VIEWPOINT

Assessing a child or adolescent with low back pain is different to assessing an adult with low back pain

Joshua W Pate ^[D], ¹ Rhiannon Joslin ^[D], ² Karen Hurtubise ^[D] and David B Anderson ^[D], ⁴

¹Graduate School of Health, University of Technology Sydney, ⁴Faculty of Medicine and Health, Sydney School of Health Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia, ²Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom and ³CanChild Centre for Childhood Disability Research, School of Rehabilitation Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

In contrast to an assessment of an adult presenting with low back pain (LBP), clinicians should utilise different approaches when assessing children and adolescents presenting with LBP. Children are not 'little adults'. There are some unique pathologies that only occur in this age group: (i) *serious pathologies* include infection, fracture, child abuse and malignancy; (ii) *growth-related pathologies* include scoliosis, Scheuermann's disease, pars fracture and spondylolysis; and (iii) *rheumatological conditions* include juvenile idiopathic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. With changes in each child occurring physically, emotionally and socially, a clinician's knowledge of typical developmental milestones is essential to identify regression or delayed development. When listening to a child discuss their pain experience, a flexible structure should be implemented that gives the capacity to actively listen to a child's narrative (and that of their guardian) and to conduct an effective physical examination. This viewpoint also summarises the relationship between potential clinical diagnoses and key elements of a physical examination. Deciding on the type and timing of paediatric-specific physical examination tests requires unique child-centred considerations. Paediatric-specific outcome measures should be used but implemented pragmatically, with consideration regarding the time, complexity and pathology suspected. Systematic and rigorous approaches to both treatment planning and re-assessment are then proposed for the assessment of children and adolescents presenting with LBP.

Introduction

In terms of spinal pathologies, children should not be assessed as 'little adults'. Beyond pathologies that do relate to both children and adults, such as disc pathology, herniated discs and lumbar radiculopathy, there are a number of unique pathologies that only arise in childhood. Detecting the unique spinal pathologies that exist in children requires clinicians to be aware of how these conditions present and to adapt their clinical assessment to the child's situation.

Serious pathologies require careful history taking and examination to identify any red flags. These can include infection, fracture, child abuse and malignancy. *Growth-related pathologies* relate to the physical changes that occur within a child's maturing musculoskeletal system through growth and puberty, making childhood a susceptible period for injury. Examples include scoliosis, Scheuermann's disease and spondylolysis \pm spondylolisthesis.¹ *Rheumatological conditions* are another category of pathologies that must be considered in the assessment and treatment of a child, such as juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) and ankylosing spondylitis.

Correspondence: Dr Joshua W Pate, Graduate School of Health, University of Technology Sydney, PO Box 123, Broadway, NSW 2007, Australia. Fax: +61 2 9514 2839; email: joshua.pate@uts.edu.au

Accepted for publication 16 February 2022.

Only 5–15% of low back pain (LBP) in adults has a known cause of pathology (serious or specific), whereas the rate of known causes of pathology in children and adolescents varies dramatically in recent literature; from an upper range of 52–73% of all LBP² to a lower range of 1–5%.^{3,4} Adult literature has established the existence of spinal pathology on magnetic resonance imaging in asymptomatic populations that increases with age.⁵ While fewer studies have been completed in paediatric populations, they have suggested that spinal pathology can exist without symptoms.⁶ Further, disc pathology appears to fit a pattern that increases with age, with a prevalence of 22% in those under 18 years.⁷ Contrary to disc pathology, pars fracture/ spondylosis were more likely to be associated with symptoms with lower prevalence in asymptomatic populations.⁷

Although children and adolescents may have higher rates of specific pathology, they potentially⁸ report LBP at a lower prevalence to adults, with a prevalence rate of 20–47%,^{1,9} compared with 80% in adults. Similarly, the prevalence of consultations for back pain increases with age throughout childhood and into adulthood.¹⁰ With changes in each child occurring physically, emotionally and socially, a clinician's knowledge of typical developmental milestones is essential to identify regression, delayed development or functional changes. For example, one study showed that malignant spinal cord compression peaked in those under 3-years, motor deficit occurred in all cases and would have resulted in regression of motor milestones; however, only 59% of cases reported pain.¹¹ Paediatric pain experiences are uniquely impacted by the dynamic interplay between biological (e.g. puberty, gross

Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health 58 (2022) 566–571

© 2022 The Authors. Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Paediatrics and Child Health Division (The Royal Australasian College of Physicians).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

motor skill milestones and growth), psychological (e.g. cognitive and affective milestones) and social (e.g. friends, sense of identity, school and family) factors, throughout different childhood ages. Therefore, LBP has a wide range of clinical presentations in children and adolescents. For example, serious underlying pathologies are more common pre-puberty, and non-specific LBP (with no demonstrable pathological cause) is more likely in adolescence.¹² A thorough understanding of the incidence, prevalence and prognosis of pain-related conditions throughout childhood can helpfully inform clinical reasoning.

A comprehensive and systematic assessment of children and adolescents with LBP is important in progressively refining a diagnostic hypothesis, as well as informing prognosis and treatment strategies. It involves listening to a child's narrative through a child-centred lens¹³ and conducting a structured physical examination.¹⁴ This viewpoint serves to summarise the key features of a clinical assessment of children and adolescents (aged 0–19) presenting with LBP.

Subjective examination

Children and adolescents are commonly brought to appointments by their guardian, and while their perspective is helpful and even essential for younger ages, it is vital to establish the child's voice. This might be the first time a young person has encountered a health-care professional, and unlike adults, children are unlikely to have an expectation of what is required of them or how best to communicate their needs and wishes. Conducting an assessment on a child with LBP therefore requires a unique set of approaches that are relevant to differences in how pain manifests in children and adolescents. Questioning about a child's experience of pain during the assessment should cover a broad range of topics, such as those outlined in Table 1. Commencing the interview with a broad open-ended question like 'Tell me about why you have come to see me today?' allows the child to tell 'their' story. Attentive active listening is a skill that improves validation of a child and their experience. Attempts at triangulation of key ideas, via strategies such as drawing tasks (e.g. 'Draw whatever you think of when you hear the word "pain", or labelling a body chart diagram¹⁵) or non-verbally showing areas of pain with their hands may also facilitate an empathetic interaction which can improve therapeutic alliance and treatment compliance.

Other strategies include creative questions, such as 'If you were to assign a colour to your pain, what would it be?', or 'If you had to picture your pain as an animal what would it be? Why?' Further, to target a self-management approach it has been suggested to ask 'What do you do to help your pain?' followed by 'What can we do to help your pain?'.¹⁶ Health-care professionals also have a duty of care to keep children safe and need to be aware of common signs of non-accidental injury, such as incongruence of history, and escalate any safeguarding concerns appropriately.

Other considerations during an assessment, which are consistent with child-centred approaches relate to fostering an environment where a child is comfortable providing information. Clinicians may consider encouraging one or more guardian(s) be present during the consult, to increase a child's comfort levels in sharing information about their experience, and to ask questions and provide input, because guardians also offer a unique perspective of their child. However, this approach can result in interruptions or increase pressure on children and adolescents. Multidisciplinary pain clinic assessments often balance these two issues by including a moment where a child leaves the consultation room as part of a functional assessment (e.g. walking in the hallway), thereby providing an opportunity for the clinician to allow a child to discuss information they may not feel comfortable providing in their guardian's presence. During this time, the guardian in the consultation room can then provide further subjective information and clarifications. At the conclusion of the history section of the initial assessment, a warning should be provided to children and adolescents that symptoms may be exacerbated by the physical examination.

Physical examination

A thorough physical examination provides important support for or against a suspected pathology. Previous research has described a range of different elements of a physical examination in children to identify red flags, assist with diagnosis and help to plan treatments.¹⁷ Common elements of a systematic physical examination are: (i) observation (static and dynamic); (ii) active range of motion; (iii) passive range of motion; (iv) isometric muscle testing; (v) manual muscle testing; (vi) passive accessory movement testing also called 'Joint Play'; (vii) neurological screening; (viii) special tests; (ix) functional tests; and (x) palpation. Observational and performance-based outcomes have also been promoted in recognition of discrepancies between self-report and performance in paediatric pain populations.¹⁸ Table 2 summarises the relationship between potential clinical diagnoses and key elements of a physical examination.

It is important to understand and physically assess for typical findings of specific conditions. For example, in JIA, cervical involvement is a serious and persistent manifestation of JIA with severe sequelae, yet children rarely complain of pain. The paediatric Gait Arms Legs and Spine is a brief and evidence-based assessment to detect abnormal joints in school-aged children and young people.¹⁹

Imaging and clinical tests for LBP

In general, children and adolescents (like adults) are not recommended to be sent for imaging or laboratory studies in the absence of suspected serious pathology.²⁰ Decreasing the use of imaging in children and adolescents is difficult, however, given the higher percentage of specific and serious pathology among those presenting with LBP.¹² Therefore, radiation-saving measures such as magnetic resonance imaging and lower dose radiation X-ray imaging (such as EOS) are prudently used in such scenarios. Laboratory studies (i.e. blood tests) and specialist referrals may be indicated in the presence of signs of possible inflammatory pathologies, such as morning stiffness, rigid kyphosis and tenderness over the sacroiliac joint, or malignancy.

Outcome measures

Different outcome measures are also required when assessing LBP in children and adolescents. Child-specific outcome measures have been developed to assess constructs such as 'pain intensity' via a face-based scale, 'pain behaviours' and 'functional disability' relevant to particular age ranges, 'concept of pain' and 'fear of pain' via 5-point Likert scales, and 'pain duration' via

Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health 58 (2022) 566-571

© 2022 The Authors. Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Paediatrics and Child Health Division (The Royal Australasian College of Physicians).

Element	Key purposes	Rationale
Onset of symptoms		
'When/How did it happen?'	Identify sudden versus gradual onset	Differentiation between traumatic and non-
'Did anything else change in your life during	Identify involvement of trauma, the	traumatic pathology
that time?'	mechanism of the trauma and management	Traumatic injuries could include fracture,
	to date	herniated disk, slipped apophysis,
		spondylolysis \pm spondylolisthesis or muscle
		strain
		Gradual onset may be more indicative of
		scoliosis or Scheuermann's disease
	Identify a recent increase in physical load, for	Recent growth period can be associated with
	example puberty/growth spurt, addition of	musculoskeletal changes but also growth-
	new sport, return to sport from break,	related conditions such as scoliosis or
	increase in training	Scheuermanns
		AS and spondylosis can be associated with
		an increased training load
	Identify a recent psychological or social load	Planning future management
	placed on young person, for example recent	
	parental divorce, transition to new school or	
	friendship/relationship difficulties	
	Identify recent infection or illness	Consider reactive arthritis, infection,
		osteomyelitis
	Identify any incongruence with history (red	Follow safeguarding procedures as required
	flag)	
Pain characteristics		
 Location of pain, description and 	Identify anatomical structures associated	Spondylosis normally specific lower back pair
aggravating and easing factors	with pain and symptoms	associated with sport and/or activity
		Burning or shooting radiating pain into
		buttock or leg can suggest radicular pain
		from herniated disk, slipped apophysis,
		spondylolysis \pm spondylolisthesis
24-h pattern	Identify pathophysiology	JIA and AS associated with early morning
 associated joint swelling 		stiffness, may have joint swelling and with
Other pain locations		juvenile AS, enthesitis is more common and
Associated sore eyes		presents similarly to Severs disease or
(also see below red flags)		Osgood Schlatters. Uveitis can present with
-		AIL
Red flag questions		
Pain characteristics	Identify serious pathology	Requires ongoing medical investigation
Constant unremitting pain	Malignancy, tumours	
Night pain, pain affecting sleep (waking	Systemic illness	
and/or interrupting)	infection	
• Associated with headaches \pm nausea/	Some forms of JIA and multisystem	
vomiting	inflammatory diseases such as vasculitis	
Change in child's behaviour – irritable, quiet,	Neurological disease/event	
poor sleep or increased sleep/fatigue	-	
Regression in motor milestones (loss of a skill		
such as handwriting or speech and		
language)		
Systemic symptoms		
• Fever		
 weight loss, reduced appetite, sweating, 		
other system involvement (respiratory,		
incontinence, etc)		
Treatment to date		
Investigations		

Table 1 Common elements of listening to a child's partative about their experience of pair and clinical reasoning

(Continues)

568

Table 1 (Continued)

Element	Key purposes	Rationale
DiagnosesExpectation and beliefs of young person	Confirm and validate the young person's treatment journey so far	Planning possible future investigations and treatments
and family relating to the diagnosis, management and concept of pain	Identify further medical intervention if required Identify level of understanding of child and guardian regarding their current health and ongoing management	Enabling targeted pain science education
Impact of pain/symptoms		
Ability to perform meaningful activities like hobbies and sports	Identify the biopsychosocial impact of the pain to the person	Identifying possible shorter-term therapy goals
School attendance and school functioningFriendships and spending time with friends	Understand what factors are important to the young person	Considering referral to clinical psychologist Considering involvement of school personne
• Effect on mood and general wellbeing. Changes in 'self'	Identify contributing factors to the pain experience including victimisation, anxiety, low mood or stress (e.g. issues with teachers and/or friends)	
Family history		
Of musculoskeletal conditions/chronic pain	Understand social influences and congenital anomalies	Planning future management
 Of rheumatoid arthritis/AS Past medical history 	Identify pathophysiology	Raises suspicion of JIA and AS
 Birth history including prematurity and time spent in high dependency/ special care 	Identify possible birth trauma or pathology that could be linked, for example neurological involvement or spina bifida	Potential for ongoing medical investigation
 Developmental history e.g. did the young person meet normal developmental milestones physically, socially and cognitively 	Identify delayed development and/or special educational need	Adapt physical examination to needs of child
Previous level of functioning		
 The number of sports, at what level and how often a child participates per week School attendance 	Identify increased risk of sport-related spinal pathology	Spondylosis more prevalent in young athlete who play multiple sports but especially sports involving spinal extension
Hobbies and other meaningful activities		Identifying possible longer-term therapy
(e.g. part-time job in adolescents)		goals such as school, sport, hobbies, work

pain diaries. However, tools are neither available for all paediatric age groups nor for other pain-related variables, such as localisation, pain quality, impact, context or meaning. Standardising the use of questionnaires with every child presenting with LBP is ideal, and numerous efforts around the world are aiming to do so. For example, in Australia and New Zealand, the Paediatric electronic Persistent Pain Outcomes Collaboration was established to benchmark pain clinics using a battery of commonly used questionnaires,²¹ and in the US, the Patient Reported Outcome Measurement Information System is used to assess a range of biopsychosocial constructs.²² An example of a large single-centre database is the Pediatric-Collaborative Health Outcomes Information Registry.²³ For researchers, core domains and measures for clinical trials to treat pain in children and adolescents have been defined in the PedIMMPACT recommendations.²⁴

For clinicians, it is important to critically evaluate the appropriateness of outcome measures for children and adolescents with LBP. The purpose for which a tool has been evaluated (e.g. discriminate between subgroups, predict outcomes and/or evaluate effectiveness) should be considered. In addition, the aspects of validity and/or reliability may have only been tested in a particular age range which may not generalise to a child being assessed in their clinic. Therefore, clinicians should weigh the clinical value and time burdens of consistently collecting questionnaire data, compared to occasionally collecting questionnaire data.

\Some clinics routinely commence assessments while a child is on a waiting list, by remotely administering patient-reported outcome measures in advance of initial appointments. This strategy may improve the efficiency of an initial appointment. Also, clarifying questions can be asked regarding the data collected in the outcome measures to provide insights not otherwise easily collected. For example, if a child completes a pain diary prior to attending their initial appointment, they may report different symptoms to those discussed during the appointment. By clarifying these discrepancies, a better understanding of the pattern of symptoms is gained, increasing a clinician's confidence of ruling in or out a serious pathology.

Potential clinical diagnosis	Key elements of physical examination	
Neurological involvement, for example radicular pain, potential spine or brain tumour	 Neurological Examination – motor, sensory and reflex testing including abdominal reflexes Upper motor neurone testing – Babinski and conus Observation of play/functional tasks like walking and getting off the floor to identify motor and sensory dysfunction Observation of behaviour to indicate pain or discomfort. 	
Rheumatological disease, for example JIA and AS	 Neurosensitivity tests, for example straight leg raise Complete pGALS of all joints Palpation to identify specific tenderness, heat and/or swelling of joints or enthesitis Observation – check for rashes and changes to nails 	
Systemically unwell, for example malignancy, infection	 Complete pGALS as a screen for rheumatological disease Observation of play/functional tasks like walking and getting off the floor to identify motor and sensory dysfunction or difficulty 	
Scoliosis or Scheuermann's	 Observation of behaviour to indicate pain or discomfort Observation in standing to identify curve/kyphosis Scoliosis more prominent in forward flexion, from behind the person asymmetry can be observed more clearly Laying prone the kyphosis associated with Scheuermann's can be more apparent In prone the spine can be palpated to identify a curve associated with a 	
Muscular strain	 scoliosis ± associated pain Expect pain with active movements but not/or less with passive movements. Pain may be associated with a particular movement (specific muscle or group) that is made worse if resistance to this movement is increased 	
Spondylosis	 Pain on palpation over specific area of lumbar vertebrae ± muscle spasm guarding Pain with active lumbar extension and/or rotation Observation of increased lumbar lordosis 	

Table 2 The relationship between potential clinical diagnoses and key elements of a physical examination

AS, ankylosing spondylitis; JIA, juvenile idiopathic arthritis; pGALS, paediatric Gait Arms Legs and Spine.

 Table 3
 Developing a problem list out of assessment findings, mapping it to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health, and considering specific physical treatment strategies

ICF category	Example problem list	Outcome measures	Categories of physical treatment strategies		
Impairments	Pain, decreased AROM	Visual Analogue Scale, goniometry Medication use	Education, exercises, medication		
Activity limitations	Limited walking, sitting and stairs endurance	Standardised time-based measures, goal attainment scale	Part-task practice, education, exercises		
Participation restrictions	Unable to attend full day of school Taking part in meaningful activities	Short-Form 36-item questionnaire School attendance	Education re: Flare-up planning, long-term benefits of school/sport participation Liaison with school personnel or sport coach		
Environmental factors	Bedroom upstairs and pain climbing stairs	Standardised time-based measures, goal attainment scale	Part-task practice, education, exercises		
Personal factors	Tendency to enter 'boom-bust cycle'	Activity trackers, for example pedometer	Education re: Pacing. Exercises		
AROM, active range of motion; ICF, International Classification of Functioning; re, regarding.					

Treatment planning

The World Health Organization's International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health provides useful categories for the problem list resulting from the assessment of children and adolescents with LBP. Table 3 outlines a brief example of a framework that could be used in creating a prioritised problem list to address with treatments. Iteratively creating this problem list may highlight that further assessments are required. For example, a standardised assessment of motor skills development or activities that are meaningful to the young person (e.g. COPM, GAS) may inform patient goals. Following guideline-based physical, psychological and/or pharmacological treatments which have recently been meta-analysed by the World Health Organization,²⁵ a reassessment could be conducted to then plan future treatments.

Short- and long-term S.M.A.R.T. (specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and timely) goals can be collaboratively set based on the problem list, using motivational interviewing and shared decision-making strategies. An example SMART goal with social, emotional and physical impact and considerations may be: '*In 4 weeks I aim to move between classes with everyone else at school so I am not leaving class early on my own, and climb the stairs rather than using the lift*'.

Re-assessment

Periodically throughout treatments, and prior to discharge from a health service, a systematic re-assessment is essential to understand changes that have occurred and to consider whether any problems are beyond a clinician's scope of practice and require referral. By re-assessing variables deemed clinically important from the initial assessment, the intention is that progress can be quantified. This provides valuable clinical feedback and aids in re-prioritising the problem list and revising collaborative goals. Rigorous approaches to data collection and analysis to reduce bias in research, such as blinding assessments and re-assessments, could also be attempted in clinical settings in the future.

Conclusion

Systematically assessing children and adolescents seeking care for LBP is important and different to assessing an adult. Clinicians should consider the unique strategies required when assessing LBP in children and adolescents, such as identifying paediatric spinal pathologies, maximising a child's comfort while listening to their narrative and that of their guardians, the type and timing of child-specific physical examination tests, and use of child-specific outcome measures.

Acknowledgements

Open access publishing facilitated by University of Technology Sydney, as part of the Wiley - University of Technology Sydney agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians. [Correction added on May 19, 2022, after first online publication: CAUL funding statement has been added.]

References

- 1 Bernstein RM, Cozen H. Evaluation of back pain in children and adolescents. Am. Fam. Physician 2007; **76**: 1669–76.
- 2 Roberts SB, Calligeros K, Tsirikos AI. Evaluation and management of paediatric and adolescent back pain: Epidemiology, presentation, investigation, and clinical management: A narrative review. J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil. 2019; **32**: 955–88.
- 3 O'Sullivan K, O'Keeffe M, Forster BB, Qamar SR, van der Westhuizen A, O'Sullivan PB. Managing low back pain in active adolescents. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol.* 2019; **33**: 102–21.
- 4 Yang S, Werner BC, Singla A, Abel MF. Low back pain in adolescents: A 1-year analysis of eventual diagnoses. J. Pediatr. Orthop. 2017; 37: 344–7.

- 5 Brinjikji W, Luetmer PH, Comstock B et al. Systematic literature review of imaging features of spinal degeneration in asymptomatic populations. Am. J. Neuroradiol. 2015; 36: 811–6.
- 6 Ramadorai U, Hire J, DeVine JG, Brodt ED, Dettori JR. Incidental findings on magnetic resonance imaging of the spine in the asymptomatic pediatric population: A systematic review. *Evid. Based Spine Care J.* 2014; **5**: 95–100.
- 7 van den Heuvel MM, Oei EH, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, van Middelkoop M. The prevalence of abnormalities in the pediatric spine on MRI: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Spine* 2020; **45**: E1185–96.
- 8 Haidar R, Saad S, Khoury NJ, Musharrafieh U. Practical approach to the child presenting with back pain. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 2011; **170**: 149–56.
- 9 Swain MS, Henschke N, Kamper SJ, Gobina I, Ottová-Jordan V, Maher CG. An international survey of pain in adolescents. *BMC Public Health* 2014; 14: 447.
- 10 Tan A, Strauss VY, Protheroe J, Dunn KM. Epidemiology of paediatric presentations with musculoskeletal problems in primary care. BMC Musculoskelet. Disord. 2018; 19: 40.
- De Martino L, Spennato P, Vetrella S *et al*. Symptomatic malignant spinal cord compression in children: A single-center experience. *Ital. J. Pediatr.* 2019; **45**: 80.
- 12 Davis PJC, Williams HJ. The investigation and management of back pain in children. Arch. Dis. Child Educ. Pract. 2008; **93**: 73–83.
- 13 Coyne I, Hallström I, Söderbäck M. Reframing the focus from a familycentred to a child-centred care approach for children's healthcare. J. Child Health Care 2016; 20: 494–502.
- 14 MacDonald J, Stuart E, Rodenberg R. Musculoskeletal low back pain in school-aged children: A review. JAMA Pediatr. 2017; 171: 280–7.
- 15 Pate JW, Noblet T, Hush JM *et al.* Exploring the concept of pain of Australian children with and without pain: Qualitative study. *BMJ Open* 2019; **9**: e033199.
- 16 Jackson C, McLaughlin K, Teti B. Back pain in children: A holistic approach to diagnosis and management. J. Pediatr. Health Care 2011; 25: 284–93.
- 17 Houghton KM. Review for the generalist: Evaluation of low back pain in children and adolescents. *Pediatr. Rheumatol. Online J.* 2010; **8**: 28.
- 18 Mirek E, Logan D, Boullard K, Hall AM, Staffa SJ, Sethna N. Physical therapy outcome measures for assessment of lower extremity chronic painrelated function in pediatrics. *Pediatr. Phys. Ther.* 2019; 31: 200–7.
- 19 Foster HE, Jandial S. pGALS paediatric Gait Arms Legs and Spine: A simple examination of the musculoskeletal system. *Pediatr. Rheumatol. Online J.* 2013; **11**: 44.
- 20 Lin I, Wiles L, Waller R *et al*. What does best practice care for musculoskeletal pain look like? Eleven consistent recommendations from high-quality clinical practice guidelines: Systematic review. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2020; **54**: 79–86.
- 21 Lord SM, Tardif HP, Kepreotes EA, Blanchard M, Eagar K. The Paediatric electronic Persistent Pain Outcomes Collaboration (PaedePPOC): Establishment of a binational system for benchmarking children's persistent pain services. *Pain* 2019; **160**: 1572–85.
- 22 Forrest CB, Bevans KB, Tucker C *et al*. Commentary: The patientreported outcome measurement information system (PROMIS[®]) for children and youth: Application to pediatric psychology. *J. Pediatr. Psychol.* 2012; **37**: 614–21.
- 23 Bhandari RP, Feinstein AB, Huestis SE *et al.* Pediatric-Collaborative Health Outcomes Information Registry (Peds-CHOIR): A learning health system to guide pediatric pain research and treatment. *Pain* 2016; **157**: 2033–44.
- 24 McGrath PJ, Walco GA, Turk DC *et al.* Core outcome domains and measures for pediatric acute and chronic/recurrent pain clinical trials: PedIMMPACT recommendations. *J. Pain* 2008; **9**: 771–83.
- 25 World Health Organization Guidelines on the Management of Chronic Pain in Children: Web Annexes D, E, and F. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020.

Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health 58 (2022) 566–571