., 2013). To test whether Rac1 or G $\beta\gamma$ may be responsible for localization of p110 β to membrane rafts, we introduced point mutations to p110ß that abolish its binding to Rac1 or GBy (Fritsch et al., 2013; Dbouk et al., 2012) (Figure 2B). Next, we generated DKO add-back MEF lines that express p110βwt, G $\beta\gamma$ (GBM) or Rac1 (RBM) binding mutants as their only class IA PI3K isoform (*Figure 2C*). p110β-GBM or RBM DKO add-backs displayed retarded proliferation in comparison to either wt or p110β-wt DKO add-back MEFs (Figure 2D) and were largely deficient in migration through Transwell inserts towards chemoattractants (Figure 2E). Starvation and serum or GPCR agonist stimulation experiments demonstrated that although p110 β deficient in either G $\beta\gamma$ or Rac1 binding, instigated Akt phosphorylation upon serum stimulation, both were significantly impaired in elevating p-Akt, in response to two GPCR agonists; lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) and sphingosine 1-phosphate (S1P) (Figure 2F). Interestingly, fractionation of raft versus nonraft membrane microdomains revealed that only $p110\beta$ -GBM but not $p110\beta$ -RBM localizes to rafts suggesting that Rac1 binding to p110β might constitute a raft localization signal (Figure 2G). We next attempted to verify this in HMECs, where $p110\beta$ could readily be detected in triton resistant membrane fractions. We knockeddown Rac1 expression using Rac1 specific siRNAs and analyzed triton sensitive and resistant membrane microdomains. Downregulation of Rac1 led to a displacement of p110 β from membrane rafts whereas raft and nonraft membrane marker distributions were unchanged (Figure 2H).

eLIFE Research article



Figure 1. Simultaneous ablation of p110 α and p110 β disrupts PI3K pathway, which could be reconstituted by membrane microdomain targeting of either isoform in DKO MEFs. (A) $p110\alpha^{flox/flox}$; $p110\beta^{flox/flox}$ MEFs were treated with either AdLacZ or AdCre. 20 hr after the viral transductions, cells were harvested at indicated time points and immunoblots were performed. p-Akt (for T308) immunoblot depicts activation of PI3K pathway. (B) Wt or DKO MEFs were serum starved for 4 hr and stimulated with insulin, PDGF, EGF or FGF. Cells were then harvested and analyzed in immunoblots using the indicated antibodies (T308 for p-Akt and S235/236 for p-S6) to determine the extent of PI3K activation. (C) Proliferation kinetics of AdLacZ or AdCre treated MEFs were determined by crystal violet assays. Error bars depict standard deviation in 3 independent experiments. D denotes days. (D) Wt, DKO, DKO+p110 α -wt and DKO+p110 β -wt MEFs were starved and stimulated with insulin, PDGF and LPA in the presence of DMSO or isoform specific PI3K inhibitors, BYL-719 (BYL) and TGX-221 (TGX), specific for p110 α and p110 β , respectively. PI3K signaling efficiency in these cells was determined with p-Akt (for T308 and S473) and p-S6 (for S235/236) immunoblots.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.002

The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. PI3K pathway could be reconstituted by unique expression of either p110 α -wt or p110 β -wt in DKO MEFs. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.003

To determine whether raft targeting could compensate for $G\beta\gamma$ or Rac1 binding deficiencies in p110 β , we constructed raft and nonraft-targeted p110 β vectors using protein lipidation sequences derived from the Lyn tyrosine kinase and Kras respectively (*Gao and Zhang, 2008*) (*Figure 3A*) and generated p110 β -Lyn and p110 β -Ras DKO add-back MEFs. Analysis of homogenized samples fractionated via density gradient centrifugation confirmed enriched localization of p110 β -Lyn to rafts



Figure 2. Rac1 binding domain constitutes a raft localization signal for p110β. (A) Detergent-free fractionation of HMECs on an Opti-prep gradient followed by western blots with the indicated antibodies. TfnR (transferrin receptor); marker for nonraft membranes. Nup (nucleoporin); marker for nuclear membranes. Gq; marker for membrane rafts. (B) Graphical depiction of the functional domains of p110β including the residues responsible for Rac1 and Gβγ interaction. (C) Wt, DKO+p110β-wt, DKO+p110β-Gβγ binding mutant (GBM) and DKO+p110β-Rac1 binding mutant (RBM) MEF samples were processed to determine levels of p110 α and p110 β expression. (D) The indicated cells were grown in 8% FBS-DMEM and cellular proliferation was assessed after a week. Error bars indicate standard deviation in 3 independent experiments. **p<0.01, n.s. (not significant), p>0.05. (E) The indicated MEFs were analyzed for migration across transwell inserts; cells were detected with crystal violet staining. On the right, MEFs were quantified for migration through the transwell in 3 independent experiments with standard deviation and p-Erk1/2 depicts activation of MAPK pathway. (G) Indicated cells were fractionated into soluble, triton sensitive and triton resistant fractions. Triton soluble and resistant (DRM) fractions were analyzed in immunoblots; anti-HA antibodies were used to visualize the abundance of the p110 β variants in those fractions. Anti-Rac1 antibody was used to demonstrate raft enrichment, whereas anti-TfnR immunoblot depicts enrichment of nonraft membranes. Anti-actin serves as loading control. (H) On the left, HMECs transfercted with either control or Rac1 specific siRNAs were lysed and processed for western blot. On the right, siRNA *Figure 2 continued on next page*



Figure 2 continued

treated cells were fractionated. WCL were analyzed to display overall levels of protein expression. Soluble, triton soluble (membrane) and triton resistant membrane fractions (DRM) were analyzed in immunoblots; Anti-Rac1 antibodies were used to assess level of Rac1 knock-down. Anti-EGFR antibodies were used as markers for DRM fractions, whereas anti-TfnR immunoblot depicts enrichment of nonraft membranes. Anti-tubulin immunoblot serves as a marker for soluble fractions.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.004

The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Membrane raft localization of p110 β in different cell lines. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.005

and p110β-Ras to nonraft membrane microdomains (*Figure 3B*). Elution of triton sensitive and triton resistant membrane fractions further demonstrated enrichment of the targeting plasmids at the desired microdomains (*Figure 3C*). p110β-Ras DKO add-back MEFs displayed a blunted response in Akt phosphorylation upon serum starvation and LPA stimulation whereas both p110β-wt and p110β-Lyn DKO add-backs had significant levels of p-Akt upon LPA stimulation (*Figure 3D*). Similarly, an increase in membrane-associated p-Akt was observed, when p110β-Lyn but not p110β-Ras DKO add-back MEFs were stimulated with LPA (*Figure 3—figure supplement 1*).

After confirming the involvement of raft-localized p110 β in GPCR mediated activation of Akt, we added Lyn-domain to all versions of p110 β (*Figure 4A*) and generated p110 β -Lyn, p110 β -Lyn GBM or p110 β -Lyn RBM DKO add-back MEF lines (*Figure 4B*, left). As expected, add-back of p110 β -wt enriched at rafts (*Figure 4B*, right) induced p-Akt in response to serum or LPA stimulation (*Figure 4C*, left). Interestingly, raft targeting restored p-Akt in p110 β -Lyn RBM, but not in p110 β -Lyn GBM DKO add-back MEFs upon LPA stimulation (*Figure 4C*, right). Taken together, these results strongly suggest that p110 β -Rac1 association regulates raft recruitment of p110 β , and imply that the G $\beta\gamma$ interaction with p110 β might be independently required for its activation.

While p110 β -Lyn is enriched in rafts, it is still moderately localized in nonraft regions, possibly through p85 SH2/SH3 domain-mediated molecular interactions. Therefore we tested whether raft localization is critical for compensating Rac1-binding deficiency in p110 β -Lyn RBM. To this end, we selectively disrupted the formation of membrane rafts with the cholesterol-depleting agent, methyl β -cyclodextrin (M β CD) in starvation-LPA stimulation experiments. Both p110 β -Lyn and p110 β -Lyn RBM failed to induce p-Akt when rafts were disrupted by M β CD, a defect which was rescued upon addition of excess cholesterol to the cells (*Figure 4D*). Moreover, the raft-excluded p110 β -Ras allele was relatively insensitive to cholesterol depletion upon stimulation with either serum or PDGF, and promoted Akt phosphorylation in the presence of M β CD (*Figure 4E*). Notably LPA stimulation failed to induce p-Akt in either condition, presumably because p110 β -Ras is excluded from rafts.

$G\beta\gamma$ interaction is critical for p110 β activation downstream of GPCRs

To identify the phenotypic consequences of raft-targeted, $G\beta\gamma$ or Rac1 binding deficient p110 β expression, we first determined the growth rate of our p110 β DKO add-back MEF lines under limiting amounts of mitogenic stimuli. Deficiency in $G\beta\gamma$ binding, significantly reduced rates of proliferation while p110 β -Lyn RBM supported growth comparable to p110 β -Lyn (*Figure 5A*).

Next, we investigated the function of raft-targeted p110 β in cellular migration, which is known to be regulated by GPCR and Rac1 dependent signaling (**Dorsam and Gutkind, 2007**; **Bid et al., 2013**). Specifically we analyzed the efficiency of our DKO add-back MEFs in wound healing assays. Wound closure was monitored up to 12 hr upon scratching. p110 β -Lyn RBM DKO add-back MEFs migrate more efficiently into the wound than p110 β -Lyn GBM DKO add-back cells (**Figure 5B,C**). This observation is consistent with a distinct activating role of G $\beta\gamma$ on p110 β , which cannot be compensated by relocalization of the molecule to rafts. Transwell migration assays using the same addback lines reinforced the notion that selective raft targeting is compensatory for p110 β -RBM whereas p110 β -Lyn GBM DKO add-backs are defective in migration (**Figure 5D,E**). Taken together, this data is again consistent with the idea that the primary function of p110 β -Rac1 binding lies in the placement of p110 β in membrane rafts and thus creating a GPCR signaling competent PI3K module.



Figure 3. Raft-excluded p110β fails to induce Akt phosphorylation upon GPCR stimulation. (A) Schematic demonstration of p110β membrane microdomain targeting vectors. (B) Detergent-free fractionation of DKO+p110β-Lyn and DKO+p110β-Ras MEFs on an Opti-prep gradient followed by western blots with the indicated antibodies. TfnR; a marker for nonraft plasma membrane. Nup; a marker for nuclear membranes. Gq; a marker for membrane rafts. (C) The indicated MEFs were lysed and fractionated. WCL were analyzed to display overall levels of protein expression. Soluble, triton soluble (membrane) and resistant membrane fractions (DRM) were analyzed in immunoblots; anti-Caveolin1 antibodies were used as marker for DRM *Figure 3 continued on next page*



Figure 3 continued

fractions. Anti-tubulin immunoblot serves as a marker for soluble fractions. (**D**) The indicated add-back MEFs were starved and stimulated with LPA. Anti-HA immunoblot demonstrates levels of exogenous p110 β expression whereas anti-p-Akt antibodies (for T308 and S473) mark the activation state of Akt. Anti-actin antibodies were used as loading control. On the right, normalized anti-p-Akt T308 and S473 band intensity quantifications of the samples (mean of 3 independent experiments with standard deviation). Density ratio is the fold change between normalized band intensities of p-Akt signals in LPA stimulated over starved samples. **p<0.01, n.s. p>0.05.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.006

The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. Raft-excluded p110 β fails to induce activatory Akt phosphorylation upon GPCR stimulation. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.007

Raft Targeted p110 α facilitates GPCR Signaling and has redundant functions with p110 β

To test our hypothesis that Rac1 binding localized p110ß to GPCR rich membrane microdomains where it could be further activated by a second signal, we attempted to see if we could render p110 α , which is not normally GPCR responsive, sensitive to GPCR signaling by changing its membrane localization. To this end, we generated vectors that selectively target wt p110 α to subdomains of the plasma membrane. Following our previous work, p110 α was targeted to membrane rafts by using the Lyn-derived targeting motif and to nonraft membranes by the motif derived from Kras (Figure 6A). Analysis of homogenized samples fractionated via density gradient centrifugation confirmed enriched localization of p110 α -Lyn to rafts, whereas p110 α -Ras was more prominently localized to nonraft regions of the plasma membrane (Figure 6B). Elution of triton sensitive and triton resistant membrane fractions further demonstrated enrichment of the targeting plasmids at the desired microdomains (Figure 6-figure supplement 1A). Surprisingly, use of LPA led to a significant phosphorylation of Akt in cells expressing raft-targeted p110 α -Lyn in a manner comparable to that seen in p110β-wt DKO add-back MEFs (Figure 6C). Activation of Akt was observed to a much lesser extent in cells expressing the nonraft membrane targeting p110 α -Ras allele. Similarly, an increase in membrane-associated p-Akt was observed, when p110 α -Lyn but not p110 α -Ras DKO add-back MEFs were stimulated with LPA (Figure 6-figure supplement 1B).

We also examined p110 isoform localization in the PTEN null PC3 prostate cancer cell line that is dependent on p110 β function for Akt activation and growth (**Ni et al., 2012; Hill et al., 2010**). In these cells, we knocked down p110 β expression using p110 β -specific shRNAs. Downregulation of p110 β reduced p-Akt and p-S6 levels (*Figure 6—figure supplement 2A*). We then tested if expression of membrane targeting p110 α alleles could restore Akt activation and downstream S6 phosphorylation. p110 α -Lyn but not p110 α -Ras expression compensated for p110 β loss and restored p-Akt and p-S6 in p110 β knockdown cells (*Figure 6—figure supplement 2B*, compare lanes 7 with 8), suggesting that raft-targeted p110 α might have redundant functions with wt p110 β .

EGFR activity is critical for signaling mediated by raft targeted-p110 α

Because raft localization of p110 α is sufficient for activation of Akt in LPA signaling, we next focused on the mechanism by which p110 α is activated downstream of GPCRs. Previous reports suggest that GPCR activation can also trigger widespread downstream signaling via modulation of various growth factor receptor pathways (*Hsieh and Conti, 2005*). For instance, LPA stimulation promotes metalloprotease-mediated transactivation of EGFR, and EGFR activity itself is responsible for major growthpromoting effects of GPCR signaling (*Prenzel et al., 1999*). Since p110 α has no G $\beta\gamma$ or Rac1 binding site, we hypothesized that GPCR mediated EGFR activity might be critical for raft-localized p110 α to modulate Akt function in LPA signaling. To test this idea, we selectively inhibited G $\beta\gamma$, EGFR or PDGFR with gallein, lapatinib and crenolanib respectively, in serum starved and LPA stimulated p110 α -Lyn or p110 β -wt DKO add-back MEFs. EGFR activation as well as Akt and the downstream S6 phosphorylations were observed for both MEF lines upon stimulation with LPA (*Figure 6D*). In support of our hypothesis, EGFR but not G $\beta\gamma$ or PDGFR inhibition reduced p-Akt/S6 in p110 α -Lyn DKO add-back MEFs (*Figure 6D*, compare lanes 3, 4 and 5). In contrast, G $\beta\gamma$ activity was critical for p110 β -wt mediated GPCR signaling while EGFR inhibition had only a modest effect (*Figure 6D*, compare lanes 8 and 9). Consistent with these results, p110 α -Lyn DKO add-back MEFs were more

eLIFE Research article



Figure 4. Raft targeting of Rac1-binding deficient p110 β rescues Akt activation in GPCR signaling. (A) Schematic demonstration of p110 β -Lyn domain membrane targeting vectors. (B) Lysates from the indicated MEFs were processed and analyzed for expression of p110 α and β . On the right, DKO MEFs expressing the indicated p110 β alleles were fractionated into soluble, triton sensitive and triton resistant fractions. Triton resistant fractions were analyzed in immunoblots; anti-HA antibodies were used to visualize the abundance of the p110 β variants in those fractions. Anti-Rac1 antibody was used to demonstrate raft enrichment, whereas anti-TfnR immunoblot depicts contamination with nonraft membranes. Anti-actin immunoblot serves as loading control. (C) The indicated add-back MEFs were starved and stimulated with serum or LPA. Anti-p-Akt immunoblots on T308 and S473 display level of Akt activation and anti-p-Erk1/2 antibodies (for T202/Y204) depicts activation of MAPK pathway. On the right, density ratios of the normalized fold-increase in baseline Akt phosphorylation at T308 and S473 in starved vs. LPA stimulated states were quantified (mean of 3 independent experiments with standard deviation). **p<0.01. (D) The indicated MEFs were starved and stimulated with either serum or LPA in the presence of M β CD with or without addition of excess cholesterol. Anti-p-Akt immunoblots on T308 and S473 displays level of Akt activation and anti-p-Erk1/2 antibodies (for T202/Y204) depicts on T308 and S473 displays level of Akt activation and anti-p-Erk1/2 antibodies (for T202/Y204) depict activation on T308 and S473 displays level of Akt activation and anti-p-Erk1/2 antibodies (for T202/Y204) depict activation of MAPK pathway (E) DKO+p110 β -Ras add-back MEFs were starved and stimulated with serum, PDGF or *Figure 4 continued on next page*



Figure 4 continued

LPA in the presence or absence of MBCD. Anti-p-Akt (for T308) and anti-p-Erk1/2 (for T202/Y204) immunoblots reveal degree of PI3K and MAPK activation respectively.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.008

resistant to gallein in cellular proliferation assays (*Figure 6E*, left), whereas p110 β -wt DKO add-backs were refractory to EGFR inhibition by lapatinib (*Figure 6E*, right). These results suggest that the EGFR activation by LPA stimulated GPCRs is required for raft-localized p110 α to promote Akt phosphorylation and activation; whereas the canonical G $\beta\gamma$ pathway was essential for p110 β -mediated GPCR signaling.

Raft dependent PI3K function is essential for PTEN null cancer cells

Our results thus far suggest that raft integrity might play a more significant role for p110 β dependent signaling. We and others have demonstrated that tumors deficient of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) are often dependent on the p110ß isoform. Therefore we employed PTEN intact and PTEN null cancer cell line pairs and attempted to disrupt rafts by inhibiting cholesterol function. First, we treated PTEN positive DU145 and PTEN null PC3 prostate cancer cells with increasing doses of the cholesterol-depleting agent M β CD. PC3 cells were significantly more sensitive to MBCD treatment than DU145 cells (Figure 7-figure supplement 1A,B). Next we used PTEN wt MCF7 and PTEN null BT549 breast cancer cells to further test our hypothesis. Along the same line, BT549 breast cancer cells were more susceptible to MβCD than MCF7 cells (Figure 7—figure supplement 1C,D). As an independent approach, we utilized simvastatin, an FDA-approved inhibitor of the enzyme HMG-CoA reductase, which catalyzes the rate-limiting step (HMG-CoA to mavelonic acid) in cholesterol biogenesis in order to lower cholesterol levels. Once again, PC3 cells were considerably more sensitive to inhibition of cholesterol synthesis than DU145 cells. A simvastatin concentration of 1 µM was sufficient to potently inhibit proliferation of PC3 cells, which did not impede growth of DU145 cells (Figure 7A,B). Next we treated PTEN wt MCF7 and PTEN null BT549 cells with simvastatin. Treatment of BT549 cells with simvastatin led to a significant impediment of proliferation at 1–2 μ M concentrations, which was not growth inhibitory for MCF7 cells (*Figure 7C,D*). Another PTEN wt breast cancer cell line, T47D was similarly refractory to growth inhibitory effects of simvastatin (Figure 7—figure supplement 1E,F). These results suggest that PTEN null

cancers might be more sensitive to inhibition of raft function which in turn negatively influences p110ß dependent PI3K signaling. In an attempt to restore PI3K pathway activation, we expressed constitutively active, oncogenic mutants of p110 α , H1047R and E545K in PC3 cells. Notably this lead to an activation of Akt as anticipated (Figure 7E). Next, we treated these cells with the cholesterollowering agent, simvastatin. Although control PC3 cells were quite susceptible to simvastatin treatment, PC3-p110α H1047R or E545K expressing cells proliferated more robustly in the presence of previously deleterious doses of the inhibitor (Figure 7E and F). A biochemical analysis of these cells showed that Akt activation was repressed in PC3 cells treated with simvastatin and this repression was relieved by p110 α -H1047R or E545K expression (*Figure 7G*). These results suggest that raft specific inhibition of PI3K function in PC3 cells can be partially alleviated by expression of constitutively active oncogenic alleles of $p110\alpha$, which presumably utilize raft independent modes of activation. As a complementary approach, we re-expressed either a wt or a catalytically dead version of human Pten (Pten C124S) in PC3 and BT549 cells. Restoration of wt Pten lead to a reduction in Akt activation as judged by levels of pAkt (Figure 7-figure supplement 2A and D). Interestingly, Pten expressing PC3 and BT549 cells became more resistant to increasing concentrations of simvastatin, while cells expressing Pten C124S were almost as sensitive to simvastatin as the parental cell lines (Figure 7-figure supplement 2B,C,E and F, E and F). These results are in support of the notion that loss of Pten in certain cancers might lead to a dependence on raft mediated PI3K signaling.

Discussion

Signal transduction via the PI3K pathway requires a sequence of highly orchestrated molecular events transpiring at the plasma membrane. Nevertheless, it is still unclear how local signaling is modulated and how membrane microdomain architecture might influence the signal output. We





Figure 5. Defects in proliferation and motility are restored upon targeting Rac1-binding deficient p110β to membrane rafts whereas Gβγ interactionremains to be essential for p110β function. (A) Wt and the indicated DKO add-back MEFs were analyzed for cellular proliferation in crystal violet assays (mean of 3 independent experiments with standard deviation). **p<0.01. (B) Wound healing assays were performed on the indicated MEFs. Images of the cells were captured at the indicated time points; dashed lines represent leading edge of the cells moving into the inflicted wound. (C) Graph depicts the mean percentage of wound healing at the end of 12 hr for cells indicated in (B); in 3 independent experiments with standard deviation. **p<0.01. (D) DKO+p110β-Lyn, DKO+p110β-Lyn GBM and DKO+p110β-Lyn RBM add-back MEFs were analyzed for migration across transwell inserts; cells were detected with crystal violet staining. (E) Cells in (D) were quantified for migration through the transwell in 3 independent experiments, mean absorbance (at 595 nm) with standard deviation is depicted. ***p<0.001. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.009

have used a molecular genetic system that allowed us to express specific membrane-targeted isoforms of either p110 α or p110 β in an isogenic p110 α /p110 β DKO background. This genetic system enabled functional comparisons between wild type and membrane-targeted alleles of class IA PI3Ks. Interestingly for p110 β , raft targeting alleviates the requirement for Rac1 binding. However, G $\beta\gamma$





Figure 6. Raft-targeted p110α induces Akt phosphorylation upon GPCR signaling via EGFR activity. (A) Schematic demonstration of p110α membrane microdomain targeting vectors. (B) Detergent-free fractionation of DKO+p110α-Lyn and DKO+p110α-Ras MEFs on an Opti-prep gradient followed by western blots with the indicated antibodies. TfnR; a marker for nonraft plasma membrane. Nup; a marker for nuclear membranes. Gq; a marker for membrane rafts. (C) The indicated add-back MEFs were starved and stimulated with LPA. Anti-p-Akt antibodies (for T308 and S473) mark the activation *Figure 6 continued on next page*



Figure 6 continued

state of Akt. Anti-Akt and anti-actin immunoblots were used as loading controls. On the right, normalized density ratios of the mean fold-increase in baseline Akt phosphorylation at T308 and S473 in starved vs. LPA stimulated states. Graphs denote mean of 3 independent experiments with standard deviation. **p<0.01. (**D**) DKO+p110 α -Lyn and DKO+p110 β -wt MEFs were starved and stimulated with LPA in the presence of small molecule inhibitors targeting G $\beta\gamma$, EGFR or PDGFR. Anti-p-EGFR (for Y1068), anti-p-Akt (for T308) and anti-p-S6 (for S235/236) immunoblots depict activation of EGFR, Akt and downstream signaling. Gal denotes gallein, a G $\beta\gamma$ inhibitor; lap depicts lapatinib, an EGFR inhibitor and cren denotes crenolanib, a PDGFR inhibitor. (**E**) The indicated MEFs were treated with 0, 0.1, 1, 2.5, 10, 40 or 100 μ M of lapatinib or gallein in proliferation assays. Cellular growth was assessed after five days in 2% FBS-DMEM. Error bars denote standard deviation in 3 independent experiments. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.010

The following figure supplements are available for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. Membrane targeting p110 α vectors selectively enrich p110 α in the desired microdomain.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.011

Figure supplement 2. Raft-targeted p110 α has redundant functions with wt p110 β . DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.012

association is unable to be rescued by raft targeting. These results indicate that Rac1-p110 β interaction may be necessary for localization of p110 β to GPCR signaling permissive membrane microdomains, whereas its association with G $\beta\gamma$ remains crucial for the activation of its lipid kinase activity upon ligand binding to GPCRs. Interestingly, even p110 α , which is usually unresponsive to GPCR activation, becomes responsive if properly localized. Finally, our data has implications concerning the optimal methods for inhibiting Akt activation in PTEN null cancers (*Figure 7H*).

Our DKO add-back MEF lines were extremely well behaved when tested by the guidance of existing literature: e.g. they were responsive to the relevant PI3K class IA isoform-specific small molecule inhibitors for both signaling and growth. Our efforts in enriching p110 α and p110 β in membrane rafts and nonraft regions using targeting sequences derived from the Lyn kinase and Kras were also clearly successful as judged by several means of membrane fractionation. Minimal off-target localization with these p110 constructs might be attributed to the indirect interactions of p85 mediated via its SH2 and/or SH3 domains.

The resulting targeting constructs were utilized to study the role(s) of microdomain compartmentalization in growth factor signaling. Serum starvation and stimulation experiments revealed that GPCR activation could be communicated to Akt when either p110 α or p110 β was adequately localized in membrane rafts. One fundamental difference between these PI3Ks however, is in their intrinsic membrane binding properties. p110 β , by virtue of its association with Rac1, is naturally localized to membrane rafts. On the other hand, p110 α does not reside in rafts under physiological conditions. However, when it is artificially targeted to the rafts, p110 α is capable of functioning downstream of GPCRs. Moreover, raft-targeted p110 α acts in a redundant manner with p110 β in PTEN null PC3 cells.

While membrane raft localization is essential for p110 activation by GPCRs, whether it occurs via Rac binding for p110 β in the physiological case or via a Lyn-tag for p110 α , the second step in p110 activation by GPCRs can be more varied. In the physiological case $p110\beta$ is activated via its interaction with G $\beta\gamma$. However, we found that EGFR activity is essential for raft-localized p110 α mediated Akt phosphorylation. EGFR is enriched in raft fractions, and its activity has been intimately linked to GPCR signaling. LPA stimulation, for instance, was reported to induce EGFR phosphorylation and transactivation (Daub et al., 1997). Membrane bound metalloproteases and non-receptor tyrosine kinases like Src and Pyk2 are implicated in this regulation (Prenzel et al., 1999; Andreev et al., 2001). Our results suggest that LPA stimulated-EGFR could contribute to activation of raft-localized p110 α , whereas the canonical G $\beta\gamma$ association is the prominent form of activation for p110 β . However, we cannot rule out a modest contribution of EGFR in raft-localized p110 β activation, as we detected residual activation of Akt in cells expressing raft-targeted, $G\beta\gamma$ binding deficient p110 β upon LPA treatment. Notably p110ß may also be dependent on Rac mediated raft localization for its activation in the non-physiological conditions of PTEN loss. However, in the case of p110 β activation in response to PTEN loss yet another mechanism may be involved as the second step in p110 β activation- further experimentation will be required to test this point.

Our findings confirm the existing literature that both Rac1 and G $\beta\gamma$ binding are instrumental for p110 function downstream of GPCRs (*Fritsch et al., 2013*; *Dbouk et al., 2012*) and allow us to place



Figure 7. Raft dependent PI3K function is critical for PTEN null cancer cells. (A) DU145 and PC3 prostate cancer cell lines were seeded on 12-well plates and were treated with indicated doses of simvastatin for a week. Crystal violet assays determined the extent of cell growth. (B) Quantification of cellular proliferation assays in (A), graph displays mean of 3 independent experiments with standard deviation. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. (C) MCF7 and BT549 breast cancer cell lines were seeded on 12-well plates and were treated with indicated doses of simvastatin for a week. Crystal violet assays determined the extent of cellular growth. (D) Quantification of cellular proliferation assays in (C), graph depicts mean of 3 independent experiments with standard deviation. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.01. (E) PC3 cells expressing empty control plasmid, p110α-H1047R and p110α-E545K vectors processed for western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies. (F) Indicated cells were treated with increasing doses of simvastatin for a week. Crystal violet assays determined the extent of cellular growth. (G) Quantification of cellular proliferation assays in (F), graph depicts mean of 3 independent experiments with standard deviation. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, n.s. p>0.05. (H) Biochemical analysis of PC3 cells in (E) treated with 2 μM simvastatin for 36 hr. Anti-p-Akt immunoblots on T308 and S473 display level of Akt activation. Anti-Akt and anti-actin antibodies were used as loading control. (I) Model representing PI3K signaling downstream of GPCRs in a two-step process. Rac1 mediates raft recruitment of p110β while p110α or p110β can be activated via distinct mechanisms; p110α activity can be triggered via lateral activation of EGFR by GPCRs, whereas p110β can be activated via the canonical Gβγ pathway. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.013

Figure 7 continued on next page



Figure 7 continued

The following figure supplements are available for figure 7:

Figure supplement 1. Critical dependency of PTEN null cancer cell lines to raft associated PI3K signaling.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.014

Figure supplement 2. PTEN null cancer lines become sensitized to simvastatin upon re-expression of wild-type PTEN. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.17635.015

them in a two-step model for p110 activation. Raft targeting of mutant versions of p110 enabled us to infer that Rac1 binding, at least in a PTEN wild-type setting, is crucial for localization of p110 β into signaling permissive membrane microdomains. G $\beta\gamma$ interaction on the other hand, provides the basis for p110 β activation upon ligand-induced stimulation of GPCRs. We further verified the involvement of raft microdomains in LPA mediated GPCR signaling via cholesterol deprivation experiments. Cholesterol depletion specifically inhibited activating Akt phosphorylations whereas Erk phosphorylation was largely unaffected. These results indicate that activation of the MAPK signaling cascade by GPCRs is not crucially dependent on raft integrity, reinforcing the notion that critical role of membrane compartmentalization may be unique to PI3K/Akt signaling.

The higher abundance of PTEN in nonraft membrane regions seen here and in earlier work (**Gao et al., 2011**) might be an important determinant in localizing signaling as it may prevent unnecessary propagation of signaling cascades initiated in rafts to nonraft regions. Thus the reported selective localization of PTEN in nonraft domains suggests that it might exert relatively little control on raft recruitment of p110 β and activation of Akt, while preventing the resulting signals from propagating outside raft domains. The elevated signaling potency observed when either p110 α or β is targeted to rafts could be explained by a regional lack of PTEN dependent negative regulation. In tumor cells lacking PTEN, such p110 β dependent signaling could spread to larger portions of the cells greatly strengthening PI3K signaling. However the fact that p110 β signaling in PTEN null cells is still dependent on raft integrity suggests that the second, Rac-independent 'G $\beta\gamma$ like' signal activating p110 β is likely to initiate in rafts in the absence of PTEN. We do not feel that this second signal is G $\beta\gamma$ itself in most PTEN null cells as Akt activation is often not blocked by GPCR antagonists.

Notably we have found that knock down of PTEN in our MEFs does not render their growth dependent on p110 β or sensitive to raft disruption suggesting that while PTEN loss may be necessary for p110 β activation (in rafts as demonstrated by the data in *Figure 7*) it is not sufficient. Similarly the Vanhaesebroeck group has found that not all tumors arising in mice with global knockout of a single PTEN allele are dependent on p110 β (*Berenjeno et al., 2012*). Thus additional parameters seem to be involved in optimal activation of p110 β in a PTEN null setting.

Use of simvastatin, an FDA approved cholesterol lowering drug, hampered proliferation of PTEN null cancer cells which might pinpoint a plausible 'addiction' of these cancer cells to raft mediated PI3K function. Based on these findings, a combinatorial treatment regimen employing PI3K inhibitors and cholesterol lowering statins might have the potential to treat a broad range of cancers with minimal undesirable side effects.

In summary, our findings establish a novel distinction between p110 α and p110 β , and offer new insight into the mechanistic basis of p110 activation by GPCR signaling. Here, we propose that recruitment and activation constitute two distinct steps in PI3K/Akt signaling downstream of GPCRs (*Figure 7H*). Once properly placed, either p110 α or β molecules can be activated via alternative GPCR signaling cascades owing to the versatility of signaling components activated by a liganded GPCR. Our data warrant further work on the role of membrane partitioning in regulation of the PI3K/Akt pathway and offer novel therapeutic aspects concerning treatment of PTEN null cancers.

Materials and methods

Vector construction and shRNA sequences

Lyn and Kras tagged constructs (*Gao and Zhang, 2008*) were generated by in frame fusion of the N-terminal part of the Lyn kinase (GCIKKSKRKDKD, for myristoylation and palmitoylation) at the 5' end or the C-terminal part of Kras (KKKKKSKTKCVIM, CAAX motif for prenylation) at the 3'end of a

pBABE p110 α or p110 β vector with an N-terminal HA-tag respectively. p110 β G $\beta\gamma$ (K532D/K533D) and Rac1 (S211D/K230A) binding mutants were generated using QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, California) and sequence verified. The sequence of the shRNA targeting human p110 β transcripts is as follows; CATTCAGCTGAACAGTAGCAA. shGFP sequence is GCAAGCTGACCCTGAAGTTCAT. pBabeL-Pten wt and pBabeL-Pten C124S plasmids were kind gifts from William Sellers (Addgene plasmids #10785 and #10931).

Generation of immortalized mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), cell culture and generation of stable cell lines

HEK293, $p110\alpha^{flox/flox}$; $p110\beta^{flox/flox}$ MEFs and their derivatives were grown at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, including 4.5 g/L D-glucose, L-glutamine and 110 mg/L sodium pyruvate) supplemented with 8% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gemini-Bio, West Sacramento, California) and penicillin, streptomycin (100 IU/ml and 100 µg/ml respectively, Gibco). Human mammary epithelial cells (HMECs) were generated and cultured as described (*Zhao et al., 2005*). DU145, PC3, MCF7 and BT549 cells were acquired from ATCC and were not further authenticated. They were cultured in RPMI medium (Gibco, Waltham, Massachusetts) supplemented with 8% FBS under standard conditions. All cell lines used were negative for mycoplasma contamination.

 $p110\alpha^{flox/flox}$; $p110\beta^{flox/flox}$ MEFs were prepared from embryos at embryonic day 13.5 post-fertilization. These primary MEFs were immortalized using the standard 3T3 protocol (*Meek et al., 1977*). Floxed MEFs were treated with AdCre (lowa Viral Vector Core, lowa City, lowa) to generate knockout cells or with AdLacZ for control. Add-back MEF lines were generated by introducing the construct of interest into the cells first and then treating them with two rounds AdCre infection. For RNAi experiments, PC3 cells were treated with 1 µg/ml of doxycycline for 48 hr.

Control siRNAs (AM4629) and siRNAs targeting human Rac1 (ID 164723) were ordered from Thermo Fisher (Waltham, Massachusetts, transfected into HMECs at 50 nM concentration using lipo-fectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Waltham, Massachusetts) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 48–72 hr post transfection; cells were harvested and subjected to immunoblot or fractionation assays.

Amphotropic retroviruses were produced by transfection of HEK293 cells with packaging plasmids encoding Vsv-g, gag-pol and a retroviral vector encoding the gene of interest using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For production of amphotropic lentiviruses, same cells were transfected with Vsv-g, Delta 8.9 and pLKO.tet on vector encoding an shRNA sequence of interest under standard instructions. Culture supernatants containing retro or lentivirus were collected twice at 48 hr and 72 hr posttransfection, pooled together, sterile filtered (Thermo Scientific) and then used immediately.

Growth factor stimulation and drug treatment

Cells were starved for 4 hr and stimulated with insulin (2 µg/ml), EGF (20 ng/ml), LPA (20 µM, all Sigma), PDGF (20 ng/ml), FGF (10 ng/ml, both Miltenyi Biotech, Germany), or 5% FBS for 5 min. Cells were washed with and scraped into ice-cold PBS and then lysed in RIPA buffer (Westnet, Canton, Massachusetts) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (both Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, Indiana), 1 mM sodium orthovanadate (Cell Signaling, Danvers, Massachusetts) and 1 mM dithiothrietol (DTT, Bio-Rad, Hercules, California). p110 α specific-inhibitor BYL-719 (1 μ M), p110 β specific-inhibitor TGX-221 (2 μ M), pan-PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941 (1 µM), pan-Akt inhibitor MK-2206 (1 µM, all Selleck Chem, Houston, Texas) were used in proliferation experiments. In growth factor stimulation experiments BYL-719, TGX-221, G $\beta\gamma$ inhibitor Gallein (5 μM, Santa Cruz, Dallas, Texas), EGFR inhibitor Lapatinib (5 μM), PDGFR inhibitor Crenolanib (0.2 μ M, both Selleck), M β CD (5 mM) and water soluble cholesterol (2 mM, both Sigma, St. Louis, Missouri) were added to serum starved cells 30 min before stimulation.

Antibodies and western blotting

10–20 μ g of total protein was separated with 7.5–12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad). The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk (LabScientific, Highlands, New Jersey) in TBS for 30 min and then incubated with primary antibodies in TBS containing 5% milk and 0.1% Tween-20 overnight at 4°C. After rinsing with TBS-0.1% Tween, membranes were

incubated with the secondary antibodies diluted in 5% milk-TBS for 1.5 hr at RT. Bands were detected by using Odyssey CLx Scanner. Band intensity quantifications were performed using Image Studio 3.1. Normalizations were performed either relative to the actin or Akt band intensities. Western blots were performed with antibodies against p110 α (#4249), p110 β (#3011), Akt (#4691), phospho-Akt T308 (#13038), phospho-Akt S473 (#9271), phospho-Erk 1/2 T202/Y204 (#9101), phospho-S6 S235/236 (#2211), phospho-S6 S240/244 (#5364), phospho-EGFR Y1068 (#2236), S6 (#2217), HA (#2367), TfnR (transferrin receptor) (#13208), Nup (nucleoporin) (#2598), EGFR (#4267), PTEN (#9188) (all Cell Signaling), Rac1 (05–389) (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts), β -actin (A5441), α -tubulin (T5168) (Sigma), Caveolin1 (MA3-600) (Thermo Fisher) and Gq (sc-392) (Santa Cruz). IRDye 800CW Goat anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska) were used.

Membrane preparation and Opti-Prep density gradient fractionation

2-4 confluent 150 mm dishes (BD, San Jose, California) of cells grown in 2% FBS to limit excessive amounts of growth factors, were rinsed with ice-cold PBS and scraped into homogenization buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 250 mM sucrose (Sigma), 1 mM CaCl₂ and 1 mM MgCl₂). Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4°C for 5 min at 250 g and resuspended in 1 ml of cold homogenization buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Cells were then subjected to mechanical disruption with 15 strokes of a tight pestle in a tissue grinder (Kimble/ Kontes, Rockwood, Tennessee). Homogenates were then passed through a 22 g needle 20 times. Lysates were then centrifuged at 500 g for 10 min at 4°C to get rid of intact cells, nuclei and cell debris. The resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 52,000 g for 1 hr at 4°C. Supernatants were stored (soluble fraction) and the pellets were subjected to 1% Triton X100, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. After 20 min incubation on ice, lysates were centrifuged at 52,000 g for 1 hr at 4°C. Supernatants were collected as the triton-sensitive fraction. Remaining membrane pellets were further extracted with 100 mM N-octyl glucoside (Santa Cruz), 50 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Following 20 min of incubation on ice, samples were centrifuged at 16,000 g for 30 min at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. Supernatants were collected as the detergent resistant membrane (DRM) fraction.

For the detergent-free Opti-Prep (Sigma) density gradient fractionation, 4 confluent 150 mm dishes of cells grown in 2% FBS were rinsed and scraped into the homogenization buffer. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4°C for 5 min at 250 g and resuspended in 600 μ l of cold homogenization buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Cells were then subjected to mechanical disruption with 15 strokes of a tight pestle in a tissue grinder (Kimble/Kontes). Homogenates were then passed through a 22 g needle 20 times. At 4°C, lysates were centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min. The resulting postnuclear supernatant was transferred into a separate tube. The pellet was again lysed by the addition of 400 μ l cold homogenization buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors followed by sheering 20 times through a 22 g needle. After centrifugation at 1000 g for 10 min at 4°C, the second postnuclear supernatant was combined with the first. 1 ml of 50% Opti-Prep (Sigma) was added to the combined postnuclear supernatants and placed in the bottom of a 5 ml centrifuge tube (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California). 400 µl each of 20%, 17.5%, 15%, 12.5%, 10%, 7.5% and 5% Opti-Prep solutions were poured on top of the lysates. Discontinuous gradients were then centrifuged for 90 min at 100000 g at 4°C using an SW-55 rotor in a Beckman ultracentrifuge. After centrifugation, 400 µl fractions were collected and distribution of proteins was analyzed by immunobloting.

Immunofluorescence

Cells arown on coverslips were fixed with 4% formaldehyde (Polysciences, Inc, Warrington, Pennsylvania) in PBS at RT for 15 min. Coverslips were washed in PBS 3 times for 5 min and blocked in blocking buffer (1xPBS, 5% normal goat serum (Cell Signaling), 0.3% Triton X-100) for 1 hr. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies diluted in 1xPBS, 1% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100 overnight at 4°C. Followed by rinsing 3x 5 min with PBS, coverslips were incubated with secondary antibodies diluted in 1xPBS, 1% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100 for 1-2 hr at RT in dark. Cells were then mounted on glass slides using ProLong Gold with DAPI (Cell Signaling) mounting medium and imaged with a Nikon TE2000-U epifluorescence microscope with appropriate filters. Corrected total cell membrane fluorescence was determined for p-Akt T308 and p-Akt S473 signals using Image J (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. Rabbit anti-p-Akt T308, anti-p-Akt S473 and mouse anti-HA were all from Cell Signaling. Alexa 488 anti-rabbit, Alexa 594 anti-mouse from Invitrogen. Quantifications are average of 3 independent experiments of at least 10 cells per experimental condition.

Cellular proliferation assays

MEFs were seeded in 6-well tissue culture plates (Corning, Corning, New York) at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well. Cancer cells were seeded in 12-well plates (Corning) at a density of 1×10^4 cells per well. At the indicated time points, cells were washed with PBS, fixed in 10% acetic acid (Fisher), 10% ethanol for 15 min and then stained with 0.2% crystal violet (Sigma), 10% ethanol for 20 min, washed with distilled water and air-dried. Cell-associated dye was extracted with 2 ml of 10% acetic acid for 15 min and the optical density (OD) was measured at 595 nm. Values were normalized to the OD at day 0 or to vehicle treatment at day seven for each sample. Each data point was determined in either duplicates or triplicates. For experiments performed with limited supplementation, DMEM with 2% FBS was used. For experiments with cancer cells, RPMI with 4% FBS was used. Data shown are the mean of at least three independent experiments with standard deviation.

Activation of simvastatin

Simvastatin (Sigma) needs to be activated by opening of the lactone ring before use in cell culture. Briefly, four milligrams of simvastatin were dissolved in 0.1 ml of 100% ethanol, with subsequent addition of 0.15 ml of 0.1 N NaOH. The solution was heated at 50°C for 2 hr in a water bath and then neutralized with HCl to pH 7.2. The resulting solution was brought to a final volume with sterile PBS (10 mM), and aliquots were stored at -80° C until use.

Wound healing assays

Cells were seeded at 5×10^5 cells/well in 6-well dishes in DMEM, 8% FBS, incubated for 24 hr then serum-starved for 10 hr. A wound was induced into the cell monolayer using a 200 µl pipette tip. Cells were incubated in DMEM, 2% FBS, at 37°C. In triplicate experiments, wounds were imaged at the indicated time points for 12 hr at 3 positions along each wound. Recovery percentages indicate the percentage ratio of distance traversed by the leading edge of migrating cells to the initial wound, determined with ImageJ.

Transwell migration assays

Cells were seeded in duplicates onto 8- μ M PET Transwell migration chambers (Corning) at a density of 1 \times 10⁵ cells/well in media containing 1% FBS and media containing 4% FBS + 20 μ M LPA + 5 μ M S1P were placed in the lower chamber as chemoattractant. Cells were allowed to migrate to the lower side of the inserts for 18 hr. Cells which did not migrate, were removed with a cotton swab on the upper side and then the inserts were fixed in 10% acetic acid, 10% ethanol for 15 min and then stained with 0.2% crystal violet, 10% ethanol for 20 min, washed with distilled water, airdried and then scanned. Cell-associated dye was then extracted with 2 ml of 10% acetic acid for 15 min and the OD was measured at 595 nm. Data shown are the mean of three independent experiments with standard deviation.

Statistical analysis

Two-tailed Student's t-test was used for differential comparison between two groups. T-tests and IC50 calculations were performed in GraphPad Prism.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Jennifer Spangle for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank the Nikon Imaging Center at Harvard Medical School for technical assistance and the use of instruments. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants P01-CA50661 (to TMR), CA30002 (to TMR), P50 CA168504-01A1 (to JJZ and TMR), P50 CA165962-01A1 (to JJZ and TMR), CA172461-01 (to JJZ),

and Stand Up to Cancer Dream Team Translational Research Grant SU2C-AACR-DT0209 (to JJZ and TMR).

Additional information

Competing interests

TMR: A consultant/advisory board member at Novartis. The other authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
National Institutes of Health	P50 CA168504-01A1	Jean J Zhao Thomas M Roberts
National Institutes of Health	P50 CA165962-01A1	Jean J Zhao Thomas M Roberts
National Institutes of Health	CA172461-01	Jean J Zhao
Stand Up To Cancer	Translational Research Grant SU2C-AACR-DT0209	Jean J Zhao Thomas M Roberts
National Institutes of Health	P01-CA50661	Thomas M Roberts
National Institutes of Health	CA30002	Thomas M Roberts

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

OC, Conception and design, Acquisition of data, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article; JN, SX, Drafting or revising the article, Contributed unpublished essential data or reagents; JJZ, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article, Contributed unpublished essential data or reagents; TMR, Conception and design, Analysis and interpretation of data, Drafting or revising the article

Author ORCIDs

Thomas M Roberts, http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6453-3955

References

- Alessi DR, Deak M, Casamayor A, Caudwell FB, Morrice N, Norman DG, Gaffney P, Reese CB, MacDougall CN, Harbison D, Ashworth A, Bownes M, Barry Caudwell F. 1997. 3-Phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase-1 (PDK1): structural and functional homology with the Drosophila DSTPK61 kinase. *Current Biology* **7**:776–789. doi: 10.1016/S0960-9822(06)00336-8
- Andreev J, Galisteo ML, Kranenburg O, Logan SK, Chiu ES, Okigaki M, Cary LA, Moolenaar WH, Schlessinger J. 2001. Src and Pyk2 mediate G-protein-coupled receptor activation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) but are not required for coupling to the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase signaling cascade. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**:20130–20135. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M102307200
- **Beeton CA**, Chance EM, Foukas LC, Shepherd PR. 2000. Comparison of the kinetic properties of the lipid- and protein-kinase activities of the p110alpha and p110beta catalytic subunits of class-la phosphoinositide 3-kinases. *Biochemical Journal* **350**:353–359. doi: 10.1042/bj3500353
- **Berenjeno IM**, Guillermet-Guibert J, Pearce W, Gray A, Fleming S, Vanhaesebroeck B. 2012. Both p110α and p110β isoforms of PI3K can modulate the impact of loss-of-function of the PTEN tumour suppressor. *Biochemical Journal* **442**:151–159. doi: 10.1042/BJ20111741
- Bid HK, Roberts RD, Manchanda PK, Houghton PJ. 2013. RAC1: an emerging therapeutic option for targeting cancer angiogenesis and metastasis. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* **12**:1925–1934. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163. MCT-13-0164
- Cain RJ, Ridley AJ. 2009. Phosphoinositide 3-kinases in cell migration. *Biology of the Cell* **101**:13–29. doi: 10. 1042/BC20080079
- Daub H, Wallasch C, Lankenau A, Herrlich A, Ullrich A. 1997. Signal characteristics of G protein-transactivated EGF receptor. *The EMBO Journal* **16**:7032–7044. doi: 10.1093/emboj/16.23.7032
- Dbouk HA, Vadas O, Shymanets A, Burke JE, Salamon RS, Khalil BD, Barrett MO, Waldo GL, Surve C, Hsueh C, Perisic O, Harteneck C, Shepherd PR, Harden TK, Smrcka AV, Taussig R, Bresnick AR, Nürnberg B, Williams RL,

eLIFE Research article

Backer JM. 2012. G protein-coupled receptor-mediated activation of p110β by Gβγ is required for cellular transformation and invasiveness. *Science Signaling* **5**:ra89. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.2003264

Dorsam RT, Gutkind JS. 2007. G-protein-coupled receptors and cancer. Nature Reviews Cancer 7:79–94. doi: 10. 1038/nrc2069

Engelman JA, Luo J, Cantley LC. 2006. The evolution of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases as regulators of growth and metabolism. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **7**:606–619. doi: 10.1038/nrg1879

Foukas LC, Claret M, Pearce W, Okkenhaug K, Meek S, Peskett E, Sancho S, Smith AJ, Withers DJ, Vanhaesebroeck B. 2006. Critical role for the p110alpha phosphoinositide-3-OH kinase in growth and metabolic regulation. *Nature* **441**:366–370. doi: 10.1038/nature04694

- Fritsch R, de Krijger I, Fritsch K, George R, Reason B, Kumar MS, Diefenbacher M, Stamp G, Downward J. 2013. RAS and RHO families of GTPases directly regulate distinct phosphoinositide 3-kinase isoforms. *Cell* **153**:1050– 1063. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.04.031
- Fruman DA, Meyers RE, Cantley LC. 1998. Phosphoinositide kinases. Annual Review of Biochemistry 67:481–507. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.481
- Gao X, Lowry PR, Zhou X, Depry C, Wei Z, Wong GW, Zhang J. 2011. PI3K/Akt signaling requires spatial compartmentalization in plasma membrane microdomains. PNAS 108:14509–14514. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1019386108
- Gao X, Zhang J. 2008. Spatiotemporal analysis of differential Akt regulation in plasma membrane microdomains. Molecular Biology of the Cell 19:4366–4373. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E08-05-0449
- Gaus K, Chklovskaia E, Fazekas de St Groth B, Jessup W, Harder T. 2005. Condensation of the plasma membrane at the site of T lymphocyte activation. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **171**:121–131. doi: 10.1083/jcb. 200505047
- Gaus K, Le Lay S, Balasubramanian N, Schwartz MA. 2006. Integrin-mediated adhesion regulates membrane order. The Journal of Cell Biology **174**:725–734. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200603034
- Guillermet-Guibert J, Bjorklof K, Salpekar A, Gonella C, Ramadani F, Bilancio A, Meek S, Smith AJH, Okkenhaug K, Vanhaesebroeck B. 2008. The p110 isoform of phosphoinositide 3-kinase signals downstream of G protein-coupled receptors and is functionally redundant with p110. PNAS 105:8292–8297. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0707761105
- Gupta N, DeFranco AL. 2007. Lipid rafts and B cell signaling. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 18:616–626. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2007.07.009
- Gupta S, Ramjaun AR, Haiko P, Wang Y, Warne PH, Nicke B, Nye E, Stamp G, Alitalo K, Downward J. 2007. Binding of ras to phosphoinositide 3-kinase p110alpha is required for ras-driven tumorigenesis in mice. *Cell* **129**:957–968. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.03.051
- Hill KM, Kalifa S, Das JR, Bhatti T, Gay M, Williams D, Taliferro-Smith L, De Marzo AM. 2010. The role of PI 3kinase p110beta in AKT signally, cell survival, and proliferation in human prostate cancer cells. *The Prostate* **70**: 755–764. doi: 10.1002/pros.21108
- Hsieh M, Conti M. 2005. G-protein-coupled receptor signaling and the EGF network in endocrine systems. Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism 16:320–326. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2005.07.005
- Jackson SP, Schoenwaelder SM, Goncalves I, Nesbitt WS, Yap CL, Wright CE, Kenche V, Anderson KE, Dopheide SM, Yuan Y, Sturgeon SA, Prabaharan H, Thompson PE, Smith GD, Shepherd PR, Daniele N, Kulkarni S, Abbott B, Saylik D, Jones C, et al. 2005. PI 3-kinase p110beta: a new target for antithrombotic therapy. *Nature Medicine* 11:507–514. doi: 10.1038/nm1232
- Jia S, Liu Z, Zhang S, Liu P, Zhang L, Lee SH, Zhang J, Signoretti S, Loda M, Roberts TM, Zhao JJ. 2008. Essential roles of PI(3)K-p110beta in cell growth, metabolism and tumorigenesis. *Nature* **454**:776–779. doi: 10.1038/ nature07091

Jia S, Roberts TM, Zhao JJ. 2009. Should individual PI3 kinase isoforms be targeted in cancer? Current Opinion in Cell Biology **21**:199–208. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2008.12.007

- Knight ZA, Gonzalez B, Feldman ME, Zunder ER, Goldenberg DD, Williams O, Loewith R, Stokoe D, Balla A, Toth B, Balla T, Weiss WA, Williams RL, Shokat KM. 2006. A pharmacological map of the PI3-K family defines a role for p110alpha in insulin signaling. *Cell* **125**:733–747. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.035
- Kusumi A, Fujiwara TK, Chadda R, Xie M, Tsunoyama TA, Kalay Z, Kasai RS, Suzuki KG. 2012. Dynamic organizing principles of the plasma membrane that regulate signal transduction: commemorating the fortieth anniversary of Singer and Nicolson's fluid-mosaic model. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 28: 215–250. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100809-151736
- Lasserre R, Guo XJ, Conchonaud F, Hamon Y, Hawchar O, Bernard AM, Soudja SM, Lenne PF, Rigneault H, Olive D, Bismuth G, Nunès JA, Payrastre B, Marguet D, He HT. 2008. Raft nanodomains contribute to Akt/PKB

plasma membrane recruitment and activation. *Nature Chemical Biology* **4**:538–547. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.103 Lingwood D, Simons K. 2010. Lipid rafts as a membrane-organizing principle. *Science* **327**:46–50. doi: 10.1126/ science.1174621

- Meek RL, Bowman PD, Daniel CW. 1977. Establishment of mouse embryo cells in vitro. Relationship of DNA synthesis, senescence and malignant transformation. *Experimental Cell Research* **107**:277–284. doi: 10.1016/0014-4827(77)90350-0
- Márquez DC, Chen HW, Curran EM, Welshons WV, Pietras RJ. 2006. Estrogen receptors in membrane lipid rafts and signal transduction in breast cancer. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* **246**:91–100. doi: 10.1016/j.mce. 2005.11.020

- Ni J, Liu Q, Xie S, Carlson C, Von T, Vogel K, Riddle S, Benes C, Eck M, Roberts T, Gray N, Zhao J. 2012. Functional characterization of an isoform-selective inhibitor of PI3K-p110β as a potential anticancer agent. *Cancer Discovery* **2**:425–433. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-12-0003
- Prenzel N, Zwick E, Daub H, Leserer M, Abraham R, Wallasch C, Ullrich A. 1999. EGF receptor transactivation by G-protein-coupled receptors requires metalloproteinase cleavage of proHB-EGF. *Nature* **402**:884–888. doi: 10. 1038/47260
- Rodriguez M, Siwko S, Zeng L, Li J, Yi Z, Liu M. 2016. Prostate-specific G-protein-coupled receptor collaborates with loss of PTEN to promote prostate cancer progression. *Oncogene* 35:1153–1162. doi: 10.1038/onc.2015. 170
- Samuels Y, Wang Z, Bardelli A, Silliman N, Ptak J, Szabo S, Yan H, Gazdar A, Powell SM, Riggins GJ, Willson JK, Markowitz S, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Velculescu VE. 2004. High frequency of mutations of the PIK3CA gene in human cancers. *Science* 304:554. doi: 10.1126/science.1096502
- Sarbassov DD, Guertin DA, Ali SM, Sabatini DM. 2005. Phosphorylation and regulation of Akt/PKB by the rictormTOR complex. *Science* **307**:1098–1101. doi: 10.1126/science.1106148
- Song MS, Salmena L, Pandolfi PP. 2012. The functions and regulation of the PTEN tumour suppressor. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology **13**:283–296. doi: 10.1038/nrm3330
- **Utermark T**, Rao T, Cheng H, Wang Q, Lee SH, Wang ZC, Iglehart JD, Roberts TM, Muller WJ, Zhao JJ. 2012. The p110α and p110β isoforms of PI3K play divergent roles in mammary gland development and tumorigenesis. *Genes & Development* **26**:1573–1586. doi: 10.1101/gad.191973.112

van Meer G, Stelzer EH, Wijnaendts-van-Resandt RW, Simons K. 1987. Sorting of sphingolipids in epithelial (Madin-Darby canine kidney) cells. The Journal of Cell Biology **105**:1623–1635. doi: 10.1083/jcb.105.4.1623

- Vivanco I, Sawyers CL. 2002. The phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase AKT pathway in human cancer. Nature Reviews Cancer 2:489–501. doi: 10.1038/nrc839
- Wee S, Wiederschain D, Maira S-M, Loo A, Miller C, deBeaumont R, Stegmeier F, Yao Y-M, Lengauer C. 2008. PTEN-deficient cancers depend on PIK3CB. *PNAS* **105**:13057–13062. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0802655105
- Yu J, Zhang Y, Mcllroy J, Rordorf-Nikolic T, Orr GA, Backer JM. 1998. Regulation of the p85/p110 phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase: stabilization and inhibition of the p110alpha catalytic subunit by the p85 regulatory subunit. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **18**:1379–1387. doi: 10.1128/MCB.18.3.1379
- Zhang X, Vadas O, Perisic O, Anderson KE, Clark J, Hawkins PT, Stephens LR, Williams RL. 2011. Structure of lipid kinase p110β/p85β elucidates an unusual SH2-domain-mediated inhibitory mechanism. *Molecular Cell* 41: 567–578. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2011.01.026
- Zhao JJ, Cheng H, Jia S, Wang L, Gjoerup OV, Mikami A, Roberts TM. 2006. The p110 isoform of PI3K is essential for proper growth factor signaling and oncogenic transformation. *PNAS* **103**:16296–16300. doi: 10. 1073/pnas.0607899103
- Zhao JJ, Liu Z, Wang L, Shin E, Loda MF, Roberts TM. 2005. The oncogenic properties of mutant p110 and p110 phosphatidylinositol 3-kinases in human mammary epithelial cells. *PNAS* **102**:18443–18448. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0508988102