



Article Synthesis of 6-Halo-Substituted Pericosine A and an Evaluation of Their Antitumor and Antiglycosidase Activities

Yoshihide Usami ^{1,}*[®], Yoshino Mizobuchi ¹, Mai Ijuin ¹, Takeshi Yamada ², Mizuki Morita ¹, Koji Mizuki ¹, Hiroki Yoneyama ¹ and Shinya Harusawa ¹

- ¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Organic Chemistry, Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nasahara 4-20-1, Takatsuki 569-1094, Osaka, Japan; e16101@gap.oups.ac.jp (Y.M.); e14509@gly.oups.ac.jp (M.I.); e12546@gap.oups.ac.jp (M.M.); e12007@gap.oups.ac.jp (K.M.); hiroki.yoneyama@ompu.ac.jp (H.Y.); harusawa@gly.oups.ac.jp (S.H.)
- ² Department of Medicinal Molecular Chemistry, Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nasahara 4-20-1, Takatsuki 569-1094, Osaka, Japan; takeshi.yamada@ompu.ac.jp
- * Correspondence: yoshihide.usami@ompu.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-796-90-1087; Fax: +81-796-90-1005

Abstract: The enantiomers of 6-fluoro-, 6-bromo-, and 6-iodopericosine A were synthesized. An efficient synthesis of both enantiomers of pericoxide via 6-bromopericosine A was also developed. These 6-halo-substituted pericosine A derivatives were evaluated in terms of their antitumor activity against three types of tumor cells (p388, L1210, and HL-60) and glycosidase inhibitory activity. The bromo- and iodo-congeners exhibited moderate antitumor activity similar to pericosine A against the three types of tumor cell lines studied. The fluorinated compound was less active than the others, including pericosine A. In the antitumor assay, no significant difference in potency between the enantiomers was observed for any of the halogenated compounds. Meanwhile, the (–)-6-fluoro- and (–)-6-bromo-congeners inhibited α -glucosidase to a greater extent than those of their corresponding (+)-enantiomers, whereas (+)-iodopericosine A showed increased activity when compared to its (–)-enantiomer.

Keywords: synthesis; 6-halogenated pericosine A analogues; antitumor; glycosidase inhibition; enantiomer; pericoxide

1. Introduction

Chemical modification of bioactive natural products is one of the most common methods used in drug development [1–8]. In addition to increasing the pharmacological activity, which is the major aim of chemical modification, decreasing side effects, improving the solubility or stability, and reducing costs remain problems to be resolved during drug development. Chemical modification based on marine natural products has been extensively studied due to the discovery of new lead compounds suitable for drug discovery [9–12].

The isolation of pericosine A ($\mathbf{1}_{CI}$) and B (**2**) as metabolites of the marine-derived fungus *Periconis byssoides* N133 was first reported in 1997, and pericosines C–E (**3–6**) were discovered in 2008 [13–15]. The unique carbasugar structures constituting the highly functionalized cyclohexene ring are shown in Figure 1. Recently, the chemistry of carbasugars has received an increasing amount of research attention due to their wide range of biological activity [16–18]. In addition, many total syntheses of pericosines A–C (**1–3**) have been reported due to the antitumor activity of pericosine A ($\mathbf{1}_{CI}$) [19–30]. However, the chemical modification of the pericosines has not been reported to date with the exception of our synthetic study on pericosine E analogs bearing chloro- or methoxy-substituents at C-6, which were used as α -glucosidase inhibitors [31,32]. Figure 1 also includes (+)-pericoxide (7) and (–)-maximiscin, which were discovered by Cichewitcz and coworkers from *Tolypocladium* sp. [33,34]. The fact that pericosine $\mathbf{1}_{CI}$ was obtained together with



Citation: Usami, Y.; Mizobuchi, Y.; Ijuin, M.; Yamada, T.; Morita, M.; Mizuki, K.; Yoneyama, H.; Harusawa, S. Synthesis of 6-Halo-Substituted Pericosine A and an Evaluation of Their Antitumor and Antiglycosidase Activities. *Mar. Drugs* **2022**, *20*, 438. https://doi.org/10.3390/ md20070438

Academic Editor: Dehai Li

Received: 17 June 2022 Accepted: 28 June 2022 Published: 30 June 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). pericoxide 7 in their work suggests that 7 may be a biosynthetic precursor of 1_{Cl} . Therefore, 7 should be classified as a member of the pericosine family.

(a) Naturally occurring pericosines found as enantiomeric mixtures (the major enantiomerid form is presented)



(b) Naturally occurring pericosines and their related molecules in their enantiomeric pure form



Figure 1. Structures of the pericosines and their related natural products.

In our recent report on pericosine A, natural $\mathbf{1}_{CI}$ was isolated from *Periconia* sp. as an enantiomeric mixture. In addition, we confirmed that both synthesized enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}_{CI}$ exhibit antitumor activity with similar potency against three tumor cell lines (P388, LH-6, and L1210). Furthermore, (-)- $\mathbf{1}_{CI}$ showed moderate α -glucosidase inhibitory activity (IC₅₀ = 2.25 mM), whereas (+)- $\mathbf{1}_{CI}$ was inactive [35]. As we are interested in the biological activities of pericosine congeners bearing other halogen atoms, the fermentation of *Periconia* sp in artificial seawater containing fluoride, bromide, or iodide sources has been examined as an alternative to chloride. It should be mentioned here that 6-halogenated pericosines bearing F, Br, or I atoms were not obtained in our preceding paper. Consequently, we have attempted the synthesis of non-natural 6-halo-substituted pericosine A. Our recent synthetic work on pericosine E analogs has suggested that the presence of a chlorine atom at C6 is an important factor for α -glucosidase inhibitory activity. The preparation of other 6-halo-congeners is also required for our continuous synthetic studies on new pericosine E analogs.

Herein, we describe the synthesis and evaluation of the antitumor and antiglycosidase inhibitory activities of both enantiomers of newly designed 6-halo-congeners of pericosine A. In addition, an efficient synthesis of closely related pericoxide 7 via 6-bromo pericosine A ($\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{Br}}$) has also been reported.

2. Results & Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of Both Enantiomers of 6-Halo-Substituted Pericosine A

A similar reaction to the hydrochlorination of epoxide intermediate 8 used in the synthesis of pericosine A 1_{CI} [21,29] using commercially available HBr and HI aqueous solutions was envisioned in this study, in which the deprotection of the hydroxyl groups and hydrolysis of the ester moiety will occur (Scheme 1). Subsequently, we began this work by searching for suitable reagents used to introduce the required fluorine, bromine, or iodine atoms.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of 6-halogenated pericosine A. (a) Synthesis of (-)-1 from (-)-shikimic acid; (b) Synthesis of (+)-1 from (-)-quinic acid.

For bromination, BBr₃ was initially examined as the bromide source to react with epoxide (–)-8, which can be prepared from bromohydrin (+)-9 via an intramolecular $S_N 2$ reaction [29,31]. However, careful addition of BBr₃ [0.33 equivalents (eq)] to (-)-8 in dry diethyl ether (Et₂O) at -78 °C afforded the desired product (10_{Br}) in only 14% yield. Using 1.0 eq of BBr₃ slightly improved the yield (38%), but increasing the reaction temperature led to the formation of a complicated mixture including the undesired regioisomer. After investigating a variety of brominating reagents, we found mono-bromoborane dimethyl sulfide complex $(BH_2Br \cdot SMe_2)$ to be the most suitable for the desired reaction [36,37]. The reaction of 8 with 1.0 eq of BH₂Br·SMe₂ at -78 °C in Et₂O dramatically improved the yield of $(-)-10_{Br}$ to 94% yield. The reactions performed at higher temperatures led to a decreased yield of (–)- 10_{Br} (78% at -20 °C and 58% at 0 °C). Subsequent deprotection of the cyclohexylidene moiety was also a delicate process. The optimum reaction conditions were found after investigating a variety of conditions. Treatment of $(-)-10_{Br}$ with Dowex[®] 50WX8 hydrogen form (Dowex® 50WX8-H: Acidic ion-exchange resin) in MeOH at room temperature (rt) for 56 h gave (-)- 1_{Br} in an excellent 87% yield when compared to the conventional reaction using trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in MeOH (66%) (Scheme 1A). This deprotection process with Dowex-XW50-H was examined in detail because could also be applied in the subsequent synthesis of pericoxide 7 (see Supplementary Material, Table S1). The corresponding (+)-enantiomer of $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$ was prepared in the same way using (+)-8 derived from (-)-quinic acid (Scheme 1B). Iodohydrination of (-)-8 was then achieved upon careful addition of 0.33 eq of aluminum iodide (AlI₃) at 0 °C to give the desired product [(-)-10_I] in 63% yield [38]. When 1.0 eq of AlI₃ was used under the same reaction conditions, the yield of (-)-10_I was reduced to 15%. The reaction of (-)-8 with 1.0 eq of tetrabutylammonium iodide and a catalytic amount of BF₃·Et₂O in dry dichloromethane at -78 °C afforded (-)-10_I in 17% yield. Finally, deprotection of (-)-10_I was achieved under conventional TFA/MeOH conditions to give (-)-1_I in 76% yield, whereas treatment of (-)-10_I with Dowex[®] 50WX8-H resulted in no reaction. The (+)-enantiomer of 1_I was prepared using a similar approach from (+)-8 via (+)-10_I.

The introduction of a fluorine atom into (–)-8 was accomplished using the $(HF)_n/py$ complex. The reaction of (–)-8 with this complex at 0 °C for 15 min in a polypropylene tube afforded the desired fluorohydrine product $[(-)-10_F]$ in 46% yield. A longer reaction time (1 h) led to a more complex product mixture, giving a low yield of (–)-10_F (32%). Subsequent treatment of (–)-10_F with TFA in MeOH afforded (–)-1_F in 43% yield. Similarly, (+)-1_F was successfully obtained using (+)-8. It should be noted that 10_I and 1_I are relatively unstable when compared to the other halogenated congeners, so they were stored in a freezer prior to further use.

2.2. Synthesis of Pericoxide

The synthesis of 7, which was not reported prior to 2019, was also conducted, as shown in Scheme 2. The direct deprotection of epoxide 8 was examined in our preliminary efforts to prepare 7. Treatment of (-)-8 with trifluoroacetic acid in *t*-BuOH at room temperature (rt) did not afford pericoxide 7 despite the complete consumption of 8. Changing the acid catalyst to Dowex[®] 50WX8-H resulted in no reaction and microwave (MW) heating afforded a small amount of methyl 3,4-dihydroxybenzoate along with the recovery of the starting material [(-)-8].



Scheme 2. Synthesis of (–)- and (+)-pericoxide (7): (a) (–)-Pericoxide from (–)-shikimic acid; (b) (+)-pericoxide from (–)-quinic acid.

In our next experiment, bromotriol **11** derived from bromohydrin **9** was treated with 3.0 eq of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LHMDS) in THF at -78 °C because if the C6

hydroxide anion attacked the C5 center faster than the C4 hydroxide anion, the formation of 7 via an intramolecular $S_N 2$ process was expected to occur. However, the spectral data of epoxide **12** did not agree with those of 7. The structure of **12** was determined using NMR spectroscopy; epoxy carbon atoms C6 (δ 55.5 ppm) and C1 (δ 56.0 ppm) were detected in the high field region in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum and the HMBC cross peaks corresponding to H1/C3 and H6/C4 indicated the structure of **12** (see Supplementary Materials).

Finally, (–)-bromopericosine A (1_{Br}) was treated with 3.0 eq of LHMDS at -78 °C to give (–)-7, which is an enantiomer of the natural product. The isolated yield of (–)-7 upon purification via conventional acidic silica gel column chromatography was only 23%, but this was improved to 77% using neutral silica gel. The spectral data agree with those of natural pericoxide with the exception of the sign of its specific rotation. Unfortunately, (–)-7 was so unstable that it decomposed upon storage at rt in methanol, exhibiting a smaller optical rotation within a couple of days. Furthermore, the decomposed residue exhibits a positive specific rotation, although freshly synthesized 7 was negative. Regrettably, biological assays of 7 could not be performed because of this inherent instability.

Treatment of $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$ with 2.0 eq of LHMDS led to a decreased yield of 7 (57%) along with the recovered starting material ($\mathbf{1}_{Br}$; 34%). Alternatively, intramolecular epoxidation of (–)- $\mathbf{1}_{Cl}$ with LHMDS (3.0 eq) gave (–)-7 in 12% yield along with the recovery of (–)- $\mathbf{1}_{Cl}$ (20%).

This experiment indicates the significance of bromo-derivative $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$ as the starting material. Using the same synthetic process, natural (+)-7 was synthesized via (+)- $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$ starting from (–)-quinic acid. A similar synthesis of (+)-7 from (+)- $\mathbf{1}_{Cl}$ has been previously reported by Cichewitz in 2019 [39].

2.3. Evaluation of the Biological Activities of Enantiomerically Pure Pericosine A and Its 6-Halogenated Congeners

2.3.1. Antitumor Assay

The antitumor activity of halo-compounds 1 was evaluated against three types of tumor cell lines: Basic P388 (mouse lymphocytic leukemia), L1210 (mouse lymphocytic leukemia), and LH60 (human promyelocytic leukemia) cell lines, along with a previously reported procedure using 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) as a positive control [35]. The results are presented in Table 1, including those obtained for perocosine A (1_{Cl}), which has been previously reported in the literature, for comparison. All compounds showed antitumor activity against the three types of tumor cell lines studied. Bromo- and iodo-pericosine (1_{Br} and 1_I) show similar activities to 1_{Cl} , but fluorinated compound 1_F was less active than all of the other compounds, including 1_{Cl} . Because pericosine C (3), which exists as an enantiomeric mixture in nature and has the same relative configuration to 1_s , was reported to be inactive against P-388 cell line [14], present results implied the importance of the presence of halogen atom at C-6 in pericosine core structure for antitumor activity. In addition, it is noteworthy that no significant difference in the potency was observed between the enantiomers, similar to pericosine A.

Table 1. Antitumor activity of the synthetic 6-halo-analogs of pericosine A.

		IC ₅₀ (μM)	
Compound	P388	L1210	HL-60
(+)-Pericosine A (1Cl)35	5.00	6.12	2.03
(-)-Pericosine A (1Cl)35	4.85	3.96	2.33
(+)-6-Fluoropericosine A (1F)	9.91	44.0	10.8
(-)-6-Fluoropericosine A (1F)	9.03	38.0	9.46
(+)-6-Bromopericosine A (1Br)	5.39	5.66	5.57
(-)-6-Bromopericosine A (1Br)	5.65	6.30	6.08
(+)-6-Iodopericosine A (1I)	6.17	8.18	6.78
(–)-6-Iodopericosine A (1I)	5.91	8.27	6.45
5-FU (positive control)	3.86	0.63	0.22

2.3.2. Glycosidase Inhibitory Activity Assay

There have been several excellent studies on the development of pseudosugar-type glycosidase inhibitors [40–50]. The compounds synthesized in this study were also applied to an glycosidase inhibitory assay against five kinds of enzymes: α -Glucosidase from yeast, β -glucosidase from Jack bean, α -galactosidase from green coffee bean, β -galactosidase from bovine liver, and α -mannosidase from bovine liver. The results are presented in Table 2 along with the previous results obtained for pericosine A (1_{Cl}) for comparison [35]. (–)-1_F, (–)-1_{Br}, and (–)-1_I exhibit comparable inhibitory activities to (–)-1_{Cl} (IC₅₀ = 2.25 mM) against α -glucosidase with IC₅₀ values of 1.95, 1.79, and 3.60 mM, respectively, whereas they were less active than the positive control [deoxynojirimycin (DNJ); IC₅₀ = 0.0965 mM]. (+)-1_{Br} showed less potent activity (IC₅₀ = 5.05 mM) when compared to (–)-1_{Br}, and (+)-1_F was inactive similar to (+)-1_{Cl}. Surprisingly, (+)-1_I exhibited the most potent α -glucosidase inhibitory activity (IC₅₀ = 3.56 mM). This is the only example of an α -galactosidase inhibitor among the pericosines and their congeners reported to date.

Table 2. Glycosidase inhibitory activity of the synthetic 6-halo-congeners of pericosine A.

			IC ₅₀ (mM)		
Compound	α -Glucosidase ^a	β -Glucosidase ^b	α -Mannosidase ^c	α -Galactosidase ^d	β-Galactosidase ^e
(+)-1Cl35	NI ^f	NI	NI	NI	NI
(-)-1Cl35	2.25	NI	NI	NI	5.38
(+)-1F	NI	NI	NI	NI	NI
(–) - 1F	1.95	NI	NI	NI	NI
(+)-1Br	5.05	NI	NI	NI	NI
(–)-1Br	1.79	NI	NI	NI	5.60
(+)-1I	1.15	NI	NI	3.56	NI
(–) - 1I	3.60	NI	NI	NI	NI
DNJ (positive control)	0.0965	0.195	-	-	-

^a Yeast, ^b Sweet almond, ^c Jack bean, ^d Green coffee bean, ^e Bovine liver, ^f NI: No inhibition (IC₅₀ >9.25 mM).

All of the synthesized compounds were inactive against β -glucosidase and α -galactosidase. Interestingly, (–)- $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$ showed dual activity against β -galactosidase and α -glucosidase similar to (–)- $\mathbf{1}_{Cl}$, but they were less active than those reported for some pericosine E derivatives [33].

3. Materials and Methods

General methods: HRMS was performed on a JMS-700 (2) mass spectrometer (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). NMR spectra were recorded at 27 °C on 300- and 400-MR-DD2, INOVA-500 and 600-DD2 spectrometers (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) in $CDCl_3$ or acetone-d₆ using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Specific rotations were measured using a DIP1000 digital polarimeter (JASCO Co., Tokyo, Japan). Liquid column chromatography was conducted on silica gel (BW-127ZH) (Fuji Silysia, Tokyo, Japan) or neutral silica gel (CHROMATOREX DIOL MB100–75/200) (Fuji Silysia, Tokyo, Japan). Analytical TLC was performed on precoated silica gel 60 plates (Merck & Co., Inc., Darmstadt, Germany) and the compounds were viewed by dipping the plates in an ethanol solution of phosphomolybdic acid, followed by heating. Microwave-aided reactions were performed using Initiator® (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden). Flash chromatography was performed using Isolera One[®] (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden). (-)-Shikimic acid was purchased from Carbosynth Ltd. (UK). (–)-Quinic acid was purchased from Merck & Co., Inc. (Darmstadt, Germany). AlI₃, HCl, BH₂Br, SMe₂, and Dowex[®] 50WX8-H were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). n-BuLi in hexane was purchased from Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). Hexamethyldisilazane and $(HF)_n/py$ complex were purchased from TCI (Tokyo, Japan). Trifluoroacetic acid, dry MeOH, CH₂Cl₂, Et₂O, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Osaka, Japan).

3.1. Synthesis of Both Enantiomers of The 6-Halopericosine A Analogs

3.1.1. Synthesis of Methyl

(–)-3,4-O-Cyclohexylidene-6-Fluoro-3,4,5-Trihydroxy-1-CycloHexene Carboxylate (10_F)

To a solution of (-)-8 (13.4 mg, 0.050 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) in a polyethylene tube and cooled at 0 °C was added 12.5 mL of (HF)_n/pyridine complex (67% w/v HF, 4.0 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min and quenched upon adding saturated (sat.) NaHCO₃ (aq) (10 mL). The mixture was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to afford the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on neutral silica gel (eluent = Hexane:EtOAc = 9:1) to afford (-)-10_F as a colorless oil (6.6 mg, 46%). $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -41.1 (c 0.12, CHCl₃); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.22–1.71 (10H, m), 2.51 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.1 1.6 Hz, 5-OH), 3.83 (3H, s, -COOCH₃), 4.20 (1H, dddd, *J* = 10.3, 5.5, 4.5, 3.2 Hz, H-5), 4.56 (1H, ddd, *J* = 6.2, 3.2, 3.0 Hz, H-4), 4.76 (1H, dddd, *J* = 6.2, 5.5, 3.2, 0.9 Hz, H-3), 5.56 (1H, dd, *J* = 48.0, 5.5 Hz, H-6), 7.01 (1H, br dd, J = 3.2, 2.8 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 23.6 (CH_2) , 23.9 (CH_2) , 24.9 (CH_2) , 34.5 (CH_2) , 36.6 (CH_2) , 52.4 (CH_3, CO_2CH_3) , 67.6 $(d, {}^2J_{C-F} = 1)$ 24.9 Hz, CH, C-5), 70.9 (d, ⁴*J*_{C-F} = 2.4 Hz, CH, C-3), 73.4 (d, ³*J*_{C-F} = 5.8 Hz, CH, C-4), 86.2 $(d, {}^{1}J_{C-F} = 168.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}, \text{C-6}), 111.2, 128.6 (d, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 17.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}, \text{C-1}), 139.4 (d, {}^{3}J_{C-F} = 5.8 \text{ Hz})$ Hz, CH, C-2), 165.2 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₉O₅F [M]⁺ 286.1217, found 286.1218.

(+)-**10**_F (7.1 mg, 43%) was synthesized from (+)-**8** (15.5 mg, 0.058 mmol) in the same manner as (-)-**10**_F. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +37.6 (*c* 0.13, CHCl₃); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.22–1.70 (10H, m), 2.51 (1H, d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 5-OH), 3.83 (3H, s, -COOCH₃), 4.17–4.24 (1H, m, H-5), 4.56 (1H, dt, *J* = 6.3, 3.1 Hz, H-4), 4.76 (1H, ddd, *J* = 6.0, 5.5, 3.1 Hz, H-3), 5.56 (1H, dd, *J* = 48.1, 5.5 Hz, H-6), 7.01 (1H, br dd, *J* = 2.8, 2.5 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 23.6, 23.9, 24.9, 34.5, 36.6, 52.4, 67.6 (d, ²*J*_{C-F} = 25.2 Hz, C-5), 70.9 (d, ⁴*J*_{C-F} = 2.3 Hz, C-3), 73.4 (d, ³*J*_{C-F} = 5.4 Hz, C-4), 86.2 (d, ¹*J*_{C-F} = 168.6 Hz, C-6), 111.2 (Cq), 128.5 (d, ²*J*_{C-F} = 17.6 Hz, CH, C-1), 139.4 (d, ³*J*_{C-F} = 5.3 Hz, C-2), 165.2 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₉O₅F [M]⁺ 286.1217, found 286.1215.

3.1.2. Synthesis of (-)-6-Fluoropericosine A (1_F)

To a solution of (-)-**10**_F (7.5 mg, 0.026 mmol) in MeOH (1.0 mL) was added TFA (2.0 mL) at 0 °C with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 3.5 h at rt, then condensed under reduced pressure give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on neutral silica gel (eluent = 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford (-)-**1**_F as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -78.7 (*c* 1.4, MeOH); ¹H-NMR (MeOH-d₄, 600 MHz, ppm) δ 3.76 (3H, s, -OMe), 3.97 (1H, ddd, *J* = 16.7, 6.1, 2.0 Hz, H-5), 4.03–4.06 (1H, m, H-4), 4.41–4.44 (1H, m, H-3), 5.42 (1H, dddd, *J* = 49.6, 6.7, 1.7, 0.6 Hz, H-6), 6.81–6.82 (1H, m, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (MeOH-d₄, 150 MHz, ppm) δ 52.3 (CH₃, COOMe), 68.2 (CH, C3, ⁴*J*_{C-F} = 2.3 Hz), 72.7 (CH, C4, ³*J*_{C-F} = 6.9 Hz), 73.3 (CH, C5, ²*J*_{C-F} = 20.9 Hz), 90.7 (CH, C-6, d, ¹*J*_{C-F} = 167.6 Hz), 129.1 (Cq, C1, d, ²*J*_{C-F} = 18.5 Hz), 144.4 (CH, C-2, d, ³*J*_{C-F} = 5.8 Hz), 166.3 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₈H₁₂O₅F [M]⁺ 207.0669, found 207.0668.

(+)-1_F (3.5 mg, 59%) was synthesized from (+)-10_F (8.2 mg, 0.029 mmol) in the same manner as (–)-1_F. Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +67.7 (*c* 0.95, MeOH); H-NMR (MeOH-d₄, 600 *MHz*, ppm) δ 3.73 (3H, s, -OMe), 3.92 (1H, ddd, *J* = 17.0, 6.1, 2.0 Hz, H-5), 4.03–4.05 (1H, m, H-4), 4.40–4.43 (1H, m, H-3), 5.34 (1H, dddd, *J* = 49.9, 6.5, 2.1, 0.6 Hz, H-6), 6.75–6.77 (1H, m, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (MeOH-d₄, 150 *MHz*, ppm) δ 52.4 (CH₃, COOMe), 68.3 (CH, C3), 73.0 (CH, C4, ³*J*_{C-F} = 6.9 Hz), 73.1 (CH, C5, ²*J*_{C-F} = 20.8 Hz), 90.8 (CH, C-6, d, ¹*J*_{C-F} = 167.6 Hz), 129.0 (Cq, C1, d, ²*J*_{C-F} = 18.5 Hz), 144.4 (CH, C-2, d, ³*J*_{C-F} = 6.9 Hz), 166.5 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₈H₁₂O₅F [M]⁺ 207.0669, found 207.0675.

3.1.3. Synthesis of Methyl

(–)-6-Bromo-3,4-O-Cyclohexylidene-3,4,5-Trihydroxy-1-CycloHexene Carboxylate (10_{Br})

To a solution of *syn*-epoxide (-)-8 (112.8 mg, 0.42 mmol) in Et₂O (5 mL) was added a solution 1.0 M BH₂Br·SMe₂ in CH₂Cl₂ (0.48 mL, 0.42 mmol) at -78 °C. After stirring at

-78 °C for 5 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl aq., and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL \times 3). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to give the crude product, which was almost pure, but purified via silica gel column chromatography (eluent; EtOAc:Hexane = 1:3) to afford (-)-10_{Br} (139.7 mg, 94%). $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –207.4 (*c* 0.30, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3471 (OH), 1724 (C=O), 1652 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (acetone- d_6 , 600 MHz, ppm) δ 1.37–1.71 (10H, m, 5 × CH₂), 3.80 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.17 (1H, d, J = 4.1 Hz, OH), 4.29 (1H, ddd, J = 4.4, 4.1, 3.5 Hz, H-5), 4.71 (1H, br dd, J = 7.0, 3.5 Hz, H-4), 4.88 (1H, br dd, J = 7.0, 3.2 Hz, H-3), 4.99 (1H, br d, J = 4.4 Hz, H-6), 6.99 (1H, dd, J = 3.2, 1.5 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (acetone- $d_6, 150$ MHz, ppm) δ 24.4 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂), 25.8 (CH₂), 34.91 (CH₂), 34.93(CH₂), 36.8 (CH₂), 44.0 (CH, C-6), 52.6 (Cq, -OCH₃), 68.9 (CH. C-5), 70.8 (CH, C-3), 73.6 (CH, C-4), 111.1 (Cq), 131.6 (Cq, C-1), 138.6 (CH, C-2), 165.8 (Cq, COOMe); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz, ppm) δ 1.40–1.73 (10H, m, 5 × CH₂), 2.70 (1H, d, J = 2.3 Hz, OH), 3.83 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.35–4.37 (1H, m, H-5), 4.75–4.79 (2H, m, overlapped, H-3,4), 4.88 (1H, br dd, J = 7.0, 3.2 Hz, H-3), 5.09 (1H, d, J = 3.8 Hz, H-6), 7.17 (1H, dd, J = 1.8, 1.1 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz, ppm) δ 23.5 (CH₂), 23.9 (CH₂), 25.1 (CH₂), 33.3 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH₂), 40.4 (CH-C6), 52.5 (Cq, -OCH₃), 67.0 (CH, C-5), 69.4 (CH, C-3), 71.6 (CH, C-4), 110.7 (Cq), 130.7 (Cq, C-1), 137.4 (CH, C-2), 164.7 (Cq, COOMe): C₁₄H₁₉O₅⁸¹Br [M]⁺ 348.0395 found 348.0395. HREIMS *m/z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₉O₅⁷⁹Br [M]⁺ 346.0416 found 346.0415, C₁₄H₁₉O₅⁸¹Br₁ (M)⁺ 348.0395 found 348.0395.

(+)-10_{Br} (57.6 mg, 83%) was synthesized from (+)-8 (53.2 mg, 0.20 mmol) in the same manner as (–)-10_{Br}. Colorless crystals (CH₂Cl₂); mp 117–120 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +204.0 (*c* 0.29, CHCl₃); ¹H-NMR (acetone-d₆, 600 MHz, ppm) δ 1.38–1.72 (10H, m, 5 × CH₂), 3.81 (3H, s, OMe), 4.19 (1H, d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 5-OH), 4.27–4.30(1H, m, H-5), 4.71 (1H, br dd, *J* = 7.0, 3.5 Hz, H-4), 4.88 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.0, 2.9 Hz, H-3), 4.99 (1H, d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, H-6), 6.99 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3, 1.5 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (acetone-d₆, 150 MHz, ppm) δ 24.4 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂), 25.8 (CH₂), 34.9 (CH₂), 36.8 (CH₂), 44.0 (CH, C-6), 52.6 (CH₃, COOMe), 68.9 (CH, C5), 70.8 (CH, C3), 73.6 (CH, C4), 111.1 (Cq), 131.6 (Cq, C1), 138.7 (CH, C-2), 165.8 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₉O₅⁷⁹Br [M]⁺ 346.0416, found 346.0415, C₁₄H₁₉O₅⁸¹Br [M]⁺ 348.0395, found 348.0395.

3.1.4. Synthesis of (-)-6-Bromopericosine A (1_{Br})

Table S1, entry 4: To a solution of (-)-**10**_{Br} (41.3 mg, 0.12 mmol) in MeOH (2.0 mL) was added Dowex[®] 50WX8-H (102.5 mg) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 56 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude residue, which was purified with silica gel column chromatography (eluent; CH₂Cl₂:MeOH = 95: 5) to afford (-)-1_{Br} (27.6 mg, 87%) and recovered (-)-10_{Br} (5.5 mg, 13%). (-)-1_{Br}: Amorphous solid; mp 96–99 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –130.8 (*c* 1.38, MeOH); IR (film) ν_{max} 3219 (OH), 1712 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, *600 MHz*, ppm) δ 3.80 (3H, s, COOMe), 4.10 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.4, 2.1 Hz, H-4), 4.18 (1H, ddd, *J* = 4.4, 2.0 Hz, H-5), 4.36 (1H, br dd, *J* = 4.4, 4.1Hz, H-3), 4.94 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.4, 0.9 Hz, H-6), 6.86 (1H, d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, *150 MHz*, ppm) δ 48.7 (CH, C-6), 52.8 (CH₃, COOMe), 67.6 (CH, C-3), 69.3 (CH, C-4), 76.2 (CH, C-5), 131.8 (Cq, C-1), 141.6 (CH, C-2), 167.3 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m/z* calcd for C₈H₁₂O₅⁷⁹Br [M + H]⁺ 266.9868 found 266.9869.

(+)- $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$ (37.7 mg, 78%) was synthesized from (+)- $\mathbf{10}_{Br}$ (57.6 mg, 0.166 mmol) in the same manner as (-)- $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$. Amorphous solid; mp 96–99 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +130.2 (*c* 1.74, MeOH); ¹H-NMR (MeOH-d₄, 600 MHz, ppm) δ 3.80 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.10 (1H, *J* = 4.4, 2.1 Hz, H-5), 4.10 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.4, 2.1 Hz, H-4), 4.36 (1H, br dd, *J* = 4.7, 4.4 Hz, H-3), 4.94 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.4, 0.9 Hz, H-6), 6.86 (1H, d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (MeOH-d₄, 150 MHz, ppm) δ 48.6 (CH, C-6), 52.8 (CH₃, COOMe), 67.6 (CH, C5), 69.3 (CH, C3), 76.2 (CH, C4), 131.8 (Cq, C1), 141.6 (CH, C-2), 167.3 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS m/z calcd for C₈H₁₀O₅⁷⁹Br [M – H]⁺ 264.9712, found 264.9713.

3.1.5. Synthesis of Methyl

(–)-3,4-O-Cyclohexylidene-3,4,5-Trihydroxy-6-iodo-1-CycloHexene Carboxylate (10I)

To a solution of (–)-8 (14.4 mg, 0.056 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) was added AlI₃ (7.9 mg, 0.019 mmol) at 0 °C with stirring. After stirring for 2 h at 0 °C, the reaction was quenched by adding sat. NaHCO₃ (aq) (5 mL). The resulting mixture was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to afford the crude product, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent = Hexane:EtOAc = 5:1) to afford (–)-**10**_I (15.5 mg, 60%) as a white powder. mp 97–99 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –261.4 (*c* 0.09, CHCl₃); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 600 *MHz*, ppm) δ 1.43–1.74 (10H, m), 2.70 (1H, d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 5-OH), 3.82 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.36 (1H, ddd, *J* = 4.1, 3.2, 2.3 Hz, H-5), 4.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.9, 3.2 Hz, H-3), 4.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.9, 4.1 Hz, H-4), 5.21 (1H, d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, H-6), 7.10 (1H, d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 150 *MHz*, ppm) δ 16.8 (CH₂), 23.5 (CH₂), 24.0 (CH₂). 25.1 (CH₂), 33.3 (CH₂), 36.0 (CH, C-6), 52.5 (CH₃, COOMe), 68.0 (CH, C-5), 69.1 (CH, C-3), 72.6 (CH, C-4), 110.4 (Cq), 132.5 (Cq, C-1), 135.8 (CH, C-2), 164.7 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/z calcd for C₁₄H₁₀O₅I [M]⁺ 394.0277, found 394.0279.

(+)-**10**_I (17.6 mg, 72%) was synthesized from (+)-**8** (16.5 mg, 0.062 mmol) in a similar manner as (-)-**10**_I. White powder; mp 97–100 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +262.0 (*c* 0.13, CHCl₃); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, ppm) δ 1.43–1.74 (10H, m), 2.71 (1H, d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 5-OH), 3.82 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.34–4.38 (1H, m, H-5), 4.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.8, 3.1 Hz, H-3), 4.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.8, 3.5 Hz, H-4), 5.21 (1H, d, *J* = 3.3 Hz, H-6), 7.10 (1H, d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, ppm) δ 16.8, 23.5, 23.9. 25.0, 33.2, 35.9, 52.5, 68.0, 69.1, 72.5, 110.4, 132.4, 135.8, 164.6; HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₄H₁₀O₅I [M]⁺ 394.0277, found 394.0279.

3.1.6. Synthesis of (-)-6-Iodoopericosine A $(1_{\rm I})$

To a solution of (-)-10_I (4.3 mg, 0.011 mmol) in MeOH (1.0 mL) was added TFA (4.5 mL) at 0 °C with stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 3 h at rt, then concentrated under reduced pressure give a crude residue, which was purified by column chromatography with neutral silica gel (eluent = 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford (-)-1_F as a colorless oil (2.6 mg, 76%). $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –8.4 (*c* 0.13, MeOH); ¹H-NMR (acetone-d₆, 600 *MHz*, ppm) δ 3.79 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.21 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.7, 2.1 Hz, H-4), 4.33 (1H, br dd, *J* = 3.5, 2.1 Hz, H-5), 4.43 (1H, br t, *J* = 4.7, H-3), 5.16 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.5, 0.6 Hz, H-6), 6.88 (1H, d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (acetone-d₆, 150 *MHz*, ppm) δ 26.8 (CH, C-6), 52.6 (CH₃, COOCH₃), 66.6 (CH, C5), 67.7 (CH, C-4), 76.9 (CH, C-5), 132.8 (Cq, C1), 139.5 (CH, C-2), 166.4 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/z calcd for C₈H₁₁O₅I [M]⁺ 313.9652, found 313.9649.

(+)-**1**_I (1.9 mg, 77%)was synthesized from (+)-**10**_I (3.1 mg, 0.0079 mmol) in a similar manner as (–)-**1**_I; Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +11.7 (*c* 0.10, MeOH); ¹H-NMR (acetone-d₆, 600 *MHz*, ppm) δ 3.79 (3H, s, -OMe), 4.21 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.7, 2.1 Hz, H-4), 4.33 (1H, br dd, *J* = 3.5, 2.1 Hz, H-5), 4.43 (1H, br t, *J* = 4.7, H-3), 5.16 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.5, 0.6 Hz, H-6), 6.88 (1H, d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (acetone-d₆, 150 *MHz*, ppm) δ 26.8 (CH, C-6), 52.6 (CH₃, COOCH₃), 66.7 (CH, C-3), 67.7 (CH, C-4), 76.9 (CH, C-5), 132.8 (Cq, C1), 139.5 (CH, C-2), 166.4 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m/z* calcd for C₈H₁₁O₅I [M]⁺ 313.9652, found 313.9655.

3.2. Synthesis of Pericoxide

Synthesis of Methyl (3R,4R,5R,6S)-5-Bromo-3,4,6-Trihydroxycyclohex-1-ene-1-carBoxylate (11) from 9

A solution of **9** (264 mg, 0.76 mmol) in dry MeOH (4.0 mL) in a microwave (MW) vial was added to Dowex (760 mg). The vial was then sealed and heated under MW irradiation at 100 °C for 30 min. After cooling, the reaction mixture was filtered, the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified via column chromatography (eluent: CH₂Cl₂:MeOH = 95: 5) to afford **11** (164 mg, 80%). $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –71.1 (c 1.285, MeOH); IR (liquid film) ν_{max} 3417 (OH), 1714 (C=O), 1650 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, *600 MHz*, ppm) δ 3.78 (3H, s, OMe), 3.84 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.2, 4.1 Hz, H-4), 4.29 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.2, 5.3 Hz, H-5), 4.47 (1H, t, *J* = 4.1 Hz, H-3), 4.64 (1H, d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, H-6), 6.77

(1H, dd, J = 4.1, 0.6 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 150 MHz, ppm) δ 52.5 (COOMe-C8), 55.1 (CH, C5), 67.0 (CH, C3), 71.4 (CH, C4), 71.5 (CH, C6), 138.6 (CH, C2), 167.8 (COOMe-C7); HREIMS m/z calcd for C₈H₁₂O₅⁷⁹Br₁ [M + H]⁺ 266.9868 found 266.9865, C₈H₁₂O₅⁸¹Br₁ [M + H]⁺ 268.9848 found 268.9850.

3.3. Intramolecular Epoxidation of Bromotriol 11

To a solution of 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) (0.22 mL, 1.07 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (0.66 mL, 1.06 mmol) at -78 °C to prepare a solution of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LHMDS). After stirring for 30 min, the LHMDS solution was added dropwise to a solution of 11 (92.7 mg, 0.35 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring the reaction mixture at $-78 \degree C$ for 1 h, the resulting mixture was warmed to rt and stirred for another 1 h. The reaction mixture was then treated with sat. NH_4Cl aq. (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂: MeOH = 95: 5) to afford methyl (1S,2S,5R,6R)-2,5dihydroxy-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-ene-3-carboxylate (12) (27.4 mg, 42%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 27.2$ (c 0.29, MeOH); IR (liquid film) v_{max} 3391 (OH), 1713 (C=O), 1655 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz, ppm) δ 3.05 (1H, ddd, *J* = 4.0, 2.6, 0.9, H-6), 3.54 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.1 2.9 Hz, H-1), 3.76 (3H, s, COOMe), 4.49 (1H, dd, J = 4.4, 2.3 Hz, H-5), 4.58 (1H, s, OH), 4.68 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.6, 1.2 Hz, H-2), 6.43 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.1, 2.3 Hz, H-4); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 150 *MHz*, ppm) δ 52.4 (CH₃, COOMe), 55.4 (CH, C-6), 56.0 (CH, C-1), 64.1 (CH, C-2), 65.9 (CH, C-5), 131.5 (Cq, C-3), 137.8 (CH, C-4),168.0 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₈H₁₁O₅ [M + H]⁺ 187.0606 found 187.0604.

3.4. Synthesis of (-)-Pericoxide (7)

A solution of 1.6 M n-BuLi in hexane (0.17 mL, 0.27 mmol) was added to a solution of HMDS (0.089 mL, 0.43 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at -78 °C with stirring to prepare an LHMDS solution. After 40 min, the prepared LHMDS solution was added to a solution of 10_{Br} (22.1 mg, 0.085 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at -78 °C. After stirring for 1.5 h at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. NH_4Cl aq. (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (7 \times 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified via column chromatography (eluent; CH_2Cl_2 :MeOH = 97:3) using neutral silica gel (DIOL MB100–75/200, Fiji Silysia Co.) to afford (+)-1 (11.9 mg, 77%); Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –68.3 (c 0.60, MeOH); IR (film) v_{max} 3414 (OH), 1720 (C=O), 1651 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz, ppm) δ 3.63 (1H, ddd, J = 4.4, 2.1, 1.5 Hz, H-5), 3.81 (3H, s, COOMe), 3.97 (1H, d, *J* = 4.1 Hz, H-6), 3.98 (1H, d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, H-4), 4.21 (1H, ddd, *J* = 6.2, 5.0, 2.1 Hz, H-3), 4.58 (1H, s, OH), 7.12 (1H, dd, J = 6.5, 2.4 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 150 MHz, ppm) δ 50.1 (CH-C6), 52.8 (COOMe-C8), 58.7 (CH-C5), 66.6 (CH-C3), 68.6 (CH-C4), 132.0 (CH, C-1), 143.3 (CH, C-2), 167.3 (Cq, COOMe); HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₈H₁₀O₅ [M]⁺ 186.0528, found 186.0523.

(+)-7 (3.7 mg, 87%) was synthesized from (+)-10_{Br} (6.2 mg, 0.023 mmol) in the same manner. Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +65.8 (c 0.60, MeOH); ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 600 MHz, ppm) δ 3.63 (1H, ddd, *J* = 4.4, 2.1, 1.5 Hz, H-5), 3.81 (3H, s, COOMe), 3.96–3.98 (2H, m, H-4, H-6), 4.21 (1H, ddd, *J* = 6.2, 5.0, 2.1 Hz, H-3), 4.57 (1H, s, OH), 7.12 (1H, dd, *J* = 6.5, 2.4 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 150 MHz, ppm) δ 50.1 (CH-C6), 52.8 (COOMe-C8), 58.7 (CH-C5), 66.6 (CH-C3), 68.6 (CH-C4), 132.0 (CH, C-1), 143.3 (CH, C-2), 167.2 (Cq, COOMe), *some degradation was detected during measuring the ¹³C-NMR spectrum; HREIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₈H₁₀O₅ (M)⁺ 186.0528, found 186.0525; Literature data of (+)-7 [**34**]: $[\alpha]_D$ +74 (*c* = 0.13, MeOH); ¹H-NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz, ppm) δ 3.64 (1H, m, H-5), 3.81 (3H, s, COOMe), 3.96 (1H, m, H-6), 3.98 (1H, m, H-4), 4.21 (1H, m, H-3), 4.58 (1H, s, OH), 7.10 (1H, dd, *J* = 6.4, 2. 3 Hz, H-2); ¹³C-NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz, ppm) δ 50.1 (CH, C-6), 52.8 (CH₃, COOMe), 58.6 (CH, C-5), 66.4 (CH, C-3), 68.5 (CH, C-4), 131.2 (CH, C-1), 143.2 (CH-2), 167.2 (Cq, C-7); HRESIMS *m*/*z* calcd for C₈H₁₀O₅Na [M + Na]⁺ 209.0420, found 209.0416.

3.5. Biological Assay

Antitumor and glucosidase inhibitory assays were performed using the same procedures as those described in our previous paper [35].

4. Conclusions

The synthesis of both enantiomers of pericosine A analogs bearing F, Br, and I atoms was achieved for the first time and their antitumor activity against P388, L1210, and HL-60 cell lines was evaluated. Although all of the synthesized compounds were moderately active against the three types of tumor cell lines studied, significant differences between their enantiomers and differences between the halogens, except for fluorine, were not observed. The fluorinated derivatives showed weaker activities than the other analogs and pericosine A.

Form glycosidase inhibitory assay, five synthesized molecules of **1** except for (+)-**1**_F were elucidated to exhibit α -glucosidase inhibitory activity at mM level of IC_{50.} As well, (-)-**1**_{Br} and (+)-**1**_I showed inhibitory activities against β -galactosidase and α -galactosidase, respectively, at mM level of IC₅₀.

In addition, both enantiomers of pericoxide were synthesized using 6-bromopericosine A as a suitable synthetic precursor.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/md20070438/s1, Figure S1. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (-)-10_F in CDCl₃ (600 MHz), Figure S2. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-10_F in CDCl₃ (150 MHz); Figure S3. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (+)-10_F in CDCl₃ (400 MHz); Figure S4. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (+)-10_F in CDCl₃ (100 MHz); Figure S5. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (-)-**1**_F in acetone-d₆ (600 MHz); Figure S6. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-1_F in acetone-d₆ (150 MHz); Figure S7. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (+)-1_F in acetone-d₆ (600 MHz); Figure S10. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (–)-**10**_{Br} in CDCl₃ (600 MHz); Figure S11. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-**10**_{Br} in acetone-d₆ (150 MHz); Figure S12. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (+)-**10**_{Br} in acetoned₆ (600 MHz); Figure S13. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (+)-**10**_{Br} in acetone-d₆ (150 MHz); Figure S14. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (-)-1_{Br} in methanol-d₄ (600 MHz); Figure S15. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-1_{Br} in methanol-d₄ (150 MHz); Figure S16. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (+)- $\mathbf{1}_{Br}$ in methanol-d₄ (600 MHz); Figure S17. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (+)-1_{Br} in methanol-d₄ (150 MHz); Figure S18. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (-)-10_I in CDCl₃ (600 MHz); Figure S19. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-10_I in CDCl₃ (150 MHz); Figure S20. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (+)-10₁ in CDCl₃ (400 MHz); Figure S21. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (+)- 10_1 in CDCl₃ (100 MHz); Figure S22. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (-)- 1_1 in acetone- d_6 (600 MHz); Figure S23. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-1_I in acetone-d₆ (150 MHz); Figure S24. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (+)- $\mathbf{1}_{I}$ in acetone- \mathbf{d}_{6} (600 MHz); Figure S25. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (+)- $\mathbf{1}_{I}$ in acetone- \mathbf{d}_{6} (150 MHz); Figure S26. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (–)-11 in methanol-d₄ (600 MHz); Figure S27. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-11 in methanol-d₄ (150 MHz); Figure S28. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (-)-12 in methanol-d₄ (600 MHz); Figure S29. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-12 in methanol-d₄ (150 MHz); Figure S30. HMBC spectrum of (-)-12 in methanol-d₄ (600 MHz); Figure S31. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (-)-7 in methanol-d₄ (600 MHz); Figure S32. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (-)-7 in methanol-d₄ (150 MHz); Figure S33. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (+)-7 in methanol-d₄ (600 MHz); Figure S34. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of (+)-7 in methanol-d₄ (150 MHz Table S1. Deprotection of (-)-10_{Br} with Dowex[®] 50WX8-H.

Author Contributions: Y.U. conceived and designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. Y.M. performed the synthesis of the pericosine analogs and glycosidase inhibitory assays. T.Y. performed the antitumor assay. M.I., M.M. and K.M. synthesized pericoxide. H.Y. and S.H. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: All experimental works described in this paper were performed at Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences (OUPS) until March 2021. The OUPS was renamed Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University (OMPU) in April 2021. The authors thank K. Minoura M. Fujitake and M. Shibano of our University for measurement of the NMR, mass spectra, and useful advice on the

antiglucosidase assay, respectively. Y. Nakai, S. Fukuda, R. Yamashita, S. Nakagawa, A. Hirokawa, and R. Wakahara of our laboratory are appreciated for their extensive experimental support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gerry, C.J.; Schreiber, S.L. Chemical probes and drug leads from advances in synthetic planning and methodology. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2018**, *17*, 333–352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Campos, K.R.; Coleman, P.J.; Alvarez, J.C.; Dreher, S.D.; Garbaccio, R.M.; Terrett, N.K.; Tillyer, R.D.; Truppo, M.D.; Parmee, E.R. The importance of synthetic chemistry in the pharmaceutical industry. *Science* 2019, *363*, eaat0805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Lee, K.H. Discovery and development of natural product-derived chemotherapeutic agents based on a medicinal chemistry approach. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2010**, *73*, 500–516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Szychowski, J.; Truchon, J.F.; Bennani, Y.L. Natural products in medicine: Transformational outcome of synthetic chemistry. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *57*, 9292–9308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ermert, P. Design, properties and recent application of macrocycles in medicinal chemistry. *Chimia* 2017, 71, 678–702. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Bauer, A.; Broenstrup, M. Industrial natural product chemistry for drug discovery and development. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2014, *31*, 35–60. [CrossRef]
- 7. Koehn, F.E. Biosynthetic medicinal chemistry of natural product drugs. Med. Chem. Comm. 2012, 3, 854–865. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ghosh, A.K.; Brindisi, M.; Shahabi, D.; Chapman, M.E.; Mesecar, A.D. Drug development and medicinal chemistry efforts toward SARS-Coronavirus and COVID-19 therapeutics. *Chem. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *15*, 907–993. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lu, W.Y.; Li, H.J.; Li, Q.Y.; Wu, Y.C. Application of marine natural products in drug research. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2021, 35, 11605. [CrossRef]
- 10. Villa, F.A.; Gerwick, L. Marine natural product drug discovery: Leads for treatment of inflammation, cancer, infections, and neurological disorders. *Immunopharmacol. Immunotoxicol.* **2010**, *32*, 228–237. [CrossRef]
- Kobayashi, J. Search for new bioactive marine natural products and application to drug development. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 2016, 64, 1079–1083. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khalifa, S.A.M.; Elias, N.; Farag, M.A.; Chen, L.; Saeed, A.; Hegazy, M.E.F.; Moustafa, M.S.; Abd El-Wahed, A.; Al-Mousawi, S.M.; Musharraf, S.G.; et al. Marine natural products: A source of novel anticancer drugs. *Mar. Drugs* 2019, 17, 491. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Numata, A.; Iritani, M.; Yamada, T.; Minoura, K.; Matsumura, E.; Yamori, T.; Tsuruo, T. Novel antitumour metabolites produced by a fungal strain from a sea hare. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 8215–8218. [CrossRef]
- Yamada, T.; Iritani, M.; Ohishi, H.; Tanaka, K.; Doi, M.; Minoura, K.; Numata, A. Pericosines, antitumour metabolites from the sea hare-derived fungus *Periconia byssoides*. Structures and biological activities. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2007, *5*, 3979–3986. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Usami, Y. Synthesis of marine-derived carbasugar pericosines. In *Studies in Natural Product Chemistry*; Atta-ur-Rahman, A.Z., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, UK, 2014; Volume 41, pp. 287–319.
- 16. Arjona, O.; Gomez, A.M.; Lopez, J.C.; Plumet, J. Synthesis, and conformational and biological aspects of carbasugars. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, 107, 1919–2036. [CrossRef]
- 17. Lahiri, R.; Ansari, A.A.; Vankar, Y.D. Carbasugars and related molecules as glycosidase inhibitors. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 5102–5118. [CrossRef]
- Ogawa, S.; Kanto, M.; Suzuki, Y. Development and medical application of unsaturated carbaglycosylamine glycosidase inhibitors. *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.* 2007, 7, 679–691. [CrossRef]
- 19. Donohoe, T.J.; Blades, K.; Helliwell, M.; Warning, M.J.; Newcombe, N.J. The synthesis of (+)-pericosine B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 8755–8758. [CrossRef]
- Usami, Y.; Takaoka, I.; Ichikawa, H.; Horibe, Y.; Tomiyama, S.; Ohtsuka, M.; Imanishi, Y.; Arimoto, M. First total synthesis of antitumor natural product (+)- and (-)-pericosine A: Determination of absolute stereostructure. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6127–6134. [CrossRef]
- 21. Usami, Y.; Ohsugi, M.; Mizuki, K.; Ichikawa, H.; Arimoto, M. Facile and efficient synthesis of naturally occurring carbasugars (+)-pericosines A and C. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2699–2701. [CrossRef]
- 22. Usami, Y.; Suzuki, K.; Mizuki, K.; Ichikawa, H.; Arimoto, M. Synthesis of (–)-pericosine B, antipode of cytotoxic marine natural product. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2009**, *7*, 315–318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Boyd, D.R.; Sharma, N.D.; Acaru, C.A.; Malone, J.F.; O'Dowd, C.R.; Allen, C.C.R.; Stevenson, P.J. Chemoenzymatic synthesis of carbasugars (+)-pericosines A–C from diverse aromatic *cis*-dihydrodiol precursors. *Org. Lett.* 2010, 12, 2206–2209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Tripathi, S.; Shaikh, A.C.; Chen, C. Facile carbohydrate-based stereocontrolled divergent synthesis of (+)-pericosines A and B. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, *9*, 7306–7308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Reddy, Y.S.; Kadigachalam, P.; Basak, R.K.; Pal, A.P.J.; Vankar, Y.D. Total synthesis of (+)-pericosine B and (+)-pericosine C and their enantiomers by using the Baylis–Hillman reaction and ring-closing metathesis as key steps. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, 53, 132–136. [CrossRef]
- 26. MuniRaju, C.; Rao, J.P.; Rao, B.V. Stereoselective synthesis of (+)-pericosine B and (+)-pericosine C using ring closing metathesis approach. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* **2012**, *23*, 86–93. [CrossRef]
- 27. Li, L.S.; Hou, D.R. Diastereoselective vinylalumination for the synthesis of pericosine A, B and C. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 91–97. [CrossRef]
- Babu, D.C.; Rao, C.B.; Venkastesham, K.; Selvam, J.J.P.; Venkasteswarlu, Y. Toward synthesis of carbasugars (+)-gabosine C, (+)-COTC, (+)-pericosine B, and (+)-pericosine C. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2014, 388, 130–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mizuki, K.; Iwahashi, K.; Murata, N.; Ikeda, M.; Nakai, N.; Yoneyama, H.; Harusawa, S.; Usami, Y. Synthesis of marine natural product (–)-pericosine E. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3760–3763. [CrossRef]
- 30. Bidus, N.; Banachowicz, P.; Buda, S. Application of a tandem seleno-michael/aldol reaction in the total syntheses of (+)-pericosine B, (+)-pericosine C, (+)-COTC and 7-chloro-analogue of (+)-Gabosine C. *Tetrahedron* **2020**, *76*, 131397. [CrossRef]
- Usami, Y.; Mizuki, K.; Kawahata, R.; Shibano, M.; Sekine, A.; Yoneyama, H.; Harusawa, S. Synthesis of natural *O*-linked carba-disaccharides, (+)- and (–)-pericosine E, and their analogues as α-glucosidase inhibitors. *Mar. Drugs* 2017, *15*, 22. [CrossRef]
- Usami, Y.; Higuchi, M.; Mizuki, K.; Yamamoto, M.; Kanki, M.; Nakasone, C.; Sugimoto, Y.; Shibano, M.; Uesawa, Y.; Nagai, J.; et al. Syntheses and glycosidase inhibitory activities, and in silico docking studies of pericosine E analogs methoxy-substituted at C6. *Mar. Drugs* 2020, *18*, 221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Du, L.; Robles, A.J.; King, J.B.; Powell, D.R.; Miller, A.N.; Mooberry, S.L.; Cichewicz, R.H. Crowd sourcing natural products discovery to access uncharted dimensions of fungal metabolite diversity. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 804–809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Du, L.; You, J.; Nicholas, K.M.; Cichewicz, R.H. Chemoreactive natural products that afford resistance against disparate antibiotics and toxins. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 4220–4225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Usami, Y.; Nakamura, K.; Mizobuchi, Y.; Yoneyama, H.; Harusawa, S.; Yamada, T. Enantiomeric composition of natural pericosine A derived from *Periconia byssoides* and α-glycosidase inhibitory activity of (–)-enantiomer. *Chirality* **2022**, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 36. Brown, H.C.; Roy, C.D. Dibromoborane-dimethyl sulfide and monobromoborane-dimethyl-sulfide as superior reagents for the opening of oxiranes to bromohydrins. *Molecules* **1998**, *2*, 114–120. [CrossRef]
- Roy, C.D.; Brown, H.C. Monobromoborane-dimethyl sulfide-a highly promising reagent for the regio- and chemoselective brominative cleavage of terminal epoxides into vicinal bromohydrins. *Aust. J. Chem.* 2007, 60, 139–145. [CrossRef]
- Bhatt, M.V.; Babu, J.M. New reagents 3: Alumi.ium iodide—A highly regioselective ether-cleaving reagent with novel cleavage pattern. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 3497–3500.
- Du, L.; Munteanu, C.; King, J.B.; Frantz, D.E.; Cichewicz, R.H. An electrophilic natural product provides a safe and robust odor neutralization approach to counteract malodorous organosulfur metabolites encountered in skunk spray. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2019, 82, 1989–1999. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, K.S.A.; Rathee, J.S.; Subramanian, M.; Chattopadhyay, S. Divergent synthesis of 4-*epi*-fagomine, 3,4-dihydroxypipecolic acid, and a dihydroxyindolizidine and their β-galactosidase inhibitory and immunomodulatory activities. *J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 78, 7406–7413. [CrossRef]
- 41. Dada, L.; Manzano, V.E.; Varela, O. Design and synthesis of 2-acetamido-2,3-dideoxythiodisaccharides via diastereoselective conjugate addition to sugar enone O-acetyl oximes. galactosidase inhibition studies. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 6225–6228. [CrossRef]
- Front, S.; Gallienne, E.; Charollais-Thoenig, J.; Demotz, S.; Martin, O.R. N-Alkyl-, 1-C-silyl-, and 5-C-Alkyl-1,5-dideoxy-1,5-imino-(L)-ribitols as galactosidase inhibitors. *Chem. Med. Chem.* 2016, 11, 133–141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Govindaraj, R.G.; Manavalan, B.; Lee, G.; Choi, S. Molecular modeling-based evaluation of hTLR10 and identification of potential ligands in toll-like receptor signaling. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e12713. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Yamamoto, K.; Miyake, H.; Kusunoki, M.; Osaki, S. Crystal structures of isomaltase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae and in complex with its competitive inhibitor maltose. *FEBS J.* **2010**, 277, 4205–4214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Tang, H.; Zhao, D.; Xue, Z. Exploring the interaction between Salvia miltiorrhiza and α-glucosidase: Insights from computational analysis and experimental studies. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 24701–24710. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kalinowsky, L.; Weber, J.; Balasupramaniam, S.; Baumann, K.; Proschak, E. A diverse benchmark based on 3D matched molecular pairs for validating scoring functions. ACS Omega 2018, 3, 5704–5714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rivera-Chavez, J.; Gonzalez-Andrade, M.; Gonzalez Mdel, C.; Glenn, A.E.; Mata, R. Thielavins A, J and K: α-Glucosidase inhibitors from MEXU 27095, an endophytic fungus from Hintonia latiflora. *Phytochemistry* 2013, 94, 198–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Murugesu, S.; Ibrahim, Z.; Ahmed, Q.U.; Yusoff, N.I.N.; Uzir, B.F.; Perumal, V.; Abas, F.; Saari, K.; El-Seedi, H.; Khatib, A. Characterization of α-glucosidase inhibitors from *Clinacanthus nutans* lindau leaves by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry-based metabolomics and molecular docking simulation. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2402. [CrossRef]

- Murugesu, S.; Ibrahim, Z.; Ahmed, Q.U.; Uzir, B.F.; Yusoff, N.I.N.; Perumal, V.; Abas, F.; Shaari, K.; Khatib, A. Identification of α-glucosidase inhibitors from Clinacanthus nutans leaf extract using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry-based metabolomics and protein-ligand interaction with molecular docking. *J. Pharm. Anal.* 2019, *9*, 91–99. [CrossRef]
- 50. Gopalan, G.; Prabha, B.; Joe, A.; Reshmitha, T.R.; Sherin, D.R.; Abraham, B.; Sabu, M.; Manojkumar, T.K.; Radhakrishnan, K.V.; Nisha, P. Screening of Musa balbisiana Colla. seeds for antidiabetic properties and isolation of apiforol, a potential lead, with antidiabetic activity. *J. Sci. Food Agric*. **2019**, *99*, 2521–2529. [CrossRef]