RESEARCH

Open Access



Psychiatric manifestations of rare variation in medically actionable genes: a PheWAS approach

Yen-Chen A. Feng^{1,2,3,4*}, Ian B. Stanaway⁵, John J. Connolly⁶, Joshua C. Denny^{7,8,9}, Yuan Luo¹⁰, Chunhua Weng¹¹, Wei-Qi Wei⁷, Scott T. Weiss^{12,13}, Elizabeth W. Karlson^{12,14} and Jordan W. Smoller^{1,2,15*}

Abstract

Background: As genomic sequencing moves closer to clinical implementation, there has been an increasing acceptance of returning incidental findings to research participants and patients for mutations in highly penetrant, medically actionable genes. A curated list of genes has been recommended by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) for return of incidental findings. However, the pleiotropic effects of these genes are not fully known. Such effects could complicate genetic counseling when returning incidental findings. In particular, there has been no systematic evaluation of psychiatric manifestations associated with rare variation in these genes.

Results: Here, we leveraged a targeted sequence panel and real-world electronic health records from the eMERGE network to assess the burden of rare variation in the ACMG-56 genes and two psychiatric-associated genes (*CAC-NA1C and TCF4*) across common mental health conditions in 15,181 individuals of European descent. As a positive control, we showed that this approach replicated the established association between rare mutations in *LDLR* and hypercholesterolemia with no visible inflation from population stratification. However, we did not identify any genes significantly enriched with rare deleterious variants that confer risk for common psychiatric disorders after correction for multiple testing. Suggestive associations were observed between depression and rare coding variation in *PTEN* ($P = 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$), *LDLR* ($P = 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$), and *CACNA1S* ($P = 5.8 \times 10^{-4}$). We also observed nominal associations between rare variants in *KCNQ1* and substance use disorders ($P = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$), and *APOB* and tobacco use disorder ($P = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$).

Conclusions: Our results do not support an association between psychiatric disorders and incidental findings in medically actionable gene mutations, but power was limited with the available sample sizes. Given the phenotypic and genetic complexity of psychiatric phenotypes, future work will require a much larger sequencing dataset to determine whether incidental findings in these genes have implications for risk of psychopathology.

Keywords: Genomic sequencing, Incidental findings, Rare variation, Psychiatric disorders

Background

*Correspondence: yef314@mail.harvard.edu; jsmoller@mgh.harvard.edu

 $^{\rm 1}$ Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



nied by progressive cost reductions are fueling efforts to incorporate genetic data into medical practice. Genomic medicine offers important opportunities to improve diagnosis and treatment of both complex and Mendelian diseases [1, 2]. At the same time, clinical applications of exome and genome sequencing can

Rapid advances in sequencing technologies accompa-

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

produce incidental or secondary findings that are not the primary target of genetic evaluation but have implications for genetic counseling and patient care. The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) has recommended return of results (RoR) for an expanding list of genes (56 genes in v1.0 and 59 genes in v2.0) in which pathogenic coding variants are highly penetrant and medically actionable [3, 4]. Current RoR guidelines, however, largely focus on a single established phenotype-genotype association, while genetic analyses have revealed that "pleiotropy"-in which a variant or gene affects multiple phenotypes-is ubiquitous across the human genome, including some of the genes recommended by ACMG for incidental findings (referred to as "ACMG genes" in the rest of this paper) [5, 6].

Psychiatric disorders are common, complex phenotypes affecting more than a billion people worldwide. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have found extensive pleiotropy of both common and rare variants across mental illnesses and other diseases (e.g., cardiovascular and immune-related disorders) [7-10]. Although psychiatric disorders are highly polygenic reflecting the influence of hundreds to thousands of common genetic loci [11-13], recent large-scale sequencing studies of these disorders based on tens of thousands of individuals have begun to identify individual genes harboring an excess of de novo or rare disruptive variants in cases compared to unaffected individuals [14-16]. To date, however, there has not been a systematic evaluation of the spectrum of psychiatric manifestations associated with rare variation in the ACMG genes or other genes where specific variants have been robustly shown to influence the risk of major psychiatric disorders. Studying these relationships is important to improve our understanding of the nature of pleiotropy among the ACMG genes, inform RoR guidelines for incidental findings regarding psychiatric disorders, and disentangle its heterogeneous genetic architecture.

Here, we leverage data from the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) consortium that includes real-world phenome-wide medical data and deep sequencing of the ACMG-56 genes (v1.0; Table S1) [17, 18], as well as two genes previously implicated in major psychiatric traits (*CACNA1C* and *TCF4*) [19, 20] to examine whether rare variants in these genes are associated with mental illnesses for 15,181 individuals of European descent. Through a phenome-wide association study (PheWAS) approach [21], we performed gene-based burden tests across 37 curated psychiatric disorders with adequate sample size and discuss findings and limitations for future research.

Results

Overview of the targeted sequence and phenotype data

To explore the nature of pleiotropy of rare variation in the ACMG-56 genes and two additional genes (CACNA1C and TCF4) on psychiatric manifestations, we used a deep, targeted sequence panel from the eMERGE network (eMERGEseq). While showing a clear multi-ancestry structure, the panel consisted of predominantly individuals of European (EUR) descent (Figure S1), to which we limited the subsequent rare-variant analysis for power considerations. After quality control procedures (Methods), the data comprised 15,181 EUR individuals with complete phenotype information from electronic health records (EHR) and ~13,000 variants at minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1% in the 58 genes. We then mapped the International Classification of Disease diagnostic codes (ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM) to PheWAS codes (Phe-Codes) [22, 23] and defined eligible cases for a specific PheCode as having at least two codes on two separate calendar dates (Table S2).

For our rare-variant PheWAS of mental disorders, we curated 37 psychiatric symptoms and disorders with a minimum in-sample prevalence of 0.5% (Ncase \geq 75) (Table S3). As prevalence of these conditions largely reflected disease frequency in the population, many psychiatric disorders were filtered out, including schizophrenia (prev=0.3%; 44 cases). Among the curated list, conditions with the highest prevalence included tobacco use disorder (prev=18.4%; 2783 cases) and depression (prev=17.1%; 2590 cases), and lowest for personality disorder (0.6-0.7%; 113 cases) and psychosis (0.6%; 90 cases). Other major psychiatric disorders included autism (3.7%; 572 cases), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (7.6%; 1164 cases), and bipolar disorder (2.6%; 397 cases). The majority of the 37 psychiatric conditions were significantly, positively correlated (Spearman's correlation, range: -0.09-0.57; median: 0.05; Table S4; Figure S2). In contrast, phenotypic correlations between the evaluated psychiatric conditions and other phenotypes were much weaker (Table S5).

Replication of a known association with no visible signs of population stratification

Singe variant association analysis of two selected complex traits (obesity [Ncase = 3,521; PheCode: 278.1] and essential hypertension [Ncase = 6,972; PheCode: 401.1]; MAF > 0.1%) suggested there was no signs of inflation in test statistics due to population stratification or other confounding factors ($\lambda_{GC} \sim 1$; Figure S3). As a positive control analysis, we conducted a PheWAS of 966 available PheCodes with at least 75 cases (Table S2) against rare variants in the LDL-receptor (*LDLR*) gene, in which more than 3,000 mutations, predominantly missense

Phenotype	PheCode	Gene	Consequence	Ncase	Ncontrol	Ncase carrier	Ncontrol carrier	OR	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value
Major depressive disorder	296.22	PTEN	Non-synonymous	2312	12,869	8	∞	9.15	(3.03, 27.6)	1.5×10^{-4}
Substance addiction and disorders	316	KCNQ1	Damaging missense	446	14,735	4	10	14.63	(4.31, 49.6)	2.4×10^{-4}
Mental retardation	315.3	PKP2	AII	123	15,058	5	168	8.32	(3.24, 21.4)	3.0×10^{-4}
Major depressive disorder	296.22	LDLR	Non-synonymous	2312	12,869	35	105	2.10	(1.42, 3.11)	3.6×10^{-4}
Other mental disorder	306	TNNI3	PTV + damaging mis	1759	13,422	c	0	65.85	(1.90, 2279)	4.2×10^{-4}
Depression	296.2	CACNA15	AII	2590	12,591	100	327	1.50	(1.20, 1.88)	5.8×10^{-4}
Tobacco use disorder	318	PKP2	PTV + damaging mis	2783	12,398	5	2	15.98	(2.41, 106)	6.7×10^{-4}
Substance addiction and disorders	316	KCNQ1	PTV + damaging mis	446	14,735	4	14	10.56	(3.36, 33.2)	7.1×10^{-4}
Tobacco use disorder	318	APOB	PTV	2783	12,398	9	2	12.99	(2.19, 76.9)	1.1×10^{-3}
Somatoform disorder	303.4	SDHC	PTV	130	15,051	-	-	160.70	(8.64, 2987)	1.1×10^{-3}
Alcoholism	317.1	DSC2	PTV	334	14,847	2	m	34.33	(4.68, 252)	1.3×10^{-3}

10
2
d.
Ť
2
5
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
. <u></u>
$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$
0
0
₽
ð
·=
<u> </u>
U
_ <u>S</u>
S)
0
÷
·~
<
_
S
Φ
Ċ
á.
$\underline{\Psi}$
0
10
Ū.
5
(J
2
~
ý
<
Ψ
_
1
_
<u> </u>
<u>a</u>
0
1
_
7
5
, ru
σ
Φ
5
σ
~
÷
0
10
2
5
0
÷
at
U
õ
2
č
Ť.
.0
Φ
5
- <del></del> -
t
ă,
1
S
0
ĭ, ≓,
.2
0)
-
e
-

the qualifying variant in the gene. No genes achieved study-wide statistical significance at FDR < 0.05 accounting for all tests. The full set of gene-disorder associations are depicted in Fig. 1. OR odds ratio, CI confidence interval

variants, have been described to cause familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) (ClinVar) [24–26]. Unsurprisingly, our results recapitulated this known association, showing a clear and significant enrichment of rare deleterious variation in people with hypercholesterolemia, specifically for damaging missense variants (*p*-value =  $1.1 \times 10^{-9}$ ). As a negative control, synonymous variation, a presumably neutral class of variants, showed no association signals with any of the tested traits (Figure S4).

## Burden of rare variation in ACMG-56 genes in psychiatric disorders

To assess whether individuals affected with psychiatric disorders carried an excess of rare variants in the ACMG-56 genes, we considered five categories of qualifying variants to calculate an aggregate burden (all rare variants with MAF < 1%, all non-synonymous variants, all rare PTVs, all rare damaging missense variants, and all rare PTVs *and* damaging missense variants) and carried out the analysis using Firth's logistic regression and Fisher's Exact test (Methods).

Among the tested gene-disorder relationships, we did not identify any study-wide significant gene associations (FDR < 0.05), with only 11 pairs reaching individual-PheWAS significance (Bonferroni-adjusted significance threshold of  $1.35 \times 10^{-3}$ ; Table 1; Table S6; Fig. 1; Figure S5). Results were generally comparable between Firth's logistic regression and Fisher's Exact test, with slightly stronger signals in the former (Table S6). Some of these suggestive associations included major depressive disorder and PTEN, a tumor suppressor gene (burden of non-synonymous variants: OR=9.15, Firth's p-value =  $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ ); substance addiction and disorders and KCNQ1, which encodes a potassium channel protein (burden of damaging missense variants: OR = 14.63, p-value =  $2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ ), and depression and CACNA1S, a calcium channel gene (burden of all rare variants: OR = 1.50, *p*-value =  $5.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Table 1). A few genes causing rare or Mendelian heart conditions were also implicated, such as LDLR (burden of nonsynonymous variants in major depressive disorder: OR = 2.10, p-value =  $3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and APOB (burden of PTVs in Tobacco use disorder: OR = 12.99, *p*-value =  $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ ), both linked to familial hypercholesterolemia (Table S1). The associated phenotypes among the suggestive associations are broader PheCode terms involving combinations of related disorders and symptoms.

Scanning across the associations, we noted an overall low count of qualifying variants, with several genes lacking qualifying PTVs and damaging missense variants among study participants (Fig. 1; Figure S5), and many PheCodes with no mutation carriers among either cases or controls (Table S6). While Firth's logistic regression applied bias correction to rare events to control for type I error, the possibility of inflation might not be ruled out due to these extremely low counts. These results indicate a general lack of power for gene-based burden tests to detect significant genes for mental disorders given the current sample size.

### No enrichment of rare variants in two genes previously associated with major mental disorders

For *CACNA1C and TCF4*, two genes that have been repeatedly linked to major psychiatric disorders from GWAS and a few rare variant association studies, we studied the role of deep-sequenced rare variation across a spectrum of mental illnesses. In brief, *CACNA1C* is a voltage-gated calcium channel gene that was found to associate with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, Timothy syndrome, and autism, while *TCF4*, a transcription factor gene, has been implicated in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and Pitts Hopkins syndrome [12, 13, 19, 20, 27–33] (Methods).

When evaluating the burden of rare variation in *CAC*-*NA1C* and *TCF4*, we did not detect any suggestive or study-wide significant associations with the tested psychiatric conditions (Tables S7-8; Fig. 2). Note that our study of 15,181 individuals included a limited number of individuals affected with major psychiatric disorders from EHR (e.g., bipolar disorder, 397 cases; autism, 572 cases; Table S3), raising the possibility of Type II error.

## No evidence of the rare-variant burden stratifying by age or sex

As the age range in our sample is broad and the proportion of females differs by PheCodes (Table S3), we explored possible effect modifications by age and sex on the association results. Specifically, we performed secondary PheWAS analyses involving an interaction of age or sex with the count of qualifying rare variants for each gene. Nonetheless, similar to the primary findings, we did not observe any significant interaction at study-wide significance (FDR < 0.05) across the 37 psychiatric PheCodes and 58 genes for all five functional groupings of rare variants (Tables S9-10). Thus, there was no statistical evidence that the burden of rare variation on these psychiatric conditions varied by age or sex in the present study.

## No significant findings from gene-set-based PheWAS grouped by biological domains

Considering that rare variants with the same predicted functional consequence or involved in the same biological mechanism may act together to influence disease risk, we took a more systematic approach that grouped



ACMG-56 genes can be found in Table S6 & Figure S5

qualifying variants into gene sets and tested for enrichment in patients affected with the evaluated psychiatric conditions versus control individuals. Specifically, we looked at all 58 genes in aggregate (Table S11) and separately for three gene sets each associated with the most significant Gene Ontology (GO) term for "biological process" [34 genes, circulatory system development (GO:0072359); Table S12], "cellular component" [16 genes, contractile fiber (GO:0043292); Table S13], or "molecular function" [25 genes, protein-containing complex binding (GO:0044877); Table S14]. Nonetheless, as with single-gene PheWAS results, we did not identify any significant gene-set associations after multiple testing correction (Tables S11, S15,16,17).

## Comparison with gene associations from the UK Biobank (UKB)

To seek potential validation from publicly available resources, we then compared our results to individual gene associations reported in the UK Biobank (UKB) involving 281,852 individuals of European ancestry (available on GeneBass: https://genebass.org/; [34].



Notably, UKB is a population-based cohort that appears to have a healthy volunteer selection bias [35].

For the 16 psychiatric PheCodes that could be mapped to a UKB definition, we observed that the prevalence was overall much lower in UKB than in our eMERGE study (Table S18; e.g., anxiety disorders, eMERGE: 17% vs. UKB: 5%). Despite differences in sample ascertainment, quality control, and analysis pipelines, both our eMERGE results and those reported in GeneBass identified no genes significantly associated with the tested psychiatric phenotypes at FDR < 0.05 (Table S19). Additionally, association signals in the UKB-GeneBass data were weaker than in eMERGE (Table S20).

### Discussion

Incidental findings in the ACMG genes have been recommended for RoR but no studies to our knowledge have systematically examined whether rare variation in these genes is associated with psychiatric manifestations in deeply sequenced samples. To the extent that such variants affect psychopathology, they could have relevance for genetic counseling when returning results for medically actionable genes. In the present study, we explored this issue using a targeted sequence panel and real-world EHR data from the eMERGE consortium through a PheWAS approach. In addition, we took the opportunity of interrogating two additional genes (CACNA1C and TCF4) widely implicated in major psychiatric disorders that were also included in the targeted panel. Despite deep sequencing of 15,181 individuals, our study did not identify any significant genes in which rare deleterious variants were enriched in patients with mental disorders after study-wide multiple testing correction. There was also no statistical evidence that age or sex influenced the pattern of the rare-variant burden on these psychiatric conditions, nor did we identify significant gene sets across the 58 genes in which rare variation involved in the same biological process collectively affected disease risk. When compared with publicly available gene associations reported from UKB in the GeneBass resource [34], we observed similar null results while association signals in the UKB-GeneBass database were generally weaker than in our study.

One major limitation is the limited statistical power for detecting rare variant associations given the relatively small number of cases from our EHR-based study (Table S3). Due to the low population prevalence, modest SNP-heritability, and complex genetic architecture of psychiatric disorders, GWAS of common genetic variation have shown that hundreds of thousands of affected individuals are often required to detect genetic risk factors underlying mental illnesses, such as through metaanalysis of case-enriched cohorts [11–13]. For analysis of rare variation, sample size requirements may be even larger. Recently, two whole-exome studies of schizophrenia and bipolar, each with over 10,000 cases and 10,000 controls, began to identify significant risk genes with rare PTVs and damaging missense variants conferring a strong harmful effect on the disease and shed light on the underlying biology of the contribution of rare disruptive variants relative to common variants [14, 15]. Notably, among findings from these large exome-based studies, CACNA1C and TCF4 did not emerge as top signals with significant enrichment.

Related to sample size, we note several caveats to our study. First, the limited number of available individuals for rare variant analysis made it challenging to exclude the possibility of false positives, even after multiple testing correction. Some of our top results had unusually large effect sizes that might be artifacts (Table 1; Tables S6,7,8). Second, while we initially intended to retain as many ancestries as possible in the study, the variant count (per gene) by sample matrix was extremely sparse for each of the smaller set of non-EUR individuals to power a multi-ancestry analysis. Further, in the burden analysis, we grouped variants according to algorithm-predicted functional consequences and deleteriousness. Because of the scarcity of the aggregated variants, we did not apply additional filters on qualifying variants to increase the specificity of the predicted deleteriousness, such as prioritizing variants classified by ClinVar as likely pathogenic or pathogenic [26], using allele frequency information in the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD) [36] as the control population for filtering, or applying the LOFTEE filter [36] to separate high-confidence PTVs from annotation artifacts. Future larger-scale studies could incorporate these considerations to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio. Relatedly, in our analyses we did not have the power to examine gene-disorder relationships assuming recessive inheritance, for which both copies of the gene must harbor the qualifying variant, or a compound heterozygous state, where two recessive alleles are at different locations of the gene. While current evidence of the genetic underpinnings of psychiatric disorders primarily points to a complex and polygenic architecture that does not follow a simple Mendelian model, ongoing data collection from several large-scale sequencing studies could further elucidate the mode of inheritance and penetrance regarding rare deleterious variation [14–16]. Lastly, we could not rule out that some of the gene associations from PheWAS (though not significant) are due to confounding or comorbidity with other phenotypes for a given psychiatric trait, which may complicate the interpretation of results. To account for those, we would need the complete comorbidity profiles and medication use among study subjects for each specific psychiatric diagnosis, ideally in a pre-specified time window to establish a clear temporality between these variables. Future larger-scale PheWAS-based studies could address these limitations.

Although we did not detect study-wide significant gene signals, suggestive associations showed that some of the ACMG-56 genes harbor an excess of rare deleterious variants in people with psychiatric conditions compared to controls (Table 1). Most of these associations have not been reported elsewhere and should be interpreted with caution given the caveats described above. It is interesting, nonetheless, that among the suggestive burden of rare variants are two channel genes, *KCNQ1* and *CACNA1S*, associated with substance use

disorders and depression, respectively (Table 1), which involve in the formation and function of potassium and calcium channels that are broadly implicated in brainrelated disorders [19, 37]. A SNP association in KCNQ1 with alcohol dependence was previously reported from a GWAS of European Americans [38], and the CACNA1S gene region, along with other calcium channel genes, was associated with major psychiatric disorders from a GWAS meta-analysis of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder, autism, and attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder [28]. While these suggestive associations did not appear as strong in the UKB-GeneBass database, we note that UKB may not be a well-powered resource for studying rare genetic variation and mental disorders as the whole-cohort disease prevalence for psychiatric-related conditions in UKB tends to be lower than in our real-world, EHR-based data (Table S18). Overall, these observations indicate the possibility of pleiotropy of the tested genes beyond the established link to rare medical conditions recommended for RoR (Table S1) but require further validation in independent samples ideally involving case-enriched cohorts.

### Conclusion

Return of incidental findings from clinical sequencing will become an increasingly important issue as genomic data generation and technology development advance into the next decades. The current study illustrates the opportunities and challenges of leveraging deep sequence data to identify incidental findings from medically actionable genes associated with psychiatric disorders, which exhibit a high degree of phenotypic and genetic complexity. Nonetheless, with the increasing availability of large-scale genomic sequencing efforts expanding to diverse populations (e.g., the All of Us Research Program [39], the incorporation of genomic data into medical practice, and the expanding list of genes with actionable mutations, characterizing the phenotypic spectrum of actionable mutations will have increasing implications for genomic medicine and genetic counseling.

### Methods

### Targeted sequence data from the eMERGE network

The eMERGE network is a national network that links electronic health records (EHR) and DNA sequence data of individuals for large-scale genetic and precision medicine research across 11 participating sites (Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Columbia University, Icahn School of Medicine at Mt. Sinai, Mass General Brigham [formerly Partners Healthcare], Mayo Clinic, Northwestern University, University of Alabama at Birmingham, University of Washington-Kaiser Permanente, and Vanderbilt University Medical Center) [17, 40]. Informed consent from adult participants and signed parental permission for participants under age 18 were obtained from each sample collection site under the respective Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved protocols.

The eMERGEseq platform is a targeted sequence panel designed within the network including a selected set of genes for the purpose of returning results of pathogenic variants to participants as well as providing a resource for genetic research. The eMERGEseq panel comprised 109 genes and 1,551 single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) for 24,956 individuals, randomly selected from biorepositories at each eMERGE site. Details of informed consent, sample handling, DNA extraction, and sequencing were also described previously [40]. DNA from blood samples were extracted at individual eMERGE sites and sent to Baylor College of Medicine Human Genome Sequencing Center (HGSC) and the Broad Institute for sequencing. IRB approval for the two sequencing centers deferred consent to the participating sites (Mass General Brigham and Baylor College of Medicine). Specifically, genes in the panel included 56 genes with known pathogenic variants from the ACMG guidelines v1.0 [3] (Table S1) and 53 additional genes nominated from each eMERGE site based on established associations with specific disease areas. Among them, 2 genes (CACNA1C and TCF4) were nominated for their consistent links to major psychiatric disorders: CACNA1C encodes a voltage-gated calcium channel subunit and growing evidence has suggested that common single variants polymorphisms (SNPs) in this gene increase the risk of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, while rare gain-of-function mutations lead to Timothy syndrome that features autism; some of the implicated SNPs also show a pleiotropic effect across psychiatric traits [12, 13, 19, 27-29]. TCF4, a transcription factor gene, is involved in the initiation of neuronal differentiation with common polymorphisms implicated in GWAS of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, major depressive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder [28, 32, 33], and disruptive mutations known to cause Pitts-Hopkins syndrome characterized by intellectual disability and autistic behavior [20, 30, 31].

The sequenced dataset was shared with each site for linking with EHR for genetic analysis.

#### Sequence data quality control

To conduct PheWAS of genes in the targeted panel, we performed quality control procedures to retain a list of high-quality variants and samples. Raw sequence of the eMERGEseq dataset consisted of 59,141 SNPs and 3,358 insertion/deletions (indels) on human genome GRCh37 build, with an average sequence depth above 500X across individuals. Variants were first left-normalized and multiallelic sites were split into biallelic forms. Variants that failed the GATK VQSR (Variant Quality Score Recalibration) metric and those lying outside of low complexity regions [41] were removed. Genotypes were set to missing for homozygous reference genotype calls with an allelic balance (AB) > 0.1, heterozygous calls with AB<0.25 or AB>0.75, homozygous variant genotype calls with AB < 0.9, and those with GQ < 20 or DP < 10. Samples with a low call rate (<0.975) and outliers of transition/transversion ratio, heterozygous/homozygous ratio, or insertion/deletion ratio (>3SD from the mean) were discarded. Next, we performed principal component analyses (PCA) to identify ancestral populations for study participants and used Random Forest Classifier with 1000 Genomes phase 3 data [42] as the training set to assign ancestry to each individual. With a prediction probability>0.8, 16,641 participants were classified as European (EUR), 3,612 as African (AFR), 1,455 as Admixed/Latin American (AMR), 1,093 as East Asian (EAS), 271 as South Asian (SAS), and the remaining individuals with an unclassified ancestry (Figure S1). Despite the small number of independent markers in the targeted panel, PC plots showed clear separation of each ancestral population and results were comparable with that inferred by our internal genome-wide array data. Focusing on the EUR subset with an adequate sample size for power considerations, we then removed individuals with mismatched reported and genetic sex, one from each pair of related individuals, and excluded variants that were monomorphic, had a call rate < 0.95, or a Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) test *p*-value  $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$ . Finally, we removed a small proportion of common genetic variants (MAF>0.01), yielding a QC'ed dataset of 16,512 individuals of EUR descent and 33,565 low-frequency and rare variants (MAF < 0.01). QC procedures were performed using bcftools [43] and PLINK (v1.90b3.32) [44].

### Variant annotation

Annotation of variants was performed with SnpEff [45] for human genome assemble GRCh37. Based on the predicted consequences, we defined three functional classes of coding variants: protein-truncating variant (PTV) ("disruptive inframe insertion", "disruptive inframe deletion", "frameshift_variant", "splice_acceptor_variant", "splice_donor_variant", "stop_gained", "feature_ablation", or "exon_loss_variant"), missense variants ("inframe_ insertion", "inframe_deletion", "missense_variant", "splice region variant", "stop lost", "start lost", "coding_sequence_variant"), and non-synonymous variants (PTVs and missense variants combined). Further, to discriminate likely deleterious missense variants from benign missense variants, we applied seven in silico missense deleteriousness predictors (SIFT, PolyPhen2 with HDIV training set, PolyPhen2 with HVAR training set, LRT, MutationTaster, MutationAssessor, and PROVEAN [46] to identify a subset of highly damaging missense variants that were predicted as deleterious by all seven algorithms.

## Phenome-wide association study (PheWAS) of psychiatric manifestations

EHR data for the 24,956 individuals were extracted and cleaned from each contributing site in the eMERGE network, which contains a combination of International Classification of Disease version 9 and 10 (ICD-9-CM and ICD-10-CM) diagnostic codes. Both ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes were mapped to PheWAS codes (PheCodes) [22, 23] for genetic analysis. Combined with the EUR subset of the eMERGEseq data, this resulted in 15,181 individuals and 1,858 PheCodes (age range: 6-100; median age: 62; 54.5% women). We defined eligible cases as having at least two instances of the same PheCode on two different calendar dates in the EHR (Table S2). We then curated a subset of 37 PheCodes that represent common psychiatric phenotypes with a minimum case count of 75 (equivalent to an in-sample prevalence of 0.5%; Table S3), with phenotypic correlations ranging from -0.09 to 0.57 (Table S4; Figure S2). For our PheWAS analysis of rare genetic variation and psychiatric manifestations, we focused on the ACMG-56 genes and the 2 additional genes (CAC-NA1C and TCF4) widely implicated in mental illnesses and tested the association under a burden analysis framework using the PheWAS R package [47]. Burden score for each individual was calculated as the sum of the aggregated qualifying variants observed in a given gene. We considered five categories of qualifying variants for analysis, including all rare variants (MAF < 0.01; total ~ 13,000 variants in the 58 genes) and four functional coding annotations: all rare non-synonymous variants, all rare PTVs, all rare damaging missense variants, and all rare PTVs and damaging missense variants. For each of the 37 PheCodes, a Firth's logistic regression was implemented by regressing case-control status against variant count of a certain category in a given gene, adjusting for age, sex, sites, and the first 10 principal components (PCs). Firth's method uses penalized maximum likelihood estimation in logistic regression and has been shown to yield good calibration and correct bias in association tests for low frequency and rare variants with unbalanced care-control ratios and complete separation [48-50]. A two-sided Fisher's Exact test *p*-value was also calculated using the carrier counts among the cases and controls, defined as the number of individuals carrying at least one qualifying variant (i.e., a dominance test; Tables S5,6,7). We calculated a Bonferroni-corrected significance level  $(0.05/37 = 1.35 \times 10^{-3})$  for individual PheWAS to detect suggestive associations and defined a study-wide significant enrichment at a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 to account for multiple testing of all 10,730 burden tests (37 PheCodes, 58 genes, and 5 variant filters). Analyses were conducted using the R programming language (version 3.5).

#### Secondary PheWAS analysis: interaction with age or sex

In addition to the primary PheWAS analysis, we performed secondary analyses to examine the influence of age or sex on the association results for the evaluated psychiatric conditions. For each of the 37 PheCodes, we performed Firth's logistic regression following the same model as described in the primary analysis but added an interaction term between age or sex with the variant count of a certain category in a given gene. This involved another set of 10,730 burden tests for the age- and sexinteraction analysis separately, and we considered studywide significant interactions at FDR < 0.05 accounting for all tests.

## Secondary PheWAS analysis: gene sets grouped by functional consequence or biological mechanism across all 58 genes

To evaluate whether rare variation functioning in a similar biological manner in distinct genes could collectively affect risk of each psychiatric condition, we analyzed the relationship between the 37 psychiatric PheCodes and the aggregate count of qualifying variants across the 58 genes (ACMG56, CACNA1C and TCF4). Additionally, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis to identify significant GO terms associated with the 58 genes using over-representation tests [51]. Qualifying variants in a subset of the genes involved in the most significant GO term for each of the three independent domains ("biological process", "cellular component", and "molecular function") were aggregated and tested for enrichment in affected individuals versus controls. Similar to the primary analysis, the gene-set PheWAS analysis was done separately for the five variant annotation categories using Firth's logistic regression adjusting for age, sex, sites, and the first 10 PCs.

## Comparison with gene associations from the UK Biobank (UKB)

The UK Biobank (UKB) is a 500,000-person populationbased cohort that includes a wide variety of phenotypes with genetic data also available for each individual [52]. Unlike our EHR-based study, phenotypes in UKB were measured through primarily self-report, with linkage to participants' hospitalization records (International Classification of Diseases, ICD codes), primary care data, etc. Based on the whole-exome data released from UKB, efforts have been conducted to investigate the phenome-wise gene burden of deleterious variants. A large resource, GeneBass (Gene-Biobank Association Summary Statistics, https://genebass.org/), has been built that made available to the public gene-burden results from exome-sequence analysis of 281,852 Europeandescent individuals across 3,817 traits in the UKB [34]. To compare our results with those from UKB-GeneBass, we extracted association statistics of the ACMG genes, CACNA1C, and TCF4 in GeneBass with UKB phenotypes that can be mapped to the 37 psychiatric PheCodes in eMERGE. When multiple phenotype definitions in UKB-GeneBass can be matched to a particular PheCode in eMERGE, we prioritized those measured for the whole cohort rather than in subsets whenever possible and chose the definition with the largest number of diseased individuals for comparison. GeneBass includes three sets of gene-level tests including burden test, SKAT, and SKAT-O implemented using the SAIGE-GENE mixedmodel framework [53]. Details of variant annotation also differed to some extent between GeneBass and our pipeline [34]. For the purpose of comparison, we focused on burden test results from GeneBass for "pLoF" (analogous to PTV) and "missense" variants separately.

#### Abbreviations

eMERGE: The Electronic Medical Records and Genomics consortium; eMERGEseq: A targeted sequence panel designed within the eMERGE network; ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; RoR: Return of results; EHR: Electronic health records; ICD: International Classification of Disease; GWAS: Genome-wide association studies; PheWAS: Phenomewide association studies; PheCode: PheWAS code; PCA: Principal component analysis; SNP: Single nucleotide polymorphism; MAF: Minor allele frequency; PTV: Protein-truncating variants; FDR: False discovery rate.

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08600-x.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Ancestry assignment of study individuals using principal component analyses (PCA). Figure S2. Phenotypic correlation heatmap among the 37 curated PheCodes of common psychiatric phenotypes. Figure S3. No inflation in AGC from single variant association analysis for two selected complex traits. Figure S4. Phenome-wide burden of rare variation in LDLR recapitulated known association with hypercholesterolemia. Figure S5. PheWAS of rare variation in the ACMG-56 genes with psychiatric disorders

Additional file 2: Table S1. A list of 56 genes and the associated medical conditions recommended by ACMG for return of results. Table S2. The full list of the extracted PheCodes and the corresponding prevalences among the 15,181 individuals analyzed in the study. Table S3. The 37 PheCodes of psychiatric disorders with at least 75 cases selected for burden analysis. Table S4. Pairwise phenotypic correlations between the 37 psychiatric phenotypes. Table S5. Pairwise phenotypic correlations between the 37 f sock and all 966 phenotypes with at least 75 cases. Table S6. PheWAS results of rare variation and psychiatric disorders: ACMG-56 genes. Table S7. PheWAS results of rare variation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for availation and psychiatric disorders: TCF4. Table S9. PheWAS for a

psychiatric disorders: interaction with age. **Table S10**. PheWAS of rare variation and psychiatric disorders: interaction with sex. **Table S11**. PheWAS of rare variation and psychiatric disorders: combining variation across 58 genes by functional annotation. **Table S12**. Gene Ontology analysis of the 58 genes: biological process. **Table S13**. Gene Ontology analysis of the 58 genes: cellular component. **Table S14**. Gene Ontology analysis of the 58 genes: molecular function. **Table S15**. PheWAS of rare variation and psychiatric disorders: 34 genes from the top GO term of biological process. **Table S16**. PheWAS of rare variation and psychiatric disorders: 25 genes from the top GO term of cellular component. **Table S17**. PheWAS of rare variation and psychiatric disorders: 25 genes from the top GO term of molecular function. **Table S18**. Phenotypic comparison between eMERGE and UKB-GeneBass. Table S19. PheWAS results of rare variation and psychiatric disorders in UKB-GeneBass. **Table S20**. Suggested gene associations in eMERGE (Table 1) vs. in UKB-GeneBass

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Rachel Knevel and Damien Croteau-Chonka for useful discussions and comments on genotype data analysis. Dr. Smoller is a member of the Leon Levy Foundation Neuroscience Advisory Board and received an honorarium for an internal seminar at Biogen, Inc. He is PI of a collaborative study of the genetics of depression and bipolar disorder sponsored by 23andMe for which 23andMe provides analysis time as in-kind support but no payments. Dr. Denny's involvement in this project was primarily as faculty at Vanderbilt University Medical Center prior to joining the NIH.

#### Authors' contributions

YAF and JWS designed the study. IBS helped with quality control of the dataset. YAF analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript, with critical feedback from JWS. JJC, JCD, YL, CW, WQW, STW, and EWK contributed data and materials. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript prior to submission.

#### Funding

This research was part of the eMERGE Phase III projects, funded by the NHGRI through the following grants: U01HG008657 (Group Health Cooperative/ University of Washington); U01HG008685 (Brigham and Women's Hospital); U01HG008672 (Vanderbilt University Medical Center); U01HG008666 (Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center); U01HG008679 (Mayo Clinic); U01HG008679 (Geisinger Clinic); U01HG00880 (Columbia University Health Sciences); U01HG008684 (Children's Hospital of Philadelphia); U01HG008673 (Northwestern University); U01HG008701 (Vanderbilt University Medical Center serving as the Coordinating Center); U01HG008676 (Partners Healthcare/Broad Institute); U01HG008664 (Baylor College of Medicine); and U54MD007593 (Meharry Medical College).

#### Availability of data and materials

The eMERGEseq panel analyzed in this study has been deposited on dbGaP (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap/) and can be requested via the accession number phs001616.v2.p2. The 1000 Genomes phase 3 dataset can be downloaded at https://www.internationalgenome.org/category/phase-3/.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Enrollment of participants to eMERGE was approved by each eMERGE site-specific IRB (e.g., IRB of the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, IRB of the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, etc.). Following the approved protocols from respective local IRBs, informed consent was obtained from adult participants and signed parental permission was acquired for participants under age 18. IRB approval for the two sequencing centers deferred consent to the participating sites under protocol numbers 2015P000929 (Mass General Brigham, formerly Partners Healthcare) and #H-40455 (Baylor College of Medicine). We confirm that all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interest to the current study.

#### Author details

Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ²Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, 415 Main Street, Cambridge, MA, USA. ³Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁴Master of Public Health Program, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan. ⁵Division of Nephrology, School of Medicine, Kidney Research Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁶Center for Applied Genomics, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA.⁷Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA. ⁸Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA. ⁹All of Us Research Program, National Institutes of Health, Besthesda, MD, USA. ¹⁰Department of Preventive Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA. ¹¹Department of Biomedical Informatics, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, USA. ¹²Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ¹³Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.¹⁴Division of Rheumatology, Inflammation and Immunity, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ¹⁵Center for Precision Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

## Received: 21 September 2021 Accepted: 22 April 2022 Published online: 19 May 2022

#### References

- 1. Manolio TA, Chisholm RL, Ozenberger B, Roden DM, Williams MS, Wilson R, et al. Implementing genomic medicine in the clinic: the future is here. Genet Med. 2013;15(4):258–67.
- Manolio TA, Rowley R, Williams MS, Roden D, Ginsburg GS, Bult C, et al. Opportunities, resources, and techniques for implementing genomics in clinical care. Lancet. 2019;394(10197):511–20.
- Green RC, Berg JS, Grody WW, Kalia SS, Korf BR, Martin CL, et al. ACMG recommendations for reporting of incidental findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing. Genet Med. 2013;15(7):565–74.
- Kalia SS, Adelman K, Bale SJ, Chung WK, Eng C, Evans JP, et al. Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Genet Med. 2017;19(2):249–55.
- Kocarnik JM, Fullerton SM. Returning pleiotropic results from genetic testing to patients and research participants. JAMA. 2014;311(8):795–6.
- Watanabe K, Stringer S, Frei O, Umicevic Mirkov M, de Leeuw C, Polderman TJC, et al. A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex traits. Nat Genet. 2019;51(9):1339–48.
- Tylee DS, Sun J, Hess JL, Tahir MA, Sharma E, Malik R, et al. Genetic correlations among psychiatric and immune-related phenotypes based on genome-wide association data. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2018;177(7):641–57.
- Brainstorm Consortium, Anttila V, Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Walters RK, Bras J, et al. Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. Science. 2018;360(6395).
- Lee PH, Anttila V, Won H, Feng Y-CA, Rosenthal J, Zhu Z, et al. Genomic Relationships, Novel Loci, and Pleiotropic Mechanisms across Eight Psychiatric Disorders. Cell. 2019;179(7):1469-82 e11.
- Lee PH, Feng YA, Smoller JW. Pleiotropy and Cross-Disorder Genetics Among Psychiatric Disorders. Biol Psychiatry. 2021;89(1):20–31.
- Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, Hafferty JD, Gibson J, Shirali M, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(3):343–52.
- Stahl EA, Breen G, Forstner AJ, McQuillin A, Ripke S, Trubetskoy V, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. Nat Genet. 2019;51(5):793–803.
- The Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Mapping genomic loci prioritises genes and implicates synaptic biology in schizophrenia. medRxiv. 2020.

- Singh T, Neale BM, Daly MJ, on behlaf of the Schizophrenia Exome Meta-Analysis (SCHEMA) Consortium. Exome sequencing identifies rare coding variants in 10 genes which confer substantial risk for schizophrenia. medRxiv. 2020.
- 15. Palmer DS, Howrigan DP, Chapman SB, Adolfsson R, Bass N, Blackwood D, et al. Exome sequencing in bipolar disorder reveals shared risk gene AKAP11 with schizophrenia. medRxiv. 2021.
- Satterstrom FK, Kosmicki JA, Wang J, Breen MS, De Rubeis S, An JY, et al. Large-Scale Exome Sequencing Study Implicates Both Developmental and Functional Changes in the Neurobiology of Autism. Cell. 2020;180(3):568-84 e23.
- McCarty CA, Chisholm RL, Chute CG, Kullo IJ, Jarvik GP, Larson EB, et al. The eMERGE Network: a consortium of biorepositories linked to electronic medical records data for conducting genomic studies. BMC Med Genomics. 2011;4:13.
- Gottesman O, Kuivaniemi H, Tromp G, Faucett WA, Li R, Manolio TA, et al. The Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Network: past, present, and future. Genet Med. 2013;15(10):761–71.
- Heyes S, Pratt WS, Rees E, Dahimene S, Ferron L, Owen MJ, et al. Genetic disruption of voltage-gated calcium channels in psychiatric and neurological disorders. Prog Neurobiol. 2015;134:36–54.
- Teixeira JR, Szeto RA, Carvalho VMA, Muotri AR, Papes F. Transcription factor 4 and its association with psychiatric disorders. Transl Psychiatry. 2021;11(1):19.
- Denny JC, Ritchie MD, Basford MA, Pulley JM, Bastarache L, Brown-Gentry K, et al. PheWAS: demonstrating the feasibility of a phenomewide scan to discover gene-disease associations. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(9):1205–10.
- Wei WQ, Bastarache LA, Carroll RJ, Marlo JE, Osterman TJ, Gamazon ER, et al. Evaluating phecodes, clinical classification software, and ICD-9-CM codes for phenome-wide association studies in the electronic health record. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(7): e0175508.
- Wu P, Gifford A, Meng X, Li X, Campbell H, Varley T, et al. Mapping ICD-10 and ICD-10-CM Codes to Phecodes: Workflow Development and Initial Evaluation. JMIR Med Inform. 2019;7(4): e14325.
- Nordestgaard BG, Chapman MJ, Humphries SE, Ginsberg HN, Masana L, Descamps OS, et al. Familial hypercholesterolaemia is underdiagnosed and undertreated in the general population: guidance for clinicians to prevent coronary heart disease: consensus statement of the European Atherosclerosis Society. Eur Heart J. 2013;34(45):3478–90.
- Soutar AK, Naoumova RP. Mechanisms of disease: genetic causes of familial hypercholesterolemia. Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med. 2007;4(4):214–25.
- Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Benson M, Brown G, Chao C, Chitipiralla S, et al. Clin-Var: public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D862–8.
- Lu AT, Dai X, Martinez-Agosto JA, Cantor RM. Support for calcium channel gene defects in autism spectrum disorders. Mol Autism. 2012;3(1):18.
- Smoller JW, Craddock N, Kendler K, Lee PH, Neale BM, Nurnberger JI, et al. Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major psychiatric disorders: a genome-wide analysis. Lancet. 2013;381(9875):1371–9.
- Splawski I, Timothy KW, Sharpe LM, Decher N, Kumar P, Bloise R, et al. Ca(V)1.2 calcium channel dysfunction causes a multisystem disorder including arrhythmia and autism. Cell. 2004;119(1):19–31.
- Brockschmidt A, Todt U, Ryu S, Hoischen A, Landwehr C, Birnbaum S, et al. Severe mental retardation with breathing abnormalities (Pitt-Hopkins syndrome) is caused by haploinsufficiency of the neuronal bHLH transcription factor TCF4. Hum Mol Genet. 2007;16(12):1488–94.
- Forrest MP, Hill MJ, Kavanagh DH, Tansey KE, Waite AJ, Blake DJ. The Psychiatric Risk Gene Transcription Factor 4 (TCF4) Regulates Neurodevelopmental Pathways Associated With Schizophrenia, Autism, and Intellectual Disability. Schizophr Bull. 2018;44(5):1100–10.
- Gelernter J, Sun N, Polimanti R, Pietrzak R, Levey DF, Bryois J, et al. Genome-wide association study of post-traumatic stress disorder reexperiencing symptoms in >165,000 US veterans. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(9):1394–401.
- Wray NR, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, Trzaskowski M, Byrne EM, Abdellaoui A, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. Nat Genet. 2018;50(5):668–81.

- Karczewski K, Solomonson M, Chao KR, Goodrich JK, Tiao G, Lu W, et al. Systematic Single-Variant and Gene-Based Association Testing of 3,700 Phenotypes in 281,850 UK Biobank Exomes. bioRxiv. 2021.
- Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Doherty N, Adamska L, Sprosen T, et al. Comparison of Sociodemographic and Health-Related Characteristics of UK Biobank Participants With Those of the General Population. Am J Epidemiol. 2017;186(9):1026–34.
- Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alfoldi J, Wang Q, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature. 2020;581(7809):434–43.
- Rannals MD, Hamersky GR, Page SC, Campbell MN, Briley A, Gallo RA, et al. Psychiatric Risk Gene Transcription Factor 4 Regulates Intrinsic Excitability of Prefrontal Neurons via Repression of SCN10a and KCNQ1. Neuron. 2016;90(1):43–55.
- Edenberg HJ, Koller DL, Xuei X, Wetherill L, McClintick JN, Almasy L, et al. Genome-wide association study of alcohol dependence implicates a region on chromosome 11. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2010;34(5):840–52.
- All of Us Research Program I, Denny JC, Rutter JL, Goldstein DB, Philippakis A, Smoller JW, et al. The "All of Us" Research Program. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(7):668–76.
- eMERGE Consortium. Harmonizing Clinical Sequencing and Interpretation for the eMERGE III Network. Am J Hum Genet. 2019;105(3):588–605.
- 41. Li H. Toward better understanding of artifacts in variant calling from highcoverage samples. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(20):2843–51.
- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang HM, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature. 2015;526(7571):68–74.
- Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience. 2021;10(2).
- Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Secondgeneration PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience. 2015;4:7.
- 45. Cingolani P, Platts A, le Wang L, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, et al. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012;6(2):80–92.
- 46. Genovese G, Fromer M, Stahl EA, Ruderfer DM, Chambert K, Landen M, et al. Increased burden of ultra-rare protein-altering variants among 4,877 individuals with schizophrenia. Nat Neurosci. 2016;19(11):1433–41.
- Carroll RJ, Bastarache L, Denny JC. R PheWAS: data analysis and plotting tools for phenome-wide association studies in the R environment. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(16):2375–6.
- Verma A, Verma SS, Pendergrass SA, Crawford DC, Crosslin DR, Kuivaniemi H, et al. eMERGE Phenome-Wide Association Study (PheWAS) identifies clinical associations and pleiotropy for stop-gain variants. BMC Med Genomics. 2016;9(Suppl 1):32.
- 49. Wang X. Firth logistic regression for rare variant association tests. Front Genet. 2014;5:187.
- 50. Firth D. Bias Reduction of Maximum Likelihood Estimates. Biometrika. 1993;80(1):27–38.
- Mi H, Muruganujan A, Ebert D, Huang X, Thomas PD. PANTHER version 14: more genomes, a new PANTHER GO-slim and improvements in enrichment analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(D1):D419–26.
- Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature. 2018;562(7726):203–9.
- Zhou W, Zhao Z, Nielsen JB, Fritsche LG, LeFaive J, Gagliano Taliun SA, et al. Scalable generalized linear mixed model for region-based association tests in large biobanks and cohorts. Nat Genet. 2020;52(6):634–9.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.