

A defect in cell wall recycling confers antibiotic resistance and sensitivity in *Staphylococcus aureus*

Received for publication, July 16, 2022, and in revised form, September 2, 2022 Published, Papers in Press, September 9, 2022,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102473

Stephanie Tan[®], Kelvin Cho, and Justin R. Nodwell*

From the Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Edited by Chris Whitfield

WalKR is a two-component system that is essential for viability in Gram-positive bacteria that regulates the allimportant autolysins in cell wall homeostasis. Further investigation of this essential system is important for identifying ways to address antibiotic resistance. Here, we show that a T101M mutation in *walR* confers a defect in autolysis, a thickened cell wall, and decreased susceptibility to antibiotics that target lipid II cycle, a phenotype that is reminiscent of the clinical resistance form known as vancomycin intermediate-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Importantly, this is accompanied by dramatic sensitization to tunicamycin. We demonstrate that this phenotype is due to partial collapse of a pathway consisting of autolysins, AtlA and Sle1, a transmembrane sugar permease, MurP, and GlcNAc recycling enzymes, MupG and MurQ. We suggest that this causes a shortage of substrate for the peptidoglycan biosynthesis enzyme MraY, causing it to be hypersensitive to competitive inhibition by tunicamycin. In conclusion, our results constitute a new molecular model for antibiotic sensitivity in S. aureus and a promising new route for antibiotic discovery.

The steady advance of antibiotic resistance is a significant threat to modern medicine (1, 2). Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most common hospital- and community-acquired opportunistic pathogens, and the fact that it has emerged in resistant forms is therefore especially concerning. The widely disseminated superbug methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) has exacerbated virtually all S. aureus infections; \sim 20% of human MRSA bacteremias are fatal (3). A multidrug resistant form known as vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus (VISA) has also emerged (4-6). Vancomycin intermediate resistance confers low to moderate levels of resistance to antibiotics that target the cell wall and, while this resistance is not a serious clinical burden, VISA-like phenotypes have appeared in combination with methicillin and dalbavancin resistance, generating strains that are more problematic still (7, 8). Developing new drugs to manage resistant strains is, arguably, the most important goal in microbiology (9, 10).

Antibiotics discovered through screens of natural products and synthetic compound libraries yielded the antibiotic scaffolds in current use (11). Most of these have since succumbed to antibiotic resistance mechanisms. Chemical remodeling has generated newer compounds that bypass resistance; however, these, in turn, have also given way to new forms of resistance. This steady accumulation of resistance suggests that new approaches to antibiotic discovery are needed. Future approaches will take advantage of new knowledge about the complex molecular networks that determine antibiotic sensitivity and resistance (12).

Vancomycin and ramoplanin are two important antibiotics used to manage Gram-positive infections, including resistant forms such as MRSA. Both target components of lipid II cycle. Low to moderate level multidrug resistance to these antibiotics classified as VISA has been attributed to numerous genetic loci including two-component systems (*vraRS, graRS,* and *walRK*), cell wall biosynthetic enzymes (*uppS, fmtC, srtA,* and *msrR*), and even RNA polymerase (*rpoB*) (13–15). Such strains are typically also resistant to daptomycin, another drug of last resort that targets peptidoglycan biosynthesis (16). Additional phenotypic traits such as a thickened cell wall and compromised autolysis suggest that defects in cell wall homeostasis underlie this resistance (17, 18). At present however, we lack a detailed molecular description of the VISA cell.

In previous work, we isolated S. aureus mutants that were resistant to the antibiotics siamycin-I and actinorhodin (19, 20). These strains had mutations in the wal operon, which encodes a response regulator, WalR, its cognate sensor kinase, WalK, and two WalK-regulatory proteins, WalH and WalI (21-23). They constitute one of the only known twocomponent systems that are essential for viability in bacteria, indicating that it controls molecular processes that are vitally important. In further support of this, these genes are highly conserved in many Gram-positive bacteria including pathogens such as Enterococcus (YycFG), Streptococcus (VicKR), and even Mycobacteria (MtrAB) (24–26). A fascinating element in this mechanism is that, in addition to WalK, a eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase, PknB, also phosphorylates WalR (27). The sites of WalR phosphorylation are distinct: WalK phosphorylates D53, and PknB phosphorylates T101 (27, 28). This is a remarkable departure from the two-component paradigm; very few response regulators are known to be phosphorylated at two sites. At present, the molecular role of phosphorylation at T101 is not well understood. WalR regulates genes involved

^{*} For correspondence: Justin R. Nodwell, justin.nodwell@utoronto.ca.

Cell wall recycling alters antibiotic activity in S. aureus

in cell wall homeostasis. This includes several autolysin genes known for their sugar-cleaving and peptide-cleaving activity within the peptidoglycan layer permitting cell wall growth (29).

In this work, we report a detailed investigation of a *walR* point mutant that changes T101 to a methionine, eliminating the site thought to be phosphorylated by PknB. We show that this mutation confers resistance to vancomycin, ramoplanin, daptomycin, and several other antibiotics that target the cell envelope. It also has a thickened cell wall and impaired autolysis; reminiscent of clinical VISA. Surprisingly, we also find that the mutant is exquisitely sensitive to the antibiotic tunicamycin, which targets the enzymes MraY and TarO, involved in peptidoglycan and teichoic acid biosynthesis, respectively (30). This is the first indication that there is a connection between these pathways, and it suggests that in addition to its direct targets, there are indirect effects of wal gene mutations that make important contributions to antibiotic sensitivity and resistance. Remarkably, tunicamycinresistant mutants selected in the walR mutant background reversed all the phenotypes described thus far, demonstrating a foundational molecular linkage. Using RNA-Seq, we found that the partial collapse of a pathway consisting of two autolysins and the peptidoglycan-recycling proteins, MupG, MurQ, and MurP, explains most of the antibiotic sensitivity and resistance in this mutant. With these data in hand, we have developed the first detailed molecular model for how a mutation in the wal operon can confer both vancomycin resistance and tunicamycin sensitivity. This in turn suggests that understanding wal operon mutants such as the one we described in this work will open up new opportunities for antibiotic discovery.

Results

Tunicamycin sensitivity in a multidrug-resistant walR1 mutant

We selected a representative mutant from our previous work (20) encoding a T101M alteration in WalR. This strain has no other chromosome sequence changes relative to its congenic parent, S. aureus ATCC29213; we refer to this mutation as walR1 (BioProject: PRJNA833295). This mutant is of particular interest because it alters the site of phosphorylation by PknB, one of the least understood aspects of this mechanism. We determined the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of walR1 and the parent strain for antibiotics that act on the five major antimicrobial targets (Table 1). The walR1 mutant exhibited reduced sensitivity to vancomycin (two-fold), siamycin-I (four-fold), ramoplanin (four-fold), and daptomycin (two-fold), all of which target the cell wall or membrane. It also exhibited reduced sensitivity to rifampicin (two-fold), which targets RNA polymerase. In contrast, there was no change in sensitivity to the penicillin-binding protein (PBP)-targeting β lactam ampicillin or to compounds that target DNA gyrase (ciprofloxacin), the folate pathway (trimethoprim), and the ribosome (kanamycin). Aside from rifampicin, the strain's resistance profile was restricted to compounds that act via lipid II pathway (vancomycin, siamycin-I, and ramoplanin) and the cell membrane (daptomycin).

Trimethoprim

MIC (µg/ml)			
Drug	Wildtype	walR1	walR1-walK ^{tun}
Tunicamycin	8	0.125	8
Siamycin-I	16	64	16
Ramoplanin	0.5	2	1
Vancomycin	1	2	1
Mecillinam	64	32	64
Cefaclor	1	0.5	1
Cefoxitin	2	1	2
Oxacillin	0.125	0.125	0.125
Ceftriaxone	2	2	2
Daptomycin	1	2	1
Ciprofloxacin	0.25	0.25	0.25
Kanamycin	8	8	8
Rifampicin	0.0078	0.0156	0.0078

2

2

2

To our considerable surprise, the *walR1* mutant also exhibited a 64-fold increase in susceptibility to tunicamycin with a change in MIC from 8 to 0.125 µg/ml. The effect was bactericidal (Fig. 1*A*). Tunicamycin targets the MraY and TarO proteins, involved in the early steps of peptidoglycan and teichoic acid biosynthesis, respectively (30). We therefore expanded this analysis to more selective cell wall–targeting β -lactams (31). We observed no change in sensitivity to oxacillin (PBP2 and PBP3 specific) and ceftriaxone (PBP2 specific) and a twofold increase in sensitivity to mecillinam and cefaclor (PBP3 specific), and cefoxitin (PBP4 specific) (Table 1). The dramatic 64-fold increase in sensitivity to tunicamycin was specific to that antibiotic. This is unusual—we know of no other example where resistance to one set of antibiotics comes at the cost of such extreme sensitivity to another.

To better understand this phenomenon, we isolated six nonsibling tunicamycin-resistant mutants (tun^{R1-6}) in the walR1 background. All six mutants displayed tunicamycin sensitivity comparable to the wildtype parent strain (MIC = 8 µg/ml). Upon whole-genome sequencing of these mutants, we found that five had sequence changes in the *walK* gene. Four mapped to the "lid" motif in the ATP-binding pocket and one at the cytoplasmic face of the second transmembrane helix of WalK (Fig. 1B). The sixth suppressor mutation was found within the *clpP* gene (C478T nucleotide change), which encodes a highly conserved ATP-dependent protease (32), and is predicted to cause a ClpP truncation (Table S3). The T101M sequence change of the *walR1* allele was unchanged in all six strains. The fact that five of the six mutations in these strains mapped within walK underscores the intimate connection between the wal operon and antibiotic activity in S. aureus.

To continue this investigation, we selected a mutant that has a single sequence change relative to the *walR1* mutant, namely a R555C polymorphism in the WalK ATP-binding pocket, for further characterization (Fig. 1*C*). This mutant is henceforth referred to as *walK^{tun}*. Remarkably, the *walR1–walK^{tun}* double mutant exhibited normal sensitivity to vancomycin, siamycin-I, daptomycin, mecillinam, cefaclor and cefoxitin, rifampicin, as well as tunicamycin (Table 2). This suggests that the mutations found in the *walR1* and *walK^{tun}* strains are toggling the physiological state of the cell between two states. It also





Figure 1. Single-point mutations that confer and reverses tunicamycin sensitivity. *A*, bactericidal concentrations of tunicamycin against wildtype *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC29213, *walR1*, and *walR1–walK^{tun}*, plated on TSB agar. *B*, multiple sequence alignment of tunicamycin-resistant (tun^B) mutants. Mutations were mapped to the histidine kinase domain of WalK: four of five mutations were found in the ATP-lid binding domain and one of five was mapped outside a transmembrane helix domain. *C*, point mutations observed in *walR1* and *walR1–walK^{tun}*. HAMP, histidine kinase, adenylate cyclase, methyl accepting protein and phosphatase; PAC, C-terminal motif of PAS; PAS, Per-Arnt-Sim; TSB, tryptic soy broth.

underscores the fact that *walRK*-mediated resistance to vancomycin and other antibiotics comes at the cost of sensitivity to tunicamycin: these phenotypes change in lock step fashion.

Alterations in cell wall, septation, and autolysis in the walR1 mutant

To determine whether the effects of walR1 and walR1- $walK^{tun}$ on antibiotic responsiveness extended to other phenotypes, we investigated changes in cell structure and growth. We found that the growth curves of the wildtype, walR1, and $walR1-walK^{tun}$ strains were similar with generation times of 23.4, 23.1, and 21.5 min, respectively (Fig. 2A). We visualized log phase cells of the three strains using transmission electron microscopy to compare their cell wall thickness and cell

Table 2

MICs of *walR1* complemented with an empty vector (+EV) control or the *mur* operon (+pGQP)

MIC (µg/ml)			
Drug	+EV	+pGQP	
Tunicamycin	0.25	> 8	
Siamycin-I	64	16	
Ramoplanin	1	1	
Vancomycin	4	2	
Cefaclor	1	1	
Cefoxitin	2	4	
Daptomycin	16	8	
Ciprofloxacin	0.5	0.5	
Kanamycin	8	8	
Rifampicin	0.0078	0.0039	

aureus and wal mutants (18), wildtype cells exhibited a cell wall thickness of 34.1 ± 1.4 nm. In contrast, the *walR1* mutant exhibited a cell wall thickness of 53.9 ± 0.9 nm. The cell wall of the walR1-walK^{tun} strain was almost identical to that of the wildtype parent at 30.7 ± 0.8 nm (Fig. 2B). Despite this difference in cell wall thickness, the cell wall composition was similar between wildtype and walR1 bacteria (Fig. S1 and Table S4). We compared the septation state of 350 cells for each of the wildtype, *walR1*, and *walR1–walK^{tun}* strains (Fig. 2C). This analysis revealed that 42.6% of wildtype cells had clear division septa or preseptal invagination with no observable evidence of a division septum in the other 57.4%. In contrast, 61.4% of the walR1 mutant cells showed division septa and 38.6% did not. The walR1-walKtun cells resembled the wildtype with 43.1% of cells displaying a division septum and 56.9% exhibiting no division septum. The apparent differences in the distribution of cells having septa suggest that walR1 bacteria might be slightly impaired in transit through septation and on to the separation of daughter cells, though apparently, this defect does not alter the growth rate. Most importantly, the effects of the *walR1* mutation on cell wall thickness and septation were reversed in the walR1-walK^{tun} mutant.

division status. Consistent with the literature for wildtype S.

It is known that *wal* operon mutations alter the expression of autolysin genes (29). We therefore compared the autolysis profile of each strain. To this end, we resuspended exponential phase cells in 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100 and measured the



Figure 2. Characterization of the cell wall and autolytic activity in walR1. A, growth curve of wildtype, walR1, and $walR1-walK^{tun}$ measured by colony-forming unit (CFU)/ml over 24 h; n = 3, ±SD. B, transmission electron micrographs of each strain during log-phase growth. Cell wall thicknesses were quantified over 350 cells: wildtype (34.1 nm), walR1 (53.9 nm), and $walR1-walK^{tun}$ (30.7 nm). C, percentage of cells in either a dividing or a nondividing state based on the 350 cells from transmission electron microscopy. D, autolytic activity of each strain in the presence of 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100 measured by the change in turbidity at an absorbance at 600 nm over 6 h; n = 3, ±SD. E, crude autolysin activity from each strain on wildtype peptidoglycan as a substrate measured by the change in turbidity at an absorbance at 600 nm over 24 h; n = 3, ±SD.

absorbance at 600 nm of each suspension as a function of time. Consistent with published reports, wildtype *S. aureus* underwent efficient autolysis: culture turbidity decreased by 59% over the 6 h time course. In contrast, the *walR1* mutant displayed reduced lysis in the presence of the detergent as culture turbidity decreased by only 30% over 6 h with readily distinguishable kinetics. The *walR1–walK^{tun}* mutant displayed a more normal autolytic profile with a 72% reduction in culture turbidity indicating that the R555C substitution in WalK again suppressed the effects of the T101M mutation in WalR (Fig. 2D).

To further analyze autolysis, we adapted an established biochemical assay to monitor autolysin activity *in vitro* (33). We derived protein extracts from each strain and assessed their capacity to degrade peptidoglycan isolated from the wildtype parent over 24 h using absorbance measured at 600 nm to monitor progression. The wildtype *S. aureus* extract caused a 49% reduction in turbidity over the time course, whereas the *walR1* mutant extract caused only a 30% reduction. As in previous experiments, the *walR1–walK^{tun}* strain exhibited restored peptidoglycan degradation with a 44% reduction in turbidity (Fig. 2*E*).

These data demonstrate that the *walR1* mutation reduces autolysin activity and that the *walR1–walK^{tun}* mutation restores it. Again, all phenotypes change in lock step, consistent with an integrated cause-and-effect relationship.

The walR1 phenotype is due to a defect in cell wall recycling

A plausible hypothesis is that the myriad effects of the *walR1* and *walR1–walK^{tun}* mutations are caused by altered expression of the WalR regulon. Given that *walKR* is essential for viability, we surmised that the *walR1* mutation is partially defective in WalRK-mediated signal transduction and that

walk^{tun} might reverse its effects, restoring a more normal transcriptome. To determine how WalR regulated gene expression is affected in the *walR1* and the *walR1-walK^{tun}* strains, we carried out an RNA-Seq analysis (transcriptomic data are accessible through Gene Expression Omnibus Series accession number GSE211745). We first compared transcript abundance in wildtype S. aureus with the walR1 mutant strain. Consistent with previous work, we observed reduced transcript abundance in at least two known WalR-target genes, atlA and sle1, both of which encode autolysins (29). AtlA is a bifunctional glucosaminidase (GM) and amidase (AM). AtlA_{GM} cleaves the β -1,4-glycosidic bond between GlcNAc and MurNAc, whereas AtlA_{AM} removes the stem peptide from MurNAc. Sle1 is also an N-acetylmuramyl-1-alanine amidase and acts on the same substrate as AtlAAM (34) (Fig. 3E). Transcript abundance for the lytM-encoded autolysin was unchanged and, paradoxically, that of sceD appeared to be increased (Fig. 3A). A reduction in *atlA* and *sle1* transcript abundance is consistent with reduced autolysis in the walR1 mutant.

In addition however, we observed reduced transcript abundance for the monocistronic genes glpQ and glpT, involved in glycerophosphate recycling (35), as well as the *mupG murQ murP murR* operon, which encodes a peptidoglycan-recycling pathway (36). The products of this operon include a transmembrane permease (MurP), which imports GlcNAc–MurNAc, the products of autolysis, into the cytoplasm and phosphorylates it. MupG hydrolyzes the glycosidic bond and forms GlcNAc and MurNAc-6-phosphate as end products. MurQ then converts MurNAc-6-phosphate into GlcNAc-6-phosphate (36, 37) (Fig. 3D). MurR is a putative repressor of the *mupG* promoter that presumably regulates the expression of all four genes. The entire operon was





Figure 3. *walR1* changes are caused by a defect in peptidoglycan recycling. *A*, RNA-Seq analysis of *walR1* relative to wildtype cells in logarithmic phase. Data points above the *dashed lines* along the *y*-axis represent $p \le 0.01$. Data points outside the *dashed lines* along the *x*-axis represent fold changes ≥ 2 . Results are from three independent biological replicates. Genes of interest are highlighted in the graph, and \log_2 ratio fold changes are indicated in parentheses. *B*, RNA-Seq analysis of *walR1*-*walK^{tun}* relative to *walR1* in logarithmic phase. *C*, autolytic activity of *walR1* complemented with either an empty vector control (+EV) or the *mur* operon, +pGQP (*mupQ murQ murP*), in the presence of 0.05% Triton X-100 measured by the change in an absorbance at 600 nm over 6 h; n = 3, ±SD. D, relative quantification of UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (PP) by LC–MS/MS. The cytoplasmic UDP-MurNAc-PP was quantified for log phase cells in the presence of 10× MIC vancomycin to block peptidoglycan biosynthesis, and the *m/z* fragment ion 746.35 (MurNAc-PP) was quantified

downregulated in *walR1*. This is the first evidence of a possible link between the expression of these cell wall recycling genes and WalR.

Based on the ability of the *walK*^{tun} mutation to toggle the walR1 mutant back to an approximately wildtype phenotype, we hypothesized that the most important genes that were downregulated in walR1 would be upregulated in walR1walk^{tun}. Therefore, we performed a differential expression analysis comparing our walR1-walK^{tun} double mutant relative to the parental walR1 mutant. This analysis revealed that transcript abundance for autolysins, atlA and sle1, was upregulated, whereas sceD was downregulated and lytM remained unchanged. The genes involved in glycerophosphate and peptidoglycan recycling were also upregulated (Fig. 3B). This analysis further corroborates the suppressor effect of the WalK R555C mutation of the walR1-walK^{tun} mutant on the tunicamycin-sensitive walR1 strain. More importantly, it also suggests that one or both these cell wall recycling pathways might underpin the effects of the walR1 mutation on tunicamycin sensitivity.

We were particularly interested in the mupG operon because the product of this recycling pathway, GlcNAc-6phosphate, is used to generate UDP-MurNAc-pentapeptide (PP), the substrate of MraY, one of two tunicamycin target proteins. To test the hypothesis that reduced expression of these genes might be related to the antibiotic resistance and sensitivity in the *walR1* mutant, we created the plasmid pGQP in which the *mupG*, *murQ*, and *murP* genes were placed under the control of an anhydrotetracycline-inducible promoter (38). We introduced pGQP into the walR1 mutant and assessed its effect on antibiotic resistance in comparison with the walR1 bacteria carrying the empty vector. Remarkably, pGQP restored the *walR1* mutant to a nearly wildtype phenotype. Tunicamycin sensitivity was reduced from an MIC of 0.125 to 8 μg/ml; identical to that of the wildtype strain and the walR1walk^{tun} strain. Moreover, sensitivity to siamycin-I, vancomycin, daptomycin, cefoxitin, and rifampicin was also restored to wildtype levels. These effects were specific: pGQP had no effect on MICs for kanamycin or ciprofloxacin (Table 2). Sensitivity to ramoplanin and cefaclor was both unaffected.

We also assessed the effect of *mupG*, *murQ*, and *murP* expression on autolysis. As expected, *walR1* bacteria containing the empty vector exhibited the impaired autolysis consistent with the effects described previously. In contrast, *walR1* bacteria carrying plasmid pGQP displayed autolysis, akin to wildtype with an endpoint absorbance that was reduced by ~86% (Fig. 3C).

Finally, we hypothesized that a peptidoglycan recycling defect should cause a depletion in the intracellular pool of peptidoglycan precursors such as the UDP-MurNAc-PP, the cytoplasmic substrate that is attached to the lipid carrier undecaprenyl phosphate by MraY (Fig. 3*E*). To assess this, we employed a previously developed assay in which vancomycin is

used to halt peptidoglycan crosslinking outside the cell. This creates a "log-jam" effect resulting in the accumulation of intracellular peptidoglycan intermediates (39). We then quantified the amounts of UDP-MurNAc-PP in each cell. Comparison of UDP-MurNAc-PP in the three strains demonstrated that this penultimate cytoplasmic intermediate was specifically reduced in the *walR1* mutant by ~75% relative to wildtype. The suppressor mutation *walK^{tun}* restored levels of intracellular UDP-MurNAc-PP to an approximately wild-type level. Most importantly, the pGQP plasmid also restored UDP-MurNAc-PP to near wildtype levels of 94% (Fig. 3D). This is particularly remarkable because it did so without manipulation of the autolysin genes themselves.

In sum, we find that the *walR1* mutation causes the partial collapse of a pathway consisting of the extracellular autolysins AtlA and Sle1 and the peptidoglycan-recycling pathway encoded by *mupG murP* and *murQ*. In spite of the fact that the *mupG* promoter is not a known WalR target, the role of this peptidoglycan-recycling pathway is so important that its restored expression is sufficient to correct most of the effects of the *walR1* mutation.

Discussion

We have shown that the T101M mutation in the walR1 mutant confers resistance to important experimental and clinical antibiotics as well as reduced autolysis and a thickened cell wall. We find that the mutant has an extraordinary sensitivity to tunicamycin. This is especially important because a new chemical vulnerability in an otherwise multidrugresistant strain suggests the possibility of new avenues for managing antibiotic-resistant infections. The phenotypic effects of walR1 were associated with reduced transcript levels for two autolysin-encoding genes (as expected based on the known WalR regulon) as well as genes that encode the cell wall recycling pathway. This includes most notably the mupG operon, involved in peptidoglycan recycling. Metabolomic analysis supported this: we observed reduced intracellular levels of UDP-MurNAc-PP, a downstream product of the MupG peptidoglycan-recycling pathway. All these effects could be reversed by the walk^{tun} second site suppressor mutation in WalK (R555C) or by restoring the expression of mupG murQ and murP in walR1 bacteria. This work demonstrates a critical mechanistic role for peptidoglycan recycling, in concert with the expected role of the autolysins, in the walR1 phenotype. This is the first demonstration of a direct link between the *wal* mutant phenotype and these cell wall recycling genes.

These data support a new model for resistance and sensitivity to cell wall-active antibiotics in *S. aureus* (Fig. 4). According to this model, AtlA and Sle1 act on the peptidoglycan to liberate the disaccharide, GlcNAc–MurNAc. This molecule is known to be imported through the MurP permease and

using TargetLynx Software (Waters). Results are from three independent biological replicates. Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA using Tukey's t test; ** denotes p < 0.01, ns denotes not significant. *E*, biochemical reactions of enzymes involved in peptidoglycan biosynthesis (MraY), hydrolysis (AtlA and Sle1), and recycling (MurP, MupG, and MurQ). AM, amidase domain; GM, glucosaminidase domain; MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; MurNAc, *N*-acetyl muramic acid; P, phosphate; PG, peptidoglycan.



Figure 4. Peptidoglycan recycling and antibiotic sensitivity and resistance. The enzymes AtIA and Sle1 cut residues of GlcNAc–MurNAc from the cell wall. These are imported through the MurP permease. These are then converted to GlcNAc-6 phosphate by MupG and MurQ. GlcNAc-6 phosphate serves as a precursor for the MraY substrate. By downregulating this pathway, the *walR1* mutation causes increased accumulation of peptidoglycan, thus conferring resistance to many cell wall active antibiotics. At the same time, reduced substrate availability for MraY sensitizes the cell to tunicamycin. (Portions of the figure are created with BioRender.com). MurNAc, *N*-acetyl muramic acid.

acted on by the enzymes MupG and MurQ to generate GlcnNAc-6-phosphate. GlcNAc-6-phosphate is used to generate UDP-MurNAc-PP, the substrate of MraY and UDP-*N*-acetylglucosamine, the substrate of TarO (36, 37, 40). Therefore, by reducing the expression and efficiency of this pathway, the mutation in *walR1* bacteria reduces the substrate availability for MraY and TarO and this, in turn, likely sensitizes those enzymes to inhibition by tunicamycin. Tunicamycin is a competitive inhibitor and so, less antibiotic would be required to compete with the substrate. The resistance of the *walR1* mutant to vancomycin, siamycin-I, ramoplanin, daptomycin, and nisin is likely to be the result of the increased bulk of cell wall in the *walR1* strain. We detected no biochemical difference in the peptidoglycan of the mutant strain aside from the fact that there was more of it (Fig. S1).

The mechanism by which the expression of the mupGoperon is reduced in the walR1 mutant is unknown at this time. There is no reason to think that the *mupG* promoter is a hitherto unknown member of the WalR regulon because the DNA sequence recognized by WalR, 5'-TGT(A/T)A(A/T/C)- N_5 -TGT(A/T)A(A/T/C)-3', is absent from its promoter. A more likely explanation involves murR. The MurR protein is encoded by the fourth gene in the mupG operon, and its Escherichia coli ortholog was previously shown to repress the cognate operon (41). MurR consists of an N-terminal DNAbinding domain and C-terminal sugar phosphate-binding domain. It may be that this C-terminal domain binds GlcNAc-6-phosphate liberated by the autolysins and imported by MurP, resulting in allosteric derepression of the *mupG* promoter. In this scenario, the normal expression level of these genes would be determined by the activation of the autolysin genes by phosphorylated WalR and the

derepression of the mupG promoter through the interaction of the sugars generated by the autolysins with the C-terminal domain in MurR.

The restoration of autolysis by heterologous expression of the *mupG* operon is likely to be independent of autolysin gene expression. In the *walR1* mutant, autolysin expression and activity were reduced to a lower level. We suggest that this reduced expression level is sufficient to support nearly normal production of UDP-MurNAc-PP as long as the *mupG*, *murQ*, and *murP* genes are expressed. Induced MurP expression might relieve product inhibition of the remaining autolysin proteins by drawing away their reaction products through active import.

The *walR1* mutation, T101M, is interesting in that it is similar to previously reported mutations (T101S and T101A) found in clinical VISA strains (14). The T101 residue is believed to be targeted for phosphorylation by the Ser/Thr kinase PknB (27) (also known as Stk), and defects in *pknB* have previously been linked to tunicamycin sensitivity (42). This suggests that phosphorylation of this residue is important for full activity of the WalR protein. This could indicate a role for T101 phosphorylation in DNA binding or WalR stability. Regardless, we suggest that the *walK*^{tun} mutations (four of which fall in the regulatory lid of the ATP-binding site in the kinase domain) create a more active WalK kinase and that this bypasses the need for phosphorylation by PknB.

We note that the links we have described between WalR and cell wall recycling are novel. The idea that reduced cell wall recycling sensitizes one or more intracellular enzymes to chemical inhibition suggests new avenues for antibiotic discovery. New compounds that specifically impair the growth of mutants like *walR1* might well act *via* these sensitized enzymes.

Experimental procedures

General methods and bacterial strains

Chemicals used in this study were purchased from Sigma– Aldrich unless stated otherwise. Tunicamycin was purchased from Cayman Chemical. All *S. aureus* and *E. coli* strains were grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) or LB, respectively, at 37 °C with shaking at 220 RPM or on TSB/LB agar plates in a stationary 37 °C incubator unless otherwise stated. All strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. All experiments were performed in biological triplicates with at least two technical replicates unless stated otherwise.

Microtiter broth dilution assays

Cultures were grown overnight and subcultured the next day in 1:1000 dilution in fresh media. Cultures for complementation with pRMC2 were grown in 12 μ g/ml chloramphenicol, and no anydrotetracycline was required for induction. Bacterial cells were incubated and grown until exponential phase (absorbance at 600 nm = 0.4) and then subcultured in 1:10,000 dilution in fresh media. About 198 μ l of culture was aliquoted into each well in a 96-well plate and inoculated with 2 μ l of drug. About 50 μ g/ml of Ca²⁺ was added into TSB media for daptomycin only. A vehicle control and blank media control were included. Plates were incubated overnight for 18 to 20 h, and the turbidity of wells was read with an absorbance at 600 nm using the EPOCH plate reader (BioTek).

Whole genome sequencing of resistant mutants

Resistant mutants were raised against tunicamycin by serial passaging individual nonsibling colonies of *walR1* in a twofold series of increasing concentration of tunicamycin. From day 1, strains were inoculated with $1/2 \times$ MIC and then subcultured each day in 1:1000 dilution with twofold increases of drug up to 32× MIC. Genomic DNA from each mutant was isolated using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). To lyse open *S. aureus*, cultures were incubated with lysostaphin (200 µg/ml in 20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.5] and 10 mM EDTA).

Sample libraries were prepared with the Illumina Nextera XT kit and subjected to whole genome sequencing with v2 chemistry, paired-end reads $(2 \times 150 \text{ bp})$ on an Illumina MiSeq platform. Sequencing and library preparation was performed at the Centre for the Analysis of Genome Evolution and Function at the University of Toronto. Raw reads were processed, assembled, and mapped using Geneious (version 9.1) to *S. aureus* ATCC29213 (GenBank accession: GCA_001889295.1) with coverage cutoffs of 100× and cutoff frequency of at least 95% for detection of mutations.

Growth curve and colony-forming unit assays

Starter cultures were grown overnight, subcultured the next day in 1:10,000 dilution in fresh media, and incubated at 37 °C with shaking for 24 h. At each timepoint, the absorbance at 600 nm was measured with an EPOCH plate reader (BioTek). An aliquot of sample was also taken and serial diluted in a

10-fold dilution series. Serial dilutions were plated on TSB agar plates and incubated in a stationary incubator for 16 h at 37 °C. Colonies were enumerated the next day.

Cloning of pRMC2 constructs

All primers used in this study are listed in Table S2. *mupG*, *murQ*, and *murP* were PCR amplified from wildtype ATCC29213 genomic DNA to include KpnI and EcoRI cut sites, a 6× His tag, and an RBS as one single amplicon. PCR amplicons and the plasmid pRMC2 were digested with KpnI and EcoRI and then ligated and transformed into *E. coli* Stellar competent cells on LB ampicillin (100 µg/ml). Plasmids were passaged through *S. aureus* RN4220 before electroporating into the final strain and selected for on TSB chloramphenicol (12 µg/ml). Constructs were confirmed by miniprepping the plasmid for digestion of the insert and by sequencing.

Transmission electron microscopy

Cultures were grown overnight and subcultured the next day in 1:1000 dilution in fresh media. Bacterial cells were grown until exponential phase (absorbance at 600 nm = 0.4) and harvested by centrifugation at 2500g for 5 min. Samples were fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide, stained with 1% uranyl acetate, dehydrated using a gradient series of ethanol (30%, 50%, 70%, and 95%) twice, and finally with 100% ethanol. Between each step, samples were washed with doubledistilled water (ddH₂O). Samples were then washed with propylene oxide and infiltrated with Spurr's resin and propylene oxide mixtures as follows: 50% resin for 30 min, 75% resin for 1 h, and 100% resin overnight at room temperature with rotation. Pellets were placed in polyethylene BEEM capsules with fresh resin and left to polymerize in the oven at 60 °C for 48 h. Samples are sectioned on a Reichert Ultracut E microtome to 100 mm thickness and collected on 300 mesh copper grids. Sections were positively stained in saturated uranyl acetate for 15 min followed by Reynold's lead citrate for 15 min. Micrographs were acquired using a TALOS L120C electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Images were processed and analyzed in ImageJ (U.S. National Institutes of Health).

In vivo autolysis activity assays

An overnight culture of bacteria was grown and subcultured the next day to obtain an absorbance of 0.05 at 600 nm. Once cells reached early log phase growth (absorbance at 600 nm = 0.4), cells were chilled on ice immediately and harvested by centrifugation at 10,000g for 15 min at 4 °C. Pellets were washed twice with cold sterile ddH₂O. Pellets were collected and resuspended in 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) + 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100 to an absorbance of 1.0 at 600 nm. Samples were aliquoted into a clear sterile 96-well plate in triplicate, and absorbance at 600 nm was measured every 30 min for 6 h at 37 °C with shaking in a Synergy plate reader (BioTek).

In vitro autolysis activity assays

Crude autolysin extracts were prepared as described with the following additions (33). The pellet after 4% SDS treatment was saved and frozen at -20 °C for whole-cell substrate preparation. Pellets were thawed at room temperature and boiled in 1:50 volume (of original culture) of 8% SDS for 1 h on a heating block. Samples were cooled to room temperature after, and cells were centrifuged for 3 min at 13,100g. Next, samples were washed with sterile ddH₂O five times to remove all trace amounts of SDS. Samples were then incubated in 1:25 volume (of original culture) of buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0]) with 200 µg/ml proteinase K at 50 °C for 1.5 h. After digestion, samples were centrifuged for 10 min and boiled again in 1:50 volume of 8% SDS for 1 h in a heating block. Repeated washing with sterile ddH₂O was performed five times to remove all residual SDS. On the last wash, supernatant was removed, the pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen, and lyophilized overnight (Labconco).

The dried substrate was resuspended in 50 mM K_2HPO_4/KH_2PO_4 buffer (pH 7.3) to an absorbance of 0.6 at 600 nm. Total protein extracts (containing the autolysins) were added to the cell wall substrate suspension to a final concentration of 15 µg/ml and aliquoted into a clear sterile 96-well plate. The plate was then incubated at 37 °C with shaking in the Synergy plate reader for 24 h, and absorbance at 600 nm was measured every hour.

RNA extraction

Single colonies of strain(s) of interest were inoculated overnight at 37 °C. Strains were subcultured the next day in 1:10,000 dilution in 25 ml of fresh media, and aliquots were taken at early log (3.5 h, absorbance at 600 nm = \sim 0.2), midlog (6 h, absorbance at 600 nm = \sim 0.9), and stationary (12 h, absorbance at 600 nm = \sim 1.5.) of incubation (time points were chosen based on growth curves of each strain). Samples were pelleted, washed with RNAse-free water twice, and stored at -80 °C until further processing. RNA was isolated from samples using the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit as instructed (43). Briefly, pellets were thawed and resuspended in 100 µl TE buffer (pH 8.0) with <106 µm beads. Samples were bead beated for 60 s and placed back on ice. About 650 μl of buffer RLT (Qiagen) containing β-mercaptoethanol was added to each sample and bead beated for an additional 60 s. Samples were centrifuged at 4 °C at 13,100g for 1 min. Supernatants were collected and mixed with 900 μl of 100% ethanol. Further processing of RNA was continued as per Qiagen's protocol. Isolated RNA was treated with RNAse-free DNAse (Qiagen). Concentrations and purity were determined by Nanodrop and agarose gel.

RNA-Seq and RNA analysis

RNA samples were processed by the Microbial Genome Sequencing Center in Pittsburgh, PA. Ribosomal RNA was depleted using RiboZero Plus kit (Illumina), and complementary DNA libraries were generated with Stranded Total RNA Prep Ligation kit (Illumina). Sequencing was performed using a NextSeq2000 to produce 2×50 bp reads. Adaptors were trimmed, and sequences were demultiplexed with the

Cell wall recycling alters antibiotic activity in S. aureus

bcl2fastq tool. Sequence reads were mapped to the reference *S. aureus* N315 genome (GCF_000009645.1) using Geneious Prime 2021.2.1 (Biomatters). Expression analysis and comparisons were performed using DeSeq2 (Bioconductor) (44). All transcriptomic data are accessible through Gene Expression Omnibus Series accession number GSE211745.

UDP-MurNAc-PP accumulation assay

The cytoplasmic precursor of peptidoglycan, UDP-MurNAc-PP, was extracted and analyzed as described (39). In brief, cells were subcultured in 1:1000 dilution with antibiotic as needed. Cells were grown to an absorbance of 0.4 at 600 nm, where 130 μ g/ml of chloramphenicol was added and incubated for another 15 min to inhibit protein synthesis. Vancomycin was then added at 10× MIC (10 μ g/ml) to samples and incubated in the shaker for an additional hour to halt peptidoglycan biosynthesis. Samples were then centrifuged for 5 min at 13,100g at room temperature. Pellets were resuspended in 30 μ l of ddH₂O and boiled for 15 min on a heat block. The supernatant was collected by centrifugation at 13,100g for 5 min.

Quantification of UDP-MurNAc-PP by LC-MS/MS

A 1:100 dilution of the supernatant from the accumulation assay was prepared by diluting into 1:1 LC–MS grade H₂O:MeCN + 0.1% formic acid. Quantification of the precursor was performed by LC–MS/MS using a Waters Alliance I-Class coupled to a Xevo G2-S QToF. About 10 µl of each sample was injected into an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 µm) at 40 °C. A flow rate of 0.1 ml/min was applied. Solvents used were (A) H₂O + 0.1% formic acid and (B) MeCN + 0.1% formic acid with the following protocol for separation: 5% B (0–5 min), 5 to 95% B (5–7 min), 95 to 5% B (7–8 min), and 5% B (8–10 min). UDP-MurNAc-PP was identified as an ion of $[M + H]^+$ 1150.35, and quantification was performed on the fragment ion *m/z* 746.35 using TargetLynx software (Waters).

Graphical and statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software, version 9.3.1 (GraphPad Software, Inc). Statistical significance was calculated using ANOVA and Student's *t* tests with SD as appropriate.

Data availability

All data are available within the article and supporting information. All material and correspondence should be directed to justin.nodwell@utoronto.ca.

Supporting information—This article contains supporting information (38).

Acknowledgments—We thank Dr David Heinrichs for the generous gift of the pRMC2 plasmid for *S. aureus* genetics and Dr Ronald Flannagan for guidance on plasmid optimization. We thank the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (Grant MID-406688).

Cell wall recycling alters antibiotic activity in S. aureus

Author contributions—S. T. and J. R. N. conceptualization; S. T. methodology; S. T. and K. C. investigation; S. T. writing–original draft; S. T. and J. R. N. writing–review & editing; S. T. visualization.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations—The abbreviations used are: AM, amidase domain; ddH₂O, double-distilled water; GM, glucosaminidase domain; MIC, minimal inhibitory concentration; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; PBP, penicillin-binding protein; PP, pentapeptide; TSB, tryptic soy broth; VISA, vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus*.

References

- Sugden, R., Kelly, R., and Davies, S. (2016) Combatting antimicrobial resistance globally. *Nat. Microbiol.* 1, 16187
- Prestinaci, F., Pezzotti, P., and Pantosti, A. (2015) Antimicrobial resistance: a global multifaceted phenomenon antimicrobial resistance. *Pathog. Glob. Health* 109, 309–318
- Holland, T. L., Arnold, C., and Fowler, V. G. (2014) Clinical management of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia. *Clin. Rev. Educ.* 312, 1330–1341
- Tenover, F. C., Biddle, J. W., and Lancaster, M. V. (2001) Increasing resistance to vancomycin and other glycopeptides in *Staphylococcus aureus. Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 7, 327–332
- 5. ShariatiShariati, A., Dadashi, M., Moghadam, M. T., van Belkum, A., Yaslianifard, S., and Darban-Sarokhalil, D. (2020) Global prevalence and distribution of vancomycin resistant, vancomycin intermediate and heterogeneously vancomycin intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 12689
- 6. World Health Organization. (2021) *Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS) Report,* World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- Wang, Y., Li, X., Jiang, L., Han, W., Xie, X., Jin, Y., et al. (2017) Novel mutation sites in the development of vancomycin-intermediate resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus. Front. Microbiol.* 7, 2163
- 8. Werth, B. J., Jain, R., Hahn, A., Cummings, L., Weaver, T., Waalkes, A., *et al.* (2018) Emergence of dalbavancin non-susceptible, vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* (VISA) after treatment of MRSA central line-associated bloodstream infection with a dalbavancin- and vancomycin-containing regimen. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **24**, 429.e1–429. e5
- King, A. M., Reid-Yu, S. A., Wang, W., King, D. T., De Pascale, G., Strynadka, N. C., *et al.* (2016) AMA overcomes antibiotic resistance by NDM and VIM metallo-β- lactamases. *Nature* 510, 503–506
- Stavri, M., Piddock, L. J. V., and Gibbons, S. (2007) Bacterial efflux pump inhibitors from natural sources. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 59, 1247–1260
- Miethke, M., Pieroni, M., Weber, T., Brönstrup, M., Hammann, P., Halby, L., *et al.* (2021) Towards the sustainable discovery and development of new antibiotics. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 5, 726–729
- 12. Roemer, T., and Boone, C. (2013) Systems-level antimicrobial drug and drug synergy discovery. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **9**, 222–231
- Lin, L., Chang, S., Ge, M., Liu, T., and Lu, J.-J. (2018) Novel singlenucleotide variations associated with vancomycin resistance in vancomycin- intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 11, 113–123
- Hafer, C., Lin, Y., Kornblum, J., Lowy, F. D., and Uhlemann, A. (2012) Contribution of selected gene mutations to resistance in clinical isolates of vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56, 5845–5851
- Katayama, Y., Sekine, M., Hishinuma, T., Aiba, Y., and Hiramatsu, K. (2016) Complete reconstitution of the vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* phenotype of strain Mu50 in vancomycin-susceptible. *S. Aureus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **60**, 3730–3742

- 16. Grein, F., Müller, A., Scherer, K. M., Liu, X., Ludwig, K. C., Klöckner, A., et al. (2020) Ca2+-Daptomycin targets cell wall biosynthesis by forming a tripartite complex with undecaprenyl-coupled intermediates and membrane lipids. Nat. Commun. 11, 1455
- Cui, L., Murakami, H., Kuwahara-arai, K., Hanaki, H., and Hiramatsu, K. (2000) Contribution of a thickened cell wall and its glutamine nonamidated component to the vancomycin resistance expressed by *Staphylococcus aureus* Mu50. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44, 2276–2285
- Howden, B. P., McEvoy, C. R., Allen, D. L., Chua, K., Gao, W., Harrison, P. F., *et al.* (2011) Evolution of multidrug resistance during *Staphylococcus aureus* infection involves mutation of the essential two component regulator WalKR. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1002359
- Tan, S., Ludwig, K. C., Mueller, A., Schneider, T., and Nodwell, J. R. (2019) The lasso peptide siamycin-I targets lipid II at the gram-positive cell surface. ACS Chem. Biol. 14, 966–974
- Mak, S., and Nodwell, J. R. (2017) Actinorhodin is a redox-active antibiotic with a complex mode of action against Gram-positive cells. *Mol. Microbiol.* **106**, 597–613
- Cameron, D. R., Jiang, J. H., Kostoulias, X., Foxwell, D. J., and Peleg, A. Y. (2016) Vancomycin susceptibility in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is mediated by YycHI activation of the WalRK essential twocomponent regulatory system. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 30823
- 22. Gajdiss, M., Monk, I. R., Bertsche, U., Kienemund, J., Funk, T., Dietrich, A., et al. (2020) YycH and YycI regulate expression of *Staphylococcus aureus* autolysins by activation of WalRK phosphorylation. *Microorganisms* 8, 870
- 23. Poupel, O., Moyat, M., Groizeleau, J., Antunes, L. C., Gribaldo, S., Msadek, T., *et al.* (2016) Transcriptional analysis and subcellular protein localization reveal specific features of the essential WalKR system in Staphylococcus aureus. *PLoS One* 11, e0151449
- 24. Winkler, M. E., and Hoch, J. A. Essentiality (2008) Bypass, and targeting of the YycFG (VicRK) two-component regulatory system in gram-positive bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 2645–2648
- Hoskisson, P. A., and Hutchings, M. I. (2006) MtrAB–LpqB: a conserved three-component system in actinobacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* 14, 444–449
- 26. Wagner, C., Saizieu Ad, Ad, Schönfeld, H. J., Kamber, M., Lange, R., Thompson, C. J., *et al.* (2002) Genetic analysis and functional characterization of the *Streptococcus pneumoniae* vic operon. *Infect. Immun.* 70, 6121–6128
- 27. Hardt, P., Engels, I., Rausch, M., Gajdiss, M., Ulm, H., Sass, P., et al. (2017) The cell wall precursor lipid II acts as a molecular signal for the Ser/Thr kinase PknB of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 307, 1–10
- 28. Monk, I. R., Shaikh, N., Begg, S. L., Gajdiss, M., Sharkey, L. K. R., Lee, J. Y. H., *et al.* (2019) Zinc-binding to the cytoplasmic PAS domain regulates the essential WalK histidine kinase of Staphylococcus aureus. *Nat Commun* 10, 3067
- 29. Dubrac, S., Boneca, I. G., Poupel, O., and Msadek, T. (2007) New insights into the WalK/WalR (YycG/YycF) essential signal tTransduction pathway reveal a major role in controlling cell wall metabolism and biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus. J. Bacteriol.* 189, 8257–8269
- Meredith, T. C., Swoboda, J. G., and Walker, S. (2008) Late-stage polyribitol phosphate wall teichoic acid biosynthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 3046–3056
- Georgopapadakou, N. H., Smith, S. A., and Bonner, D. P. (1982) Penicillin-binding proteins in a *Staphylococcus aureus* strain resistant to specific B-lactam antibiotics. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 22, 172–175
- Porankiewicz, J., Wang, J., and Clarke, A. K. (1999) New insights into the ATP-dependent clp protease: *escherichia coli* and beyond. *Mol. Microbiol.* 32, 449–458
- Vaz, F., and Filipe, S. R. (2015) Preparation and analysis of Crude autolytic enzyme extracts from *Staphylococcus aureus*. *eLife* 5. https://doi.org/10. 21769/BioProtoc.1687
- Vollmer, W., Joris, B., Charlier, P., and Foster, S. (2008) Bacterial peptidoglycan (murein) hydrolases. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 32, 259–286



Cell wall recycling alters antibiotic activity in S. aureus

- Jorge, A. M., Schneider, J., Unsleber, S., Göhring, N., Mayer, C., and Peschel, A. (2017) Utilization of glycerophosphodiesters by *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **103**, 229–241
- 36. Kluj, R. M., Ebner, P., Adamek, M., Ziemert, N., Mayer, C., and Borisova, M. (2018) Recovery of the peptidoglycan turnover product released by the autolysin Atl in *Staphylococcus aureus* involves the phosphotransferase system transporter MurP and the novel 6-phospho-N-acetylmuramidase MupG. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2725
- 37. Borisova, M., Gaupp, R., Duckworth, A., Schneider, A., Dalügge, D., Mühleck, M., *et al.* (2016) Peptidoglycan recycling in gram-positive bacteria is crucial for survival in stationary phase. *mBio* 7, e00923-16
- Corrigan, R. M., and Foster, T. J. (2009) An improved tetracyclineinducible expression vector for *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Plasmid* 61, 126–129
- Schneider, T., Gries, K., Josten, M., Wiedemann, I., Pelzer, S., Labischinski, H., et al. (2009) The lipopeptide antibiotic friulimicin B inhibits

cell wall biosynthesis through complex formation with bactoprenol phosphate. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **53**, 1610–1618

- Mayer, C., Kluj, R. M., Mühleck, M., Walter, A., Unsleber, S., Hottmann, I., *et al.* (2019) Bacteria's different ways to recycle their own cell wall. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **309**, 151326
- Jaeger, T., and Mayer, C. (2008) The transcriptional factors MurR and catabolite activator protein regulate N-acetylmuramic acid catabolism in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 190, 6598–6608
- Donat, S., Streker, K., Schirmeister, T., Rakette, S., Stehle, T., Liebeke, M., *et al.* (2009) Transcriptome and functional analysis of the eukaryotic-type serine/ threonine kinase PknB in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 4056–4069
- Carroll, R. K., Weiss, A., and Shaw, L. N. (2015) RNA-sequencing of Staphylococcus aureus messenger RNA. Met. Mol. Biol. 1373, 131–141
- Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15, 550